COBBETT'S

Parliamentary Debates,

DURING THE

THIRD SESSION OF THE SECOND PARLIAMENT

OF THE

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT-BR TAIN AND IF. AND

AND OF THE

KINGDOM OF GREAT-BRITAIN THE NINETEENTH,

Appointed to meet at West hinster, the Fourth Day of Septer ber, One Thousand Eight Handred and Four; and from thence continued, by Prorogation, to the Fifteenth Day of January, in the Forty-Fifth Year of the Reign of King GEORGE the THIRD, Annoque Domini One Thousand Fight Hundred and Five

VOL. IV.

COMPRISING THE PERIOD.

BLTWEEN THE 15th OF MARCH AND THE 14th OF MAY 1805.

LONDON:

PRINTED BY J. BRETIELL, WARRALL STREET, GO. AND CO., SON, AND BATTA, GERAT QUEE.

!UBLISHED BY R. B (GSH W, BOW STREET, GOVERT OA J. BUDD, PALL LALL; R FAULDER, A SW BOND R' PATERNOSIEL ROW BLACES AND FARRY AND J. ARREAL, DUT Milerpa - Telbrishes Public Library

ADVERTISEMENT.

THE present Volume comprises the period between the 13th of March and the 14th of May, 1805. Every Debate will be found given with great accuracy, but particularly those relating to Lord Maville, and to the Question respecting the Roman Catholics. The Appendix contains the Eleventh Report of the Commissioners of Naval Enquiry, making, together with the Appendix of the preceding Volume, the conjugation of those Reports complete, as far as they have hitherto been laid before the House of Commons. The Fifth Volume, which will close the Debates of the Session, will contain the Financial Accounts, and other Decuments connected with the most important of the Proceedings in Parliament during the Session.

TABLE OF CONTENTS TO VOL. IV.

HOUSE OF LORDS.

1805.		Page.
March	14.	Mutiny bill-The marquis of Rockingham, lord Walsingham, the
		earl of Camden, the duke of Clarence
		State of the navy—Earl Darnley, lord Mchille
	15	Conduct of judge Fox-Lord Auckland, lord Grenville, lord Auck-
	•	land 1. 25
		Muting by — The marquis of Buckingham, carl Camden, the duke
		of Cumberland, lord Hawkesbury, the duke of Clarence, ford Mulgrave 26
		Roman catholies of Ireland—Lord Grenville 1 40
	,	Conduct of judge Fox-Lord Auckland, the lord chancellor, lord
		Greifville, lord Hawkesbury, earl Spencer, lord Mulgrave,
• 1		lord Carleton, lord Ellenborough, lord Auckland, the lord
		chancellor, lord Auckland 40
	25.	Roman catholic petition—Lord Grenville, lord Auckland, lord
		Grenville, lord Hawesbury, lord Grenville, the duke of Nor-
		folk, the lord chancellor - 97 Conduct of judge Fox-Lord Auckland, the lord thancellor, lord
		Grenville, ford Hawkesbury, lord Ellenborough 105
	29.	Universities' advowson bill—The duke of Norfolk, the bishop of
	~	Oxford, lord Sittmouth, the lord chancellor, lord Auckland,
		the bishop of Oxford, lord Sidmouth, the duke of Norfolk, lord
•		Redesdale 142
		State of the navy—Earl Darnley, lord Walsingham, earl Marnley
		ford Melville, earl Darnley, lord Melville, the duke of Chrence,
A	:1 1	earl Darnley 143
\mathbf{A} pr	11 1.	Roman catholic petition—The bishop of Durham, lord Grenville, the bishop of Durham
		Conduct of judge Fox—Lord Auckland - 1-1 (161
		Standing order—Lord Grenville, the lord chancellor, his royal
		• highness the Prince of Wales, lord Grenyille, the lord chan-
		cellor, lord Grenville, lord Hawkesbury, ford Auckland, lord
		Carysfort, the earl of Suffolk, lord Ellenborough, earl Darmley 161
	Ω .	Standing order—Lord Mulgrave, earl Spencer, lord Mulgrave, the
		lord chancellor, earl Spencer, the lord chancellor, lord Mul-
	4	grave
	4.	Universities advowson bill—Lord Hawkesbury, the bishop of Ox- ford, lord Grenville, the earl of Suffolk, the duke of Norfolk,
		the bishop of Oxford 191
	4	Militia enlisting bill—Lord Hawkesbury, the marquis of Bucking-
		ham, the earl of Derby, the earl of Buckinghamshire, lord Bor-
	,	ingdon, lord Cawdor, the earl of Westmoreland, the earl of

	TABLE OF CONTENTS.	
1805.		Page.
8.	Carnarvon, the duke of Montrose, the earl of Suffolk, earl Camden, the earl of Romney, the earl of Carlisie - Militia enlisting bill—Earl Spencer, lord Hawkesbury, earl Spencer	192 254
25.	Price of bread The earl of Suffolk, ford Walsingham, the lord chancellor	373
26.	West India accounts—The earl of Suffolk, lord Hawkesbury, the earl of Suffolk, lord Hawkesbury	428
! 9.	Universities' advowson bill—Lord Sidmouth, the bishop of Oxford, the archbishop of Canterbury, lord Auckland, the bishop of Oxford, lord Auckland, ford Grenville, lord Sidmouth, lord Auckland; the bishop of London, the bishop of St. Asaph, the lord chancellor, lord Ellenborough, the bishop of Oxford, the duke of Norfolk	449
May 1.	Universities' advowson bill—The duke of Norfolk, load Grenville, the lord chancellos, the bishop of Oxford, the duke of Norfolk, lord Hawkesbury, the bishop of Oxford, lord Sidmouth, the lord chancellor, lord Hawkesbury, lord Grenville, lord Sid- mouth	537
• 3.	Lord Melville Lord Hawkesbury, earl Darnley, lord Hawkesbury, the dulle of Norfolk, lord Hawkesbury, the lord chancellor, the duke of Norfolk, earl Darnley, the duke of Montrose, earl	*0-
• • 7.	Darnley, lord Hawkesbury Abrogation of Standing order—Lord Mulgrave, the earl of Carnarvor, the lord chancellor, lord Grenville, lord Harrowby, the earl of Radnor, lord Hawkesbury, and Spencer, the lord chancellor	587 613
8.	Universities' advowson bill—The earl of Suffolk, the lord chancellor, lord Sidmouth, the bishop of Oxford, the lord chancellor	633
10.	Roman catholic petition—Lord Gren Mle, lord Hawkesbury, lord Grenville, lord Hawkesbury, the duke of Cumberland, earl Spencer, lord Sidmouth, lord Mulgrave, lord Holland, earl Camden, the bishop of Durham, lord Redesdale, the duke of Norfolk, lord Hawkesbury, the lord chancellor, the duke of Norfolk, the earl of Limerick, lord Carysfort, the marquis of Duckingham, lord Grenville, earl Darnley, lord Hawkesbury,	030
	the earl of Derby man catholic petition, (resumed debate)—The earl of Suffolk, the earl of Oxford, the earl of Buckinghamshire, ford Carleton, lord Flutchinson, lord Redesdale, the earl of Ormond, lord Boringdon, the archbishop of Canterbury, the earl of Alber- marle, the lord chancellor, the duke of Norfolk, lord Harrowby, the earl of Westmoreland, the bishop of St. Asaph, lord Ellen- borough, the earl of Moira, earl Darnley, lord Auckland, lord King, lord Bolton, the earl of Longford, lord Grenville, lord	651
March 13.	HOUSE OF COMMONS. Middlesex election—Mr. In Thornton	742
14.	Irish budget—Mr. Foster, Mr. James Fitzgerald, Mr. Foster, sir Newport, Mr. Gorry, Mr. Foster, Mr. Corry, Mr. Foster, lord A. Hamilton, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Foster, Mr. D. B. Daly Irish county elections—Gol. Bagwell	

(Knaresbofough election-Lord W. Russell, Mr. Rose, the master of	
	the rolls	25
15.	War in India-Mr. Francis, lord Castlet ragh, Mr. Francis, lord	4
•	Castle eagh	29
	Salt duty byl-Mr. Fox, the chancelor or the exchequer -	_30
	Report of the Irish budget-Mr. J. Fitzgerald, Mr. Dawson, sir J.	- 00
	Newport, Mr. Hawthorne, Mr. Foster, Air. May, Mr. J. La-	•
	toucher Mr. Kerr, sir.C. Price, sir G. Hill sir J. Newport, Mr.	r.
		0.5
	Fox, Mr. Foster, Mr. Corry, Mr. Hawthorne, Mr. Princip	31
	Legacy duty bill—The chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, the	
	hancellor of the exchequer	.57
19.	Irish excise duties bill—Sir J. Newport, the speaker, the chancellor	1
	of the exchequer	47
	Mr. Fordyce's debt-Mr. Creevey, the chancellor of the exchequer,	
	Mr. Fox, the chancellor of the exchequer, the secretary at	* 1
	war, Mr. Johnstone, Mr. Creevey	48
	Irish revenue bills-Mr. Foster, sn/J. Newport, lord De Blaquiere	60
20.	Prize agency bill—Sir W. Scott	61
21.	Irish lunatics' bill—Sir J. Newport, colonel Begwell	60
~	Irish loon and exchange—Lord A. Hamilton, Mr. Foster, ford H.	00
•	Poth lithe abancelles of the exchange Mr. For Mr. Lee Mr.	
	Pethod the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, Mr. Lee, Mr.	•
	Alexander, Mr. J. Latouche, Mr. Foster, Mr. Grey, sir. W.	<u></u>
	Pulteney, Mr. II. Thornton	67
	Militia culisting bill—The chancellor of the exchequer, carl Tem-	. :
	ple, the chancellor of the exchequer, earl Temple, the chan-	
	cellor, of the exchequer, the speaker, earl Temple, lord Stanley,	• •
	the marquis of Douglas, Mr. Calcraft, sir J. Pulteney, Mr.	
	Bastard, Mr. Fuller, the chancellor of the exchequer, earl	
	Temple	79
22.	Supplementary budget—The chancellor of the exchequer	\$7
	Legacy duty bill—Sir II Mildmay the speaker, lord G Cavendish	
	Legacy duty bill—Sir II. Mildmay, the speaker, lord G. Cavendish,	, ,
	Mr. Spencer Stanhope, the mancellor of the exchaquer, Mr.	
a:	Mr. Spencer Stauhope, the chancellor of the exchaquer, Mr. Grey, Dr. Laurence, sir II. Mildmay	90
25.	Mr. Spencer Stauhope, the mancellor of the exchange, Mr. Grey, Dr. Laurence, sir II. Mildmay Report of the supplementary budget—Lord H. Petty, the chancellor	9 0
25.	Mr. Spencer Stauhope, the chancellor of the exchanger, Mr. Grey, Dr. Laurence, sir H. Mildmay Report of the supplementary budget—Lord H. Petty, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bastard	
25.	Mr. Spencer Stauhope, the chancellor of the exchanger, Mr. Grey, Dr. Laurence, sir H. Mildmay Report of the supplementary budget—Lord H. Petty, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bastard Roman catholic petition—Mr. Fox, Mr. Cartwright, Mr. Fox, Dr.	90 109
	Mr. Spencer Stauhope, the mancellor of the exchanger, Mr. Grey, Dr. Laurence, sir H. Mildmay Report of the supplementary budget—Lord H. Petty, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bastard Roman catholic petition—Mr. Fox, Mr. Cartwright, Mr. Fox, Dr. Duigenan, Mr. Fox	9 0
25. 26.	Mr. Spencer Stauhope, the mancellor of the exchanger, Mr. Grey, Dr. Laurence, sir H. Mildmay Report of the supplementary budget—Lord H. Petty, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bastard Roman catholic petition—Mr. Fox, Mr. Cartwright, Mr. Fox, Dr. Duigenan, Mr. Fox Militia enlisting bill—Mr. Hughes, Mr. Yorke, Mr. Eastard, carl	90 109
	Mr. Spencer Stauhope, the mancellor of the exchanger, Mr. Grey, Dr. Laurence, sir H. Mildmay Report of the supplementary budget—Lord H. Petty, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bastard Roman catholic petition—Mr. Fox, Mr. Cartwright, Mr. Fox, Dr. Duigenan, Mr. Fox Militia enlisting bill—Mr. Hughes, Mr. Yorke, Mr. Eastard, carl	90 109
	Mr. Spencer Stauhope, the mancellor of the exchanger, Mr. Grey, Dr. Laurence, sir H. Mildmay Report of the supplementary budget—Lord H. Petty, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bastard Roman catholic petition—Mr. Fox, Mr. Cartwright, Mr. Fox, Dr. Duigenan, Mr. Fox Militia enlisting bill—Mr. Hughes, Mr. Yorke, Mr. Eastard, carl Temple, the marquis of Douglas, lord Stanley, lord Euston.	90 109 109
26.	Mr. Spencer Stauhope, the mancellor of the exchanger, Mr. Grey, Dr. Laurence, sir H. Mildmay Report of the supplementary budget—Lord H. Petty, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bastard Roman catholic petition—Mr. Fox, Mr. Cartwright, Mr. Fox, Dr. Duigenan, Mr. Fox Militia enlisting bill—Mr. Hughes, Mr. Yorke, Mr. Castard, carl Temple, the marquis of Douglas, lord Stanley, lord Custon, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Windham	90 109
	Mr. Spencer Stauhope, the mancellor of the exchanger, Mr. Grey, Dr. Laurence, sir H. Mildmay Report of the supplementary budget—Lord H. Petty, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bastard Roman catholic petition—Mr. Fox, Mr. Cartwright, Mr. Fox, Dr. Duigenan, Mr. Fox Militia enlisting bill—Mr. Hughes, Mr. Yorke, Mr. Lastard, carl Temple, the marquis of Douglas, lord Stanley, lord Luston, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Windham Irish small faces' bill—Mr. Foster, Mr. R. Martin, Mr. Foster, Mr.	90 109 109
26.	Mr. Spencer Stauhope, the mancellor of the exchanger, Mr. Grey, Dr. Laurence, sir H. Mildmay Report of the supplementary budget—Lord H. Petty, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bastard Roman catholic petition—Mr. Fox, Mr. Cartwright, Mr. Fox, Dr. Duigenan, Mr. Fox Militia enlisting bill—Mr. Hughes, Mr. Yorke, Mr. Castard, carl Temple, the marquis of Douglas, lord Stanley, lord Luston, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Windham Irish small flates' bill—Mr. Foster, Mr. R. Martin, Mr. Foster, Mr. Magens, Mr. Foster	90 109 109 112-
26. 27.	Mr. Spencer Stauhope, the mancellor of the exchanger, Mr. Grey, Dr. Laurence, sir H. Mildmay Report of the supplementary budget—Lord H. Petty, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bastard Roman catholic petition—Mr. Fox, Mr. Cartwright, Mr. Fox, Dr. Duigenan, Mr. Fox Militia enlisting bill—Mr. Hughes, Mr. Yorke, Mr. Castard, carl Temple, the marquis of Douglas, lord Stanley, lord Luston, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Windham Irish small fraces' bill—Mr. Foster, Mr. R. Martin, Mr. Foster, Mr. Magens, Mr. Foster Irish post roads' bill—Mr. Foster, colonel Bagwell, Mr. Foster	90 109 109 112- 129 130
26.	Mr. Spencer Stauhope, the mancellor of the exchanger, Mr. Grey, Dr. Laurence, sir H. Mildmay Report of the supplementary budget—Lord H. Petty, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bastard Roman catholic petition—Mr. Fox, Mr. Cartwright, Mr. Fox, Dr. Duigenan, Mr. Fox Militia enlisting bill—Mr. Hughes, Mr. Yorke, Mr. Eastard, carl Temple, the marquis of Douglas, lord Stanley, lord Luston, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Windham Irish small fraces' bill—Mr. Foster, Mr. R. Martin, Mr. Foster, Mr. Magens, Mr. Foster Irish post roads' bill—Mr. Foster, colonel Bagwell, Mr. Foster Bengal judikature bill—Lord Castlereagl, Mr. Francis,	90 109 109 112-
26. 27.	Mr. Spencer Stauhope, the mancellor of the exchaquer, Mr. Grey, Dr. Laurence, sir H. Mildmay Report of the supplementary budget—Lord H. Petty, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bastard Roman catholic petition—Mr. Fox, Mr. Cartwright, Mr. Fox, Dr. Duigenan, Mr. Fox Militia enlisting bill—Mr. Hughes, Mr. Yorke, Mr. Castard, carl Temple, the marquis of Douglas, lord Stanley, lord Juston, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Windham Irish small frees' bill—Mr. Foster, Mr. R. Martin, Mr. Foster, Mr. Magens, Mr. Foster Irish post roads' bill—Mr. Foster, colonel Bagwell, Mr. Foster Bengal judicature bill—Lord Castlereagl', Mr. Francis, Militia enlisting bill—General Fitzpatrick, Mr. Ellison, earl Temple,	90 109 109 112- 129 130
26. 27.	Mr. Spencer Stanhope, the mancellor of the exchanger, Mr. Grey, Dr. Laurence, sir H. Mildmay Report of the supplementary budget—Lord H. Petty, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bastard Roman catholic petition—Mr. Fox, Mr. Cartwright, Mr. Fox, Dr. Duigenan, Mr. Fox Militia enlisting bill—Mr. Hughes, Mr. Yorke, Mr. Eastard, carl Temple, the marquis of Douglas, lord Stanley, lord Juston, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Windham Irish small fores' bill—Mr. Foster, Mr. R. Martin, Mr. Foster, Mr. Magens, Mr. Foster Irish post roads' bill—Mr. Foster, colonel Bagwell, Mr. Foster Bengal judicature bill—Lord Castlereagl', Mr. Francis, Militia enlisting bill—General Fitzpatrick, Mr. Ellison, earl Temple, Mr. Ellison, Mr. Frankland, general Tarketin, sir W. Inford,	90 109 109 112- 129 130
26. 27.	Mr. Spencer Stauhope, the mancellor of the exchanger, Mr. Grey, Dr. Laurence, sir H. Mildmay Report of the supplementary budget—Lord H. Petty, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bastard Roman catholic petition—Mr. Fox, Mr. Cartwright, Mr. Fox, Dr. Duigenan, Mr. Fox Militia enlisting bill—Mr. Hughes, Mr. Yorke, Mr. Lastard, carl Temple, the marquis of Douglas, lord Stanley, lord Luston, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Windham Irish small fraces' bill—Mr. Foster, Mr. R. Martin, Mr. Foster, Mr. Magens, Mr. Foster Irish post roads' bill—Mr. Foster, colonel Bagwell, Mr. Foster Bengal judicature bill—Lord Castlereagl', Mr. Francis, Militia enlisting bill—General Fitzpatrick, Mr. Ellison, earl Temple, Mr. Ellison, Mr. Frankland, general Tarkeon, sir W. Ilford, Mr. Giles, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Yorke, the	90 109 109 112- 129 130
26. 27.	Mr. Spencer Stauhope, the mancellor of the exchanger, Mr. Grey, Dr. Laurence, sir H. Mildmay Report of the supplementary budget—Lord H. Petty, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bastard Roman catholic petition—Mr. Fox, Mr. Cartwright, Mr. Fox, Dr. Duigenan, Mr. Fox Militia enlisting bill—Mr. Hughes, Mr. Yorke, Mr. Castard, carl Temple, the marquis of Douglas, lord Stanley, lord Juston, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Windham Irish small index' bill—Mr. Foster, Mr. R. Martin, Mr. Foster, Mr. Magens, Mr. Foster Irish post roads' bill—Mr. Foster, colonel Bagwell, Mr. Foster Bengal judicature bill—Lord Castlereagl, Mr. Francis, Militia enlisting bill—General Fitzpatrick, Mr. Ellison, earl Temple, Mr. Ellison, Mr. Frankland, general Targeton, sir W. Ilford, Mr. Giles, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Yorke, the chancellor of	90 109 109 112- 129 130
26. 27.	Mr. Spencer Stauhope, the mancellor of the exchaquer, Mr. Grey, Dr. Laurence, sir H. Mildmay Report of the supplementary budget—Lord H. Petty, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bastard Roman catholic petition—Mr. Fox, Mr. Cartwright, Mr. Fox, Dr. Duigenan, Mr. Fox Militia enlisting bill—Mr. Hughes, Mr. Yorke, Mr. Castard, carl Temple, the marquis of Douglas, lord Stanley, lord Luston, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Windham Irish small fraces' bill—Mr. Foster, Mr. R. Martin, Mr. Foster, Mr. Magens, Mr. Foster Irish post roads' bill—Mr. Foster, colonel Bagwell, Mr. Foster Bengal judicature bill—Lord Castlereagl, Mr. Francis, Militia enlisting bill—General Fitzpatrick, Mr. Ellison, earl Temple, Mr. Ellison, Mr. Frankland, general Tarketon, sir W. Ilford, Mr. Giles, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Yorke, the chancellor of the exchequer, general Fitzpatrick, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatri	90 109 109 112- 129 130
26. 27.	Mr. Spencer Stauhope, the mancellor of the exchaquer, Mr. Grey, Dr. Laurence, sir II. Mildmay Report of the supplementary budget—Lord II. Petty, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bastard Roman catholic petition—Mr. Fox, Mr. Cartwright, Mr. Fox, Dr. Duigenan, Mr. Fox Militia enlisting bill—Mr. Hughes, Mr. Yorke, Mr. Castard, carl Temple, the marquis of Douglas, lord Stanley, lord Juston, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Windham Irish small index' bill—Mr. Foster, Mr. R. Martin, Mr. Foster, Mr. Magens, Mr. Foster Irish post roads' bill—Mr. Foster, colonel Bagwell, Mr. Foster Irish post roads' bill—Mr. Foster, colonel Bagwell, Mr. Foster Bengal judicature bill—Lord Castlereagl, Mr. Francis, Militia enlisting bill—General Fitzpatrick, Mr. Ellison, earl Temple, Mr. Giles, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Yorke, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Yorke, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, the Chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, the Chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, the Chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, the Chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, Mr. Fox, genera	90 109 109 112- 129 130
26. 27.	Mr. Spencer Stauhope, the mancellor of the exchaquer, Mr. Grey, Dr. Laurence, sir II. Mildmay Report of the supplementary budget—Lord II. Petty, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bastard Roman catholic petition—Mr. Fox, Mr. Cartwright, Mr. Fox, Dr. Duigenan, Mr. Fox Militia enlisting bill—Mr. Hughes, Mr. Yorke, Mr. Castard, carl Temple, the marquis of Douglas, lord Stanley, lord Juston, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Windham Irish small index' bill—Mr. Foster, Mr. R. Martin, Mr. Foster, Mr. Magens, Mr. Foster Irish post roads' bill—Mr. Foster, colonel Bagwell, Mr. Foster Irish post roads' bill—Mr. Foster, colonel Bagwell, Mr. Foster Bengal judicature bill—Lord Castlereagl, Mr. Francis, Militia enlisting bill—General Fitzpatrick, Mr. Ellison, earl Temple, Mr. Giles, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Yorke, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Yorke, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, the Chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, the Chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, the Chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, the Chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, Mr. Fox, genera	90 109 109 112- 129 130
26. 27.	Mr. Spencer Stauhope, the mancellor of the exchaquer, Mr. Grey, Dr. Laurence, sir H. Mildmay Report of the supplementary budget—Lord H. Petty, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bastard Roman catholic petition—Mr. Fox, Mr. Cartwright, Mr. Fox, Dr. Duigenan, Mr. Fox Militia enlisting bill—Mr. Hughes, Mr. Yorke, Mr. Eastard, carl Tample, the marquis of Douglas, lord Stanley, lord Juston, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Windham Irish small faces' bill—Mr. Foster, Mr. R. Martin, Mr. Foster, Mr. Magens, Mr. Foster Irish post roads' bill—Mr. Foster, colonel Bagwell, Mr. Foster Bengal judicature bill—Lord Castlereagl, Mr. Francis, Militia enlisting bill—General Fitzpatrick, Mr. Ellison, earl Temple, Mr. Giles, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Yorke, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Yorke, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr.	90 109 109 112- 129 130
26. 27.	Mr. Spencer Stauhope, the mancellor of the exchaquer, Mr. Grey, Dr. Laurence, sir H. Mildmay Report of the supplementary budget—Lord H. Petty, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bastard Roman catholic petition—Mr. Fox, Mr. Cartwright, Mr. Fox, Dr. Duigenan, Mr. Fox Militia enlisting bill—Mr. Hughes, Mr. Yorke, Mr. Castard, carl Temple, the marquis of Douglas, lord Stanley, lord Juston, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Windham Irish small faces' bill—Mr. Foster, Mr. R. Martin, Mr. Foster, Mr. Magens, Mr. Foster Irish post roads' bill—Mr. Foster, colonel Bagwell, Mr. Foster Bengal judicature bill—Lord Castlereagl, Mr. Francis, Militia enlisting bill—General Fitzpatrick, Mr. Ellison, earl Temple, Mr. Giles, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Yorke, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Yorke, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox	90 109 109 112- 129 130
26. 27. 28-	Mr. Spencer Stanhope, the mancellor of the exchaquer, Mr. Grey, Dr. Laurence, sir II. Mildmay Report of the supplementary budget—Lord H. Petty, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bastard Roman catholic petition—Mr. Fox, Mr. Cartwright, Mr. Fox, Dr. Duigenau, Mr. Fox Militia enlisting bill—Mr. Hughes, Mr. Yorke, Mr. Castard, carl Tomple, the marquis of Douglas, lord Stanley, lord Juston, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Windham Irish small factor bill—Mr. Foster, Mr. R. Martin, Mr. Foster, Mr. Magens, Mr. Foster Irish post roads' bill—Mr. Foster, colonel Bagwell, Mr. Foster Bengal judicature bill—Lord Castlereagl, Mr. Francis, Militia enlisting bill—General Fitzpatrick, Mr. Ellison, earl Temple, Mr. Ellison, Mr. Frankland, general Tatheron, sir W. Elford, Mr. Giles, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Yorke, the chancellor of the exchequer; general Fitzpatrick, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. W. Wynne, Mr. Bankes	90 109 109 112- 129 130
26. 27.	Mr. Spencer Stauhope, the mancellor of the exchaquer, Mr. Grey, Dr. Laurence, sir II. Mildmay Report of the supplementary budget—Lord H. Petty, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bastard Roman catholic petition—Mr. Fox, Mr. Cartwright, Mr. Fox, Dr. Duigenan, Mr. Fox Militia enlisting bill—Mr. Hughes, Mr. Yorke, Mr. Castard, carl Temple, the marquis of Douglas, lord Stanley, lord Juston, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Windham Irish small index's bill—Mr. Foster, Mr. R. Martin, Mr. Foster, Mr. Magens, Mr. Foster Irish post roads' bill—Mr. Foster, colonel Bagwell, Mr. Foster Bengal judicature bill—Lord Castlereagl, Mr. Francis, Militia enlisting bill—General Fitzpatrick, Mr. Ellison, earl Temple, Mr. Ellison, Mr. Frankland, general Tauton, sir W. Elford, Mr. Giles, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Yorke, the chancellor of the exchequer, general Fitzpatrick, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bankes	90 109 109 112- 129 130
26. 27. 28-	Mr. Spencer Stauhope, the mancellor of the exchaquer, Mr. Grey, Dr. Laurence, sir H. Mildmay Report of the supplementary budget—Lord H. Petty, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bastard Roman catholic petition—Mr. Fox, Mr. Cartwright, Mr. Fox, Dr. Duigenan, Mr. Fox Militia enlisting bill—Mr. Hughes, Mr. Yoske, Mr. Eastard, carl Temple, the marquis of Douglas, lord Stanley, lord Juston, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Windham Irish small index's bill—Mr. Foster, Mr. R. Martin, Mr. Foster, Mr. Magens, Mr. Foster Irish post roads' bill—Mr. Foster, colonel Bagwell, Mr. Foster Bengal judicature bill—Lord Castlereagl, Mr. Francis, Militia enlisting bill—General Fitzpatrick, Mr. Ellison, earl Temple, Mr. Ellison, Mr. Frankland, general Tarteton, sir W. Ilford, Mr. Giles, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Yorke, the chancellor of the exchequer, general Fitzpatrick, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bankes Insh militia enlisting bill—A he chancellor of the exchequer, sir J. Newport, the leaker, lord	90 109 109 112- 129 130
26. 27. 28-	Mr. Spencer Stauhope, the mancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Grey, Dr. Laurence, sir II. Mildmay Report of the supplementary budget—Lord II. Petty, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bastard Roman catholic petition—Mr. Fox, Mr. Cartwright, Mr. Fox, Dr. Duigenan, Mr. Fox Militia enlisting bill—Mr. Hughes, Mr. Yorke, Mr. Castard, carl Temple, the marquis of Douglas, lord Stanley, lord Juston, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Windham Irish small fittes bill—Mr. Foster, Mr. R. Martin, Mr. Foster, Mr. Magens, Mr. Foster Irish post roads' bill—Mr. Foster, colonel Bagwell, Mr. Foster Bengal judicature bill—Lord Castlereal, Mr. Francis, Militia enlisting bill—General Fitzpatrick, Mr. Ellison, earl Temple, Mr. Ellison, Mr. Frankland, general Tarkeon, sir W. Mford, Mr. Giles, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Yorke, the chancellor of the exchequer, general Fitzpatrick, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, of the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Ellison, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bankes Irish militia enlisting bill—Ahe chancellor of the exchequer, sir J. Newport, the leaker, lord De Blaquiere, general Tarleton, Mr. Bastard, the chancellor of	90 109 109 112- 129 130
26. 27. 28-	Mr. Spencer Stauhope, the mancellor of the exchaquer, Mr. Grey, Dr. Laurence, sir H. Mildmay Report of the supplementary budget—Lord H. Petty, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bastard Roman catholic petition—Mr. Fox, Mr. Cartwright, Mr. Fox, Dr. Duigenan, Mr. Fox Militia enlisting bill—Mr. Hughes, Mr. Yoske, Mr. Eastard, carl Temple, the marquis of Douglas, lord Stanley, lord Juston, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Windham Irish small index's bill—Mr. Foster, Mr. R. Martin, Mr. Foster, Mr. Magens, Mr. Foster Irish post roads' bill—Mr. Foster, colonel Bagwell, Mr. Foster Bengal judicature bill—Lord Castlereagl, Mr. Francis, Militia enlisting bill—General Fitzpatrick, Mr. Ellison, earl Temple, Mr. Ellison, Mr. Frankland, general Tarteton, sir W. Ilford, Mr. Giles, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Yorke, the chancellor of the exchequer, general Fitzpatrick, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, general Fitzpatrick, earl Temple, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Bankes Insh militia enlisting bill—A he chancellor of the exchequer, sir J. Newport, the leaker, lord	90 109 109 112- 129 130 132

Bankes, sir R. Baxton, the chancellor of the exchequere -	161
Abril 1. Lord Melville—The chancellor of the exchequer—Mr. Fox, the	
chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Grey, the chancellor of the ex-	
chequer, Mr. Francis, the chancellor of the exchequer	165
Cornelius Grogan's atchinder—Mr. R. Marting lord Castlercagh, Mr. R. Martin, Mr. Fox, Jord Castlercagh, sir J. Newport,	
general Lottus Mr. Francis, lord Castlerdagh	167
Militie chliscing bill—The marques of Douglas, sir W. Young, the	101
chancellor of the exchequer, the marquis of Douglas, Mr. She-	
riden, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, the secretary	
et war, Mr. Fox, the secretary adwar, Mr. Fox, Mr. Sheri-	
dan, Mr. Windham, Mr. Caming, Mr. Giles, the chancellor	
of the exchequer, Mr. Windham, the speaker, the chancellor	171
of the exchequer, the speaker, the chancellor of the exchequer. Irish militia collisting hill—Sir J. Newport, the chancellor of the	171
exchequer, sir J. Newport, colonel Bagwell	181
Conduct of sir Home Popham—Mr. Dickenson, jun. Mr. Grey, Mr.	- (/ 1
Dickenson jun	188
frish amon commissioners—Sir J. Nowport, Dr. Duigenan, sir J.	
Newport, Mr. Sturges Bourne, Mr. Kinnaird ,	184
Lord Melville's letter to the commissioners of Keyal enquiry—The	
chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Francis, the speaker, Mr.	100
alderman Combe, the chancellor of the exchequer Irish binatics' asylom bill—Sir J. Newport, Mr. May, lord Doulo,	186
colonel Bagwell, Mr. Alexander, sir J. Newport, sir G. Hill,	
Mr. Lee, Mr. Fitzgerald, sir J. Newport	206
. West Indies-Mr. Barham, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr.	
St. John	222
Property daties' bill—The chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Pox,	222
the chancellor of the exchequer	223
Smaggling prevention bill—The chancellor of the exchequer———————————————————————————————————	224
Dr. Laurence, Mr. Grant, Mr. Huddlestone, sir T. Metcalfe,	
Mr. Chapman, Mr. Princip, Mr. R. Thornton, the chancellor	
of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, Mr. Francis - y	225
Proceedings respecting lord Melville and Mr. Trotter-Mr. Whit-	
bread, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, the chan-	
cellor of the exchequer, lord H. Petty, the attorney general,	
Mr. Tierney, Mr. Canning, Mr. G. Ponsonby, Mr. Canning, the master of the rolls, Mr. Fox, lord Castlereagh, lord An-	
dover, Mr. Wilberforce, sir C. Price, Mr. Wallace, lord A.	
Hamilton, sir W. Pulteney, Mr. Whitbread, Mr. Windham,	
Mr. Fox, Mr. Wilberforce, Mr. Sheridan, Mr. Bastard, Mr.	
T. Grenville, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, the	
chancelor of the exchequer	235
rocecolings respecting lord Melville and Mr. Trotter—The chan-	
cellor of the exchequer, Mr. Whitbread, Mr. Canning, Mr. Grey,	
Mr. G. Pousonby, Mr. Canning, Mr. S. Thornton, Mr. Bar- ham, Mr. Bankes, Mr. Windham, the chancellor of the ex-	
chequer, Mr. Fox, Mr. Wilberforce, Mr. Fuller, Mr. D. Scott,	
Mr. Kinnaird, Mr. W. Dondas, Mr. Fox, Mr. Kinnaird, Mr.	
Ellison, Mr. Whitbread, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr.	
Whitbread, the chance for of the exchequer, Mr. Whitbread,	
the chancellor of the exchanger	327
Paymenter of the forces' bill—M. Rose	372
Middlesex election—Mr. Calcraft, the speaker, Mr. Calcraft, the	379
secretary at war, sir J. Newport, Mr. Calcraft Irish small notes regulation bill—Mr. Loc	380
The state of the s	J.J.

Proceedings 1	elating to the printer of the oracle nor a notion the	
house-1-1	Mr Grey, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Grey,	•
Mr. Fex.	, Mr. Canning, Mr. Fox, the attorney-general, Mr.	
Rose, M	Ir. Fox, sir C. Pole, Mr. Canilis, Mr. Plumer, Mr.	
Canning.	sir C. Pole, Dr. Laurence, Mr. Canning, the solicitor-	•
general.	Mr. Fox, the solicitor-general, sir C. Pole, Mr. serjeant	-
	.R. Ward, Mr. Fox, Mr. R. Ward, Mr. Sheridan,	
Mr. P. A		201
Mia I a Mina I a M	managing the colort committee on the 10th yayal re	381
Proceedings 1	respecting the select committee on the 10th naval re-	
port-M	Ir. Whitbread, the speaker, Mr. Whitbread, the chan-	
ollor of	the exchequer, Mr. Whitbread, the chancellor of the	•
etcheque	er, Mr. Fox, the chancellon of the exchequer, Mr. Wint-	.•
blead, M	Ir. Sheridan, Mr. Camning, the chancellor of the exche-	
quer, M	Fr. Pox, Mr. Grey, the master of the rolls, Mr. Kin-	
naird, 3	Ir. G. Ponsonby, lord II. Petty, Mr. Whitbread, the	•
	or of the exchequer, Mr. Whitbread, the chancellor	
of the	exchequet, Mr. Grey, Mr. T. Grenville, the chan-	
cellor of	the exchequer, Mr. Windham, ford Castlereigh, Mr.	•
Parlor	Mr. serjeant Best, sir J. C. Inpuisley, Mr. Tierney,	
Dankey	mar reveral Mr. Pierrer the eterriar reneral Mr	•
the attor	rney-general, Mr. Tierney, the attorney-general, Mr.	
Alexande	er, Mr. Sheridan, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr.	
Palier, P	Mr. Fox, Mr. Canning, the solicitor-general, Mr. Fon-	,
blanque,	, Mr. Whitbread, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr.	
Fox, the	e chancellor of the exchequer	<i>3</i> 98
26. Ballot for a	select committee on the 10th naval report—Mr. S.	
· Bourne,	Mr. Whitbread, Mr. Fox, Mr. Sheridan, Mr. Whit-	
bread, t	he speaker, Mr. Whitbread	430
Proceedings 1	respecting the printer of the "Oracle" for a libel on the	
bouse	Mr. A. Wright, Mr. Grey, Mr. A. Wright, Mr. Wind-	٠
hons. M	r. Shendan, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. She-	•
nam, mr	Tr. Canning, the attorney-general, Mr. Fox, Mr. W.	
ridan, n	the Tanana Alexandra Ma Chore the offendar	
Smith, 1	Dr. Laurence, the speaker, Mr. Grey, the attorney-	
general,	Mr. Fox, Mr. A. Wright, Mr. Grey, the chancellor	
	xchequer	450
Papers relatu	ng to the sale of corn and flour by Mr. Claude Scott-	
Mr. serj	eant Best, Mr. C. Scott, Mr. Rose, the chancellor of	
the excl	hequer, Mr. Fox, Mr. Grey, Mr. serjeant Best, Mr.	
Rose		444
	Mr. Foster, sir John Newport	446
29. Papers relati	ing to the 11th navel report-Sir A. Hamond, Mr.	•
Gran en	r A. Hamond, Mr. Tierney, sir A. Llamond, Mr. Grey,	ı
thu chai	ncellor of the exchequer, Mr. Grey, sir A. Hamond,	
• Mr. Cha	y, Mr. Tierney, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr.	
1917, OTO	W. Williamson in Mr. C. Danconber the effections	
rox, M	r. W. Dickenson, jun. Mr. G. Ponsonby, the attorney-	
general,	Mr. Grey, air A. Hamond, Mr. Kinnaird, Mr. Tier-	
ney, Mir	r. Canning, Mr. Fox, the chancellor of the exclusiver,	
Mr. Gro	ey, Mr. Wallace, Mr. Grey, sir A Atamond, Mr. Wal-	
lace, M	r. Grey, Mr. Wallace, Mr. Grey, Mr. Sullivan, Mr.	
V ansitta	ert, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, the	
Chancell	for of the exchequer, Mr. Grey, the chancellor of the	
evehenn	ier, sir C. Pole, Mr. Hobbouse, admiral Markhan, lord	1
Dunker	on C. Pole, the attorney-general, Mr. R. Ward, general	
		457
Gascoyn	hill The chancellar of the eache	457
reavair comm	nissioners' renewar bill. The chanceller of the exche-	•
quer, M	fr. Whitbread, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr.	
	te attorney-general, Mr. Sheridan, Mr. Rose, Mr.	
. Bankes		484
Military com	missioners pill. The chancellor of the exchequer, Mr.	

305.	J	age.
	Fox, lord Castereagh, Mr. Fox, Mr. Grey	400
	Proceedings respecting lord Melville and Mr. Tratter—Mr. S. Stan-	492
	hope, sir R. Buxton, Mr. Bankes, Mr. Windham, the masters	
	of the rell, Dr. Lawrence, sir J. Newport, Mr. Pytches, Mr.	
	Fox, Mr. S. Stanhope, Mr. T. Grenville, Mr. Sheridan, Mr.	•
	Wilberforce, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Whitbread,	
,	the atterney general, My Tierney, Mr. serjeant Best, Mr.	
1	Whiterfad	497
30.		//
201	ton, the speaker, Mr. Creevey, Mr. P. Moore, Mr. II.	
	Thornton	508
	Pancras poor bill-Sir T. Metcalfe, Mr. Calcraft, Mr. P. Moore	510
	Supendiary curates' billa-The attorney-general, sir 3. Newport, the	010
	chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. P. Moore, Mr. Creevey, the	
	attorney-general, Dr. Duigenan	510
	Ballot for a select committee on the 10th naval report-Mr. Whit-	
	bread, the master of the rolls, Mr. Whitbread, Mr. Wortley	
	Stuart, Mr. Fox, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Sheri-	
	dan, Mr. II. Lascelles, Mr. Whitbread, Mr. H. Lascelles, Mr.	
	Sheridan, Mr. Fuller, Mr. Canning, Mr. Jekyll, Mr. Windham,	
	lord Castlereagh, Mr. Grey, the attorney-general, Mr. Wind-	
	ham, Mr. R. Martin, the speaker, Mr. Windham -	511
May 2.	Lord Melville's grant-Lord Henry Petty, Mr. Bond	511
•	Proceedings against the printer of "the Oracle," for a libel on the	
	house—Sir H. Mildmay, Mr. Windham, sir H. Mildmay, Mr.	
	Fox, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Windham, Mr. Grey,	
	Mr. Canning, Mr. Sheridan, Mr. Dent, Mr. Sheridan, the	
	attorney-general, Mr. Whitbread, sir II. Mildmay, Mr. Ryder,	
	sir J. Newport, Mr. Canning, lord De Blaquiere, lord Marsham,	
	Mr. Whitbread, Mr. Wilberforce, the solicitor-general, sir II.	
	Mildmay, Mr. Sheridan, Mr. A. Wright, Mr. S. Bourne, Mr.	
	Sheridan, Mr. S. Bourne, cir R. Milbaul e, the speaker, Mr.	
	Whitbread	542
	Vote of thanks to the commissioners of payal enquiry—Mr. Sheri-	
	dan, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, the chancellor	
	of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, sir A. Hamond, Mr. Rose, admiral	
	Markham, Mr. Jeffery, Mr. Wilberforce, lord Henniker, the attorncy-general, Mr. Fox, Mr. Langham, sir W. Elford, lord	
	Henniker, Mr. Coke, Mr. Bond, sir J. Wrottesley, Mr. Gregor,	
	Mr. Bastard, Mr. Fuller, Mr. Sheridan, Mr. Wilberforce, Mr.	
	Sheridan	562
	Roman catholic petition—Mr. Fox, Dr. Duigenan	59.5
	Extraordinaries of the army—The chancellor of the exchequer,	037.7
	Mr. Johnstone, the chancellor of the exchequer	596
	Irish silver tokens bill-Mr. Magens, Mr. Princip, Mr. Rose, Mr.	050
	. Magens, Sir J. Newport, Mr. Lee, Mr. Foster, Mr. Johnstone,	
	Mr. Foster	597
6.	Dismissal of lord Melville—Mr. Whitbread, the chancellor of the	~J!
*	exchequer, Mr. Whitbread, the chancellor of the exchequer,	
	Mr. Fox, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, the chan-	
	cellor of the embequer, Mr. W. Dundas, Mr. Fox, Mr Whit-	
	bread, the chancellor of the exchequer, M. Fox	60 0
	Stipendiary curates' bill Western, Mr. Creevey, the attorney-	
	general, sir W. Scott, ar J. Wrottesley, lord Porchester, Mr.	
	Fellowes	611
7.	. Irish election bill—Colonel Bagwell, Mr. Lee, Mr. G. Ponsonby,	
*	Mr. R. Martin, colonel Bagwell, sir J. Newport, earl Temple	618
į	Petition from the navy board, respecting Mr. Tucker's petition-	

1805.		•	age.
		Sir A. Hamond, Mr. Kinnaird, sir A. Hamond, Mr. Kinnaird,	
-		Mr. Tierney, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr Tierney,	A SEC
		Mr. Kunaird	623
		Naval administration of earl St. Vincent-Wife Mery, the chan- cellor of the exchequer, admiral Markham, Mr. Grey, Mr.	
	-	Jeffery	629
	8.	Irish stamp duties—Mr. Foster, sir J. Newpork, Mr. Foster	636
		Conduct of sir Home Pophani Mr. Kinnaird, Sr H. Ropham, Mr. Kinnaird, sir H. Popham, colonel Hutchinson, the chancellor	
		of the exchequer, Mr. Fox, Mr. Jeffery, Mr. D. Scott, admiral	<u>.</u>
		Markham, sir Il. Popham, Mr. W. Dickenson, jun, admiral	٠,
		Mirkham, Mr. Sherklan, Mr. Kimaaird, Mr. W. Dickenson,	
	10.	just the speaker, the chancelies of the exchequer, earl Temple Navalladministration of earl St. Vingent—Mr. Jeffery, the chan-	637
	10.	cellor of the exchequer, sir J. Sinclair, Mr. Jeffery, Mr. Tier-	
, -		ney, the cha collor of the exchequer, Mr. Jeffery, Mr. Grey,	`,
		Mr. Tierney, Mr. Wilberforce, Mr. Pytches, Mr. Wilberforce, Mr. Cuiwen, Mr. Bastard, Mr. R. Ward, admiral Markham,	***
		the chanceller of the exchequer, the speaker, admiral Markham,	==-
		Mr. Jeffery, Mr. Dent, admiral Markham, Mr. Kinnaird	731
		Corn regulation bill—Lord A. Hamilton, colonel Stanley, the sc-	•
		cretary at war, Mr. Coke, Mr. Western, sir R. Peele, the chan- cellor of the exchequer, Mr. Foster, Mr. Macdowall, Mr.	
		Francis, Mr. H. Lascelles, Mr. W. Smith, Mr. Barham, sir C.	
		Price, sir J. Newport	739
	13.		
,		the attorney-general, Mr. Alexander, Mr. W. Smith, the chancellor of the exchequer	835
	14.		2
		sir W. Scott, Mr. Grattan, sir W. Scott, Mr. Grattan, Dr.	
		Laurence, Mr. Foster, Mr. G. Ponsonby, the chancellor of the exchequer, Mr. Windham, sir J. Newport, Mr. M. Fitzerald,	
		Mr. Archdall, Mr. Dillon, Mr. Shaw, Mr. H. Addington, Mr.	
		J. Latouche, sur J. C. Hippisley, lord De Blaquiere, colonel	
		Hulchinson, the attorney-general, Mr. Hawthorn, sir.W. Dol-	٥
		ben, sir G. Hill, Mr. Fox - '	951
	**	lord Grenville's motion for a committee on the Roman catholic	•
		petruon; published by authority	1091
		*Speech of the earl of Suffolk in the house of lords, May the 16th,	٠,٠٩
		on lord Grenville's motion for a committee on the Romanica-	100

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

XII

PARLIAMENTARY PAPERS, REPORTS, PETITIONS, LISTS, &c.

VPARLIAMENTARY PAPERS

	Page.			
Copy of a letter from Lord Melville; to the Commissioners of Naval Enquiry, dated March 28, 1805	186			
Copy of the answer of the Commissioners of Naval Enquiry to the above letter, dated April 2, 1805 Copy of a letter from sir A. S. Hamoud, Comptroller of the Navy, to the Lords (Commissioners of the Admiralty, dated 22d April 1805, on the subject of	189			
the Evidence printed in the 11th Report of the Commissioners of Naval "Enquiry, together with copies of sundry papers therein referred to Deciaration of sir Francis Burdett, not to detend his Election for Middlesex Papers relative to the Debt due to the Crown, from John Fordyce, esq. App. Resolutions of Censure against lord Melville, moved by Mr. Wintbread, on the	466 211 X XXV			
. 8th of April	275			
TO LINE OF MICE.				
REPORTS.				
ELEVENTH REPORT of the Commissioners of Naval Enquiry; ordered to be printed April 26, 1805.—Issue of Navy Bills for the purpose of Raising Money. App.	i			
numer: 2 2 2 2 1/pp.	•			
PETITIONS.				
Petition of G. B. Mainwaring, esq. and certain electors of Middlesex, against the				
retuin of sir Francis Burdett	1			
Petition of the Roman catholies of Ireland	$\begin{array}{c} 97 \\ 213 \end{array}$			
Petition from London, respecting the petition of the Roman catholics of Ireland	215			
Petition from Oxfordshire respecting the petition of the Roman catholics of Ire-				
aland	217			
Petition from Dublin, respecting the petition of the Roman catholics of Ireland Petition from the city of Oxford, respecting the petition of the Roman catholics	218			
Petition of John duke of Atholi	833 220			
Petitions relative to the Tenth Report of the Commissioners of Naval Enquiry; from the electors of Southwark, 376; from London, 377; from Salisbury, 378; from Middlesex, 593; from Westminster, 594; from Berlford, 619; from Norfolk, 620; from Southampton, 622; from Northumberland, 622; from Berkshire, 650; from St. Albans, 729; from Cornwall, 845; from Coventry, 846; from Essex, 846				
Petition from the Navy Board, respecting Mr. Jucker's petition	623			
Lists.				
List of the majority in the commons, on Mr. Whitbread's motion of censure against lord Melville, April 8, 1805.	322 324			

COBBETŢ Parliamentary

During the Third Session of the Second Parliament of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, and of the Kingdom of Great Britair the Nineteenth, appointed to meet at Westminster, the Fourth Day of September, 1804, and from thence continued, by feveral Prorogations, to the Fifteenth Day of January, in the Forty-fifth Year of the Reign of King GEORGE the Third, Annoque Domini, 1805.

HQUSE OF LORDS.

Wednesday, March 13, 1805.

[MINUTES.] - Counsel were heard in continuation, and at great lengtly, relative to the Scots' Appeal, the carl of Kinnoul and others v. the hon. Mr. Maule and others, viz. Mr. Alexander, as second counsel for the appellants, and Mr. Romilly as leading counsel on the part of the respondents.— Mr. Alexander brought up from the Commons the Mutiny bill, the Additional Salt Duties bill, and the Additional Property Tax bill.—The bills upon the table were forwarded in their respective stages. Among these, the Pleasure Horse Duty bill went through a Committee, and was reported; and the bills brought up from the Commons were severally read a first time.—Adjourned.

HOUSE OF COMMONS.

Wednesday, March 13.

[MIDDLESEX ELECTION.] — Mr. II. Thornton presented a petition from G. B. Mainwaring, esq. sir W. Gibbons, sir W. Curtis, H. Thornton, esq. W. Mellish, esq. and S. P. Cockerell, esq.; setting forth, "That the petitioners now are," and at the Vol. IV.

sent parliams; and that the said election commenced on the 23d day of July 1804 at Brentford, in the said county, and that the poll taken at the said election continued open for 15 days, at which said election J. Shaw, esq. and sir W. Leighton, knt. were sheriffs and returning officer for the said county; and the petitioners further state, that the said sir F. Burdett, his agents, friends, managers, partizans, and others on his behalf, with divers wicked and evil disposed persons, regardless of the laws against bribery and corruption in the election of members to serve in parliament, and of the pains and penalties of perjury, and subornation of perjury, and in violation and defiance of the laws and statutes provided for the security of the franchises of the real electors in this kingdom, and intending and devising to defeat the rights of the real freeholder of the said county of Middlesex, and to defraud them of their privilege of electing a knight to serve in this present parliament for the said county, did combine and confederate together, by means of fictitious and pretended voters, to obtain an pparent and colourable majority on the poll in favour of the said in F. B. and by these and other corrupt and unlawful means, to procure the said sir F. B. to be returned time of the last election of a member to to serve in this present parliament for the serve in this present parliament for the said county of Middlesex, in opposition to county of Middlesex were, heeholders of the votes of the majority of the real free-the said county, and claim to have had holders of the said county; and, in fur-right to vote at the said election; mat at the said election sir F. Burdett and the peti-tioner G. Ministration are all the petitioner G. 3. Mainwaring, csq. were candidisposed persons to represent the said county, as a be freeholders of the said county, and be freeholders of the said county, and the said county county county county. knight of the shire for the same, in this pre- assume the names and descriptions of hal

every day during the said election, and more particularly on the latter days thereof, send, and procure to be sent, divers of the said pretended freeholders to Brentford, in order to vote for he said Sir F. B.; and that, notwithstap-ling many of the sale perfore they had completed their votes, were detected and exposed by the agents and inspectors off the petitioner G. B. Mainwaring, yet that the said sir F. B. his agents, friends, partizans, managers, and others on his behalf, by various means, arts, and devices did cause and procure great numbers of fuch false, pretended, and fictitious freeno ders to take, being duly required to take, the freeholder's oath, and the oath against pribery, and did cause and procure the same persons to be received on the woll, and their votes to be entered for the said sir F. B.; and, in furtherance of the said unlawful purpose, did cause and procure divers persons to personate real freeholders of the said county, and in their names to give their votes for the said Sir F. B.; and did cause and procure divers other persons to vote for and in respect of freeholds, to which they did also cause and procure divers persons F. B.; and by gifts and rewards, and by gifts and lewards, did procure divers pervote as also those who claimed or pretended to have a right to-vote at the said election,

freeholders of the said county; and did, on admitted to vote at the said election for the said sir R B. who had no right whatever to vote at the said election, and amongst them many persons who had not any colour or pretence of right to vote at the said election; and did also cause and procure divers persons to personate real freeholders of the sons, so sent and procured to be sent as said county, and in their names to give aforesaid, after they had entered their their votes for the said sir F. B.; and did names and descriptions on the poll, but be-f'cause and procure divers persons to vote more than once for the said sir F. B. at the said election, and to yote for freeholds to which they had no fight, or pretence of right; and did also cause and procure many persons to be admitted to vote for the said sir F. B. who by gifts and rewards, and by promises, agreements, and securities for gifts . and rewards, were bribed and corrupted to give their votes for the said sir F. B.; and that the said sheriff did permit to vote, and did ruceive on the poll, the votes of many persons in favour of the said sir F. B. who had no right to vote at the said election, and at the said election did reject the votes of many persons having a right to vote, and who tendered their votes at the said election for the petitioner G. B. Mainwaring, and who ought to have been received and admitted to vote at the said election; and that by the aforcsaid and other unlawful means the said shehad not any right or pretence of right; and | riff did put and place upon the poll 2833 votes for the said sir F. B. including therein to vote more than once for the said sir the votes of the before-mentioned 10 persons who had no right whatever to vote at promises, agreements, and securities for the said election, and whose titles to vote were examined into, and to whom the free. sons, as well those who were qualified to holder's oath and the bribery oath were administered, and whose votes were placed upon and added to the poll after 3 o'clock and also others who had no pretence or colon the 15th day of the said election; and lour to such right, to vote at the said election that the said sheriff did admit on the poll tion for the said Sir F. B.; and, in fur- for the petitioner 2832 votes, thereby givtherance of the said unlawful purpose, did ing to the said sir F. B. an apparent and coprevail on the said cheriff to receive and lourable majority of one vote on the poll put upon the poll, after 3 o'clock, on the over the petitioner; by means whereof the 15th day of the said election, the votes of said sir F. B. is now wrongfully returned to 10 persons for the said sir F. B. who had no serve in this present parliament as a knight right or title to vote at the said election; of the shire for the said county of Middleand that by these and other unlawful and sez, whereas the petitioner had and hath on corrupt practices, the said sir F. B. his agents, friends, partizans, managers, and others on his behalf, did procure a colourable and apparent majority of I vote for the said sir F. B. on the poll over the petitioner G. sent the said county of Middlesex in this B. Mainwaring and the petitioners for the said county of Middlesex in this B. Mainwaring; and the petitioners further present parliament, and ought to have been state, that the said sir F. B. his agents, returned, and ought now to be declared friends, partizans, managers, and others on duly elected instead of the said sir F. B. and his behalf, did artfully and unlawfully cause the return of six F. B. ordered to be accordant produce great numbers of persons to be ingly amended and altered; and the peti-

51 tioners further state, that the said sir F. B. of one vote over the petitioner, in manifest by himself, his agents, friends, managers, partizans, and others on his behalf, previous to and at the said election, was guilty of gross and notorious bribery and corruption: and that at and during the said election, and previous thereto, the said sir F B. by himself, his agents, friends, managers, partizans, and others on his behalf, by gifts and rewards, and promises, agreements, and securities for gifts and rewards, did corrupt and procure divers persons, as well those who were qualified to vote as those who claimed or pretended to have a right to vote at the said election, in their own names, or in the names of others, or for the freeholds of others, to give their votes for him the said sir F. B.; and did also by gifts and rewards, and promises, agreements, and securities for gifts and rewards, corrupt and procure divers other persons, being qualified to vote at the said election, to refuse and forbear to give their votes at the same for the petitioner, contrary to the law and custom of parliament, and the laws and statutes of the realm enacted for the prevention of bribery and corruption; and the petitioners further state, that the said sir F. B. by himself, his agents, friends, managers, partizans, and others on his behalf and at his charge, after the teste of the writ for the said election, and at and during the said election, and before the election of the said sir F. B. to serve in parliament for the said county of Middlesex, did give, present, and allow to divers persons who had votes, or tions, and engagements to give and allow money, meat, drink, provision, presents, rewards, and entertainments, to and for such

violation of the rights and privileges of the petitioners, and all other the real free? holders of the county of Middlesex, whereas the petitioners and distrained humbly insist, then the said G. B. M. had a large majority of legal votes at the said election, and ought to have been returned accordingly; and therefore praying, that the house will take the premises into their consideration, and will grant to the petitioners such relief, and will take such other measures for vindicating the freedom of election, and the franchises of real freeholders, as to the house shall seem meet."—Oldered to be taken into consideration on the 9th of Aprils -

[IRISH BUDGET.]—Mr. Foster move the order of the day, for the house resolving itself into a committee of Ways and Mearls. He also moved; that several acts relating to the revenue in Ireland, should be referred to the said committee; and that it should be an instruction to the committee to consider of the said acts. The house having resolved itself into the said committee, the right hon. gent. rose and spoke as follows: -Sir, having detained the committee last year at considerable length on the subject of the situation of Ireland, I shall not now have occasion to go so much into detail. I shall begin with a few observations as to the state of the trade of Ireland, and I am happy in saying, that als though the trade has not so much advanced as I could have wished, yet it is in a far better situation than it was some time ago. A very short statement will elucidate this claimed or pretended to have right to vote position. The imports were to the amount at such election, money, meat, drink, en- of 5,700,000l. last year. The imports fortertainment, and provision, and make pre- the 5 years preceding, were, on an average, sents, gifts, rewards, and entertainments, 5,711,000l. so that in fact, the imports for and make promises, agreements, obligath the last year is rather less than the average of the former 5 years. The exports amount ed to 4,980,000l. last year, which is much beyond what they have reached at any pepersons having or claiming or pretending to riod during the last 10 years; therefore, the have right to vote in the said election, and question stands thus: there has been no to and for the use, advantage, benefit, emo- alarming decrease in the imports last year, lument, profit, and preferment of such per-fand the exports exceed the amount of such per-fand the exports exceed the exceeded t son and persons, in order to his the said sir preceding years. With regard to the ex-F. B.'s being elected, and that the said sir ports, there is something extremely conso-F. B. s being elected, and that the said sir F. B. might be elected to serve in this present parliament for the said downty of Middlesex, in violation of the said said in creased: during the three quarters of the last year ending in Oct. the exports were finance of the laws and statutes of the realm the whole of the preceding year; therefore we may conclude, that the exports of the planting of markless to prove the preceding the provider of the preceding year; therefore we may conclude, that the exports of the planting of the preceding year; therefore we may conclude, that the exports of the planting of the preceding year; therefore we may conclude, that the exports of the planting of the preceding year; therefore we may conclude, that the exports of the planting of the preceding year. election of members to serve in parliament; whole of last year will have greatly exand that, by the aforesaid and other corrupt ceeded the exports of former years. In the and unlawful means, the said sir F. B. ob- imports of last year, as compared who the tained an apparent and colourable majority preceding year; there is an excess of seme

of the materials of manufacture; that excess is on the raw materials only; such as colton varn and cotton wool. With regard to the linen trade, the whole of the excess during the 3 quarters of the last year, com-pared with the 3 quarters of the preceding year, is 3 millionstof yards; the principal part of this increase arises from the exports to the colonies in the West radies. Here allow me to remarks that if in laying on taxes we do not hinder trade and manufactures, we do well; and if in taking off taxes we promote trade, we do better. Last year the export tax on the linen trade was taken off, and there was an excess of 3 millions of yards: so far with respect to trade. We stanti not in the situation we did some years ago, we are not in a declining way, taken upon a comparison with former years. The balance of the imports over the exports for the last five years, amounts to 1,195,000l. a year, official value. Take the excess of last year, and it is only 655,000l. so that the excess of the import over the export trade is not one half of what a has been for the last five years.—With respect to the debt of Ireland, let us see how it has increased. I shall not enter into the cause or the means of preventing so large an in-We can at least shew that we have stopped the progress of that increase. In Jan. 1801, the debt of Ireland was 53 millions. . The year before it was only 43 millions, so that there was an increase that year of 10 minons. The increase now will not be half that amount; so that we may say we are in a better situation than we were at the close of the last year.—Having stated the situation of the trade and the debt of Ireland, I will proceed to lay before the committee the demands, and the Ways and Means for meeting them. The whole charge of the year 1805, for the debt of Ireland, including the sinking fund, is 2,611,6231. The proportion of 2-17ths of the sum raised by Ireland for the joint charge for the service of the year, is 5,403,102l. British, or 5,853,360l. Irish, making together the sum duce less than 4½ millions; stating the in-of 8,464,983l. which is the sum Ireland is together of the debt at 2½ millions, there will to provide to pay the interest of her debt, taking them estathat sum presently. A loan

on the 5th Jan. of the loan of last year, to the amount of 738,7891. British, or 800,3541. Irish, which has not been transmitted to the Irish treasury, and I shall therefore bring it forward. This comes to 8,563,6871. to meet a charge of 8,464,983l. The next thing will be to raise the Ways and Means for the interest of 2,500,000l. English, and 77,000,000l. Irish.

On $2\frac{1}{2}$ millions, at 61. 17s. 7d. per cent, the British Irish charge is 172,062 186,400

On 1,000,000l. suppose at same rate,

68,825

Making in the whole alcharge, in-

cluding the sinking fund, of £.255,255 I have stated that 1 take the revenues of . Ireland at 4 millions. I shall now explain the reason why. They produced last year 2,800,000l. The principle I go on is to put the revenues at a full peace establishment, and to raise the additional war expences. I suppose that the revenues will produce 1,200,000l. more than last year. It is pleasant to know, that the taxes which the house thought proper to impose last year, with the exception of the taxes on excise, have operated, in the gross, perfectly to my satisfaction. The whole revenues of the country, for the two quarters ending Midsummer 1804, amount to 1,334,000l.; for the two quarters ending 5th Jan. 1805, 1,886,000l. The excess of the two quarters ending 5th January is 552,000l, more than the excess of the preceding quarters. Without going into miuutia, I state the revenue to have gained by an acquisition of 552,000l. I know that the duties on the distilleries are not collected as they ought to be. I am well warranted in saving, that if a proper mode of collecting them had been resorted to, they would have amounted to considerably more than they have done. I am persuaded, that, with the addition of the duties on the distilleries, collected as they ought to be, the revenues of Ircland, in time of peace, will not probe 2 millions over. Now 2 millions canand her quota of contribution. Certainly it is a very large sum, and one cannot apply one's attention too much to the means of lessening it. In order to meet this deof lessening it. In order to meet this de-mand, I shall take the revenues of Ireland that testimony, that there is not a city or at 4 millions. I shall explain my reason for county in reland where the duties on distilleries are perfectly collected; they are not has been settled for 2,500,000l. which is collected in the counties of Galway, Ty2,08583l. Irish. A further loon is inrone, or the province of Connaught. As fended of 1 million. There was a residue, to the city of Dubliu, I do not wish to detail what I know concerning the collection | that is not built of Irish timber. Perhaps in of the revenue in it; but I will say thus much, that there is scarcely a distiller in Dublin who has not openly and honestly avowed to me that he has defrauded the revenue. It is owing to the wretched system with regard to the lower officers of excise; their means are so small, and their habits of not amount to a quarter of what is received expence are so great, that without raising in G. Britain. These duties altogether I their salaries considerably, as the reward of diligence and merit, we shall neven be able to prevent the distillar from acts of fraud and peculation. There are 17 or 18 distillers that not long ago, on being examined, refused to be examined on oath, and actually sent in a memorial, stating that it would be an act of perfidy in them to disclose facts that would be injurious to others, and that they could not, as honest men, make any discovery. This they fairly acknowledged to me, and I recollect, that in the books of one distiller in particular, there was a charge of 1200l. paid to revenue officers. Without the utmost exertions of the commissioners of excise, and at the same time bettering the condition of the revenue offigers, you can never make any alteration. I hope the period will not be long when you will ameliorate the excise laws, and make them more, used as substitutes for chaises. I mean fair and equitable with regard to those who pay the duties. It is a fact, that the subcommissioners of excise are themselves the seizing officers; therefore, until that is remedied, it is impossible that the excise trial can be palatable. The nearer we can get to the civil mode of trial in proceedings relative to revenue the better. It is particularly important that the collection of the excise duties should be under one board. I attention of the house to this subject. The object is to find the Ways and Means for this sum of 255,225l. a year. First, I shall propose a tax on several articles, which, though of importance, yet, with the exception of one, are trifling as objects on which propose to increase the duties on the imporforeign timbes. It will certainly not affect to grand juries to direct the making proper the poorer slasses. There is hardly a cabin roads through which the mail codely are to of a poor man by Ireland that is made of travel, and with that view to take ever that foreign timber. I about know of any cabin surveyors are appointed to make the roads

Waterford it may be otherwise, owing to its contiguity to the sea. The duties I prop pose are these; double the duties on alltimber, except Dall: and half the duties on Deal. The reason why gentlemen should not be alarmed at this duty is; that it will estimate at 36,000l. The three or four next taxes will not far on the poor. One is a tax on Horses: dot on agricultural Horses, but riding Horses, and Horses that draw carriages. The duty I propose will be much smaller than what is said in England. It will be 3s. for a single horse. The post is a tax on Dogs. It will be of consequence to the poor people of Ireland, that inslead of maintaining s or 6 dogs, only I should be allowed. The Horses I estimate at 400,000l. and Dogs at 8000l. The next tax I proposes on Curricles. Why should not curricles pay the same as four-wheeled carriages, as they answer the same purpose. 1 propose that a curricle with 2 wheels shall be considered the same as a carriage with 4 wheels. There are another kind of carriages which do not pay duty. They are Gigs. Gigs are untaxed. I propose to put a small duty upon them. There is another species of carriages, called Jaunting Cars, or the Irish Visa-Vis. They form a great part of the luxury of those with have few other luxuries; the tax, therefore I shall propose upon them will be very light: I shall propose 5s. a piece. The whole of these duties, I expect, will produce 10,000l. a year. With respect to the next fax I prohope, on some future occasion, to call the pose, I am afraid some gentlemen will be angry with me; I copy the example of England. It is well known that Bachelor's pay very little towards the reverbe: I propose an addition of 1.5% on every bachelor's male servant. This will produce about 4000l. The next tax I have to submit to taxation will be felt. It is scarce worth the committee is on Paper, Hats, and Aucwhile going through the whole detail. Pitions. This I expect will produce 12,000h. I propose to raise 20,000l. by a fax on the The hon. baronet (Ar J. Newport) who represents the city of Waterford, is seems alarmed at the idea of a tax on timber; but if he thinks that the revenue must necessarily be raised on something, there is the think on which a tax can fall so lightly as on foreign timber. It will contain to the time of the making propose to raise 20,0000. By a tax on the propose 20,0000. By a t

as complete as possible, and present those | The neglect their duty. By this mode we shall take no money out of the public treasury, and no more than is absolutely neces-ary from individuals. There is another tax which I do not wish to resort to directly, 1791 the hearth money duties were taken off the lower orders, and raised on the higher. Houses that had one hearth amounted to nearly 500,000. There was comparatively very few houses that had two The whole loss to the public, by taking off the tax, was 28,000l. What I wicking do is not to revive the tax, but to lay stax on, houses under Seven Windows. Where the persons inhabiting them pay 50s. guard the lax I propose is 3s. But to guard the poor man from being called on, he must swear he is not worth 101, or does not rent land to the amount of 51, a year.— In order to be hable to the tax, he must pay 50s. a year for his house, or be worth 10l. or rent 5l. a year in land. I cannot think this will distress any one. Gentlemen will see that we are assimilating ourselves to England. Instead of taxing houses, according to the hearths, we exempt them till they are rated as seven Windows. This tax will bring back 21,000l. a year; but then we must deduct 6000l. for houses of five and six windows, to be exempted as in Britain; so that the Jam I take credit for is 15,000l. These are, I think, the whole I have to propose, except one, which can only fall on those who are able to bear taxes. It is a tax of 251, per cent, on all windows above This I estimate at 31,000l. There is one more tax which I estimate at 17,000l. It is by an intrease on Stamps and Licences. With regard to the Stamps, I mean to pro-pose the rates of dates another day. The Licences will be those granted to Auctioneers, Brewers, and others. The tax will be not at all injurious to trade. One article only think it necessary to trouble the committee. There is one other subject which I wish to mention, though I do not mean to propose it as a tax of present. It certainly must be packets between Dublin and Holyhead could be imposed. He said that the proposed he so arranged that they could sail at low taxes were unnecessary, because there rewater would be a great advantage: I mained due to the treasury of Ireland a great them a small duly might be leid upon Cabin deal more than wassuffig of for covering the

Passengers, which would produce about 30001. alyear; and upon that a sufficient sum might be borrowed to make the nacessary alterations in the harbour. This, however, will be a subject for futlire consideration. The produce of the taxes which. But it is necessary I should mention it. I have enumerated I estimate at 262,2501. and treed not remind gentlement that in the year the sum wanted for the interest of the Loan and Sinking Fund was 255,000l. which leaves a surplus of about 7000l. I will not occupy more of the time of the committee at present, but shall be happy to give any explanation which gen lemen may require. The right hon gent. hen moved his first

resolution. Mr. James Fitz girald rose, and regretted that the public accounts for Ireland, which had been moved for, were not laid before the house on an darlier day than the 5th inst.; if they had, gentlemen would be much botter able to go into the present most important, and at the same time intrincte subject. Before he should call the attention of the kouse to the particulars of the statement made by his right hon, friend, he must protest against, and even consure the habit of anticipating the revenue in Ireland, long before it was received in the treasurv. Much inconvenience arose from this practice, and he believed a great deal of injury likewise resulted from it to the country.-He could not refrain from lamenting that balances to an enormous amount should be constantly left in the hands of the collectors. It was in vain, therefore, that we looked for a productive revenue, whilst this anticipation and its consequent evils afflicted the country, and interfered with the application of the taxes in the most suitable ways. He did not think that it was necessary to raise any new taxes under the present circumstances of Ireland, or that any ground of necessity had been made out for them.— From the review he had taken of the financial state of that country, however unfavourable it appeared, he thought he could remains, and that is the Treasury Bills, satisfy the house that his proposition was which I make no provision for at present; well founded. His right from friend calcubut I shall reserve for a fixure day, when I | lated the Revenue at 4,000,000l. the Loan at 3,300,0001. and gave credit for 800,3541. Now he whole of the sum to be raised a-mounting to in merethan 8,464,9831. it struck him that any additional taxes were a desirable object to both countries to faci- quite unifocessary; and he put it to the litate the intercourse between them. If the Landour of the house whether they should

deficiency, and the sums to which he alluded | literally a bankrupt at the time of the Union were the balances in the hands of the collectors, the revenues still due, and the arrears of the quit-rents, which amounted to 1,129,000l. The house would be as onished to hear, that the balances which remained last year in the hands of the collectors were no less than 500,000l. He did not reckon much, however, on the greater part of this, as he supposed a great deal of it could never be recovered, and the rest at a considerable expence. The next source which he should propose for the supply would be, the sur-plus of the consolidated fund taken at 264,619l. and the priffit of the Irish Lottery he should also reckon at 44,000l. The extraordinaries, or the expences thus termed, lution. if well regulated, would, he was convinced, add considerably to the means of the country; he meant, by not being at all times a considerable and weighty drawback on its resources. There was one branch under the head of extraordinaries, which, he trusted, would be restricted: he meant the gain to this country, and the consequent loss to Ireland, on transmitting money to the Irish Treasury. Here the hon, gent, noticed the nature of the late loan, and the disproportioned exchange at which it was sent to Ireland. The hon, gent, also took a close view of the relative situation of both countries, and the balance of their respective debts, with a contrast of what should be the proportion of each, according to the Articles of the Union: 30,000,000 due by Ireland on the 1st of March, 1802, were in proportion to 469,800,000. due by England, as one to 15. When the debt of England was 469,800,000l. the debt of Ireland should be 62,640,000l. in order to make it equal to it in the proportion of $7\frac{1}{2}$ to one.—58,92 $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$,356l. debt of Ireland were in proportion to 484,962,632l. debt due by England, nearly as 1 to 8, and some fractions. To make the debt of Ireland equal to that of England, in the proportion of 71 to 1, it should be great length, and concluded with expressing a most ardent wish that the affairs of Ireland

and had been cetting worse ever since; it was obvious, therefore, that Ireland could not discharge her share of the unequal contract entered into for her, and of course that England should ultimately pay all. He contended, that by borrowing so much money this year, Ireland increased the proportion of its debt compared with that of England, and of course must extend the time for equalising the burthens which was proposed by the Act of Union He again insisted that there would be no occasion for new taxes in that country, if the government should call in the arrears now in the hands of the colrated at 100,000l. The postage of letters lectors of the revenue, and said he was determined to give his negative to the reso-

Mr. Foster replied, that he had no objecttion to apply the balances in the collectors' hands to the purpose mentioned by his right hon, friend with had just sat down, but the difficulty was to get it paid. Situated as both countries were at this moment, would it be wise or politic to leave the supplies, or any part of them, dependent on; mere contingencies? It was impossible to make up the accounts so precisely as not to leave some of the money in the commissioners' hands. It was the practice from time immemorial to do so; and he was convinced from his own experience, that the object of his hon, triend was unattainable, and this could not therefore be taken into serious consideration as a certain fund for the exigency of the moment. His hon. friend would also apply the surplus of the Consolidated Fund in the same manner; but did he not know that the whole of that surplus was to be appropriated by Parliament to the paying off certain arrears, for which it was intended? If it were taken away, there would be no fund then for this His hon: frigad like-kise took: purpose. credit for 2 millions, as if the money had been in the treasury. This was certainly as great an anticipation of the revenue as any which his hon, friend had charged to the go-64,555,172l. The honggent. proceeded at vernment of Ireland. He hoped he would excuse him for saying, that the Public Accounts of Ireland, were laid this year before were before the house. The real condition of that country would invince gentlemen ever raid before the Parliament of Ireland, that its ability to pay its projection that it is projection to project the projection that its projection that its projection that its projection that its projection that it is projection to projection that its projection that it is projection to projection that its projection that it is pr could have been he knew not, unless it pro- promptitude. He paid the greatest attenceeded from vanity, or interested motives tion to the observations of the hon, gent in those who were concerned in the arrange- but he did not hear any ground advanced. ment which brought about. Ireland was which could induce him to withdraw or alter

Sir John Newport said he could not conceive why no account had been given of the millions due from G. Britain to Ireland, ever since the passing of the Act of Union. Had that resource been stated, and resorted to previously to the budget it must surely have superseded the necessity of resorting to new taxes, to the amount of 255,000l. It was surely full time that these accounts should be settled, as the committee formerly appointed had only met two or three times, and came to no determination. He expressed very strong objections to the proposed tax upon the importation of timber, as, whatever may be the case in the county of •Louth, or those parts of Ireland with which the right hon, gent. (Mr. Foster) was best he had formerly made. ; acquainted, it would operate very injuriously to the comforts of all the cottagers in those i parts of Ireland with which are was particularly connected, where native timber was so scarce that they were obliged to have recourse entirely to such as was imported.— Notwithstanding this necessity, he was sorry to observe that the tax upon timber was regularly augmented every year since the was last year 500,000l. as he had stated it. Union.

from what had fallen from the right hon. lances in the hands of the collectors. The 130,000l. right hon, gent, had stated that the cash baless than 550,000l.; and to shew that he bands was 500,000l. distinctly meant cash balances, he compared was only 37,000. Whereas, if he had and imports could give us no sanguine hopes meant the balance in charge against the Irish of the increase of its resources, and that if collectors, he would have compared it with taxes were thus multiplied, there could be balance in the hands of the collectors, in- its regular revenue. stead of 550,000l. was only 130,000l. With The Chancellor of the Exchequer gave norugard to the increase of the export of tice that in order to satisfy the House and linen, it was a circumstance that gave him the public upon the spiject he should to-great satisfaction; but be could not attribute that increase to the taking off the duty, because of 37 millions of yards exported take and seland.—The first resolution was from Ireland, 35 millions was imported into the put and agreed to. - England, which did not pay the duty; and

the taxes which he had the honour of pro- linen exported; he could therefore by no means donceive that the taking off the duty was the cause of the increase which the right hon, gent, had mentioned. With respect to the great increase of the debt of Ireland last year, he begged to observe, that out of the loan of last year he had paid off 1,700,000l. of exchequer bills, of which .700,000l, were outstanding when he came into office. This sum, when added to the balance remaining in the exchequer, made a sum of 2 millions, over and above the expenditure of the year. He said he by no means wished to make any observation that could be considered as inimical to the right hon, gent.; but he thought it right to say thus much, in order to set himself right with the house, and to justify the statement

> Mr. Foster observed, that as to any political differences that existed between him and the right hop, gent, they had never weighed in his mind and he hoped they did not in that of the right hon, gent. As to the linen, the papers when produced would speak for themselves. The balances, in fact, that remained due to the treasury

Mr. Corry said, that the right hon. gent. Mr. Corry said that he was happy to find, had then stated that 550,000l. in cash remained in the bands of the collectors. He gent. (Mr. Foster), that lift had altered his opi- admitted that some such sum was due to the mon upon a point on which they had dif-treasury; but asserted that it had not been fered last year, viv. the amount of the ba- collected, and the balance of cash was only

Mr. Foster replied, that he had never lances in the hands of the collectors was no meant to say that the cash actually in their

Loid A. Hamilton contended, that in them with the amount of the balance in the law, the debt of Ireland was now become hands of the collectors in England, which an English debt; that the state of its exports the arrears of duties in England which no ground for entertaining any sanguine amounts to between 5 and 6 millions. The hopes that Ireland, even in time of peace, right hon, gent, now admitted that the cash, would be able to satisfy all the claims upon

Mr. Foster, observing several members the quantity of Irish linen exported to for about to retire, said, he hoped the gentle-reign countries from Gr. Britain was not men interested in the Irish 6 per cent, duabove one fourth of the quantity of British ties upon the imports the retail traders, to move the resolution for continuing it.

Mr. D. B. Daly said, he had that day received instructions from his constituents, to oppose the measure, but he should wait for the bringing in of the bill. The several resolutions were then agreed to, and ordered to be reported to-morrow.—Adjourned.

> HOUSE OF LORDS. Tuesday, March 14.

[MUTINY BILL.]—Previous to the 2d

reading of the Mutiny bill,

The Marquis of Buckingham rose, and begged leave to call the attention of the House to the innovations which had been made on that bill since it was last before their lordships, particularly in those clauses by which the presidents of the regimental courts martial are required to be on oath themselves, and to administer oaths to the other members of the court, and to the witnesses to be examined. The noble marquis stated that it was not his intertion, on these grounds, to oppose the 2d reading of the bill, but merely to call the attention of their lordships, and of the noble earl who forwarded the public business through this House, to the alterations which he had noticed. He had at the same time to regret, in common with the noble and learned lord on the woolsack, the disagreeable situation in which that House often felt itself placed, of either impeding the business of the nation, by interfering in bills to which, by the usage of parliament, any alteration made by them must prove fatal, or of passing bills which were grossly defective and objectionable.

Lord Walsingham conceived that the observations of the noble marquis were irregular and premature. • He knew it was in the contemplation of the noble earl (Camdem) when he should come to move the 2d reading of the bill, to notice the alterations to which the noble marquis had alluded.

The Earl of Camden having moved the 2d reading of the bill, recapitulated the different alterations which it had been deemed adviseable to make on it.

The Duke of Clarence said, it could By no means be supposed that it was his wish, at such a period, to object to the present bill. It was his intention, however, when terations which had now for the first time been introduced. He should therefore move that the house be symmoned for the day on

would not withdraw, as he was then about 4—The bill was then ordered to be committed to-morrow, and the house to be summoned.

[18

[STATE OF THE NAVY.] -Earl Darnley rose for the purpose of submitting to their lordships, agreeably to the notice he had given, a number of motions for the production of papers, necessary for instituting a comparison between the late and present Boards of Authinalty. It was not his wish, in the present stage of the proceedings, to go into a wide field of discussion; sufficient . opportunities would offer for that in a more advanced stage of them. He would, at present? confine himself to reading his motions, to the greater part of which he understood no objections would be made, and to make such comments on them as he thought necessary for explaining their ten-dency to their lordships. There were two great points to which he wished to direct the attention of the house, and upon which he was chiefly anxious to obtain information. The first respected the deficiency of small craft; one, as their lordships might recollect, of the most serious accusations against the late naval administration; and the next applied to the practice of contracting for ships to be built in merchants' yards. With respect to the first point, he was informed, that a number of ships had been bought up for the king's service, which, in the opinion of many persons professionally qualified to decide, and also of those who were appointed to command them, were totally unfit for the particular line of service to which they were destined. All of those had been purchased at a most extravagant rate, and before the public had derived any benefit from them, it was found inccessary that they should findergo ample repairs, which were effected upon terms even more extravagant than the original purchase. His lordship said, he would read his motions, and configerit upon them as they occurred; the first was for "an Account of all the Ships which have been purchased for his mai.'s Navy, since 16th May, 1804, specifying from whom, their age and tonnage; the valuation put on their by the officers of the Dock-yards, the sums paid for them, the expence of fitting them as ships, of war in. the merchant and King's varie, and of any the bill should be proposed to be committed; alterations made in themesince they were to call the attention of the house to the all serst fitted." To this, he understood, no object to the all serst fitted." jection was likely to be offered, and therefore he would not trouble their lordsking. with any observations on it.-His hext mowhich the bill was hight to be committed. tion would be for "Copies of all letters and .

representations which have passed between the admiralty and Navy Loards, and be-tween those boards and the commissioners or other officers of the Deck wards, respecting these ships; also of all repulsentations from their commanders, respecting their unfithess to perform the services of ships of war." If this last motion should be refused, as being too general, he should have no objection to. omit any part of it, or to make any alteration in it that the noble viscount at the head of the admiralty should require or suggest. The letters which he was principally anxious to obtain, were those from the commanders of the Hindostan and the Hyana, two ships which had been taken into the service. One of those he understood to be an old West tracts have been made." If the workmen Indiaman, whose back had been broken, in the king's yards were properly classed, which was reported not fit for service, and whick motwithstanding that representation, had been purchased at an expense of something above 1,0001.—His notion would be for "An Account of the expense of arming of these ships, specifying the number, nature, and calibre of the guns, which they were reported to be capable of carrying when they were purchased, and of any alterations which have been since made." This he understood would be conceded to him! His next mation would be for "Copies of all representations which have been made by the commanders of these ships on the subject of their guns." As it was possible some objections might be urged against the granting of this, he world not, if it should be refused, persevere in pressing it.—His next motion would be for "An Account of the number of artificers and labourers, who have discharged themselves from his maj.'s Dock-yards at Deptford and Wool-wich, in each month, since Ist June, 1804, specifying their several classes, age, and time of service. Neither this, nor the following, word, he believed, he refused.— "The number of shipterights home in all the Yards, on the 1st of March, 1505."-When those two last motions should be complied with, he had strong reasons for sup-posing that it would appear to the satisfac-tion of every noble lord, who heard him, that fewer shipwrights were borne in the king's yards at the commencement of the

were a smaller number of men employed in the king's yards on the 1st March, 1805, than on the 1st March, 1804; the number at the latter period being 9336, whereas those employed at the commencement of the present month amounted only to 3216, being 123 less than the establishment at the same period last year. His next motion would be for " A List of his maj.'s ships which have been ordered to be repaired in the merchants' yard since 1st June, 1804." He would next move for "A List of ships of war ordered to be built or contracted for from the above period, up to the present time, specifying the dates of such orders or contracts, and the rate at which such conthere would be no occasion to build in the merchants' yards. In the former he understood that at present a 74-gun ship could be built for 211, per ton; whereas, if he was rightly informed, the contracts lately entered into with the merchant-builders amounted by the enormous sum of 36l. per ton. Let the house and the country contrast the difference between those expences, and then they would be enabled to form an idea of the provident management of the persons by whom the naval administration of the country was at present conducted.— He would next move for " An Account of the sums paid by the Navy Board, and to whom, for the Repair of the following Ships in the Merchants' Yards, in the years expressed against their names, viz. Boston, Maidstone, 1783; Southampton, Niger, Lizard, Pearl, 1784; Carysfort, 1785; Lowestoffe, 1786; Boston, 1791; Retribution, L'Amiable, Tartar, Success, Ariadne, 1792; Magicienne, Dedalus, Andromache, Flora, Fury, Bull-dog, 1793. The repairs of these 20 ships, he was informed, had tost 298,884l, when they might have been built in the royal yards for a sum not amounting to half that sum. His last motion would be for, "An Account of the sums for which ships of the same size and force might have been built at the same peried, according to the contract prices then that fewer shipwrights were borne in the king's yards at the commencement of the present month, than at the corresponding period last year. His lordship here stated the number of men who had been displaced to the merchant builders." It was not his intention to say any thing at that time upon the comparative merits of the late and present may a administrations. He meant the number of men who had been displaced to the methal and at the the number of men who had been discharged hothing personal to the noble lord at the from the different yards at various times by head of that department, his object was to the late Board of Admiralty, some of whom institute an enquity; the result of which he were superannuated, and others dismissed thought would be beneficial to the country, for misconduct. He contended that there into one of the most portant parts of its

practised in it. When he was first placed at the head of that board, he set about enquiring into those abuses with an honest andaident zeal, and having discovered that the most enormous abuses were committed in it, he set about, with a resolution as landable, the correction and the future prevention of them. For that purpose he proposed the appointment of a commission which had already rendered the most important services to the country, and for the dissolution of which he could discover no one sufficient. motive, unless the strange determination should have been adopted of perpetuating those abuses, which it had so industriously developed, and the possible recurrence of which it had spogested the means of preventing. The country, however, which was sensible of the services of the Commissioners of Naval Enquiry, would not suffer itself to be deprived of the advantages of those discoveries and improvements which they had made and suggested; they would not, in so important a branch of their defence and expenditure as the naval department, forego the benefits that might be expected, from a more correct and economical administration of the immense sums that were so freely contributed for maintaining it. He would trespass no longer upon their lordships' time, but move the first resolution.

Lord Melville said, he had no objection to the motion made by the noble lord. It would not be necessary for him to trouble their lordships at much length upon the present occasion; whatever he had to say would come more regularly when the papers, which it was the object of the noble lord's motion to obtain, should be made the foundation of some specific resolutions. He would not shrink from the discussion. There was one point, however, upon which he would even at the present stage of the proceedings, teclare himself. If there were any blame applicable to the repairing of ships in the merchant's yards, that blame, he begged it to be was, it could not be kept up without having the question, and it was to be the principal

observing, that he thought the noble earl near him (St. Vincent) who was lately at the head of that department, was particularly qualified, from his professional know- lord when the subject should be fairly ledge, to discover the abuses which were brought before the house. As to the calculations with which the house had been entertained, it would be premature in him to discuss them then; when they should be regularly beitre their lordships, he would have all opportunity of inquiring into them, and making deductions from them by no means favourable to the conclusions drawn by the noble lord. If he were to be denominated a culprit, because he had contracted for the building, of ships in the private yards, he would only say, that he had offended in common with almost every board of admiralty, except the last, that had ever existed in the country. The principle upon ... which they did so was one of the most powerful, it was one of strong necessity. It would not be possible to keep up the navy of this country in time of war, to maintain it with that formidable and commanding aspect which it ought to preserve, without having recourse to building in the merchants yards. It was possible, that some new system might have been established, some notable discovery, superceding the wisdom of all former admiralty boards, might have been made, but neither he, nor those with whomshe had acted, thought that the honour, the advantage, or the security of the country would be consulted by a rish departure from the system which had been so long and so beneficially acted upon: He would, at the same time, frankly acknowledge, that he did not think that the merchants' yards were to be built in out of choice; they were employed from necessity in time of war, because the royal yards were not sufficient to meet the exigencies of the service. Whenever the comparative expence of billding in the king's and the merchants' vards should fairly become the subject of consideration, he would not decline entering upon the comparison. As to what the noble lord had advanced respecting the increase of the price in building ships if war; and the inferences to the disadvantage of the present naval administration, which he supposed he meant to understood, he would disfinctly take upon draw from the comparative rates of prices he himself. He would acknowledge, that he had moved for, he would ask, was there one had advised and recommended that the of their lordships who could expect to build king's thips should be repaired in the mer- a house now for the same sum it would have chants' yards; and for this reason, because cost 50 or even 20 years are? The make he thought in the state in which our navy lord, he understood, meant to bring forward

ther it would be advisable to continue or abandon entirely the practice of building in private yards. That while be a fair mode of bringing it to issue, and Inc upon which he would have no reluctafice to meet the noble lord. For the services in his professional capacity of the notice person in his eye (earl St. Vincent) he had all the respect they deserved; but it was nesenaw in the history of this country that, under the administration of naval lords, the navy had more than once blien in danger of mouldering, and had well high gone to pieces. He had only to call the attention of their lordships to the naval administration of lord Sandwich, a person regularly bred to the veved by proper persons, and let not the profession, and yet, with the exception of dapricious dislike of an officer be urged one, the navy fell more into decay under the management of that board, of which he had been at the head, than when it was presided by persons, neither whose habits nor education were professioual. When, he would ask, had the navy been most flourishing? was it not at those periods when it had been superintended by a noble earl in his eye (Spencer), and another noble earl (Chatham) whom he did not see in his place? Under whose management of the naval department, were the victories of earl Howe, earl St. Vincent, lord Duncan, and lord Nelson, gained? He had no objection that as full an enquiry as possible should be instituted into the conduct of the late and present admirally boards, but he sid not intend that the question should be decided upon the documents moved for by the noble lord. He would also bring forward some documents, tending to illustrate the former invariable practice of the board of admiralty, upon most of the points touched on by the noble lord. It was not his intention to object to any of the majerful documents moved for by the noble love; there were, however, some of the papers which he did not think would be prudent to have produced. He would. therefore, wish that part of the second Resolution beginning at the words "also of all representations," to the conclusion, should be omitted, and that the whole of the 4th resolution should be expanged. He did not think, being copies of letters from commanders of ships, that they were extremely It was for leave to bring in a bill to amend important in the consideration of the great an act of the 35th, of his majesty for regulat-

object of his motion on another day, whe- jed in all other cases; it was seldom very warm at the beginning. At the commence-ment of a Spanish war, for instance, an enterprising officer would wish for a better sailer than the spip in which it had been thought proper by as superiors to place him. However, if the noble lord could produce letters complaining of the sailing of ships constructed in the merchants' yards, he could assure him that he could produce as many complaining of the sailing of ships constructed in the king's yards. As to the observations of officers respecting the fitness of ships for the service, they were, in his opinion, some of the worst criterions to judge by. If vessels were objected to, let them be suras an argument against either taking into or continuing a ship in the service. He did not see the necessity of making out the long list the noble lord moved for of ships, some of which were built when lord Keppel was at the head of the admiralty. He had no objection to concede to all the motions of the noble lord, except the latter part of the second, and the whole of the fourth—The motions were then, put, with the necessary exceptions, and granted.—Adjourned,

> HOUSE OF COMMONS. Thursday, March 14.

[MINUTES.] A message from the lords announced, that they had agreed to the Pleasure Horse duty, and some private bills, Mr. J. Fitzgerald moved, that there be laid before the house, an account of the charges outstanding on the surplus of the consolidated fund of Ireland, and of the sums paid thereon, up to the 5th Jan. 1805. He also moved for an account of the sums of money advanced by the commissioners of the navy in Ireland; the amount of the unfunded debt of the navy to a return of the balances of arrears, specifying the times of payment of the same; and a return of the payments stated to be due, but not payable, till after the 5th Jan. 1805; all of which were agreed to.

[IRISH COUNTY ELECTIONS.] Colonel Bagwell called the attention of the house to the subject of which he had given notice. question it was the object of the noble lord fing the election of persons to serve in particular to bring forward. He was aware that of liament, so far as relates to freeholds of 20l. a yearing reland. He declined extering into the detail. The object was to regulate the mode in which the freeholder was to ob-

tain his certificate by which he was entitled | rious and weighty importance, and relative to vote at an election for the county; a subject liable to great and enormous at uses, according to the present practice. Having stated the outline of his plan, he concluded with moving, " that leave be given to bring in a bill to amend the sail act, so far as relates to freeholds under the value of 201.-Agreed to.

[KNARESBOROUGH ELECTION.]—Lord W. Hussell moved the order of the day, for taking into consideration the special report of the committee of the late election for the borough of Knaresborough, which being read, he moved, that the house do concur in the first resolution. He said there was no occasion for his making any comments upon facts, which were sufficiently proved in the evidence before the conlimittee, and since submitted to the house. Upon matule consideration, it was his opinion, and that of the committee, that, as the delinquents had not been parties before them, and consequently had not been heard in their own defence, instead of bringing them to the bar of the house, and hearing the whole of the evidence de novo, it would be much more desirable to move, as he then did, "that the following delinquents, viz. J. M. Allen, R. Dewes, moned for Tuesday next. T. Abbott, W. Whitehead, Anne Howe- Lord Grenville expresse ton, W. Allison, and S. Henlock, be prosecuted by the Attorney General."

Mr. Rose expressed his perfect satisfaction in this procedure, and the more so, as, if the allegations against one of the delinquents, J. M. Allen, an attorney, were true, the noble lord who presided in the court of king's bench had the power to strike him off the rolls; for though country attorneys had the means of rendering themselves useful and respectable, they were often the pests of the neighbourhood in which they lived.

The Muster of the Rolls said, that though he was not forward in countenancing prosecutions on the part of the attorney general by order of that house, yet he must approve of the present, as the tumult did not appear to be accidental, but of a premeditated and studied nature. He, however, should the to know whether any other prosecution had been commenced against these parties?— The several motions were then agreed to ---Adjourned.

> HOUSE OF LORDS. Friday, March 15.

[CONDUCT by Judos Fox.]— Boyl Anchland called the attention of their lesiships to a topic which he conceived to be of very se-

to which something decisive ought to take place before the end of the session. The noble lord seemed jo refer to cases of a similar nature with that now pending with respect to the proceedings in the instance of Judge Fox. With a reference to the principle he had in contemplation, he acquainted their lordships, that he should, on Tuesday next, bring forward three propositions for the consideration of the house, in the shape of motions, nearly to the following effect: 1st. That a committee be appointed to search for precedents of cases of a member of that house bringing forward, in his place, accusations or charges against an individual, either upon his own authority, upon hearing, or upon the authority or information of others, &c. 2d. For an inquiry into precedents of the modes of proceeding adopted in that house in cases of charges made against individuals, otherwise than matters of recordsor by petitions presented to that house; and, 3d. For an examination into precedents respecting complaints exhibited against any of his majesty's judges previous to the act of W. III. &c.—His lardship then moved, that the lords be sum-

Lord Grenville expressed his opinion, that a question arising out of the intended metion first described by the noble lord, should be referred to the consideration of the twelve judges.

Lord Auckland, replied, that a question of the kind had been nearly decided in a case that occurred in the year 1663.—The question being put, the lords were ordered to be summoned for Tuesday next.

[MUTINY BALL.]—The order of the day being read, for the commitment of this bill the different clauses and provisions of the bill were agreed to by the committee, until that which contains the provisions for compelling the administration of oaths to witnesses, on regimental courts martial, was arrived at: when

The Marquis of Buckingham rose and expressed his disapprobation of that part of the clause, as well in point of policy, as in the liew of military regulation. He thought the did and uniform practice, with respect to regimental courts martial, should be continued. In the course of his long experience, he had never heard a single complaint made, or one objection urged against it The soldiers very seldom appealed from the decision of the regimental to the general courts martial; and, in the few instances

confirmed by the superior courts but the punishment greatly increased; and he never knew an instance of their decisions being reversed, or any kind of slur or stigma thrown income shackled, in consequence of the proposed alteration. upon them by the general courts. He entertained another objection to the clause; no provision was made for the attendance of. a proper person or officer upon these courts martial, where the evidence was now proposed to be taken upon oath, to take an account of the testimony so given He alluded to a person elippowered as the deputy judge advocates, to take an account of the proceedings, and to transmit them to the proper officer; such a regulation was the • more called for, as the witnesses were liable. to the pains and penalties of perjury, and without it accusations of that kind must be made from the loose and vague recollections of the persons present. Horobjected to the proposed arrangement, on grounds of wisdom and policy. The course hitherto pursued ought not to be departed from; but if the new regulation was deemed an improvement, such a precaution as he had suggested ought at least to be adopted. He assured their lordships he came forward on the present occasion, merely from a sense of duty, and from his zeal for the well-being of the army, and the general good of the service. Constituted as the clause then was, he must oppose it. .

Earl Camden, in general terms, descended the clause as it then stood in the bill. He conceived the provision by no means liable to the objections entertained by the noble marquis. He thought it would add a degree of solemnity to the proceedings at such courts martial, and obviously give a greater security for the correctness of the testimony

· given by witnesses.

The Duke of Cumberland expressed his coincidence in the opinions of the noble marquis, on the present occasion; and, after what had fallen from him, a few words from himself would suffice. First, he should observe, that, in the course of his own experience, and as the result of his inquiries from able and intelligent officers, he never leard a single objection to the long-established mode observed in regimental courts martial; would tend to a system of increased severathen why adopt a measure which must indice the belief, that the former practice was viding additional securities for the correctcomplained of? Secondly, he objected to ness of the proceedings, it must have an optime alteration, as more likely to tend to an posite effect. With regard to the appeal increased severity, instead of a more lonical made by another illustrious personage, to

where appeals were made, the sentences of of the members of such courts martial, who the regimental courts martial were not only were generally inclined, whenever it could

Lord Hawkeshirry agreed with what was stated by the noble marquis and the royal duke, with respect to the general conduct of regimental courts martial. He had every reason to believe, that, as much of what was correct, humane, fair, and honourable, prevailed in these tribunals, as in any other whatever. He was free to admit, no absolute necessity existed for the alteration; yet he felt it would involve such advantages, as should induce its ad ption. He referred principally to the check and controll it would establish as to improper evidence on the pfrt of persons not military; with many of whom, an unfounded degree of prejudice and clamour obtained, with espect to the character and profession of resoldier. It established some degree of security as to evidence of that kind, and would give an sir of proper solemnity to the whole of the proceedings in regimental courts mertial.

The Duke of Ctarence observed, he agreed with every thing that had tallen from a very near relation of his, as to the clause in question. He cordially agreed with the sentiments of the noble marquis, in the present instance, particularly in the propriety of appointing a superintending officer to attend at the regimental courts martial, for the purposes mentioned, and he seemed to think the paymaster would be a proper person for the purpose. Though he highly respected every thing that fell from the noble secretary of state, yet, in the case before them, he disagreed with him in every thing he observed, save one point, which was, that no necessity existed for the proposed alteration; and he appealed to the reverend bench, whether, as christian prelates, they could approve a measure, tending to the multiplica-

tion of oaths?

Lord Mulgrave defended the clause at some length, and with much ability. He differed from a royal duke, for whose character and opinions he had the most profound respect, in his idea, that the alteration system; inasmuch as the discretionary power | the rev. bench, if it went for any thing, it



involved an application to the bishops to bring in a bill to abolish the admin stration of oaths in general. Upon the whole, thinking the system of regimental courts martial would be every way amedorated by the clause, he felt it his duty to support it. The question being put their lordships divided, when there appeared for the clause 22, against it 13, majority.9. The bill being gone through without any amendment, the house was resumed, and the report of the bill forthwith received. A-Adjourned.

HOUSE OF COMMONS. Friday, March 15.

fore I proceed to the notice which I propose to give this day, I beg leave to ask the noble lord on the other side a question for intormation, vev fit to be given to the house, and materially connected with the subject which I mean to bring under their consideration. By the papers on the table it appears that the war now or lately carried on in India against Holcar, was declared by lord Wellesly so long ago as the 16th of April, 1801, and I-presume must have been in his con- to which I should of course wish to turn templation some time before that date. This we know indirectly through the government of Bombay. My question is, whether at this day the Court of Directors or the Select Committee have received any direct communication from lord Wellesley of the origin and the motives of this war?

Lord Castlereagh.—My answer to the question put to me by the hon gent, is that, at this day, no advices have been received directly from lord Wellesley, concerning the origin and the motives of the war with Holcar.

Mr. Francis.—The fact of itself deserves the attention of the house; since nothing can be more precise and peremptory than the injunction of the law, by which the Governor General and Council are ordered, in all cases where hostilines shall be com-menced, to communicate the same to the Directors, by the most expeditions means they can devise, wish a full state of the information and intelligence upon which they shall have commenced such hostilities, and their motives and reasons for the same at large. I now, sir, beg reave to give notice that it is my Intention, with permission of the house; to bring under their consideration a general view of the state of the Bri- that the ending down of the other was considered.

thereupon, as carly in the work after next as may stand with the convenience of the house. Sir, it would be desirable for any man, who wishes to bring sutciview a question of great extent and consequence, and for me more than any other, that his audience should be in some degree yossessed of the general nature of the subject. I cannot hope, though I very much desire it; that many gentlemen will have taken the trouble to examine attentively the whole of these voluminous papers relative to the late and present war with the Mahraitas. To save them some trouble, and perhaps to invite them to read more and to enter farther into the inquiry, there are a few principle documents which I think will give a general insight into the Subject, [WAR IN INDIA.] -Mr. Francis. Be fand engage them to proceed, and which I therefore beg leave to recommend to their attention. The instructions to colonel Col lins; the instructions to colonel Close; the treaty of Bassein, from which, as it appears to me, the war may be dated; and, finally, the map of India annexed to the papers.

Lord Castleragh.—The notice given by the hon, gent, is so general and undefined, that I am at a loss to conjecture what the objects are which he has in his view, and my own thoughts. I therefore hope and request that the non, gent, will state more distinctly the points to which his intended motion is directed, or at least the particular subjects which he means to discuss.

Mr. Francis made no reply.

[SALT DUTY BILL.]-Mr., For wished to state to his majesty's ministers the substance of a communication which he received by a letter from Yorkshire. It observed, that by the last Salt Duty bill there was a severe penalty laid on all retail shopkedpers who should sell at any thing lower than the standard price, and the same penalty was exacted in that now in its progress. When the present bill was brought in, an officer was sent down to Rotherham, and the places adjoining, to announce the new duty, and take an account of the stock in hand. In consequence of this, the salt manufacturers ceased to sell; but the retail shopkeers continued to sell at four-pence halfpenny a quart, as before, for which informations were laid against floom to recover the penalties. He wished to know whether, these proceedings took place by the authority of government?

tish dominion in India, and to make a motion | tainly premature, and that it would be wife.

shopkeepers.

ing up the report of the committee of ways and means of Ireland.

Mr. J. Fitzgerald opposed the bringing up of the report. He contended, that the loan was made to a larger amount than was necessary, and that if it were even negessary, the interest of it might be defrayed withrevenue of Ireland was only taken at 4 millions; though every body knew that it would be considerably more. In the last year, the right hon. gent. (Mr. Foster) imposed addi-*lation, and \$6,000l. to defray the expences of a direct loan; and he now stated, that there was out of last year's revenue a surplus of 845,000l. but that it must remain locked up in the Irish treasury until the proportion of Ireland to the Joint expences of the empire should be paid. Spon this practice of retaining the surplus of the consolidated fund since the union, it would follow, that there must be now a total surplus of about 4 millions applicable to the expences of the year. This was a mode of proceeding very disadvantageous to Ireland. He sacrificed much by opposing the union; but now that it was effected, he considered the two countries as one, and thought they should be treated equally. The sums returned of duties due, but not immediately payable, were to the amount of 636,346l. which either were or ought to beenow in This, as well as the treasury of Ireland. the balances in the hands of the collectors, ought to be a productive fund, and if it was not, he must call upon those who promised Ireland so much benefit from the union to put an end to this system of patronage and influence. It was allowed on all hands, to be a very great grievance to that country, and yet, notwithstanding so many complaints, the government had not removed. any one of these collectors, though in a man's private concerns he would not allow an agent to pay himself, and also retain an eighth part of his receipts, unless, on the assurance that the balance was quite safe.— He had a right, therefore, to take it for granted, that this was a solvent and sufficient answer, for which reason he should bring it into the amount of the year. He would whether he had reason to think that a re-

reasonable to inflict any penalties upon the land if he wanted a clue, he would refer to the menforable failure in 1800. These ba-[Report of the large Hunger.]—Mr. lances were so enormous a grievance, that Foster moved the order of the day for bring- as long as he had a seat in that house, he had a seat in that house, he should bring the subject annually under the consideration of Parliament. Were these sums available, they would have superseded the necessity of a loan in this country of $2\frac{1}{L}$ millions for Ireland, and it would be easy to recede from the plan of borrowing another million, and to issue government paper out having recourse to any new taxes. The in the room of it. Even the four millions revenue promised, must be more uncertain under this system, as it must come through the hands of the same bankrupt collectors, who were already so very much in arrear. tional taxes of 1,150,000l. by way of regul To prove the hardships Ireland laboured under, he contended, that one of its greatest diffculties arose from the debt it contracted in the year 1800, for the purpose of purchasing the representations of boroughs necessary to be disfranchised for the purposes of the union.—He did not see why this should fall exclusively on Ireland, or why England, which benefitted by the union, should not pay a part of the expences of it. On these and various other grounds, he maintained that his country expected from the right hon, gent, that he would resist new taxes in Ireland, while there was a considerable balance due to it from England, which had the means of pay-

Mr. Dawson said, the hon. gent. who spoke last had anticipated much of what he had intended to state. He confessed, that some of the taxes proposed appeared to him unexceptionable, though there were many of the articles upon which he wished all taxes to be abolished in that country. Though he had no objection whatever to the duty proposed on raisins, pepper, and coffee, yet he had every objection to an encreased duty on timber. Instead of being, as stated, a protection to the growing plantations in Ireland, it would encourage the cutting down of what little timber there was, and leave the cettages of the miserable peasantry perfettly unroofed. The present plantations being only in their infancy, would not be available in less than half a century, and, so depend upon them for immediate purposes, would be as absurd as that of a man who, bein advised to drink cyder, should set about planting an orchard. He also reprobated the tax upon horses, and he could even vertire to ask the right hop, gent, not we discriminate between horses for pleasure and horses for use, as they were mission was given of any of these balances? | both so generally united; but what he de-

exchequer to extend the tax to he see used in agriculture. He touched upo all the articles in the catalogue of taxes, and dwelt principally on the Postige Duty, to which he would, however, make no objection, in consequence of the assurance given by the right hon, gent, that the posts would be protected, and he also hoped that the revenue of it would be collected with more regularity and economy; instead of costing the country, as it did now, an expense of 11. 16s. per cent. While these subjects were under consideration, he hoped some attention would be paid to the districts of houses in Ireland, and for the distillery of that perunder excise, did not produce a shilling to the exchequer, while posts of smagglers were stationed through all parts of the country. To prevent these smuggling abuses, he hoped measures would be taken for establishing maritime turnpike gates, between the ports of Dublin, Wagerford, and Donaghadee, an la more direct communication opened between the coast of Carnarvon and Ireland.

Sir J. Newport thought that the schedule, comprising such a multitude of merchandise, s ould have been submitted to the inspection of mercantile men, as it was impossible for any member of that house to be so good a judge of the local effect such taxes may have in different places, as the parties more immediately concerned. In referring to that schedule, he found the duties on spermaceli candles, copper, tar, &c. raised to seven times their former duties, while rattans, walking sticks, and other inferior articles, experienced a diminution. As to timber, he said the last duty had diminished the consumption so much, since the union, that the revenue on them, was 11,000l. The present tax, he thought, would reduce the consumption so much, that the duty would not pay the expense of the collection, and would render the cottages uninhabitable. The want of dones, tic comforts at home frequently encouraged Vol. IV.

precated most was, the precedent which in the middle of the day by a single might induce some future chancelor of the only armed with a stick. The energy this was that the letters from Waterford to Cloninell wer job! ged to be sent by special messengers, as none but boys are employed by the post-office. At the general post-office the letters were thrown by carclessly and promiscuously, and accessible to any one who should think proper to call for them. When the letters were sent out, it was usual for the postman to go home first to dinner, and then leave the letters behind him, while he went to a public house; so that If the expectant myrchant went to the man's residence, he found the letters lying there totally unprotected; and the bills and notes were very generally either lost or emniclous spirit called whistey, which, though bezzled. The costs of the accountants now under excise, did not produce a shilling to were at their own discretion, liable to no check whatever, as there was no person to check them; and such he complained was a the case in all the public boards and offices in Ircland.

Mr. Hawthorne said, that the balances of the collectors, though stated at 1,200,000l. were actually no more than 130,000l. as the duties were not yet paid, which were to produce the remainder. As to the general state of the country, he said, that so far from Ireland's being unfairly dealt with, the expences of the army, and works necessary for its defence, amounted to a much greater sum than the whole of its proportion to the joint expense of the empire; so that its taxes must have been much greater only for the union. To prove this, he referred to the accounts, which would show, that the proportion of its debt accumulated more in the four years before the union, than in the four years since it had been carried into effect.

Mr. Foster said, he deemed it unnecessary to go into a detail of all the branches of the taxes alluded to. The appropriation of the produce of the sinking fund in Ireland was to pay the expences of the loan, the ratio of its separate expences, and paying the usual sum towards the sinking fued. If then there were arrears of money, there the inhabitants of the country to idleness and riotous conduct at home. In the south of Ireland, the want of imber was a most grievous hardship, as in the country of Tipperary there were farms to the extent of sometimes 2 miles, without a hedge or bush to be seen upon them. He complained greatly of the want of security to the mails in Ireland, which were sometimes rebbed Vol. IV. wele also arrears of charges, and it was no-

collectors were very great, in spite of all the serions he had made to prevent it; but such had been long the bracker in Ireland. and old habits could not specdily be got aid In respect to the duty In timber, he was glad the objections were stated, as this tax was mostly confined to deal board and staves; and all timber used in the butter and provision trade were totally exempted. The new duty, which was no more than 4se ed. on 72 cubic feet of timber of the value of 61. would be scarcely felt by any one, for when, in consequence of the war, the price of the same quantity of timber rose from 31. to 61.* the buildings continued couraging the activity of men of small cawithout any diminution. In regard to the pitals, and particularly injurious to the comhorse tax, also, gentlemen would find the interce between this country and Ireland. exemptions were extended to all horses which carried or brought home a load; to all those used by clergymen, physicians, &c.; to those on which fairners rode to places of dealers, and believed that it would under-worship, to markets, or to the quarter ses-sions: He admitted that the conveyance of Sir J. Newport thought it only tended to sions. He admitted that the conveyance of Sir J. Newport thought it only tended to the mails was very insecure, and would reproduce and encourage manifold, manifest, main so, unless, for the convenience of the public, and the salety of letters, this Postoffice was enabled to employ other messengers than boys, who loitered on the way, and were exposed to robberies. To shew what uncertain couriers these were, he mentioned an instance of a gentleman who met one of these post-boys playing on the road, and the bag of letters lying by him. When the gentleman asked him new he could be so careless and dilatory? the Loy replied, "Oh, please your honour, that is not the mail, it is only an express." In proportion to the frequency of frobberies, he the expense of the collection something advantage of the two countries.

Mr. May rose, and said, he had presented a petition against these duties, from the inhabitants of Belfast, and he begged the paper peat of the tax. Yet, from good dispositions tience of the house till he stated a few obtowards the right hon. gent. (Mr. Foster), servations in support of the petition of his he had discouraged his own constituents constituents. They had not, he said, desired him to present it; from any wish to because he did not wish to cast obstacles in exempt themselves from the payments of the way of the right hon, gent, in devising taxes in general, but from a wish to have the taxes. He was not for taxing the patient retail dealer, sugging at the oat of industry, and catching every feeting breeze to tax was not so constituted, but bore entirely, make his little back gain in safety the haven

plies of the ensuing year. He owned, in-deer, that the balances remaining with the importer, while the wholesale importer was altogether exempt from it. It therefore affected freatly the commercial industry of the country, and, as such, he hoped the right hon, gent, would agree to relinquish it.

Mr. J. Latouche opposed the tax, as a tam upon the industry of retailers, who, if it was not for this duty, could, by united speculations, become themselves wealthy mer-

cliants in the course of time.

Mr. Ker stated that the tax was not only unjust; but it was almost unproductive, and should on every account be abandoned.

Sir C. Price considered this duty as a check to the progress of commerce, by dis-

Sir G. Hill contended that the tax would prove II singular detriment to Ireland. He lelt the impolicy of a tax on the retail

and absolute frauds: •

Mr. For wished that as the tax would bear particularly hand on the retail trader, it

might be abandoned.

Mr. Foster said that the tax had existed since the days of Cha. II. The operation of the tax was confined to tobacco, tea, and brandy. He was not for speculative opinions respecting taxes during a period of war. He would not think of giving up this tax, while he adhered to that on timber. He was grateful to the merchants of London for their advice to the merchants of Ireland; and hoped that the former would said, in the same proportion must be the not decline taking that of the latter, on number of prosecutions, which rendered; such questions as might tend to the general more than 100l. per cent:—The first resolu | wholesale dealers had purchased the tax by tion was then read and agreed to. On reading sacrifices at the time it was first laid on; and the second resolution, for the 6 per cent. the wholesale dealer had now a right to have his interests properly guarded.

Mr. Corry was unfriendly to the tax. Three sessions ago, he had proposed the re-peat of the tax. Yet, from good dispositions from sending to him petitions against it,

similarity of situation and regulation with regard to the trade of every quarter of the empire. The union was founded on a principle of equality, and of similarity of situation; and of this the assimilation of the currency of the two countries furnished some. proof.

Mr. Hawthorne could not assent to the motion. The tax was a bad one in every view of its operation and tendency.

Mr. Princep entered his sofenin protest against a tax notoriously bearing on the industry of the individual, so as to lead to his rum.—The question being called for, the house divided: for the per cent, impost duty 107, against it 44, majority 63, The other resolutions were then read, and agreed

[LEGACY DUTY BILL.]—The Chancellor of the Luchequer moved the order of the day for going into a committee on the Legacy Duty bill. As he had learned since he cane into the house, that some difference of opinion existed respecting this measure, he should only observe then, that there were other stages in which it might be discussed.

Mr. For stated that there would be a considerable difference of opinion relative to that part of it which imposes a duty only on legacies to children. However, as there would be other stages for discussing it, he should forbear for the present from any opposition, reserving that for the report.

The Chancellor of the Fachequer had not heard any thing to induce him to alter his opinion, or to give up what would be the most material part of the tax, amounting to 200,000l. He was ready to pay every attention to any observation on the subject. The o'll then passed through the committee, and the report was ordered to be received on Tuesday.—Adjourned.

> HOUSE OF LORDS. Saturday, March 16.

[MINUTES.]—The Salt Duty bill, since Property Duty bill, and the Mutiry bill, were read a 3d time and passed, and a message sent to the commons to acquaint them therewith—Adjourned.

> HOUSE OF COMMONS. Saturday, March 16.

[MINUTES.] Mr. Alexander brought up the report of the committee of supply

of his hopes. Had ever such a tax pre- his majesty to different persons, and the vailed in England? There ought to be a several resolutions were agreed to.—A message from the lords informed the house. that their lordships had agreed to the Property Duty bil, Salt Duty bill, Mutiny bill, and several private bills, without any amendments.-Mr. Foster brought up the Irish Export and Import Duty bill, Inland Duty bill, Stamp Duty bill, Postage Duty bill, and Malt and Spirit Duty bill, which were son brought up an account of the rates of exchange of the bills drawn by admiral Blanket and sir H. Popham, during their stations in the Red Sea. Ordered to lie on the table and to be printed.—The report of the Royal Marine Mutiny bill was brought up and agreed to.—The Sugar Drawback bill was committed.—The Exchequer Bills bill, and Expiring Laws bill, were read a 2d time.—Adjourned.

HOUSE OF LORDS. Monday, March 18.

[Minutes.]—The Royal Assent was given by commission to the Pleasure Horse Duty bill, the Salt Duty bill, the Property Tax bill, the Mutiny bill, and 3 private bills. -Mr. Dickinson, jun. brought up the Royal Marine Mutiny bill, and two private bills, which were severally read a first time. -Lord Melville, pursuant to his intimation on a former evening, presented a great number of naval documents. These, after some convertation between lord Darnley and the noble viscount, were ordered to lie on the table.—The duke of Clarence intimated his intention to move for the production of fur ther documents to morrow, for which day it was understood their lordships were sum? moned.—Mr. Parnell, from the Irish treasury, "presented an actount of the sums remitted from England to Ireland, during the year ending the 1st of last month.—Ad-Journed.

> House of Commons. March 18.

[Menutes.]-The Speaker informed the house that he had been in the house of peers, where the royal assent was given by Commission to the Pleasure Horse Duty bill, to the Salt Duty bill, and to the Box perty. Tax Amendment bill. On the moup the report of the committee of supply tion of M. W. Dickinson, an amendment respecting the sums voted in pursuance of was inserted in the Marine Mutiny bill, emaddresses of the house, and sums issued by powering provisional courts martial to ad-

The bill was then read a minister ouths. fird time and passed -Mr. Johnstone from the office of chief secretary of Ireland, presented Returns of all Compensations for Boroughs, & c. which were ordered to be printed.—Mr. Francis gave notice of his motion relative to India for Monday next, but on the suggestion of lord Castlereagh, deferred it till Monday se'fnight.—Mr. Rose presented Minutes of the privy council with respect to licences for exporting goods to France and Spain Mr. Foster hoved the 2nd reading of the Irish Drawback bill. After a few words from Mr. Dawson, Mr. Ker, and Mr Corry, the bill was read a 2nd time; as were likewise the Irish Excise Duty bill, the Irish Stamp Duty bill, the Trish Postage Duty bill, and the Irish Spirit Duty bill.—On the motion of Mr. Steele, a new writ was ordered for Bath in the room of lord John Thynne, who had rendered his election void, not having duly qualified notice would be given of such intention. himself by taking the oaths, &c.—Mr. Steele obtained leave to bring in a bill to indemvoted in the house, without having previordered to be read a 2nd time to-morrow. The Chancellor of the Exchequer moved, that a committee be appointed to examine Kingdom of G. Britain and Ireland, from 1st of Jan. 1801, to 1st of Jun. 1809, what proportion belonged to each country respectively; what are the balances now due; and what would be the best mode in future of ascertaining such balances at the expiration of each year. The metion was agreed to, and a committee appointed for those purposes.—The Chancellor of the Excheof the rejected Agricultural Horse tax. He likewise gave notice, that he should move for rendering permanent the present temporary tax on wine. Mr. Alexander brought of 51.—Adjourned.

HOUSE OF LORDS. Tuesday, March 19.

[ROMAN CATHULICS OF IREMAND.] Lord Grewille acquainted their lordships, that he should have, on Monday next, to present a Petition to the house, on the part of his majesty's Roman Catholic subjects in Ireland. He was aware that it was not usual to give notices relative to the presentation of petitions; but that mentioned by him was upon a subject of so grave, weighty, and important amature, that he had taken the liberty previously to mention it. The proceeding was not, he thought, likely to induce any discussion, nor did he mean to vay any thing then upon it; but, should any fur her motion or proposition be intended to be brought forward upon the subject, due

[CONDUCT OF JUDGE Fox.]-Lord Auckland attverted to the motions which he nify lord John Thynne for having sat and intended to make, namely, for a committee to search for precedents of proceedings in ously qualified himself. The bill was ac- that house against individuals, upon comcordingly brought in, read a first time, and plaints made by poers upon their own statements, founded upon information derived from others, and to consider how far it was consonant with law for proceedings to be and report the joint charges of the United instituted in that house against individuals otherwise than upon petition or matter of record, in support of which motions, his lordship argued at considerable length. The mode of proceeding hitherto adopte i wa, one which he highly disapproved in other points of view, beside those of its being productive to the parties of great expence, delay, and vexation. It would be necessary, in some degree, to tread back the quer gave notice, that in the committee of steps they had taken; but did it appear to ways and means on Friday next, he should be consistent with the honour, the dignity, move for certain taxes, to supply the place and, above all, the correctness of their lordships proceedings, he thought there could be no hesitation to do so. What he had to propose for the adoption of their lordships would be resolved into various propositions: up the reports of the committees on the the first was, for the appointment of a com-Irish Sugar Drawback, and on the Expiring mittee to search for precedents of cases of laws, which were agreed to, and bills or lany charge brought forward of high crimes dered accordingly. Mr. Alexander brought and misdemeaners against any individual by up the Report of the Committee on the a peer of the realm, upon his own personal Irish Drawback bill, which was agreed to statement of facts, &c.; and how far it is Mr. Huskisson obtained leave to bring in consistent with any law or statute, or usage a bill to repeal that part of an act of last of parliament, that house can proceed upon session which prohibited the issuing of Pro-matters so originated, unless upon matter of missory Notes on demand, under the value record, or by petition, or entertained as matter of privilege. Secondly, that such

if a person taken from the king's prison, and not taken in any act of rebellion, was a legal subject of a court martial? And yet this had been the case in respect to Mr. Grogan. 'He had not joined, but had been detained by the rebels. He would stake his credit, that the evidence he had moved for would bring to light such flagrant proceedings as had seldom, if ever, been heard of, and which he' was not at country, he asserted, was in perfect peace at the time. The king's commission was, in the county of Wexford; to deliver the gaols. Was there any reason, then, for a military court martial to call them out? The hoif member then adverted, at some length, to the evidence given by general Craddeck before the Irish commons, whose answers to questions that he himself had put to him; he begged leave to recall to the memory of the noble lord, and which clearly showed the irregularity of the proin obtruding this motion on the house was, he thought, a very laudable one. Should it appear that theres was no evidence sufficient to warrant the proceedings that had been taken ngainst Mr. Grogan, his relafions at least ought to have redress.

Mr. Fox observed, that the ground of the noble lord's objection did not appear to him to be at all admissible. He had said there was no evidence to be produced. was too well acquainted with the history of this country to be reminded that many reversed. If what he had heard on this subshould be in due form-before them. Severe | tion then took place: in general were the times when acts of atbe to aggravate that severity?

Lord Castlereagh said, that nothing Lord Castlereagh said, he was anxious.

Reverting to the court martial, the hon possible information upon the subject; and member insisted that all the necessary for- the chly reason that induced him to move malities had not been complied with. He | the freer of the day was, that the hon. would ask his majesty's attorney general, | gent had not stated, what his object was in calling for this evidence, or what parliamentary proceeding he meant to ground upon it. The hon, gent, had now stated his object to be that of reversing the billof attainder against Mr. Grogan, which certainly was a fair parliamentary ground for calling for the document in question; and therefore he would, with the leave of the house, withdraw his motion.

Sir John Newport said, that the family all surprized the noble lord should be of Mr. Grogan had been in very extraoranxious to keep in the back ground. The dinary, and, indeed, most unfortunate circumstances. The very next brother to Mr. Grogan, and who would have been his immediate heir had he, survived him, fell honourably, loyally, and gloriously, fighting the battles of his country. The other brother fought with the most determined bravery, till driven out of Wexford by the rebels, who were greatly superior. in numbers, before the main body of the army arrived, and therefore, under all these circumstances; added to a doubt whether Mr. Grogan himself had not been ceedings of the court martial. His object [forced to fight on the side of the rebels, he thought the justice of the house would incline them to agree to the motion.

General Luftus said, he was in Wexford at the time of the court martial upon Mr. Grogan, and he begged leave to state. that the officers who formed the court were the principal men of rank and character at the army, and every attention had been paid in the careful examination of the witnesses. After the sentence was passed. Whatever might have been the case in he was told by many persons of the town, Ireland, such he was certain was not the that Mr. Grogan was not so much to blame case in this country, for if no evidence as he appeared to be; on which he apcould be afterwards produced, attainders plied to General Lake to suspend the exewould be irreversible; but the noble lord cution of the sentence for some time, till he could make further inquiry; to which general Lake consented, and the execution instances had occurred of attainders being was deferred tril evening, when not being able to find any facts in his favour to counject were true, there could be no doubt that I terpoise, or do away the evidence adduced that Act ought to be reversed; but that against him, he went to inform General was not the question, till the evidence Lake of the circumstance, and the execu-

Mr. Francis rose merely to express his tainder were resorted to, but to render them disapprobation of acts of attainder in gepreversible by relusing a revisal, would neval, as affecting the innocent and not C • 13 € the guilty.

could be further from his wishes than to to do justice to the characters of Mr. prevent parliament from receiving severy Grogen's brothers and family, by allowing

codents, and adverted to the case of the earl of Bristol, and several others, which he had looked into, and from which his lordship's mind had, he said, derived great assistance. The course which the house was then pursuing, he considered a direct violation of the law, and of the fundamental principles of the constitution; the proceedings, so far as they had gone, were entered upon the journals of the house, and was it to be said that a veil was now to be drawn over those proccedings, and what had been entered open record, handed down as precedents to posterity, for their example? It was impossible therefore to put off this question, without some farther investigation; there were am-Ple precedents in the house to authorise it to go into such investigation, and the arguments used against it, by noble lords on the other side, were not founded either upon law, upon justice, or upon principle, but were merely a detail of minute distinctions wholly unworthy consideration; he, therefore, trusted their lordships would be allowed to receive that assistance from precedents, and that a committee for that purpose might be appointed.

Lord Hawkesbury observed, that the office of a judge had been by the Act of W. III. rendered as freehold, with a condition annexed to it, namely, that the judge should be removable upon the address of both houses of parliament. 'He considered the mode of proceeding adopted with respect to the learned judge alluded to, to have been perfectly regular, except in the instance of laying the articles of complaint upon the the complaint of a peer against any individual, except upon matters of privilege; but he contended, that the case of the learned judge was totally distinct, and did not come within the meaning of such judicial proceedings. He thought a search for precedents, as moved by the noble ford would be wholly unnecessary, and could lead to no useful purpose; it was well known that no precedent existed since the passing the act of settlement, which bore upon the present question. Neither could he by any means agree that the remedy by impeachment was so simple and free from difficulties and obstacles as noble lord had represented. Whose full take the pains of studying the his subjection in this country, the his subject that a great many difficulties did nate the question; and that since the passivation rise respecting what each house of ing of the act of W. III. it was as constitu-

sisted on the necessity of searching for pre- | parliament claimed as their peculiar privilege. In the course of that impeachment which w s still in their recollection, the impeachment of Mr. Hastings, it would be recollected; that many such difficulties did arise. He certainly felt that a measure of such importance as addressing his majesty to remove a judge, ought not to be adopted without grave and weighty reasons, but if their lordships should be convinced by cogent reasons that a judge was unfit to hold his office, he could see no reason why the houses of paliament should forbear from presenting those addresses for his removal which the act of settlement expressly stated as sufficient ground to remove a judge from his office.

Earl Spencer confended that a committee ought to be appointed, and that the constitutional mode of enquiring into the misconduct of a judge was by impeaching him. If the accusations brought against the learned judge, who was now the defendant, could be substantiated, there were very strong grounds, indeed, for such a proceeding; for that learned judge had been charged with no less a crime than having used seditious language from the bench. This was certainly a charge of sufficient importance to ground an impeachment on, if it could be proved. He wished the house, in the present case, to conform itself to its established

usages and precedents.

Lord Mulgrave could not see that there could be any necessity for appointing a committee to consult precedents, when it was well known that no precedent which could be found would come near the present case. table. He admitted that no fulficial pro- When the judges were made independent ceedings could originate in that house upon of the crown, it was clearly stated, that they were only removable by address from both houses of parliament, or by impeachment. The precedents therefore of case. which happened before the passing this law, could have no application to the present case, and descould not conceive any other ground that could be required for those addresses, except the clear conviction of both houses of parliament on due and weighty consideration, that it was proper to present those addresses. If the house should, therefore, now think it impossible for them to proceed without finding out precedents, the same reason would always apply against ad-

but must come from the commons Lord / the borough aid, that highly as he respected the opinion of his noble and learn- such, would vote for the motion. ed friend, he could not help differing from him on the present question. He thought lord observed in farcible terms, on the cruelthat in an entire new case, as this confessedly war, and when the house was called on! to decide on an act of parliament that had never yet been acted upon or brought into consideration—an act of parliament of such immense importance to every subject of the empire, because it went to no less a point than the removal of the judges from their places, he thought it was necessary their leadships should have the advantage of the opinion of the 12 judges. He thought also, that, in a case like this, their lordships could not proceed with too much caution; and, therefore, that they ought to have the advantage of all the precedents which our for 7 long years; yet he was more pleased to see even such a proceeding, according to the established rules and customs of the counfry, than he would be to have witnessed an ttempt to enter upon any measures, which should seem like an innovation on the estat lished laws and customs of the land. It had I cen said, their lordships could not be certain that the commons house of parliament would exercise their privilege of impeachment; and, therefore, that house ought to proceed by way of address. He could not, however, suffer himself to think, that the present, could be agitated in that house, immediately take it up and prosecute it accordingly. It had been said, that proceednot the removal of a man from so high and I dered to be summoned.—Adjourned. distinguished an office as that of judge, a criminal charge? Was not divesting him of honour, rank, and high official station, the strongest mark of a criminal charge. In proof of this, his lordship referred to the

tional for parliament to present by the way from their offices, and rendered incapable of of address as by that of impeachment, which, ever more voting in parliament. His lordof course, could not originate in that house, ship thought, therefore, that the house should consult all such precedents as could give the least insight into the case, and as

Lord Auckland rose to reply. The noble ty of bringing the learned judge, whose case was under consideration, with his witnesses, from above 400 miles distance, to answer such serious charges as were alledged against him, before the house had fully deliberated. and decided upon the proper mode of procceding to investigate those charges. That mode was not, he maintained, as yet discovered; and he very much apprehended that should the house proceed farther in the course recommended by the opponents of his motion, their lordships would find themselves in the aukward and degrading dilemma of being obliged to retrace their steps.

The Lord Chartecttor in explanation statances or had made the rule and guide of ted, that he did not mean to insinuate that their conduct, antecedent to the time of the the object of this motion was to create deact of settlement. He well remembered lay, or to impede the progress of the in resthe time when he was obliged in duty, in tigation to which it referred; but he would the line of hist rofesion, to object to the beg noble lords to be assured, that whatever proceedings of that house, when they went impediments might be thrown in the way, from thence to the place below, day by day, this business should not end until complete justice was done between the country and the individual concerned.

Lord Auckland observed, that if the noble lord who had just sat down meant to say that the object of his motion was merely to create delay, such language was not parliamentary, and if the noble lord did not mean to say so, his observation was altogether unnecessary. In vindication of his motives for the proposition he submitted to the house, he should only mention that he had no kind of acquaintance whatever with the learned. person who was the object of this investigaany subject whatever of such importance as Ition, and that he was actuated solely by a sense of duty, and a desire to do justice. so as that it should be apparent it deserved The question being put, a division was called impeachment, but that the commons would for, and the numbers were, contents, 7, non contents 29; majority 12.—On the readmission of strangers, lord A. gave notice ing by way of address was not a criminal of a motion upon the same subject for Moncharge; but he thought otherwise. Was day next, for which day the lords were or-

.. Mouse of commons. . Tuesday, March 19.

[Minutes.]—On the motion of sir W. Young, the account presented to the house cases of Lionel, earl of Middlesex, and lord of ships and their tonnage, cleared our from Bacon, who were, on addresses, removed Ireland to the West Indies, was ordered to.".

be printed .- Sir J. Anderson presented a his intention of deferring his motion respectpolis, stating, that the journeymen had re- next. fused to work without an increase of wages; compliance with them would be destructive of the trade; and therefore praying, as the only means of counteracting, the evil, that specting Mr. Fordyce; a gentleman who, by law.—Ordered to lie on the table.—The Sugar Drawbacks bill was read a 3d time. agreed to, and the bill ordered to burread a Warehousing bill was agreed to.—A copy of the cominission, appointing John duke of Drawbacks bill went through a committee, I and the report was ordered to be received to-morrow. -Mr., Fox stated, that he should, on Monday next, present a petition to the house from the Roman Catholics of Ireland. It was not, he said, necessary for him to give this notice, but he thought it respectful. Mr. Fordyce's debt to the public, as I collect to the house to suggest his intention.

* [Irish Excist Dettes Biri.]-Upon the order of the day being moved for the house to sesoive itself into a committee on the Irisli Excise Duties bill,

Sir John Newport said, he hoped the right hon, gent, who had given notice of his intention to bring forward a plan for the reduction of the militia, would allow the Irish Tax bills to be postponed. It was of importance they should undergo some discussion; hitherto they had undergone none. They had been brought in on Saturday, not | usually a day of business, and read a second time on Monday, oh a national festival. However the national Saint might protect the country from venomous animals, it was precipitation which precluded discussion. one or two of the tax bills, but had hitherto had no opportunity.

a motion, it was usual to waive the other

The Chancelior of the Exchequer expressed mention I find of Mr. Fordyce is in the 8th

petition from several printers in the metro-ting t. e reduction of the militia till Thursday

[MR. FORDYCE'S DEBT.]-Mr. Creevey that their demands were exorbitant, and a rose, and spoke as follows: Se, in pursuance of the notice I gave some time since, I shall now submit a motion to this house rethey might be allowed to take a greater various documents of parliament, appears number of apprentices, and for shorter pe-findebted to the public in a very large sum riods, than they were at present allowed by of money, and of a very long standing; and who likewise appears by a commission lately issued by the crown, and a copy of which The report of the Exchequer Bills by was now lies upon your table, to be one of five commissioners appointed for the purpose of 3d time.—The report of the Irish Spirits carrying into execution most important reforms in the department of the navy, which have been suggested by the parliamentary Athol governor of the Isle of Man, was pre-commissioners appointed by this house to sented.—Ix rd J. Thynne's Indemnity bill examine into those subjects. The first obwas read a second time, committed, and or-fject I have in view is an inquiry into the cirdered to be engrossed.—The Irish Sugar cumstances of Mr. Fordyce's debt; into the engagement he has entered into for the discharge of it; into the security the public have for its final payment; and into the causes that have hitherto delayed its liquidation; and, with reference to the points, I will shortly state to the house the history of it from the different documents of parliament. The house know, that by an act of parliament passed in the year 1750, certain commissioners were appointed for the purpose of examining the public accounts of the kingdom, and for other alutary purposes of reform. In the first report of those commissioners, and which was likewise made in 1780, I perceive that Mr. Fordyce was found to be indebted to the public, at that time, in the sum of 64,000l. and upward, as receiver general of the land-tax of Scotland. An evamination of that gentlemon upon oath, taken before the commissioners, as to the means of liquidating that debt, appears in the appendix to that report; and Mr. Fordyce there refers to the most positive and precise stipulations, as having been plaiff he had no power of protecting against lentered into by him with the lords of the tax bills. Acts of parliament might as well treasury; for the accomplishing that object. be passed by acclamation, as with a degree of He states, that he had agreed to pay off 30,000l. before the then next Lady-day; He had expressed his intention of opposing 10,000l: before the 10th of the next month after; and that as to the remaining 24,000l. owing to particular circumstances, Mr. For-The Speaker observed, that on a day for dyce states, he had obtained the indulgence which any hon, member had given notice of from the lords of the treasury to pay that sum by instalments of 5000l. per annum. This, sir, was in the year 1780. The next

dinary circumstance, that, after the report referred to, and after a period of 17 years to fulfil those engagements in, it does, I say, appear a most extraordinary thing, that Mr. Fordyce's balance to the public should make the prominent feature of complaint it does in that report of the committee of finance. ' It appears from that report, that although he was nevertheless confinued in his office of receiver general until 1783; and when, instead of his former engagements being fulfilled, and his former balance reduced, his debt at that time to the public amounted to 1783, it appears he was dismissed; and the report proceeds to state, that from that period (in 1783) to the then present time, (in 1797) the whole of that arrear of 90,000l. July 1797, had remained a debt due from Mr. Fordyce to the public, for which no interest whatever had been received. I find, sir, from the appendix to that report, that Mr. Fordyce upon this occasion, as upon the former one, appeared again before the committee, and again referred them to new engagements entered into by him with the lords of the treasury, for the payment of at least 4,000l. annually, and to a variety of securities, that were to produce the speedy liquidation of this debt; and I particularly beg to call the attention of the house to one statement made in the appendix to that report. It is stated, that in 1783 Mr. Fordyce's property was all assigned to trustees for the lords of the treasury as a security for the debt, but that it had at the same time been agreed, that Mr. Fordyce should retain the possession and management of his estate, subject to the direction and controul of the lords of the treasury, and lord advocate of Scotland. This, no doubt, sir, was meant as a beneficial arrangement for the Mr. Fordyce might make his property more productive than it would be in the hands of the trustees; the experience, however, sir, of two and twenty years, must very much diminish, if not entirely extinguish, all our Berwick, that it enabled him tessucceed in Vol. IV.

report of the finance committee in 1797; the controll of the lords of the treasury and that committee, the house likewise knows, the lord advocate of Scotland; for, during was appointed for the purpose of examining | that period of two and twenty years, they abuses in the expenditure of publicimoney; have not produced one farthing to the puband it certainly does appear a most extraor- lic. The only sum paid since the finance committee's report, notwithstanding all the of the commissioners in 1780, that I have new engagements on behalf of Mr. Fordyce, before mentioned, after all the engagements and all the numerous securities he refers to. on the part of Mr. Fortlyce I have before has been a sum of 8,250l. and this sum I find was given to Mr. Fordyce by parliament, out of the public money, for surveying woods in Scotland; and being in the exchequer, could not with much decensy bepaid out of it, to a person so much its debtor as Mr. Fordyce. This sum was paid by Mr. Fordyce, or rather retained by the trea-Mr. Fordyce was so much in arrear in 1780, sury in Feb. 1800; and during the 5 years that have since elapsed, no other payments whatever have been made. These last facts, respecting Mr. Fordyce's debt since 1797, I collect from the annual return of the balances of public defaulters, which is now upwards of 90,000l. At this period, in laid before parliament, in pursuance of the act of 1800, for which the public, sir, are indebted to you. This, sir, then, is the parliamentary history of Mr. Fordyce's debt to the public: he now owes to them upwards with the exception of 700l. only paid in of 80,000l.; he has owed it two and twenty years; the money came out of the pockets of the people for their taxes; a sum more than equal to the principal has been lost in the way of interest for this sum; no engagement of this gentleman for the liquidation of this debt seems to have been performed; no steps appear to have been taken by the lords of the treasury to accelerate the liquidation of this debt, although it has been so strongly pressed upon their attention by the commissioners' report in 1780, and that of the finance committee in 1797, and although they are said to be in possession of so many and such valuable securities. I am sure. sir, the house must agree with me, that this statement of facts calls for a committee of this house to examine what these securities are that have been so long unproductive; what this property of Mr. Fordyce's is, that, after two and twenty years trial, neither his own skill, nor the controut of the lords of the treasury, and the lord advocate of Scotland, can convert into any thing for the public: It cannot be said, I apprehend, that this public; that the skill and management of gentleman is destitute of means to liquidate his debt to the public; if I am rightly informed, sir, he is in possession of a large estate in Scotland, that it made his qualification to sit in the present parliament for, reliance upon the skill of Mr. Fordyce, and a very hard-fought contest for that borough, . E 1

and that he finally lost his seat in this house making these observations, unpleasant as only from having been proved to have been too lavish of his money. Can it be said, after this, that Mr. Fordyce's estates vield no rent? or, if they do, why have not the Inrds of the treasury, in their discretion and controul, prevented this public money from being thus squandered on a Berwick election? Sir, I hope I should be as backward as any gentleman in this house to press for any thing like persecution; I am willing to admit, as I did on a former occasion, that, from all I have heard, I believe it to be true that there was much misfortune in this gentleman's case originally; I am ready to admit, that it would be ungenerous to urge the public to withhold all reasonable indulgence in cases of allowed misfortune; but there must naturally be a limit to such indulgence, there must be a decency in the use of it; and, above all, there must be an impartiality in the distribution of it to persons similarly There are many unfortunate debtors to the public besides Mr. Fordyce; many who, as securities for their principals only, are harrassed without pity by all the rigorous process of the crown. It is just and right that the laws of the country should be equally and impartially administered; and, of course, it is not right that Mr. Fordyce and his property should make the exception they do to the ordinary application of the law. Besides, sir, as I have said before, we are never to forget that the money Mr. Fordyce owes to the public came out of the pockets of the people for their taxes, that through him or his agents it has hitherto been lost, and that it is not very gracious to the people of Eng-· land, whilst we are taxing the very sources of their existence, to be granting this exclusive compassion to Mr. Fordyce. Sir, it is not only the estate I have mentioned that Mr. Fordyce possesses as a means to satisfy his debt, the public knows that this gentleman enjoys a very lucrative office under the crown, and that he has long done so; they know, sir, that the revenue, which has aldence, and built at great expence; and the present day pronounce Mr. Fordyce the knowing as they do these facts, and feeling fittest person that could be found to fill this as they do the line reason of an almost overbearing faxation, it is insulting the for the house agreeing to the committee I

they are: the ministers have forced Mr. Fordyce upon the criticism of the public, and they must answer to him for the consequences; if, after two and twenty years forbearance, they still think themselves justified in withholding the process of the crown against Mr. Fordyce for the recovery of their public debt, they are at least bound in duty and decency to the public, not to exhibit Mr. Fordyce in any character of discretion or controll connected with the public revenue of the country. I am sure, sir, this is a sentiment universally felt by the public; and, what is more, it was a sentiment recognized and acted upon by the chancellor of the exchequer, who dismissed Mr. Fordyce from his office. The chancellor of the exchequer I allude to was lord J. Cavendish; and that noble person himself seems to have believed, that there had been much mistortune in Mr. Fordyce's case, so much so, that he was induced to promise him some indulgence as to time, and some place of profit, instead of the one he had deprived him of. But observe, sir, there was a condition annexed to this promise, namely, that the place to be given was to be in no way connected with the revemue:—this, sir, was the just and generous sentiment of that noble person of humanity to the individual, and of respect to the feeling and to the interest of the public: if that noble person thought, two and twenty years ago, when Mr. Fordyce's debt was recently contracted, that the employment of him, in any way connected with the revenue, was an act of disrespect and injustice to the public, what would he have thought to have séen Mr. Fordyce now under all the aggravated circumstances of the duration of his debt, and the forfeiture of his engagements, enjoying a place of similar responsibility in the revenue to the one he was deprived of? What would he have thought to have seen this gentleman selected as the person who was to frame and introduce a system of reready lost so much by him, has been further, form and economy into the expenditure of burdened, for the purpose of building him a the public money in the department of the house of great magnificence, nominally, in- may? What could be have thought if he deed, an office, but in fact a private resi- had heard the chancellor of the exchequer of people of England to call upon them for any shall finally move for, and which I had extraordinary sympathy in the case of Mr. omitted to mention: whatever doubts may rouse. As I said, sir, upon a former ochave been formerly entestained as to public casion, I can take no blame to myself for accountants being chargeable with interest,

for which the public is likewise indebted to you, sir; under this act, there is now, 20,000l. added to Mr. Fordyce's debt, making the sum total 100,000l. and upwards, though I observe by the last return this interest is not added to the principal.—This is all that appears to me at present necessary to be sent administration is hostile to that parliasaid upon the subject of Mr. Fordyce's debt; and I feel certain it will be sufficient to induce the house to accede to the committee I shall finally move for. When I mentioned to the house my intention of bringing this subject forward, I gave notice at the same time of my intention to submit likewise a resolution to the house respecting the impropriety of Mr. Fordyce's appointment as one of the commissioners in the new naval commission issued by the crown; a sentiment that I have by no means abandoned, but which at present I shall forbear from expressing in the form I had intended; if, however, sir, this house has any wish to appear in earnest with the public upon the subject of reform and ecohomy in the expenditure of the public money, it will do well to direct its most anxious and particular attention to this new naval commission, because, under all the circumstances of the case, it is impossible that any thing can be more full of suspicion and alarm. We are all agreed, or at least we all profess to be agreed, that the commissioners appointed under the act of this house to examine into public abuses in the department of the navy, have been eminently successful in detecting and exposing the greatest frauds upon the revenue. An honourable admiral in this house, and who was one of the late board of admiralty, and who of course has had all the means of official information, has asserted, without being contradicted, that if the exposures made by the parliamentary commissioners were to be followed up by corresponding reforms, a third of the public money spent in the extensive department of the navy, might be saved. In times like the present, it is impossible that a discovery of greater importance could be the adoption of such reform? After two years and a half of such labours as, I believe, never parliamentary commissioners bestowed before, after nine volumes of such minute and detailed enquisies as were never made by commissioners before, the only ham chest. Sir, I understood that a pledge | call the attention of the house to this sub-

there can be none now since the act of 1800, | was given by the late chancellor of the exchequer to this house, that he would move specific resolutions founded upon these various reports; no such proceeding has, however, taken place, nor is it likely now it should do so; for it, is impossible for this house to conceal from itself that the prementary commission; many of the gentlemen I see opposite to me resisted, with all their power, the act creating the commission; the admiralty who brought it in are the perpetual subject of their censures, and particularly for their economy. We have seen with what reluctance this parliamentary commission is to be permitted to exist as long as parliament intended it to do, and then, what is worse than all, we see that the reform of all the abuses that the parliamentary commissioners have discovered is not to be left to this house, is not to be followed up by resolutions of parliament, or by positive laws, but the whole is entrusted to commissioners appointed by the crown; that is to say, by ministers themselves; and over which commissioners, parliament has no controul. If parliament give this favourite subject of the public out of its own hands, the reform of abuses, the economy of the public money in the department of the navy, we might have been indulged, I think, with a reformer of more promise than Mr. Fordyce. We know very well, sir, the resolution, the courage, the unimpeachable character that are necessary to make a man a successful reformer, that can enable him to contend against that implacable host of interested jobbers that for ever swarm about the public money; and can it be said that Mr. Fordyce, whose very existence is at the mercy of the crown, whose character as a public defaulter is at the mercy of every man whose abuses he is to correct, can Mr. Fordyce thus situated, be that faithful and rigid servant of the public, which his office of reformer so peculiarly calls upon him to be? Having said thus much of the late naval commission, and of the suspicious light in which I view it, I made; but what security have we, sir, for shall nevertheless afford Mr. Fordyce an opportunity of doing his duty to the public, and I shall not at this present moment submit the resolution I originally intended; I beg, however, to give this notice to the house, that if my suspicions shall be too unfortunately realized, if we shall not shortly object secured to the public is an alteration hear of the sabours of these new commismade by law in the management of Chat-sioners, I shall think it my duty again to

into its own hands this most important object of reform; and I shall now, sir, content myself with moving for a committee of this house, for the purpose of obtaining information respecting Mr. Fordyce's debt, which under all the circumstances of the enormity of that debt, the time it has been owing, the apathy of the lords of the treasury in the recovery of it, and the violation of all Mr. Fordyce's engagements as to the liquidation of it, the house, I apprehend, will have no hesifation in agreeing to. The hon, gentleman then moved, "that a committee be appointed to examine what sum was now due from John Fordyce, esq. to the public in respect of a sum of 82,000l. and upwards, reported to be due from him by the finance committee in 1797; together with an account of what steps had been taken by the lords of the treasury for the recovery of the same; and also an account of all securities given by the said John Fordyce, or on his behalf, to the lords of the treasury for the payment of the said debt; also an account of all engagements entered into by the said John Fordyce with the lords of the treasury for the payment of the said debt, and of such of them as had been performed; together with an account of all the-rent, produce, and profits of the estates and property of any kind whatsoever belonging to the said John Fordyce, and which had been by him conveyed to the lords of the treasury in 1783, as a security for his debt from that period to the present

The Chancellor of the Exchequer wished to call the attention of the house to the nature of this transaction. The hon, member had changed the object which he had first set out with, and he understood him to consider the situation of Mr. Fordyce as arising out of misfortune. But he could state that it had not been the opinion of the actual government that had removed him from office that he was unworthy to be trusted, though they had declined employing him in a situation connected with the revenue. He held no such situation at present. He had held a very laborious office in a comrission to inquire concerning the lands of soon reduced to that sum. Before 1797, the crown, appointed at the instance of that house; his name had been subscribed to reports made to the house on that subject, and parliamentary measures had been groun-ed on such reports. He this held an exe-cutive for carrying these measures into cutive for carrying these measures into in Dec. He had besides, by his talents, effected had produced as great a practical genius, and industry, which had been of ad-

ject, and endeavour to induce it to resume | reform as had ever been effected in any department. The revenues of grown lands, which then had been reduced to 6000l. had by his management been raised since to 40,000l, which would increase in the present year, and in the course of a few years amount to some hundred thousands. This he stated to shew, that Mr. F. was not a person unworthy to be trusted, and that his being in arrear had arisen from misfortune only, as well as that the public had enjoyed the benefit of his talents and services. His misfortufie had arisen from the failure in three successive instances of his agents, one of whom had not been of his selection, but recommended by the late lord Rockingham, as collector-general, and therefore, in fairness, he ought not to be accountable for the 14,000l. which now remained unsatisfied of his default. Another failure had arisen from the bankruptcy of a house in which Mr. Fordyce had vested certain sums of money, arising from the sale of forfeited estates in Scotland, which under the act of 1770, he was made the medium for conveying to the treasury. By this failure, which happened a short time before he was removed from his office, though it did not add considerably to his official arrears, he lost a sum of 40,000l. Under these circumstances, when addressing so enlightened an assembly, and as the origin of this transaction was historical, he trusted that the question would be considered without any view to party object. though the hon, gent, in the latter part of his speech, had appeared to give it that complexion. He had a right to consider the case of Mr. Fordyce as a case of hardship. He had been left large sums in debt by the failure of agents, and from that hour no balances had been in hand upon which interest could accrue. He had been treated with forbearance, and that forbearance had been continued to him, first, because his default had arisen from misfortune; and, secondly, because rigorous measures would have ruined him, and thereby have prevented the public from receiving those payments, which he should presently state. The hon, member had represented the arrear of Mr. F. as 90,0001. It had been more, but was 2,0001. had been paid out of his separate property, and since 8,000l. There were 37,000l. due one bond within the present

means of paying his debt; and he put it to the candour of the hon, mover, or of any hon, gent, near him, whether in a case of such misfortune, it would have been right to press him rigorously. The sums he had acquired by his employment had been applied, not to his use, but to pay off his arrears. Upon these grounds, he saw no reason of complaint against the present, or any former government, for having employed this gent. nor any ground for parliamentary interference. They all knew the amount of his debt in 1797, and nothing was so easy as for any member to move, that there be laid before the house an account of the amount of the sums that had been paid, of the steps that had been taken, and of the sums now due. After which it would be should himself move for these documents. The 14,000l. ought not to be charged to Mr. F.; and as to the other failures, there were effects that would be finally available. Mr. F. too had an estate in the island of Grenada, which yielded 200 hogsheads of sugar, annually, and would have been subthis circumstance entitled the hon, gent. to the forbearance of government. As to the appointment of Mr. F. on the commission, it | had been at the desire of sir C. Middleton, with whom he had acted as comptroller of the navy, and every one would bear testimony to the merits and abilities of that hon, bart. The object of the commission was to form a digest of the regulations of discretion or power to obstruct any reform. and he looked upon it as no proof of hostility to any practical reform, that the hon. bart, who had first suggested the naval commission, was appointed to the royal commission. After a few-other observations relativeto the reports not having been followed ap by any further measures, the right hon gent. concluded by declaring that no parliamentary ground had been laid for the motion.

Mr. Fox begged to have it understood, upon what ground he did not wish the gentleman who was the object of the motion of his hon. friend, to have been employed. It was not because he thought him unworthy to be trusted, but because he was

vantage to the public, acquired additional in a state of dependence on his majesty's ministers. The commission was appointed to make, suggestions to government, and might they not have to report on such parts as some at least of his majesty's ministers might be no friends to? However that might be, he was sure that no man in a state of absolute subjection' to the power of ministers, could report with that sturdy independence which ought to characterize the conduct of a public reformer. He had not the honour of being acquainted with Mt. Fordyce, but he had no deabt of his being. a gentleman of talent, ability, and merit. He thought the house ought to be obliged to his hon, friend for having brought forward the business. Neither had Mr. F. any reason to complain that the subject was agitated, inasmuch as it gave him an opporcompetent to move for an inquiry, if any tunity of making known those rayourable gent should think it then necessary. He circumstances of his case, which were unknown before. Whether the facts should be laid before the house by motion, or ascertained by the inquiry of a committee, amounted to the same thing; he should therefore not differ from the opposition of the right hon, gent. opposite. He was inclined to agree in the opinion, that the ject to his arrrears, till the calamity, which case of Mr. F. was a case of pure misforhad called for the indulgence and aid of tune. He had himself a recollection of the parliament in favour of the sufferers. The failure of the first Agent, Cockburn, who estate was now likely to be productive, but had been so strongly recommended to Mr. Fordyce, and the result of his recollection was, that it afforded a case of very strong equity in favour of Mr. F. As to the case of Mr. Fordyce, the banker, his failure was a misfortune of such an extent, as to produce an effect really astonishing. It would be rather surprising how he should be able to speak to the circumstances. He had accidentally happened a few days back to read. the different royal yards, and they had no over the copy of a letter from Mr. Garrick to a friend of his in the West Indies. in which it was stated, that the receipts of the theatre had materially fallon off in consequence of the widely diffused effect of the failure of Mr. Fordyce, If the effect of that failure was so great that the pleasures of sp great a part of the public were depressed by it in so perceptible a degree, he did not wonder that a person in Mr. Fordyce's situation suffered by it so materially It appeared further, that Mr. F. constantly exerted himself to make good his deficiency, but that the circumstances of the times, particularly the unfortunate circumstances respecting Grenada, had prevented his arrangements from proceeding in the in a situation of misfortune, and therefore manner that was to be desired to From what.

was stated, there was reason to believe that lof; that increase was owing, however, to in the process of time every thing that could ! be wished would be done. It was therefore for the benefit of the public that no interruption should be given to Mr. F's mamagement of his means of clearing off the arrears. The facts, however, ought to be laid before the house in a parliamentary way. The right hon: ggift, had stated the port of the Finance Committee in 1797, the facts clearly, and promised to produce the documents; when they were produced, the house would be enabled to judge of what was now but matter of belief and of opi-

The Chancellor of the Exchequer! in explanation, stated that Mr. Fordyce, as a member of the last commission, did not act generally, but specifically, with the view of applying the best remedies to existing abuses in the maral department. This appointment he had received from the knowledge of the former beneficial exercise of his talents in the same branch of the public service.

The Secretary at War thought himself called upon to state, that sir C. Middleton had refused to undertake the task entrusted to this commission, unless Mr. Fordyce were also appointed on it; and this from the opis nion sir C. had formed of the talents and -capacity of Mr. Fordyce, in a former service in which he had been associated with him. As a proof that Mr. F. had devoted all his amoluments to the discharge of his arrear, he instanced the case of a sum of 25 or 26,000l. accruing to him, which he refused to appropriate to a provision for his large family, notwithstanding the recom-mendation of some of his friends, preterring to transfer it immediately to the Ex-.choquer, for the discharge of his debt.

Mr. Johnstone said, that he should be no advocate for any harsh measures, unless the rresult of the enquiry should make it necessary; but he could not concrive how Mr. Fordyce could be justified by the failure of produce on the tax on windows and auctions whis agents, as there was nothing to prevent would so far exceed the estimate, that the him from obtaining securities from them, tax on timber would not be wanted. appreciously to their appointment. In the therefore moved "that this tax should be case of an individual, the house would na- struck out." turally beinchined to a liberality of conduct; that in matters of account, they could not and well considered; its merits had been fully do justice to the public, without, on their discussed, and the propriety of the tax party acting in the same manner which every established by a vote of the house the very man would do, in the management of his night before, and he felt it rather hard that richesto affairs. Instead of 40,000l; had the at that hour of the day he should have treasury failed on the securities, they would, nothing offered him to ear but his own by his time, have had the whole. The in- words, an aliment he certainly did not re-create of the crown lands had been boasted lish, and therefore, if it came to a discus-

wise acts of parliament, and the falling in of old leases granted by the James's and the Charles's.-The right hon, gent, had held our splendid promises to the house, of the repayment of what Mr. F. owed to the public; but there was no reasonable prospect of their being realized. After the republic were led to believe, that before this time the incumbrances of Mr. F. would have been removed. The fact was, however, that after the lapse of eight years, the debt was as considerable as when the report he had alluded to had been formed. Conceiving, then, that this was a subject highly fit for the interference of the house, he felt himself called on to support the motion of his hon. friend.

.Mr. Creevey, however, on understanding that the chancellor of the exchequer would himself move for the information on the subject, consented in the mean time to withdraw his motion.

[IRISH REVENUE BILLS.]—The Irish Excise Duty bill; the Irish Stamp Duty bill, the Irish Postage Duty bill, the Irish Malt and Spirit Duty bill, and the Irish Customs Duty bill, went through a committee.—In the committee on the last,

Mr. Foster consented, from respect to the opinion of so many Irish members, to discontinue the 6 per cent. duty on Irish retail imports. He believed, however, and expected, that on a revision of the English duties, it would be found proper to impose something of this kind in Ireland. other tax that was objected to, the tax on timber, he thought so unexceptionably fair, that he would persevere in it.

Sir. J. Newport repeated his former objection to this tax, which he thought was calculated to discourage the growth of timber in Ireland, and to defeat the produce of the tax itself, by diminishing the import.

Lord De Blaquiere thought the duty just

sion, he should persevere in his former were vested entirely in the crown, and no conduct.—The question being put, there individual had any claims on account of appeared for sir J. Newport's motion 34, against it 80.—Majority 55. The other items in the schedule were then read and agreed tg.—Adjourned.

> HOUSE OF LORDS. Wednesday, March 20.

[MINUTES.] The bills upon the table were forwarded in their respective stages; among these, the Marine Mutiny bill went through a committee, and was afterwards reported; and lord Thynne's Indemnity bill was read a 2nd time.—The bishop of Oxford presented a bill to repeal so much of the act of the 9th Geo. 2d as restrained certain colleges in the universities of Oxford and Cambridge from purchasing the Advowson of Livings; which was read a first time, and ordered to be printed.—Adjourned.

> HOUSE OF COMMONS. Wednesday, March 20.

[MINUTES.] A new writ was ordered for the election of a member for the borough of Wigtown, in the room of Mr. Macdowal, who had accepted the Chiltern Hundreds .-A list of the Reversionary Grants of offices in Ireland was presented, and ordered to lie on the table.—The Hull Dock bill was read a 2nd time.—On the motion of Mr. Rose, the house went into a committee on the acts relating to Foreign Ships. 'A resolution that the ships and inhabitants surrendering to his majesty in the captured settlements of the enemy be allowed to trade as British, according to the regulations in last war, was agreed to, and leave given to bring in a bill accordingly. Leave was also given to bring in a bill to allow neutral ships to import certain articles, for a time to be limited.—The Exchequer Bills bill, and the Irish Spirit Warehouse bill, were read a 3rd time and passed.—Mr. Huskisson brought in a bill for permitting the negociation of small notes, which was read a first and second time, committed, and reported. The Irish Revenue bills were reported. Mr. Dawson moved, that there be laid before the house the number of licences for killing game issued in Ireland, since 1801, distinguishing the years, and specifying the names of the persons in each district, by whom they were taken out. Ordered.

[PRIZE AGRICY BILL.] Sir. William Scott rose to make his promised motion. He began by stating, that by the law and con-

captures; and that the rights of that sort which individuals could claim, flowed from the bounty of his majesty, and his predecessors, and immediately proceeded from the spontaneous declaration of his majesty at the commencement of every war, which not only gave to the captors a right, but which also regulates the distribution of the prizes among them. The acts, he said, which regulate this subject, respect either. 1. the persons to be entitled to the property: 2 the functions of the courts to decide on its appropriation: 3, the management of the property during the process, and the distribution of it after it has been adjudged. With regard to the first, the provisions of the act vary in their application, according to the peculiar circumstances and situation, of the parties. With respect to the second, immediately affecting the constitution and dignities of the civil courts, the legislature has been extramely tender of interposing its authority, and introducing new regulations. It has considered, that the courts of Admiralty are not restricted within the narrow limits of municipal institution: the law of nature, and of nations, is the foundation on which they build; all the subjects of foreign states, on the general principles of justice and humanity, have a right to redress for injuries received upon the high seas, and look for protection to these establishments. The rights of parties grounded on these enlarged principles, are not less-sacred than those which are erected on the solid basis of the common law of England; and the character and honour of every country, in a great measure, depends on the regard which is paid to the just demands of individuals, placed in the most remote regions, of the world. There are three modes in which the business of prize agency may be conducted: lst. by a public officer: 2d. by persons selected by parties interested: 3d. by a mixed mode, in which both these are comprised.—The mode now pursued is the second I have named, and it has maily recommendations, although the trust necessarily reposed has been liable to very serious abuses. When we consider the number of persons, . respectable for their characters and for their property, who have been engaged in this business, we need not be surprised that agents of that description have received abundant oncomagement. The great quesvion is, if, under the violation of private stitution of the country, all the rights of war night to which, in this mode of agency, in-

eligible that public official men should interpose; and in our consideration of this, we are naturally led to enquire into the principal matters which effect the interests of the parties. First, the security of the property is the great object; it is better that it should be forthcoming, however late, than that it should be wholly lost. While the decison is witheld, it is material that the fund should be accumulating, for the advantage of those who shall be declared entitled to it. Should the property be invested in real securities? Certainly not; because, from the nature of those securities, it would be liable to be empounded a considerable time posterior to the decision, when the parties might want to apply the property to advance their interests in their own private concerns. If it cannot be invested in real securities, much less can it be dependant on the risk of personal security, or submitted to the hazards of trade; even in its application to the public funds of the state, some respect is to be paid to private opinion and convenience, under the vicissitudes of national affairs. During one of the more atrocious periods of the French Revolution, if any distinction may be made in the political profligacy of that republic, it was the practice to invest one of the officers on board every ship with the functions of a Judge of the Admitalty, and by this means, instantly that a capture was made, the prize was condenined, and an immediate distribution was made among the successful depredators.— We are not, however, here governed by the laws of Algerine piracy, or the institutions of revolutionized France. England must act with good faith to every individual wherever he resides; documents must be procured, and all the parties must be heard. Delays have been complained of in our court, and in some respects, and to a certain extent, they are unavoidable. To give the parties an opportunity of appeal is necessary, and this is one source of law of Hurope has assigned the interval of a year and a day to the appellant. To prevent procrastination, the term of appeal was however good the intention might be in this the prize-money was disposed of without regulation, the legislature found it necessary to trace back its own steps. Appellants in attorney for each captor. Thus it would resist the decision they thought inequitable, to which a meritorious class of men were and the former interval for appeals was exposed. These regulations, then, deserve

dividuals have been exposed, it would be again conceded. The object then has been, during the delay, to make the effects speedily productive, and to attend to the accommodation of each party as much as possible. If security were the only object, the delivery of the property into the hands of a public officer under the mandate of the the court, would be sufficient. In any new regulation on the subject of this question, however prudently formed, we cannot exclude sall inconveniences. In considering the defects of the present system, we have the satisfaction to discern, that they are neither great nor numerous. The late act, which is the present law on the subject, contains many provisions; some of which I shall shortly state, in order to shew, that the evils we apprehend are not gigantic and formidable. Previous to this act, the prize, agents gave no security for the faithful discharge of their duties. Now they are required to give security to the sum of 5000l. Under former statutes, agents might keep in their own hands the monies they received during the progress of a cause; now the captors may compel the agents to vest the proceeds in public securities, until the proper time of distribution. Formerly, mariners could only recover their shares by tedious proceedings in the courts of law or equity; now they may attain their right by a short and summary proceeding in the court of admiralty. Under the former acts, the charges of the agents were not submitted to examination and controul; now they may be inspected and reduced, when they are unreasonable, at a very small expence to the parties. At this time, the agent is obliged to remit the balance due on the prizes to the navy pay-office, where, as formerly, he could retain the money in his own hands. Heretofore, there was no provision for the payment of moules on the account of prizes, after the appointed time of such payment, so that persons absent, either on their private affairs, or on public duty, on their return, were frequently disappointdelay. It is well known, that the general ed of the rewards due to their exertions and their gallantry: now, for two days in each week, the office of the agent is required to be kept open, for the purpose of answering for some time limited to three months, but to these claims. Under the prior forms, America, in Asia, or even in the remote be seen that much had been done to remove parts of Europe, had not sufficient time to the evils, and to prevent the impositions

the attention of the house in the formation [of any new plan to counteract the disadvantages which the wisdom of parliament, from the nature of the concern, has not yet been able to remove. One great cause of detriment has been from their ignorance of the parties interested in the provisions under the late act, which have been made in their favour. If they do not know what is their situation in this respect, it is precisely the same as if no such prudent and humane regulations had been made. It is proverbial in our courts, that "the law is made for those who are awake, not for those who are asleep." It has occurred to me, as the means of preventing the mischievous effects from the ignorance and machinity of claimants, that curators or guardians be appointed to enforce the provisions of the act. These may have full opportunities of acquiring information, and may communicate the result of their judgment on the legislative regulations, as applicable to the particular cases, to the parties themselves. This seems to me to be an obvious and practical remedy for most of the mischiefs from the cause to which I have adverted. In addition to this, some supplementary arrangements may be introduced with great propriety at the principal ports of the kingdom. It might be a ked, if what I have proposed be consistent with what has been recommended by the commissioners of naval enquiry? It is perhaps unnecessary minutely to enter into this comparison in the present stage of the business; enough surely has been shewn, both in the present exposition of the law, and in the general notoriety of the facts, for the house to form some competent judgment on the fitness of bringing this measure under consideration.—The hon, and learned gent, concluded with moving, " that leave be given to bring in a bill for the encouragement of seaingn, and for the more effectual manning of his majesty's ships."—The question was immediately put from the chair, and agreed to.—Adjourned.

> -HOUSE OF LORDS. Thursday, March 21.

[MINUTES.]—The Duke of Athol spoke in support of the appellants, in the case, the Earl of Kinnoul and others v. Mr. Maule and others; after which the Lord Chancellor delivered his opinion, and the decision of the Court of Session was affirmed. back bill, the Irish 'Malt bill, the Irish Post- | Ireland. age bill, the Irish Cu.toms bid, the Irish Ex-

cise bill, the Irish Stamp Duty bill, the Irish Spirit Warehousing bill, and several private bills, were brought from the Commons, and read a first time.—Mr. Alcock, from the custom-house, presented an Account of the Sums in the hands of the Collectors on the 5th of January last, so far as the same could be made up.—Adjourned.

> HOUSE, OF COMMONS. * Thursday, March 21.

[MINUTYS.]-Mr. Bully, from the Exchequer Office, presented an Account of the Produce of the Permanent Taxes, the progress made in the liquidation of the national debt, and the surplus amount of the Consolidated Fund; which were ordered to be printed.—A message from the lords stated their having agreed to. Lord J. Thynne's Indemnity, the Marine Mutiny, . and some Private bills.—The Irish Customs, Excise, Post Office, Stamps, and Malt Duty bills, were read a 3rd time and passed.

[IRISH LUNATICS BILL.] -Sir John Newport rose to submit to the house the motion of which he had some time since given notice, for leave to bring in a bill to regulate the practice in Ireland with regard to the confinement of lunatics and idiots. By the 27th of his present majesty, the poor and the lunatics of Ireland were confined in the same houses, a practice from which the most distressing inconveniencies had frequently resulted. He wished the appointment of distinct asylums for lunatics and idious. The existing method of confinement was only calculated for malefactors, but not for lunatics. Proper care cannot be taken of lunatics without separate institutions. He had therefore to propose a bill for establishing an asylum for each of the four previnces to contain 250 patients. • These asylums to be in the central towns, of the principal counties. The provinces to bear the expence, which is to be imposed by assessment; and a report to be made. from time to time, to the judges of assize, of the management, funds; and whole concerns and situation of the four asylums. He had bestowed much attention, for many vears, on this subject, and was persuaded. that the measure he was about to propose, . was one which the situation of the public institutions in Ireland loudly demanded. Accordingly, he concluded by moving for leave to bring in a Bill for establishing Pro-The Exchequer Bills bill, the Sugar Draw- vincial Asylums for Lunatics and Idiots in

Colonel Baguell, in seconding the mo. ..

home, when even the paper of the Bank I sums were remitted, the exchange must be of England experienced in that country a depreciation of 3½, per cent.

Mr. Alexander also considered the depreciation to be owing to circumstances alfogether unconnected with the Bank of Ire land; for the private Ponkers, even about Dublin, actually circulated motes beyond the issue of the national Bank; which, being by one of its bye-laws obliged to discount mercantile bills at one per cent, below the legal interest, gave to other Banks a great

advañtage over it:

Mr. J. Latouche expressed himself favourable to the motion, on the ground that the lords of the treasury in Ireland, by the mode they adopted in this instance, did not obtain terms for the public, which they might have done, even under the circumstance of the times. Much was certainly lost by the generally credited report, that the exchange of the two countries was to be reduced to par, and the report not having been contradicted by the Government, which was perfectly well acquainted with its existence, and its procedure deterred the merchants from coming forward on the occasion.

Mr. Foster, in explanation, observed, that the committee of last year, so frequently alhided to, had disapproved of the old mode for drawing bills at par upon England. The method which they seemed to point out, of having recourse to the assistance of the Bank of Iroland, had been resorted to; but they having declined all interference, the lords of the treasury, unwilling to return to the mode condemned by the committee, found themselves, by necessity, compelled to recar to a new method of their own.

hon. gent. said he had but one alternative vieft, either to return to the old mode con-included in the return of rank and file. The demned by the committee, or discover some | number of corporals in the force to be kept new one himself, he would take the liberty of reminding him, that it was not drawing hills at par upon England which was conof the lords of the Irish treasury to lower the balance of exchange. Near 200,0001. of the loan of last year was remitted in the ordinary way, and had no bad effect. By the measure the treasury took, it placed it- it was a thing agreed on all sides, that an self in the situation of a man who should have property to sell, and should advertise that he must sell it on a particular day; the obvious consequence of which would be the depreclation of his property, from a know, sedge beforehand of his necessity.

affected. The subject of the over issue had not been sufficiently investigated. As to the depreciated state of the paper of Ireland, it was a fact of which several gentlemen might not be aware, that the notes of the Bank of England were at a discount of 3 per cent. in Ireland.

Mr. H. Thornton thought the noble lord had not sufficient grounds for his present motion; it was not the manner of passing bills, but the quantity in the market, which was the oceasion of the increase or depreciation of the rate of exchange. He was afraid that the reduction of the issue of the paper of the Bank of Ireland could not be expected at present. He was surprised that they had not taken the hint given in the report of the committee.—After this, lord A. Hamilton said a few words in reply, and his motion was negatived without a divi-

[MILITIA ENLISTMENT BILL.]-The Chancellor of the Frehequer said, the object of the measure he intended to propose was, instead of the gradual reduction of the Milifia to the original establishment of 40,000 for England, and 8,000 for Scotland, to make the reduction directly, by allowing the supernumeraries now existing above that amount, to volunteer into the line. By the returns of the militia; as it now stood for England and Scotland, for he would propose nothing with respect to Ireland at present, the amount was 70,000 men. On reference to the Militia acts it appeared that the total number of 41,000 for England, and 8,000, for Scotland, were described as privates, in which description corporals were Mr. Grey observed that, though the right not included. It was necessary, therefore, to make allowance for corporals, who were up was 2,300, making in the whole number for England and Scotland something above 1000. Consequently the difference between demned by the committee, but the attempts that and the existing force afforded something about 17,000 men to be applied to the augmentation of the disposable force. Notwithstanding the variety of opinions that existed with respect to all military measures, addition of 17,000 men, to be made in a moderate time to our disposable force, would be a great and important accession of national strength in the point in which it was most desirable; he would say further; at a time when the existing circumstances Sir W. Pulteney remarked, that when large of Europe rendered it likely that our disc

posable force could be employed with most Jupon for any one military purpose. advantage; the beneficial effects of the measure would be still heightened; because it was not merely men that would be gained for the regular army, but trained, and dissiplined soldiers, of a description far beyond what our regular army afforded till within these few years. The acquisition would be thus doubly valuable. Great, however, and desirable as this acquisition was allowed to be by all who expressed their sentiments on the military state of the country, he would not have desired it if he thought fhe measure by which he proposed to carry it into effect was of such a nature as to be attended with any serious detriment to the Militia as. it now stands, or as it was allowed by law to be ultimately fixed. He was one of those who held the unabated zeal and unafienable pride of the Militia leaders as one of the Pest points in the country, and he should be sorry to do any thing to impair so valuable a spirit. The house and the country were called upon by the circumstances of the present moment to carry into effect the determination formed last session, that the Militia ought not to be maintained at an amount more than 40,000 for England, and 8000 tor Scotland. This determination had been rormed on the ground that the number of men now locked up for defensive purposes was too great, with a view to a description of force which may be employed for the defence of Ireland and the islands in the channel, and still more with respect to a disposable force, and the means of recruiting that force. The commanding officers of the nulitia, men of the highest respectability, had, besides, concurred that the number of militia officers could no longer be maintained consistently with the constitution of the militia. The ballot fell so heavy, and the procuring officers was so difficult, that the best friends of the militia allowed the best service that could be done to it was to reduce it to the original amount. On these considerations the house had passed an act, in virtue of which all vacancies occurring till the Militia was reduced to that standard were not to be filled up. It happened that by the remoteness from the permanent establishment, by the slowness and uncertainty in the approach to it, by the fluctuations which the occasional decrease occasioned, the strength of the battallons was liable to constant variations, and the companies continued dispropartioned in their strength, so that neither could be counted upon as affording any certain force to be calculated

ductuation was a further ground for the reduction, and the deficiency of officers, not merely officers properly qualified, was such that there would be not more than sufficient for the reduced establishment, there being at present above 540 vacancies for officers in the Militia. 'If the superfluous men were in the end to be reduced; if while they remained they were not constituted in the most advantageous manuer for the public service, was there ever a case in which there was juster cause for allowing to be carried into finmediate effect by volunteering, which was the ultimate object of the determination of the last session, and what was acknowledged to be most useful and desirable to the country? It was generally known from report, it was known to hine from more particular authority, that there never was a period at which the militia were more desirous to give their, fullest services to their country's cause, if they were permitted. This was, in thuth, the moment at which it was most desirable to bring forward such a proposition, a moment at which he would be justified in saying, no difficulty stood in the way of it, if he had not, from the communications he had with the commanding officers of the militia regiments, learned that some of them were adverse to it. Much however, as he respected the opinions of these gentlemen, he could not, in compliment to them, desist from a measure which his public duty required him to carry forward. But he would consult their sentiments and wishes in the arrangement as far as possible consistently with his duty, and it was a satisfaction to him to think, that the reduction he proposed would leave the militia still in a state in which its spirit would not be diminished, nor its character impaired, in a state altogether such that its commanders would have no reason to regret the change that would have taken place. It was not necessary in this stage of the husiness to go into the detail. The number it was proposed to take was 17,000, out of 65,000 that were now embodied. Thus the proportion that would be called upon to volunteer from each regiment was such, that it was not likely to deteriorate the part The greater proportion that remained. would remain, and may be composed of or may include those men for whom the officers had a predeliction. It was, indeed, a satisfaction that the militia was so constituted. that if its own officers were to chuse the men they were to retain, the remainder.

would be highly valuable to the army; or if | wishes and desires of the persons concernwould be still valuable for the militia. The he proposed was, that when the quotas for the counties should be fixed, and the orders specifying the quotas they were respectively to furnish, a time; not very long, should be allowed to each officer to select these of the men disposed to volunteer, with whom he was most willing to part, and to tender If the men so tendered should amount to four-fifths of the quota of the regiment, the regiment should be released from all further claims. This regulation afforded the commanding officer the means of excluding all intervention that could be disagrecable to his feelings. If, on the expiration of the term allowed, the proportion of four fifths of the quota should not be furnished, the Commanding Officer was to have the liberty of setting apart one-half of the regiment to constitute the foundation of the regiment that was to remain to him: the other half was to be han led over to government to take from it the proportion of volunteers to which it was entitled, the remainder to be given back to complete the regiment. If a greater number should vofunteer than Government was entitled to take, they were to be reduced to the just proportion by ballot. For example, in a regiment of 1000 men to be reduced to 700, commanding officer may set aside 500 men, to whom no offer could be made, and who were to remain to him without any disturbance whatsoever. From the other 500 government would take its quota, and the remainder was to be restored to the command-Ing officer, to form his regiment at the reduced establishment. Out of 68,000, the present amount of the effective Militia. 34,060 were to be set aside to remain untouched, as the foundation of the 51,000, which would form the whole of the reduced Militia. When government would have taken its proportion of the other 34,000, that was about one half, the remainder would be restored, and if four-fifths of that proportion were provided and offered at the time limited, the regiments would remain undisturbed by any further call. Thus he | set out on a proposition that left no room for jealousy to the commanding officers; and whatever mode was adopted the effect could not but be destrable to the regular. army. The mode he proposed seemed al- required to keep up the militia to its full

the officers of the army were to select those | ed. It was not necessary to enter further they would take, those who would remain into the details of the measure, unless any gentleman desired information on a partimode of regulating the volunteering that cular point. When the bill should be introduced, he would feel the same desire to attend to the suggestions of gentlemen as he had in framing the measure in the first instance, and above all, he should be careful not to diminish that spirit and pride which was the most beneficial characteristic of the militia, and the greatest advantage the country derived from those who devoted their attention to this valuable branch of the public force. He concluded with moving for leave to bring in a Bill for allowing a certain proportion of the Militia of G. Britain, volantarily to enlist into his majesty's regular

> Earl Temple, before he expressed his sentiments on the subject, wished to enquire of the right hon, gent, whether any order had as yet been given by government to facilitate the receiving men as volunteers from the Militia into the regular army.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer in reply, stated, that he had no season to think that any such proceeding had taken place.— Orders might, indeed, have been sent to dispatch recruiting parties to receive such volunteers as presented themselves.

Earl Temple resumed by arguing that this very proposition before the house was the best possible proof of the inefficiency of that Defence bill of the right hon, gent. the repeal of which he had so vehemently opposed. Formerly the militia were only to undergo a gradual reduction, and the right hon, gent, seemed to count days and months for the accomplishment of what his bill was to effect for the recruiting of the regular army. Now, however, these expectations were shewn to be completely fallacious, and, therefore, to-remedy this defect, the militia were not by slow gradations, but by one blow to be reduced to the number of 40,000. This, he maintained, was grossly unjust, and totally contrary to that principle of the abolition of the ballot which the right hon, gent, held out as the grand recommendation of his bill. Here, however, the militia being reduced to 40,000, to which number it was to be kept up, the ballot, as soon as ever any vacancies occurred, must come again into operation; Hardly 24 hours might clapse before the odious exploded system of ballot would be together the best calculated to meet the complement. The right hon, gent, had

torce. He, for one, would be the last man to deny the existence of this necessity, but he did not on that account feel himself salled on to admit this disposable force was to be obtained in the way now proposed. The right hon, gent, had said that never was there a moment when the ardour of the militia to volunteer into the regular army was more conspicuous. On this subject he had a few observations to submit to the house, but he would first of all refer to the pledges which the militia officers had at difterent times received on the subject of any future reduction. Under the former administration of the right hon, gent, a solemn pledge was given that the idea of reducing the militia, would not be again recurred to. He knew that it would not be regular in him to allude to what had passed in the other house of proliment. He might be permitted, however, to state it as a matter of history, that a noble lord (Sidmouth) in another place, who was then in administration, on moving for exacteding the militia to its present amount, did also give a solemn pledge that the system of the militia, should not henceforth be attacked. These two governments were now united, and he would l not take upon himself to determine how much they coincided in opinion. He was much inclined to think that they agreed in nothing so completely, as in mutually forgetting their pledges to the militia officers. He had already referred to an observation of the right hon, gent, that there never was a moment when the militia was more inclined to volunteer into the regular army. While such a statement went forth to the public, it was requisite that the real state of the case should be explained. At a meeting of Lord Lieutenants of counties, and gentlemen interested in the preservation of the militia system, the right hon, gent, had assured them that every thing should be done in the gentlest manner possible, and that all pains should be taken to prevent the slightest insubordination in that part of the militia, whose limited services were to be continued. But how, in point of fact, did the matter stand? He could on this subject take on himself to assure the house on the most unquestionable authority, that means. of a very improper nathre had even now been resorted to to procure volunteers from the militia, and to detach them from their colours. He had himself the honour to be colonel of a militia regiment, and he had which he had already taken notice.

rested a great deal on the general conviction | been within these few days informed, by entertained of the necessity of a disposable the officer who communited in his absence, that regruiting parties from the 1st battalion of guards had actually appeared among the men, and endeavoured to seduce them from their present situation into the regulararmy. A letter had been dritten on this subject to the colonel of this battalinn of the guards, and his answer was, "that these parties had gone down to places where militia regiments were quartered with the express view of receiving recruits from these regiments. When this was notoriously the fact, he hoped the house would hear no more of the delicacy with which the militia officers were to be treated. He would, on the contrary, maintain that such practices as those he had just alluded to were the most degrading that could be conceived to the feelings of the militia officers. The right hon, gents had often talked of his affection for the militia; but he left it to the determination of the house how far such declarations were entitled to any degre of credit. Every one of the right from gent,'s acts relative to the militia seemed rather to prove that he was axious for the total abolition of the militia system. Could there be a greater proof of this than the practice to which he had referred, which, though it might not have proceeded directly from the right hon gent." was a proof of the general disposition of government. He complained too that courts martials, as well as sentences of courts maitials, were suspended. Though he allowed that this was for the purpose of applying the new clauses of the muliny act, he could not help thinking that such a suspension at the present period was at all fludicious. It had a great tendency to excite a spirit of insubordination, particularly in the militia regiments, combined with those arts of see duction, which he had felt it his duty, to condemn in the severest terms. He was wholly adverse to the measure, as a direct tax on the landed interest. Every vacancy that occurred would operate as a fresh tax, and this too, after the solemn pledges to which be had referred. The Militia were now to be reduced to 40,900, but how did the house know how soon it might be reduced to 20,000, or what arts might be employed to encourage volunteering into the regular army. The nobje lord here again alluded to the arts employed to detach the militia from their commanders, and touched on the pledges of the right hon. gent, to those present at the interview of

the noble lord himself to determine as to the propriety of doing so, but he must ap-

Earl Temple said, the communication was not confidential, and he thought he might

therefore mention it.

was, of course, out of order.

as it was now put in practice by the right these men were to be procured for the rehon, gent, was not buly to encourage volunteering from the Milina into the line, but to hire and suborn recruiting serjeants, first to promote debaughery and insubordination in the regiments, and then to crimp a certain number of men, as best suited their purpose, ! leaving the remainder to the care of their recruiting that part of the public force. officers, who would have the satisfaction of seeing returned them, out of half the number of their regiment, 150 of the mere retuse! The noble lord concluded his speech by recapitulating his former arguments, and expressing his firm and decided opposition to the present measure.

Lord Stanley expressed his disapprobation of the bill, on account of its injustice in so many different points; it was subversive of the constitution of the Militia regiments, , and directly contradictory to the many pledges that had been given to the house, particularly by the right hon, gent, himself, posed measure, which was merely a propo-· Ho had given this pledge, as many would recollect, during a debate in 1799, and again several important considerations, he must possibly render them. Under the various

give his decided negative to the bill.

The Marquis of Douglas expressed his disapprobation of the plan proposed, which he considered as tending materially to hurt the militia service, and likewise to retard the recruiting for the line. That an act cession to our disposable force was extremely desirous, he thought no person would be found to deny, considering that question abstractedly. But the present proposition ought to be viewed under the particular to the steps taken with respect to the mili-Arcumstances that attended it. If the house Called to mind the use made ministers of

The Chancellor of the Exchequer spoke to what disposable force they had possessed, order, and thought his lordship ought not with what confidence could they place in to allude to any thing which he, in the way their hands the addition now called for? of private communication, and to facilitate | The right hon. gent. had stated the diffithe removal of doubts and difficulties, had / culty of getting officers. Did not this promentioned. He would leave, he said, to ceed from the fault of government, whiche had broken faith with the Militia, and had placed them in their present degraded situpeal to the Speaker for the order of the ation? Were not this the case, abundance, of gentlemen would be found ready to come forward and officer the different regiments: but *the deceptions practised upon them prevented it. At one time the fear The Speaker informed him, that in doing of invasion was raised, at another the neso he was debating a different question, and 'cessity of foreign service. When the right bon, gent, should submit his new plan for Earl Temple resumed. He conceived the the forther degradation of the militia, he proposition of the right hon, gent, which be should, both from his feelings and every had alluded to, was made at the time of the other consideration of the question, give it expedition to Holland. But the proposition, this hearty opposition. It was held out that gular service on cheap terms pout individuals had paid the expence; and after all, with bounty, and the gratifications of the ale-houses, he really believed that the cost of a recruit would amount to more for the regular army, than by the ordinary mode of

> • Colonel Calcraft said he should reserve the fuller delivery of his sentiments till a future occasion presented itself. He wished, however, to know, whether the men were to be enlisted for life, and for unlimited service. He was likewise not perfectly clear in his understanding of what fell from the chancellor or the exchequer, respecting the number of four-fifth of the recruits being made up from the Militia regiments.

Sir James Pultency thought it could hardly be necessary for any person to ask for any particular reasons being given for the prosition, that, if carried into effect, went to make the men more useful to the country limitations and restrictions that were proposed, he could not perceive that any injury would be sustained by the militia service in general. On the contrary, he was led to think, that though the hattalions were less in number, they would, from the provisions of this measure, be made more effectual in point of strength, and, consequently, more useful. A great part of the safety of the country, he considered to have been owing tia, in the year 1799, during the last war. Upon the whole vk w of the subject, he was

to be supported.

Mr. Bustard took notice of the three reasons alledged for the introduction of this extraordinary measure; namely, the want of men for the regular army; the scarcity of officers for the Militia, and the stated ardour of the men belonging to the Militia to volunteer for the regular army. Now, as to the 1st, he conceived it to proceed from proposition before the house. A to the fid most constitutional defence the country ner which seemed to have been taken to by an army of mercenaries against the liberascertain, or rather to excite that disposition, ties of a country. had been -already stated, and animadverted (Temple.) To what that noble lord had stated he should only me, that it appeared to him absolutely nothing less than an endeavour to excite a spirit of mutiny among the militia, to render them discontented with to such a disposition was the object of this the force in which they were engaged and superos Acheronta morebo.—Ministers seem- thought it to have much degenerated from ed resolved to stop at no expedients, to respect no boundary however venerable, no institution however sacred, to attain an object which they promised with they displaced their predecessors, to accomplish without delay or difficulty. The hon, go it. complimented the late economical and con- fear. With 500,000 men in arms, and a stitutional ministry, as he acscribed them, the militia, and declared that he should rather see the militia system dissolved altogether than thus mutilated and frittered away. He conjured the house not to give way to such bills as the right hon, gent. who proposed this motion was in the habitof bringing forward. He said, the militia officers considered this as a measure of debasement, and he agreed with the noble lord who spoke last, that the difficulty of procuring militia officers was owing to the degrading situation they had been placed in, of serving as mere drill-serjeants, to raise men as militia; and the moment they became disciplined by their labour and attention, they were seduced away, and enlisted into the army. Where were all the magnificent promises made by the right hon.

convinced that the plan proposed deserved [gent. when he brought in his Defence bill? What was become of the abusive epithets he had used of "imbecile and incapable," which he applied for the purpose of driving out the late administration, by far the most constitutional and Jeast presuming he had ever seen? What has Lecome of that famous bill which had put the right hon, gent. in his present place, and which was immediately to gain an army to the country? It the inefficiency of the measure adopted at I had effected nothing, and he was compelled the instance of the present ministers, for the to resort to the militia, and to abolish his augmentation of our regular army, the 2nd, own act. As to the militia, if they were to he thought a very natural result of that sys- be reduced as not wanting, they ought to be tem of tampeting with the Militia, which discharged. He cautioned the house not had obtained of late years, writeularly under 1 to favour too, much such measures as this. the auspices of the right horr, mover of the He looked on the militia as the best and allegation, that many of the Milina were could have, and as the hest check against ready to volunteer into the line; the man-| any base attempt that might even be made

Mr. Fuller was surprised that any gentleupon with proper severity by a noble lord man could attempt to thwart the wishes of those brave men belonging to the Militia, who manifested a disposition to serve their country more effectually, by volunteering for the regular army. To give full effect bill, and therefore he would support it. to which they were sworn—Flectere si nequeo | With respect to the Militia system itself, he the principle of its original establishment, and he on that account approved of its reduction. He considered ridiculous the language used with regard to the dangers of our situation. With such a force as we had, he contended that we had nothing to high-spirited people, there was only only for declining to lav a sacrdegious hand on one thing wanted, and that was, that the hon, gent, on the other side (Mr. Fox) should • take his seat with the right hon, proposer of this motion, to enable us to hid definice to. and to overwhelsh all the dangers that menaced us. Those two great men united, we ? should soon extinguish the power that threatened to annoy us; we should soon destroy the vessels on the other side of the water; we should speedily clip the wings of the bantane Emperor.

> The Chancellor of the Exchequer rose in reply, and spoke as follows -- I must beg leave, sir, to make a few observations upon what has fallen from some of the hon, gent. opposite. And first, in answer to the question which has been put to me by an hon, colonel, I wish it to be understood, that I, mean it should be left absolutely to the com- ...

manding officer, in the first instance, to select any man whom he wishes to part with, and, that the whole management, conduct, and regulation of the business should be entirely at his discretion, without any interference or controul, provided that the men, so selected and willing to enlist for regular service, shall be of a certain size, and under a certain age; and if you shall find men of that description, equal to four-fifths of the proposed number, then no farther step shall be taken, and such regiment shall be liable to no further call. This is the first plan which I propose; but if it should not be adopted, then I mean in the second case that the commanding officer shall have it in his power to set aside one half of his regiment, and that the recruiting for general service shall only be made from the remaining half. Now, this one half, so selected, and consisting, as we may presume, of the prime men, will, after the recruiting shall have taken place, he equal to two-thirds of the regiment so reduced. Lach regiment will, consequently, have a greater proportion of prime men, than it possesses at present; and; though it will be weaker in point of numbers, it will be stronger in prime men, in relation to its amount. Now, sir, as to the charge made by a noble earl (Temple) against this measure, as involving the forfesture of a pledge, I might say, as a direct and general answer, that I do not know any one who can give a pledge to tie up parliament from any course which it may think proper to pursue. But as a man may no doubt tie himself up by a pledge, and a charge to that effect has been made against me, I say distinctly that I know nothing of any such pledge. I never gave any pledge of the kind. I am not conscious of any • time or place, when or where I ever gave a pledge to that effect. I remember, indeed, that upon the occasion alluded to by a noble lord this night (Temple) that noble lord and his friends loudly condemued the converting of the militia establishment into a declared that it was not my intention. Now, exaggerate a conversation of that kind, and positive pledge, I admit it. I certainly denied upon that occasion that I meant to make the Militia a mere machine for recruiting the army. I denied it then, and I deny it now, but I never gave any other pledge upon the subject. The circumstances under which a recruiting then took place are well cruel and unjust to the landed interest, that

known; they were fully explained at the time, and it was an extraordinary case, that could not effect or change the general system of the Militia. So far as to any positive pledge with which I am charged; but a noble marquis (of Douglas) has discovered a singular sort of constructive pledge which he thinks may answer the same purpose. Alluding to the bill for reducing the militia, he reminds me of having said, at the time it was under discussion, that good faith ought to be kept with the militia. The question of recruiting was not then in view, nor under consideration, so that I do not see how my observation can be brought to bear upon the present question. I said then, that its reduction to a certain number, would be the best security, that when so reduced it would never be broken in upon These are the only pledges I ever What other pledges the noble lords gave. allude to, I know not. I only know what passed within my own mind, and what I have said upon the subject. As to the charge of sending officers to recruit for the line in the neighbourhook of places where militia are quartered, it will be indeed limiting the scene of recruiting for the line to a narrow compass, if every such place must be avoided. The officer, whose letter has been read, clearly did not conceive, from its contents, that he was acting wrong by recruiting in the neighbourhood of a Militia regiment. Is no officer to go into the vicinity of a corps of Militia for the purpose of recruiting? Where then is he to be sent? If a serjeant be sent out for the purpose of recruiting, he will go where he thinks he has the best chance of success. If he be a good and active person for the purpose, he will make a point of doing so, nor is government answerable for the irregularities which he may possibly commit. Government will guard, as well as they can, against irregularities, and it is their duty to provide for the carrying on of the recruiting service, in the way that shall produce the least trouble, inmode of recruiting for the line; and I then jury, or inconvenience to the individual, or to any other branch of the public service: if the noble lord and his friends means to some irregularities will take place, which government cannot prevent. Now, sir, as used upon that occasion, into a direct and to another point, I perceive an attempt made to inculcate an idea, that by this measure I am heaping a burthen upon the landed interest, and that it is a cruel and unjust tax upon the land. The land, they say, has already paid for the men's limited service in the militia, and, therefore, it is

his service more effectually, and that without any additional expence to the landed interest. A more singular and extraordinary argument than this I never heard. If I proposed to take away a man from the militia, and that another should be provided to supply his place, there would then be some consistency in the reasoning. I remember only one argument more that has been used, and requires an answer. The noble lord (Temple) has said, that at the time we are bringing forward this measure, we have also suspended courts martial, and that for the purpose of introducing insubordination into the Militia, and destroying its discipline, to facilitate the operation of the plan which I have proposed. Now, what is the actual state of the case? Some amendments were found necessary in the Mutiny bill, and we therefore suspended the proceedings of courts martial during the progress of the bill, in order that the parties to be tried should have the benefit of the amended act. But the bill has been passed, and the persons charged with insubordination or misconduct, to whom the noble lord alludes, may be brought to trial, and punished, if guilty. Of course, there is nothing in this argument that applies to the case. With regard to the course which I would'recommend, I propose, with the leave of the house, to bring in the bill this night, that it should be read a first time, ordered to be printed, and that the second reading should take place on Tuesday, when such gentlemen as are inclined to oppose it, may come prepared with their objections.

Earl Temple, in reply, said, that recriting parties were sent expressly into the neighbourhood where militia regiments were quartered, for the express purpose of enticing the men to enlist, and did not take that course by chance. With regard to another point, he did not state mevely that courts martial were suspended, but he complained also that the execution of sentences were countermanded in cases of trials al-

ready had!

The question for leave to bring in the bill was then put, and carried, without a division. It was then brought up and read a first time.—Adjourned. • •

> House of Lords. Friday, March 22.

[MINUTES.]—Counsel were further heard at considerable length, relative to the in the hands of the Commissioners. Or

this man should be encouraged to extend Scotch Appeal, Rochied v. Kinloch, bart, viz. Mr. Erskine, in continuation, on behalf of the Appellant; and Mr. Clark, in part, on the side of the Respondent. The farther hearing was deferred till to-morrow. —The hearing of counsel was temporarily adjourned, for the purpose of the Royal Assent being given by Commission to the Marine Mutiny Bill, to lord John Thynne's Indemnity, and to six Naturali-ation Bills. The lords Commissioners were, the Lord Chancellor, Lord Hawkesbury, and Lord Walsingham.—The bills upon the table were forwarded in their respective stages. Among these, the Exchequer Bills bill; the British Sugar Duties Drawback, the Spirits Warehousing, and the following Irish Finance bills, the Stamp Duties, Postage Rates, Excise Duties, Malt, Tax, Custom Duties Drawback, and the Irish Expiring Law bills, were severally read a second time.—Mr. Alexander brought up from the commons the Irish Sugar Bounty bills, which togother with a few Private bills, presented by other gentlemen, were read a first time. -Mr. Irving, Inspector-General of the Customs, presented an Account of the Imports of Great Britain, for 18 years, ending 5th January, 1805.—Mr. Mitford, from the Treasury, presented an Account of the public expenditure for the last year, and of the Unfunded Debt on the 5th of January last --- Mr. Young, from the Eustoin House, presented certain Account relative to the Trade and Navigation of Great Britain, directed to be annually laid before Parliament; and certain Accounts were presented from the Post Office. These accounts were severally ordered to lie on the table. Adjourned.

HOUSE OF COMMONS.

Friday, March 22.

[MINUTES.]—The house having attended the house of lords; pursuant to a sum ? mons by the Usher of the Black Rod, the Speaker, on their return, informed them that the Royal Assent had been given by Commission to the Marine Mutiny, and Lord J. Thynne's Indennity Bills .- Mr. Johnson, from the Chief Secretary's Office in Ireland, presented an Account of the Irish 6l. per Cent. Duties. Ordered to lie on the Table.—Mr. Irving, from the Customs in Scotland, presented an Account of the Exports and Imports to and from Scotfand, and also of all Arrears and Balances

dered to lie on the Table.-Mr. Huskisson mit to the Committee those taxes by which I presented an Account of the public Expen-propose to supply the deficiency of the diture for the last year. Ordered to lie on Ways and Means for defraying the charge the Table.—Similar Accounts with those for interest on the loan, in consequence of from Scotland were presented from the the decision of the House in rejecting the Commissioners of Customs in England, and tax upon Horses used in Husbandry, and the disposed of in the same manner. -Mr. Sta- alteration which has taken place in the tax velly, from the Post Office, presented an upon Salt, with regard to the export of that Account of the Arrears and Balances in the article. The estimate of the produce of hands of the Postmasters-General, up to the that part of the tax on Salt was little short of oth of January, 1805. Ordered to lie on 65,000l, and the tax on Horses employed the table—The Chancellor of the Exche-in Husbandry was estimated at 340,000l. and the tax on Fiorses employed in table—The Chancellor of the Exche-in Husbandry was estimated at 340,000l. for House, in consequence of the 10th Report of the Commissioners of Naval Inquiry, an Account of the money paid in discharge of the balance of 433,000, 17s. 6d. in the obvious to the Committee, that it is not easy hands of John Fordyce, Esq. and also of without sufficient time being allowed, to rethe proposal made by him, and the securi-joint to a source of taxation founded on a ties offered for the liquidation thereof. Or-distinct plan consistent with the means of dered .- Mr. H. Thornton, after stating the providing for the deficiency, without mavarious proceedings that had taken place in terial augmentation to the public burthens, regard to the days appointed for receiving The proposal I have to make will consist of the several petitions upon both sides of the more numerous taxes, to a small extent subject of this actition; the proceedings each, over certain articles of the Customs, also in former petitions, the comparative and some of the Excise. I shall begin with number of freeholders to be enquired into, those of the Excise. The principal article &c. &c. moved that the order for taking is that of Glass, on which I propose an adinto con-deration the remaining petition of dition of 50 per cent. to the existing duties. Sir Francis Burdett against G. B. Main- The duties at present paid are on the cwt. waring, Esq. on the 9th, of April, be dis-11. 12s. 8d. for plate glass, 8s. 2d. on what charged; which being agreed to, he next is called Streadwinter's glass, and 4s. 8d. on moved that it be taken into consideration on crown glass. The additional duties I exthe 23d of April.—A long conversation then pect will produce 80,000l.—The next artook place between Mr. Fox, who thought ticle is that of Bricks and Tiles. The prethe lists should be hist given in upon the sent duty on bricks and tiles is five shillings last petition; and that the 23d would be an a thousand, which will produce 37,000l. unlikely day for a tull attendance, on ac-This is an article on which there is an alcount of a festival on that day; Mr. Thorn-lowance made for bricks and tiles used for ton, Mr. Creevey, the Chancellor of the sheds and erections for the purpose of farm-Exchequer, and others. Mr. Thornton ing and husbandry, and consequently the proposed to appoint the 25th of April, to pressure of the additional tax will be the less which Mr. Creevey moved as an amend-felt. The next article is an augmentation greed to.

itself into a Committee of Ways and Means, the other, except that, with respect to tea, the secretary at War in the Chair, spoke as it is an article of distinct consumption by follows:—Sir, it is now my duty to sub-the lower orders of the people, while cof-

ment the 1st day of June. On which the of the duty on Auctions-6d. in addition to gallery was cleared for a division, which the present duty on the sale of Estates, and did not however take place, and Mr. Thorn-10d. on the sale of goods. The augmentaton's motion was agreed to. Mr. Thornton tion is 1-6th part of the present duties on the then moved, that the lists of freeholders ob-first, and 1-5th of the present duties on the jected to on both sides, upon Mr. Main-second: the produce I estimate at 31,0001. wairing's late petition, preparatory to the The next article is Coffee. It will be rescrutiny, should be delivered in, on or be-collected that when the duty was last ailfore the 15th of April, which was also a vanced upon Tea, there was not a proportionate tax imposed on Coffee. It does not [Supplementary Budget,] - The occur to the that there is any reason which Chancellor of the lixelequer having moved applies to one of these articles, as an object the order of the day for the House to resolve of taxation, that does not equally apply to

fee is exclusively confined to the higher- | I shall only trouble the Committee with movthe additional tax I shall produce is 6d. per | ing the Resolution .- The . Resolution was lb. which will produce 28,000l. • Thé next articles fall within the description of those of luxurious consumption; they are articles which hitherto have not borne their fair share of taxation—I mean Cider and Perry, which certainly have not been taxed in proportion with other commodities. Let it, however, be understood, that I mean the tax only to apply to cider and perry made for sale, and not to extend to those places where it is the usual drink of the people, and is made by the consumer: I propose an additional tax of 10s. per hogshead. I estimate it will produce 15,000l.—There is. another article which I shall propose to the Committee, because the same principle apphes to it, as an object of taxation, which applies to some of the articles I have named —I mean the article of Vinegar. The produce of threadditional tax is 11,0001.— There is only one other article, the consumption of which is a matter of choice and luxury, I mean Gold and Silver Wire,: I propose to double the present duties. The produce will be about 5000l. I trust the Committee will be of opinion, that these additional taxes may be levied without any very great pressure upon, or inconvenience to the Public. The whole amount of their produce will be 207,000l .- With regard to the Duties of Custom, the first articles on which I propose an addition, are Slates and Stones carried coastways. It must be evident to the Committee, that if a tax is laid on Bricks and Tiles, it is necessary that a corresponding one should be imposed on those articles which may be substituted for them. I propose an additional duty of 20 per cent. on Slate and Stones. The sum I estimate this tax at is 4,400l. There are only a few other articles on which I shall propose a duty of 10 per cent. The principal are, Iron, Barilla, and Turpentine. On these articles, from the information I have obtained from persons conversant with were highly proper and necessary to the the trade, I think I am justified in taking? credit for 22,000.; on all other goods, wares, and merchandizes imported, I shall propose an addition of 2½ per cent. beyond the existing duties: the produce I estimate at 176,000l.* The whole amount of the produce of the Additional Duties on Customs will be 202,400%, and those of the Excise 207,000l. making together the sum of 409,400l. The sum I want is 405,000l. I have taken will be more than sufficient. | formed in this country; and it was hard, be-

agreed to, the House resumed, and the Report was ordered to be received on Monday.

[LEGACY DUTY BILL.] - Sir Henry Mildmay said, the tax under this bill imposed upon legacies to children, could be considered in its operation only as a tax upon landed property. If a man had 4000l. annual rent from his land, with a numerous family to support, a very small part of it would be apportioned to the younger children in the will of the parent, and those who were protected during the life of the father, under the patrimonial mansion, when they were driven into poverty by the awful event to which he had adverted, were to be rendered subject to this new imposition. Estimating their fortune at 4000l, their income could not exceed 200l, and besides the Income Tax to be deducted from this small pittance, they must make a further sacrifice the first year of 40l. Another objection to the tax was, that instead of tending to discourage celibaty, it impossed a tax upon population, and the more numerous the progeny, the weightier the burthen. house had lately shewn the influence of its humanity towards mules and cart-horses, he hoped it would not be less indulgent towards the children of the state. The tax, in every point of view, was unjust and impolitio, and he should propose an amendment; in order that younger children might be relieved from the pressure of this imposition.

The Speaker informed the hon, member that no-amendment could be proposed till after the house had agreed to the third read-

ing of the bill.

Lord George Carendish observed, that it would operate as a check on persons giving away property, and though there were none that reprobated more than he did the practice of giving away property to the prejudice of those to whom it properly belonged, yet there were instances when such legacies discharge of the duties of humanity, and gratitude. His lordship appealed to the experience of the mercantile part of the house. There were many foreigners in this country who had either made fortunes by their long industry, or inherited them from the industry of their fathers, and whose long industry here, and the habits they had acquired, had detached them from any relations on the continent. These would very naturally so that the Committee will see that what leave their property to the friends the hadthought, to lay such a tax on this expression | right hon, gentleman would not persevere of friendship. The question had not been sufficiently canvassed. He concluded, therefore, by urging the propriety of postponing the third reading, that they might have farther time to give the subject due consideration.

Mr. Spencer Stanhope, said, He had as large a family to maintain as almost any gentleman in that house, with the exception of the hon. baronet (sir Henry Mildmay,) yet he should oppose the tax, from pure and disinterested motives. On every principle of taxation, to which he had attended, he should object that the younger children should be taxed, and that the elder should go face, who were best able to answer the public demand. It was, however, some satisfaction to him to discover, that there were various ways of avoiding this imposition; and he could assure the hon, gent. that he should not pay felt to provide for his family. He would say nothing of the distress of the times, of the columities during war, of the aggravated system of taxation; he did not wish to oppose the minister, but he flattered himself, in resisting this tax, he did him an essential titled, "Private Vices, Public Benefits," and *the advantages of general intoxication were insisted on in that work as a great source of national revenue. The waster and profusion of a general election were likewise contended for as conducive to the public instate. It was on some such principles alone, inequality of the lax was another objection. It was peculiarly directed against the ill-favoured, and against the ancient maiden, against the diseased, the lame, and the blind. These were more properly objects of pity, than of taxation. If the tax were to be imposed, the two first years of the income of it ought to be laid out in hospitals and reinneries, that the objects of it might thought the one he had just mentioned the be permitted to starve decently. He had most likely to be adepted. It had been obsaid, the tax might be eluded. It does not jected also to the measure, that it affected extend to Irish property; and a father, by the provision made for a family at the death investing his money in the Irish funds, would of the father, while any settlement made avoid it. Again, fathers seeing the conse-during his life was exempted. The object quence of this tax, would leave the fortunes of the measure was certainly not to affect of their younger children to the duty, fide- transactions, though the propriety of such lity, and honour of the eldest, who would a measure might open a wide field for disprovide for his brethren according to the cussion, but transactions were in many in-

in a tax directly opposed to every principle of state policy, justice, and humanity.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer adverted with great precision to the different arguments that had been urged against the bill; but our limits will not allow us to follow him at great length. As the house had already decided on the general principle of the bill, he did not think it necessary to go into it at so much length as otherwise he should have felt it his duty.—The noble lord opposite, (lord G. Cavendish) had objected to the clause relating to such legacies as were left to absolute strangers; but surely no part of the bill could be considered less obnoxious than that. In this case the advantage was unexpected, and it was natural to suppose that persons under this impression would part, without much reluctance, with such a portion of it as the tax required, and at any one faithing to the tax, from the duty he rate their claims to it must have considered much less strong than in other cases it would The attack which had been made on direct legacies, he conceived to have proceeded from misapprehension, or a very partial view of the subject. Much had been said of its falling heavy on the vounger service. He had formerly read a book, en-schildren of a family, but when gentlemen used this language they seemed to torget that the tax was very triffing in itself-not more than one for a hundred. Suppose a father should wish to leave to a younger child a legacy of 5000l, it would be easy for him to add 50l, to this sum for the payment of the terests, by enlarging the income of the tax, and so in proportion with any other sum, taking the addition from what would that the present tax could be justified. The have otherwise belonged to the eldest, so that in this case the younger branches of the family are completely exempted, and the tax comes from a quarter that is bestable to bear it. An hon, gentleman had supposed, that in order to evade the tax a father might be induced to leave the provision of the younger part of his family to the generosity of the oldest, but of the two alternatives he wishes of his deceased parent. Further, stances taxed ad valorem. It was urged the father night in his dying hour dispose of that it would fall heavy on the landed part his property to his children by gift, and exclude them from his will. He hoped the often to dispose of the legacy, in order to

be able to pay the tax; but a landed proprictor might always find some savings from which he could annex to the legacy the sum necessary to pay the duty. The monied man in this respect, could be at no loss, and even suppose the landed man had not the me us of leaving the ready money for the purpose he had mentioned, the 50l. he still supposes the legacy at the value of 5,000l. could be raised by insurance at not more than 30s, or 40s, a year, according to the age of the person insuring. On the whole the right honourable gentleman did not think that the objections that had been stated, ought to make any impression on the house, to the prejudice of the bill.

Mr. Grey observed, that it he was disposed to enter at large into the bill before the house, the speech of the right honourable gentleman furnished him argument enough to prove the injustice of the tax. The general beir of landed property was exempt from its operation, whilst personal property was subject to it. He did not disapprove of the exemption, but he must say, that a direct tax on capital (as this was) would necessarily discourage that enterprising spirit in commerce which was so essential to its extension. He considered the of the smallness of the sum to be paid, was tax also objectionable in a mixed monarchy like ours, in which the Aristocracy constituted one of the branches; but this must be sustained by property, for without it there would be little importance attached to rank. But, said the right honourable gentleman, (Mr. Pitt) landed property is not chargeable with this duty; he agreed that it was not chargeable in words; but was it not so in substance? The right honourable gentleman referred to the prudence and tenderness of fathers, and argued that they would leave the legacies to younger children free from this tax. If they did so, how was it to be done? By charging the amount of this tax on the legacies granted, to the estate of the eldest son. Thus it was evident from quer, that the operation of the tax would be eventually on landed property. He contended, therefore, that the house ought to pause and reflect seriously before it acceded always constited by those who had written it should not be forgotten that they ascribed

of a decline; this was not only true in the abstract, but confirmed by the experience of ages, and the history of those countries which fell to rise no more. But if he objected to the bill on this ground, he was still more averse to it in a political view. In a mixed government, like this, the credit of an hereditary aristocrasy could only be kept up by great possessions and extensive influence. These possessions and this influence were both attacked by the bill, which, for the first time, attached to legacies of land, as well as to personal property. Such taxes had ever been Condemned by the wisest political economists. They had always been considered as evidences, when resorted to, of a declining state. He hoped and trusted that such was not yet the situation of this country; but it was impossible for any considerate man to see such taxes introduced without a considerable degree of anxiety. The tax was one of the most glaring inequality. It was indeed a direct tax on misfortune, and calculated to aggravate affliction. Every fresh death called forth its operation; and it was impossible to say how often or to what amount it might be paid. The right honourable gentleman's argument, therefore, altogether nugatory. He fully entered into all that had been said about the hardships to which it would subject a younger brother. The right honourable gentleman had said, that if their portion was in land they would not be called on to pay. This was mere evasion; for every one knew that small divisions of land were not nearly so valuable. and therefore the younger brothers would have a strong temptation to sell their shares, even at a very disadvantageous price. But the right honorrable gentleman had further contended, that a small additional sum would cover the tax, and remove the difficulty complained of. What was this but in other words to say, that the land was to pay the tax, and if so, why did not the right hothe words of the chancellor of the exche-knourable gentleman propose the tax in this direct form? He strongly condemned the bill, as establishing a monstrous difference between heirs by settlement, and heirs by birth and consanguinity. This was an obto a measure, which, by indirect wording, jection which had great weight in his mindwould have the effect of a positive duty on It was contrary to every principle of justice the land throughout the kingdom. • He beg. to place those on a worse poting, who deged to remind the right annourable gentle- rived their rights from nature, than those man, that taxes of this description had been which arose out of positive appointment. The honourable member then replied to the and thought most upon subjects of this kind; defence of the right honourable goutleman, grounded on the smallness of the imposition. the imposing of them to evident symptoms | He said, with much artifice the principle of

this tax had been enforced upon the house, and the intention seemed evidently to be, to carry its operation much farther, so that neither the monied, nor the landed interest, could be able to ascertain what new sacrifices would be required under the pretence of supplying the demands of the state.

Dr. Laurence asked, whether those sums which were to be distributed among the next of kin, when there was a will, should not be liable to the tax, as well as logacies thentioned in the will?

The Chancellor of the Exchequer replied, that that would be a subject for future consideration.

The question was then put on the motion, that the bill be read a third time, which was carried in the aftirmative.

Sir II. Mildmay then proposed an amendment, that all the clauses in the bill directly affecting legacies to younger children should be left out. On this a division took place; for the original motion 164—Against it 72

-Majority for the bill 92.

[PANCRAS POOR BILL.] - A petition of several of the directors of the poor of the parish of St. Pancras, in the county of Middlesex, appointed in and by virtue of an act, made in the last session of parliament, was, presented to the house, and read; taking notice of the bill for repealing the said act, and for making other provisions in lieu thereof; and setting forth, that the petitioners conceive the said act is adequate to every purpose of parochial regulation and building a work-house, and the powers thereof sufficiently extensive; and that the present bill has originated with only a few of the directors, anknown to the major part of such directors and the parish at large; and the same contains powers and provisions which, if passed into a law, will in many respects be highly prejudicial to the interests of the petitioners; and therefore praying, that they may be heard, by themselves or counsel, against the said bill passing into a law." Ordered to lie upon the table, until the said bill be read a second time; and that the petitioners be then heard, by themselves or counsel, against the said bill, upon their petition. if they think fit.—Adjourned.

Saturday, March 23.

MINUTES.]—Counsel were farther heard relative to the Scots Appeal, Rocheid v. Kinlock, hart. viz. Mr. Chark in continua Bounties Drawback, and tion, and at great length, on behalf of the respondent. The farther consideration of the case was adjourned till Monday.—The

Irish Promissory Notes, and Sugar Bounties Drawback Bills, were read a second time, and the committees thereon negatived.— The various bills before the house were forwarded in their respective stages. Among these, the Exchequer Bills bill, the Sugar Duties Drawback, the Spirits Warehousing, the Irish Stamp Duties bill, Postage Rates, Excise Duties, Malt Tax, Custom Duties, and Expiring Laws bills, were severally read a third time and passed.—The order for summoning their fordships, for taking into consideration the 26th and 155th standing orders, with a reference to suspending the same, as far as related to the two last mentioned bills, being fread; lord Walsingham addressed a few words to their lordships on the occasion. He expressed his unwilling. ness to come forward in such instances, save where the necessity of the case justified a casual suspension of any of their Lordship's standing orders; such a proceeding was, he conceived, necessarily called for in the present instance; he should therefore move, that the said standing orders be suspended, as far as related to the bills in question. -The Lord Chancellor quitted the woolsack, not for the purpose of opposing the motion; for that, he thought, was called for by the particular circumstances of the present case, and he was aware of the importance of the bills in question to the revenues; but, in discharge of the duty he owed their lordships, to endeavour to impress on their minds the general necessity of scrupulously adhering to their standing orders, on which so much of the dignity and correctness of their lordships' proceedings depended. On that ground, he had therefore to capress his earnest hope that no similar occasion would again occur where it might become necessary to suspend the standing order of the house. Sufficient time ought to be given for the due consideration of bills in that house; and he regretted that two or three instances had occurred this session in which the standing orders had been suspended. He was aware that the calculations respecting the accounts between England and Ireland were difficult and complicated, and might probably take up much time. He repeated however his liope that a similar instance of suspending their standing orders, for want of sufficient time to go through a bill in ita ordinary stages, would not again occur. The question being put, and the special suspension ordered: the Ifish Sugar Bounties Drawback, and Fromissorv Notes bills, were, on the motion of lord Walsingham, accordingly forthwith read a third time

HOUSE OF LORDS. Monday, March 25.

[ROMAN CATHOLIC PETITION.] Lord Grentille wee, and said, that agreeably to the notice he had given, he was about to offer to their Lordships a petition from certain of his Majesiy's subjects in Ireland, professing the Roman Catholic religion. He now held the Petition in his hand, and in the first place desired that it might be read. The Clerk then read the Petition, of which the following is an authentic copy.

The humble Petition of the Roman Catholies of Ireland, whole names are hereunto subscribed, on behalf of themselves and of others his majesty's subjects professing the Roman Catholic Religion,

"Sheweth—That your petitioners are steadfastly attached to the person, family, and government, of their most gracious sovereign; that they are impressed with sentiments of affectionate gratitude for the benign laws which have been enacted for meliorating their condition during his paternal reign; and they contemplate, with rational and decided predilection, the admirable principles of the British constitu-

" Your Petitioners most humbly state, that they have, solemnly and publicly, taken the oaths by law prescribed to his majesty's Roman Catholic subjects, as tests of political and moral principles; and they confidently appeal to the sufferings which they have long endured, and the sacrifices which they still make rather than violate their consciences (by taking oaths of a religious or spiritual import contrary to their belief), as decisive proofs of their profound and scrupulous reverence for the sacred obligation of an oath.

" Your Petitioners beg leave to represent—that by those awful tests they bind themselves, in the presence of the Allseeing Deity, whom all classes of Christians adore, " to be faithful and bear true "allegiance to their most gracious sove-" reign lord King George the Third, and "him to defend to the utmost of their "power against all conspiracies and at-"tempts whatsoever that shall be made " against his person, crown or dignity; to "do their utmost endeavours to disclose " and make known to his majesty and his " heirs all treasons and traitorous conspi-" racies which may be formed against him VOL. IV.

"support, and defend, to the utmost of " their power, the succession to the crown " in his majesty's family against any per-"son or persons whatsoever."-" That, by "those oaths, they renounce and abjure " obedience and allegiance unto any other " person claiming or pretending a right to "the crown of this realm; that they re-" ject and detest, as unchristian and im-" pious, to believe that it is lawful in any "ways to mjare any person or persons "whatsdever under pretence of their " being heretics; and also that unchristian " and impious principle—that no faith is " to be kept with Heretics-that it is no ar-"ticle of their faith-and that they re-" nounce, reject, and abjure, the opinion, ... "that princes excommunicated by the "pope and council, or by any authority "whatsoever, may be deposed or man-"deted by their subjects, or by any other "person whatsoever; -that they do not " believe that the pope of Rome, or any " other foreign prince, prelate, state, or " potentate, hath, or ought to have, any "temporal or civil jurisdiction, power, " superiority, or pre-emmence within this "realm;—that they firmly believe, that "no act in itself unjust, immoral, or " wicked, can ever be justified or excused "by or under pretence or colour that it " was done for the good of the church, or " in obedience to any ecclesiastical power "whatsoever; and that it is not an arti-" cle of the catholic faith, neither are they "thereby required to believe or profess, "that the pope is infallible, or that they " are bound to any order, in its own na-"ture immoral, although the pope or " any ecclesiastical power should issue or, "direct such order; but that, on the con-" trary, they hold, that it would be sinful " in them to pay any respect or obedience "thereto—that they do not believe' that "any sin whatsoever, committed by them, "can be forgiven at the mere will of any "pope or of any priest, or of any person or persons whatsvever, but that any per-" son who receives absolution, without a "sincere sorrow for such sin, and a firm "and sincere resolution to avoid future guilt, and to atone to God, so far from "obtaining thereby any remission of his " sin, incurs the additional guilt of viola-"ting a sacrament; and," by the same solemn obligation, "they are bound and the firmly pledged to defend, to the utmost " of their power, the settlement and ar-"or them; and faithfully to maintain; ["sangement of property in their country"

"and solemly abjured any intention to tion of the population of Ireland, and con-" not exercise any privilege, to which they " are or may become entitled, to disturb they are denied the capacity of sitting or " or weaken the protestant religion or pro-" testant government in Ircland." • •

their feelings, that it should still be thought this honourable house. necessary to exact such tests from them firm, that the political and moral principles, which are thereby asserted, are not only conformable to their opinions, but expressly inculcated by the religion which they profess; and your Petitioners most humbly trust, that the religious doctrines, which permit such tests to be taken, will and in the administration of the laws, in be pronounced by this honourable house to be entitled to a toleration, not merely constitution and government of this realm; and that his majesty's Roman Catholic subjects, holding those principles, will be considered as subjects upon whose fidelity | the state may repose the firmest reliance.

their most gracious sovereign and the honourable houses of parliament in Ireland, by their public and deliberate act, deby mutual interest and mutual affection;" [throughout his majesty's dominions. a declaration founded upon morning principles of justice and sound policy, which that this unqualified interdiction of those still remains to be carried into full effect (although your Petitioners are impressed istations, from the franchises of all guilds with a belief, that the apprehensions which and corporations, and from the patronage retaided its beneficial operation previous and benefits annexed to those situations. to the union cunnot exist in the parliament lis an evil not terminating in itself; for they . of the whited kingdom).

" as established by the laws now in being; | now in force, his majesty's Roman Catho-" -that they have disclaimed, disarbwell, his subjects, who form so great a propor-" subvert the present church establishment | tribute so largely to the resources of the "for the purpose of substituting a catholic state, do yet labour under many incapa-" establishment in its stead;" and that they cities, festraints, and privations, which have also solemnly sworn, " that they will affect them with peculiar severity in almost every station of life; that more especially voting in either of the honourable houses of parliament; the manifold early conse-"" Your Petitioners most humbly beg quent upon which incapacity they trust it leave to shew, that however paintul it is to is unnecessity to untold and enumerate to

"They are disabled from holding or ex-(and from them alone of all his majesty's ercising (unless by a special dispensation) subjects), they can with perfect truth af-jahy corporate office whatsoever in the cities or towns in which they reside, they are incapacitated and disqualified from holding or exercising the offices of sheriffs and sub-sheriffs, and various offices of trust, honour, and emolunie win the state, in his majesty's military and naval service. this their native land.

" Your Petitioners, declining to enter partial, but complete, under the happy into the painful detail of the many incapacities and inconveniencies avowedly inflicted by those statutes upon his majesty's Roman Catholic subjects, bog leave, however, most carnestly to solicit the attention of this hon. house, to the humiliating and ig-"Your Pentioners further most humbly nominious system of exclusion, reproach, shew, that 26 years have now elapsed since suspicion, which those statutes generate and keep alive.

" For your Petitioners most humbly shew, that in consequence of the hostile clared, that, " from the uniform peace- spirit, thereby sanctioned, their hopes able behaviour of the Roman Catholics of of enjoying even the privileges, which, Ireland for a long series of years, it appeared through the benignity of their most reasonable and expedient to relax the dis-Igracious sovereign, they have been capaabilities and incapacities under which they citated to enjoy, are nearly altogether frusbelowed, and that it must tend not only trated, insomuch that they are, in effect, to the cultivation and improvement of this shut out from almost all the honours, digkingdom, but to the prosperity and strength inities, and offices of trust and emolument of all his majesty's dominious, that his in the state, from rank and distinction in majesty's subjects of all denominations his majesty's army and navy, and even should enjoy the blessings of a free consti- from the lowest situations and franchises tution, and should be bound to each other lin the several cities and corporate towns

"Mind your petitioners severely feel, of their communion from all municipal beg leave to state, that, by giving an ad-Mes your Positioners most humbly vantage over those of their communion to w, that, by virtue of divers statutes others, by whom such situations are ex-

of qualified monopoly, universally ope- that they are hurtful and vexatious to the rating in their dislayour, contrary to the feelings of a loyal and generous, peoplespirit, and highly detrimental to the free- and that the total abolition of them will be dom of træle,

"Your Pentioners likewise severely feel, that his may ste's Roman Catholic subjects, in consequence of their exclusion from the now existing in this realm. offices of should and sub-shoriffs, and of the hostile spirit of those statutes, do not l fully enjoy cert in other inestimable privileges of the Profish constitution, which the law has most jealously maintained and secured to their reflow subjects.

"Your Petitioners most humbly beg leave to solicit the attention of this bon. house to the distinction which has conceded the elective, and demes the representative franchise to one and the same class of his his maje ty's subjects; which detackes from property its proportion of political power undas a constitution whose vital other, which closes every avenue of legapresumed to have great credit and influence among the mass of the population sovereign, their profound respect for the of the country; which refuses to peers of legislature, and their dutiful submission to the realm all share in the legislative representation, either actual or virtual; and their humble claims and expectations of renders the liberal profession of the law to Roman Catholics a mere object of pecumary traffic, despoiled of its hopes and of its honours.

" Your Petitioners further most humbly shew, that the exclusion of so numerous and efficient a portion of his majesty's subjects as the Roman Catholics of this realm from civil honours and offices, and from advancement in his majesty's army and navy, actually impairs, in a very materral degree, the most valuable resources. of the British emptre, by impeding his majesty's general service, stifling the most honourable and powerful incentives to civil and imilitary merit, and unnecessarily restricting the exercise of that bright prerogative of the crown, which encourages good subjects to promote the public welfare, and excites them to meritorious actions, by a well regulated distribution of public honours and rewards.

"Your Petitioners beg leave most humbly to submit, that those manifold incapacities, restraints, and privations, are absorbately repegation to the liberal and comprehensive principles recognized by their laumbly presume to express their earnest, ment of Ireland; that they are impolitic in its wisdom and liberality, deem the se-

clusively possessed, it establishes a species | restraints upon his majesty's prerogativefound not only compitable with, but highly conducive to, the perfect security of every establishment, religious or political,

" For your Petitioners most explicitly declare, that they do not seek or wish, in the remotest degree, to injure or encroach upon "the rights, privileges, immunities, possessions, or revenues appertaining to the kishops and clergy of the protestant religion, as by law established, or to the churches committed to their charge, or to any of them "-the sole object of your petitioners being an equal participation, upon equal terms with their fellow-subjects. of the full benefits of the British laws and constitution.

"Your Petitioners beg leave most humprinciple is the union of the one with the bly to observe, that although they might well and justly misst upon the firm and unlived ambition against those who must be abated loyalty of his majesty's Roman Catholic subjects to their most gracious the laws, yet they most especially rest relief upon the clear and manifest conduciveness of the measure which they solicit to the general and permanent tranquility, strength and happiness of the Briash empire. And your Petitioners, entertaining no doubt of its final accomplishment, from its evident justice and utility, do most solemnly assure this hon, house, that their earnest solicitude for it, at this peculiar crisis, asises principally from their anxious. desire to extinguish all motives to disunion. and all means of exciting discontent.

"For your Petitioner's humbly state it as their decided opinion, that the enemies of the British empire, who meditate the subjugation of Ireland, have no hope of success, save in the disunion of as iuhabitants; and therefore it is, that your Petitioners are deeply anxious, at this moment, that a measure should be accomplished which will annihilate the principles of religious animosity, and animate all descriptions of his majesty's subjects in an enthusiastic defence of the best-constitution that has ever yet been established.

"Your Petitioners, therefore, most most gracious sovereign and the parlia- but respectful hope, that this hon, house will.

may be effectually relieved from the operation of those statutes, and that so they may be restored to the full enjoyment of and to every inducement of attachment to suffered to lie over, but that it would be that constitution, equally and in common with their fellow subjects throughout the Bittish empire. And your Petitioners of argument in support of the petition. will ever pray, &c. Signed Shrewsbury, Waterford, and Wexford: Fingal, Kenmare, Gormanstown, Southwell, Trimles-tewn, &c. &c."

Lord Grenville again rose, and moved. that it do be on the table. He said, it was not his intention to make any other motion at present, on a subject of so much importance; much deliberation was necessary previous to any notice being given of a motion for the consideration of the petition. When it should be thought fit to assured their lordships that ample notice would be given.

Lord Auckland felt himself bound to say on the table. He declared that the nature of the petition, until this moment, had 'however,' listened attentively to it, while sacred a privilege, that nothing could exreading, and, from what caught his ear, it appeared to him that the petitioners claimed a full participation of all the rights and privileges of the other subjects of his majesty. That was a claim which merited the most serious consideration. If it were to be conceded, the consequence would be: that their lordships would see a protestant testant religion here, while it was losing its ascendency in Ireland. In Ireland, their lordships would see the protestant that this subject had been brought forward, but since it had come under the notice of their lordships, he trusted that the question ships could not now let the subject pass over, but must feel it to be their duty to discuss it minutely, calmly, and dispassionately. He would give no opinion at present, but he must confess that he saw . nothing in the signs of the times that would it might be distractly understood, that it

veral statutes; now in force against them, and undermine the fortifications which had no longer necessary to be retained \$ and been raised for the protection of the church that his majesty's loyal and dutiful subjects, and state. He must use these words church professing the Roman Catholic religion, and state, because they presented an idea to his mind, which he never could separate from that of the constitution of the country, Having said thus much, he again expressed the benefits of the British constitution, his hope that the business would not be brought forward as speedily as possible.

Lord Grenville would not use one word He should only observe, that so far us it was in his power to expedite the business, it would be forwarded, and he was anxious that no unnecessally delay should take He also assured their lordships, place. that when the subject was brought forward, it should, as far as depended on him, receive a deliberate, calm, and dispassionate discussion. With regard to the merits of the petition, he whild say nothing now; that was what he wished to avoid at present, even if any thing could submit that proposition to the house, he have induced him to offer any observations on a motion for laying this petition on the table.

Lord Hawkesbury, after what had passed, a tew words before the petition was laid could not permit the petition to be laid on the table, without taking the opportunity of saying a few words upon the motion. been perfectly unknown to him. He had, The right of petitioning he held to be so cuse their lordships from receiving petitions from any description of his majesty's subjects. To this general proposition he knew only two exceptions: 1st, when the grievance complained of was one over which their lordships had no jurisdiction, and which they therefore could not redress. 2d, when there was something disrespectking, in this country, maintaining the pro- | ful in the form or language of the petition. The present petition, however, came not within either of these exceptions, and he therefore should not object to its being religion established by law, while the may haid on the table. He concurred with the gistrates and legislators of the country moble baron who had introduced the petiwould be Roman Catholics. He regretted | tion, in deprecating all premature discussion; but, if it was that noble baron's intention to bring the subject again before the Mouse, he thought it but justice now would be fully investigated. Their load- to declare, that if any motion should be made for carrying into effect the prayer of the petition, he should then, but not till then, state his reasons for opposing any such measure.

Lord Grenville said, he only rose, that · induce him to break down the, barriers, I was his intention to bring forward the subconsideration of the house, and that with as little interval of delay as the circumpetition was then ordered to lie on the able.

The Duke of Norfolk rose, to move that the Petition should be printed. He was not aware whether such a motion was conformable to the custom and usage of the position to the judges, as containing points house; but when he considered the im-Inpon which he, for one, perhaps, could portance of the subject, and the variety have no doubt. He should, however, in of assertions made in the Petition, all of the interval he meant to propose, pay a which it was indispensably necessary their most redulous attention to the precedents, lordships should thoroughly investigate, he and to the records on the journals. It wished, if there was the order against it; certainly required some deliberation, as to press upon the attention of the House'a possibly, by taking such a step precipimotion for printing the petition. He at tately, they might advance further in error. the same time regretted, that a noble lord, After considering the proposition in a vaholding a high situation in his majesty's riety of legal points of view, in the course most intimate councils, should have prematurely expressed an opinion inimical to the prayer of the petition.

The Lord Chancellor said, he believed it would be found, on the one hand, that there was no order against printing a petition, and that, on the other, there was no the amendment of the noble and learned example of any petition having been printed. He thought that the importance of the petition demanded that it should remain on the table, for the examination of every one of their lordships, instead of if found necessary, tread back their steps: being sent out of the House to be printed.

-The motion was negatived.

[CONDUCT OF JUDGE FOX.] Lord Auckland, agreeably to the notice he had given, brought forward some farther propositions the opinion of the judges on the occasion. tance of the case in the way of precedent, proceedings in the committee being adjourned till the beginning of May, against which period their, lordships might be furnished with the necessary information of advice upon the point, moved to the general effect, that " the case against Mr. Justice Fox, the charges alleged, and steps such cases. taken in consequence, be referred to the

ject of the petition and its prayer to the farther proceeded in that house, and in that manner."

The Lord Chancellur did not then wish stances of the case would admit.—The to offer any opinion as to the substance of his noble friend's motion, but he recommended that the consideration of such a proposition should, be deferred for a few days. On the first view of it, they should hesitate ere they agreed to put such a proof which he deprecated the putting such questions to the dearned judges without further inquiry and deliberation; he moved, that the further consideration of the motion be adjourned till this day se'nnight.

Lord Grenville did not mean to oppose lord, but agreed in favour of a great deal of what fell from the noble lord who opened the discussion. He contended, that sooner than persist in error, they should, and he hoped, that no fear of imputed inconsistency would deter them from doing. that which they should find to be right. He thought it would be proper to take in reference to the pending case of this who, it should be recollected, had, offimagistrate. After some general obser-cially, seats in that house. No objection vations, as to the peculiar importance of would be urged, he thought, to the noble the case, the necessity which existed for and learned lord's amending the language. their lordships deciding upon it with the of the proposition, as he should deem proutmost caution and deliberation, with a per; and, after adverting to what he conview equally to the correctness of their crived a difference in the line of argument own proceedings, as well as to the important adopted by the learned lord, and what he had urged on former occasions, and, adverting to the circumstance of the lobserved, that he should not hesitate to follow that line of conduct adopted by lord chancellor Clarendon, on an occasion nearly similar, who resorted for information and advice to that quarter, which the. usage of that house, and the constitution. equally pointed out as the most eligible in

Lord Hawkesbury conceived, that the consideration of the judges for their opi- proceedings then before their lordships nion of the same; and whether the case, were not upon an address to his majesty, as it stood, could, consistently with the for the removal of a judge; but the questrelevant acts and usage of parliament, be tion was, what proceedings should be had

ceedings, so far as they had gone, he con- ships the necessary assistance. ceived, must be either substantially right, and elaborate argument of the noble baron (lord Grenville), and the several cases which he had cited in support of that arbaron, he considered it rather a quibble day se'nnight was then but, and carried,upon the question, than an argument Adjourned. grounded upon sound principles and the strict justice of the case. He contended, * that the proceedings hitherto had been regularly and formally right. Their lordships could pursue no other course; he, therefore, thought the consideration of the question should be postponed for some days, in order to afford an opportunity of

digesting the subjects Lord Ellenborough conceived that the question before the house was, not whether their lordships were to address his majesty for the removal of one of the judges, but whether their lordships would take the opinion of the learned judges, as to the manner of their future proceedings? His lordship had no objection to his learned friend's wish to postpone the consideration of the question for some days, as in truth he thought it but right they should take time upon so important a proceeding; but the impression, upon his mind was that, before any address should be presented to his majesty, the question should be tried by a court of law, and Mr. justice Fox should be either found guilty, or acquitted, of the charges laid against him. by the verdict of 12 honest, and upright! their lordships. preferable mode. their lordships would resort to them, who dered.

previous to such an address? Those pro- were so very competent to give their lord-

Lord Gentille then read the case of or formally wrong; and the very learned Bridgman against lord Chief Justice Holt, for the satisfaction of lord Ellenborough, which case his lordship had chiefly relieft upon. The cause originated in the refusal gument, were by no means in point, but of the Chief Justice to receive a bill of were quite distinct and separate from the exceptions which had been tendered to question before their lordships, With him. The question for postponing the imgreat respect and deference to the noble ther consideration of the matter until this

HOUSE OF COMMONS. Monday, March 25.

. [MINUTES.] A message from the lerds announced their loadships' ascent to the Irish Customs bill, Excise bill, Stamp Duty bill, the Postage bill, the Malt and Spirits bill, the Sugar Drawback bill the English Exchequer Bills bill, the Eughsh Sugar Drawback bill, the Promissory Notes bill, and the Expiring Laws bill, all without Soon afterwards, the any amendment. gentleman usher of the black rod appeared at the bar, and summoned the speaker and house to attend in the house of lords, to bear his majesty's assent given, by commission, to certain bills. The Speaker and members accordingly attended; and on his return be acquainted the house, that he had heard the royal assent given, by commission, to several public and private bills, among which were those above enumerated, as also the Irish Warehousing bills.—A person from the Stamp-office presented an account of stamp duties and balances in the hands of the collectors at this present period.—Mr. Bagwell, after men. The regular proceedings should be obtaining leave to withdraw the liish had upon that verdict, a' writ of error! Election bill which he had lately brought should be brought, and the verdict either in, moved for leave to present one more affirmed or reversed; if the former, then perfect. Agreed to.-Mr. Fitzgerald methe matter would come properly before | ved for leave to bring in a bill for regu-His lerdship then went lating the Right of Common in Ireland, in into some observations of considerable the same manner as in England. Leave length, upon proceedings by impeachment, given, -Mr. Fitzgerald, also moved, that which he considered would be the most there be laid before the house copies of the He expressed a strong patents under which the commissioners of desire that the opinion of the learned the treasury in beland field their offices, judges should be taken upon the question. at the time of making their returns; and It was by no means beneath the dignity the warrant or warrants issued for paying of that house, or at all derogatory to their their salaries; also a list of the several lordships' privileges, to take such an opi- persons appointed commissioners of the mon. It was for such purposes the learned treasury, and the funds out of which the Judges sat in that house, and he trusted several payments have been made. Or-

report of the committee of ways and means. The several resolutions with respect to the of presenting the Irish Catholic Petition came to that relating to the proposed tax might be the discussion which the subject upon anctions,

as unobjectionable as under the circumstan- that the great body of Catholics in Ireland ces could be well expected, animadverted had to complain of numerous grievances, on the tax just referred to as likely to bear and that they meant to adopt the most with peculiar hardship upon a very dis- respectful manner of procuring redress, by tressed part of the people, and therefore this numble application to parliament. irreconcileable with the principle which the He did not think that the present would right hon, the chancellor of the exchequer be a proper time to make any further obhad himself laid down on a former eves-I servations upon the subject, and he should consideration of the house, that auctions the petition. He then stated shortly the were already subject to a tax of from-10 to 12 per cent, and that small traders, who generally disposed of their goods in that way, and distressed persons who were often obliged to have recourse to it, would be much affected by the proposed addition. He recommended an exemption in favour of such persons, on the same principle on the property and other taxes.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer said, that he should not at present enter into a discussion of the merits of this proposition. He should only observe, that, if the noble should be received; for no tax, however generally good, could be free from some parthe tax on eider and perry,

dered act angly.

[REPORT OF THE SUPPLEMENTARY] never rose with more satisfaction in BUDGET.] Mr. Alexander brought up the the whole course of his life, than at this present moment, in being the instrument new taxes were read, and when the clerk to that house of parliament. Whatever of this petition was likely to give rise to, Lord Henry Petty rose, and after admit- he was convenced it would afford a most ting that the other taxes proposed, were satisfactory proof to every feeling mind, The noble lord pressed upon the therefore only move for leave to bring up substance of the petition; and leave being given to bring it up, Mr. Fox appeared at the bar, and brought up the petition, which was then read by the clerk (see p. 97). After it was read, Mr. Fox moved that the petition do lie on the table. On this motion being put from the chair,

Mrt Cartwright rose. He said it was which an exemption was granted under not his intention on that occasion to enter into the ments of the question, but he could not help expressing his regret, that the subject of the petition was likely to be brought to a discussion under the present circumstances of the country: Much as lord's argument were adopted, it would be he largented what did pass upon the subimpossible to bring forward a tax that ject not long since, and much as he lamented the importance attached at that time to the immediate concession of the ticular objections. The objections, however, privileges withheld from the Catholics, he stated by the noble lord were not applicat was in hopes no further steps would have ble to the general principle of this tax. - bean staken to accomplish that object. Upon the resolution being read relating to till at least there was some reason to suppose ano insurmountable difficulties stood Mr. Bastard stated, that, according to in the way of its attainment; and he could information which he had received this not help expressing his surprize, that the day, cider was, in consequence of an all advocates for these concessions should be teration made by the excise in the course so anxious for a discussion, knowing as they of the last year, raised from the nominal do all the circumstances of the case, and duty of 20s. to 24s, per hogshead. With the certainty of no success. He could not the proposed addition, therefore, it would comprehend the policy or patriotism of be subject to 30s. per hogshead. He creating a discussion, if not likely to go hoped, however, that the new duty would further, and of thus unseasonably agitating not attach to the stock on hand. If it a subject of such general expectation and should be so proposed, he declared his limpertance, on which the feelings of the intention to oppose it. The resolution was finishitants of Ireland were so unhappily agreed to, as were the others, and bills or falive, and which may lead to no small ferred assumpty. [ROMAN CAMPOLIC PETITION.] Mr. Mr. Fax said, that the bon. member had

Fox, previous to bringing up this Petition, alluded to an insurmountable obstacle; addressed the house, and said, that he but what that obstacle was, the hon, gent.

hear, upon the discussion of the measure innkeepers and others for quartering troops, nature of that obstacle was, and then hel tional Import Duty bill, and the additional respecting it. At present he should only first time.—Col. Stanley presented a pesay, that it was his intention to appoint a day for the discussion of a proposition founded on the petition. From information received, he understood that the Irish members were for the most part at present long delayed was, that as it applied for a in Ireland, upon the business of the assizes, and that they could not conveniently return for some time. It was his wish to fix upon as early a day as possi- | read, and referred to a committee.—()n ble; he should mention the 9th of May, but in the course of the ensuing week the Committee of Enquiry in 1792 on the he should be able to state the precise day.

Dr. Duigenan urged the propriety of ascertaining with precision the day on which the motion of the hon. gent. would be brought forward, and hoped he would hon. Col. that an Address be presented to positively fix on the 9th of May for that his majesty, requesting that he would be

Mr. Fox had no objection whatever to that day himself, but uptil he had consulted those who were more immediately interested, he did not feel entitled to say that that should certainly be the day on which der of the day being read for the 2d readhe would make his motion.—Adjourned.

Tuesday, March 26.

[MINUTES.] Their lordships proceeded further in the Appeal, Rocheid, esq. against Kinloch, bart. Mr. H. Erskine concluded his reply. After which the further consideration was postponed till Tuesday next.—Mr. Alexander brought up the Legacy Buty bill, and two private bills; which were severally read a first time.-Mr. Worsley, from the London Flour Company, presented the annual accounts of the Company; which were ordered to lie on the table, - Adjourned.

·HOUSE OF COMMONS.

Tuesday, March 26.

MINUTES Mr. Rose brought up the American Neutral Trade bill, which was read a first time. Mr. Rose brought up the contrary, the regular army was wasted the bill granting to aliens abroad the same by a protracted war; and it was under privileges in the disposal of prices as were these peculiar circumstances that it was just at War obtained leave to bring in a authority of parliament. In this instance the same scheme was recommended, when

had not mentioned. He should wait to ing the rates of subsistence to be paid to to which the petition referred, what the &c. - Mr. Huskisson brought up the addishould take occasion to state his opinion Excise Duty bill, which were read a tition, praying that another Petition from the Duke of Athol, relative to the improvements in the Isle of Man, might be brought up. The cause of its being so grant of public money, it was necessary to obtain his majesty's consent to it. I eave was given, the Petition was brought up, the motion of Col. Stanley, the Report of state of the Isle of Man, and all accounts of the revenue of the Island were ordered to be referred to the same committee. It was likewise ordered, on the motion of the graciously pleased to cause to be laid before the house a copy of the report of the Privy Council, dated 21 July, 1804, on the former Petition of the Duke of Athol.

[MILITIA ENLISTING BILL.] The or-

ing of the Militia Enlisting Bill,

Mr. Hughes rose and delivered his maiden speech in parliament. He said he was not in the habit of offering himself to the attention of the house, but the strong call of duty constrained him to overcome his natural reluctance. He admitted the present bill was less objectionable than that which had been adopted in 1799, from the modifications it had received; but as he must resist the principle of it, those variations could not reconcile him to the measure. The bill was brought forward without any ground being laid for its introduction. When the former one-was submitted to the attention of the house, a case of remarkable urgency was made out, although not such a case as, in his mind, vindicated the expedient. What was the situation at that time? In the year 1799, we had a prodigious defensive force: the Militia exceeded 100,000 men, and we had a numerous body of Fencibles. On now possessed by the natives of the United permitted to recruit out of Kingdom. Read a first time.—The Secre-bles and out of the Mikia, under the

the motive was gone. We were told by he conceived the general principle was the right hon, the Chancellor of the Exchequer that the regular army, had greatly increased; that the recruiting was active for the augmentation of the disposeable force, in these times, could by no gentleforce, was soom to be brought into complete operation. Why then should we resort to this odious and obnoxious method? If the quota of 17,000 would not enter as volunteers, would the right hon, gent. disband the national Militia? Did he, after all his expressions of doubt and alarm, begin now to consider the garrison of the country as too strong 3. Had the fears of the nation been absurd and nugatory? Were the fleets of the enemy destroyed? Were his &rmies disbanded? Was his ambition annihilated? Did the invasion of this country no longer tempt his appetite for universal dominion? But there were constitutional objections to the measure. Every standing army in Europe, which menaced or destroyed the liberties of the soil by which it was supported, we were told, had its origin in a harmless militia; we ought, then, never to-lo-e-sight of that precaution, we ought never to abandon that jealousy, which would prevent such dangerous encroachments. The best officers in the militia had been disgusted by the former regulation, and had retired from the service; but it was promised no such plan would be revived. Now insult and flattery were combined, and it was vainly expected gentlemen would submit to such illusions, and patiently bear with repeated mortifications. Whenever the act of 1799 had been mentioned in that house, and it never was mentioned innaccompanied by consure, it had been defended by ministers! on the ground of the necessity of the times, and a solemn pledge had been given never to recur to the same mode of proceeding After this open breach of faith, how could

some of the details were objectionable, yet from the militia which, might join the

right, and ought to be adopted. The advantages were so obvious, that they seemed to require no comment: the accession and effectual; and that his favourite act of 15 or 16,000 men to the disposeable man be considered as unimportant. If he were disposed to criticise upon any thing with severity, it would be, that the measure was not before 'proposed. The bill directed, that the men should be permitted, from the militia regiments, to a certain extent, to enlist in the regular forces. He did think the terms were too general, and that they should not be allowed to enlist into the Foot-Guards, because these were not disposable in the same sense as the troops of the line of every other description. He had no objection to their joining the royal marines and he thought the artillery ought to have its share. The marines were entitled to great credit. They were at this moment in want of a considerable fectuit, and 5 or 6000 men would be to them a very valuable acquisition. Before he could rasign the benefits this measure promised, he must be convinced of its impolicy on some constitutional principles; but, perhaps, if he discerned that the militia was likely to suffer any permanent injury from the project, he should, from his affection for it, he constrained to oppose the bill. It was on these constitutional grounds the non-gent. endeavoured to meet it The term constitutional, it was true, was often used without any regard to its legitimate meaning: it was sometimes employed merely to express the interests of individuals, not the great scheme of national good. Whatever was consistent with the interests of some persons, was to them perfectly constitutional; whatever opposed these * interests, was the reverse, and from the obscurity consequent on this personal aviwe depend on any future pledge? how dity, they often entirely lost sight of could we assure ourselves that when it was the constitution. He by no means indeemed convenient, government might tended to apply these observations to the not once more return to the charge and hon, gent.; but he would explain what he propose a further, recruiting out of the understood by the constitution, as it ap-40,000 that were now allowed to exist plied to the present question. It was ex-Under all these circumstances he should plained by the common and written law of give his decided negative to the motion. This law directed every man to Mr. Yorke said, he was somewhat con-stand forward in defence of his country, cerned, that several militia officers, com- when 'called upon' by proper authority; rades of ms, had expressed themselves in and it was said, that a respectable military such strong terms against the bill. He force is necessary to the defence of the however agreed with those gentlemen, that realns. As to the number or proportion

Vol. IV



So far was he from thinking it was prejudiwith the other, that he would recommend, been of a very different opifion. that it should be annually proposed to the temporary to mite with the permanent establishment, to a certain limited extent. at that particular moment, might have ren- | Force bill, the contents of which, however, dered it impossible to have raised the mi-lipe would not affect very accurately to comlitia to the numbers required. He would prehend. One benefit, however, to the broadly contend, the militia could suffer counties, was perfectly clear; they would no detriment whatever; the whole was be exonerated from maintaining the faalone be injurious. But it was said, that militia service. On the Additional Force the feelings of the militia officers would bill be might be permitted toway, that as be wounded. He could only judge of the far as he understood it, it was unreasonable feelings of other gentlemen by his own; and impolitic; he thought so before, and and he acknowledged, that as far as his he continued in the same sentiment; but own comfort and honour were concerned in the expedient having been resorted to, he the regiment he commanded, he should be judged it predent to give it a fair trial. happy to see that the individuals who com- But whatever objection he might have to posed it, were anxious to offer themselves the general tendency of that bill, he had to a more enlarged service, where their no difficulty in concurring with that part of discipline and gallantry would be more be- it which respected the reduction of the neficial to their country. The scheme in militia; not because this force was too 1759 had been alluded to; that must be acknowledged not only to have been a wise between the temporary and permanent but a prosperous measure. At that time establishments were not duly preserved. 500 men from the regiment in which hel The opposition to this bill was the more served joined the army, and most of them entered the 20th regiment, which had been ready decided, that the disposable force peculiarly distinguished for the services they have rendered their country. So much he would say for feeling, and he surplus of the militia to the regular force. would add, that any thing that would hart! Having advanced various other arguments the generous sensibilities of the officers of in favour of the bill, he said, he heartily the unitia, he should himself be the last hoped it would succeed; he should give it man to endure. He could not but lament, the best support he could. He disapproved as much as any one, if officers of merit of that clause which allowed the commandand courage were to leave the ranks in dis- ing officer to cuthis regiment in halves, and gust on account of this bill; but it was say, government should have one half, what he could not believe they would do, while he kept the other. The probability until he had the misfortune to know it in such case was, the commander of the from his own observation. He held in his militia regiment would take the grenadiers hand a circular letter signed by 32 field and light intantry, and give government officers of militia regiments, expressive of the remainder. Possibly the grenadiers their disapprobation of the tall before the and light infantry, unpelled by their valour house... Much as he respected them; he fond the desire of gaining renown, might could not help observing, that of this num- be anxious to join the regulars, in order ber 18 were distinguished for their oppo- to go to Paris to pull down the emperor of sition to government, and he could not France and king of Italy. The commandwould theshing, that if certain arrange-ling officer would, by the operation of the

gular force, there was not a syllable on the | ments had taken place which were once subject in the catalogue of English law. expected, if what was called a broad comprehensive administration had been formcial, that one should combine occasionally ed, most of these gentlemen would have trusted, therefore, that as their objections were not purely sout mental, but mixed with political feelings, the effects produced It might be asked, why he did not suggest by the bill would not be so considerable as this when he had the honour to bear a part | had been predicted .- He did not think in his majesty's councils? The opinion of this plan would impose any burthen upon administration then was, that it was more the landed proprietors; he thought, at adviseable to adhere to former plans than | least, if any were endured, it would be to resort to new ones; and such a scheme relieved by the provisions of the Additional voluntary, and compulsory measures could indies of those men who abandoned the great, but because the relative proportions unaccountable, because parliament had alought to be increased, and the most easy and natural means was to carry over the

his power to repress their ardour, and forbid their enlisting. He hoped the house would not, by rejecting the measure, run the risk of losing the means of obtaining an honourable and secure peace, and, till its attainment, a sure defence for the kingdom.

parents were ready to spring to the defence bent on its destruction. to defend their homes, and not detachbe found willing to quit the officers under be exposed as little as possible.

thing upon the bill in the present stage of of his right home relation on a former ocright hon, gent. (Mr. Yorke), who found so strange a method of reconciling duties blame of it. In saying, that if an adminiso very contrary to each other, as those of strution had been formed on a broad and a secretary at war, and of a militia co-fcomprehensive scale, the same measure right hon, relation (Mr. Pitt), in support entitled to do..." of his ineffective Defence bill, which met wiThe Marquis of Douglas conceived the with the decide apposition of the right bill to be anjust in its principle, and injustion, gent. When this measure was re-rious in its operation. The right hon, gent. sorted to in 1799, there was a better (Mr. Yorke) had said it was not unconstituground for it than now, as a distinct object tional; he did not contend it was not wit.

clause to which he referred, have it in that it should not be recurred to any morel Besides, at that time, the militia officers had only the proposition made to them of using their influence with the men to enlist for limited service in Europe, whereas now they were to be induced to enlist for life, and for a smaller bounty than before. He could not account for Mr. Bastard had always imagined that the motives which could lead the right hon. gent. (Mr. Yorke) in time of peace, to of their offspring, when attacked by any augment the infitia to 60,000 men; and danger; but the present instance contra- now, in time of war, and threats of indicted his experience on this subject, for vasion, to vote for their reduction to 40,000. although the augmented state of the militia. He old not, it seemed, feel any constituwas the child of the hon, gentleman who tional objection to the measure; but he had just sat down, he seemed to stand up should have recollected that the militia as its most determined enemy, and to he was raised as a constitutional force, and The effect of for the specific object, on the faith of parthe bill would, he much feared, be to drive I hament, that they should only be employed men of property and consequence out of at home for the defence of the country, the militia service. Should an invasion without being under the control, or totake place, we had not the slightest doubt tally at the disposal of the crown. The that they would cordially unite to fight militia was quite a separate establishment, pro aris et focis, but he was apprehensive and when the crown neglected it, the lords that nothing but the actual landing of an lieutenants of counties were invested, after enemy could restore that harmony that was a certain lapse of time, with the power of so desirable. For his own part, he con-appointing officers. It was not raised at fessed he was one of those who would the expence of the crown, and was always be contented to see the militia remain paid by land-owners and farmers, who were at the expence of providing substied on any such Quixotic expedition as tutes. It was in vain to say, that the men the dethroning of a King of Lombardy, by this measure had the option of enlist-If, for the mighty sum of ten guineas, for ling or remaining, as they might think the honour and glory of being a private proper; because every one knew that if soldier, and for the pleasure of pulling their companions volunteered, those who down the King of Lombardy, men would refused to do the same would be looked upon as wanting in military spirit. It was, whom they had served so long, he sowned indeed, voluntary in the name, but, in fact, that, for the credit of his country, he it was the contrary. He was one of those wished such a want of attachment should oppositionists, who, as a militia colonel, signed the circular paper alluded to by the Earl Temple said, he did not know that right hon. gent. (Mr. Yorke); but as he he should have been induced to say any was drawn into the scrape by the example it, had it not been for the speech of the casion, and he hoped, that gentleman would not refuse to take his share in the The only argument for this bill, would have been pursued, and no objecnamely, that of getting a great accession tion made to it, the right hon, gent. only to the regular army, was used by his assumed a fact, which he did not allow him

was then stated for it, and a pledge given as far as respected the constitution of the

much about the delicacy of his feelingst; but to volunteer in the regulars, or to part from he seemed destitute of all sensibility; his the officers who now commended them. military apostacy was only equalled by his His lordship concluded by saying that he ministerial apostacy.—Here Mr. Yorke, to spoke under the protection of that princiorder, represented the impropriety of using | ple which sanctioned every man in advansuch language. The Speaker informed the long what he thought was the good of his noble lord that he should abstain from country, and particularly at a time when personalities.

The Marquis of Douglas said, he bowed common integrity and equity. with great deference to the authority of the chair. He then proceeded to state that the militia officers did not so much object to this measure, from feeling, as upon the constitutional ground, that by proposing this measure to them, they would be defrauding them into an acceptance of what they had no reason to expect. He hunself, though by no means divested of the feelings of a milma colonel, objected to it! principally from its doing injustice to the species of the national force. The noble proprietors and occupiers of the land, who lord defended himself, and those who opwould by this bill be obliged to pay a posed the present measure, from the obsecond bounty for substitutes. It was fallacious to say, that all persons paid equally, and in proportion, for the army and navy. The members of the universities, and many other descriptions, were ex- given their ready concurrence to the meaempted from the operation of the ballots. Laure now proposed. He then entered at Part also of the pay of militia men was considerable length into a discussion of appropriated to the maintenance of their the consequences of this bill, which he families; but when they should enlist into characterized as unconstitutional and unthe regulars, the support of their wives and just. He said, it was an attempt to inchildren would fall upon the parishes. As a Scotchman, he said,, be had to complain that the country was put to the expence of the object of the right hou, gent, to creproviding for 12,000 men; there were no more than 6,000 left for its defence; and mone of the regulars stationed there, for their domestic protection. He heard a seport, that the noble lord (Moira) who had the chief military command there, had lately resigned it, finding that all those exconfidence, respect, and veneration of all hon, friend (Mr. Pitt); for he felt then, sufficient to supply the military deficiencies. sufficient officers for the militia, as it Tie must also object to the measure upon stood at the increased number of 68,000. invasion had not yet subsided. chance had we of co-operating in any continental war, when we were destitute, of a house. single ally? In his majesty's speech from The Chancellor of the Exchequer observed the throne; the word "ally, was not even that he had been auxious to hear the senfrom it, nor did he hear of a single move- own. He was happy to have the concur-

militia. The right hon, gent, had talked the wish or disposition of the militia soldiers its councils stood so much in need of un-

> Lord Stanley rose to make some remarks on what had tallen from a former secretary at war (Mr. Yorke), and on the inconsistency of his conduct, in the part he had taken in the present measure. He did not know how to reconcile the conduct of the right hon, gent, in approving of the present measure for the reduction of the militia, after having himself introduced a bill for augmenting that constitutional servations of the right hon, gent, that if what he called a broad-bottomed administration had been formed, those who voted against the present bill would have crease the regular army, without ascertaining the expence. It seemed to be ate a large force, without being much concerned about the means he made use of to accomplish it.

Lord Euston approved of the measure. The principle was that of the bill for the gradual reduction of the militia. He was one who thought that reduction necessary ertions of his which had gained him the when proposed last session by his right parts and ranks in that country, were not and did still feel, that there were not the ground of policy, when the alarm of He was a militia officer himself, and should What do every thing in his power to forward the objects of the measure before the

mentiqued, we only ventured to speak of timents of such gentlemen as chose to confidential pegociations, with foreign come forward on this occasion before he ment in our favour. He denied that it was rence of his noble-hiend who, had just sat

• 5

down, whose attachment to the militia was but with regard to the means by which the well known. This approbation removed part of the regret which he had felt, because he was obliged to differ so materially from many gentlemen connected prove of another? Yet this was the whole with the militia. The arguments which he inconsistency. It might as well be argued heard from the other side this night were much the same as those which had been urged on a former occasion, and therefore he should not think it necessary to trouble the house at any great length. One observation he had heard with considerable surprise, and that was, that no ground had been laid for such a measure as this. The gentlemen seemed to have torgot the whole of the discussions that had taken place during this session on the state of the Army. They said that he, and those who agreed with him, had asserted, that there was no want of any increase for the army. He was in the hearing of the house, and appealed to at whether such a thing had ever been said. It had been said, certainly, that there were sufficient reasons for continuing the operations of the Defence bill for some time longer, but it had never been asserted that its efficacy was so much to be depended upon, that nothing else would be necessary. But while they thus perverted what had been said on his side, they said themselves; and, notwithstanding their clamours respecting the deficiensider it as a conceded point, that no augmentation was necessary. But if there was any thing on which all parties were fully want an augmentation; and the only difit would be proper to pursue for the purthen it was contended by a noble relation expedition, like that to Holland, should be laid as the ground for this? The argu-

bject was to be attained. The right hon, gent. had disapproved of one method, but was that any reason why he should disapthat a right hon. gent. opposite (Mr. Windham) was inconsistent in opposing this measure, though there, the inconsistency was still stronger, for this measure actually adopted that very thing which was the only one like a system thrown out in the course of his speech, on a former occasion, by that right hon, gent, namely, the reduction of the militia. Then again, it was said that there was something unjust and unconstitutional in this bill. As to the constitutional part of it, this objection had been fully answered by the right hon, gent. on the same bench with him (Mr. Yorke), and the only attempt at a reply to him, merely went to confirm his statement. But then it was said, that this interfered with a pledge given by parliament, and, that it was unjust to the officers of the militia. who had raised a certain number of men who were to be tied down on the defence of the country. Now his answer to that was that this would have been a most extraordinary pledge if it ever had they seemed to have totally torget what been given by parliament, which consisted in chaining down such a number of men, so that they could not be called upon cies of the army, they now wished to con- in any case of emergency. But the deef . was, that the act fixed the militia to the smaller number during peace, and only empowered his majesty to call out the reagreed, it was that the army certainly did mainder in case of war. No pledge whatever had been given on the subject, nor ference was, respecting the means which could it be given, so as to be binding in The object was, to all circumstances. pose of effecting that augmentation. But enable the surplus of the militia to form a better defence to the country, by being of his (lord Temple) that a distinct object more disposable than if they had been conwas necessary before any measure of this fined to our own shores. The next object sort could be justified. Could it be seri-tion was, the injustice done to the person ously asserted that it was necessary that an who procured a substitute. All that would happen by the extension of the service. would be, that the substitute would be ment was so extraordinary that it would enabled to resider a more effectual service. be wasting the time of the house to enter to the state. The country gained some. upon it. Yet this alone, with the exceptithing by this; but where the loss to the tion of one, was the serious objection, individual was, he was utterly unable to That other one was the inconsistency that conceive. But then there must be a balappeared in the conduct of the right hon. lot for more. The only immediate effect gent. (Mr. Yorke) who with so much abi- would be, that the vacancies in the relity, had spoke in favour of the measure Joured number must be immediately made What was this inconsistency? The diffic- up, and this certainly would not be so exisrence was not with regard to the object, l'ensire as to come often upon the same

the casualties in 40,000 would be much mose easily filled up than if the whole number of the militia had been kept on foot. Then as to the injury to be apprehended to discipline and subordination, it was not to be demed that every measure should be taken to prevent such a jinschief. The recruiting officers should be kept at as great a distance as possible. He allowed that while the measure was pending, the officers and men in the regular service would present temptations, but so far from countenancing such applications, no instructions had been sent to the regular regiments to invite the militia to volunteer. One application had been made for permission to hold out such invitations, but would venture to say further, that every thing that could be done by the military department of his majesty's govt, to premeasure as palateable as possible. The would at all events win. Here the hon. the committee; and the setting apact one militia system had been from time to time giment, was a security against deterioration. As to permitting the marmes to recruit from this source, he doubted the propriety of it. As to the artillery, it was to elevated by heaping Pelion upon Ossa, be permitted to them. As to the guards, story on story, till it was impossible to go it was not determined, whether they further. The right hon, gent, was at that should; if they were, it should not be to time in the militia line, he afterwards extent, and with a limit as to size; opened shop for the abolition of the ballot. but he doubted altogether, whether it He had, however, stuck to the old trade would be right to open this channel of re-till it failed; he kept close to the ballot cruiting to them. The measures taken till its death, and he cried out its last speech for the gradual augmentation of the regulier the introduction of his parish bili—this lars, had not had all the effect that could parish beauty, in coarse russet clad, of be desired or that was expected from whom he was so violently enamoured. The thence, but they afforded a constant and ballot had not been given up till late. It continued supply to a sousiderable amount. | had been given up, however; it was tound On this ground it was desirable for the that it increased the bounty, and that, by public to adout the means of augmenta- its means, men were not to be had for tion now proposed, with a view to give general service. Then the right hon, gent, the utmost effect to any farourable circum- [set out-with a general deblanation against

posed he had any objection to the increase abolition of the ballot was one step towards of the troops of the line. That was an obtaint, negative system, which he and his

persons who, had already procured substi-liect so much in conformity with all the tutes. There was, on the contrary, an sentiments he had maintained, and so diadvantage in the reduction to the persons rectly in opposition to all those that had who were exposed to the ballot, because been supported by the right hon, gent. who moved this measure, that it was not likely lie should oppose him in it. Theright hon, gent, was now employed in taking down a part of the building which he had been so long employed in erecting. He applauded the workman and he applauded the work. It was a satisfaction to find that the right hon, gent, was so good-naturedly disposed to correct every thing erroncous he, might have formerly Considering the length of established. time the right hon, gent, had formerly been A power, considering he was now in power again, and that many wished him to remain in power, it would be to be lamented indeed if he adhered to every error he had fallen into. The right hon, gent, had it had been peremptorily refused. He completely relieved the house from that apprehension, for a more formal, distinct, and pointed recantation of his former principles and practice could not possibly be vent the irregularities apprehended, would exhibited. It was certainly a departure he done. It was to be recollected, that if from his former system only in part, for four-fifths of the quota were ready at the with a happy variety, it contained in it time, no further demand was to be made; what, in the sporting language was called and that every thing had been done, and "a hedge," the effect of which was, that would be done, on his part, to render the there was a chance the right hon gent. particular provisions may be modified in gent, adverted to the extent to which the half for the foundation of the reduced re-learned. The English militia was increased by the addition of the supplementary; then the Scotch militia was added, and afterwards the Irish. The building was stances that may arise. The ballot, which he at length found out. Mr. Windham said at was not to be sup- was very injurious to the service. The

hon, friends had recommended. tainly the first step towards effecting good; merly made, that measures ought to be but the right hon, gent,'s change had adopted wholly and not partially, as in the not this effect. Two negatives, it was said, made an affirmative; but the right hongent.'s second proceeding was but a further; departure from his former principles and practice. His parish bill went to abolish the ballot, or at least to remove it to a limited term of years, and the improveconsiderable distance. But if that took it ment of the condition of the soldiers. If away, this measure gave it back. Because this were adopted, people would flock to the parish bill removed it to too great a the army like bees, as long as you had a distance, this brought it near, so as to ena- hive to receive them. He allowed that, ble him more easily to come at it. These if necessity required, he himself would be observations, he confessed, went more to the author of the measure, than to the to the militia than the present, and he measure itself; but though measures were to be judged of in themselves, yet a part of their credit was connected with the personal character of those from whom they proceeded, and therefore what he had said on this head was not improper nor irrelevant. The right hon, gent, had argued, that he and those who were of opinion the young timber of his estate, which in a with him that the regular force was too little time would be double its present value. small, and the militia too large, ought to support this measure. Certainly, it would not be inconsistent in them to support it, but they were not therefore necessarily to do so. Though they argued that the militia should be reduced, and the regular army augmented, they were not therefore bound to approve all means whatsoever proposed for carrying those objects into effect. His objection to this measure was, that it did not produce advantage to the regulars in proportion as it did injury to the militia. The only difference was, that the number of men transferred would be applied to a more advantageous service. He allowed the service was more advanta- again from the country, had produced geous; but it was not trained men that the about 2000, which was nearly the same unexercised men to those disciplined militia, who would never make good troops. every respect equal to the regulars in train- to subsist upon its votes, as a noble lord tomed to equally severe discipline, but mer occasion. The house had voted the from the nature of the service there was always something hanging about a militial it would produce recruits for the army to

When this to argue that no aid should ever be being asked for their plan, they said it taken from the militia to the line, but neconsisted merely in getting rid of all the ver without great emergency - Another impediments that checked the simple re- objection was much more extensive, and *To remove an evil was ver- was founded on a remark which he had forpresent instance, because the success often depended on the union of the several parts. In the plan which he had formerly proposed, the right hon, gent, seemed to have torgot that he had included service for a ready to take stronger steps with respect considered the mitigations allowed by the right hon, gent, as the most convincing proofs that the necessity of the measure was not felt.—He regarded these expedients so frequently varied, as the ruinous resources of a spendthrift prodigal, who, to supply the want of the moment, cuts down Those ministers who had reproached their predecessors with inefficiency, had found that they could not get through their own measures for six months. The shifts resorted to from day to day, by them, was an argument for the committee he had had the honour on a late of casion to propose. He did not believe this measure was in contemplation when the parish bill was introduced, which was a proof, that the system of ministers was temporary and unstable. Thus the parish bill, which was to produce 27,000 men, of which 9000, were to be allowed to go into the regulars every year, and to be supplied army wanted, and he put it to the regular proportion a tailor bors to a man. Thus, officers, whether they would not prefer instead of the full grown man that had been promised, the country must now content itself with this miscrable tailor's ap-He did not say that the militia were not in prentice. "The house was in fact reduced. ing; in many instances they were accus- had very properly expressed it on a formeasure of last session, in the hope that man which rendered him more untractable a great smount. The hope had failed. than was consistent with the well-being of Now this measure was called for with size the regular service. He did not mean in millar promises, and it was unknown what

other equally infallable expedient was in larmy. It was a measure of such a nature, reserve, if the promised hope should not would be attended with great inconvenience, and the officers of the militia were averse to it. He took occasion to revert to the irritation with which his hints at the property of reducing the militia had been formerly received. He then admonished the triend's of that system, that they had less to apprehend from those who who afforded them a treacherous defence. my friends, and I will guard myself against my enemies." This applied well to the militia in the present instance. The right hon. gent. opposite (Mr. Yorke) had argued forcebly in support of his own sentiment on this occasion, but he allowed he had but few of his brother officers of militia with him. The question was not which | day .- Adjourned. opinion was right, but which opinion prevailed; not what they ought 'to feel, but what they do feel. When the right hon. gent. said, that '18 out of 32 militia colonels, who signed the resolutions against ciples of opposition to ministers, he surely did not see the effect of this position; for if so darge a portion of the aristocracy of the country, the rank, the landed properand influence, were to be seized upon a distinct question of this kind, to convey their decided disapprobation of the minisof the sense the best part of the country entertained of these ministers. The gene · rality of the feeling, however, let what may be the cause of it, afforded no argument for the success of the measure. The coun--try, notwithstanding the extraordinary success of all the measures recommended from the other side, was still extremely at' a loss for a disposable torce; this, with the expectation of possible circumstances in-Europe to afford room for employing this force, were the reasons for resorting to recur to, except in cases of extreme necessity. The repetition of this measure went totally to change the militia from its origithing like a permanent good effect on the sented the papers relating to Sir Home

that we could not long go on in it, but be fulfilled. The measure now proposed should soon come to a total stop. Our military system should be so constructed that its parts would correspond with and assist each other. The consequence doing this thing in this case was, 'that one, Lart of the existing system acted in one direction and another in the opposite. Then there was a sort of compromise, and such a compromise was most rumous to any openly attacked them, than from those thing like a general system. For all these reasons, and for many others, which he (see vol. 3. p. 606). There was a Spanish | could adduce, he throught himself perfectly proverb, which said, "protect me from consistent mopposing this bill.—The question being called for, the house divided—

> For the second reading - 148 Against it

> > 89 Majority - -

The bill was then read a second time, and ordered to be committed on Thuis-

HOUSE OF LORDS. Wednesday, March 27.

[MINUTES.] Counsel were heard rethis measure, were actuated by party prin-I specting the Scotch Appeal, Andrew Blane, writer to the signet, trustee for sir A. Cathcart, of Carleton, bart. v. Archibald Earl of Cassilis, and others; viz. Mr. Renully, as leading counsel for the appellant, at considerable length.—The bills upon the table were forwarded in their respective stages; among these, the Additers of the time, it was a pretty clear proof tional Legacy Duty bill was read a second time, and committed for to-morrow; and the Committee on the bill to authorise the Oxford and Cambridge Colleges to purchase Advowsons, was postponed till Friday.—Adjourned.

HOUSE OF COMMONS. Wednesday, March 27.

[MINUTES.] Mr. Curwen gave notice that he should move to-morrow that an humble address be presented to his mathat which the govt. was pledged not to jesty, praying that he would be graciously pleased to order copies of the Attorney and Solicitof General's opinions relative to the rights and claims of the Duke nal constitution, to destroy the principle of Athol in the sele of Man, to be laid of connection, and thus to do a vast mjury before, the house.—Mrt. Dickenson, conto the home service, without having any formably to an order of the nouse, prearmy. This measure could not be made a Popham; which were ordered to lie on part of a general system for recruiting the the table. Sir J. Newport, according to

athe present.—The Neutral and Foreign country had leave to issue small notes un-Ships bills, the Customs Duty bill, and the Excise Duty bill, were read a second time Mr. Johnson, from the secretary of state's office in Ireland, presented an account of the sums due by the commissioners of the navy, on account of sums advanced by up to the 5th of Jan. 4805. Ordered to as that suggested by the hon, gent, re-Androssan, in the County of Ayre, against the Corn bill Ordered to be on the table. —Mr. Calcruit presented a petition from the inhabitants of the parish of St. Pancras against the Poor Bill which was ordered to he on the table till the second reading of the bill, when coursel should be heard in support of the petition.—The Secretary at War brought in a bill for augmenting the othem. rates to be allowed innkeepers for nonshall be quartered on them; which was and a first time. - Adjourned.

[IRISH SMALL NOTES BILL.] Mr. Foster, conformably to the notice which he gave on Monday last, moved for leave to bring in a bill to restrain the negotiation of promissory notes and Ireland Bills of Exchange under a limited sum in Ireland. The right hon, gent, observed, that he should have moved for this bill long since, but that he had waited for a silver currency, now coming, to supply the place of those small, of silver notes, as they were termed. Every person in the least acquainted with the state of Ireland must acknowledge and lament the ill effects prothat country: the object of the bill, therefore, was to restrain; the further issue of a paper medium, which has inundated Ire- bill were not speedily passed, it must be land, and injured it most materially in every respect.

Mr. R. Martin said, he was inclined, at sessed by the grand juries at the assizes. first, to oppose the restriction. but he had since, changed his opinion, and cipitate public business; but, at all events, could not but congratulate the country in having a chancellor of the eschequer who first place, surveyors must be sent to exact

Vol. IV.

notice, made a motion respecting Irish was capable of effecting so desirable an Hospitals and Asylums for Lunatics, &c. object. He hoped, however, that the right but after some conversation on the subject hon, gent, would see the propriety of albetween Mr. Alexander, Dr. Duigenan, lowing private banks to issue small notes, Mr. Bastard, Mr. Rose, and lord Temple, on a plan similar to that recognized by par-*he hon, baronet withdrew the motion for liament in England. Private banks in this der 51.; he trusted, therefore, that the same indulgence would be granted to banks of the same description in Ireland, not for the benefit of the banks, but for the accommodation of the public.

Mr. Foster replied, that the policy of collectors in Ireland for scanien's wages, granting such a privilege to private banks, he on the table -Mr Rose brought in the quired serious consideration; it would be United States' Commercial Treaty bill, competent, however, to the houseent, to and the Spanish Merchandre bill, which bring forward the subject at some future were read a first time.—Sir II. Daliyinple period; he should not then trouble the presented a Petrtion from the inhabitants of house with any further observations, but confine himself to the object and substance of the bill for which he had the honour of moving.

> Mr. Magens said, that as the right hon, gent, had mentioned an intention of the bank of Ireland to issue silver tokens, to relieve the necessity of silver notes, he would be glad to know the nature of

Mr. Foster answered, that, in addition commissioned officers and privates who to the Spanish dollars now in circulation in Ireland to a considerable amount, bank tokens of 10d. and 5d. each, in order to answer Irish currency; would be issued. The motion was then pead from the chair, and leave given to bring in the bill.

[IRISH POST ROADS BILL.] Mr. Foster also moved for leave to bring in a bill to amend an act, for the repair of post roads, passed in the parliament of Ireland, in the 32d of the king. The object of the amendment was, that postmasters may be enabled to send the mails by mail coaches or otherwise, with greater safety and expedition than could be done'at present, from the badness of many of the public roads, which, by the bill, should be reduced by the issue of notes under 51. in paired and altered, where the public convenience and advantage made it necessary.

Colonel Bagwell observed, that if the over till next year, because the money for the proposed improvement was to be as-

Mr. Foster said, he did not wish to prenow approved beartily of the measure. He that delay was necessary for accomplishing, the object of the present measure. In the

mine and measure the ground wholever John Duke of Athol, on the Isle of Man. improvement was to be made; the returns Ordered .- Mr. Crevey rose to make his of the surveys would be, pf course, a tedious work; hence it was obvious, that hurry would not answer the purpose on the to inform the house, that the law in Ire- March last. He would therefore move, land did not allow more than four gallons " that an humble address be presented to of spirits to be carried from any dictillery his magesty, praying that he would direct without a permit; the consequence of that there be laid before the house, a copy which was, that Liverpool jais, containing of the order of council, of the 31st March a quantity somewhat smaller than the law last, in favour of the claims of John Duke specified, had been employed for the pur- of Athel." Agreed to .- Col. Stanley movpose of taking advantage of the act. It ed for a copy of the memorial presented was not unusual to have 20 or 30 men to the privy council by John Duke of running from one establishment with liquor Athol.—Mr. Curwen rose to move for sethus conveyed. The object of the bill veral additional papers relative to the which he meant to bring in was to remedy Duke of Athol, and wished for the prothis detriment to the revenue. Leave was granted.—Adjourned.

HOUSE OF LORDS.

Thursday, March 28.

[MINUTES.] Counsel were farther heard on the Scotch Appeal, sir A. Catheart, speakerhaving acquainted the hon member bart. extlic carl of Cassilis, namely, Mr. that this would have been more conforma-Clarke in continuation, as second counsel for the appellant. The farther consideration of the case was postponed till to-morrow.—The bills upon the table were forwarded in their several stages; among striction bill. Read a first time -The Innthese the Additional Legacy Duty bull keeper Rates bill, the Spanish Trade Lawent through a committee, and was afterwards reported.—Some private business were severally read a second time.—The was disposed of; after which the house adjourned till to-morrow.

HOUSE OF COMMONS. Thursday, March 28.

pention from the manufacturers, trades- the ground that the present cheapness of men, and the inhabitants of Manchester, praying for the repeal or amendment of being made use of to adulterate port. the Corn bill, passed last Sessions. Orthe house comes of the opinion delivered chief of the forces in India, and regulates

motion respecting the claims of the Duke of Athol upon the Isle of Map. He observed, that during the last administration, present occasion .- Leave was granted to an order had been prade adverse to the bring in the bill.—The right hon, gent, claims of that peer, bearing date in Aug. & likewise moved, for leave to bring in a bill 1802. He was desirous of knowing what to amend the Irish Spirit Licence bill, as gave rise to the sudden change of sentifar as it regarded distillers. The right hon, ment with regard to the claims of the noble gent, observed, that it might be necessary duke, and produced a contrary order in duction of copies of all the papers of the proceedings relative to the compensation granted at the time of the sale to Government, in 1706. Mr. Rost observed, that the most regular way of proceeding would have been for the hon, gent, to have given previous notice of his motions. The ble to the rules observed by the house, Mr. Curwen named to-morrow .- Mr. Foster brought up the Irish Sparit Permit Duty bill, and the Irish Small Note Recence bill, and the American Treaty bill. Alien Prize Ships bill, the American Goods bill, the Irish Customs and Excise Duty bills, and the Spanish Wine bill, went through a committee. In the committee on the Spanish Wine bill a clause was mserted, for imposing the same daties on [MINUTES.] Gol. Stanley presented a Spanish red wines as on French wines, on Spanish red wines was the occasion of their

[Bengal Judicature Bill.] Lord dered to lie on the table. Sir John New- | Castlereagh pursuant to notice, moved for port brought up a bill for establishing alleave to bring in a bill to amend the act of provisionary Asylum, for Lunatics in Ire- the 33d of the king, which prescribes the land; which was read a first time -Mr. powers to be given to be India company Curven moved, that there be laid before in the appointment of a commander in by the Attorney and Solicitor-General be- the duties of the governor general in counfore the Privy-Council, upon the clauss of cile at Hengal. In making this motion,

it was not las intention either to make any of the armies in the held at the same time, It were possible to give him support in the but for the latter duty he had no emolu- execution of it by any effort of mine, he ment, notwithstanding his bravery and the utmost compensation. By his exploits, and from circumstances which have since happened, our territories in the East have considerably increased since the time of that noble and gallant officer having first and read a first time. taken the field, and gentlemen need not be told that the duties of the civil goyt, of motion being put for the house resolving our possessions in the East must consequently have become more numerous, and on the Militia Enlisting bill, that it must require more time and a greater degree of attention to direct the civil alluded. And, in the event of the person whom he had already mentioned going to introducing the subject to the house.

however, he must inform the house, that | tions ; that lord Cornwallis, on his arrival. in Bengal, which cannot be computed at material alteration in the forms or add less than six months from this period, will considerably to the expence of the esta- find India still involved in war, and that blishment. But, in the event of an exalted he may be obliged to take the field in permilitary character taking the chief com- son. If that be well founded, it gives us mand of the forces in the field, he thought but a melancholy prospect of the state of that it would be of essential benefit to the our affairs in that quarrer. I do not perservice, and would tend to the further se- ceive that the bill gives lord Cornwallis curity of our possessions in that quarter, any new or extraordinary powers; and, if if the person who was to have the chief di-[it did, I should not be inclined to oppose rection and management of our armies in it, for two reasons; first, because I should the field should have an opportunity of think it not at all unlikely that the exigens sitting in the council and giving his advice cy of the case might 'require such powers; or communicating his formation on mat- and then, because I know of no person ters that were intimately connected with among those who have acted in great statheir welfare. He should therefore pro- tions in my time, whom I should be more pose, that the commander michel should ready to trust with great power; than my have a place in the council at Fort William! [lord Cornwallis, . Judging of him by all When that illustrious personage, that noble his public conduct, I am convinced that and gallant officer, the marquis Cornwallis, power may be safely trusted in his hands, was formerly, in India, as the office of go- and that he will never use it but for the vernor general and that of commander in benefit of the public service. If my voice chief are both united, and as he was a could contribute to his honour, he should unlitary man of great experience, and pos- have it without reserve, for the spirit that sessing the utmost ability, he had the di-prompts him to undertake such a task, as I rection of the connects and the management know it to be, and at such a time; and if might be sure of it. I am convinced that success are known so well to have deserved this great object will be to compose the disorders of India, and to restore peace and tranquillity to the unfortunate mhabitants of that country. - Leave was then gazza do nonbring in the bill, which was brought up

> [MILITIA ENLISTING BILL.] On the itself into a committee of the whole house,

General Fitzpatrick said, it was his intention to propose in the committee a affairs of the company in the East than it clause for limiting the time of the enlist. did at the distant period to which he ment of these volunteers. It was now 14 years since he first endeavoured to impress the propriety of enlisting for the army for India, it would be of the atmost importance a term of years. The enlistment for hie, that the council at Fort William should however, still continued, though he was have his experience and ability added to convinced his proposition would be ultithat of which it is already possessed. He mately adopted, as the only certain mode therefore moved, that leave be given to of permanently recruiting the army. His amend the act which he had mentioned on plan was partially adopted in the volunteering from the militia in 1799, in which Mr. Francis then row and Suid; sir, I case the enlistment was for a definite term am not aware of any objection to the pro- of 5 years, and during the war. There visions of this bill. The cases stated, was no provision to that effect in the prethough I hope not likely to happen, ought sentibill, and that was his principal reason to be provided for. The supposition can- for voting against it on the second readnot be made without some painful reflecting. He would propose in the committee

for the term of 5 years, without any limitation of place.

Mr. Ellison said, the bill was neither authorities. unconstitutional nor unjust: and if it was made both to bow and bend, to receive alterations, not only without violating the constitution, but so as at once to maintain it, to guard it from the increachment of real violations, and by extending the sphere the constitution. He would not admit that the bill would be a tax on the landed interest. The militra was originally, however, more a taxation on the landed interest than on any other. It was a wrong idea to suppose that the militia was raised for he was present at the meeting of these men to our offensive force, but transferred officers, he had never understood that any them from one branch of defensive force resolution was passed; and had never sign- to another; and that in a manner calculated ed his name to any, although it did appear to produce dissatisfaction and disunion in at the published resolutions of that meeting.

solutions.

resolutions, there were, in fact, none pro- such a force, raised from themselves, and for from the confusion and uproar, he could impolitic. Such varying systems, from day

a limitation similar to that of 1799, with understand. But, most certainly, he signthe exception of that part of it which re- ed no resolution or resolutions whatever, stricted the service to Europe. The lant- and he cantioned gentlemen how they went tation he meant to propose was, merely to meetings in future, the resolutions of which might be inserted in the public journals, with their names, without their

Mr. Frankland thought what might be the motto of the constitution neither to the conduct of any gent, at a private meetbend or bow, yet as the militia was but | ing immaterial in the discussion of this bill. one branch of the great tree of the consti- It was stated to be one of imperious netution, the militia might be constitutionally cessity; but if so, that necessity should be proved, and then every objection, though even of a much stranger nature, would give way before it. He did not suppose that it would abate the ardour of the militia officers in the defence of their counof its conservative power, to keep off the try, but some great necessity should be blights of time from the sacred body of shown for the adoption of a measure, by which, without that, their feelings must be wounded. Under the present circumstances he must oppose it, as Ampolitic and unjust, although, if otherwise, he should take pride, in turning over to the regulars such men as appeared most likely to obtain its own defence merely; for what would most distinctions in the field of battle. He be our situation, if: on the call of danger, | could not approve the policy of this change, the York or Lincoln militia were to refuse which could not give us an offensive force, to serve any where but in its own county? while the threatened danger of the country For these reasons, he thought the bill nei-frequired that the men should be kept at ther unconstitutional, unjust, nor oppres-|home. He was indeed sure, that the whole sive. As to what was said of its being a force and talents of the country should breach of faith, it was not that, but a com- be concentered for its protection, but that mutation. Still he admitted that nothing should always be done with a proper recould justify the measure but the neces- ference to our mild constitution. In the sity of the case. With respect to men's year 1799, there existed a great political feelings, he could only say, that he neither necessity, as we had then a gallant army, felt himself insulted or degraded by the critically circumstanced in an enemy's bill, and should say to his men, at the country, and for the purpose of rescuing shead of his regiment, "Here; my lads, is and preserving that brave army, he should a better way of serving your country, and not oppose any practicable method, it such I know you will choose the better way." could be found, of transporting not only He took notice of the remarks on the paper the militia, but the whole population of signed by the 32 militia officers, mentioned the country, for its relief. This plan, thereon a former night, and said, that although fore, did not bring an accession of 17,000 the service. It should not be lost sight of, Earl Temple said, that the hon member that in its present state, the militia was a was certainly present at the meeting, and great defensive force, created by the parliaexpressed no sort of dissent from the re- ment, and so formed, as to be a check against any such improvident or ruinous enterprise, Mr. Ellison, in explanation, did not or expeditions of the ministers, if any such deny having been present, but as to the were meditated. To deprive the people of posed while he was present, or none which, their own defence was as unjust as it was

T138

to day were inconsistent with the stable maxims and principles of any country, and the gallery, rendered it impossible to depend upon any some steady and permanent military sys- | Supplementary Militia bill was or was not tem, this country, considering the spirit that now actuated it, would be enabled to keep up an army of transcendent excellence.

General Tarleton thought it was rather an inconsistency to call upon all the talents of the country to put the army on a proper footing, audgest the same time to deny that there was Jny necessity for the present measure. In the third year of a war, like the present, of the most formidable description over known, it was rather that the act of the last session had put an extraordinary to hear gentlemen speak as it it were a mere guerre de pots de chambre, as an illustrious character had denoministed one of the petty civil wars of France. The effect of having a large dis-join the regulars, such an accession, toposeable force would be to change the nature of the war from defensive to offensive, to free the country from the apprehension of becoming itself the scene of war, a calamity which every man who was observations he, had thrown out on a foracquainted with war and the scenes that accompanied it, would wish to remove! the from any place he had an affection for. This measure, if it was disagreeable to and he did not wish that any of them the nilitia colonels, was brought upon them by themselves. If they had agreed to the interchange of the services of the militia between the different kingdoms of the empire, the services of the English inilitia in Ireland would have set free 20,000 regular troops, hitherto locked up | in that country. The militia-men, as he had convinced himself by a very close inspection, were highly disciplined, and wanted but to be accustomed a little to the regular service, to make them as good soldiers as any m n.

Sir IV. Elford made a few observations, in answer to what fell from the hon, gent. who spoke last but one. When a measure of this soit was originally proposed in 1779, the army had not gone to Holland. A second application had been made to and marines." parliament for relief to that army, and it had been granted. The hon, gent.'s argument then, so far as it depended upon the expedition to Holland, fell to the ground. The house then divided on the speaker's leaving the chair,

> Ayes - - -113 Noes - - -Majority

On the re-admission of strangers into

Mr. Giles was speaking on the necessity expectations which might afterwards becon-fihat there appeared to him to be of the ceived of them; whereas, by adhering to bill expressing more clearly whether the repealed. The present measure was a partial repeal of the Additional Force act, which was itself a repeal of the act for calling out the Supplementary Militia.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer said, the most regular way for the hon, gent. to have proceeded, would be either to introduce any additional clauses he might think necessary, or else to move an alterution in the preamble of the bill. He, however, had no hesitation in admitting, end to the Supplementary Militia, and that his majesty had not now the power of calling them out If the whole number that were now expected to volunteer should gether with the ordinary recruiting, would make them unnecessary. On the reading of the first clause of the bill,

· Mr. Yorke felt it necessary to repeat the mer evening. He wished that a proportion of those who should volunteer from the militia, might be added to the royal marines, should be allowed to volunteer for either the foot guards or the cavalry. The pinfciple of the bill was to increase our disposable army, and he could not consider either the cavalry or the foot guards as equally disposable with the regiments of the line. He allowed that the guards were a very fine body of men, but it had not. been the custom to employ them in colonial service, like the marching regiments; besides, their number was now nearly complete. He also thought that a proportion of them would be well employed in the royal artillery. He therefore moved as an amendinent to the clause, that, instead of the words " his majesty's regular forces," should be inserted the following, "the regiments of the line, royal artillery,

The Chancellor of the Exchequer did not at all object to a proportion of the volunteers going into the royal marmes, but he thought it would be better to leave a discrethonary power in his majesty to settle what thal proportion should be. He also agreed with the right hon, gent, respecting the . cavalry, and admitted that the power of

altogether. Neither did he wish that power of selecting. General Tarkton recent wars the guards had very much dis- posable force, than that they should stay tought in Flanders, Germany, and lately in Levpt; but in a former war they had legislature had once pronounced their opibecasent to North America. He merefore considered them completely as disposable troops; he then, in compliance with the suggestions of the right hon, gent, agreed, that the words "Battahons of Royal Artillery and Marine," should be added after the words "Regular Forces," in the clause. The clause as so amended was agreed to. On the clause for allowing the militia officers to select the men they wished to keep in the regiment;

Mr. Yorke could, not agree to this clause. He neither wished to make the militia incflicient by taking all their best men from them, nor did he think it right that only the worst should be sent to join the disposable force. What appeared to him a proper medium, would be to allow a certain proportion only, suppose a third motion produced a very long and desultory service. Should more of them volunteer, he thought it would best be determined by bullot, who should be accepted; he clause as it stood.

ments of the militia officers, and was couvinced, that in whatever mode a considerthem to part only with their worst men, that after the word "chuse," should be added these words, "by ballot or other litery opinions were divided, as he now

sending them into that description efforce wise." Sir James Pulteney, Lord Temshould be very sparingly used; but he ple, General Norton, and Colonel Standard not wish that it should be excluded lev, were for giving the militia officers the many of them should enter the guards, thought it of much more consequence that although he must remark, that in all the the best men should be sent to the dis-, tinguished themselves. They had not only in the militia regiments. The Chancellor of the Exchequer expected that when the nion on the subject there would be an honourable emulation among the militia officers, to send good and efficient soldiers to the regular army. This clause was then agreed to, as was and the, which provided that if a sufficient number did not volunter out of the half set apart by the militia officer, the deficiency should be made good out of the remaining part of the regiment, →On the clause which mentioned general service for life;

General *l'itzpatrich* rose, and moved as an amendment, that the term should be for 5 years, or until 6 months after a definitive treaty of peace. He grounded his argument, not only on general reasons, but on the conduct of government, to the militia in a similar case, in the year 1799.—This or a fourth, of the flank companies and conversation, in which lord Temple, Sir front rank men to enter, into the regular [James Pulteney, general Norton, colonel Stanley, general Tarleton, and sn W. W. Wynne, took a share.

Mr Fox said that he was a friend to the thought it would be, an extreme hardship clause that provided for the service being to tell a brave soldier, who was desirous limited, instead of being for life, because of honour, You must not volunteer or get it was founded on principles of justice, and the bounty, because you are a good soldier agreeable to the spirit of the constitution and a credit to the regiment, but such a of this country, and not repugnant to any than may volunteer, because the has been military principle whatever; and when he mattentive to his military duties, and is should have an opportunity of giving a vote rather a disgrace to the regiment. For upon such a question, he should never give These reasons he could not agree to the it for enlisting men tor life; yet he wished bis right hon. friend on the occasion not The Chancellor of the Exchequer said he to take the sense of the house, because as had introduced this clause for the purpose this was a limited and partial question, maof meeting, as far as possible, the senti-iny might be against this particular clauso under all the circumstances of the case, who might approve of the principle, and able number of the militia could be brought [adopt it on another, and what might appear to volunteer, they would still be a most to them a more fit becasion; and he did 'valuable accession to the regular, army, wish that the majority against such a prin-He wished to give a discretionary power ciple should be greater in appearance than to the militia officers to make the selection reality. He hoped his right hon, friend, as they thought proper, he did not wish than whom nobody was more capable, would take some opportunity of bringing He concluded by moving as an amendment; this subject before parliament, and he hoped that as it was a point on which mirope which supported its military establishment by enlisting men for life, and of enlisting. we were the very last that ought to adopt such a system, because it was wholly repugnant to the true principles of the constitution of this country, on which its glory and consequently its real interest was tounded.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer observed on the general question which had been just alluded to: sund remarked that the opinions of military men were much divided on the subject of enlisting soldiers for a term of years, instead of for life. Many military characters of the first estimation thought it would be attended with consequences highly injurious to the service. They also thought it impracticable during war. He did not now argue the point, but therely mentioned it, as a matter of great and serious difficulty at any time, and so doubtful in policy, that he should feel himself under the necessity of opposing such a measure whenever brought forward. No analogy could be drawn from the practice of foreign countries; none of them were under circumstances similar to this.

Mr. Fox said, that as to its being a measure which could not be adopted in time of war, nothing was more easy than to include in it a provision that none of the men should be discharged during war; and indeed this very thing was done in one order being read for their lordships going of the bills brought in last war by the right hon, gent, himself; but that was of little importance, for the right hon, gent, had no respect for his own bills.

General Fitzpatrick observed, that in the clause he had offered, he copied the very words to be found in one of the bills proceedings. He thought adequate reaof the chancellor of the exchequer last war; but, however, he should follow the advice of his how, friend, and withdraw the the wisdom of their ancestors thought a clause.

Earl Temple proposed a clause, subjecting every person, who shall unfairly enlist bill went to repeal, in one of its most inmy man out of the militia, to a penalty of portant provisions, took place principally. 201. or in default of payment, to imprison- at the instance of that great and good mament, not exceeding three mouths, nor less gistrate and minister, lord Hardwicke. If than six weeks. .

The Chancellor of the Exchequer opposed it, on the ground that it might give birth unwise and injurious? to hardships upon serjeants, and other re- The Bishop of Oxford contended genecruiting officers, for having offered money rally for the injurious tendency of the re-

heard, the question would be fully consi- would be injurious to the service, and no dered by parliament, since we were almost, advantage to the public. Government it not altogether, the only power in Eu-would, take care, that as few abuses as possible should take place in the practice

> Mr. Ellison thought there ought to be some security against the 'recruiting ser-

jeants tampering with men.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer said, the best security against that was, that both the labour and the money would be lost, if any thing of that nature took place, because unless he was fairly enlisted, he could never be attested.

Sir W. W. Wynne said, that without such a clause as this in the act of parliament, there could be no security whatever against mal-practices in this respect. They could not depend on the orders of the executive government in such cases.— The militial ought to be protected against that most dangerous animal, a recruiting serjeant.

Mr. Banker expressed an intention to offer a material amendment in the bill in another stage. - The committee went through the bill, and the house being resumed, the report was received immediately, and was ordered to be taken into further consideration to-morrow, and the bill with the amendments, was ordered to be printed .- Adjourned.

> . HOUSE OF LORDS. Friday, March 29.

[Universities Advowson Birl.] The into a committee on the bill, to repeal so much of the act of the 9th of Geo. II. as went to restrain colleges in the Universit ties of Oxford and Cambridge from purchasing the advowson of hymes;

The Duke of Norfolk rose to oppose the sons should be given to induce the legislature to depart from a regulation which salutary provision. Their lordships would recollect that the act which the present the measure was a wise and salutary provision then, why, he would ask, was it now

to a man in a public house, &c. which straint, under the circumstances in which

the number of the members, and at least rendered equal to the number of indiviconvenient, and, in some respects, a very injurious manner. The measure in questromwould, he thought, contribute to improve the discipline of the universities, and materially to promote the interests of deration. to the livings. With respect to the provisions of the bill, he should have some amendments to propose; but seemed to say they were not such as would materially alter them.

farther time should be allowed noble lords dark as to the means which the universities to inform themselves, and to make up their now possessed of remunerating their meanminds upon a measure of such peculiar imwas, as the noble duke observed, sanction- for that purpose. He should, therefore, ed by that great authority, lord chancellor suggest the expediency of the information Hardwicke. What he heard from the rev. such as he alluded to. prelate did not make up his mind as to the least, to the full extent of the present bill. the restraint, may be too slow, they may also, on the other hand, be too quick; by the alteration; as, through it, members might be taken from the university at too carly a period, and before their literary acquirements had attained the necescould be see how the discipling of the universities would be ameliorated by the preof livings, on which much stress had been upon. laid, an increase of that, suppose two subject.

The Lord Chancellor saw no objection be deferred till Tuesday next. to the postponement desired by his noble triend, but he considered the subject of he was not against all alteration of the

the members of the universities now stood. In variety of important topics, on many of The present proportion of livings was by which questions might arise. It may be no means adequate to the ends of the insti- matter of discussion, whether the income tution. It did not exceed a moiety of of livings should be augmented from the estates and other resources of the univerhe thought the number of livings should be sities; or whether, and how far the number of livings may, with reference to the imduals to be provided for, and which may portant objects they all had in view, be be done by means of the bill. 'The law, increased. The result of his own expeas it at present stood, operated in an in- rience on part of the subject was, that, under the present limitation, the number of Lyings was too small; in what proportion and in what manner these should be increased, was matter for serious consi-

learning. His lordship also alluded to the . Lord Auchland confessed, that in his preill effects of the present slow successions sent view of the subject, he was, generally speaking, favourably disposed towards the bill, as he was to every thing that proceeded from the reverend, prelate who introduced it: however, he thought some degree of information on the subject neces-Lord Sidmouth was of opinion that some sary, as at present they were totally in the bers, as to the number and value of the The restraint, undoubtedly, livings in their disposal, or other resources

The Bishop of Oxford replied at some necessity of a legislative provision, at length, and enlarged upon and enforced the leading topics which he before urged Admitting the succession to livings, under in favour of the bill; which, however, he had not the smallest wish to hurry through the house. He would appeal to their nor did he conceive, that the interests of lordships as to the great importance of learning would be materially benefited education; to which the present bill went to afford a boon; one which the legislature of the country should not grant with a mggardly hand. He was impressed with the conviction that the bill would produce the sary degree of weight and solidity. Neither most salutary and desurable effects; and. adverting to what had talken from noble lords on the score of modification, he hoped sent bill. With respect to the proportion that principle would not be too far acted

Lord Sidmouth, in explanation, indicated thirds, of the number of persons, may be his attachment to the genuine interests of a proper subject for discussion. All he learning; and observed, that his idea was, would propose at present was a little de-that were the limitation taken away, the lay in the progress of the bill, in order to succession to livings, in some instances, afford time for maturely considering the might be too rapid. He concluded by proposing, that the committee on the bill

The Duke of Norfolk explained, that the greatest importance, and worthy the present system. He was too well aware most serious consideration. It embraced of the importance of education; but he

his idea, that some information should be sed," and which were begun to be acted laid before the house, as to the proportupon, should be carried gradually into tion, number, and value of the livings execution, for he would adout they could He con said d for the necessity of some information on the subject, with which idea he was no far impressed, as to propose, in order to allow full time to collect it, that the commutee on the bill should be postponed until Thursday the 2d of Mav.

of having information upon the subject, to enable the house to form a just decision. upon the subject, and to pursue, the right line. of discrimination. The greed with the noble an Hearned lord on the woolsack as to the different important questions which the subject involved. He was aware of the etitle ultres which, in some points of view, existed, as with respect to procuring *atisfactory information; but what the house would have principally to consider was the general policy of the measure, and to what extent it might be expedient to authorise the conveyance of livings from private pationage to that of the universities, and the proper ratio, as far as such was practicable, to be established with respect to the successions. - After some farther explanatory conversation, the committee on the bill was adjoerned till Thursday next, and the lords ordered to be summoned for that day.

STATE OF THE NAVYthe day having been read,

Earl Durnley rose, and expressed his regret that the motions which he was about to submit to their lordships, had not been brought forward by an illustrious; give feet to them. The task, however, having fallen upon him, he would endeaof their time as possible. He was anxious noble viscount on the head of the naval de-VOL. IV.

entirely coincided with a noble baron in important reforms which had been proponot be at once, he had the best authority for asserting, that the maxy might be kept up without resorting to the private yards, but that a conficiable annual addition might be made to it. Unfortunately for the country, the persons who had the super-Lord Redesdale argued for the propriety Intendance of the naval department at present, seemed to have come into once upon the specific pledge of wholly revering the system of their piedec sors. Economy and arrangement in the lang's yards, the great objects of that noble load, who lately presided at the admiralty, were either slighted or neglected by those who suggeeded lum, and the important reforms which he had suggested, which he had partially executed, and which he would have completely ejected as soon as paged should be restored, appeared to have been totally abandoned. As a fitter opportunity would arise for discussing that important subject in all its considerations, he would not eifter upon it at present, but having stated the general grounds upon which he brought forward his motions, proceeded to read the whole of them to their leadships. The metions with which he would trouble their lordships were, first, lores A list of ships which have been litted, or re-The order of litted, between the 8th March 1803, was the 15th May 180 to showing when each was taken in hand, and when completed." To this he presumed there would be no objection, as it was one of the motions made by the noble viscount at the head duke, who was so much better qualified to of the adamralty, with the addition of the . period during which the ships were reitted,-Ilis next motion would be for " A vom to explain the reasons for which he list of such ships as have been dicked was induced to move for a variety of pa- between the 8th March 180), and 15th pers necessary, in his opinion, to clucidate May 1304, specifying the time when dock-the important question, which would be ed and indocked." This was the same shortly submitted to their lordships, and [made by his lordship, with the addition of m doing which, he would occupy as little the period.—He would next move for "A hat of his majesty's ships on commission, . to have it understood, that in bringing for-I which were built in the merchants' yards, ward the business, he was actuated by no specifying the time when I need, their motive of a personal nature towards the cost, and the sums which have so ce been expended on them, and at what periods." partment. No, his motives were of a more it would appear from this account, that in honourable character. They strong from general the ships constructed in the merhis anxiety to promote and maintain that chants' vaids were obliged to be repaired establishment, upon which the safety, the within the short period of iour years after honour, and the very existence of the they were laurched.—His next motion country depended. If the essential and vould be for " A list of his majesty's

ships in commission, which were built in ! the king's yards, specifying the time when suggested whether it would not be proper they were launched, their cost, and the for the noble lord to have the sense of the sums which have been since expended on them, and at what periods." The reason for which he would move for this account, was to show how few ships there were actually in commission which had been built in the king's yards, and their durability compared with the ships built in the private yards.—His next would be for "An the question put upon every one of them, account of the principal articles of naval which he should find himself under the stores in the king's yards, on the 18th Feb. 1801, 15th of May 1804, and at this time, or as near to the said periods as can be collected from the returns. The quantities | lordships. of rough and sided timber to be separately stated, and the foreign timber distinguish- to let his lordship proceed, and explain ed from the English." This was also one of the noble lord's motions, with a trilling addition. He was anxious that the quasitity of timber should be distinctly stated, because there is a great fallacy in the account when the sided timber is returned with the rough, amounting, as he understood, to nearly one third.—His next would be for "An account of the principal articles of naval stores due on con- into that yard, and into the yards of Depttract, according to the latest returns from the several yards; and also on the 18th Feb. 1801, and the 15th of May 1804; the Loreign timber to be separately stated from the English, and the rough timber From the sided." The substance of this motion was included in one made by the noble lord, but he thought it necessary to be rather more specific in the account he should call for, because he was auxious that the English timber should be distinguished from that which was to proceed from any contracts that had been made, to import from Holstein, or elsewhere.—His next motion would be for " An account shewing the number of months which the hemp, and spars for masts and topmasts, in store, in the 15th of May 1804, would last, according to the average consumption, during the late war; and also how many authority, that there was nearly 18 months stock of tunber in the dock-yards."

Lord Walsingham rose to order, and house taken on his first proposition, before he should proceed to read and comment upon the long series of motions which he intended submitting to their lordships.

Earl Darnley thought it would save their lordships much trouble, if he should proceed to read them, instead of having necessity of doing, it he were prevented from following that course which he had adopted, as likely for least delay their

Lord Mekille thought it would be best at once the purport of all his motions, as he hoped to be able to satisfy the house, that there was no real necessity for acced-

ing to any of them.

Earl Darnley, then moved for " A copy of that part of the report made by the commissioners of the navy who inspected Chatham dock-yard in 1781, which has reference to the supplies of timber sent tord and Woolwich." In that report it would, he believed, appear, that the building of ships of war by contract, impeded considerably the service of the king's vards, as it prevented supplies of timber from being offered to them.—His next motion would be for "Copies of the reports made by the purveyors of Sherwood Forest, dated 18th Nov. 1797, and 4th Dec. 1802, also an account, shewing the number of trees which have been felled in that torest, for the use of the navy, since the period first mentioned; specifying when the navy board made application to the ticasury for the fall of the said trees." This would establish the fact, that the whole forest had been suffered to rot, notwithstanding the report of the purveyors, till the year 1803, when a fall of 8000 trees was made; and during that time the very months that which is due on the contracts ships building in the king's yards were would last." It would appear from this rotting for want of timber to complete account, he could assert, from the best them. He would next move for " A copy of the letter from the pavy board to their consumption in store, and that a quantity purveyor of Sherwood Forst dated 20th equal to the consumption of 12 months Nov. 1797, declining the offer of timber had been contracted for.—He would next made by Mr. Shaw, "Trowel." In this move for " A copy of the order from the letter he understood they declared they admiralty to the navy board, dated in would be in no want of tumber for the January 1776, for keeping a three year's following year.—His next motion was " For an account showing the lowert

to be received into his majesty's dockyards, on the established contracts since 1770, and the lowest meetings, and size of timber which is applicable to the building of fugages and sloops of war." This would shew the shameful prodigality which had tiken place in the employment of tunber in the king's yards, where none was received but such as was applicable to the construction of ships of the line, or 50-gun ships. The consequence of this practice 15, that figates and sloops are built and repaired with it at a 344 exorbitant expence, there being none received of the sizes adapted for the smaller classes of ships.—H's next would be for "An account shewing the quantity of sided timber converted in Dept. ford-yard, in Oct. Nov. and Dec. 1801; and in Oct. Nov. and Dec. 1803, specifying the number of trees which were found to be sound, and The number which were found to be defective in each month." One half of the trees in that yard, in 4801, were rotten and delective. The salutary regulation of a timber-master took place about that period, and the consequence has been, that the timber which has been received since that period has been good and serviceable.-He would next move for," An the amount of the tonnage of the merchant account shewing the sums of money which have been advanced as loans by the nave board to Mr. John Larking, Mr. John Bowsher, or any other timber merchants, specifying the time when such loans were made, and the conditions thereof." consequence of those loans, those two contractors had been enabled to drive all competitors out of the market.—His next motion would be for "An account shewing the price of timber in the king's yards, on the 18th of Feb. 1801, and the advances which have been since made therein the mode of measurement, and qualifications to be shewn in money." From this it would appear that timber was advanced 15 per cent. in 1802! and that a further advance of nearly 40 per cent. took " Copies of the reports which have been tumber which was used in his majesty's ships some years since, by way of experiment, to ascertain its durability." These

meetings, and size of oak timber, allowed would next move for " A copy of the report made by the purveyors of the navy, to the admiralty, on the 2d of Aug. 1802, respecting the foreign timber which had been served into Deptford yard, by Mr. John Larking." It was stated in the report, that it was very interior to English timber, some of the best of it approaching nearly in quality to the worst English oak, and that it could bear no competition with it in point of durability.—This next motion would be for a "Copy of the contract or agreement which has been made since 15th May 1804, by the navy board, with Mr. John Larking, for the importation of foreign oak timber; also an account shewing any other allowance which is to be made to him, on the said timber, than is therein expressed, and the sums of money which have been advanced to him on account thereof." His next for "An account shewing the number of shapwrights, and also of apprentices, employed in the merchants' yards in G.Britain, according to the returns made to the admiralty in April 1804." This would shew the number of shipwrights to be about 5000, and the number of apprentices of all descriptions, to be about 3700.-Next, for " An account shewing shipping of G. Britam, on the 1st Jan. 1804, distinguishing the foreign from the Britishbuilt." The tonnage, he understood to be nearly two millions of tons.—Next for "An account shewing the numbers and In | tonnage of shipping which have been built in the merchants' yards of G. Britain, between the 1st Jan. 1793, and the 31st Dec. 1804, or as late as the same can. be made out, by the returns at the customhouse." From this it would appear, that in the merchant yards nearly 100 000 tons per year, exclusive of the ships of war on on; the advantages given by the alteration contract, have been built.- Next for ". An account; shewing the number of ships and vessels of each class in the royal navy, and their tonnage; the foreignbuilt ships to be distinctly stated, and those which have been built in the merplace in June 1804. His next was for chant-yards from those which have been built in the king's yards." From this acmade to the vy board by the officers of count it would appear, that the whole the dock yards, on examining the Stetin navy amounts to about 530,000 tons; of which about 114,000 tons have been taken from the enemy, and about 260,000 tons built by contract; only 156,000 tons have reports would shew that the timber is of been built in the king's yards, althoughsuch very inferior quality, that it is not they contain 3000 slipwrights, besides worth the expence of workmanship. He apprentices - He would next move for

and the navy boards, and between these boards, and the master shipwrights of his majesty's dock-yards, or any other person since the 27th Oct. 1803, on the subject of shoaling the shipwrights." Repeated representations had been made on the impropriety of the system pursued in working the shipwrights in the king's yardy, which the late admiralty had endeavoured to correct. - He would also move for " Copies of all letters which base passed between the navy board and the master shipweights of the several dock-yards, since the 1st of June 1804, respecting the time when the ships ordered to be built in his majesty's yards can be completed." This would show, that if the men were properly shouled, and par ton, two years after the time the other not detained, by the want of materials, there would be no occasion to employ the two next motions would be " For a schemerchant builders. Bis next motion would be for " A statement showing the number of shipwrights that should build a 74-gun ship of 1750 tons in one year, to be entitled, according to the prices for workmanship allowed by the present scheme of task, to three days pay for one, or 6s. 3d. per diem." At the rate of 6s. 3d. per day, the present wages, 48 shipwrights should build a 74-gun ship, of 1730 tons, in one year, or 312 days; and the whole sum for workmanship would amount to 46711.— His two next would be for " A list of ships which have been hunched from his majesty's dock-yards, between the 1st of Jan. 1793, and 31st of Dec. 1804." Also, "An account shewing the number of demanded, and are to be paid about 71 Shipwrights borne in all the yards, on the 31st of Dec. of each year, from 1793 to yard, where a 74 could be built for about 1804 inclusive; the sums paid to them for wages in each year, and the number of ships of 74 guns, and 1730 tons, which the sums so paid in each year whild pay for to the prices allowed by the present scheme (majesty's ships Ajax and Achilles. Also of task." In the 9 years prior to 1809, only the amount of the several repairs which II ships of the line, 2 littles, 14 frigates, have been done to them, or of the estiand 4 sloops had been launched in mate for repairing the latter; the time the king's yards, and money has been when they were launched, commissioned, attually paid equal to the building of and paid off, prior to Aug. 1802, to be 523 sail of the line?—His next would be stated: 'Also" A copy of the letter sent " For a statement of the grounds upon to the admiralty, by the captain of his which the merchant ship-builders declined [majesty's ship Ardent, dated 28th March to contract with the Navy Board for the 1804, on the subject of the iron bolts building of ships of 74 guns, at a lower which had worked out of that ship." The price than \$61, per ton, such parts there. Ajax and Achilles were only 4 years in

" Copies of all letters and representations the time to be stated when other grounds which have passed between the admirphy were made; also, whether the grounds were stated verbally or in writing, and by whom, if in writing. Copies thereof to be laid before the house." He understood that the merchant-builders complained that they sustained considerable loss from the ships which they built in 1800 for 211. per ton, and that they were now held strictly to the terms of their contract, and made responsible for any bad workmanship that may be discovered at a future period.— Next for the "Copy of a letter from the Navy Board to the Marjualty, dated the 21th Nov. 1802, inclosing the offer made By Mr. Brindley to build a 74 gun ship and a frigate." This gentleman, he was intermed, ohered to build a 74 at 211. builders say they suffered such loss.—His dule of the prices for workthenship and materials, which the Navy Board has agreed to pay the merchant-builders for ships ordered to be repaired by them sincu the 1st of June 1804, and against each article to be stated the 'sum' which it costs the public in Deptford-yard;" also, "A statement to be made by the efficers of Deptford-vard, shewing for what price per ton a ship of 74 guns and 1730 tons, could be built in that yard, in Jan. 1800 and 1805; according to the scheme of task for workmanship, and the prices paid in that yard for rough oak timber, and the other necessary materials at the above-mentioned periods." The merchant-builders had per cent, more than the prices in Deptiord 18l. per ton in 1800, and about 21l, per ton at the present moment.—The two next were for "A statement, shewing the defective or improper workmanship and the workmanship in building, according materials, which have appeared in his of to be distinctly stated as were adduced commission, and they have each since at the time they made their first offer, and cost hom 12 to 20,000% in repairs.—He

should next move for " An account of the | should be reteried to a committee of their May 180%; together with an estimate of the expense thereof; the number of guns and men each ship was to carry, to be distinctly stated." Also, " Copies of all letters or representations which have been made by admiral lord Keith, or the transport board, to the adminalty, respecting the methereness of the Additional Naval Force, called detence ships, and armed transports, and a statement shewing how they have since & en disposed of." Also, 15th of May 1804, and the 20th of March 1865." The detence ships had been nepresented as being of no use, but rather an meumbrance, and they had all been ordered to be employed as transports. Lord Keith's force had been reduced nine sail of the line and several frigates, and 9 sloops and 21 gun-brigs had been added.-He would move for "A list of the gunbigs which were ordered to be fitted as fire vessels, in June, July, and Aug. 1804, specifying their force, and when they were launched, when they were ordered to be litted as fire vesselt, and when had been raised against the late admiralty for not having provided a sufficient numofficers of Woolwich yard, after having He acted upon the defensive, and the difprevious to her being purchased," He of criminating others. With regard to pose to proceed, if the papers he moved would assert, that, from the foundation

Additional Naval Force ordered to be flordships. Whatever the decision of the provided between the 15th and 30th of house should be, respecting the motions which he had read, he should not regret that he had brought the subject under discussion. If he were wrong in the assertions he had made, the noble lord to whom they applied would have an opportunity of refuting them, and their lordships would be able to determine the points at issue between them. Although he thought the papers he should move for, necessary for the full understanding of the question, if they should be refused, he would still " A statement of the force, under the persevere in his original intention, and community of admiral lord Keith, on the bring the whole of the subject, at a convenent opportunity, under discussion. He would not trouble their lordships with any farther observations, but male the first motion.-The motion having been read from the woolsack,

Lord Melville fore, and assured the noble lord, that nothing could be more adverse to his wishes, than to oppose the production of any papers, which could possibly tend to impede the discussion of the important subject, which it was the professed object of all his motions to bring before their lordships. If the noble lord could prove his proposition, it would afthey were returned to the service of gun- ford him, and the whole kingdom, he was brigs." Notwithstanding the clamours that persuaded, greater satisfaction than any event which had ever occurred in the history of the naval administration of this ber of gan-brigs, immediately after the country. If he could prove that the king's present board came into office, nine of the yards, in times of difficulty, were equal to best were ordered to be fitted as fire-ships the keeping in repair of the great naval for some expedition which was not carried establishment of this country, and to add into effect. His last two motions would ten sail of the line to it annually, he who he for "A list of slups and vessels ordered made the discovery would deserve to be to be built in the king's yards, and con-considered as the greatest benefactor to fracted for in the merchant's yards, from the country that has ever existed. He Jan. 1771, to, the present time; the price would be among the foremost to express per ton, after all abatements have been his gratitude to such a man: . He thought made, to be stated against each ship built it necessary to remind the house that the by contract." Also " Copies of the repre- motion respecting the late and present centations made to the navy board by the mayal administration, was not of his seeking. surveyed the Hope merchant ship, now ferent papers which he had moved for, called the Hyana; also a copy of the order were intended to be employed rather in to the officers of the yard to value her his own vindication, than for the purpose would take up no more of their lordships' one of the principal charges against him, time than to merchan how he would pro- that of building in the merchant yards, he for should be granted. It was his intention, of the navy, to the present time, no board at some future day, to move that all the of admiralty ever existed in this country, information which had been laid on the with the exception of the last, which in times table respecting that important subject, of difficulty had not recourse to building in

the private yards. Was it for him, with withstanding he had repeatedly pressed from a practice which had been invariably the table in his own defence, would decidedly establish that practice which the noble lord had condemned. One of the reforms which had been suggested, reworkmen, and great advantagess were asmight be attended with all those benefits; but he could not coincide in the inferences which had been drawn from it, to the disrepute of the contrary practice. Parts of the works of a ship requiring different degrees of strength, the strong man was not retarded in his operations by the weaker periods of our navy; it was a discovery supernumerary clerks? respecting which a difference of opinion obtained among the master builders in the the subject was at present under consideration, and would most probably be deternoble lord could even, with the greatest expedition, be laid upon the table. The question would be practically considered, and would be, within a short time, either adopted or rejected; or as truth was said to lay in the middle, perhaps some mode might be struck out between the ancient deep consideration, and he intreated their lordships not to interrupt him while he was endeavouring to probe it to the bottom. He could perceive the drift of the prove, that building in the merchants' than in the King's yards; and that with proper arrangement in the latter, ships

the short experience he had, to deviate the navy board to expedition, it was only within one hour after he had entered that followed in the prondest period of our naval house, that he received the papers which he annals? The papers which he had laid on moved for some days ago, and which he had the honour of presenting to their loadships. There was one of the motions which it would be impossible to comply with, within any reasonable time, that for the producspected the shouling or classing of the tion of copies of certain letters, of which there were at least 300. He did not assert cribed to this new discovery. Possibly it this from his own authority, he had applied to the proper officer, and he had that morning received a letter from the secretary of the navy board, stating, that the papers for which the noble lord intended to move, could not be produced, without a rumous interruption of the business of the office, in less than 3 months. Under man, who was working, penhaps, on a these circumstances, he trusted the noble different part of the ship. This new in- lord would not persevere in pressing his vention was not practised at the best motion. It may be said, why not employ He would tell not more than 15 months old, suggested the noble lord, supernumerary clerks would by the master builder at Plymouth, and not do. To prepare papers of such importance, with all the accuracy that was necessary for the two branches of the legisother yards. Whether it could be adopted lature, the ablest and most efficient men with advantage, would soon be decided: must always be employed, and those could not be diverted from their usual line of employment, without materially impeding mined before the papers moved for by the the progress of the public service. He would repeat, that he was as anxious as the noble lord, for the full discussion of the question; and he would therefore entertain the hope, that he would postpone a motion which could only tend to retard

The Duke of Clarence would not long practice and the new discovery. He could trespass on the house, but he thought it assure the house that the subject was under | incumbent on him to say a few words in support of a motion of which he was proud to be the father. He was surprised that the secretary of the navy board should write the letter which the noble lord stated noble load's motions; they all tended to to have received, or that 3 months should be required for the production of papers, yards was at all times more expensive which were necessary for the discussion of one of the most important questions that was ever submitted to that house. He , may be built at a less comparative expence could not refram, however, even in that than they actually are. To decide those stage of the business; from declaring, that two important points, much of the infor- it any deviation should take place from the mation which the noble lord required, system laid down by lord St. Vincent, it would be found in the papers before the was both fallacious and erroneous. In house. As to those which the noble lord the merchant yards, the practice had long inoved for, he was persuaded they could prevailed of shoaling the shipwrights, and . not be produced in sufficient time for the it was the wish of that great character, proposed discussion of the question. Not- that the practice, which had been found

and established in the king's yards.

Earl Durnley congratulated their lordships and the country, that the system introduced by the late board of admiralty was under consideration, for its merits were make an enquiry on the subject. — Mr. such, that he entertained a confident hope Alexander brought up the report of the that it would be adopted. He was happy to hear such a declaration tall from the was agreed to. noble lord at the head of the naval department, for it was generally understood that he, and those united with him in administration, came in with the determination was then put and negatived. It was moved, short, which being done accordingly, they were all rejected.—Adjourned.

HOUSE OF COMMONS.

Friday, March 29.

in a bill for enlarging the powers of the stood on a different ground from that of corporation of excise in Scotland, and a England. The plan for lowering it did bill for raising a further sum of money for not bear a greater proportion than it improving the harbour of Leith, which ought; but it was also to be considered, were read a first time.—The Edinburgh that in Ireland there was a greater facility police bill was read a third time and in gaining an acquisition to such a depassed. — Mr. Curven moved, that an hum-scription of force as the militia, than there ble address be presented to his Majesty, requesting that he would be graciously pleased to cause to be laid before the house The zeal and ability, of the commanders a copy of the proceedings of the privy would, he was persuaded, soon place the council in 1765, on the petition of the militia regiments on the same footing they duke of Athol, for a further compensation now stood. It was not necessary to trouble for the sale of his feudal rights. Ordered, the house with any of the details at present. -Mr. Rose presented the report of the He concluded by moving "for leave to bring prove council, dated 21st July 1801, on in a bill for allowing a certain proportion. the petition of the duke of Athol, which of the militia in lieland voluntarily to enwas ordered to be printed.—The American list into his majesty's forces of the line, artreaty bill, and the French and Spanish tillery, and marines." trade licence bill, went through commit-S. Bourne brought up the reports of the committees on the foreign prize ships bill, [Ireland, and he could therefore wish to see and the neutral ships bill, which were at abolished in that country in toto. He agreed to.—On the motion of Mr. Magens nevertheless highly disapproved of the it was ordered, there be laid before measure proposed by the right hon, gent. the house an account of all dollars usued Ireland was, he believed, justly considered

so advantageous, should be introduced/wen inquired why, in the report of the privy/council relative to the Isle of Man, that I had been this evening laid on the tably, the names of the subscribers had been omitted? Mr. Rose promised to committee on the custom duty bill, which

[IRISH MILITIA ENLISTING BILL.] The Chancellar of the Exchequer rose, in pursuance of the notice given by him vesterday, to move for leave to bring in a bill to resist all the salutary regulations of the for reducing the inilitia of fieland, and late board of Admiralty.-The first motion enabling them to enlist into the regiments of the line, artillery and royal marines. that the rest of the series should be read The general arguments in favour of this measure had been so fully discussed upon a similar question, with regard to the English and Scotch militia; that it was not necessary now to dwell upon them. The arguments, as far as they related to general policy, applied with greater force to the [MINUTES.] Mr. Abererombie brought militia of Ireland. The militia of Ireland was in this country. His plan was not to take from the militia more than two-filths.

Sir John Newport was of opinion, that tees, and the reports were received. - Mr. | the militia system, however advantageous it might be to England, was injurious to by the bank of England to the latest period | the most vulnerable part of the empire; at which the same could be made out, and was it prudent, at present, to deprive —Mr. Alexander brought up the report at of a considerable portion of its disciof the committee on the Spanish wine plined force for the purpose of substituting duty, which was agreed to, and a bill a parcel of new recruits, whom it would ordered accordingly .- A message from the require two or three years properly to train? lor is announced their lor. Is hips' assent to He was not a militia officer; he never had . the additional legacy duty bill.-Mr. Cur- Leen one; nor was it likely he eyer should

any suspicion of interested motives, express his sympathy with that respectable body in the mortification they must like! in losing so many men, whom they had been at such pains to instruct, and in being degraded to the situation of recruiting officers for the regular army.

Lord De Blaquiere approved the bill. Many people thought, that the Irish inilitia would be better employed any where than in their own country. He' did not like to hear the gentlemen of Ireland talked of as crimps, and recruiting serjeants.

Sir John Newport rose to order. It's had never made use of the word crimp; what he said related to officers.

The Speaker informed the hon, baronet, that what he was now stating was in explanation, and not on a point of order. He could not rise in explanation, until the noble lord concluded his speech.

Lord De Blaquiéré, resuming, observed, that his hon. friend and chimself would bave many opportunities to talk over these things. The words of the hon, baronet, amounted, in their effect, to those he had used. He would be the last in the world to impute to any man sentiments that he did not entertain, or put in his mouth words that he had not uttered. He denied that the bill could have the effect to degrade the Irish gentlemen. He thought it a good, substantial measure.

General Tarleton, adverting to the opinion of the hon, baronet, that it would require two or three years to train the recruits who should fill up the chasm that this bill would produce in the militia of Ireland, took occasion to remark, that the result of his own experience, and that of many other officers with whom he was acquainted was, that an Irishman was much more easily disciplined than a native of any other part, of the united kingdom, and therefore he was certain that a much lestime would be found necessary to bring these recruits to the same state as that in peants and corporals of the Militia from which the old militia stood, than was supposed by the hon, baronet.

Mr. Bastard was apprehensive that as . the maxim service was considered preferable to that of the line, most of the men volunteering, would wish to enlist in the less in existence, and that for the sake of marines, an event which would defeat the uniformity in the recruiting, both for the grand purpose of the measure.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer replied,

be one; and therefore he might, without | tionary power to allow which regiments he should think fit to volunteer for each ser-

> Colonel Calcraft thought this quite a distinct measure from that with respect to the English Militia; as, in point of fact, the Irish Militia had nothing but the name of militia belonging to them. They were not raised by ballot, but by bounty; and if the Iruh gentlemen were willing to become recruiting officers for the army, he saw no reason why, the motion of the right hon, gent, should be resisted by the house.

> Sir George Hill supported the motion, and panegyrised the character of the Irish Militia officers. Their conduct and that of the Irish gentlemen in general, who by their own subscriptions raised the militia and kept the country sate, without any contribytion from those absentees whose lands they thus defended, was, in his judgment, entitled to peculiar praise. Considering the conduct of the Irish militia who, to a man, volunteered last year to serve in any part of the united kingdom, and the public-spirited feeling of their officers, he could not help saying that he was much astomshed at the selfishness exhibited last year, as well as in the whole course of the debates of the present week, with respect to the English militia.—After a few words from Lord Temple, Mr. Alexander, and Mr. Calcraft, the motion was agreed to.— The bill was afterwards brought in, read a first, and ordered to be read a second time on Monday.

> [English Militia Enlisting Bill.] The house having, in pursuance of the order of the day, taken into consideration the Report of the English Militia Enlisting bill, several amendments were made in the Committee.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer proposed. two new clauses, one for adjusting the mode of ballots, in those counties in which the greatest and least number of men should enlist; and another to prevent servolunteering as serjeants and corporals of Artillery; both of which were agreed to.

Mr. Bankes said, that though it was thought proper to put the ballot out of sight in the present bill, yet it was not the Regulars and the Militia, it was necessary, in his opinion, that a clause should be m-. that this would not be at the option of the serted, enacting that when vacancies ocmen. The act gave his majesty a discre- curred, instead of obliging a person to find ing moved a clause to this effect,

Sir Robert Buxton seconded the motion. The parish, he said, was already obliged to pay half the bounty given by an indivihe thought, might be sufficient to release

the individual altogether.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer observed, that he agreed with the hon, gent, as well as the hon, bart. as to the unavoidable nedoing so, he acted in strict conformity to quota, the custom of balloting ought not lord Auckland withdrawing his motion. to be given up. He could not accede, therefore, to the motion of the hon, gend. -- After a few words between Mr. Wilagainst it, the question was put from the require the housesto go into a committee, was negatived without a division .- Adjourned.

> HOUSE OF LORDS. Monday, April 1.

Bishop of Durham 10se to express a wish that the noble baron who had presented the Petition from the Roman Catholics of Ireland, would have the goodness to name the day on which it was his intention to lords Grenville, Spencer, Ellenborough, bring the object of that Petition under the Ac. maintaining that so long as it stood consideration of the house. The subject on the books of the house as one of their it involved was one of such importance, orders, it was entitled to its full weight and that he felt it his duty to be prepared for effect. the discussion of it, whenever the noble beron should think fit to bring it forward.

his intention, as far as he could now foresee, to bring forward his motion on that violated the orders of the house, in himsubject on the 10th of May next. Should it self addressing their lordships more than however be for the convenience of any pince; noble lord to postpone the discussion for a few days, he for one could have no objection.

no delay might take pidce after the day appointed by the noble baron.

[Conduct or Jungs Fox.] The order of the day being read for resuming the debate on certain questions relative to the ders of their lordships on the usage of decase of Judge For:

ment to a string of motions which he had order that evening by a noble earl oppo-Vol. IV.

a substitute, the men should be raised by [submitted to their lordships this day se'na small bounty paid by the parish. Hav- night, the substance of which was, to add to some of them, the words " punishable by the ordinary course of law." Here a very long conversation ensued on a point of order; whether the motions should be dual for a substitute, and a small addition, [put collectively or separately, in which conversation the following noble lords took a part. For discussing them collectively, were lords Grenville, Spencer, Carlisle, Darnley, Auckland, &c.; for a separate discussion, were the Lord Chancellor, consity of securing to the ballot, but, in lords Ellenborough, Hawkesbury, Sidmoute, Mulgrave, Buckinghamshire, and the opinion of the house, which was, that Redesdale. The conversation, which lastwhen the militia should be reduced to its ed a considerable time, at length unded in

STANDING ORDER.] Lord Grenidle; after shortly alluding to his having been interrupted on a former night on this subbelieve, in support of the clause, and ject, moved that the Standing Order, No. Mr. Canning and sir James Pulteney 30, purporting, that if any peer should chan, that the clause be brought up, which for the purpose of delivering his opinion with greater freedom, by having liberty to speak more than once, it ought not to be refused, be read. His reason, he stated, was to give a fair opportunity to noble lords to discuss this important point with freedom, by speaking as often as they were [ROMAN CATHOLIC PETITION.] The inclined,—A conversation of considerable length ensued on the interpretation of the standing order, the lord Chancellor, lords Hawkesbury, Redesdale, Morton, &c. contending that it was not imperative; and

The Lord Chancellor having, in the course of the discu-sion, referred to some expres-Lord Grenville in reply, said that it was sions of his R. H. the Duke of Clarence on a former night, charging him with having

His Royal Highness the Prince of Wales rose to express what had occurred to him as being the meaning and import of The Bishop of Durham only wished that the observation alluded to, which had fallen from his noble relation. His noble relation, as his Royal Highness understood him, wished to establish how essential it was that a liberal interpretation of the orhate should take place. A Noble Friend . Lord Auckland rose to offer an amend- of his (lord Grenville) had been called to , M

susned by the noble lord on the woolsack, for settlement; admitted it to be the estaand that he thought it but proper that a blished law of the land that he judicial similar indulgence should be extended to proceeding of a criminal nature could orihis noble friend, and other lords on that side of the house on which he commonly In making, this observation, His Royal Highness was come four that nothing was more distant from the finnd of his noble relation than to throw out any improper reflection against any noble lord whatever, particularly against any person who had the honor of filling the seat of Speaker of that house; and he submitted it to the candour of the noble and learned ford himself, if the observation of his noble relation was not strictly consistent with that liberal practice which had generally distinguished the proceedings of their lord-

After some further discussion, lord Grenville agreed generally to move for the house going into a committee, without any reference to the standing order. The house having accordingly gone into a committee,

Lord Grentille rose in support of the motion of lord Auckland for referring the different points which he had formerly submitted to the house, for the opinion of the twelve judges. His lordship particularly alluded to the precedents which he had pointed out on a former night, and stated that, in consequence of the doubts suggested by a noble and learned lord (Ellenborough), he had caused the records of one of these cases, Bridgman v. Holt, to be searched for, and it clearly made out his original assertion, being a case directly in point; there, as in the present case, a petition had been presented to the house of lord Anckland, and contended that the against three, of the judges of the Common Fleas, which the house ordered them to answer. In the answer lodged, these seconded by lord Redesdale, judges refused to plead the merits of the their lordships, and maintained that the adduced in support of his motions. calling them before the house was contrary to the established law of the land. On considering the matter, after taking the opinion of the other judges, the house matter was dismissed.

The Lord Chancellor recapitulated the the day. arguments which he had formerly adduced,

site; and the remark of his noble relative | tained that the precedents referred to by then was, that the same liberty (that of) the noble lord could not apply, as they ocspeaking more than once) had been as | curred previous to the passing of the act guate in that house; but contended that the measure now before the house was not of a judicial nature, instituted with the view of punishment. He could not, at the same time, see why the judges should not be considered as equally safe in the hands of the two houses of parliament as in those of twelve ordinary men, whose verdict against them would, if the arguments on the other side went to any thing, be obligator, on their lordships, and would be a sufficient call on them to address his majesty for the dismissal of any judge against whom such a verdict should be found.

Lord Grenville could not at all see the meaning of the distinction laid down by the noble and learned lord. He styted that this was not a judicial matter, of one to inter punishment. It undoubtedly, lowever, was meant to go the length of grounding an address to his majesty for the dismissal of a judge for improper conduct in his judicial capacity, and such he contended could not originate in that house. He would wish the noble and learned lord to point out to them where the line was to be drawn, and what, if any thing short of murder, telony, or treason, was to be esteemed without the original cognizance of that house. As to the idea of the act of settlement subjecting the judges to a jurisdiction of which they were formerly independent, he saw nothing in the act which sanctioned such an idea, but the direct contrary.

Lord Hawkesbury opposed the motion proceedings of the house had been completely regular. In this opinion he was

Lord Auckland detended the positions case unless when called before a legal tri- laid down by lord Grenville, by recapitulabunal. They denied the jurisdiction of ting the arguments which he had formerly

Earl Carisfort followed on the same side, and deprecated, in strong terms, the idea of subjecting the judges to a species of control from which every other subcame to be of the same mind, and the ject of this country was exempt—thereby rendering them the tools of the minister of

The Earl of Suffolk maintained the nein support of the contrary opinion; main recessity of resorting to the opinion of the

proceeding.

Lord Ellenborough declared, that his p the proceeding by scire fucias, as the question would thereby have a legal investigation, and as the learned judge would then be tried by his peers. But, as the case now stands their lordships had adopted another mode of proceeding. The law clearly said, that they may in certain cases proceed to address his majesty for the removal of a judge; it was therefore evident, that they may make inquiry as to the facts upon which that address was to be founded. The words appeared to him to be sq unambiguous, and the inference that their lordships had the legal power of proceeding as they had done was so plain and nitural, that he had no hesitation in saying that there was no necessity for asking any opinion of the learned lords upon the

Earl Darnley supported the motion as the buly means of ridding their lordships' minds of any doubt upon the case. - The question was then put, and there appeared contents 18; not contents 32.-Adjourn-

ed.

HOUSE OF COMMONS. Monday, April 1.

[MINUTES.] Bowyer's Lottery bill, was read a third time and passed.-Mr. Parnell from the office of the Chancellor of the Exchequer for Ireland, presented an account of the funded and unfunded debt of Ireland, and of the charges outstanding upon the consolidated fund of Ireland on the 5th of January 1805 .- Ordered to be on the table and to be printed .- A person from the Bank of England presented an account of all the dollars that had been issued by the Bank of England to the latest period that the same could be made out. Ordered to be on the table and to be printed.—The Neutral Ships bill, the American Goods Neurral Ships bill, and the Alien Privilege Prize Ships bill, were severally read a third time and passed .-The American Treaty bill was reported .-The Inukeepers Rates bill passed through right hon, gent,'s motion, a Copy of any a Committee, and the eport was ordered answer or proceeding thereon. to be received to-morrow.

[LORD MELVILLE.] The Chancellor of] the Exchequer with a view to the discussion when the house could be prepared for the to the Tenth Report of the Commis- (cf.) who had a motion for that day, would

judges as to the legality of their mode of sioners of Naval Enquiry, thought it designable to have the house put in possession of every possible information that own opinion was decidedly in favour of might enable it to corie to a just decision on this important subject. It was material that the discussion should not be unnecessarily protracted, but it was also to be wished that every assistance should be afforded to the judgment of the house. With this view it was that he proposed to submit a motion to the house for the production of a letter written by lord visa count Melville to the Commissioners of Naval Enquiry on Thursday the 28th of March, in elucidation of some points in his lordship's case, which it would be important to have before the house previous to its final decision on this question. He was not aware of any objection to his motion, but it any should exist, he should content hanseli in the present, instance with a nothe for to-morrow. As the pinning of this letter would take up some time, and gentlemen would require also time to consider its contents, in older to come to the discussion fully prepared, be submitted whether it would not be desirable to have the notice for Thursday withdrawn, and some early day in the ensuing week fised mstead of it.

Mr. Eox asked across the table, whether any answer had been returned to this

. The Chancellor of the Exchequer was not aware that any answer had been sent, but was desirous that all the papers relating to the subject should be laid before the house, and printed for the information of gentlemen.

Mr. Grey, in the absence of his hon. friend (Mr. Whitbread), felt it impossible to say whether he would consent to put off his motion to next week. Or this, however, he was certain; that it was the wish of his hon, friend, that opportunities of information should be afforded to the house. He agreed with the right hou. gent, that the discussion of this important question should not be protracted. He thought that by deferring the motion till Friday, sufficient time would be afforded, and suggested the propriety of adding to the

The Chancellor of the Exchequer thought that, Monday would be the earliest day which stood for Thursday next, relative discussion, if the hon, member (Mr. Franon the production of the letter, that any above stated. further proceedings had been taken dpoor they also would be necessary to be kaid wished that this motion had been made on the table, which would require further

Mr. Francis made some objection to a further delay of his motion, which had already been put off to accommodate the other side of the house. But on the suggestion of the Chancellor of the Exchequer, Mi. Francis's motion relative to the present state of India was fixed for Finday next, and Mr. Gier consented to put of his notice on the part of his hon, friend to Monday, under an understanding however, on all sides, that no further delay should take place, unless something not then foreseen, should occur to fender it necessurv.

to the Commissioners of Naval Enquiry; and also, a copy of any proceedings had thereon, or of any answer thereto by the said Commissioners of Naval Enquiry."-

Agreed to.

Mr. Martin (of Galway), pursuant to noties, inqued, "that there be laid before lits production, the noble lord moved, that the house a copy of the evidence and pro-the other order of the day be now read. ceedings before the committee of the parhomeut of Ireland, on passing the act of a wish in the noble lord to shelter the adthe 38th of his present majesty, attaint-jumnstration, of which he was a member. ang Cornelius Grogan, esq. of John's town, He considered Mr. Grogan as a person in the county of Wexford, so far as the who was institled in what he did under the same regarded the said Cornelius Grogan." Jauthority of tora Coke and lord Hale, who · He was it was proper to apprize the house had it down as the law of our land, that a in his object in making this, motion. It iman may join robels to save his own life, way, that the evidence taken before the land continue with them under the terror committee of the parliament of Ireland of its loss, until he shall have an opportumight be re-examined, to see whether it miy to escape; this, he said, was the haid a sufficient ground for the proceed case of that unfortunate gent. He conings which were had in the case of the un-sidered, therefore, that his execution was fortunate gent. to whom he had alluded, an act of murder, and that the attainder and whose life was taken away by the order | was an act of confiscation, founded on an act of a military conneil; and by which evi- of murder. The noble lord's apprehension derce, he maintained, it would appear, fell short of the fact, when he supposed that nothing could be more flagrant than there was not evidence sufficiently formal those proceedings were; that the life of to be laid before the house. He knew .Mr. Cornelius Grogan was Ataken away there was correct evidence of the whole without the verdict of a jury, or trial by proceeding. He had seen it. The hon. law; that he was tried by a military coun-inember contended that there was no want omissions of which he enumerated, and notes had been taken of it at the time.

consent to defer it. If it should appear | concluded with the motion as we have

Lord Cashlereagh said, he should have without some of the observations which had been made upon it; he should have no objection to the information seemed to be required, if it led to any practical proceeding of the house to be founded upon 4; but the hou, gent, had mixed two things which are totally distinct in their nature: the proceedings of the court martial by which Mr. Grogan was tried and executed as a rebel, and anerwards the act of attainder, which was a proceeding in the pullament of Ireland, founded, not on the evidence of the court martial, but on evidence laid before the parliament itself, and such as had been held sufficient by iff t parliament to justify the act of at-· The Chancellor of the Exchanger then tamber. He doubted whether there existmoved "that there be laid before the let now any evidence, which the house house a Capy of the Letter of lord vis-feould be satisfied with, to induce it to iccount Melville, dated the 28th of March, verse that proceeding, and the hone member had not stated what parliamentary use he intended to make of the information, such as it might be, after he should obtain it. For these reasons, and wishing not to deprive the hon, gent, of an opportunity [Cornelius Grogan's Attainder.]] to call for such information whenever he should make out a case to entitle him to

Mr. Martin impated this opposition to cil, and that the members of the court of documents, and pledged himself, if newere not upon outh, and that the neces-lessary, to find the evidence which it was surveformalities were not observed, several his wish to submit to the house, as correct malities had not been complied with. He the order of the day was, that the hon-would ask his majesty's attorney general, gent had not stated what his object was in Haperson taken from the king's prison, and not taken in any act of rebellion, was a legal Subject of a court martial? And yet the lead been the case in respect to Mr. Grown. 'He had not joined, but had been detained by the rebels. He would stake his credit, that the evidence he had moved for would bring to light such flagrant proceedings as had seldom, if ever. been heard of, and which he'was not at all surprized the noble lord should be of Mr. Grogan had been in very extraoranxious to keep in the back ground. The dinary, and, indeed, most unfortunate country, he asserted, was in perfect peace circumstances. The very next brother to at the time. The king's commission was, in the county of Westerd, to deliver the gauls. Was there any reason, then, for a military court martial to call them out? The hon, momber then adverted, at some tenoth, to the evidence given by general Craddeck before the Irish commons, whose answers to questions that he himself had put to hun; 'he begged leave to recall to the memory of the noble lord, and which clearly shewed the nregularity of the proceedings of the court martial. His object in obtending this motion on the house was, he thought, a very laudable one. Should it appear that there, was no evidence sufficient to warrant the proceedings that had been taken against Mr. Gregan, his relation, at least ought to have redress.

Mr. For observed, that the ground of the noble lord's objection did not appear to him to be at all admissible. The had said there was no evidence to be produced. Whatever might have been the case in the was told by many persons of the towar Ireland, such he was certain was not the that Mr. Grogan was not so much to blame case in this country, for if no evidence as he appeared to be; on which he apcould be afterwards produced, attainders | plied to General Lake to suspend the excwould be meversible; but the noble lord was too well acquainted with the history of this country to be reminded that many reversed. If what he had heard on this subject were true, there could be no doubt that that Act ought to be, reversed; but that v is not the question, till the evidence -hould be in due form-before them. Severe! in general were the times when acts of atbe to aggravate that severity.

Lord Castlereagh said, that nothing could be further from his wishes than to to do justice to the characters of Mr.

Reverting to the court martial, the hou. possible information upon the subject; and member musted that all the necessary for-the chly reason that induced him to move. calling for this evidence, or what parliamentary proceeding he meant to ground upon it. The hon, gent, had now stated his object to be that of reversing the bill of attainder against Mr. Grogan, which certainly was a fair parliamentary ground for calling for the document in question; and therefore he would, with the leave of the house, withdraw his motion.

Sir John Newport said, that the family Mr. Grogan, and who would have been his immediate heir had he, survived him, fell honourably, loyally, and gloriously, fighting the battles of his country. The other brother fought with the most determined bravery, till driven out of Wexford by the rebels, who were greatly superior in numbers, Before the main body of the army arrived, and therefore, under all these circumstances, added to a doubt whether Mr. Grogan himself had not been forced to fight on the side of the rebels, he thought the justice of the house would incline them to agree to the motion.

General Loftus said, he was in Wexford at the time of the court martial upon Mr. Grogan, and he begged leave to state. that the officers who Idraied the court were the principal men of rank and character at the army, and every attention had been paid in the careful examination of the witnesses. After the sentence was passed, cution of the sentence for some time, till he could make further inquiry; to which general Lake consented, and the execution instances had occurred of attainders being was deferred till evening, when not being able to find any facts in his favour to counterpoise, or do away the evidence adduced against him, he went to inform General Lake of the circumstance, and in execution then took place.

Mr. Francis rose merely to express his tander were resorted by, but to render them disapprobation of acts of attainder in gomeverable by refusing a revisal, would neral, as affecting the innocent and not the guilty.

Lord Castlereagh said, he was anxious . prevent parliament from receiving every Grogan's brothers and family, by allowing

government had shown its sense of their employed as the midwives. conduct by conveying the confiscated es- thought, however, that she was in reality tate to a near relation of the family. His no more than a plain rustic nymph, not lordship's motion was then, with the leave lover remarkable for dress or attractions, of the house, withdrawn, and the original for she had wandered over every parish motion was agreed to.

the day for the third reading of the militia enlisting bill. The bill was then read a third time. The right hon, gent, brought up a clause relative to the wives and famihes of those militia-men who should en-

The Marquis of Douglas asked whether it was the intention of the clause to render these wives and families still dependent on the country for support after the husbands should have enlisted? If that was the case he would oppose the clause.

Sir William Young expressed the same resolution, to object to it in case it was intended to occasion a permanent burden to the country.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer said, that they had mistaken the clause, for its object was exactly the contrary of what they imagined, in as far as it went to take the burden of the families of such militiamen as should enlist off the country after a time to be limited. The clause was then agreed to; and, after a verbal amendment had been proposed and adopted, the question was put that the bill should pass.

The Marquis of Douglas was sorry that he was again obliged to trespass on the 'indulgence of the house, but hoped that he would not be thought pertinacious in his opinions, when no argument had as yet been urged to justify this pernicious measure, at least nothing more than this, that the change of service would be advantageous to the country. He admitted that this was to a certain degree the case, but then the question must be considered with reference to other points, and in this view it would be found to be calculated to produce a great deal of mischief. The fact lamble of this bill ought to be, "Whereas was, that the object of the bill was to supply the defects of the parish bill; that ing his majesty's regular forces, which act notable production, that wonderous conreption which had been called a rural fore-becessary, that said act should be renyingh, clad in russet gown. The gentle-pealed." This he maintained was the men on the other side, would wish, no language that ought to be employed, masdoubt, to give her a more splendid name, much as a bill that was to have produced They notified all her Minerva, the goddess 27,000 men had not produced a single

that they had always distinguished them- of wisdom, sprung in complete armour selves by the most unshaken loyalty and from the brain of this political Jupiter, the attachment to their king and country, and whole Congress, on mount Olympus being He rather in the kingdom, and had not been able to [MILITIA ENLISTING BILL.] The Chan- | find a friend, or companion to accompany ecllor of the Exchequer moved the order of her. He observed, that the ballot was a very unequal tax on the people; but still the militia had long been established, and the principle could not be destroyed without the most flagrant mjustice. The noble lord concluded with severely condemning the ever-changing system the right hon, gent, had adopted towards the militia for many years past, and contended that the bill would go to the ruin of that constitutional branch of our defensive force. It was calculated to ruin the nulitia by taking the gentlemen of landed property away from it, and destroying the confidence which the men now had in 'dien' officers; it was also unjust in its operation on the publics as it occasioned an inequality of taxation on different classes of the people.

> Mr. Sheridan rose for the purpose of entering his protest against the principle of this bill. He remained of the same opinion that he had formerly expressed. He agreed with the noble lord, that this bill would destroy the militia system, and in a manner the most unprincipled and insidious. It would lead to insubordination in the regiments, and was dangerous in a constitutional view, and unwise in a military one. One object he had in rising was, to take notice of the preamble. In that it was stated, "whereas it is important that his majesty's regular forces should be aug-mented." When, he asked, had this important discovery been made? Was it not known at the passing of the act of last session, when the right hon, gent. told us to count months and weeks and days and bours, till such an hugmentation could be obtained? He contended, that the prean act was passed last session for augmenthad totally failed in its object, it is there

man to our infantry. This would have made on the inefficacy of the additional good thing in an act of parliament.

that there was a small objection to such a advantage, and by these means, from 180 preamble, which was that it was contrary to 200 men per week were added to the to the fact.

had not procured one man, he was certainly now under consideration, was equally inin the right, because it had certainly pro- applicable; the intention was not to force duced more than one. But to say that the nien from the militia regiments, but this bill was any thing else than a repeal of merely to receive those under a compethe former to a certain extent, was most tent reward, who were auxious to join the certainly erroneous, because if this was regular establishment. not the case, what was the meaning of the a bill as this would be necessary, notwith-lappointed this design, standing his former measure? This was a curious circumstance; but the truth was, that, from its effects hitherto, there was any such thing. But he now found it out ready producing at the rate of 10,000 men in the month of March. Was it because annually. the recruiting of the army was at a stand? Why he had not proposed it earlier in the totally failed of effecting what was prosession, he could not tell, unless it were because he did not choose to be the first to contess that his bill had failed. The men planation, that he did not say that the art now to be raised, could not be perfect regular soldiers for some time, after they changed then service. But the plain truth was, that the right hon-gent, had so abused the incapacity of the last ministers, that he found himself absolutely obliged last year. to propose something new; but his novelty had failed, and now came another of currous definition of success given by the. his temporary experiments; and however civility might prevent the expressions of triumph from being too galling, yet, when the colleagues of the right hon. gent. re- were promised, and only 2 or 3,000 were collected and compared the epithets with produced, if could not be said that this was which he then honoured them, with the any great success. evidence his own measures have given in promise to walk to York in four days, his favour, whether in convivial meetings and had stopped the greater part of the or in political consultations, they must time at Stamford, it might be said to him ieel a considerable pleasure, and even a "Why don't you get on? you will not be degree of exultation. His chief objection able to perform your promise." He might to the present bill was, that it was part of lanswer to this, "Pray have patience a little." that patchwork, temporizing, and unsys- I am tired, and must stop for some time, tematic mode which characterized all the but never fear, I shall make out my jourmilitary measures of the right hon gent. ney in good time to save my credit." and from which experience taught us to Now, this might be very well as a promise, expect nothing. *.

observations which were so confidently | "give it a trial, and then it will succeed."

been telling the truth, and truth was a very force bill, were wholly without foundation. The Chancellor of the Exchequer observed bill was proceeding with every prospect of service in G. Britain and Ireland. Was Mr. For said, that if the right hon, gent. it to be said, that a measure productive, meant to say that the preamble proposed at the rate of 10,000 men per annum, was by his hon, friend, was contrary to the idle and nugatory? What was advanced fact, because it mentioned that the bill with respect to the injustice of the bill

Mr. Fox rose to explain, that the bill boasting promises which were heard when could properly be denominated inefficient, the former bill was proposed? Could the because it was professedly to raise 27,000 right hon, gent, not tell last year that such | men unmediately, and it had wholly dis-

The Secretary at War affirmed, in reply, that it was then inconvenient to tell us every reason, to conclude that it was al-

> Mr. For insisted, that it had, at least. mised from it.

Mr. Sheridan begged to observe, in exof last session had not produced a single man to our general force, but that it had not added a single man to the British infantry. which by the returns on the table was considerably less in number than in the

Mr. Windham commented upon the Secretary at War. The success he, howover, apprehended ought to have been in proportion to the promise. It 27,000 men If a man_were to although certainly it would not be a very The Secretary at War said, that the promising undertaking.—We were first told,

He said that 180 or 200 men were pro- depend on their numbers. cured neekly. wide one in the circumference. He denied that this was an increase to our making the militia officers discontented. Some were for the gradual, and others for measure would be successful, it was a repeal of the former. Why did not gentlemen call things by their proper names, and say that this was a bill for transformmg militia-men into regular soldiers? Was their system so very changeable, that the recollection of their former measures passed away like visions; or did they think that they had performed something like a military manœuvre, that they had marched away in silence without the beat of drum, and left only their tents standing, that we might not be aware that the main body had stolen away under cover of the night. They had said, however, that their former measure had not had a fair trial, and accordingly they had more time given now it seemed that it was put off ud Gracas new plan.

procured in so short a time as had been that the minister himself acknowledged, expected. The best proof that it had not that the objections he had made with so There was no inconsistency here. Be- that he himself was at last constrained to cause, the former measure was not calculated in the steps of his predecessor. The · litted to produce an immediate disposable additional force bill, by a strange incon-

But now the matter was carried much was proper to convert the indisposable farther, for we were told that it actually into disposable force, as much as possible. had succeeded. But it certainly fould He was surprised to hear the right hou, not be said with truth, with regard to the gent, say, that, to obtain the militia for past time, and, fortunately for the righthon. the army, was not an increase of lonce, gent, who made the assertion there were when he had been all alone and all alone. gent, who made the assertion, there were when he had been all along contending no other documents for any other time, that the usefulness of troops, did not Again, the This might be the case charge of inconsistency lay with those at present, but a very different result who thought that an immediate reduction might be given at the conclusion. A very should take place, and yet opposed this small difference in the centre made a very measure. There might be differences as to the mode, but it was curious to hear those who wished for the reduction of the force, and dwelt upon the impolicy of militia oppose all model for that purpose. He contended, that so far as the present the immediate abolition of the slave trade; but it would be curious to hear one contend for the abolition, and yet refuse both of these methods. Considering all the objections, he was at a loss to guess to what they would come at last. They objected to different descriptions of force, and required that an exigency should be stated, and then attacked this measure as beging a compromise. In a free country, every transaction of this nature necessarily partook of the form of a compromise, and upon the whole hosthought that there was nothing solid in the objections to this measure.

Mr. Giles was astomshed at the bold assertion, that the present bill was not a repeal of the additional force bill. The for the accomplishing of their object. But latter had three objects in view: 1st, to establish a permanent torce, 2d, mme-Calendas, in order to make way for this diately to augment that force; 3d, gradually to reduce the militia: and this Mr. Canning observed, that the right threefold design appeared in the preamble hon, gent, must forego the satisfaction of the bill. The present bill, in the third which he stemed to derive from the idea object, by transferring 17,000 men from of the bill being a repeal of the former. the militia to the regular force, so far, at The operation of that bill was never in- least, manifestly repeals the former. Ano. tended to supply the vacancies that were ther object was comprised in the former, to be filled up by the present measure, which was to extinguish ballots. These He must also forego the gratification of must be revived by the present hill; and the confession that the former bill had so far again, the anterior measure was refailed. There was a difference between a pealed. He was not at all surprised at the total and a partial failure. The whole contradiction between these expedients, failure that had taken place, and that was but at least they ought to be recognized admitted, was, that the men had not been and understood. It ought to be known, tailed was that it was producing at the much administion to preceding schemes of rate of 2000 men a year for the army, national defence were cain and futile, and force. It was agreed on all hands that it sistency, required the purish to supply the

pointment of the men so to be raised was | ment, as the made no doubt but the gent. not to be assigned until three weeks opposite to him would afford him opporposterior. 3

that gentlemen had maccorately stated journed. both the facts, and the conclusions from those facts; he would admit, for the sake of argument, that their facts were correct, but he could not grant the same indulgence to their deductions from them. For a moment, therefore, he would grant that the additional force bill had wholly failed: the natural deduction then was, that some other measure must be resorted to; but gentlemen used it as an argument, that to the present, and he other expedient, should the country have recourse to supply the deficiency resulting from that disappointment. Gentlemen had likewise contend-

Mr. Windham here called the right hon. gent, to order, as going beyond the line of explanation; but declared, that he had no objection to hear the right hon, gent. out in defence of this measure, if by the rules of their proceedings, he could have an of portunity of replying to him.

right hon, gent, as far as he proceeded, did not keep within the limits of explanation, and that he only waited till that circumstance should be taken notice of by the house, or till by the tenor of his speech it could be seen whether what he already said would be brought to bear upon any point of explanation.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer said, he should leave it to the judgment of the nouse, whether a short observation made What he said was certainly ather in explanation of what he said in i former stage, than in elucidation of the sentence which fell from him in this; but ie should not proceed further if he found t to be against the pleasure of the house.

vhich was necessary for him to do, was o state his conception of the order of

nound on which it already stood; and he majority of the house," would be an argu-Vol. IV.

man, or pay the penalty of 201, within the was the less inclined to urge the observaperiod of furty-four days, when the up- tions he had to offer, at the present motunities enough of doing so. The bill was The Chancellor of the Exchequer said, then passed without a division.—Ad-

> HOUSE OF LORDS. Tuesday, April 2.

[MINUTES.] Counsel were farther heard relative to the Scotch appeal, Catheart v. the earl of Cassilis, viz. Mr. Adam as leading counsel for the respondent .- The buls upon the table were forwarded in their several stages.—Lord Hawkesbury moved the first reading of the militia enlisting bill, and that the bill be printed, which were ordered accordingly. His lordship then moved that the said Bill be read a second time on Thursday next, and that the fords be summoned for that day. Didered.

[STANDING ORDER.] Lord Mulgrare gave notice, that he should, on Monday next, move to-have, the order relative to the house resolving into a computate on the motion of any noble peer, taken into consideration. To this he was principally induced, by what took place last night; The Speaker said, he was aware that the and, on account of the constructions which were put upon the order in question, in the course of the debate. Such an order was, he thought, inconsistent with the dignity of their lordships' proceedings, and might be productive of the highest inconvenience, admitting it to empower any lord at his pleasure, thereby to constitute himself a majority of the house; and, even when the most important-public business might be regularly under consideration, to oblige the house to go into a committee. by him before should, in the strictness of Au order construed so as to operate in. form, be considered as speaking to, the that way, was so objectionable in every point of view, that it should not be suffered to remain a moment longer than was necessary. He concluded by moving that the lords be summoned for Monday next.

Earl Spencer observed, that though the noble secretary had not stated the nature; The Speaker again observed, that all of his intended motion, yet it might, in some degree, be conjectured. As to the degree of inconvenience which that or any proceeding, and us to the rest, it was to other standing order might induce, he be disposed of at the pleasure of the house. should not then offer an opinion. He, The Chancellor of the reference then said, however, could not avoid remarking, that hat he did not wish to persist in any thing the noble lord's idea, that " under the which was contrary to the forms. He was operation of the order alluded to, any, utished to let the question rest upon the peer might constitute himself, in effect, a

order in the book.

manner, he thought no doubt could arise as to his intention. However, he should now give a specific notice, that it was his intention to move, on Monday next, that the order in question be expunged.

The Lord Chancellor, made some cobservations in support of what fell from his noble friend, relative to the effects and constanding order; its import and wording being different from all others of that description. In the way in which it was construed by some noble lords last night, it was the most inconsistent thing imaginable; for, in the event of the usual notice being given for its suspension, on any particular occasion, how could they know but any noble lord-might, on the very day it, was appointed to be considered, move the house to resolve into a committee? a proceeding which might be urged any moment while the order existed. An additional objection against the order was its general impracticability; because, were the order literally and fully enforced, they loadships, while in such committee, were required to sit, each in their proper places, according to their ranks and degrees; a proceeding which, in that new house, their lordships would find it rather difficult to carry into effect.

Earl Spencer observed that, circumsome time since, a committee had been applaces are regularly arranged, every poer knew his proper place when it was neces-! sary he should sit in it; but, in the prea proceeding was impossible.

The Lord Chancellor spoke in explana-. tion, and slightly adverted to the incon- Chancellor of the Exchequer moved the orfrom too literal air enforcement of the or-| committee on this bill.

ment for the abrogation of every standing | ders; alluding to what had taken place last night, and its being contended that Lord Mulgrave acknowledged, he had a standing order admitted of no debate, not been sufficiently explicit in terms as but that it should be immediately acted to the nature of his intended motion; upon; he adverted to the inconsistency of but, when he had expressed his disap- such an idea, which went to prevent a probation of the order, in so marked a noble and learned finend of his from demonstrating that it was impossible to conply with the order in question.

. Lord Mulgrave perfectly concurred in what had been thrown out by his noble friend on the woolsack. With respect to their lordships' being required by the order to sit in their proper places respectively; he conceived it to mean that the barons sequences of the order adverted to. . He should sit together on certain benches, the was adverse from its being considered as a carls on other distinct bruches, the dukes standing order; its import and wording on others, and so on. This consideration on others, and so onwas with him an additionally strong and torerble objection to the order, which may render it necessary that their lordships should, as at the word of command, strange themselves in a species of military array, or tall into their respective ranks, like soldiers on the parade: but setting any thing like a ludicrous consideration of such a proceeding aside, it militated as well as other serious and more, important objections. against the order.—The question was put, and their lordships ordered to be summoned for Monday next .- Adjourned.

> HOUSE OF COMMONS. Tuesday, April 2.

[MINUTES.] Lord John Thynne took the ouths and his seat on his re-election for Bath.—Mr. Creevey gave notice, that he would to-morrow move for some further documents relative to the balances in the hands of the late hon, Ketth Stuart. stanced as their lordships were at present, Lord Brome-brought in a bill for improvthe proceeding adverted to would be to-ing the port of Ipswich in Suffolk, which tally impracticable. • He recollected, that was read a first time.—I)r. Durgenan gave notice, that he would to-morrow move for pointed to settle and arrange the proper leave to bring in a bill for the amendment seats for the respective orders of the peer- of Queen Anne's bounty act, in Ireland .age in that house; but no report had yet Mr. S. Bourne, pursuant to order, presentbeen made upon the subject; that com- ed an account of the application of the mittee should be referred to. In the house surplus revenue of the Isle of Man.—Orin which they formerly sat, the respective dered to be printed.—The innkeepers bill went through a committee, and was ordered to be reported to-morrow.—The customs duty hill, the American treaty bill, and sent house, that being not yet settled, such the Bengal council till, were read a third

time and passed. Enligting Bill.] The veniencies which must sometimes arise der of the day for the house going into a

purpose of suggesting to the right hon, the chancellor of the exchequer a measure that he thought would have the most important | effect in increasing the disposable force of the empire from Iteland, or rather in encouraging those soldiers, who were not now disposable, to become so. The measure he now begged leave most earnestly to recommend, was to make such a provision for the wives and children of those who volunteered, as would at least remove the principal objection which now stands in the way of their volunteering. The wives of the soldiers in the Irish militia and army of reserve now receive an allowance of two shillings a week, and formerly received four; but, from the moment any of these soldiers volunteered for general service, this allowance ceased. If this great discouragement was removed, he was sure they would be much more ready to enter the regular army. At present, the misery that was entailed on Ireland, under the system which now prevails, was most dreadful. The face of the country was covered with the wives and children of soldiers begging their bread. There was hardly ever any considerable embarkation of troops from Portsmouth or Plymouth, that from 800 to 1,000 soldiers' wives were not turned loose to beg their way as well as they could to their own country. As most of them landed at the nearest Irish port of Cork and Waterford, he had often been an eye-witness of the extent of the evil which he now wished to be redressed.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer allowed that the suggestion of the hon, bart, was of the utmost importance, and deserved the most serious consideration. He was not then prepared to give any opinion on revenue of the post-office for 20 years, the subject, but he perceived that many difficulties stood in the way of the adop- lie on the table.—Adjourned. tion of this suggestion. . He was aware of the distress which was often felt in Ireland on account of there being no established system of poor law in that country as there is in this; but he did not know how parliament could grant a specific allowance up the report of the committee on the

Sir John Newport said, that having al- thought the hon, bart, was however misready fully stated to the house his opinion taken in one point, as he was pretty sure there on this subject, and having found that the was an allowance given at the time of every sense of the house was for passing this embarkation, to carry the soldiers' wives bill, he should not now take up their time [home. Notwithstanding the difficulty that with recapitulating the arguments he had he saw in the adoption of the suggestion, before officied; he rose now merely for the he should certainly give it his most serious cousideration.

Sir John Newport said in explanation, that the allowance given to soldiers' wives on embarkation was a guinea and a half; this night be barely sufficient to carry them to the nearest Irish port, but they landed completely destitute, and were obliged immediately to have recourse to begging.

Colonel Bagwell confirmed the statement of the hon, bart, as to the great degree of misery which the wives of not only the Irish soldiers in the regular army, but even in the militia and army of reserve experienced. During the last war, four shillings n week was allowed to the wives of the militia, which was sufficient to keep them from the necessity of begging; but since it was reduced to two, that allowance had been insufficient. He thought there could be no greater encouragement to volunteering from the militia, than granting some provision to the families of the volunteers. -The house then went into a committee on the bill, when some triffing alterations were agreed to, and the report ordered to be received to-morrow.—Adjourned.

HOUSE OF LORDS. Wednesday, April 3.

[Minutes.] Mr. Adamwas heard in continuation in the Scots appeal, Cathcart v. the earl of Cassilis, on the part of the respondent. The several bills upon the table were forwarded in their respective stages. . The inukeepers' rates bill, and several private bills, were brought up from the commons, and read a first time. A person-from the post office presented an account of the net ending the 5th of Jan. 1805. Ordered to

HOUSE OF COMMONS. Wednesday, April 3.

[MINUTES.] Sir John Anderson brought to the families of Irish soldiers, which was bakers' bill; which was agreed to, and the not granted to the families of the soldiers bill ordered to be engressed. Mr. Manof the rest of the united Kingdom. He ning obtained leave to bring in a bill for

rendering more effectual the provisions of jenclosures; also, a copy of a letter from ed to be laid before the house, viz. Λ copy of the power of attorney, or other instrument, granted by the lords of the freasury to the their lord advocate of Scotlono, now lord justice Clerk, to prosecute or give discharges for the claims made by government on the late admiral Keith btewart: an account of all sums of money, or securities for money, paid by the representatives of the late admiral Keith Stewart to the lord justice Clerk, in pursnance of the said power of attorney, specifying the times when such sums or securatios were paid; a copy of the account settled and discharged with the representatives of the lateraximiral Keith Stewart, by the lord justice Clerk acting under the aloresaid authority; a copy of the petition from the town of Edinburgh to the lords of the treasury, praying that 21,000l, be granted out of the balances due from the late admiral Keith Stewart, for the purposes therein mentioned; together with treasury to the court of exchequer in Scotland on the subject. On the motion of Mi. S. Bourne, it was likewise ordered, that there be laid before the house a copy | of such further proceedings as may have to. been had by the lords of the treasury for from the representatives of the late admiral Kenth Stewart. On the motion of Mr. "Giles, it was ordered, that there be laid before the house a return of the number of effective men raised under the additional detruce act from the 1st of Feb. last to the period of the latest return, stating the number of men raised per week, and spethis mg those provided by the parish officers, and those procured by recruiting officers? as likewise the number that had culisted into his majesty's forces for general service. Mr. Bagwell brought in the · bill to regulating Irish freeholders, which was read a first time. The impleepers' bill, and the Ifish spirit permit bill, were read a 'third time and passed."

Dickenson jun . moved, that there be laid they had acted in direct contradiction to the before the house a copy of a letter from opinion delivered by those learned gentlesir Home Popham to the secretary of the men. But still more, and it was particu-

the London dock act. On the motion of the navy board to the admiralty, dated 1st Dr. Duigenan, leave was given to bring in April, 1805; in answer to the abovemena bill to amend the act for building Glebe | tioned letter of sir II. Popham; also, a houses in Ireland. The following papers, I copy of a letter from sir H. Popham to on the motion of Mr. Creevey, were order- the navy board, dated Feb. 28, 1805; also, a copy of a letter from sir II. Popham to the navy board, dated March 26, 1805, with the answer of the board thereto.

> . Mr. Grev said, he did not rise to object to the production of these or any other papers that might tend to elucidate the subject, but merely to remark, that several weeks had elapsed since a variety of papers moved for on both sides had been presented, since which no inquity had taken place. . By such motions as the present the discussion might be postponed to an indefinite period.

Mr. Dickenson stated the grounds of his motion, which were, that sir H. Popham having discovered some imperiections in the original report of the navy board, had written to the admiralty on the subject, and that the amended report had not been received by the admiralty until yesterday. Some further conversation ensued octween Mr. Grey, the Chancellor of the Exchequer, Mr. Dickenson, and Mr. Kinthe reference made by the lords of the naird; in the course of which Mr. Kinnaird intimated his intention of bringing forward a motion relative to sir H. Popham, on Tuesday, the 7th of May next. Mr. Dickenson's motions were then agreed

[IRISH UNION COMMISSIONERS.] Sir the recovery of any sum due to the public John Newport rose to make his promised motion for the production of a copy of the case laid before the attorney and solicitor general of England on the 16th of May, 1801, by direction of the commissioners for awarding compensation in Ireland in consequence of the union, relative to the claim for compensation from the attorney and solicitor general of Ireland; together with a copy of the opinion of the attorney and solicitor general of England upon this case. The reason which induced him to bring forward this motion, the hon, bart, stated to be this, that the house should be put in possession of the nature and conduct of this singular commission: It appeared, that notwithstanding their appeal to the judgment of the [CONDUCT OF SIR HOME POPHAM.] Mr. attorney and solicitor general of England, minurally, dated toth feb. 1805, with us farly necessary to Call the attention of par-

liament to the subject, this commission tion concerning the affairs of Ireland, he had now been existing for several years, without really having any thing to do, and seemed still determined to go on. The commission consisted of four persons, each of whom received a salary of 1,200l, per year, and it was now above three years since the commissioners wrote a letter to the lord heutenant, stating, that their business was so much diminished that they had no occasion to meet every day, as they had previously done, and requesting that they might be called on to meet only every Monday. In order to contrive some appearance of employment, he understood that those commissioners prolonged an inquiry upon a particular claim for several months, dividing the inquiry between them two and two alternately, Monday after Menday. It really struck him as matter of surprize and shame that such a commissionshould have been so long tolewhatever to put an end to it. Indeed, according as it went on, it was likely to contimue for ever, unless something was done by afterwards move that they be printed. to terminate its existence. Feeling that any expenditure of the public money that could be avoided under the present circumstances of the country ought to be prevented, and that this commission was now become unnecessary, he should take occasion very soon to call the attention of the house to this subject.

Dr. Duigenan said, that he was a member of the commission alluded to at the time stated in the motion, and that he and not recollect any such case as the hon. bart, alluded to. As three of the conmissioners were lawyers he did not think they required any advice from the law officers mentioned in the motion, or from any other persons as to the mode of performing this duty. The learned doctor added, that he had long since resigned his place in the commission.

Sir John Newport said, that it had been already stated by the commissioners themselves, that such case had been laid before the attorney and solicitor general of Eng- Copy of a Letter from Lord Melville to the land, and all he wished was, to see the opinion which had been given upon the. case so stated.

pay attention to the opinions of emment gentlemen at the bar, on cases fairly stated to them, and especially those who were so emment in their profession as the gentlemen alluded to; but as this was a que "Melville in withholding information re-

thought the opinion of the attorney and solicitor general of that part of the United Kingdom ought to be taken also.

Mr. Kinnaird thought the hon. gent. who spoke last had made an extraordinary proposition by desiring to have the opinion of the only two gentlemen in the kingdom, who, by the rules of law and common sense, were necessarily excluded from giving it, because it was a case upon their own claim.—The motion was then put and carried.

[Lord Melville's Letter to the COMMISSIONERS OF NAVAL ENQUIRY. Mr. Williams, secretary to the commissioners of naval enquiry, presented a Copy of a Letter from lord viscount Melville to the commissioners of naval enquiry, dated the 28th of March, 1805, and of the answer thereto by the commissioners.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer moved, rated, and there appeared no disposition "that these papers do lie upon the table to be perused by the members of the house;" and he said he should immediate-

Mr. Francis wished to know whether a motion could not be made to print them immediately, so that there should be no delay in making them known to members.

The Speaker observed that the motion, that these papers do lie upon the table to be perused by the members of the house, must be disposed of before any thing else could regularly be done.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer said they could be printed without difficulty by tomorrow.

Mr. Alderman Combe, by way of giving to the house the contents immediately, moved an amendment, instead of laying them, on the table, "that these papers be now read."

The Chancellor of the Exchequer had no objection, and therefore consented to withdraw his motion for the present to make way for that of the worthy alderman. They were accordingly read by the clerk at the table, and are as follows:

Commissioners of Naval Enquisy, dated the 28th of March 1805.

Gentlenfen; having read your Tenth Mr. Sturges Bowne was ready to Report, and observing particularly the following paragraph in the 141st page-

- " However the apprehension, of disclosing
- " delicate and confidential transactions of "government might operate with lord"

not of money issued for the services of the s navy. If his lordship had received into A' his hands such propies, as were advanced by him to other departments, and " had replaced them as they were repaid, " he could not have derived any profit of "advantage from such transactions, howdever repugnant they might be to the " provisions of the legislature, for the sale "custody of public money." I think -it necessary to state the following observayour question, and which you appear not you first called upon me for information, I stated to you that I had no materials on which I could frame such an account as you required me at that time to prepare; and, in a communication with Mr. Trotter, before my examination on the 5th of Nov. last, I learned, for the first time, that in the accounts he had kept respecting my private concerns, he had so blended his own private monies with what he had in his hands of public money, that it was impossible for him to ascertain with precision whether the advances he had occasion to make to me in the course of his running private account with me, were made from the one or from the other aggregate sums which constituted his balance with Messrs. Coutts. This circonstance, which I understood Mr. Trotter had distinctly communicated to you, made it impossible for me to return any other answer than I did to the general question which you put to me- Whether Mr. Trotter had applied any of the money * issued for carrying on the current ser-* vice of the Navy, for my benefit or ad-"vantage?" and to this circumstance I uniformly referred in my answer to other questions respecting the manner in which Mr. Trotter applied the money in his to the funds from which they were taken, hands. When you put the question to having in no instance been withdrawn from me, "Whether I did direct or authorise "Mr. Trotter to lay out of apply, or " cause to be laid out or applied, any of correct an maccuracy which I observe in "the money issued for currying on the one part of the evidence on Appendix "carrent service of the Navy; to my be- No. 7, page 192. The question is put to "neaf or advantage?" my answer was, me, "Did you derive any profit or ad-

"specting advances to other departments, you proceeded to inquire whether I had we do not perceive how that apprehen- ever any understanding expressed or im-Asion can at all account for his retusing to plied with Mr. Trotter respecting any parstate, whether he derived any propt or ticipation of advantage derived from the advantage from the use or employment | castady of the public money, or whether I at any time knowingly derived any advantages to myself from any advances of public money, I should have no hesitation in declaring, as I now declare, that there never was any such understanding, nor any thuig like it, between Mr. Trotter and myself; that I never knowingly derived any such advantages; and whatever emolument account to Mr. Trotter in the conduct of the precinitry concerns of the police was, so far as I am informed, extions, in order to place in their just view clusively his own. - With respect to any the grounds on which I declined answering advances which Mr. Trotter might make on my private account, I considered myto have accurately understood. When sell as debtor to him alone, and as standmg with regard to him in no other predicament than I should have done with any other man of business, who might be in occasional advance to me in the general management of my concerns entrusted to him. It is impossible for me to accertain, from any documents or wouchers in my hands, or now existing, what the extent of those advances night have been at any particular period. The accounts which you have inserted in your Report, I never say till I saw them in the Report itself. They are no accounts of mine, nor and I party to their. They contain a variety of sums issued nominally to me, which never came into my hands, and they give no credit for various sums received by Mr. Trotter on my private account from my salary as treasurer of the Navy, and other sources of income, of which he was in the receipt, nor do they take any notice of the security of which he was in possession, for the re-payment of any balance at any time due to him from private funds.-With respect to the sums of naval money advanced to me, and applied to other services, I do not feel it necessary to make any additional observations, except to declare, that all those sums were returned it for any purpose of private emolument or advantage, - Before I conclude, I wish to to the best of my recollection I nover "vantage from the use or employment of did. That answer I now repeat. Had money issued forcarrying on the current

similar question put to me before. This answer is maccurate, in so far as it contams a reference to Mr. Trotter's mode of blending his tunds in his private account paymaster till the year 1786. The circumter's account, which precluded my returning an answer to your former questions, do not apply to the periods specified by that mentioned, and I can, therefore, have no difficulty in declaring, that during these periods I did not derive any advantage from the use or employment of public money issued for carrying on the service of almost unnecessary to add, that I am at adviseable, upon these, we any other any time ready to verify them upon my grounds, it would be for them to direct oath. I have the honour tybe, gentle-jusch farther inquiry, and to decide by (Signed) MELVILLE. Insucr of the Commissioners of Naval ! - prosecuted; but, in the mesent circum-April 2, 1805.

ship's letter of the 28th or last month, by which you intimate that we appear not to on which you declined answering our quesin order to place those grounds in their dered .- Adjourned. just view; and also express a wish, before you conclude, to correct an inaccuracy in one part of your evidence, and a readiness to verify by your oath the facts stated in that letter.—If it be the object of this comyour lordship's attendance, for the pur-(as far as we are concerned in the pro-

" service of the Navy, between the 19th parties to whose conduct that Report relates. " of Aug. 1802, and 30th of April, 1803; We were occupied several months in inves-" or between the 1st of Feb. 1784, and tigating the mode of conducting the busi-" 31st of Dec. 1785, during which periods ness of the office of Tressurer of the Navy. " you held the office of Treasurer of the Those who were examined by us had the " Navy?" Which question I there answer | fullest opportunity of stating and explaining by a reference to the answer given to a all things which related to the management of that department, or to the share which they respectively had in it; and of correctig, at any time, during the progress of the Indury, any mistakes which might with Messrs. Courts. Mr. Trotter was not madvertently have been made. Our opinion and observations upon the inegulastances, therefore, relative to Mi Trot- rities and abuses which we discovered were formed and drawn up with the utmost care and deliberation; and they are now submitted to the three branches of the legislature, as the act, by which we are appointed, requires. If it could be made to appear upon a representation to them that any thing has been omitted on our part. that any misunderstanding or error had the Navy. Having stated these facts it is occurred, and that a further inquire is whom, and in what manner, it should be quiry to the above Letter, dated Office of stances, it appears to us that we canssit Navat Enquiry, Great George-street, with propriety resume it. We have the Honour to be, my lord, &c.&c. (Signed) My Lord—We have received your lord-1Ch. M. Pole, Ewan Law, John Ford, 11. Nicholls, W. Mackworth Praed.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer then have accurately understood the grounds moved," that these papers do lu upon the table for the use of the members of this tions, and submit to us some observations house, and also that they be printed. Or:

> Mouse of Lords. Thursday, April 4.

[Mayures] Counsel were farther heard relative to the Scots Appeal, Cathquit, munication, that we should again require bart v. the part of Cassilis, viz. Mr. Adam, in continuation, as leading counsel for the pose of being examined, touching these respondent. On the motion of the earl of matters, and that we should make a sup- Suffolk, lord viscount Melville's letter plemental Report upon the result of that to the Commissioners of Naval Enquiry examination, and such other examinations was ordered to be laid before the house. as we might thereupon judge necessary. The bills upon the table were forwarded in there can be no disinclustion on our parts their respective stages. On the mecond, reading of Bowyer's Lottery bill, Lord ceeding) to meeting your loadship's wishes: Ellenborough made a few observations: But it appears to see that the Inquiry, though he was by no means favourable to which is the Subject of the Tenth Report, the general principle of lotteries; yet, unhas attained that period when it would der the circumstances of the case, the prenot become us to adopt such a measure sent bill was one which might, without. merely upon the suggestion of any of the much risk of injurious consequences, be

assented to by their lordships. - After some | ple of the bill, at any time it should seem remarks from lord Walsingham, of a tendency favourable to the measure, the bill was read a second time; and ordered to be referred to the consideration of a committee.—Lord Grenville moved their lordships be summoned for the 10th of May, on which day, he said, it was his intention to offer a motion relative to the Roman Catholics of Ireland. Lord Auckland expressed his opinion, that on a topic of such peculiar importance, as that adverted to by the noble baron, some idea should be given as to the nature of the intended motion. Lord Grenville, in reply, observed he had no objection unreservedly to state, that at present, his intention was to move on that day for a committee to take the petition into consideration.—Lord Auckland announced his intention to bring forward some farther propositions, in reference to the pending case of Mr. Justice Fox, and moved, that their lordships be summoned on the occasion, but on what day we were unable to collect. The order for the exclusion of strangers was then enforced, and the door closed for we could collect, their lordships were engaged in their judicial capacity, in investipersonal, and very particular nature, viz. some very gross and flagrant misconduct of the coachman of a noble peer on Monday night last, to one or two of the officers the marshalmen, and some of the persons alluded to were shortly interrogated upon the subject, and the result was, that pro-'per persons were dispatched to take the delinquent into custody for the purpose of derstanding that some important modihis being brought to the bar of the house.

[Universities Advowson Bill.] Lord nature of this bill, which stood for commitwhich, if entered upon according to the self; and the result was, that the bill was order, would materially interfere with the discussion of business of great national importance. The bill, he conceived to be , of that nature as urgently to require a speedy discussion. He would therefore move, and to which he hoped no serious objection would be entertained, that the deterred till the above mentioned day. committee on the bill be deferred till Friday, the 26th of April:

The Bishop of Oxford had no objection the Militia Enlisting Bill being read, enter upon the discussion of the princi-

proper to their lordships. He had reason to believe, that he should be able to prove to their lordships, that it was a measure extremely necessary, and unattended with any of the disadvantages which were apprehended as likely to result from it.

Lord Grenville expressed his opinion that the bill, to which he was favourably muchned, was one of considerable importance. He was aware of the beneficial tendency of a mixed patronage with respect to clerical preferments; at the same time, he saw no necessity for restraining the universities from their due share in that patronage; as no body or corporation whatever could, he conceived, exercise an extensive right of patronage more beneficially to the interests of religion, or of learning, and, with respect to the interest of the latter, he conceived that a quick succession to the livings would be advantageous.

The Earl of Suffolk took occasion to advert to the bill which passed not long since, for ameliorating the condition of the poorer orders of the clergy. The leading proabout twenty minutes. In the interval, as visions of that bill, he understood, were as yet nugatory; and it was his intention, on a future day, to bring the subject begating the circumstances of a case of a fore their lordships. He had several letlers from curates upon the subject; some of which, without stating names, he mtended to communicate to the house. He expected more letters on the subject; and luferior servants of the house; two of perhaps what he then said would operate in some degree as an advertisement to that body of men to send him more commumeations of a similar nature.

> The Duke of Norfolk expressed his unfications to the bill were intended.

The Bishop of Oxford, in explanation, Hawkesbury, after adverting generally to the observed, that he had consulted those the most competent to advise upon the subment that evening, said it was a proceeding | ject; and had maturely considered it hamproduced in its present form. It may, however, admit of some modifications; but, in his mind, it needed none; and that the exigency of the case required such an act, should be passed.—The question was put, and the committee on the bill

> MILETIA ENLISTING BULL. order of the day for the second reading of

Lord Hankesbury rose, and stated, that

after the thorough and repeated discus- principle admitted, the mode of reduction sions the subject had hitherto undergone, it would not be necessary for him to take up much of their lordships' time, or to enter into any general considerations of the subject. He would first observe, that when the immense number of men who were in arms in this country was considered, their lordships would soon be aware that no military force whatever could be more respectable than that of the united langdom. The only difference of opinion which could arise, was as to the arrangement and composition of this force, and the most effectual means of increasing the disposable force of the country. There was a general admission that this description of our military force should, under the present circumstances, form a much larger proportion, particularly with respect to infantry, of the whole, than it did at present. The object of the bill now before their lordships was to effect that; and the principal question which could arise, was as to the means adopted. The mode proposed by the bill, was to allow a certain proportion of the militia forces to volunteer into the regular forces, and into the royal marines. It should be considered, that the present militia establishment was calculated without a reference to a volunteer force, at the immense amount at which it stood at present. The principle of reducing the militia had been more than once recognised by parliament, especially by the act of last session, and the question that remained, therefore, was, whether, under all the circumstances of the country, it would not greatly conduce to the public benefit, to permit men to alluded to, declared that a great defensive . volunteer from the militia into the regulars, under the restrictions proposed by try. There existed at that time also a the bill, so as to increase our disposable legal necessity for diminishing the militia. force to the desired amount. He was a real friend to the militia system, but, he must contend, that on true militia principles, the present number and proportion that the defensive force of the country of that force were too great to be kept up. The present state of the militia, with respect to its officers, was also a matter of serious had his decided disapprobation. consideration, and in these a great deficiency, to an aggregate, he believed, of between 400 and 500, particularly of officers duly qualified at present existed. The present volunteer system not, a little contributed to affect the officering of the militia. This latter force, with a reference to the true militia principles, should not be suffered to exceed \$0,000 men. That provisions of the bill would tend to defeat VOL. IV.

was comparatively of little importance; the execution of the present measure was, in the first instance, confided to militia officers themselves, who, he trusted, would feel the great public importance of the duty entrusted to them. A measure similar to the present was adopted in the course of the last war, but destrute of the advantageous provisions of the present bill. The great importance of its object being generally admitted, he trusted its details would, on discussion, in the regular oppostunity, be found equally unobjectionable. Any farther commentary on the merits of the measure he should leave to other noble lords more conversant than himself in the particular subject. should now content himself with moving their lordships to give a second reading to the bill.

The Marquis of Buckingham said, he gould not give a silent vote upon the occasion. He denied that any similitude existed between. the present bill and that alluded to, as enacted during the late war; there was then an imperious duty upon them so to enact, and the circumstances of the country were widely different as to its defensive situ ition and the naval power of the enemy; the present measure · would, he contended, prove inefficacious, and it was odique and disgusting to the militia officers in general; and all the military measures of the present ministers were infarious to the militia system. In the former instance, the militia were in number too great and unwieldy to be conducted on true militia principles, and the preamble of the bill he force was no longer necessary in the coun-In all these particulars the country was at present in the reverse, or in a very da crent state, and ministers themselves must teel, could not be safely diminished. The measure, taking it in every point of view,

The Earl of Derby followed on the same side, and supported the arguments of the noble marquis. He censured the measure in question as unjust in its principle, and unlikely to produce the desired ... effect. It was a miserable expedient to think of robbing one branch of the milesary force to supply another. Some of the

of the measure. to procure a disposable force to that West Indies, and he could add to this, amount? He deprecated the rejection that every precaution had been taken by of his right honourable friend's idea in another place, of not culisting the men for life. Adverting to the general misconduct of ministers, he glanced at the noble earl for his conduct as lord-lieutenant recent successes of the enemy in the West of a county, in which capacity, although Indies.: He imagined that 40,000 men, administration had not the satisfaction of as the extent of the militia, was as many his support in that house, he did every as could be kept up on true militia principles, and he considered what fell from the noble secretary as a sort of pledge, of the public service. that such'a number of militia forces were to be kept up: There were a zeal and of every noble lord ought to be ducated by spirit in the country, if properly directed, the answer which he could give to the abundant disposable force was to be obtained, were proper means respirted to. It was impossible not to perceive that the militia officers in general were disgusted with the present measure. A great deal of the military difficulties, which the country at regard to inlisting men, was to be attri- mode could best answer the end in view? buted to the conduct of the late minister, now a member of that house. After the to diminish her defensive force.

should be reduced, without the country so great a number as was expected. having the benefit of 17,000 men being | Lord Cawder thought that the right hon.

its object; and it was idle, he conceived, respect to the statement of the noble carl, to think of procuring 17,000 men by it. that a French force was now riding triuin-In illustrating this position, the noble carl phant in the West Indies, he had every entered into some detailed considerations reason to believe that a British force was What must Bonaptrie now there which would effectually prevent think, on seeing us driven to such a shift the former from riding triumphant in the sending additional troops to guard our possessions in that quarter of the world. He paid some warm compliments to the thing possible to promote all those mea-Sures which they employed for the benefit

Lord Borringdon observed, that the vote adequate to all its exigencies; and an three following questions. First, it might be asked, whether it was not extremely desirable, that a large body of well-trained soldiers should at this moment be added to our disposable force? If it were admitted that this was extremely desirable, then the next question cashe to be how this present laboured under, particularly in addition was to be obtained, and what And in the third place, it was to be considered whether the inconveniencies attreaty at Amiens, had a different line of tending the procuring of this disposable conduct been adopted with respect to the force were so great as to counterbalance militia, its numbers might easily and all the advantages that could be derived cheaply have been completed, and kept from it? That it was necessary, at this up, by means of the numerous discharges moment, to have as large a disposable from the other branches of the national force as possible, few who were properly force. With the noble marquis, he gene-| sensible of the condition of Europe could rally and strongly disapproved of the deny. It was the opinion of military men, present measure, and censured the policy that if one half of the force now expected as weak and dangerous, which, in the pre- to be raised had co-operated with the sent circumstances of this country, went Austrian army at the battle of Marengo, the event of the day would have been The Earl of Buckinghamshire said, the extremely different from what it was. His late administration had found it expedient lordship also adverted to the brave conto increase the militia to the amount at duct of the militia in Egypt. The present which it now stood; and had not the act was the best mode of procuring them that of last session, for gradually reducing could be adopted, and the advantages, he the militia, been passed, he should not was convinced, would far out-balance all have consented to the present measure; the inconveniencies: He concluded, by that wet, however, having passed, the expressing his hearty assent to the measure. only question was, whether the militia but was afraid that it would not produce

unided to its disposable force or not. gent. at the head of affairs little under-Under these circumstances, he did not stood the matter, when he thought that he situte to give his warmest and most he would be able to ealist a force, such as cordial support to the present bill. With the present bill proposed, from the militia

he took no merit to himself from the cir-currence, and it might be more prudent cumstance, that his regiment was in a if some others, in particular situations, militia regiments then were. The conse-disapprobation. quence was that few of his men volunteered. reconcile this in the best way they could,

tions were made, both to the principle of principles of the past. was produced. 17,000 men who would no longer receive liament, delivered downto us stained with

into the regular army for life. He would the same support. It had been said, that find that he would procure none but those the officers of the militia almost universally whose dissolute lives might make it con- were, dissatisfied with this measure; and venient of desirable for them to change the decision, at a meeting of 32 officers their situations, or who, induced by the at the St. Alban's, had been conceived to be bounty, which would enable them to get the general expression of the opinions of drunk for a few weeks, might enlist with 500 officers, who composed that respeca view of afterwards descring. He could table body. This statement was wholly not forget the shameful scenes which had incorrect, no general conclusions could occurred when a similar measure was for be drawn from such a circumstance; many merly adopted. He recollected, though officers had already expressed their conhigher state of discipline than most of the would not be hasty in proclaiming their

The Earl of Carnarvon rose and spoke He received a complaint on the subject as follows: If every day did not convince from lord Melville, and he at the time me that public faith and public honesty stated to that noble lord the real cause of were of little importance, in the eyes of the supposed failure on the part of his many statesmen, I should be indeed susregiment. Wishing to avoid the recur- prised at the proposition now under disrence of a similar circumstance, he recol- cussion, when the ministerial breath is lected too that he had applied to the noble scarce cold which held out to the country, earl opposite (Buckinghamshire), and al-|before a consenting parliament who though he declined giving him any assu-adopted the pledge, that this system, derance in his official capacity, he gave him grading to the militia, and of the highest to understand; as a friend, in the most injustice to those on whom it was an unequal satisfactory terms, that no such measure burthen, should never be again recuired should again be resorted to. He left it to. We are, indeed, told that necessity, to those noble lords who formed part both in the management of public affairs, has of the late and present administration, to lucither faith, nor honesty, nor law; that the necessity of the existing moment will The Earl of Westmoreland said, that objec- justify, every deviation from the soundest We have been the bill itself, and to the time when it told that though it might be fit and proper, The first objection was at one time, that the defensive force of grounded on some supposition, that the the country, in the form of a militia, should measure was unconstitutional. It was be augmented at the expence of the land true, that the term constitutional was ap-loscupier, it was equally fit and proper, at phed with considerable latitude, according another time, that the militia should be to the opinions noble lords entertained of reduced, and converted from an appropublic measures. By the statute 30 Geo. priated to a disposable force. All this, I II. the militia was to serve 3 years, and am neady to admit, may be in possibility, then was to be permitted to enter into and in the abstract true, and justifiable by the army, or to engage in any other occu- an imperious necessity; but parliament pation. What then could there be uncon- will, no doubt, expect the clearest proof stitutional so directly opposed to the of the peculiar necessity which is at this feelings of noble lords, as friends to the moment paramount to all other considera-British monarchy, if, after a period of 2 tions. Necessity has been, however, truly years, they had the same privilege they called the tyrant's plea; and if parliament would enjoy at the termination of 3 years? is, as it ought to be, a jenious parliament. Much had been objected on the ground of watching with patriotic care over the rights parochial expence; but on a fair calcu- and interests of the people, it will distinlation, by this bill, little or no difference guish between a necessity simply asserted, would be occasioned in that respect: what and that which is distinctly proved. We was thrown upon the parishes in the way are told that this measure is no novelty: of ballot, would be counterpoised by the unfortunately it is not; por is it a novelty, relief given in respect to the families of in the long and changeful history of par-

motley and disfigured stock we have grafted the more subtle poison of our days, that parliament should, on the bare assertion of any of its members, without the slightest proof, acknowledge necessities of state unknown to all the world beand injustice may have its precedent in the history of parliament, and in the Practice of ministers, I shall think it my duty to suppose, that parliament does not ceeding 32,000 men, in 1757, induced the therefore mean to sanction neglect or injustice, and that it will not act blindly or without proof and conviction of the absolute necessity. The noble lord who spoke last seems to think that the proof of necessity is his assertion of it; he also concludes that the present bill is entirely consmant to the principles of the militia, Uscause the militia, in its original formation, was only for a limited period, and contained a clause by which a rotation of officers and nien were becared to the county: but nothing can be more clear than the difference between an establishment, in which the officers and men of a county had a rotation of service that gradually made the whole county fit for its defence, and a premature destruction of that delensive force, raised at the expence of the land occupiers, which is effected by The noble lord who spoke last this bill does not, indeed, rely much upon the arguments he has used, (and in that I am not surprised), but he has endeavoured to augment their efficacy by threats. The tives, dragged out of the chainel house of noble lord is a cabinet minister, filling a rotten and decayed usurpation, to bolster high office and a confidential situation; up a modern system of unconstitutional he assumed tones and gestures which reudered the threat intelligible to those who could scarce conceive its absurdity; he reminded those who hold the offices of regular army, with the consent of an excosety lieutenants, and command county listing parliament, so jealously asserted and regiments, tirit great persons have lost preserved at the revolution in the declasuch offices for offensive opinions which ration of rights, and re-echoed annually in they held; he hinted that the subject was the preamble of the mutiny act, has been delicate, but he advised deliberation and trampled under foot by an incomprehencaution to those who held these opinions, sible act, known by the name of the parish before they ventured to urge them. This act, which, without raising the men for threat, indecent in itself and disrespectful present use, (in which it has failed), has to the house, as disgraceful to him who inflicted a fatal wound on the constitution, uttored it as offensive to those to whom it by enabling the king, without the future was addressed, can have no other effect, consent of parliament, to raise 57,880 men, than to raise the contempt of the last; or 201. per man to raise them by. At and to convince all those who heard him the hopeless treaty of Amiens, called by that the noble-lord himself is capable of its aggoriators and panegwists an expericonforming his opinion to the pleasure of mental peace, and by Spain, in its late

the variation of each soil, and on which he is capable of submitting to such disgraceful control I have a right to say, for he himself has told us so by uttering the threat and supposing its successful operation, [Here lord Westmorelaifd rose and said that he meant no threat, but a friendly caution.] Lord Carnarvon continued:sides: but though every species of neglect | The noble lord has not by his explanation (I had nearly said letter) made his case much better. The popularity of a constifutional militia for home defence not exland owners to petition for such force, and to charge themselves with the expence of the levy. The offered establishment was accepted, but it never found favour in the eyes of ministers, commanders in chief and generals; they harassed the land occupiers by the burthen of its augmentation, and the officers by its reduction and conversion of the regiments, which they had formed for the internal defence of the country, into a dull for foreign service. The military system of this country has been in a constant state of fluctuation for many years, but more particularly since the late war. This is the only country in Europe that has not a regular, fixed and well-known rule by which to aim themselves for offence and defence in time of war. We have wavered between war militius; volunteers of shopkeepers, who cannot quit their shops; armies for limited service, to be afterwards tempted to volunteer into unlimited service; new fangled, antiquated, and unpracticable prerogadesence, more odious and alarming than the invasion which it was intended to meet. The constitutional principle of a wese from whom he holds his office; that negotiations, a continuation of the war;

at this peace, which, according to all opi-|ficed the constitution of the country to the nions, gave no hopes of its continuation, little views of the moment, and rendered or removed the fear of war to a great it less the object of love and affection to distance, and of a vigorous, not a languid those who might look with indignation on war, which is a contradiction in terms, after the full experience of the preceding loyalty and affection on the preserved war in 'which every trick had been practised upon, and every shape given to the militia; the practice of recruiting the army from the militia was, on the fullest investigation, considered as an error, and solemnly renounced by govt. before an assenting parliament; and they considered it as essential to the defence of the country and to the vigorous disposition of the regular force, that the war establishmen [land occupier was exempted from all talof the militia should be unalienably increased 20,000 men. On these assurances to a writ of exemption. The land tax has of govt, and pledges from parliament, the land occupier acquiesced without a murmur, and the abated zeal of the patrons of traduced, which, besides an augmented the militia and its officers revived, and the discipline of the militia was again restored. If this bill should pass, the faith of ministers and of parliament is for ever blasted; it will be obvious that pledges are put 31,000 for the army of reserve, and nearly forward to carry a point on public credulity, and not to be kept: their present justification cannot save the then administration from the imputation of the mates. And let it not be imagined that gros-est fraud. It is in vain to say, that it was just and equitable to hold out an' unalionable defensive militia, to be aug may, if he can, raise the men by the sum mented at the expense of the land occu- paid to him by the crown; the act will piers, from the prospect of war; that it show that the crown cannot give a sum was just and equitable to raise such force above three fourths of the bounty that shall at their expense on the breaking out of be allowed to raise regular forces, and it the war, and immediately to seduce them is not easy for the overseer to obtain a man from that service for general service out when government offers for the same man of the public purse, leaving the land occa- one-fourth more. But should the crown. pier with the expence of that home defence give only od. to the overseer, which the of which he is to be plundered. This is king's minister may do, or any sum much a gross fraud and injustice, and has no below three-fourths, as to make it quite termination, for if a change in public af- impossible to obtain a man, the penalty fairs can in so short a time justify such a of 201, per man attaches on the parishes. change in our defence, in as short a time to be paid by a rate from the land occuit may justify a return to the contrary piers, so that the 58,000 men may be system, and another dugmentation may, raised at the will of the crown, at any time, with equal fitness and propriety, be raised and 20l. per man, viz. 1,160,000l. The at the renewed expence of the land occu- whole sum raised, or to be raised, from pier. Govt, has the audscity to propose the land occupier's purse, since the peace, to parliament, and to do themselves in amounts to three millions and an half, be parliament; what no individual would sides the expences incurred in keeping up venture to do singly, out of parliament, in the force of 149,000 men. This is the rethe private intercourse of life; or if he ward which the land occupiers have repractised such iniquities, he would not be ceived for their generous and voluntary endured in society. Such conduct must levy of 32,000 men out of their private raise universal indignation and a feeling of purse; this is the treatment which they criminality to minister, who have sacri- have experienced for renouncing through

the errors and vices of ministers, and with constitutional govt. of the kingdom. Since the peace, the militia has been made a pretence to raise men on the private purse of the land occupier, without relieving him from his share of the public purse. The learned lord on the woolsack knows that when the army of the nation was of old drawn from the land tenants of the crown holding by knight's service, such hage or taxes, and was entitled, as of right, of late been made permanent on him; and a practice has; since the last, war, been inmilitia to the number of 60,000 men, has burthened the private purse of the land occupier, and not the treasury of the nation, to pay the levy of above 58,000 permanent force by the parish bill, amounting in the whole to 149,000 men not stated by ministers in the army estithe expence falls the less on the land occupier because the overseer of the poor

beyond doubt: 40l. and even 50l, has been given for raising the war augmentation of militia. I put that at the low average of 301.; less than 121. I have not yet heard of, as the intended seduction money, making together 421, for such soldier so obtamed; the present price of army recruiting is 16l, per man, which deducted from which is the sum given more than the man fuse in the army estimates. also another metive, equally criminal, duction. No necessity has been shewn for navy. the present bill, and as the persons who intended to involve a large body of men, at the expence of the land owner, in a situation from whence they could be more homes. These are tricks which may not add to the disgrace of a profligate administration, but are below the dignity of parhament, and dangerous to its constitutional popularity.

The Duke of Montrose stated, that he felt as a militia-officer some regret at perforce, but however partial he was to the militia force, he felt also that at the preregular disposable forces. · He had heard various schemes suggested, but from the cient number for its defence.

and in the militia, had frequently seen the serious mischief arising from irregularity he could never consent to this as the mode and disorganization. Those who had seen in which that auguentation could be most

zeal, their domestic comfort, without pro- the troops embark for Holland, might withfessional views. These acts of hardship out the gift of prophecy, have anticipated and injustice have not even the dirty plea | the event of that disgraceful expedition. of economy in obtaining men for general The troops in Egypt, which did so much service. A short statement will prove this honour to the country, were trained by a most able and illustrious officer, now commander in chief in Scotland, and by another of extraordinary merit (sir Charles Stewart), of whose services the country had been deprived by death. This was not a time, when measures proposed by ministers could be received with much confidence; the misfortunes in the East 421. as before stated, leaves a suplus of 261. Indies were known, and new calamities cawaited us in the West, in consequence of is worth; so that the land occupier is the escape of the Rochefort squadron, charged 261, that the public purse (to which might be attributed, justly, perhaps, which he also pays) may be spared 41.; to the deficient vigilance of the noble lord and the subjects of Great Britain are plun- (Melville) at the head of the admiralty. dered, that manisters may appear less pro- We should not have had to lament such a They have mischance, if the gallant and illustrious officer, who so lately filled that dignified which has induced the repeated augmen-situation, had still exerted his talents for tation of the militia with a view to its re-

Earl Camden supported the bill, on the moved the augmentation concur in the ground that an augmentation of the dispospresent reduction, they must therefore have able force of the country was necessary, to which the present measure would essentially contribute. This was to be effected in a manner as satisfactory as possible to easily seduced than from their domestic the feelings of the nulitia officers, who were a body whom he respected, and who were entitled to the approbation of the country for their zeal and great exertions.

The Earl of Romney, after hearing from all parts of the Louse the praises of the militia and their officers, was much astonished at the reward they were to receive; geiving the necessity for reducing that the men were to be sent out of their native country, and the officers, who were all men of property, were to be stripped of the sent time the country was more in want of troops they had themselves trained for the purpose of defending that property and their country. He was decidedly against best attention he had been able to bestow the bill, which went to the destruction of on the subject, he was convinced that by the most constitutional force in this counno other means could a body of twenty or try. The militia, from the time of its intwenty-five thousand troops be added so troduction in 1756, had been gradually rapidly, or with so little inconvenience, to improving in discipline and utility. It had our disposable force. The measure would been officered by some of the most connot diminish our defensive force, for ini-siderable individuals in the kingdom, and nisters were bound upon their responsible had far exceeded the expectations of those lity always to keep in the country a suffialiby whom the institution was originally brought forward. He was ta friend, as The Earl of Suffolk, both in the regulars, anuch as any noble lord could be, to the augmentation of our disposable force; but

advantageously obtained. The augmen- a committee, and that the clauses should tation so procured was at the expence of be filled up, and a sufficient time allowed the feelings and wisher of those who had for the consideration of the numerous probrought the militia teacher present state visions it contained; which being agreed of improvement, and therefore he felt to, he moved that the house should tohimself called on to resist the further progrest of the measure.

The Earl of Carlisle considered the present as only another wretched expedient of and emoluments, of Malta, and the Berthe present wretched administration. It muda and Bahama islands; which was they did not destroy the inflitia complete-lagreed to.—Sir'R. Lawley said, that in ly, it was only because they did not dare to consequence of the delay and difficulty atventure on so unpopular a mea are. But tending it, he should move for the disthough they did not actually destroy the charge of so much of the order he formilitia, they did every thing which could merly moved for, as related to the expence disgust all men of holograble feelings of volunteer cavalry in respect to the ar-They first employed some of the most re-[ticles' of horses and hair powder; which" spectable gentlemen in the king ions to motion was agreed to. He then moved exert all their time and influence in pie- for a detailed statement of the funds apparing the militia for service and then they plicable to the expence of the volunteer withdrew the men from under their con- service, from the office of the agent genetrol. All the labour was undergone with- ral, together with the expence of that estaout any of the reward or the honour to blishment, and also the expence of paysuch grounds he opposed the bill; and declared his conviction that enlisting for a limited period was, in the present circumof procuring that regular force, the necessity of which was on all hands admitted. His lordship illustrated these ideas at some length, and concluded with declaring his determination to oppose the present measme.—The lord chancellor having put the question, that the bill be read a second time, a division took place, when there appeared, contents 102, non-contents 54: majority 48. second time, and ordered to be committed to-morrow —Adjourned.

HOUSE OF COMMONS.

Thursday, April 4.

[MINUTES.] Mr. N. Vansitart took the oaths and his sent, for Old Sarum.-The London bread assize, and the Irish militia volunteer bills, were read a third time and passed.—Lord Stoplord acquainted the house, that his majesty had been waited upon with the addresses of Friday last, and had been pleased to give orders accordingly. —Sir W. Scott brought in a bill for the better encouragement of seamen, and the more ef-

morrow resolve itself into a committee to consider of so much of the prize agency act as related to the salaries, perquisites, which their exertions were entitled. On ment of the staff of the volunteers, from the 24th of Sept. 1804, to the 1st of Jan. 1805.—A message from the lords announced that they had agreed to the coastways stances of Europe, the only effectual mode importation duty, and the excise duty bills.

HRISH LUNATICS ASYLUM BILL. John Newport moved the order of the day, for the second reading of the bill for the protection of Irish lunatics. He said, that the act of & Geo. III. a. 29, which impowered grand juries to report upon the state of the charitable establishments, had not been carried into execution with any re-The bill was then read algularity. The principal object of this bill. was to remedy the inconvenience arising from the numerous establishments for beneficent purposes, yet very distinct in their nature, which were placed under the same general provisions. The act, sect. 8, empowered the grand jury to provide a ward for lunatics, and the sum expended was to be accounted for by the surgeons of the grand infirmary; and the consequence was, that 20 or 80 persons, in the unhappy state of mind alluded to, required as much attendance, as would be necespary for 150 under the act now proposed. Another design of the bill was, that the accounts should be annually submitted to the inspection and control of parhament. feetually and speedily recruiting of his ma- He much lamented the neglect, in regard jesty's navy, which was read a first, and or- to the insane, in some of the districts of dered to be read a second time on Tuesday, Ireland, but he was happy to make an in order that it may be printed in the inter- honourable exception in favour of the val. He stated that he should move for neighbourhood of Waterford, where an in-

pose now proposed. ment, that, in the lieu of the word "now," the term, "six months," should be sabstituted.

Lord Dunlo hoped the hon, bart, would not press the second reading of the bill on this day, but defer it till after the assizes in Ireland, in order to give the grand juries an opportunity of considering it. He expressed several objections to the provisions of the bill, as the distance of the asyla from each other would take the patients so far from their-former residence, other, which would accomplish the same and semetimes 50 or 60 miles away from objects in a better manner. the visits or inspection of their friends; and, in that part, of the country which he ! had the honour to represent, he saw no strong symptoms of extraordinary madness the amendment.

inconsistency of the noble lord, who began ther.—The amendment was then agreed to, by expressing a wish, that the bill should that the bill should be read a third time be delayed till the assizes were over, and this day six months.—Adjourned. afterwards concurred in a motion for its He highly approved of total rejection. the object it proposed, as there was a vast number of those objects to be seen swarmuig, in every part of Ireland.

proved of the outline, of the measure, he Excise Duty, Legacy Duty, and eleven must object to the mode in which the object was proposed to be accomplished. He Viscount Melville to the Commissioners shought the bill drawn up hastily and in- of Naval Enquiry, together with the anaccurately, and could see no pecessity for swer of the Commissioners, were preall this complicated machinery. should, therefore, vote for the amendbe brought in, which would be better | English Militia Enlisting bill. The house adapted to the purpose.

stitution for their assistance was formed, jections made to the bill appeared to him which had met with the peculiar approba- more applicable to a committee. It was tion of the great and benevolent Howard. notorious, that the ect of the 27th of his Mr. May did not see the propriety of majesty had been neglected, and that the passing a new act, while another existed in returns of the grand juries of Cork, Lainethe statute book, competent to the pur- rick, Waterford, Ennis, and most of the The design of the other counties, were a negative to the probill was to provide for a thousand mad visious made by it. With regard to the Irish. At present these were under the power of the lord lieutenant, it was conprotection of the grand juries of the fined to the original direction, and the in-The bill now under considera. spection, detail, and regulations, would be tion, would take this important duty out under the control of the judges at the of the hands of the respectable persons assizes. He declared sincerely to the to whom the discharge of it was committed, house, that the object of that, or any other and would place it with others who were less measure he should propose, was not meant suited to the purpose. He added, that the las a party job, or a provision for any inonly effect would be to impose a tax upon dividual, but purely founded on the rethe counties, unnecessary and impolitic, port of the committee. If, however, the and he concluded with moving the amend- house should not think proper to assent to the motion, he hoped the house would take care that the grand junies should be compelled to make returns of the provisions they should make, in compliance with the act of the 27th of his majesty.

> Sir G. Hill expressed himself against the bill, which he thought to be ungeressary, when sufficient powers were early given to the grand juries.

> Mr. Lee said he would on the present bill, but was ready to support any

> Mr. Fitzgerald thought the law at present competent to attain all the object wi the bill:

Sir J. Newport said, that finding the which could induce him to vote against sense of the house to be against the bil, he should beg leave to withdraw it, her Colonel Bagwell animadverted on the not with the intention of bringing in ano-

HOUSE OF LORDS.

Iriday, April 4.

[MINUTES.] The royal assent by Com-Mr. Alexander said, that though he ap- mission was given to the Customs Duty, private bills.—A Copy of the Letter from He sented at the bar, and ordered to be printed. Lord Hawkesbury moved the Order ment, in hopes that another bill would of the day, for the commitment of the having resolved, itself into a committee, he it. Sir Le Newport replied, that all the ob- moved, if noble lords had not objections

wished to asked of the noble secretary of mination on the subject, but he undertood, from what had passed in another they were engaged.—The Irish militia enbrought up from the commons. The Irish militia enlisting bill was read a first time, day.—On the commitment of the American ships heence bill, lord Sheffield expre ed his disapprobation of the principle of the bill, and protested against extendme such advantages to foreign ship owners, ment for their tonnage. It was not, how, the bill. The bill was then reported,— Adjourned.

HOUSE OF COMMONS. Friday, April 5.

[MINUTES.] The Speaker, attended by the house, proceeded to the house of peers, and being returned, he reported that the ing districts, and for other purposes relathouse, at the desire of the lords, autho-ling thereto; without any amendment. rized by virtue of his majesty's commission, Sir Hew Dalrymple Hamilton reported had been at the house of peers, where a from the committee, to whom the ball ton commission under the great seal was read, giving the royal assent to the following and other works, at Ardroscan, in the public and private bills, viz. An act for county of Ayr, was committed; and to granting to his majesty additional dulies, within G. Britain, on certain goods, wares, Braco, banker in Glasgow, in the county of and merchandize, imported into, or brought Lanark, was referred; that no person apor carried coastwise; un act for granting peared before the committee in support of to his majesty several additional duties of the petition; and that the committee had Vol. IV.

to propose to any of the clauses, that the excise in G. Britain; an act for granting bill should be read short, which was ac- to his majesty additional stamp duties in cordingly done, and an order made, on G. Britain on certain legacies.—A petition the motion of his lordship, that it be read of the master pilots and seamen of the a third time on Monday.-Lord King trimty house of Newcastle upon Tyne, was presented to the house, and read; setting state, whether it was the intention of his forth, that the petitioners are incorporated majesty's ministers to propose any bill for by divers charters from the crown, and by prolonging the commission of naval en- virtue thereof do collect and receive, for quiry, which, owing to the arrangements keeping and repairing two light-houses at that had been adopted for the opening of North Shelds, at or near the port of Newthe present session, would expire with the castle, certain duties prescribed by the session? It ministers did not think pro- said charters to be paid by the owners of per to renew a commission, from which so ships or vessels entering the said port; much advantage had been derived to the and that the said light-houses have, from public, he would feel it incumbent on him alterations in the channel and course of to bring forward a bill to that effect. Lord the river, become ill-placed, and unfavour-Hawkerbury was not aware that, his malable to the sale navigating of vessels; and jesty's ministers had come to any deter- it is necessary that two new light-houses should be crected, which will give a differest line of direction or leading mark to place, that time would be allowed to them ships entering into or leaving the said port; to finish the important enquiries in which and that from the necessity of consulting and advising with a large and numerous lating bill, and several private bills, were body of men interested in the trade of the port, and residing at different places, the petitioners were not able to present then and, on the motion of ford Hawkerbury, petition to the house before the day fixed colored to be read a second time on Mon-I for presenting petitions for private bills had expired; and therefore praying for leave to present'a petition for a bill for erecting the said proposed new lighthouses.—Leave granted. A message from the lords, by Mr. Sinleon and Mr. Stanwhen the ship owners of this country were, lev; that the lords have agreed to the bill, many of them, notoriously in a state of intituled. An act to enable the East India insolvence, occasioned by want of employ- company to appoint the commander in chief on the Bengal establishment to be a ever, his intention to divide the house on member of the council of Fort William, in Bengal; notwithstanding the office of governor general of Fort William and the office of commander in chief of all the forces in India being vested in the same person; without any amendment; and also, that the lords have agreed to the bill, intituled, an act for regulating the police of the city of Edinburgh and the adjoincrecting and maintaining a harbour, docks. whom the petition of Robert Carrick, of

copy of a letter from sir Home Popham parliament, sir William Gibbons, barouct, to the navy-board, dated 20th March 1805; with the answer of that board thereto; and also, copy of a letter from the commissioners of his majesty's navy to William Marsden, esq. secretary to the admiralty, dated 1st April 1805, together with a schedule of the said papers:, and the said schedule was read. Ordered, that the said papers do he upon the table; and be printed for the members of the house.—Ordered, that the order of the day, for the house to reand Bahama islands; and the enabling his majesty to grant contingent annoities house resolved itself into the said comunitee; and, after some time spent therein, the Speaker resumed the chair; and Mr. William Dickinson reported from the committee, that they had come to several to report; when the house will please to receive the same. Ordered, that the report be received upon Tuesday next.-The house was moved, that an act, made 43 Geo. III. for granting to his majesty a contribution on the profits arising from property, professions, trades, and offices, emight be read: and the same being read; Ordered, that leave be given to bring in a bill to repeal certain parts thereof, and A consolidate and render more effectual the provisions for collecting the duties granted by the said act.

examined the allegations of the bill, and MIDDLESEX.] The Speaker acquainted found the same to be true; and that the the house, that he had received a declacommittee had some through the bill, and fration, in writing, subscribed by sir Franamendments thereunto, ois Bindett, baronet, who is returned a which they had directed him to report to knight of the Shire to serve in this present the house; and he read the report, and parliament for the county of Middlesex, afterwards delivered the bill, with the that he, the said in Francis Burdett, did amendments, in at the table, where the not intend to defend his election for the amendments were read, and agreed to by said county. And the said declaration bethe house.—Mr., William Dickinson pre-ling delivered in at the table, was read; sented to the house pursuant to Their or- and is as followeth, viz. "Whereas, on ders, copy of a letter from sir Home Pop- Wednesday the 13th day of March last, a ham to William Marsden, esq. secretary petition, subscribed with the names of to the admiralty, dated 25th Feb. 1805; George Boulton Mainwaring, esq. therein with its enclosures; and also, copy of a stated to have been, at the last election for letter from sir Home Popham to the navy she county of Middlesex, a candidate to board, dated 28th February 1805; and also represent the said county in this present sir William Curtis, baroner, Henry Thornton, esq. William Mellish, esq. and Samuel Pepys Cockerell, esq. was presented to this house, complaining of my election and return to serve in this present parliament as a knight of the Shire for the county of Middlesex; which petition this house has ordered to be taken into consideration upon Tuesday the 7th day of May instant: "And whereas, on Wednesday the 10th day of April lest, this house solve itself into a committee of the whole ordered that the said petitioners should house, to consider of so, much of an act, upon the 20th day of April last, by themmade 43 Geo. III. as relates to the salaries | selves or their agents, deliver to me, or my of the judges of the vice-admiralty courts agents, lets of the persons intended by in the island of Malta, and in the Bermuda the said petitioners to be objected to who voted for me, giving in the said lists the several heads of objection, and distinguishto such judges on their resignation, be ing the same against the names of the voters now read: and the same being read; the excepted to, and that I should, by myself or my agents, at the same time deliver like lists on my part to the said petitioners or their agents:--" And whereas, it appears, upon the face of the sheriff's poll, taken at the said election that at the said eresolutions, which they had directed him lection, 2833 persons voted for me, and 2832 for the said George Boulton Mainwaring, amounting in the whole to 5065 persons actually polled, and that 103 persons tendered their votes for me, and 99 for the said George Boulton Mamwaring, making 202 tendered votes; in the whole 5867 votes polled and tendered.—" And whereas, between the said 13th day of March last, when the said petition was presented to this house, and the 20th day of April last on which day this house ordered the said. lists to be exchanged, there was not sufficient time for me, by myself, or by my [DECLIBATION OF SIR FRANCIS BUR- agents, to examine into the rights of DITT NOT TO DIFFERD HIS ELECTION FOR 5867 voters, so as to enable me to make

as the said petitioners have delivered to commodation of the public at large; and objected to by them, with the several the printing business for several years past, heads of objection, amounting to 1944 a great variety of modes of printing has person; but between the 20th day of been introduced, and various expedients April last, the day on which, pursuant have been adopted to facilitate its execu-to the above mentioned order of the houses tion, in consequence whereof disputes they delivered the said list to me, and have frequently arisen with the workmen; the 7th day of May instant, an interval of but as the masters' profits have always been only sixteen days, on which day the said in proportion to the wages paid to the petition is ordered to be taken into con-journeymen, the petitioners, standing as aderation, there is not sufficient time to they do between the employer and the emer amme into, and ascertam, the rights and titles of 1949 persons therein objected to, so as to enable me to maintain and defend the same - 'Now, by virtue of the provisions and enactments contained in the ty the demands of their journeymen, who second section of a certain act of parlia- in the metropolis consist of two branches, ment, passed in the 28th year of the term of his present Imagesty, chap. 52, intituled. " An act for the further regulation of the trials of controverted elections, or returns of members to serve in parliament;" I do mform this house, by this my declaration, in writing, subscribed by me, and delivered in at the table of this house, that it is not my intention to deand my said election or return. Witness my hand this 1st day of May 1803. Fran- then unusually high price of bread and an act, made in the 28th year of his present majesty, for the further regulation of the trials of controverted elections or returns of members to serve in parliament, might be read -And the same was read. -The house was also moved, that the order, made upon the 10th day of April list, for taking into consideration, tomorrow, the petition of George Boulton Manuvaring, esq. or William Cabbons, haronet, sir William Curtis, baronet, Henry Thornton, esq. William Mellish, asq. and Samuel Pepys Cockerell, esq. complaining of an undue election for the said county, might be read:-And the same being read; ordered, that the said order be discharged. Ordered, that the said petition be taken into consideration upon of the clock in the afternoon.

[PRINTERS' PETITION.] A position of left their work, thereby putting all public and

out, with sufficient certainty, a list of the | several master printers in the cities of objectionable voters received on the poll/London and Westminsted, was presented for the said George Boulton Mainwaring, to the house, and read; setting forth, "that with the several heads of objection, in the he petitioners have for many years carried manner ordered by this house; and there- on the printing business, to the general fore no such list, nor any list, has been diffusion of literature, the promotion of made out or delivered by me to the said commerce, the facilitating of every kind of petitioners or their agents:-" And where- business, and to the great benefit and acme a list of the persons who voted for me, that, from the extraordinary increase of ployed, have constantly been induced to allow the utmost advanced prices they thought themselves justified in paying, but have not always been enabled to satisdenominated compositors and pressmen; and that the compositors are paid by a standard generally reckoning upon the number of letters contained in a page, and the pressmen by the number of sheets printed; that scales for both descriptions of workmen have been formed and advanced upon in different periods from the year 1786 to Dec. 1800, when the last advance was made in consequence of the cis Buidett."-The house was moved, that levery necessary article of life; and that the workmen have lately become dissatisfied, and have made exorbitant demands, although the pressure of the times is by no means so great as at the period above stated, and the masters do not therefore think themselves justified in making any further advance upon the fixed prices, as they conceive that, if such demands were so be complied with, the price of elementary books for the instruction of youth, and many other useful tracts of literature, would be so enhanced as to place them out, of the reach of many, and the encouragement of the export trade, so beneficial to the revenue as well as to the petitioners, and to the numerous individuals interested in the sale of books, would be nearly precluded; and that within the last Friday, the 7th day of June next, at three month nearly all the pressmen usually employed by the petitioners have voluntarily

terly preventing the possibility of completing in due time many important papers now in existence for the preservation and actually wanted by government, as well as security of the Protestant reformed relithe various periodical publications for the gion, as finally settled at the glorious reensuingmonth, to the great detriment of the volution of 1688; and that the petitioners revenue as well as to the proprietors of such conceive they would ment the imputation works, and the petitioners have thereby of criminal indifference, as the first munisustained much loss, and been subjected to cipal body in his majesty's dominions, great inconvenience in carrying on their were they lightly to regard an application business; and that the petitioners appre- to the legislature so formidable in its hend that the length of time required for claims, and so mischievous in its tendency servitude by apprenticeship is one great to the religion, laws, and liberties, which cause of their not being able to provide it has ever been their glory to admire, hands sufficient to execute the printing and their ambition to guard and secure in business; and that, when they have been every perilous situation; and that the inclined to take apprentices, the petition- outlis of supremacy and allegiance are an ers have had impediments thrown in their integral part of the bill of rights proposed, way by the journeymen; and that in order, as far as possible, to provide a temporary remedy for the late descriton of der to such an establishment as that then their workmen, the petitioners have been under the necessity of employing various descriptions of persons not entitled by law to follow the printing business, and, if they were empowered to continue the services of such persons, and to take apprentices at certain ages, and for less periods of time than seven years, they conceive that so great an evil as bath now arisen might be prevented in future, and that the extent of the evil before stated was not foreseen till after the time limited by the house for receiving private petitiess had elapsed; and therefore praying, that they may have leave to present a petition for the purposes aforesaid,"-Ordered, that the said petition be referred to the consideration of a committee; and that they do examine the matter thereof, and report the same, as it shall appear to them, to the house. And a committee was appointed accordingly; and they have power to send for persons, papers, and records.

a repeal of the several statutes by which lutary restrictive statutes respecting the

private business nearly to a stand, and ut- they are precluded from offices of trust and power, the only remaining bulwarks as the declaration of the lords and commons of 1688, expressly states, " in orreligion, laws, and liberties, might not again be in danger of being subverted;" that the abrogation of or dispensation with those oaths to any class of his majesty's subjects, would not only weaken at present, but ultimately_destroy, those solid foundations on which the wisdom and virtue of our ancestors erected our nee and happy constitution, the envy and admiration of the world; and that the petitioners regard, with veneration and gratitude, those unextinguishable principles which led the legislature of that day to provide for the happiness and freedom of their posterity, by so carefully guarding the protestant specession, which has placed the house of Brunswick on the throne of the united kingdom; and they rely, under Providence, that the spirit of our torefathers will animate and strengthen the virtue and patriotism of their honourable successors, the commons of the Inperial parliament, to proserve, uninjured, [PETITION FROM LONDON RESPECTING | the glorious fabric they have raised; and PETITION OF THE CATHOLICS OF IRE- that the petitioners conceive every equa-LAND.] A petuion of the lord mayor, lity is already allotted to the Roman caaldermen, and commons, of the city of tholics, in common with the rest of his London, in common council assembled, majesty's subjects, consistent with the was presented at the Lar by the sheriffs; safgry of the church and state; but that, setting forth, "that the petitioners have to grant an indiscriminate participation of observed, with infinite concern, and dis- power, without requiring and enforcing quietude, a petition lately presented to the oaths of supremacy and allegiance, the house by the Roman Catholics of taken by every other class of his ma-Ireland, on behalf of themselves and of jesty's subjects, must be productive of fuothers, his majesty's subjects professing ture unavoidable anarchy and misery; and the Roman catholic religion," praying therefore praying, that the remaining sa-

Roman catholics may not be repealed." | country, were the sources of all those Ordered, That the said petition do he grievances which imposed upon our ancesupon the table.

SPECTING THE PETITION OF THE CATHO- securities by which a recurrence of LICS OF IRELAND.] A petition of the those grievances was guarded against Freeholders of the county of Oxford, was presented to the house, and read; setting torth, "that the petitioners have seen, with devised more disrespectful to that great the deepest concern, that a petition has event, or to the illustrious characters been presented to the house by the Roman that were instrumental in effecting it, or catholics of Ireland, praying that the more repugnant to the principles establishlaws by which they are at present exclud- cd by, it, than the attempt to give to the ed from being members of the parliament Roman catholics a power in the governof the united kingdom of Great Britain ment of this united kingdom; and that the and Ireland, and from filling the principal petitioners cannot but further recollect. offices in the executive government and that, by the existing laws, no Roman cacivil and military administration of Ire-tholic can wear the crown of this united land, may be repealed; and the peti-kingdom; a restriction which the petitioners humbly trust, that they are not tioners conceive to be founded in the deficient in those feelings of moderation and tolerance by which an allowance is made tor difference of opinion on religious subjects, and by which a protection is afforded to the exercise and practice of those different opmions; but they are at the same time persuaded, that, to grant power and authority to persons whose religious principles are hostile to the constitution of the Country, is neither required by liberality, nor consistent with the dictates of sound policy or self-preservation; and that the petitioners are convinced, by the experience of all ages, that the principles of the Roman catholic religion are incompatible with both civil and religious liberty; that they are particularly repugnant to the genius and spirit of the constitution of this united kingdom, so that it is impossible that Protestants and Roman catholics should l ever agree in administering together the powers of government, and that such an attempt would be productive of the most mischievous distractions and weakness in the public councils of the kingdom; and lics disclaim some of the mischievous doctrines of the church of Rome, yet they still admit that they acknowledge some of the most dangerous ones, amongst which must be reckoned the acknowledgement of the supremacy of a foreign power in matters of their religion, which must have a most important influence on their moral and political conduct; and that the peti-

tors the necessity of effecting the revolu-[PETITION FROM OXFORDSHIRE RE- tion in 1688, and of establishing those and prevented; and the petitioners are persuaded, that no measure could be soundest policy and wisdom; nor can the petitioners help thinking, that the same wisdom and policy requires their exclusion from the other branches of the legislature; and for this, amongst many other reasons, that nothing seems more incongruous to the petitioners, than that the king should be, obliged to discharge his share of the government upon Protestant principles, and that his council should consist of persons devoted to a church of principles not only of a different but of a repugnant nature; and therefore praying that the laws by which Roman catholics are at present excluded from being members of the parliament of the united king. dom of Great Britain and Ireland, and from filling the principal offices in the executive government and civil and military administration of Ireland, may not be repealed."—Ordered, that the said petition do lie upon the table.

PETITION FROM DUBLIN RESPECTING THE PETITION OF THE ROMAN CATHO-LICS OF IRELAND.]. A petition of the that though the petitioning Roman catho-flord mayor, sheriffs, commons, and citizens, of the city of Dublin, in common council assembled, was presented to the house, and read; setting forth, "that the petitioners have seen with the deepest regret a copy of a petition presented to the . house by certain persons in the name of the Roman catholics of Ireland, containing demands of political power, which, if yielded, would be ruinous to our happy tioners cannot but remember, that the constitution in Church and state; and that partiality of king James the Second for the the good conduct of the Irish Roman ca-. Roman catholics, and the influence which tholics, as recognized by the Irish legishe gave them in the public councils of the lature in 1779, took place under the ope-

ration of severe laws, which since 1779 tion, in church and state, established by repon a footing of political power not enjoyed by any other dissenters from the established religion in any other state; but though the Roman catholics declared, at the time of relaxing those laws, they would be fully content, yet the petitioners are sorry to observe, that that cerdiality and union of sentiment which would be so desirable in Ireland has not yet taken place; and further, the petitioners cannot Irish Roman catholics are excluded from unfounded, masmuch as they are admissible into all guilds and corporations on taking the oaths in their own petition recited; and that many of them do at this time actually enjoy and exercise such privileges; but the petitioners observe, that the object of this assertion seems to be to induce the legislature to compel the Prosuch numbers as to overbear and destroy the Protestant interest, an attempt which was made both in England and Freland during the short and arbitrary reign of that unfortunate and infatuated king, James the Second, and which, with other serious innovations, led to the glorious re-assertion of the constitution in church and state, under the great king William; and that the petitioners beg leave most humbly to impress upon the house, that the lower order of Roman catholics in Ireland are in possession of the same degree of civil, reby protestants of the same rank and staof by the said petition affect a very inconsiderable number of Roman catholics; the would extinguish all motives to disunion and means of discontent," is utterly fal-

have been from time to time repealed, so Protestant legislators from the time of the as to place the Roman catholics of Incland reformation to the reign of his present majesty, would be destroyed and unnihilated; and therefore praying the house will confinue to preserve unimpaired our excellent constitution, and reject the demands of the Roman catholies of Ireland." Ordered, that the said petition do he

upon the table.

[PETITION OF THE DUKE OF ATHOL.] A petition of John duke of Athol, was presented to, the house, and read; setting but observe, that the petition of the Irish forth " that the Isle of Man was granted in Roman catholics, though professing to ex- speciegity by, king Henry the Courth to plain the tenets of that sect, as affecting the petitioner's ancestors, and confirmed political duties, is not signed, by a single to, and made unalienable in, the petitioner's ecclesiastic of that persuasion; and that family by an act, passed in the 7th year of the assertion in the said petition, that king James the First, and they continued proprietors thereof, with sovereign rights, the privileges of opporations is wholly until it was thought necessary by parliament, for purposes connected with the protection of the British and Irish revenues. to vest the same in his majesty, by anone passed in the 5th year of his reign; and that the petitioner is well satisfied that it was not intended in that transaction to deprive his family of the full benefit of the principle that has invariably governed the testant corporations of Ireland to elect legislature in all cases in which the public Roman catholics into their franchises, in safety has required that the rights and properties of individuals should be resigned or purchased for the protection of great national interests, namely, that of giving full compensation to those who are called upon to make such sacrinces; and that the compensation then given to the petitioner's family was estimated on an erroneous supposition, that the greater part of the revenue produced to him by the said island was derived from illegal sources, and from the introduction of articles, which were afterwards smuggled into his majesty's dominions, to the great detriment of his maligious, and political liberty that is enjoyed jesty's revenues; and that, notwithstanding the lapse of time (a great part of which tion; and even the restraints complained that been employed in investigating the nature of the interests of the petitioner's family in the said island, and in the improvepetitioners therefore humbly conceive, that kment of the revenues derived by his mathe inducement held out by the jetition [jesty from the same) the petitioner is able, to the house, viz. " that granting the de. by original documents and evidence, to mands of the Roman catholics of Ireland, prove that the revenue fairly arising to his family from the fair duties at the rates payable in 1765, accruing on articles introlacious; and they most humbly submit, duced into the island for the purposes of that if the demands contained in the said consumption only, independent of trade, *ion were acceded to, all the fences would have products an annual income, raties of our excellent constitu- for which stogether with the regalities that

were attached to it, the sum given could | they do examine the matter thereof, and not be deemed an adequate compensation; report the same, as it shall appear to them, and that subsequent expenence, founded on the system introduced after the revestment of the said island in his majesty, has fully confirmed that the compensation thus given was not aclequate to the property taken from the petitioner for the public service, and has also proved, that] if a plan, similar to that which is now pursucd, for regulating the supply of articles for the use of the ssland, had been adopted in 1765, his myesty's revenue would have been protected, and the interests of the petitioner's family in the said island woully have been secured, and then value increased instead of diminished; and that the report of the lords committee of his marevenue now raised in the said island greatly [jesty's most honourable privy council, exceeds what parliament had in contemplation at the time of the purchase, and the petitioner, being satisfied that it was not the intention of government at that time to procure a benefit to the public by sacratices made by his family, without giving full compensation, rests assured that the house will not deprive him of the full benefit that his family ought to have recerved for the resignation of their rights tor the public service; and that the petitioner has observed, that there is a bill now before the house for encouraging the trade, and for the improvement of the revenues, and for prevention of smuggling to and from the Isle of Man; and that the petitioner was not able to obtain his majesty's recommendation to the object of his petition until the day appointed by the house for receiving private petitions had elapsed; and therefore praying, that leave may be given to present a petition for his relief."—Ordered, that, in consideration of the particular circumstances set forth in the said petition, leave be given to present a petition as desired; then a petition of the said John duke of Athol being offered to be presented to the house; the chancellor of the exchequer (by his majesty's] command) acquainted the house, that his the sailing of the Rochfort squadron, its majesty, having been informed of the contents of the said petition, recommends it this information had been received? He the said petition was brought up, and read; .containing the same allegations as the preceding petition; and praying, that provision may by made in the said bill for giving relief to the petitioner.—Ordered,

to the house; and a committee was appointed accordingly; and they have power to send for persons, papers, and records. -Ordered, that the report of the commissioners of enquiry relative to the Isle of Man, made in the year 1792; and also all accounts respecting the revenues of the said Isle, which have been presented to the libuse in this session of pullament, be referred to the said Committee .- Resolved, that an humble address be presented to his majesty, that he will be graciously pleased to give directions that there be laid before this house, a copy of the dated 21st July 1804, upon the petitions of the duke of Athol to los majesty.

\[West Indies.] Mr. Barham adverted to the alarm that prevailed on the state of our affairs in the West Indies. The right hon, gent. opposite (the Chancellor of the Exchequer) knew the dismay in which the city was on this subject. It was conceived that great mischief had been done, and that the occasion of that mischief had arisen from the neglect of government. Whether that was the case or not, he would not say, but he wished to put some questions, with a view to ascertain whether proper measures had been taken for the security of our possessions and property in that quarter, that if such measures had been taken, the knowledge of the fact might do away the alarm. If such measures had not been taken, it would be proper to enquire why they had not? He should it it were necessary, move for such papers as would give information of all the measures taken since the commencement of the war, for the security of our West India Colonies; but he would content himself, in the present instance, with inquiring what into: mation government had with respect to force, its destination, and at what time to the consideration on the house; there should wish also for the instructions given to Admiral Cochrane, and the dispatches received from that Admiral from off Lisbon. He wished to know, whether that Admiral sailed for the West Indies, and at what time, and what force he had with that the said petition be referred to the him? If these questions were satisfactorily consideration of a committee; and that answered, any motion on the subject would

be unnecessary, as the time was passed who thought the exemption when the disclosure they led to, could be be secured to charities under 601.; and

of importance to the enemy.

himself under the necessity of objecting in the strongest manner, to the questions proposed by the hon. gent. The time was by no means passed, when the disclosure of the particulars required would be of importance to the enemy. On the contrary, tual. it might be of the most material consequence to make these particulars known to was his wish-that the bill should be read them at present. He was certain, however, that when the proper time should come it would be evident that there was no neglect on the part of his majesty's government, and as he was sure the alarm that existed was beyond all proportion and mimost altogether unfounded,

Mr. St. John said there were other papers to which his attention had been called, but if the disclosure of them was of any importance he would now press it. The papers he alluded to were, an account of our naval force in the West Indies in the last year; and a similar account for the ten years previous. The object was to shew, as he understood these accounts would, that the force in that quarter since the commencement of the war, was not greater than that which had been kept there during the peace. He gave notice, that he would move for these papers on if found on board any slop in the parrow the first open day. At **

[PROPERTY DUTIES BILL.] The Chancellar of the Exchequer, in pursuance of bill, to move for its being printed.

Mr. Bastard, who thought the farmer The Chancellor of the Exchequer felt should pay only for his actual profits, and not for his estimated profits according to the calculation of the commissione won the rent, leave was given to bring in a bill to repeal certain provisions of the act, and to consolidate and render it more effec-

> The Chancellor of the Exchequer said it a first and second time, and then printed to be considered during the holidays. The new regulation would be incorporated with those of the former ones that were suffered to remain, so that there would be but one bill on the subject. The charman reported, and the resolution was agreed to by the house.

[Subgeling Priving on Bill.] The Chancellor of the Exchequer moved, that the house should resolve itself into a committee on the act of 21th of his present majesty, for the prevention of samughing. He stated, that the practice of smuggling had increased to an alarming extent, and he had thought it his luty to submit to the house a bill to remedy so dangerous an evil. The material object he had in view was, to make articles of high duty in packages of certain sizes hable to seizure, seas. The distance within which they should be prohibited should not be less than 100 leagues from the English coast. We notice, rose to move for leave to bring in had clearly a right to make any provisions a bill to amend the Property Act. One of we pleased with regard to the navigation his objects, he said, was, to give more ef- of our own seas by our own subjects, whatfect to the regulations respecting the ever exceptions might be necessary as to abatements; another was, to make some neutrals. Another object of the bill renew provisions relative to leases for lives, ferred to the hovering distance with 1e-It was also proposed to have a separate spect to Guernsey and the other Islands provision with respect to charitable corpolin the Channel. It was notorious that rations. There were also some minor re-smuggling from thence had been carried gulations, unnecessary to be stated, as he on to an enormous extent. The measure meant, as soon as he had introduced the he meant to propose, in order to obviate it in future, was to prohibit packages be-Mr. Fox hoped that some means would low a certain size from being on board be taken to render the abatements less ships hovering oil those places. Another inconveniont with regard to persons who had plan was, that where shaps came in with annulties. Those who paid such annuities, smuggled articles, the persons on board made it a general rule to deduct the 5 per should be liable to some penalty, unless cent, without considering whether the anthey were transferred with their own connuitant was a person who was entitled to sent to the navy. He also wished to have the benefit of the abatements. Probably it made as penal to resist naval officers, the right hon, gent, had this in view; it as it at present was to resist excise officers. "it, he wished to suggest it to him.— Another object of the bill was to prevent 12 few words from Mr. Calvert, spirits being sold below proof at the diffeender, and disposed of under their con- many former occasions. Clearman hould be directed to move for have to being in a bill to prevent the the report muggling "-The report was second, and leave was given to bring in

Farrance, at tura masterly and luminous whe' is at an extensive view of our aft as a learn the would not, he set out by sureryma, is derived, that the state of our remotes don new or be that, was a subart of the highest apportance that could to all mary two these production of parliain a. In preporting honeur as this em-In war reflected, it stomed to excite less Attended to the March delicase or the purpose of the remoters that very greatness cultimated strayester to the capacity of the house mill, to and istand or beneficially the problem is this seally was the case, it was only as additional proof of the impolicy of that all grasping system which ' or too long garded our councils in India, only an additional continuation that such opinion which he had delivered while a city to a most contained to product consecorners of the most disactious nature. Abuses most, non the very name of through your of the executive lust for this most sanguing wishes could have depriors. Above must are from the resisted. It was a report of the most unquamoteness of our per essions, so little hable haded approbation. Other committees had to investigation or pains ment that exils which originated in India would to say, that though not formally tried, he not comine themselves to that country. was as much on his trial as even Mr. Hast-Lorn at this moment, some of those evils ings was, when he was formally arraigned rollow in their track. India, under its pre- To himself, who had received so little solid wit government, afforded us no revenue, advantage from the attention he had be-It was on the contrary there that our re-Istowed on Indian affairs, it was a consolusources were consumed in ruinous con-tion to know, that his conduct, and the quests, that the flower of our troops were cut off, fighting unnecessary battles. India, had received the most flattering testimounder a wise system of policy, might be at lines.—Having said so much respecting the ouce a source of revenue and a fund of the origin of his connection with India, he most beneficial commerce. Before these proceeded to the direct consideration of effects took place, however, the present the question, in doing which he assured system of apathy, with regard to our In- gentlemen that they had no occasion to be dian affairs, must be abandoned for a sys-lalarmed by any apprehension of long, dry tem of jealousy, of justice, and of mode- details. if her authority to legislate for that part of object he had proposed on the present oc-

cent ports where smuggled articles were the empire, were absolutely abdicated. usually disposed of. With this view he From a deep conviction that this system thould empower the Lords of the Treasury | could not long be persisted in, he had risen to direct spriggled goods not to be sold to call the attention of the house to the at the ports, but to be consigned to their subject, as he had felt it his duty to do on Since he had t ol. He concluded by moving, "that the last submitted the consideration of our affairs in India generally to parliament, the constitution of the house had been so much clanged, as well as the individuals who coniposed it, that it became necessary for him to take a short view of our Indian [STATE OF ALTERS IN INDIA] Mr. [policy, from the time of our first seithing there down to the present day, describing briefly the principles which parmament had at different periods laid down for the regulation of our government. In the first place, he had to state the reasons which induced him so often to take, up this office; this thankless office, as he had often expersenced it. It was it 1773 that his first connection with India originated, when he was sent out by the government of that period to be a member of the supreme council. During a residence of six years in India, his conduct had ever received the strongest marks of approbation; and on his return in 1781 it had undergone the most rigid examination. A committee of the house had examined every act and every member of the supreme council; and he was proud in the recollection that the report of that columittee was as favourable as even But it made similar reports, and after all the in-... as at that the house should bear in mind, quiry, which did take place, he had a right were already felt, and many more would before the highest tribunal in this country. policy which he had ever recommended, All that he had in contemplaration. From the conduct of parliament tion was a bird's-eye view of the business, now, with regard to lidia, it appeared as for that would be fully sufficient for the

he should not feel it necessary to allude, With ie, and to the origin of our connection with India, it was hardly negessary for him to remind the house, that it was originally purely commercial, but it was marked on the part of the native princes with every appearance of good understanding, and even kindness. They, not only aborded us every facility for carrying on an advantageous trade, but actually contened on us immunities and exemptions which many of then own subjects did not enjoy. It was, in a mercantile point of view, wise in the native princes to cocourage trade with foreign nations. But while their commercial everwas open, their political eyel was closed. They did not act on those principles which had so effectually excluded Laropeaa nations from the dominion of China. It was not till 1765, that our situation in India sustained an important change. Our first connection with Bengal, was in the character of adventurers. After that period we began to assume the character of sovereigns. But what was then the language of lord Clive, a man to whom we owe the erection of our immen e eastern dominion? The language was, "my resolution and my hopes will "always be to confine our conquests and "our possessions to Eengal, Bahar, and "Oriva. To go faither is, in my opinion, " a scheme so extravagantly ambitious and absurd, that no governor and council in "their senses can ever adopt it, unless "the whole system of the company's m-"terest be first entirely new modelled."-

There was a great deal of mat-|ral system of limitation. But it was not ter in the papers before the house, to which on these declarations that he found himiself obliged to rest his opinion. In 1782, nor did he now mean to say any thing of parhament imanimously resolved, that the those military operations, the details of British Empire should not be extended in which were so numerous and intricate. [India, and that no war should be, undertaken from a lust of conquest. The same resolution was followed up by an express act of the legislature in 1784; and at the renewal of the charter in 1795, the continuance of the same policy was provided for in the most explicit terms. This general principle was therefore so clearly laid down, that it was absolutely impossible to attempt to controver: it. He was aware that a distinction was attempted to be taken betwixt wars of oppression, which were never to be undertaken, and wars which were founded on justice and necessity. It was not very easy to see on what grounds such a plea was brought forward. the origin or justice of many of the warin India, the hour and the public were frequently, or rather always, without the means of forming a proper judgment. We had never any evidence but the testimony of one of the Belly rent powers against the other, and the More such testimony was to be received with diffidence. We knew only in general that wars had been begun, that great acquisitions were made, and we gave ourselves. Little trouble to ascertain how far they arose, either out of justice or necessity. The narve princes of India had no ambassadors to plead their cause. They saw their country overrun, their wealth destroyed, and then they had only the satisfaction of being told that they had been actuated by lawless ambuton. Many members might not, perhaps, be aware that there formerly existed in the government of Bengal a commission of Suck was the language of one whose know- Persian correspondence, through which ledge was unquestioned, and whose policy our relations with the native princes were laid the only sure foundation of our Indian conducted. In looking, however, to the possessions. He himself knew that the vast body of papers on the table, he had government of that day fully adopted these not been able, after the most diligent inprinciples of limited dominion. When in I quiry, to find above three or four short do-1773 he went with the other commissioners cuments, containing no intelligence of the to India, the government gave the most slightest importance. This correspondence positive instructions to see that the same was now, therefore, either altogether aboprinciples were followed up, and the com- lished; or had for a considerable time been mands of the directors were absolute in suspended. Thus were the house and the subject. These were principles land the public without means of judging of the down while a French force had possession origin of contests in India. Our commisof a considerable part of India, and when sioners might be honest persons, and their the idea of undertaking a war for conquest representations might be frequently founded mucht have appeared some excuse for de- in fairness and trutiff. But we were forced Viating in a particular case from the gene- to believe them, without knowing what was

desired the house to consider what was the The extent of our conquests in India. hon, member proceeded to read the followma list of native princes, who have either been extirpated, or whose states have sunk into ours. Nabob of Bengal, rajah of Beranes, nabob of Oude, Rohillas, Ferokabad, nabob of the Carnatic, Tippoo Saltau and Mysore, now under an infant rajah. -- He then stated the following to be the list of those princes who survive under our government, or are suffered to remain as feeble tributaries.—Rajahs of Tanjore, Timivelli, Travancore; subadar of the decan by a susidiary treaty made in 1798; Gwicowar and Guzzerat, Bundelcund, the Mogul, in short the whole pennsula from Della to Cape Cormora, except the Mahratta country.—But with all this extent of empire, with all that variety of dominion, the thirst of conquest remained unbounded, and the positive law of parliament for the limitation of our territories has been again violated, by a var with the Mahratta powers. The pretext for the war struck hun as absard, and indefensible in the highest degree. There was not the slightest ground for supposing that the Mahrattas entertained any views hostile to our power. It was true, that they were agitated by mtestine feuds, but he defied any member, from the papers on the table, to shew that their proceedings could inspire any one with subject for jealousy or alarm. He knew it was common to represent the native princes in the most odious light, as absolute monsters of depravity; but from the language of those employed under the chiefs were only his servants. This repregovernment of lord Wellesley, it was easy to see in what light these descriptions should be considered. To secure the tranquillity of our possessions, it was necessary! that a treaty with one of the Mahratta and had no right to conclude that weaty chiefs should be concluded, and the Peshwa | against which it was quite clear he enterwas selected for that purpose. Now wifut tunned a most powerful, and, in his situais the character of this prince, with whom tion, natural aversion.—The hon, member it is necessary to stand on good terms, to directed the attention of the house to the says, "I am to have my last private au- by the officers in the Indian Government. " dience of the B shou this evening, when It is in terms such as these that a high "I will make a final effort to convince his spirited Prince was vilified and traduced, " highness of the lasting security, power, though it did not appear that he had ever "and prosperity," which he will derive entertained any views hostile to our inte-"posals; though I apprehend that no- unprincipled chieftain."-" The corrupt "thing short of imminent and certain |" and profligate councils of that weak,

the nature of the remonstrances of the na- | " destruction will induce him to make tive princes, or what sacrifices they had | " concessions which militate, against his made for the preservation of peace. He "deep-rooted pealousy and prejudices, and " of which he thinks he has already made "Axtraordinary sacrifices.". Colonel Close |says, "every day's experience tends to "strengthen the impression, that, from " the first, your lordship's anneable and "hberal views in relation to this state, " have not only been discordant with the na-" tural disposition of the Peshwa, but total-" ly adverse to that selfish and while dipoli-" ev, Aluch, in a certain degree, he seeins " to have realized.—In the midst of per-" son al peril and the lowest debasement, he "*jewed the admission of permanent ap-" port from your lordship with the deepest " aversion.—The dark complexion of the " Peshwa's disposition and character, the disgustful history of his domestic and " public conduct, his atrocious ne clima " tions, &c .- the faithless and sorded "policy, his balled and jedenov of the " British name.—The present Poshwa has, "ever since the year 1793, acted more "like an enemy, than a friend of the " company's government."—" let, after "all, he appears to be a yours, min, "of whom a clast of his own tamely " says that he had retired from Poona, "owing to the thoughtlessness of verith." Thus it is that a native prince is loaded with abuse by the government of ladia that he is called on to give up a great proportion of his dominions, and all this for the purpose of securing tranqual possession of his throne. It was not a little smealar too, that the Peshwa is always represented as a Sovereign Prince, that he was the head of the Minhrattes, and that the other sentation was directly contrary to fact. The Peshwa was nothing more than the Prime Minister among the Mahratta Confederacy. He was only primus inter pares, promote our own security? Colonel Palmer manner in which Scindia was spoken of . "hom embracing your lordship's pro- rests. "The periody and violence of that

" arrogant, and faithless chief .- His vio- | deed, after the minutest investigation, he "lence, rapacity, and lawless ambition, found that there were not in the whose have been the main causes of the pre- Mahratta army more than 12 French sent war with the confederate Mahratta officers; it was, however, further unger, "Chiefs.—An inexperienced youth, who " as yet could form no correct judgment of " his own true interests."-" Indeed, Som-" dia appears to be an inexperienced youth, " and is, Lunderstand, not at all conversant "in business." He left the house to make their own comments on such apparent inconsistency. But he desired to call the of fighting that they suffered so severely. attention of gentlemen to the species of and were so effectually repulsed. Had treaty offered for the acceptance of Scindia, they persevered in the migular warfaic thus so violently denounced as the great | common to their country, they would have foe to the tranquillity of India. The proposal was trair mitted to Scindia in 1802, from the seat of government at Bengal: " 1st. To subsidize a considerable British " force to be stationed within his down-"mons in perpetuity: to cede in perpetual " sovereignty to the company an extent of " territory, the net produce of which shall " be adequate to the charges of that force; " to admit the arbitration of the British " government in all disputes and diffe-" renges between Scindia and his highness "the Nizata, and eventually between " Semdia and the other powers of Hin-" dostan." "To obtain for the company " the cession of the part of the Duab which " is m "cindia's possession, and also that " the fortresses of Agra and Delhi-should " be ceded to the company."—" Whatever " proposals you may offer to Scindia, un-" der the foregoing instructions, should " be stated to him in the light of a conces-" sion on the part of the British govern-" ment, tending to the security of his inte-" rests and the stability of his dominion; " not as directed to objects in any degree ment with Perron, to capitulate with the " necessary to the security of the British few troops under his command .- In no There in India." "Col. Collins in- view of the case, did he think, then, that " advantages to the stability of his go-| been established in a satisfactory manner. " vernment, and to the prosperity of his The reluctance shewn against the proud " affairs, which the proposed connection and insolent terms of our treaty was matothat the great argument against the had not existed. The hon, gent, desired Mahrattas was their harbouring French members to put the matter to their own Mach samong them, with views evidently feelings. Was it not natural for a high-spihe me to our superiority. It was even as frited Chief to spuril at terms so abject? some I that there was an army of 14,000 To be told that the capital of the Mahthe existence of such a body of troops British garrison, and to be supported by there we not a single tittle of evidence be-the native princes, was surely the exin ht . under the command, it was quite bly adding injury to insult. He begged

that the French officers would introduce European tactics among the Melitalta troops. This, so far from striking his mind as an evil, was a thing much to be desired, it war was to be kept up with the Maliatta states. It was by abandoning their own megular mode exhibited an appearance far more formidable, and displayed a resistance for mere dieadful. The history of all a es preved the truth of this assertion. The Pardimus often repulsed the disciplined lemons it Rome, not indeed in regular combat, but by surrounding them, by cutting off their supplies of provisions, la taking all those advantages peculiar to a barbarous enemy. His view of the fighting of the Mahratta had been well understood by military men. Mr. Hastings, one whose name he nover could mention except when he had an opportunity of mentioning it with approbation, was fully of this opinion, and the same gentleman had expressed himself an very strong terms on the policy of inniting our dominions. As to any wish of Scindia to admit French treops into his dominion, he denied its existence. It was notorious that Scindia abhorred the idea of foreign troops in any part of his states. Perron was equally hateful and dreadful to him. It was a fact well known, that the knowledge of this hatred was one great induce-" structed to state to Scindia the manifest the justice or necessity of the war had " is calculated to seeme."—He was aware rall. It would have been astomshing it it treach troops, under Capt. Perron. Of ratta Empire was to be in the hands of a gre the to too. It there was so large a tremest degradation. It was unquestionathating an a st work not Trench troops. In- leave to put a parallel case, and ask then,

what would be gentleman's ideas of the produced garrisons, garrisons produced the independent Emperor of Austria to conquests had brought us into our present fell Vienn's with a French garrison, and to situation. He hoped all that reason could have this garrison supported out of the allow him from the noble lord lately ap-Emperyr's coffers? What would be thought pointed to the government of India. of such a monstrous proposition? Would Whatever could be effected by prudence, it not be treated with contempt and indignation? Would the king of Prussia, the elector of Saxony, or any other member of the Germanic Body, see it even hinted at with indifference? Should we not expect to see them in arms to, resist it, if attempted by toice, or should we not equally detest and despise their cowardice, if they allowed it to pass with impunity? Human nature was the same in all countites on some grand subjects of Teasoning and techng. It we should commend Euz ropean Sovereigns resenting insolence and repelling oppression, should we not allow something to the feelings of a Mahratta Chief, indignant at seeing the capital of his empire in the hands of a foreign garrison?—He called on gentlemen to think and feel, and then he thought there could be little doubt on the result of their inquiries. He was himself not satisfied that the war against Soundia was just or necessalv. He found nothing in the papers on the table to support such an opinion. The hon, member touched on the mode in which our wars were conducted in India, allowing them even to be just or neces say He strongly condemned putting British troops so much in the brint of every engagement. If a town was to be scaled, if a pass was to be storined, if any service of difficulty was to be performed. Europeans were always employed. This waste of men who were so myaluable, was altogether unaccountable. It should be recollected that Europeans! were equally our protection against the hostility of the natives, the only security against the treachery of our Sepoys, whom the Mahratta chiefs might succeed in detuching from their allegiance. This last was a cruical and interesting view of the subject, and was a most powerful inducement no lor gen to persist in extending our empire by useless and insecure conquests. If our army were scattered over, an immense track of country; if they were to stretch to Agia, to Delhi and to Poonah, it was impossible to say to what disasters they might be exposed. He said, with

attempt, if Bonnparté were to propose to armies, armies produced conquests, and justice and moderation, would distinguish his administration. The hon, gent, after complimenting the talents of lord Wellesley, concluded with the following motion: That this house adheres to the principle established by its quanimous resolution of the 28th of May, 1782, and recognised and adopted by the legislature in two several acts of parliament of the 24th and 33d year of his majesty's religi-namely, "that to pursue, schemes of, conquest and extension of dominion in India, are measures repugnant to the wish, the honour, and the policy of this nation." Upon the question being put from the chair,

Lord Castlereligh complimented the hon. gent, on the able speech which he had made, and observed, that there was no occasion for his making thy apology for thus interfering with the attain of India. The situation which he exceeded in the Indian govt, and the into nation on these points, which he must by these means have acquired, rendered han well qualified to discuss the affairs of India. But though the bon, gent, had certainly torned his attention a good agal to the finances of India, he had seldom or never called the attention of the house to the other points which he had now touched upon. The hon, gent, had made quotations from different parts of the papers, than which no thing could be more unfan, because they carried quite a different meaning whea separated from the context. What might \ be the hon, gent,'s object he did not know -It was impossible for him to enter minutely into the particular cases, because the subject was so very general. He had formerly asked miormation from the hon. gent, respecting the chief point to which his attention was to be directed, and his view in bringing forward his motion, but was refused any information on that head. He was persuaded, however, that the hon. gent, did not mean to vility the councils of his country, nor to impeach the character of any individual who was absent, and could not defend himself. Having said. this much by way of preface, he would great emphasis, we first had commerce, endeavour to follow the hon, gent, through commerce produced factories, factories the outlines of his speech. With respect

in India was a source of policy which, under no circumstances whatever, should be resorted to, was a doctrine so rutile and absurd, and so whelly unlike the wisdom of the British constitution, that it could it be said, that a man was to be chained to his post, without the power of defence or. attack, while surrounded by enemies There was another principle equally absurd. and that was, 'that the British govt. in India were prepluded from forming any connections with the princes of the east, and that they were the pay the expences of a military force in land, and not in money. This clause in the act most certainly never meant to recognise such a power; it was the intention of the govt. merely to guard against the united, efforts of the Indian His lordship admitted, that out of the fair line of policy, then there would be a fair ground of charge against them; but in the present case, no charge went into prima facie evidence of their dission, either one way or the other. With consisting of 10,000 men, being in the turned into territorial interests, that was u measure necessarily resorted to; it had uniformly been the policy of France to "goad England in that quarter; all her ritory. The question then came to the efforts were directed against it, and it was nature of the connection of the British her machinations which drove us to those govt. with the Mahrattas , this his lordmeasures; if we could have kept in exist- ship considered the most important consience our commercial interests, with- deration of the whole, and a question altoout territorial possessions, it would gether of great difficulty; with respect to nu ht is one we were obliged to obtain arise from the natural doubts upon this

to the hon, gent,'s first proposition, that | therefore, upon this head, did not admit of his calling the attention of the house to of any qualification. As to the wars in the act of parliament upon this subject; the Mysore country, particularly the two he conceived the hon, gent, had put a last wars, if the hon, gent, would have construction upon the act which he eduld called in question the councils upon them, by no means sustain upon fair argument. He would have seen that some, at kast, of That any extension of the British empire the transactions on that subject, had never the finger of calming pointed at them. This alone, his lordship thought, would go a great length in doing away the charges made against them. The restoration of the Hindoo Ruah and his family, was a not stand for one moment. As well might strong proof of the moderation, lemity. and liberality of govt, and a transaction which, in itself, dispelled much of the calumny which had been thrown upon the councils of that country. The hou, gent. in his indictment had made general charges to this industrient he put in his ples, which he contended was a good bar to the action. The next point by fordship came to, was with respect to the territories of the Oude and the Camata, as to the first of which there were no papers upon the table, by which the house could be guided, nor could it be charged that the transactions relative to those connwhen the govt, should have travelled tries were an acquisition of territory. In the year 1705, both those became bound within the power of the British empire, they were always subordinate to, dependent whatever was establised against the council, on, and directed by the British govt, and cils, either at home or abread. In crime-council. Our connection with the Nizam. rating the several princes who had been the hon, gent considered as a measure of brought under the British dominion, and reproach : that he should charge this, his whose families were extinct, the hon gent. Hordship owned he was not at all surprized, for he did not mean to cay but that the solution; but before he came to censure native powers were much distressed by the the govt.; before he came to call down connection; they were at war with other the condemnation of the public upon states, and were obliged to resort to a them, he should have brought his charge foreign force for assistance; but that the on some particular grounds, on some spectron with the British govt, was so cinc-instances of oppression, and have horrible, so detestable to the native printaken the sense of parliament upon them. ces, was an assertion wholly fallacious. Parliament could then come to a conclu- | The charge also of the company's troops, respect to the commercial interests being territory of the Nizain, was another argument equally futile, for it was to be icmembered that they were in possession of a long tract on the continuide of that terbeen more politic; but in order to the transaction, and such ideas as may Visiting in a The hon, gent.'s proposition, subject. There were two questions upon

this head: first, what description of pco- mind was strongly impressed with this idea; plewere the Mahrattas, and what were and with the consequences which should the principles of policy by which the Bri- follow. With respect to France, he did tish councils were to be guided? Looking | not consider the connection of France with to the general character of the people, their customs, their wars, their dispositions, and habits, it was certainly much better to keep clear of them entuely. They were formerly composed of a great number of small states, which were frequently at va-Transe with each other. If they had kept their old system of waifare, if they had continued in their ancient manners, without taking in the aid of foreign councils. In all sound policy it would have been our best was to have kept clear of them; but after the treaty of Bassem, a great number of I coch officers were introduced into The policy of the 🏙 ahratta then army connection then tood upon new grounds. Semilia and Holkar were at variance, their acintos became regular: suppose then one pay or got the better of the other, both then united and became organised under one head. his lordship asked, would not this coalition be very formidable to the military power of Great Britain in India? It was to this alteration in the military estem, introduced and directed by French other, that we were to look for changing the Policy of the British council, respecting a connection with the Manuellas. The government of the Myzere commend of a considerable nulitary torce, which was also aided and directed by French outgon; the object, therefore, of marquis Wellesley was to augment and strengthen the Peshwa; and thus, by invigorating him, resist the force, and drive the French from that territory. From the tall of Tippoo, the policy of the British government became quite different from what it had been before. The council, from that time, was to turn its mind towards the extripation of the French from that quarter. We were then to consider what was the object, what was the conduct of the French at that period, and what was to direct marquis Wellepley in his delibe-1798 the French made a footing an Egypt; we were well aware their main object was to aim a deadly blow at our Eastern possessions: their close alliance with Tippoo, and all the other concountant circumstanthat expedition.

the Mahrattas at all done away; on the contrary, it was glowing daily; and, from the complicated system of the Mahrafta policy, it was, impossible for any man to say how soon that system might be put in practice. If the councils of the Mahrattas had remained as formerly, and not put on the councils and assistance of French officers, A might have been otherwise. The French general Perron had organised such a power, that Scindia himself did not ven. ture to oppose him, but gave up the contest. Thirty or 40,000 under the Nizam, were in a state of perfect discipline. Was it to be questioned, whether, after the peace, the French had sent emissaries into that country, and it they were allowed to establish a connection with the Mahratta people, it did not require much political foresight to discover what might tollow: having stated thus much, his lordship observed, that the whole change of the complexion of the Mahratta empire had made this a new subject. The moment marquis Wellesley had found out the triple connection formed by marquis Cornwallis, he enlarged the principles of his actions, and endcavoured to promote the interest of the British govt. with the Rajah; he conceived the necessity of that alliance, and the impression upon his mind was, that if he should persuade the native princes; that it was safer to rely on the connection with the British empire, than join any other power, or war among themselves; by this means he might lead to the exclusion of the French interest, and the policy or connection of their situation might induce them to embrace such a proposal. His lordship agreed with the hon. gent, that a great question of expediency arose out of those circumstances; but, from the details then upon the table, it clearly was not a proposition which parliament could then decide upon. The conrations. We all know, that in the year, duct of marquis Wellesley upon this mate ter would hand down his name to posterizy as one of the most wise and vigorous officers that ever conducted the councils of a great nation. The governor general had not shewn a bigoted adherence to the sysces, sufficiently explained their intentions, tem of establishing a connection with the and we were all satisfied India was not | Mahrattas to extirpate the French, but he out of their mind when they undertook modified it; and his lordship contended, Marquis Wellesley's that the general charge made against that

empire there was a population of 30 millions under one head; it was not negessary therefore for the governor general to want to conclude a treaty of the same nature of that of Hydrabad, with the Peshwa until a communication was had with the govt. at home. In the instructions to marquis Wellesley, his lordship was directed not to pursue that policy to a war; and as the Peshwa was driven from his country, that was the most likely moment! to conclude a general peace of India. The hon, gent, had mentioned the treaty of Bassem, as an act of aggression; but he did not skew on what grounds it was so. The native princes, so far from remonstrating against it, fully acquiesced in the term, and as far as general intentions would go, marquis Wellesley took up the principle not to force that treaty upon the power of that prince; had marquis Wellesley acted in any other manner, he would have abundoned the interest of his employers, and, throughout the whole, there was a sincere disposition upon shis part he managed it in such a way as to give the Lenefit of the Mahratta connection to this country? this view he had taken of it in all his pacific negociations.—The more extended question of a angement therefore was, whether we were involved in war upon just and dair grounds? That the war was successful, more than even the councils of this country would allow, was certain. His lordship trusted, that the hon, gent, would not expect him to go more into the question: under the present cxisting circumstances, his fordship thought the should not be justifiable in doing so; it, however, appeared clearly, that the tion respecting the war with Holkar in its • the supposition that the war was com- be more effectually serviceable to the namenced on just grounds. His lordship tives by instructing them, and more danadmitted the governor-general should have gerous to us than a much greater force

system in the Mahratta empire by the hon. I transmitted documents upon this subject, gent. did not cast any reflection upon the in order to see the grounds and origin of noble Marquis's conduct. In the Mahratta the commencement of hostilities, but this was easily accounted for from the short period which has since clapsed. In conclusion, his lord-up said, that the hongent, had not made any case which could induce parliament to come to any decision upon the subject. If he had stated the particular point on which he meant to rely; if he had brought forward his propositions before the house one by one, and not in an accumulated mass of general matter and general centsure, the bouse would then have been able to come to some certain decision upon the question but, he conceived the hon, gent, had discharged kimself but fil in this business, he considered at a more personal meter. for the satisfaction of the hon, gent.' - is mind, and could only be brought for each for the purpose of general coleurs. I these reasons he should thank him til petified in moving " that the other orders of the day be now read.

Earl Temple admitted, that there had been a line of policy marked out for India by act of Parlament which ought to be to accomplish his purpose without war, and [adhered to. If a war not been adhered to, he would certainly as eee with the motion of his Lon, men l. But in his openior the principle had never been lest fight on It his hop, triend had attacked the charactor of the governor-general, he would have strongly objected to that part of his motion particularly, but he had declaimed any thing of that soit. H: bon, friend had begun with our establishment in India, its origin, and had made use of i singular phrase which he had taken down. He said that our commerce produced fartones, that factories produced armes, that armes had produced conquests, that conquests had produced extended donnsubject matter in question could be traced nion, and that this brought us to our to the general policy of France, to shake present situation. His lordship observed, our power in India. His lordship observed, I that it did not by any means follow, that that he had omitted to make one observa- all this originated in a thirst of power on our part.—There was another point proper place: the hon, gent, he said, had in his hon, friend speech, which he must i not justified his impeachment of that war, also notice, that was the idea of a knowit was not the materials furnished, but the ledge of European factics, being dangerhostile intentions, of Holkar which pro-lous to the natives of India; he, on the duced it; and there was nothing whatever contrary, was of opinion that a small censurable in the governor general's con- number of Frenchmen scattered over diffeduct; and there was reason to anticipate tent parts of the continent of India, would

who was now most probably on his way and the Mahrattas, which it was our pohome, in copcluding the Treaty at Poonah, he thought that it must be clear that it any blame can be attached to this transaction, it must attach to the court of Priectors, who gave him authority so to do. Upon the whole he felt himself bound to support the amendment of the noble lord.

Dr. Laurence thought the statements of his hon, friend were worthy of the strictest attention, and most serious consideration that the house could possibly give it, being try too well; and others were set aside on a question which involved no less a number than thirty-two millions of persons: a number which was more than three man, the amount of the population of lead. It surprised him very much to the noble ford opposite him (Castle- s) argue in the manner he had done; or the ed him much to hear him state The bondier I had no right to look to pourts which were more remote, of took place at a more distant period of tam, merely because, as the noble lord stated, he had not come forward at every intermediate step, and moved for those papers, and that information, which might then have existed. He denied that this was any argument against his coming forward now, with this very necessary motion; but, even supposing it was, his hon. friend had complied with it in every respect, for, to his knowledge, no one mstance had passed without his calling for papers, and doing every thing that could in any way be thought necessary. The hon. reverity, on the term "connection," as it had been applied to the mode which we pursued towards the Mahrattas, a nation containing 30,000,000 of people. The question, at the best, was on a point of very thing advanced by his hon, friend, from beginning to end. What harm could result from re-asserting those principles which were already to be found in the resolutions of the house, and in the statutes? He condemned the modes practised by the company for the acquirement sion of the whole of Bengal alone might tion of any individual. Vol. IV.

acting in a body as a military force against ruin the company. Formerly, there were us. As to the conduct of his noble friend a number of petty states between Bengal licy to support. Now, we had swallowed up, one after another, all those Mohammedan powers. If the noble lord had chosen to go into the justice of the wars in which we had so frequently engaged in that courtry, he had no objection. The causes of many of them were of a very doubtful complexion. The nabob of Arcot was punished for the offences of his grandmother; Cossim Alı Cawn for cultivating his conndifferent pretences; the Nizam we had, however, left, under what was called our protection. We made him our ally to get more territory from him, to maintain an army which we sent him, and which aimy was, in fact, to hold his country. He should not have said so much on this point, had not the noble lord spoken of the justice of our India wars in such a tone of triumph. He saw nothing to stop this principle of aggrandisement; he saw no bounds but the wall of China, or the Russian empire, to our daily acquisitions of territory while this system continued. We were on the Mahratta borders. It they are disturbed, we are distressed. If they settled their quarrels, we were afraid they would have too much power. What was the true meaning of that word connection which the noble lord bsed? It was not connection, but dependence on our military power in India. The natural consequence would be, speedily to take the whole country. After the conquest of the Mysore, and learned gent, remarked, with some the Peishwa refused to sign the treaty of alliance we had proposed to him; but we took advantage of his misfortunes and flight, to force him to sign it, and he had not, after all, ever requested us to wet upon it. We had adopted a kind of geodulnous policy. He praised the conduct graphical morality, and a sort of policy of of marquis Cornwallis when in India before, latitude and longitude for our own purand trusted his return there would be at poses in India, different from what we tended with similar advantages. The conceived of those things in Europe. Gennoble lord seemed to misunderstand every tlemen could hear of the most terrible calamities in India without emotion, who, for a little finger ache at home, would . dissolve in scatimental sorrow. The hon. and learned gent. concluded, by saying, that he should vote for the original motion, because it was meant with a view to the re-establishment of the principle of territory in India. It was once given sanctioned by parliament and the legislaas Mr. Hastings's opinion, that the posses- ture, and not with a view to the crimina.

Mr. Grant observed, that the noble lord productive of great evils to this country. who moved the previous question, had stated that the Marquis Wellesley's conduct in India had been approved of by the government of this country, and by the directors: of the East India company, as had Been duty to put the house in possession of his transmitted to him by a committee in the regular course of official communication, that was an incorrectness founded upon a misunderstanding of one fact, namely, that the directors had approved of the conduct of the noble Marquis; the fact was, that that question was never before the directors at all: this observation he thought was called from him after what the noble lora had said upon that subject to-night; that noble lord had bestowed great attention to the affairs, and displayed much ability in the conduct of the business of India in this country, but netwith landing what that noble lord had said, he owned he was unable to men what had of late years passed in regard to the idea of departing from any lindia, in any other light than that of an teni of policy formerly had down, or of diffraction of the principle laid down at the time when the resolution was passed,] by which we renounced conquest in India, Ition was discussed by them on the subfor the purpose of an extension of territory, ject of war or peace in India, or of the He was led to adopt this opinion from ex-jextent of the terretories of the Branch pericace of the effect of the former Mahratta war, an event which had laid the foundation of all the debt we had incurred there. The diffusion of ourselves to so unlimited an extent, would be most injurious to us, for by at we should lose ourselves. With regard to the French power of the house would permit him to state m India, his opinion had always been that how the matter steed with regard to the it was much exaggerated by statements in this country, and that a few French officers; there were not dangerous in the way they were supposed to influence the natives a thousand miles from the coast. He thought the principle laid, that we were not to pursue conquest for the sake of extension To territory, was the true policy of this country, and that so much had been done to reader it doubtful whether we had not abandoned that principle, it became neces; sarv now to give the world assurance, 'that | did not come from any of his majesty's · uch is to be our guide, and this he wished no be distinctly avowed. He thought that to the directors, of whom it was said they we had now an extent of territory in In- were men of very good intentions, but not that we should never be able to prewere so loose, that almost any adventurer would had tollowers when he wished to kindle the tlames of war, and therefore

Mr. Huddlestone said, he did not wish that this subject should be too much detailed in parliament, but as he had had long experience in India, he thought it his sentiments upon this most important question. His hon, friend who had just spoken, had alluded to what just with reference to the court of directors, and the dispatch of the marquis of Wellesley of the 21-t Dec. on the subject of the treaty of Barsem, by which it appeared that the roble marquis expressed himself as having it-Terved the approbation of P majesty's government and court of directors, but the truth of the matter was, that the terms of that treaty were never brought before the court of directors, neither was there among them any dreus ion concerning it, desired or admitted, and with their having expressed approbation et what was done in India, or that any que. The court of directors, alempire though in the opinion of the public they were supposed to have a great deal of power, had in reality no more than any member of that house in these matters. and here he was sure that the candour Mirectors. It had been said by those to whose assertions, from then veight in the world, there was consequence, on the subject of the merit of the noble marguis respect ing our successes, which were stated to have been great, and that the consequences of them would have been still greater it ome obstacles had not been thrown in his way, and lest there might be any mistal e upon that subject, to whom it was meant to apply that observation, it was said, it ministers; it was therefore meant to apply of such chlargement of mind as to be able serve it : for the governments of India to understand the plan of the marquis of Wellesley, or the great benefits they were calculated to produce. Now whatever consequence the directors might have, there was a necessity for recurring to the they had no share in the management of principle of our former resolution, since sending any thing to India on the subject the expenses of the war had been already of the political system there, and there-

fore that they could not send any thing to India, which did not previously obtain the caretton of the board of control, of which several members of his majesty's cabinet are members, and that consequently nothing could be thrown in the way of the noble marquis, which had not previously obtained the sanction of his majesty's ministers. There was a circumstance of great importance with regard to the Mahratta people; and another point upon which he should touch, of which, he believed, the next generation, when it came to peruse the labour of the historian, would judge more correctly than the present; and they had been described as having been decided by the court of directors, when, in truth, the directors were so far from bearing any share in them, that they had never been alloved even to discuss them. He confessed he could not comprehend the plans of the nolle marquis. They appeared to him to be accompanied with present difficulties, to teem with mischief, to set existence on the ist, to be unwise in policy, to be founded on schemes and projects, wherein failure would be destructive, and even success ramous; whereby nothing was to be gained but the expense of blood and treasure, and perhaps a permanent hatred inspired into the inhabitants. These were his sentiments, and were not less so last year, when the accounts had been received of the brilliant smeesses, than at present on receipt of the account of a disaster, which had opened the exes of many, and would, he feared, ment, such as the hon, mover has brought open the eyes of many more. He admitted the energy of the great mind of the moble marquis, and that lie exerted his powers most successfully for this country, in the destruction of that infatuated tyrant Tippoo Sultan. That was a great service rendered to the East India company and to his country; but it was easy to see that] this advantage would be followed by the reverse of it, unless followed by moderation, in that he thought the noble marquis met with, which was certainly, in some refrom the system of his predecessor in the government, He appeared to have made the whole of India dependent on the British government. He had made them all receive British garrisons in their cities, and to grant subsidies for that British pro-

territorial revenue to us—in all this he saw the seeds of a revolt the instant an opportunity offered. We had once sided with Semdia against Holkar, and afterwards with Holkar against Scindia, by which we had produced the hatred of both, and we had now territories so extensive in India, that the population of Europe would hardly equal the drain they would require to keep them mour power, according to the military system now established. For several years past, as the company have advanced in revenues, they had equally done so in expence, and it was morally impossible to bear the drain of men that would be necessary to keep so many nullions of the human race in subjection to us.. No man better knew than he did, the benefits, almost beyond calculation, which this country might derive from our possessions in India, if affairs were managed with justice and moderation. His majesty's government, he said, had shewn then anxiety on that head, by their recent appointment of the marquis Cornwallis as governor-general of India. This nobleman was as annable in his character, as he was exalted in rank, and was known by the native powers to combine within himself all that was just and good. He had already convinced them that, while possessed of absolute power, he was actuated only by inviolable honour and the most conciliatory disposition towards them; and he thought that a declaration of parliaforward, made at this time, just as the noble marquis was about to sail for India, would be a token to the native powers that we wished, and intended an abrogation of the present system, and could not fail. of being attended with the most beneficial effects. He would therefore vote for the original motion.

Sir Theophilus Metcalfe differed altogether from the two hop, gent, who had spoken last. The treaty of Bassein had been had failed; it appeared to him that the founded on consummate policy, and did very great success the noble marquis had great honour to the noble marquis, whose principal object was to prevent the Malispecis, unexpected, had let him to depart rattas from being united under one head. . The hon, bart, proceeded to review the circumstances which led to that treaty. If Scindeah and Holkar had not been divided at Poonah, the consequence would have been the consolidation of their several great powers, and we should have had tection, and afterwards to requite it with them both joined against us. The Mah-

ever since the death of Sujat Ul Dowla, to to be left at full liberty to act in such the marquis Wellesley had the highest de- | previous question. gree of merit, in being able to frustrate their machinations, and by attacking them of the original motion. He was of opiseparately, preventing those mischievous consequences which a combination of their powers could not fail to produce. From the character of the noble, marquis, if he could have preserved peace consistently with the interests of the company, he was certain he would have done it. It had been the favourite design of the Mahrattas, for upwards of twenty years, to extupate the English from India, and for this express purpose they had been at a very and engaging in their service as many Eu-Topeans as possible. He was decidedly Such a declaration as that now moved for against the original motion.

Mr. Chapman spoke in favour of the original motion. He said, that the moment they entered into a treaty with the Pershwah, they must expect a war with the Mahrattas. He had been resident in the country of the Rajah of Berar, and there the Peishwah was not allowed to be the supreme chief over the other feudatory chiefs. Scindeah and Holkar could never be expected to agree to the treaty, and he thought, therefore, it was very impolitic to enter upon it. The war was very expensive, and heawas afraid would be powers, and convincing them that justice

company's affairs.

Mr. Princep thought the house indebted to the perseverance of the hon, member in calling their ettention to so important a his opinion the noble marquis was too acsubject, and expressed his approbation of the magnanimous conduct of those official! gentlemen, who, disregarding the restraints system too far, and had thus created a disof office, so candidly and honourably avowed then sentiments, . He trusted, as the attention of the house seemed now directed. to the subject, they would not give it unlitself, if it could obtain any footing in Intill they had fully investigated the manner dia. The conciliatory system was therein which the affairs of our Indian depen- fore become indispensable for our honour dencies had been administered. On the and security. For he feared that for some present occasion, however, when a noble years back we were become in India what marquis was on the eve of setting off for the tyrant of France was in Europe. India, to take on him the supreme command and government of the country, he that in one point of view the motion now did not wish such a declaration as that before the house was such as nobody could moved for by the hon. gent. should be object to in the abstract, because it was a voted by the house, as he was afraid it principle expressed upon our statute-book,

rattas had always had it, in contemplation, I mg up his hands, at a time when he ought extirpate the English from India. Mada-manner as to himself should seem most jee Sandeah had the same, and be thought proper. He should therefore vote for the

Mr. Robert Thornton warmly approved nion, that the system now acted upon with regard to India ought to be changed, and that we should act on principles and indications of moderation and forbearance, and not in the spirit of conquest and aggrandizement. He trusted, that it was with that view, and with these intentions, that marquis Cornwallis would set out for that country. In sending out that noble marquis in lieu of lord Wellesley, we were substituting the olive branch for the great expence in improving their tactics sword, and this at a time that such a substitution was essentially necessary, would shew that parliament art determined on a dereliction of the late system; and it would shew the marquis and the native powers in India, that you do not send him out merely as a common governor-general, but that the country night derive advautage from that conciliatory disposition which is so much wanted to heal the wounds which, he thought, had too rashly been mflicted there. If we were to hold India, and to keep the French out of it, we must not think of doing either by the sword, but by conciliating the minds of the native productive of considerable mischief to the and moderation should be the future rule bi our conduct towards them. No one could deny that marquis Wellesley had acted with great energy and activity. In tive, too energetic and too enterprising. The noble lord had pursued the warlike content and disposition to resistance among the native powers, of which the French, or any power hostile to us, could easily avail

The Charcellor of the Exchequer admitted, would be the means of fettering and bind- and founded upon natural justice, that we

tending territory; but it did not thence tent of dominion might become the result | slur upon the justice, the magnanimity, of it, for that was the natural effect of superiority in contest; our security might require it, or we might take by way of indemnity. It it were not so, we should, by pusillanimity, unite all the world-to attack us. The resolution, therefore, as it had an aspect hostile to that principle, was unjust to the noble marquis, because it was a general censure on the whole of his administration, by now putting the whole of that administration together, and following up the historical account of it with a resolution, which either meant to censure that: administration altogether—an administration as full of excellent achievement as any that ever preceded it, and in which the noble marquis had done as many and as glorious deeds as ever were done by any man; or else the resolution was only a repetition of what was on the statute book already, and meant nothing but an unnecessary repetition of an undisputed truth, and objection on that head. This resolution taken as one that censured extension of territory, in all events, was unjust, not only for the reason he had stated already, but unjust to my lord Cornwalls himself, who was to-night so highly and justly praised, for even he had extended our terntory in India after the conclusion of a war. The grand policy of this country in France. There might be fair ground for difference of opinion on some points of Indian policy; but on the great leading features of the noble marquis's administration, there could exist no doubt on the merits of those transactions, by which he had conferred such benefits on his country, had secured her interest, upheld his brilliant campaigns, indemnity and se- of change? We were at wor with France

should not make war for the sake of ex- curity: he had gained a great extent of valuable sea-coast, a matter of great coafollow, that if we were forced into a just sideration with a view to preventing the and a necessary war, that we were not to designs of the enemy. He must object conquer, and that, after conquest, an ex- most decidedly to a motion which cast a and the good faith of the British government. He need not dwell on the lest Mahratta war, after what had been said by his noble friend. He contended, that lord Wellesley was fully justified respecting the treaty with the Peishwa. ought, not to permit either Sandia or the Pershwah to possess the whole of the Mahratta power. The right hou, gent, alluded to the attempt made on Egypt, as connected with the design of the enemy on the East Indies, and stated, that he knew France had still been looking to the Mahgatta states as the great instrument to be employed against us in India. If there was any variety of spinion as to some parts of the mobile marquis's administration in India, and in an administration so long and so full of incident, no wonder if there should, let the specific points be stated on which the objections are taken, and they should be met as they ought to be, but the present motion was either to convey a general consure of the whole of the administration, which nobody would avow, or it went to declare that which was unnecessary, because declared already.

Mr. For vindicated the motion and the objects of the honburable mover. The right hon. gent. who had just set down, was completely mustaken, in supposing India was to keep down the power of that the meaning of his hon, friend, or of those by whom the motion of 1782 was drawn up, was, that parliament should. make a declaration against unjustifiable wars, for that would be just such trash as the French assembly published at the commencement of the revolution; but upon which they did not afterwards act, "that they would not make war for her honour, and exalted her glory. He sake of conquest." No, the meaning of had dispelled a danger the most formida- the motion before the house, and of ble and menacing, which he never could that of 1782, was this, that an extenhave done on the principle of the hon. sion of territory in India was not the pogentlemen opposite; and dispelled it, dur- licy of this country; that is, that whatever ing a war in which France wished, through the grounds of war might be, a farther India, to strike a fatal blow against British addition to our territory in that quarter commerce and greatness. The wisdom of would be a mischief. But the right hon. the noble lord had been evinced in adopt- gent. on the other side, seemed to say, that ing the highest, most important, and fun- our situation was materially altered since damental policy of the British interests in 1782, with respect to India. Where, he India: he had procured, in the issue of would ask, was the material circumstance

excite an opposition to us in India, and inquiry. to some discussion upon this point. If the extension of territory were desirable, let] not such a line of policy be followed as was calculated to keep alive doubt and suspieion, and forbid the possibility of contidence in bur views among the native to be very desirable to forma close connection to the resolution of 1782. The right hon. previously pronounced our friends. This which shewed that he contemplated connexion we had soon formed with them, schemes of ambition far more wild and and we gave them something fike what the mad than the governor of India was ever French used to term the "fraternal bug." We embraced the Mahrattas, just as the ideas would go to this, that we should French embraced Holland. We, in fact, possess ourselves of all India; and it posseemed in India, to be like Macheth, " so sessed of that wast empire, he contended steeped in blood? that we thought it vain that it would be an intolerable drain upon to go back. Sed revocate gradum, his our military resource to preserve it, while labor, hoc opus est. After degroying its preservation would not be so conducive Tippoo, who formed a barrier between us to our benefit, as India governed upon and our mends the Mahrattas, we then pro- the principle laid down in the motion ceeded to destroy our friends themselves. But, it is said, that you waged war against the Mahrattas, merely from a fear of the French, and a similar plea may be alleged with equal Justice, against any state in India, until, in the work of destruction, the English force may make its way to the wall of Chma, or farther if they could. War was declared against the Mahrattas, because they were the only power remaining in India. So that in other words, our govt. appeared to argue, that we could not be safe until all India was our own. His opinion, the hon, member declared to be decidedly different. The best way in his view to secure our interest and possessions, was to prohibit their extension. As to the allusion made to the character of lord Wellesley, he could not admit that the motion was meant to reflect on that notile lord, upon whose conduct he was not now prepared to pronounce any opinion. If the administration of that noble lord was meant to be inquired into,] when he should return home would cer- in hidin, respecting the means of securing

in 1782—The French were as willing to tainly be the proper time to institute such An hon, gent. (Mr. Princep) Tippoo was quite as willing to second such was of opinion that the adoption of this views as the Mahrattas can be supposed at motion would operate to fetter Jord Corn-Yet under all these cucum- walls. But he believed, on the contrary, stances we concluded the resolution of that it would serve to fortify the views and 1782. He called upon the house to come intentions of that noble lord. It would shew him that the policy he held was sanctioned by the voice of parliament the motion-be negatived at once, and let remembered it having been said with resome course be determined on. But let spect to his India bill, that the objection was not so much to the measure as to the man; but on this occasion he should say, with respect to the motion and lord Corn wallis, that this measure was the man. powers. Avery pretence seemed to be The hon, gent, on the other side cutcutamsought for to declare war in India, and it ed opinions directly the reverse of those appeared impossible to calculate when this professed by the three respectable direcpropensity to war would cease. As soon as tors of the India company, whom the house we had destroyed Tippoo, it was then stated had heard declare an unquablied adherence. with those Mahratta powers, which were gent: had advanced some statements suspected of. For the right hon, gent.'s would be. But the main pretence rested upon by the advocates for further conquests seems to be this, that they are necessary for our safety. Now this was precisely the pretence of all conquerors and marauders, in all ages. According to Livy, whenever the Roman, made war upon any state, it was only to secure their own safety. Such was the plea advanced and exactly the same was the ground frequently urged by Lewis XIV, and others entertaining similar views. In the name of common sense and justice, he would ask, where such a plea was likely to stop? Where was this resort for safety to end, for, according as it was applied, no man was likely to be at peace, for he could not calculate upon safety while there was another man alive who had strength enough to knock him down. Thus no state could be at peace, until every nation capable of attacking it was destroyed. Such was the tendency of the argument deducible from the abominable principle laid down to excuse our wars

struck him to have no end but in unbounded dominion. The hon, gent, concluded with expressing a hope that whether the motion before the house should be acceded to or not, something declaratory of the proposed system with a spect to India, would be adopted as a guide to our governors in India, as a rule by which our views might be judged of by the natives. If that system should be consonard with inoderation and justice, it would be founded on the principle of this motion, and best calculated, he vas confident, to promote our interests in

Mr. Francis, in reply, insisted on the came motives of conduct as were recommer ded by Mr. Fox, and contended, that they would be most congenial to the feelings, and most coasonant to the policy upon which the noble marquis was likely to act, and for the enforcement of which it was likely that he was again induced to undertake the government of our India possessions.—The question being loutily called tor, the house divided;

For Mr. Francis's motion 46 For the previous question 105

Majority against the motion 59 ____

> HOUSE OF LORDS. Monday, April 8.

[MINUTIS.] Counsel were further heard relative to the Scotch Appeal. Catheart, bart. v. the Earl of Cassilis, viz. Mr. Ers-Fine, at considerable length, as second councl, for the Respondent. The hearang of counsel was rather earlier postponed than usual, in consequence of the sudden indisposition of the lord Chancellor, on account of which an adjournment of the hou a, during pleasure, took place. In the course of about half an hour, the house resumed, and the lord Chancellor took his scat on the Woolsack; and the farther consideration of the appeal was adjourned till]. to-morrow.—The bills upon the table were torwarded in their several stages: among these, the Neutral Ships and Innkeep r's Allowance bills were read a third time and passed, and the libb Spirits Permit bill went through a committee of the whole house. —The indisposition of the lord Chancellor continuing, it was moved, his majesty's commission under the great seal, be read; authorising and appointing Edward Lord a bill for amending and rendering more Ellenborough, Chief Justice of the Court effectual the Property bill, which was read

our safety. The operation of such a plea, | Speaker of the House of Lords, during the absence of the lord Chancellor, &c. The same was read accordingly. The lord Chancellor then put the formal question upon the proceedings, and retried from the house, and lord Ellenborough shortly after took his seat upon the woolsack, as Speaker pro tempore.

> [MILITIA ENLISTING BILL.] The Militta Enlisting bill, was then read the third time; and on the question being put that

the bill do pass;

Earl Spencer rose, not to oppose the passing of the bill, but merely to enquire of the noble secretary of state what arrangement was intended with respect to a matter which his lordship conceived to be highly important, but for which no provision was made in the present bill, that be could observe; namely, with regard to the officers now attached to the several regiments of militia, but who would be superfluous or supernumerary, when those regiments should come to be reduced to their intended limitation; his object was, to be informed whether they were to be continued on full, or reduced to half-pay,

Lord Huwkesbury answered, that the bill was not intended to affect the pay of any officer now attached as such to any regiment of militia, in consequence of the reduction, so long as the influid continued

embodied.

Earl Spencer said, his only difficulty was how such supernumerary officers could legally continue to receive full pay after. the reduction of the militial to its original standard. Such a principle he was surmust be contrary to the spirit of the origin nal withtra law; and without a special clause in the bill (which certainly might be amended any time in the course of the present session) he did not see how that principle (could be dispensed with.—The question being put, "that the bill do pass," the house divided;

> 55 Contents 40 Non-contents

Majority for the Bill 15 Adjourned.

HOUSE OF COMMONS.

Monday, April 8.

[MINUTES.] Mr. Iduskisson brought up of King's Bench, to act and officiate as a first time.—The house resolved itself into

of Ireland be referred to the said Comwsum not exceeding 800,0001. be granted to his majesty for paying off Treasury bills, for the year 1805, which was agreed to, and the report ordered to be received tomorrow.-Mr. Stuckey, from the Excise Office in Scotland, presented at the bar an account of the arrears and current balances in that department. Ordered to lie on the table.

Mr. Johnstone begged leave to ask Mr. Sheridan, whether he had abandoned his motion relative to the affairs of the Carnatic? If he meant to do it, he would, madequate as he confessed his abilities to be to a subject of such vast importance, bring it forward.

Mr. Sheridan said; though the matter had long lain dormant, he certainly did noble lord opposite had seemed to hint, some short time back, that these affairs had been so happily settled, that all higuiry after the bolidays, to fix a precise day for bringing forward his motion on that subject.

[CENSURE OF LORD MELVILLE.] Mr. Whitbread rose to make his promised motion, founded on the tenth report of the Commissioners of Naval Enquiry, and spoke as follows: -- When first, sir, I gave notice that I should call the attention of the house to the subject on which I am now to address you, it was my intention to follow the precedents by which the house have been generally gueded, and to move that the tenth report of the comdue respect to all the commissioners which eluded the most diligent inquiries of varihave ever sat under the authority and ap- ous commissions appointed for the purpose pointment of this house, I must be perhonourable in its origin, none had ever all the vigilance of committees of this house prosecuted its inquiries with more real could not fully bring to light. The comadvantage to the public interest. It is missioners having thus done their duty to well known that this commission originated the public, it falls to my lot to bring to from the late Board of Admiralty, at the justice the criminals whom they have ex-

a committee of supply. Mr. Foster mov- who had so often signalized his provess in ed, that an account of the unfunded debt fighting the battles of his country. That noble lord, however, not satisfied with mittee. Ordered.—He then moved, that combating and subduing her, enemics abroad, returned home to overturn her domestic foes, by exploding those mines of corruption which rendered all advantages of victory fruitless and unavailing. The commissioners thus constituted have already made a variety of reports, though I am sorry to say that on few have any proceedings hitherto been founded. I certainly do take some degree of ment to myself for having moved for certain papers calculated to elucidate the first report, and was then given to understand that a commission had been appointed to carry into effect such suggestions in the report as appeared likely to be adopted with advantage. What progress has been made by this commission does not hitherto appear. though at a future time I may feel it my not mean to abandon it; especially as a duty to bring the matter again under the consideration of the house. But to return to the Commissioners of Naval Inquity, I think it clearly demonstrated, that it they was unnecessary. He meant, immediately had greater difficulties to encounter than any former commission suffered; if they have been attacked in a manner contrary to all decency by the whole host of jobbers and depredators, whose villamies it was their object to expose; if they have been described as exercising the office of mounsitors rather than of commissioners; if their views have been vilitied not only in this house but throughout the public at large; if every possible obstacle has been thrown in the way of their investigations; it every thing, in short, has been done to disgust them, and make them relinquish the great task entrusted to them by this house; and if, missioners of Naval Enquiry be taken into overlooking all these considerations, they consideration in a committee. I have, have thought only of discharging their however, since, on mature reflection, seen duty to their country, it will not surely be reason to alter this original resolution, and denied that their merit has been greatly confining myself to the most important enhanced, that they are entitled to the part of that report, to make that the foun- warmest gratitude of the best interests of dation of certain propositions with which this emptre. By their firmness and their I shall have the honour to conclude. With perseverance they have discovered what of ascertaining the amount of various mitted to say, that none was ever more abuses in the public expenditure, what head of which presided that noble lord posed. It is needless for me to insist on

sioners who have brought forward this most interesting report. If the house decide, as it appears to me that they are in duty bound, and as it strikes me it is almost impossible for them not to decide, it will prove that no person guilty of so flagrant a violation of an express act of parliament, as that contained in the tenth report, that no individual strongly suspected of commying at such unwarrantreceiving any advantage from this misappheation, however high his rank or extenpunity. The public will look with reverence to our decisions; they will be conpublic expenditure, and that if our burcontrary, our decision should be contrary table, pronounce the noble lord not guilty, then indeed the people will have serious cause for complaint and indignation; then the vulgar assertion may with great apparent plausibility be employed; we may with truth be accused of seeking only emolument as our sole object, in place of the good of our country. No longer can we be considered as statesmen animated by a laudable spirit of ambition—that ambition implanted in our nature to incite us to as acting only from the base, sorded motives which degrade the character of the -tatesman, and render him contemptible facts which the tenth report has disclosed. This report involves a considerable num-Vol. IV.

the importance of the subject on which I respectable company of the bank of Engal am now addressing you. It is important land. If I am not also misinformed, the to the high person whom the propositions report to a certain degree involves the chawith which I shall conclude principally racter of the right hon, gentleman (Mr. movele. It is important to the very Pitt) on the other side of the house. With salvation and existence of our coun- respect to what is said in the report about try. It is important to the commis- the Bank of England, I am not satisfied that the conduct of the governors in al-, lowing the money, the public money, to be withdrawn in the way in which it has been, was correct. On the contrary it has every appearance of being in direct opposition to the express regulations of an act of parliament. The evidence of the other of the Bank, who was examined before the commissioners, does not fally do away this impression. With respect to able application of the public money, or what I alluded to respecting the right hon, gentleman opposite, I shall explain my meaning in a few words. I have been rive his influence, shall escape with im- informed that the right hon, gentleman, several years ago, when he last held the same situation which he now enjoys, was xinced that a door is opened for the intro-linformed of the existence of the practice duction of regularity and economy in our of withdrawing the public money contrary to an express act of pailiament, and thens are extreme, their produce will at that no steps whatever were taken to put least be applied to the purposes for which an end to it. If this statement be correct, parliament intended them. If, on the the right hon, gent, will permit me to say, that his conduct betrayed very culpable to these just hopes and expectations; if negligence, and a very unjustifiable conwe shall, in defiance of the report on our bivance at a practice which every man who values the proper regulation of the public expenditure must consider as highly dangerous. In bringing forward a charge against lord Melville, I do not bring a charge against a mere unprotected individual, During the greater part of his life he has enjoyed an ample share of the public rewards, and public honours. For a period . of thirty years he has been in the uninterrupted possession of some lucrative office, and he has at the same time exercised high deeds. We must then be considered a most extensive influence. He has, no doubt, many friends attached to him by the consciousness of obligation, and though he is not now present to hear the charge in the eyes of his fellow citizens. • Feeling preferred against him, he has many friends these truths severely. I do trust that the in this house who will be happy in the, house will accede to those propositions [opportunity of undertaking his defence. which it is my intention to found on the [Thinking, then, that I take no unfair aday vantage of him, I proveed to that charge; which his friends will be fully able to exber of individuals. It is not only Lord plain to him in another place. In con-Melville and Mr. Trotter, his pay-master, ducting this business, it will be my object that are involved, but we have Mr. Wil-|strictly to adhere to that moderation, which. son and Mr. Mark Sprott, who cut no is the most adapted for the investigation, inconsiderable figure in the scene. We of truth, but, at the same time, with that have also brought below us the high and firmness and perseverance which my duty;



are some circumstances of aggravation occupy a greater portion of your time, than I could otherwise have wished, and on other occasions, would readily be sparthe principal criminal, against whom my charge will be directed, I see many reasons which increase the magnitude of his offence. At the close of the American war, when give were plunged into the greatest national distress, and when the public began to see that there had been a gross misapplication of our resources, petitions flowed in from all parts of the country, praying for some reform in the expenditure of the public treasure. The right hon, gent, opposite regulated system of economy established in every part of the public expenditure. Committees were accordingly appointed, and on the reports which they produced, regulations were framed for diminishing every removing all those causes which had formerly created these excesses. Of these the most prominent was the regulation them permanent and adequate salaries should be allowed: It was particularly treasurer of the navy should have a specifrom the use of the public money. Now, these resolutions are alive in the recol-freely, received the least emplument from lection and feelings of many gentlemen who the public money beyond the established are at present members of this house; and salary; and the same observation applies elast man in the world who can at all plead [A cry of hear! hear!]. Lord Melville ignorance of their existence. He, indeed, succeeded Mr. Barre for a few months, as distinguished himself at that period for his treasurer of the navy; and whether, durprofessed zeal for the reform of abuses, ling this short period, he received any adment of the house to decide. He surely it was placed in the Bank of England, could not have possibly misunderstood the locked up in the iron chest, or lodged in interpretation of the legislature, and I am not the hands of a private banker, I have not

to the public imposes on me. In the case the abuses in the offices of the paymaster which I have to lay before the house, there of the forces and the treasurer of the navy were the leading features in all the reports which will render, it necessary for me to of the parliamentary committees of that day. In 1781, a positive resolution passled on the reports of que of those comto employ terms of greater severity, which, miltees, declaring it as their opinion, that neither the paymaster nor the treasurer of ed. When I look to the individual who is the navy should draw any part of the pubhe money till it was positively wanted for the public service. The report further goes on to state the opinion of the comnuttee, that the treasurer of the navy should henceforth act as an accountant, and not as a banker, to the nation. A 10port was at the same time made of the amount of the treasurer of the navy's selary, which was 2000l. exclusive of proin arising from the use of balances of the public money in his hands. It was on was then in the dawn of his political life, these reports resolved that all these balunand in nothing did he seem more desirous ces should be forthwith paid is to the land of recommending himself to notice and of England, and that the salary of the treadistinction, than by his zeal for the reform | surer should be fixed at 4000l. in her of of abuses, and his anxiety to have a well-fall fees, emoluments, or gratuities of whatever description. What was the conduct of Mr. Barré, at that time treasurer of the navy? Even before the resolution had been submitted to the legislature, i.e. spontaneously paid into the Bank of Engsort of unnecessary expenditure, and for land the whole amount of the balances at that period in his possession. In this case the house will see clearly that Mr. Barré was not placed in circumstances at all pafor lessching the balances in the hands of rallel to those under which lord. Melville public officers. It was at the same time bas acted. There hitherto existed no poresolved that a number of the fees and sitive law to force Mr. Barré to surrender gratuities formerly existing in public offi- up his balances, but he very wisely bowed ces should be withdrawn, and instead of to the decision of a committee of the house, and shewed his readiness even to anticipate the future resolution of the lelaid down, as a most important regulation, gislature. Mr. Barré thus gave the promptthat the paymaster of the forces and the est proof of his obedience to the voice of parliament, and it was proved before fic salary, and that they should neither di-the commissioners by the only person rectly or indirectly derive any advantage | now surviving to attest the fact, that Mr. Barré never afterwards, directly or indisure I am, that lord Melville is the very to every one of those in his employment with what sincerity I leave to the judg- vantage from the public money, whether now to inform him for the first time, that at this day the opportunity of deciding.

ing, who succeeded Lord Melville, had no boit of difficulty in answering the commissioners. His answer was manly and honourable. On being asked, whether he enjoyed any separate emolument or advantage from the public money, he unche discovered that the salary did not ex-I assed on this representation, and the net be avoided. declared to be in heu of all emclaments, tecs or advantages. In 1785, in consequence of a recommendation of his madepartment of the navy was to be orgamsed. At that time the right hon, gentleman opposite held out to the house the most brilliant picture of the beneficial eftects of these plans of improvement. While he spoke of the means by which economy was to be promoted, he did not forget the noble lord against whom my charge is now to be preferred, but selected him as the person most fit to carry them into the fullest activity. He must now have seen his mistake, or, at least, the public were long since convinced both of the fallacy of the hopes by which they were flattered, and the peculiar unfitness of the noble lord to undertake any its departments. From the conduct which the noble load has since pursued, one would think that he had been employed in the work of reform only to bring it into contempt; that he was put forth as an instrument of correcting abuses only to render them more inveterate. The bill of 1785 did pass, and the public lord was the person selected to carry it for the approbation of the other branch of the legisla-

This is at least certain, that Lord Bayn-tble lord's own sake, I trust it will not be resorted to. But, sir, let, me only call your attention to the preamble of the bill, and the house will see that neither its letter nor spirit can be misunderstood for a single! moment. The preamble of the bill recites all the abuses specified in the seven quivocally answers in the negative. After ral reports, alludes to the resolutions for the dissolution of the ministry of that day, the correction of those abuses, and spewe again have Lord Melville treasurer of cities that a specific salary is to be given the navy. On his resuming his old station in lieu of all fees, emoluments, or advan- A competent Salary was estatages. actly amount to the sum which parliament blished! that all temptation to make an proposed. An act of council accordingly improper use of the public money might Thus then, both accordsalary of 4000l. a year was established. Jing to the spirit and letter of the act, This sum so established, was expressly it was impossible for the noble lord, or any other human being, to mistake what was the direct object of the legislature. Now, what was the first act of the first part, je ty in his speech from the throne, an act in the second treasurership of Lord Melwas passed, containing those regulations ville, with this act expressly in his view? on which the future conduct of the civil The act says, that all money shall be immediately taken from the hands of the treasurer, and lodged in the Bank of England. The act passed in July, 1785, and it was not till the subsequent January that the balances were paid into the Bank, agreeably to the terms of the act. What possible excuse can be set up for such au extraordinary violation of an act of parhament, in the framing of which the noble lord himself," in all probability, took a material interest? He is the first to violate that law to which so much importance is attached. In what manner too does this violation take place? It appears, that on the 31st January, 1784, the balance in thing like national reform in any one of the lainds of the treasurer of the navy was upwards of 70,000l.; and in July, 1785, it had increased to upwards of 113,000l. being an augmentation of more than 42,000l. betwint the two periods to which I have alluded. I wish to know then why this delay in the transfer of the balance took place? It is impossible to account for this by any consistent principle. The only way of accounting for the matter satisfactorily, is to suppose that it was withture. Never was the spirit as well as the held with the sole view of private emoluletter of any act less favourable to wilful ment. I know it has been contended that misrepresentation; never could any thing the delay took place in consequence of afford a more wretched handle for any some regulations in the treasurer's office thing like subterfuge and equivocation. I being incomplete, but will such a pretext cannot suffer myself to believe that the as this impose even on the weakest underfriends of the noble ford will attempt to standing? What difficulty was there in screen his conduct, under pretence that the transfer which the act required? What the letter of the law has not been violated. new regulations were wanting to com-Such an apology would only serve to plete the safe lodgment of the balances heighten his criminality; and, for the no- of the navy in the

Bank of England? But it is to such such an extremity against the noble lord, wretched pretexts as this that the noble though my duty impels me to bring him belord is reduced when unable to give fore the proper tribunal. In making out my any other reason for the violation of an charge against the noble lord I must call act of parliament than that which I have your attention to what is stated in the rejust referred to. Such is the situation in which we find the noble lord at the expiration of the first part of his second treasurership. I shall now go on to the consideration of the sequel of this second cles in the trea user's department, to the treasurership, which forms the grand subject of the tenth, report. In doing this I shall arrange my observations under three distinct charges. In the first place, then, then it be ten pene a ten pounds, or even I charge Lord Mclville with having appli- [4 million, the principle is the law, whated the money of the public to other uses ever be the magnitude of the detection. than those of the naval department with That a deficiency did exist is not denied, which he was connected, in express con- for even lord Merville himself oversits extempt of an act of parliament, and in gross listence. To the secuation the commesseviolation of his duty. I charge the noble hers evinced a very landable desire to a lord, in the second place, with community core in bow the determines had originated. at a system of peculation in an individual, and for the purpose at was invessary that for whose conduct in the use of the public land Melville and Mr. Trotter should be money he was deeply responsible, and for summoned before them. As in this state this connivance I denounce him as subselect the burnes I shall have bequent occaof a high crune and misdemeanour. To son to allude to Trotter, it may be proper these two charges I shall, on the property to say a few words in explanation. When occasion, chiefly confine myself; but there I speak of Trotter, I speak at the same is still a third, on which I shall not meist time of ford Melville, for in the whole of very largely now, but which, if the in- this be uses they are completely identiquiry is instituted, I shall feel myself most fied. The action of the one I view as the powerfully called on to support in this action of the other. This, indeed, is the house. I mean here to alluda to the strong exact view taken by the committees which suspicion that the noble ford himself was reported on the situation of the treasurer a participator in that system of peculation and paymaster of the navy. They consito which I have referred, and is conse-I detect the office of treasurer as a mere incquently hable to very severe pains and pe- cure, the whole of his business being done nalties. Unless what has been said could by a deputy, and in this relation precisely be unsaid, upless what has appeared be does Mr. Trotter stand to lord Melville. fore the commissioners could be ablite. The one transacts the business, and the rated, it appears to me almost impossible. to get rid of this impression. I have said that I will pursue this inquiry with mo-I will follow it up with steadiness and firmsugainst the noble ford have seldom been did lord Mclville appear for the proper

port, for it is material to see what is the natime of the evidence produced. First of all, then, the commissioners found that there had been for a number of years deficienamount of opwards of 674,000l. a year. I am not now willing to rest much on the correctness of the particular sum. Wheother incurs all the responsibility. The treasurer of the nave, as in ford Melville's case, was often a pray and even a cal met deration on my own part, but I repeat that | counsellor, and it is very fit that he should be relieved from the cases of other. But mess for the country. It is certainly to the lifthe is to enjoy emolument without labour, honour of our public men, that even in it surely is not asking too much to have a times when party spirit was at its height, little responsibility attached to the distriwhen the political world was the most bution of the public money. So much colently agitated and convulsed, charges then as to the identity of Mi. Trotter and such as that which I now bring forward lord Melville in this business. So zealous preferred. It is a singular tircumstance, administration of the civil department of however, that the only instance of a simi- the navy, that, not satisfied with the bill for charge for a great number of years was of 1785, he actually proposed and obtained brought against a great public criminal by an act of council for authorizing, in the the same noble lord whose accuser I now management of the pecuniary concerns of appear. I allode to the case of Sir the navy, a variety of fresh regulations. T. Rumbold for malversations in India; [Here the hon, member read a few pasbut it is not my wish to carry matters to sages from the act. Thus, continued he,

were all the regulations that the noble lord a little seriously in his mind, and he does proposed for the economical govi. of the begin to recollect that he does know somenavy conceded to him, and he had nothing thing of the business. He confesses that len to impede his favourite plans of im- he had been, from 1786 down to the peproximent, and he is the man to autho- riod when he was examined, in the habit the perconptory orders of the legislature! placing it in the hands of his own banker. He hears up regulations and takes not The commissioners inquire a little further the least one that they shall be in one into the matter, but he will give them no shape or other observed. On the contrary, satisfaction. "You have no right to ask these deterencies are evidence how grossly me these questions, that is my private mothe intentions of the legislature have been ney." Nay, he even arraigns the comexaded.—Before I consider what Mr. missioners, and in a paper which, from Trotter's answer was. It me remark, that mercandulgence, they allowed him to prethe general complexion of the evidence sent, he pretty clearly insinuates that before the commissioners was of a very they were a parcel of gentlemen making extraor linery discription. I had almost themselves a great deal too free with other sold, all the with see have a religit int and gave a testimony extorted from them, or having repaid to him some of the sums so the proceedings of the commissioners, I do this different might have thrown a parte, and ought not to be the foundation missioners that he cannot give them the

the his own paymester to hold in contempt of grawing out the public money, and people's secrets. Five different times is disgraceful testimony. I was you en un- Mr. Alexander Trotter examined, and he granded on my e pression, in I own that rofuses to give the commissioners the inseveral respectable and such year their formation they require. He talks, indeed. test mony with a candoar that aid honour of sums advanced for other departments of to their character and to term, all cothers the government, said alludes to Mr. Long elitered their guilt under to very mens and advanced. Lam sorry that Mr. Long was wish that they had forced some of these 2000 deal of light on this subject. Though, gentlemen to favour us with a little more however, Mr. Long was not examined beof their reductant information. Think fore the commissioners, the fact of the they might have done this in perfect con-Jadvances is admitted by lord Melville in entency with the powers with which they his first letter to the commissioners. Insome invested. What is stated in this re- | deed this letter was altogether of an ex-Inctant testimony has, however, this ad- traordinary complexion. It was, however, violage, that it possesses the strongest of a piece with his lordship's evidence, and character of credibility. It has been strange- with his last letter, on both of which I ly ascerted by some persons that the re- shall, before I sit down, make a tew obport of the commissioners is altogether ex servations. His lordship tells the comof any decision. I really am at a loss to information they required, because he . conceive how any man can make such a cannot disclose confidential communicastrange assertion. I ask, had not lord tions of government; but his great argu-Melville and Mr. Trotter a fair opportu- ment is, that he is not in possession of the mity of vindicating their claracter before papers containing the advances to other the commissioners, if they were conscious departments, having, for mere amusement of unnocencer. When lord Mclville was no doubt, committed them to the flames. asked a plain question as to his appropria- I do maintain, that this avowal of the no-tion of any part of the public, money, ble lord is highly culpable. The destrucwhat had he to do? Why, he had only tion of these papers was, in a person of to declare on his oath and his honour his knowledge of business, a great crime No. What had Mr. Trotter to do? He and misdemenior. He ought to have had only to give the same answer of-No. known that papers of such a description But how do they think fit to proceed? In- are the property of no individual; they stead of following the plain path of integri- are the property of the nation. I recollect ty and honour, they profess total ignorance several committees complaining of the of deficiencies in the public money to a destruction of papers, and stating that, vast amount. The paymaster is called in consequence of such destruction, their before the commissioners, and he profes- inquiries were either retarded or altogesee to know nothing at all of the matter. ther destroyed. To destroy public papers, By and by he, however, turns the matter referring to a recent date, does, in my

it had so recently occurred. This does, I labour under such a wonderful decay of memory. Of this, however, I shall say something more before I mush my observations. On the subject of these advances to the other departments, I condemn the practice in the strongest terms. I would never for a moment suffer it to exist withsatisfaction as to the extent of the advan- liament has declared that it shall be placed. ces or the manner in which they were ap- This very ingenious gentleman thinks prophed. In spite of the noble lord's silence, we know that the havy service was not in cure when his money is in the hands of a situation to allow great advances of mo- Mr. Courts than when it is in the Bank of ney, and what an apology would it offer if England. Not only does he affirm, but a demand on the navy board could not be he calls his patron, Lord Melville, to conpaid, because, forsooth, lord Melville, and Alexander Trotter his paymester, had had promoted the passing of the act of agreed to accommodate the other branches of the service with loans of the public money? It may be said that the advances were to the secretary of state for important [1785, gets new regulations, still more purposes. I shall not easily be brought to stict, in 1786. He likewise tells the comsanction these accommodations betwirt missioners, that Mr. Trotter's mode is different servants of the crown. But lord also most convenient for the public service. Melville was minister at war, president of the board of control, and treasurer of inconveniencies of having the money at the navy, all united in his own person, and here is a transaction, not betwixt two individuals, but one individual, uniting three at a private banker's establishment? Candifferent characters. It is Mr. Dundas lending Mr. Dundas with the one hand, But if the present mode, of which Mr. and borrowing of Mr. Dundas with the Trotter appears so fond, be so very adother. It was a ridiculous, as well as vantageous, how comes it that Lord Meldangerous combination. I know that ville never came to parliament to get it some gentlemen on the other side will find formally recognized? Mr. Trotter, indeed, all this system of accommodation very finds a very ingenious reason for placing excusable. The public service is expe-bis money in the hands of a private bandited, and no loss is sustained. I confess ker. He says, that he was so anxious for I cannot assent to such doctrines. I know the security of the money that he placed it that they were often urged by the right in Mr. Coutts's, as the best place of safety. hon, gent. opposite, list war, and I have If any thing comes of the money I am an not forgotten all the fine things he told the undone man, and my mind will never be house about the appropriation paper, and at ease till Mr. Coutts has taken it into planner in which each sum was satis- his protection. Now, it was not, though corily accounted for to the public. I very improbable, altogether impossible that

mitd, imply something suspicious. I can-I was never satisfied with such a loose way not easily trace it to any other principle of dealing with the public money, and I than a wish to prevent criminal proceed-lalways thought that the solid reaconing ings from being unravelled, and accompa- of my hon, friend near me (Mr. Fox) nied with merited punishment. But it shewed completely how hollow and darseems that the noble lord has not only Ne gerous they were in practice. But even aistroyed his papers, he has also actually lost lowing the right hon, gent.'s arguments all his recollection of the whole affair, though their weight, they did not at all go to prove that such advances were either legal confess, seem a little strange, that, a per- or expedient. Legal no man dates to call son of his lordship's great talents should them, and certainly there is a great difference betwixt the treasurer of the navy and the finance minister of the country.— My second charge against lord Melville 1-, that he connived at the appropriation of the public money to private purposes. Mr. Trotter does not deny that he had large in no case permit it to exist, but I could sums in the hands of Mr. Coutts, his puvate banker; and he has the encontery to out explanation, and that too of the most tell the commissioners, that it is more consatisfactory nature. • Here, however, I venient for him to have his cash in the hear the noble lord allowing that such ad- hands of a private banker than in the Bank vances were made, but he will give me no of England, where an express act of parper to add, that he finds himself more sefirm his testimony;—Lord Melville, who 1785, which expressly says the money shall be lodged in the bank;—Lord Melville, who, not satisfied with the act of Sir, I am really astomshed to hear of the the back instead of a private banker's! Is not the discount at the bank ensier than not bank notes be more easily procured?

careful Mr. Trotter's security? Why, sir, it is completely crushed and annihilated. But if he was so very careful as he pretends to be, why did he not place it in the Bank, where, even if a failure were to take place, still Mr. Trotter would be secure in his obedience to an act of parliament? I wish to ask the house for what purposes the e perpetual draughts of money, this constant fluctuation of accounts in the rame of Mr. Trotter, took place? At the time that Mr Trotter is so anxious for the security of his money, was it lodged at Mr. Coutts's, allowing that to be a place of safety? No, it was employed in discounting bills, in forming speculations, in g: mblug on the stock exchange. I am neally almost uppalled, and I think that the braise will likewise be appalled, at the reaction of no less than 134 millions of the public property having passed through | Lord Melville's paymaster's hands. Why, sa, the report states explicitly that upwards of 8,000,000l. had been in the hand, of the private banker, and nearly 7.000,000l. more is alluded to as having passed through the same channel. But, what we have bitherto had disclosed is, perhaps, not one fourth of the money transactions of Lord Melville's paymaster, and much of the money in his hands when he was absolutely destitute of funds to make good the loss of one thousandth part of the all these transactions were going forward, on what was Lord Melville employed? Does he know any thing at all of the state of Mr. Trotter's accounts, for every one of whose actions he alone is responsible? Has he carefully examined the use which Mr. Trotter has made of the public money, truth is, if you are to believe Lord Melville himself, he knows nothing of the matter. What, then, is the state of Mr. would have been anxious to do business in as simple a nianner as possible, and in order to separate the public from his own ing a public and a private account. This, fell, it was not to him buffer lord Melville

a house, respectable as Mr. Coutts's was, however, did not exactly suit Mr. Trotmight fail, and what then becomes of the ter's purpose. He preferred having a chest in which he was to receive money promiscuously. One man comes with five guineas, another with ten guineas, and a third with twenty, and so with different sums, and they are all thrown promiscuously into the chest. They return in a short time for their money, and Mr. Trotter, very complaisantly, says, "Tis true, gentlemen, I did receive your money, but I threw it promiscuously into the chest, and it is altogether impossible for me to separate the different sums," Exactly similar to this is the conduct of Mr. Trotter in transacting He opens no his money concerns. less than five accounts. We have his own account—his separate account—his account as paymaster of the navy-las broker's account—and Jelicoe's account. He opens all these accounts, and when the commissioners ask hims for what they were intended, he has the assurance to tell them that they had no right to interfere with his private affairs, and very flatly disclaims their powers.—He draws under these heads immense sums of the public money—as for example, a million of money in one day. " On all the accounts there nught be expected to be a number of curious and interesting items. commissioners demand explanation, and again Mr. Alexander Trotter refuses to answer, and tells them to draw their own inference. "The commissioners. I table property in his hands. But while balled in getting information from Trotter, take up the business themselves, and here a curious scene is disclosed. Mr. Trotter, it is found, is busily engaged in buying the sorts of stock, and particularly. active in purchasing tlavy bills, when they were at a price which rendered it almost certain that they might be afterwards disand is he satisfied with the result? The posed of to advantage. But while Mr. Trotter is so busy, what has become of lord Melville and his responsibility? Does he ever interfere to prevent these specu-Trotter's accounts? He himself pleads lations? Does it never occur to him that agnorance, and cannot satisfy the com- it is extremely indecent for a paymaster of missioners. What was the cause of all this the navy thus to be sporting with the pubaffected ignorance may hereafter appear. [fic money? I do think that it was the sa--One would have thought that a person cred duty of lord Melville to have told Mr. ? of Mr. Trotter's perplexed turn of mind Trotter that he must either give up these connexions, or cease to be paymaster of the navy. If it had happened that in some of his extensive speculations he had been private money, nothing would have been disappointed, inevitable run must have more casy than the simple device of hav- been the consequence. Had Mr. Trotter

Lord Melville was involved in the fall of his paymaster, and all the consolation the public had, was to know that they were again to make good what the servants of the public had peculated. I cannot allow myself then to think that the house will be backward in agreeing with me that the negligent criminality of lord Melville was deserving of the severest reprehension. Let me, sir, recal to your attention what was the period when these speculations were going forward. It was in the very people were struggling up against that load of public burdens under which we ground, that Mr. Trotter, with the assistance of that silent and discreet stock-broker Mark Sprott, were laying their heads together to lay out the public money to the greatest advantage. What was the whole amount of the sums so employed we have not the means of ascertaining. But this is of no consequence; for, as I have already observed, it is not the extent of the sum misapplied, but the general principle of misapplication that I contend for. I assert that Mark Sprott ought to have been more severely interrogated. There is no doubt that he is the man to give the clue to this nefarious business. Even his silence, however, was extremely expressive. It is not easy to conceive any thing more strange than the reasons he urged for his silence. He tells the commissioners openly, "I have had the opinion of Mr. serjeant Shepherd and other eminent lawyers, and they advise me to preserve a religious silence." Only be silent, say nothing, and Mr. Mark Sprott are not quite uniform on this part of the business. Mr. Mark Sprott says nothing, but Lord Melville owns he knew of the transaction, but not of the detail. Sir, I say, if he knew of the transactions generally, and not of the detail, this, so far from rendering him less criminal, renders him still more guilty. If he was apprised that his paymaster was speculating in the funds, and if he derived no part of the profit, he was bound to see what was the extent of such speculations. He ought to have felt that his responsibility was in danger, and that it was full time for him to put a stop to so se-

that the public were to look for redress, | adequate to any extensive speculations, the bon. gent. proceeded to annualvert on the origin of Mr. Trotter's connexion with lord Melville. Lord Melville had found Mr. Trotter clerk of the n wy office. He made him his paymaster, and in a short time lord Melville contrives to make him his agent. In this situation of an agent, ford Melville has pecui way conceins with Trotter to a vast amount, and when his lordship is examined he is the able to inform the commissioners whether the advances made by Mr. Trotter vere midst of our distresses; it was while the from his own or from the public mone. The honourable member as unadverted strongly on this declaration, which was on the part of lard Melville extremely suspicions. How was it possible that lord Melville could for a moment suppose Miz Trotter to be making advances cot his own fortune? The fact was, that Mr. Trotter had originally no fortune. He was a man of a good family in the part of the country to which he belonged, but load Melville knew when he first began to 14 tronize him that he had no property but what he derived from his salary as paymaster of the navy. It was absolute equivocation then to pretend that ford Melville could be ignorant of Mr. Trotter making use of the public money to a vast amount. He condemned, as extremely suspicious, Mr. Trotter's acting as lord Melville's agent. When Mr. Trotter, the paymaster and agent of lord Melville, was known to have extensive dealings with Mark Sprott a stock-broker, was not the inference the most natural that their dealings were mutual? Would not people have we may be able to do something for you; a right to presume that lord Melville shared but if you give answers, we much fear it in the profits of those speculations, to which is all over with you. Lord Melville and there, seemed every reason to think that he was accessary?-But, indeed, it was not easy to see how this conclusion could be evaded. Was lord Melville ready on oath to disclaim such a connexion, or that he had ever derived the slightest advantage from any speculation in which Mr. Trotter was engaged? What was the language of all the predecessors and successors of Lord Melville? When the paymaster of Mr. Barré was asked, whether he had received any emolument from the application of the public money? he readily answers-No. Had lord Bayning received any advantage? Had lord Harrowby?—No. Had Mr. Bragge? Had Mr. rious an evil.—After illustrating this idea Tierney,—No. Lord Melville is compelby reference to Mr. Trotter's salary as in- led to give evasive answers. He shelters

mications of government. He can afford ney for private emolument, but he denied no information, for his papers were destroy- his generally participating in the profit of ed. He has no recollection of what took such application. This denial was nothing. place only a few years ago. The noble It was not that fan open denial which was ford was remarkable for his retentive memory. He could reckon up, with accuracy unexampled, all our losses or our victories during the late contest. The right hon, gentleman opposite had not unhaquently derived the benefit of the noble lord's memory, when recollection was not of the most trilling moment. Yet here the noble lord's recollection totally forsook him, and certainly such an instance of weak recollection was not a little remarkable. Surely it was no great effort of memory to recollect whether profit had ever been acceived from the use of the pub- of the members of that house to support lic money, and a simple monosyllable was him in the resolutions which he had that sufficient to state that recollection. fortunately this monosyllable was absent, flicted on the very existence of the country, at a moment when it was most wanted. Mr. Trotter's answers, too, respecting lord Melville, were of the most damning description. Did you receive any emolu- gentlemen, those guardians of the public ment from the use of the public money?-I won't tell you. Did you know of the their cordial support, in opposition to a amount of the sums advanced for the conidential service of government?—I won't tell you. Did lord Melville authorize you to use the public money for private emolument?—I won't tell you. Did lord Melville share with you in any profit arising from such a use of the public money?—I posed part of the house, he knew that won't tell you. Here the hon, gentleman there was not one of them who would vote read and commented on lord Melville's in support of a system of corruption, such evidence, and adverted to the case of Je- as had been practised by the persons licoe, who had been suffered to remain a against whom his inquiry was directed. public debtor for a whole year, after he He was convinced that their minds were was known to be in arrears upwards of not tainted with such sordid and corrupt twenty-four thousand pounds. During notions as to induce them to support pubthe next year eleven thousand pounds lie peculators. If he turned to the comof the act of parliament.

himself behind the confidential commu- that Mr. Trotter employed the public mothe only test of innocence. The hon, gent. then apologised for having exhausted the patience of the house in going through the statements which he had deemed it necessary to submit, to make the subject so intelligible as he could wish. If in the course of his investigation he had departed from that moderation which he had professed at his outset, and which it had unquestionably been his object to preserve, he should feel extremely sorry. Ho was happy, however, in supposing, unpleasant as his task had been, that he must have a majority Un- night to propose. A wound had been inwhich it was the duty of every member of the house to see checked and punished. When he turned his eyes to the country purse, he could not doubt of receiving principle of peculation which had been successfully practised on the public of Great Britain for many years, and that, too, by persons entrusted with the offi ial conduct of its resources. If he looked to the officers of the army or navy, who commore arrears had accrued. He had a mercial men, he was satisfied that they strong suspicion that Jelicoe was in the were not filled with horror and disgust same partnership with Mark Sprott, Mr. alone, but even with trembling, at the Alexander Trotter, and his lordship. It conduct which he had now endeavoured to would not have been fair to have turned point out, by which it was possible that too short on an old companion. It would ruin might have been hurled on them. have perhaps too been dangerous, since Even as it was, had not the speculations unpleasant discoveries might have met of Mr. Trotter injured many of thom? the public eye. It looked very much as if, And might they not have mimed not only mutually conscious of criminality, they the mercantile interests of the country, but had agreed to be silent and keep their own also have been productive of great loss to secrets.-Mr. Whitbread next commented the public in general? Would it have on the last letter of lord Melville, which been a vindication of Aslett, supposing brrather aggravated than palliated his guilt. speculations had been more fortunate than His lordship even admitted the violation they ultimately turned out, to have come He allowed forward and said, "there is your money

have no right to complain-I only took an accommodation from you, by which you to pass any punishment on me for so tijvial and innocent an offence?" Sucfi, surely, would, to gentlemen acquainted with mercantile affairs, be an excuse proeveking only indignation and resentment. Grandal it be esteemed a better argument to allege that the books and other documents from which it might have been possible to have cast some light on the accounts, had been burnt or intentionally destroyed? An allegation of this kind must with them meet with equal respect and attention. Every day of their lives, they were called on to prosecute for oftences of less magnitude. Would the right hon, and learned gent, who presided in a court of equity; would another right hon. and learned gent. whose duty it more particularly was to prosecute for delinquencies, and who did think it so incumbent on him to check even the appearance of corruption, as to prosecute a person in an inferior rank of life for an attempt to bribe a right hon, gent, then at the head of ["penty of this kingdom." administration (now lord Sidmouth);in bringing delinquents of a higher forder and, from the sobleman to the peasant, every one was obliged to make correspond- that during the Treasurership of the right management. moved,

" showe, came, amongst others, to the fol- money, and that neither the nor the paylowing re-olutions :- "That it is the oni-"latton- ought to be adopted, for the thereof. * purpo e of lessening and keeping down "the balances of public money which up- now lord viscount Melville, succeeded to " pear to have usually been in the hands of the office of Treasurer of the Navy on the

back again-you are not injured-you | " and other clerks in the different branches " belonging to the said office were paid by " fixed and permanent salaries, in lieu of have lost nothing, and you have no right "all fees, gratuities, and other perquisites "whatsoeyer.—That it is the opinion of "this committee, that from hence for ward the " Paymaster General of his majesty's land " forces, and the Treasurer of the Navy "for the time being, shall not apply any " sum or, sums of money imprested to " them, or either of them, to any purpose " of advantage or interest to themselves, "cither directly or inducetly. That it " appears to this committee, that the com-"missioners appointed to examine, take, "and state the public accounts of the "kingdom, have, so far as appears from "the Reports which they have hi herto " made, discharged the duty intrusted to " them with great diligence, accuracy, and 'ability; and if parliament shall cury " into execution those plans of reform and " regulation which are suggested by the "matter contained in the Reports of the " said commissioners, it cannot but be at-"tended with the most beneficial conse-" quences to the future weltare and pres-

2. That in furtherance of the intention would they attempt to palliate a business of the House of Commons expressed in of this kind, or would they not rather feel such Resolutions, his majesty, by his warit their duty to join with him, and assist rant, dated June 26, 1782, directed that the salary of the Treasurer of the Navy also to justice? He begged gentlemen to [should be increased to the sum of 4000] keep in view the distressed state of the per annum, in full satisfaction of all wages country in general. The opulent were and tees, and other profits and emoluments obliged to part with their superfluities; theretofore enjeyed by former Treasurers.

3. That it appears to the committee, ing sacrifices. We were told, indeed, hon Isnac Barré, the conditions of the that such were necessary for our salvation; aforesaid warrant were strictly complied but, in a case like the present, it was the with; that the whole of the money issued duty of that house and of the public to be from the exchequer to Mr. Barré for naval satisfied that, our resources, so painfully berviees was lodged in the Bank; that it raised, were not thrown away by improper was never drawn from thence previously The hon, member then to its being advanced to the sub-accountants to be applied to the public service; 1. That it appears to this committee, that during the time Mr. Barré acted as that on the 18th of June, 1782, the House treasurer and exetreasurer he had not in of Commons in a committee of the whole his possession or custody any of the public master of the navy did derive any profit or " nion of this committee, that some reguladvantage from the use or employment

4. That the right hon, Henry Dondas, " the Treasmer of the Nevy, and it would 19th of Aug. 1782, when a further addi-" be beneficial to the public if the instition, was made to the salary of the said meome of 4000l, after the payment of all not be paid out of the Bank unless for taxes and charges on the same; and that naval services, and in pursuance of drafts the said ford viscount. Melville as granted for persons authorized by him, which drafts and other emoluments enjoyed by former treasurers,

5. That the said lord viscount Melville continued in the said office till the 10th of April, 1783: that being asked, whether he derived any advantage from the use of the public money during that period, he, in his examination before the commis-*sioners of Naval Enquiry, declined answering any question on that head; but that he has, in a letter since written to the said commissioners, and dated the 28th of March last, declared, that, previous to 1786, "he did not derive any advantage for from the use or employment of any mo-" sies issued for carrying on the service of "the Navy." But Mr. Douglas, who was paymaster, being dead, and his lordship having refused to answer any question on this head as aforesaid, no evidence has been obtained as to the application of chasing Bank and East India stock, and momes issued for the service of the Navy, or the mode of drawing the same from of private emolument. the Bank during this period.

6. That the hon. C. Townshend, now lord Bayning, held the office of Treasurer of the Navy from the 11th April, 1783, to the 4th of Jan. 1784; and that from the examination of his lordship it appears, that, during his treasurership, no part of the money issued for the service of the navy, was applied to his private use or advantage; and that he does not believe that Mr. Douglas, who acted under him as paymaster, derived any profit or advantage from the use or employment of the public money, except the money issued for the payment of Exchequer Fees.

7. That the right hon, Henry Dondas was re-appointed Treasurer of the Navy of England, for purposes of private inteon the 5th of Jan. 1784, and continued rest or emolument, sums issued to him as in the said office until the 1st of June,

8. That in the year 1785, An Act of parliament was passed, (25 Geo. III. cap. 31), intituled " An Act for better regulating the office of Treasurer of his majesty's Navy " whereby it is directed that no money shall be issued from the treasmy to the treasurers of the navy; but] may al services, and placed to the account that the said lord Melville, in a letter

office, in order to produce a net annual of the Treasurer of the Navy, and shall this additional salary was considered by signed by the treasurer, or some person to him in lieu of all wages, fees, profits, shall specify the heads of service to which such sums are to be applied, and that the regulations under the said act shall take place from the 31st of July, 1785.

9. That the execution of the said act was postponed till the month of January 1786, and from that time till the month of June 1800, when lord Melville left the office of Treasurer, contrary to the proctice established in the Treasurership of the right hon. Isaac Barré, contrary to the resolutions of the House of Commons, of 18th of June, 1782, and in defiance of the provisions of the above mentioned Act of the 25th Geo. III. c. 31, large sums of money were, under pretence of naval services, and by a scandalous evasion of the act, at various times, drawn from the Bank, and invested in exchequer and navy tills, lent upon the security of stock, emplayed in discounting private bills in purused in various ways for the purposes

10. That Alexander Trotter, esq. paymaster of the Navy, was the person by whom, or in whose name, the public monev was thus employed; and that in so doing he acted with the knowledge and consent of lord viscount Melville, to whom he was at the same time private agent, and for whose use or benefit he occasionally laid out from 10 to 20,000l. without considering whether he was previously in advance to his lordship, and whether such' advances were made from his public or

private balances.

14. That the right hon, lord viscount Melville having been privy to and connived at the withdrawing from the Bank treasurer of the navy, and placed to his account in the Bank, according to the provisions of the 25th Geo. III. c. 31, has been guilty of a gross violation of the , law, and a high breacheof duty.

12. It further appears, that subsequent to the appointment of lord Melville as treasurer of the navy, in 1784, and during the time he held that oface, large sums that all momes usued for naval services of money, issued for the service of the shall be paid to the Bank on account of navy, were applied to other services; and

the Commissioners of Naval Enquiry, re- a fit object of accusation in that house. quiring an account of money received by him, or any person on his account, or by his order, from the paymaster of the navy, and also of the time when, and the persons by whom, the same were returned to the Bank or Paymaster, has declared, that he has no materials by which he could make up such an account, and that, if the had naterials, he could not do it without disclosing delicate and confidential transactions of government, which his duty to the public must have restrained him from revealing.

13. That lord Melville, in applying monics issued, for the service of the navy to other services, stated to have been of so delicate and confidential a nature, that in his opinion, no account can or ought to be given of them, has acted in a manner inconsistent with his duty, and incompatible with those securities which the legislature has provided for the proper application of the public money.

After the bon, gent, had read these Resolutions, he added, that he did not mean to press beyond the 11th, leaving the circumstances as to the application of the money to other services, to some future investigation.

On the question being put, on the first resolution;

The Chancellor of the Exchequer rose. He said, he could not but admit, that the the most dangerous and inflammatory, bon, gent, had, during the former part of his speech, adhered strictly to the observands of that moderation and temper which he had promised at the outset. Towards the end, however, of his speech, he had departed altogether from the tone in which he had begun it; and in the address; with which he concluded, to the house, appeared to endeavour, by an appeal to the passions on topics not applicable to the subject in discussion, to excite an undue impression favourable to his proposition. The hon, gent, had adverted to the burthens which the exigencies of affairs had rendered necessary, and appeared to had represented the transaction and stated Insignate broadly, that the transaction, which was the object of his motion, had been the means not only of augmenting those burthens, but a considerable aggravation of them. It was important to have this neatter investigated, as, if the fact were so, that the public burthens had been aggravage so any extent by the misconsuct ar makersation of any person or partions in office, there was no man in the whatever might be the character of such an

written in answer to a precept issued by house would deny that such practices were But that appeal to the teelings of gentlemen, and with reference to nredevant topics, was by no means calculated to promote a just and impartial decision on the ments of the case, according to any principle of equity or fairness. Whatever opinion might be entertained respecting any part of the materials contained in the 1eport, it was evident that it did not contain a single allegation of any mischief having arisen to the jublic, or of any less having been actually sustained—(a loud and tumultuous cry of "hear! hear!" from the This indecent attempt to opposition.) prevent the freedom of speech by clamour was little suited to the dignity and solemnity of their proceedings, and he looked upon it as no good onien that the moderation professed by the hon, member in the discussion had been departed from, if those gentlemen who approved of his arguments should interrupt these who might undertake to reply to them. He was one that would not be interrupted by clamour; and he would repeat it, that such an appeal to the passions was little consistent with the professions of temper and moderation with which the hon, gent, had set out. This would be the more evident, when it was recollected also with what industry similar misrepresentations had been propagated without doors, and on subjects for the purpose of raising a prejudice in the public mind. It had been represented abroad, though not charged to the same extent by the hon, gent. that the pay of the scamen had been delayed in the navy pay office. So far from such a circumstance baving taken place, he had the satisfaction to state, that that gallant and meritorious class of men had not suffered the delay of a single day in the discharge of any of their demands. But he had not only to complain of the horse gent, having deserted his moderation in the latter part of his speech, but also of the manner in which he his charge. The hon, gent, had told the house that the noble-lord, and the others unplicated in his charge, had had an opportunity of being tried, and informed them also of the manner in which this trial was conducted. Questions had been put to them, and they might have answered. They undoubtely had the opportunity of answering to such and such questions; but

interrogation, it was not a trial. What ling the second for future crimination; and against han, had not an opportunity of the several heads of charge, it was imposhearing the evidence to the charge, and of cross-examining that evidence; as also of adducing evidence in his favour? But in a trial a man was not bound to criminate. himself, and in this case that was the only feature, whilst every other character of al trial was absent. The parties had no knowledge of the charge against them, could not confront nor cross-examine the witnesses, nor were allowed to call eva-'dence in their defence, but were examined to crummate themselves by their evidence. He stated this only for the purpose of having an opportunity of adding, that it was impossible for the house to accede to the hon, gent,'s propositions, because the materrals then before them were not sufficient to enable them to come to a fair, impartial, and final decision on the ments of the case. He admitted that the contents of the report were of a grave and serious nature, and that it was important to have it fully investigated; and he was ready also to allow that, with reference to any instance of irregularity, it was the duty of the house to set their mark upon the transin the case of the present Report, he contended that there were not sufficient materms, to justify the house in a vote of consure, or to enable them to determine how far it might be necessary to follow it up with further proceedings. He contended, therefore, from the documents on the table, that further explanation would be neces, ary, before the house could be justified in expressing any opinion on the merits, or to state what lengths they should proceed. If he had not entertained that opinion before he came to the house, the arguments of the hon. gent. founded not on the report, but on a statement of numbers which he had found in the appendix, on calculations taken from intricate and in him. He had also observed, that the hon, gent, had gradually changed the grounds of his charge, which he had narrowed at last to an intention to take the sense of the house on one particular point. The hon, member had divided his charge at first into three heads, which he then re-

trial was there in which the party accused in urging this charge the hon, gent, had was not made acquainted with the charge stated, that though it formed but one of sible for the house to form a judgment upon it without considering the whole case. He had at the conclusion of his speech left out altogether the suspicion which he had so strongly urged in the preceding parts, of the participation of the noble lord in the profits that had accrued from the application of the public money to private speculations. With regard to this suspicion, if the hon, gent, thought that it was borne out by the Report, he ought not to have brought it forward with a view to giving a complexion to other matters of charge, but be made a separate ground of crimination. It was impossible that it should not be revolting to the feelings of every person connected with the noble lord, either by blood or by friendship, to have such a charge brought against him in such a way. For his own part, he was desirous that the house should look at the whole of the case, in all its circumstances and bearings, and then do, without delay, whatever the interest of the public, a just sense of their own duty, and the nature of the case may require. For this purpose, he thought action, after a full and fair consideration the best course to pursue would be to refer of all the circumstances of the case. But the Report to a select committee, inasmuch as there were many points contained in if which required further explanation. The committee might be appointed previous to the recess, so as to proceed in the business without delay, and to be able, a short time after the holidays, to make their report to the house, upon which they might come to a decision on the whole case, according to the dictates of imparual justice, and a scrupulous regard to their duty. The hon gent, had dwelt with much carnestness on the application of certain sums for the accommodation of other branches of the public service; but in his own view of the question, the house was not in a situation to decide upon that transaction. Did the hon, gent, mean to difficult accounts, would have excited it sty, that in judging of this transaction, the house was not to take into its considera ration the motives, the circumstances, and the necessity of the transaction? Was the house, knowing only the bare fact, that the application of the money in such a manner was a violation of the law, to decide upon its merits without taking into duced to two, and at present he proposed consideration whether any loss had arisen to confine himself to one of them, reserve from it? Whether the motives were justi-

circumstances were such as to warrant a what was the magnitude of the transaction? It would not be necessary for him to arlatitude with Englishmen, or with perhe was confident that all such would agree with a view to the stress that had been laid on the application of a particular sum to a different service from that for which it had been voted. There was an allegation in the Report on this head, and the hon, gent, had stated a particular sum as having been advanced in this way, and was afterwards repaid by his right hon, friend (Mr. Long). He had himself been a party to that transaction, and he should be ashamed to address the house on the sub-. ject if he could not explain the matter, as far as related to the share he had had in the business, to their entire satisfaction; so that however illegal the application might have been in the first instance, and he was ready to take that for granted, it would appear to have arisin from considerations of public interest, and to have been transferred from the service for which it had been voted only for a time, and, without either any auconvenience or loss, reconsent to appoint the committee, he should produce the most convincing statements, so far, at least, as he was concerned. The whole sum particularized amounted to 100,000l. out of which two different could fully justify to the house; and as these sums made the much greater part of the whole sum specified, there was every reaofficial situations, and might have had occasion, and could, without his privity, have applied sums occasionally to a different service from that for which they had been voted; with a view to the public mterest and though he was not in post mitted to be the duty of any member to session of the circumstances, he had no from tout any error or inaccuracy that he

fiable, wanton, or necessary; whether the | doubt that the noble lord could satisfactorily account for the transaction. As to departure from the letter of the law, and the other part of the hon, gent,'s charge, that lord Melville had conniged at the Paymaster of the Navy keeping the public gue the propriety of permitting such a money in his hands, and applying it to purposes of private profit, he confessed sons of liberal and enlightened minds, for that this appeared to him a fit object of attention, when they should come to consiwith him, that cases might occur when der the question in the whole of its bearthe circumstances under which such alings. He was prepared to admit that the transaction might take place would make couniving at such conduct in a paymaster it meritorious in the public officer to incur of the navy was not justifiable, but thought, the heavy responsibility. This he stated, severtheless, that much would depend on the circumstances, the extent, and the danger that had been incurred. He maintained that the commissioners had not stated that the issue had been greater than the service required; and he insisted that from their report it was evident that they believed that to be the case. It was also agreed to by them, that the money had not been applied so as not to be ready to satisfy any demand or sudden emergency; and they had not even insinuated that any effect had been produced in the increase of expence, or the aggravation or augmentation of additional burthens; nor had they attempted to charge that any demand of any individual had been a single moment retarded. As to this application of the money to private purposes of profit, it did not appear that lord Melville had been aware of it; the hon, gent, however, tiad dwelt much on this circumstance, founding his observations on the intricate placed afterwards. It was impossible to accounts of the commissioners, by which disclose the circumstances under which it it appeared that he had not considered bad been applied; but if the house would the spatter in detail. The hon, gent, had said much of the risk that had been incurred; and he was not disposed to deny that if the danger had been great, the practice was unjustifiable; but the circumstance that no loss had been sustained, was a sums of 40,0001, each had been drawn with strong ground of presumption that no his privity, under encumstances which he great hazard had been incurred, and again, a more favourable circumstance was that no payment had been delayed, Under all these circumstances, as there son to think that the whole had been ap-I were many points in the matter of the Replied in a manner equally justifiable. The port which required considerable further noble lord had, at that time, other high explanation and elucidation, the house would, he trusted, be persuaded of the expediency of the course he had proposed; and when the variety of the matter of which the Report was composed was taken into consideration, it would be admight discover. The commissioners had The balances in the hands of the paystated, that various sums had come into the bank of Mesers. Courts, which had not been procured by draft on the bank, and they had supposed that these consisted of sums for other services in transitu, applied in this way. One million had been particularly specified. But that million had been brought directly from the bank to the house of Messrs. Courts, by one of the ments went, was solely between the comforms of dialt prescribed by the statute, and the whole of it had been usued thence, in the course of a few days, to take up may bills then due. So that this was one instance of an error, on which they had rested much, and which being capable of being thus satisfactorily explained, proved the necessity of further investigation. The sums that had been vested in Messrs. Coutts had been neither lodged there for the benefit of the treasurer of the navy or of the navy paymaster, but in the course of business; and this was another error of the Report; for the same practice pievailed at present of drawing in gross for the multiplicity of small payments, instead and the result of his examination fixed the of drawing all the small sums in detail. The act of parliament directed no such; drafts for small sums, but for sufficient sums to enable the paymaster, from day to day, to issue the necessary sums to the sub-accountants, so that the balances in hand appeared not to contravene the law, but to be in direct conformity with it, and necessary for the management of the business of the office. The question, thereto the public had been the consequence? The house was aware that no money was issued to the treasurer of the navy, but on operation by which the treasurer of the for the supposition apparently entertained had such a power, neither was there any

master were for two purposes, to advance from day to day to the sub-accountants, and to have the means of satisfying assignments, outstanding to a considerable amount, for which the parties had a right to demand immediate payment. The hon. gent, could have no difficulty in admitting that the transaction, so far as these assignmissioners and the individuals; and it appeared that the sums in the hands of the paymaster and sub-accountants, in any year, had not exceeded the amount of these assignments. The commissioners had stated, that the balances ought not to exist at all, and yet that balances in the hands of the treasurer in one year had amounted to 40,000l, and in another to 33,000l.; this was very material to have investigated. The commissioners had examined a very intelligent gentleman, a clerk in one of the offices, as to the number of days for which a paymaster should have a supply in his hands, number at fourteen or litteen; the commissioners were , of opinion themselves, that ten were sufficient, so that the number of days necessary in advance was between ten and fourteen. In the next place, the commissioners had divided the time during which lord Melville had been in office into two periods in making the average, sinstead of making the average for the whole of the time of his being in fore, was, whether more had been issued loffice. The first period they calculate up than was necessary, and whether an expence to 1796, the next to 1800; so that they had not given the average on the whole, nor distinctly in the separate periods. They calculated the balances on the first period memorial from the different boards, and at 45° average, and the last at 33, but that consequently the treasurer could have they find taken the amount of the gross no power of increasing the issue to him. balances without deducting, the assign-The right hon, gent, here described the ments. When the commissioners had stated ten days as the number that ought to be navy drew money from the bank, and in advance in the paymaster's hunds, proved thence that it was not in his power they calculated it exclusive of the outon any occasion, or under any circum-ports; and if the money at the out-ports stances, to draw for more than the oc-were to be deducted, the balances would casions of the different boards required. be in the first or most favourable period, There was no ground, therefore, in reason an average of seventeen days, and in the latter period an average of eight days; by the commissioners, that the treasurer and on the whole period the average was but fourteen or hiteen, only five more foundation for it in fact. It was important than the commissioners had thought neto see what they had stated as to the cessary, and nearly the same number that fact; and this would afford another reason the clerk had stated in his examination. for instituting the inquiry he proposed. Now if it should turn out that this state-

formed no part of the charge, but was glanced at as matter of suspicion only, had been made by the noble lord in his communication to the commissioners laid him out of the public money.-The hon. gent. had adverted to the sum of 100,0001. the whole period that he was in office, house." and until the whole of lord Mckville's up, it was impossible to decide upon that tact. The bouse should look into those accounts which were loose and difficult, before it could pronounce whether any adv vances from the public money had been made to his lordship. If the investigation should be proceeded with, he was convinced, that many sums stated to have Ocen paid in the game of lord. Melville, would appear to have been applied to official purposes; how far that was the case it was not for him to anticipate then, before the inquiry should be instituted. . The house would determine for itself when the investigation should take place. Before speaker then proceeded to put the previous they could judge whother any sums of pub- question. Upon which,

ment was correct, he could not admit allo money had been so advanced, they doubt that it would be sufficient to deter- should see the credit account of lord Melmime the house to examine more narrowly ville, they should also see the different sums paid in by Mr. Trotter for lord Meltions of the report before they would ville, on account of his salary as treasurer ground upon it either censure or disap- of the Navy, as also on account of his probation. There were four different er- unappropriated salary as keeper of the sigrors in the single statement, and these not in Scotland, and for dividends in the proved unanswerably the necessity of a funds. Would gentlemen under these cirtuller investigation. It was only by adopt- cumstances give way to surmises? Would ing such a course that they would be ena- they think it strange that lord Melville, bled to do what was right for the public, knowing that he had no contract with and at the same time fair for the individu- Mr. Trotter, no participation with, and al. As the supposition of participation on knowing also the unfortunate way in which the part of his lordship in the profits Mr. Trotterkept his accounts, had declined arising from the use of the public money lanswering until he had ascertained the state of these accounts? And if it should appear even that a few thousands had been it was wholly unnecessary for him to ad- by inadvertence so advanced, could any vert to it, particularly as that was to be gent, suppose that that would have been made the ground of further investigation, any object to a noble lord in a high and He had hoped, after the declaration that distinguished office of trust and honour? He would not think it possible for a liberal and enlightened mind, for even common before the house, which he had stated sense, to entertain such an opinion. If himself ready to verify with the sanction so, then he contended, that the materials of a solemn oath, that he should have before the house were insufficient to form heard no more of suspicion. The hon. a final judgment, and that a further me gent, had observed, that the ground upon vestigation was absolutely here any, and which the noble lord had declined answer- that such investigation could not be coning, had been well understood; but the ducted in the house, but in a select comnoble lord by his letter had declared, that mittee, which could be managed without his refusal to answer in a arisen solely in much delay. With these sentiments, he consequence of the way in which Mr. Trot- felt it unnecessary and improper to any ter had kept his accounts, and because he more on the subject. He should therecould not possibly know whether Mr. fore move, as an amendment, "that the Trotter might not have made advances to Tenth Report of the commissioners of Naval Enquiry be referred to a select committee of this house, to examine the matpaid to lord Melville's account, during ter thereof, and report the same to the

Mr. Fox expressed a wish that the right account with Mr. Trotter should be made hon, gent, had moved the previous question, rather than the amendment he now proposed. If this amendment were carried, the original motion would not appear on the Journals of the house, whereas if the previous question had been carried it would still appear.

> The Chancellor of the Exchequer had no wish to prevent the motion from appearing on the journals, and should therefore consent, instead of the amendment he had proposed, to move the previous question, it being however understood, that should that be carried, he should then move for the committee he had mentioned.—The

though he trusted that others, thore able to tollow the right hon, gent, than himself, would reply to the speech they had just now heard, he was desirous of taking this early opportunity of stating the reasons for which he should vote for the original motion, in preference to the mode recommended by the right hon, gent, but he must, in the first place, observe, that if he objected to the fore it was wanted. He would not pretend proposition of the right hon gent, for a select committee, it was not because he thought such an inquiry upnecessary, but because he thought it would be better timed after the resolutions of his hon, friend had been carried after the opinion of the house was recorded on the matter that was clearly proved, then they might proceed to an investigation of that which still remained obscure. He had never heard the right hon gent, with mer surprise, than when he had accused is hon fuer lot travelling out of the road of it is, to minime the passions of the house, although the resolutions were composed entuely of facts, and the speech principally of deductions from facts. Another accusation against his hon-friend was one which might be thought not very consistent with inflaming passion, that he had loaded his speech with a complication of figures. But if his hon, frond had travelled out of the road of kets, had not the right hoa, gent, in his view of the case, omitted facts, and those the most essential, on which the whole strength of the question rested? Had be not forgot that lord Melville had, by his own confession, proved that money had, with his knowledge, been drawn from the bank and paced at a private banker's? Had he not forgot, that lord Melville himself admitted, that he applied to other services, mo a v issued for the service of the navy? Had he not forgot, that lord Melville had found himself unable to deny, that he had connived at his paymasters reaping private and illegal profit from the use of public tunds? Was this complication of figures? Were they not, on the contrary, plain and important facts, which no subsequent inquiry could do away, no other evidence or examination of evidence could shake or invalidate; unless, indeed, that could be believed of lord Melville, which, even after all that had passed, would hardly be imputed to lum, that he would wantonly for swear himself, and declare that to be false before one tribunal which he had declared to be true before another.—The right hon, gent. had endeavoured to extenuate the guilt of of it, and now so honorably filled the chair VOL. IV.

Lord Henry Petty rose and said, that al- | the noble viscount, by stating, that the publie had suffered no loss. He could neither admit the argument nor the inference to be tounded. It no loss had been suffered, a great risque of loss had been incurred. But the right hon gent. contended, that no loss from anticipation could have taken place, because the forms of office rendered it impossible that money should be drawn for beto follow the right hon, gent, through the forms of office, with which he was so much better acquainted, but he would put this dilemma to him: if money could not be obtained till it was due for navy services, how was the money obtained with which other departments had been accommodated by the treasurer of the navy, which the right hon. gent admitted in another part of his speech, and was willing to proclaim, that a part of them had passed through his own hands? Why, then, it was plain, that either by some means or other, money could be drawn by the paymaster of the pavy, before it was wanted for may services, or the navy service must itself have been lett in distress for want of its necessary supply, which would only Thus means be an aggravation of guilt were discovered to procure money before it was called for; and this path once entered upon, let the house consider to what length it might be carried. But it was contended, that the speculations of which the money was employed, had at least been successful. For this it was not impossible to account. Let it be remembered, how the persons were situated who were thus connected together. Mr. Mark Sprott, the broker, confidentially employed by Mr. Trotter, the paymaster; Mr. Trocker, the paymaster, confidentially employed by lord Melville; and lord Melville confidentially employed for the public. He had heard of jacobin combinations, and other combinations; but it would be difficult to imagine a combination more detrimental to the public, than that of these three persons, which touched the cabinet on one side and the stocks on the other. What changes of fortune, what convulsions in finance, was it not capable of effecting 1 But *that which he thought would alone afford ground for the house to proceed immediate-. ly, was the systematic deception practised hy lord Mclville, by which he eluded any inquiry that was instituted into his office, and most especially his declarations to the committee of finance. To that committee the right hon, person who was at the head

stated, that by the institution of the accountant's branch, all the old arrears would be brought up, and a prompt mode of settling established for the future. But did he tell them, that as soon as the accountant had settled the balances of the other ex-treasurers, when he came to those of the right hon. Henry Dundas, he was to be withdrawn, tell them, that a period of 7 years would be allowed to elapse, between the final settlement of the accounts immediately preceding, and any attempt to investigate those of his own treasurership? But he had gone still further. He had positively affirmed to the committee of finance, that by the regulations he had introduced, the intention of the beneficial acts that had been passed was carried into full effect; although at the time he made this affirmation he must have known, that the principal, and avowed object and purpose of the alts in question, that of transferring the custody of the public money from the treasurer of the navy to the bank, was at that moment directly and grossly violated, and that not accidentally for a particular occasion, but had been so, systematically and constantly, for a period of 10 years. Did not such wanton misrepresentation call for an immediate expression of the indignation of the house? He was at a loss to commented where the difference could be seen between this case, and that of any private gentleman with his agent or steward. Let it be supposed that Mr. Mark Sprott, in answer to any inquiries that might have been made by Mr. Trotter, had told him, that he was not quite certain whether he did not sometimes vest money of Mr. Trotter's in his own name, that he might occasionally have placed some of the interest, when he received it, to his own account: could any one imagine, that after such an answer Mr. Sprott would long have remained broker to lord Melville or Mr. Trotter? But, perhaps, Mr. Sprott might have said, that he did it unintentionally; and that it was the necessary result of the manner in which he kept his accounts. Some one might exclaim: what broker on the exchange would keep his accounts in such a manner! To this he would answer: what treasurer of the navy of Great-Britain would keep his accounts in such a manner; and had not the people of England, who paid the public servants largely and liberally, and in no instance, perhaps, more largely and

of this house, might recollect, that he had fore the house, had not they a right to be served with as much accuracy, and as much fidelity, as any gentleman who employs a broker on the stock-exchange? It was impossible for the house to recognize innocence when guilt was professed. When he considered the examination of lord Melville, the letter of lord Melville to the commissioners, and most of all, the extraordinary and employed in other business? Did he letter which had been addressed to them subsequent to the report, he was reminded of Cicero's address to Piso, when after enumerating the various proofs of his misconduct, he breaks off, and exclaims, " Quis te miscrioi? Quis te damnatior Qui neque scribere ad senatum de te rempublicam bene esse gestam, neque præsens dicere ausus es."-If when lord Melville first introduced his bill in 1785, such member of this house had arisen, and addressing his lordship with something of a prophetic spirit, had said, "I oppose your bill, and the resolution of the house on which it is founded, but your labour is vain, your scheme is usele s, for 2 years will not have past, before there will be found a treasurer of the navy, who will wantonly and successfully violate the bill, and that treasurer will be yourself." with what apparently just indignation would the noble ford have repelled so foul an assertion upon his character? Yet such was the case. But what should we say, it such a person had carried his conjectures further, though it was to be hoped with a spirit less proplietic, and said, "still more useless is your plan, still more vain is your labour; because, when this violation of law, after having been systematically carried on for 14 years, during which the public expenditum will have doubled or tripled in its amount, shall at last, by a happy coincidence of cucumstances come to be discovered, there will be found another house of commons, so different from that in which we sit, so indifferent to the care of the public purse, and so lost to every feeling of public duty, that they will turn away from the discovery, and readily embrace any pretence that is offered to them, to avoid pronouncing an opinion on an act so gross, so flagrant, so unjustifiable." This last conjecture, he trusted, would not receive the same confirmation with the former. It would be fortunate, indeed, for the country, had this commission never been appointed, and these abuses never been discovered, if the result was to be, that these reports were to lay a dead letter on the table, to prove and to liberally than in that of the office now be- proclaim, that although there might exist in

this country a minister virtuous enough to tion. The house must, therefore, feel it ahinstitute inquiry, although there might be found persons honest and assiduous in conducting it, there was after and behind all this, a power able and willing to interpose between justice and its object, to step in between the law and the offender against the Law, and thereby to establish at once the most fatal and the most shameful of all precedents, that of guilt known and acknowledged but not consured, of a breach of the law ascertained but not punished. He trusted, that the event of this night would teach a very different lesson to the world; that it would show, that whatever difference might exist amongst us, if indeed there did exist any, on the principles of government, or on the application of those principles to public measures, yet when such questions as these came to be determined, whether the law should or should not be observed, whether the public expenditure should be watched, or should pass unexamined and uncontrolled, there was to be found but one voice, one opinion, and one cause: the cause of men of all descriptions, who pretend to any sort of principle, in opposition to those who either do not profess any, or what is as dangerous, if not as bad, who think none essential to the honour, the safety, and the existence of the country.

The Attorney General said, that nothing had fallen from his right hon, friend to prevent the judgment of the house from being taken on the matter in question. The hon. mover had thought proper to call upon persons of different classes for their opinion and support that night. He had called on all those who were in office, and on those who a-pired to it. The noble lord might be classed in the latter description, and he would appeal to him whether he did not feel that parliament ought not to judge upon the conduct of a public officer, without having the fullest information on the subject of the charge made against him?" How would he feel should such ever be his own situation? It was called a plain violation of law, and a simple matter of fact: but was it not extremely material to ascertain the real citcurstances attending that transaction in a committee of the house? Respecting the diversion of public money to other branches of the public service, it was material', that it should not be considered in piece-meal, but taken on the whole, and if ever there was a case which demanded such investigation it was this, in which gentlemen took so much pains to heap together every possible accusa-

solutely necessary, before they proceeded farther, in order to see the true character and colour of the transactions, to enter into a farther examination.

Mr. Tierney complimented his noble friend. It was a matter of pride to any man to be allowed to call himself the friend of such rising talents and eloquence. He agreed with him that nothing was more fit than to appoint a committee after the first point should & agreed upon, a committee in which all that related to accounts and calculations might be sifted and made clear. The transfer of money from one service to another was also matter for a committee, but what was to be done with the remainder of the tenth report? Did lord Melville ask for further delay, saying he had evidence sufficient for his acquittal? Did Mr. Trott, r say any thing to that effect? But the people of England looked to this night for the opinion of their representatives on this important case. He would ask the right hon, gent. how long it was since the expedient of a reference to a committee occurred to him? The right hon, gent, was an yous for the production of lord, Melville's letter, and still more, that the public mind should be set at rest on this point before the Easter recess. Why send to a committee that which no committee of that house could throw any fresh light upon? Codic any man in that house lay his hand to his heart, and say he could controvert those propositions? Would any evidence disprove that money had been drawn from the bank contrary to law? Would Mr. Sprott say now what he would not say before? Would be be open-mouthed when not on his oath, and close when sworn? No farther explanation could be given. . He then reminded the house of the report of the committee on the late sheriffs of Middleson, when the committee made their report, which was received as full evidence, and counsel were allowed to speak merely as a supplementary explanation. Would any man in being, he asked, say that lord Melville, Mr. Trotter, or Mr. Mark Sprott, by an after examination of the facts, could add any thing for the satisfaction of the house? His right hon, and learned. friend had gone over the whole of the case, and pressed the necessity of a select committee; but the commissioners of naval iuquiry had been occupied for 6 months in no other business than that of investigating the matters of the tenth report. What utility could it then be of, to go into a new com-

be urged for it. The right how gent appeared anxious to know the extent of the deficiencies, not for the purposes of criminating, but for the purposes of refunding, as appeared from his enumeration of the several sums paid and received. The money was not kept in the bank. no, says the right hon gent., it was lodged at Messrs. Coutts, to save trouble: a clerk of the navy office takes a cheque forsa large amounts receives the value at the bank of England, passes Somerset-house, and carries it down to Messis Coutts. This was the convenience. He did not want any further illustration of the fallacy of the pretence.—One point more he begged leave to advert to. While he had the honour of holding the office of treasurer of the navy, no inconvenience whatever arose from a strict adherence to the act of parliament, which was one of the most salutary and useful ever framed, nor could he see how it was possible Mr. Trotter could see any thing in the act which could leave the least doubt upon his mind of the true spirit and meaning of it.—With respect to the participation of the emoluments by lord Melville, with Mr. Trotter, there did not remain a doubt upon his mind on that part of the case. Was it possible for lord Melville to go on as he did, for 12 years, merely for the advantage of Mr. Trotter? he could not be blind to the rising prosperity of Mr. Trotter; he knew he originally had nothing; and this alone should have been sufficient to rouse his jealousy. The accommodation of 10,000l of 20,000l., and various other considerable sums, left not a shadow of doubt upon the subject; and it fully appeared his lordship had gone on systematically for 12 years together. He hoped if could not be said he was actuated by any peevish motive to lord Melville in giving his vote in support of the resolutions that night. Nothing would satisfy the country but meeting the question tairly, broadly, distinctly, and without delay. The public understood the question as well as those who made speeches for his lordship; but what defence could the house make to the public, if they delayed or passed by the consideration of such transactions? As to impeding sailors' wages by these speculations, he wished to state it publiely, from having been in the office himself. that such a report, which he hoped had not been made, was groundless, as the thing was impossible. But he must add, that in such a war as this, the loss of public confi-

mittee? he wished to see what reason could | high authority, would be the worst blow that could be inflicted on this country. He would be the last man to proceed harshly against lord Melville or Mr. Trotter, bdi they did not ask, to be heard by counsel, or pray for any delay. Their friends allowed they could not ask for an acquital upon the tenth report: and, therefore, why go out of the way and delay the business for 3 or 6 months? The house of commons would only incur odium by such a step. Shew him the law was not violated, or he would not consent to a moment's delay. This was an bdd way of treating the parliamentary commissioners. The right hon gent had said there was no regular trial, and had described all the forms of a trial. In this case the witnesses were the accounts of the persons accused, and the parties were called upon, and had every opportunity given them. " Can you make out the answer in June?" " No."—" Can you do it in July? " No." " Have you any thing to say or to shew in your favour?" " Nothing." The trial was as fair as the nature of the transaction admitted. What more trial could they have before the committee of the house? Was this sort of language used respecting the report of the commissioners of accounts? As to the errors the right hon, gent had endeavoured to show in the report, they furnished no argument of weight against the commissioners, since the very documents on which the right hon, gent, reasoned were furnished by the commissioners themselves It was his pride that he had been a member of the last administration, to which that commission of inquiry was owing; and he called on all those gentlemen who supported that administration, particularly in that measure, to come forward now and support the commissioners in the hour of their need — When the right hon gent had concluded, the Master of the Rolls and Mr. Cannung rose at the same time, but Mr. Canning first caught

the eye of the speaker. Mr. Canning said, that on any oth r occasion he should undoubtedly have given way to bis hon, and learned friend, but after the speech he had just heard from the high authority of the hon gent, whom he had succeeded in the office he now held, and considering that the present question related peculiarly to that department of adminitration which he was most intigrately connected with, he felt particularly anxious to deliver his sentiments on this occasion. He observed that the house, in its usual love of dence, and of the respect the people pay to ljustice, would give an opportunity to

inquire, whether the whole of the charge party had not been heard, and all that was now exhibited against the noble lord might not be done away, or was capable of being done awa?? and if they thought so they could not possibly vote for the motion proposed by the hon, gent. But what was the alternative? That the house should come to a final determination on the subject, by which all possibility of explanation would [be excluded. Now, upon that subject he could not help saying, that the mode proposed by his right hon, friend was the true way to answer the purposes of justice, by instituting an inquiry, with all the circum-*stances of the case on both sides, which hitherto had not been done. But the right hon, gent, who spoke last had stated to the house, that the mode proposed by the original motion was recommended by a late precedent in the proceedings of the house on the subject of the Middlesex election; in that case the house had decided that it would not proceed in the examination of evidence at the bar, but took up the case on the report of a committee, and adopted its statement upon the ground that the evidence which was adduced before the committee was upon outh, and that which was to be heard at the bir must be without oath—that the case was the same here, for that the evidence before the committee of naval inquiry was upon oath, but if the house heard any further evidence upon that subject, they must take it without that sanction. Now, begging pardon of that right hon, gent., the two cases had no common nature, or indeed any resemblance to each other. The decision on the late case of the Middlesex-cleetion, in which the house had adopted the report of the committee, and concluded upon it without hearing further evidence, did not turn on the point of difference between evidence upon oath and that which was taken without that sanction; but upon the principle, that the parties charged with misconduct had been fully heard, had been allowed all the forms, and what was much better than all forms, the substance of a fair trial; they were called upon to answer; they knew the charge, had heard all the evidence in that evidence, and were heard by counsel as to the effect of that evidence, and it appeared, upon full investigation of the case, that the party had nothing to say in answer to the charge; they were found guilty, because their guilt, was fully substantiated, after they had been fully heard. But the pre-

now asked was a full hearing. And here he would put it to the house, and, indeed, to the gentlemen opposite to him, whether it was fair to call on the house to convict the party without a hearing, which had not yet been had? and what would bring the point more distinctly before the minds of those whom he had now the honour of addressing was this, that no part of the case now before the house on this report was matter originally intended by the course of examination. taken by the committee, but it came out incidentally, and it was no part of the object of the committee to try the noble lord who was the object of the present motion for any thing, and therefore it was, from the nature of things, absolutely impossible that he should have had a fair trial, since he had indeed hitherto had no trial. But the right hon, gent, who spoke list had observed, that in former times a committee, on the model of which this was formed. had made many wise regulations, and that the act which was now the subject of the consideration of the house of commons passed without further investigation than that of a perusal of the report of the committee on which it was founded. might be, but he believed the right hon. gent, could not show him any regulation of parliament by which any individual had been condemned, without having had an opportunity of defending himself. And here the caseswas most singularly hard, for it was the case of an individual knowing for the first time from the report, now said to be conclusive, what was the nature of the charge which was exhibited against him. The was ' speaking now of lord Melville, for he understood that noble-lord was the only person against whom gentlemen on the other side of the house were pressing. From these complicated accounts, thus brought without notice to his lordship, before the committee, the guilt of that hoble lord was to be inferred; and he was said to have had a fair trial! and the house was called upon to confirm that assertion, without its having at all investigated the case! This applicasupport of it, had actually cross-examined, tion to the house was repugnant to the principles of justice.—But then the right-hon. gent, stated, that an act of parliament had in this case been violated by the application of public money to other uses than those specified by the act. Now he ventured to say, the violation of the act of parliament was a point by no means so clear as some gentlesent was the reverse of that case, for here the men affected to state it; but on the contrary

ably entertained upon that subject. Here he wished to guard against being misunderstood. When he said that the act was not so clear upon this point as it seemed to be conceived by some gentlemen, he was not thereby to be regarded as the champion of illegal defiance to the rules of law, or an imitator of such a practice. He knew that laws even if unwise, must be obeyed, while they were in full force. But the question was, whether the party here said to be guilty of a breach of the law, knew that he was really causing to be applied the public money to the private use of individuals? Now, considering the law as attentively as he was able, he denied that either the letter, or the spirit of it, prohibited the drawing of money out of the Bank in the manner contended for by gentlemen on the other side of the house. He was confident that the spirit of the act could not be so, because it tould never have been the intention of any law to throw insurmountable obstacles in the way of public business; and he contended that the strict letter of the act could not be so construed; for, in many cases, a compliance with an act, so construed, would be physically impossible. In the course of 26 days the amount of the sums to be paid to claimants on the navy was 6,400l.; 3,500l. of which was made up of sums under 201. A great innititude of the items were from So. Ed. To 11. 8s. He wished gentlemen to turn this in their minds -whether all these sums could be paid by drafts immediately given to the claimants to receive the money at the Bank? And if this were so, he wished gentlemen, who maintained the assirmative of that proposition, to shew him the clause in the act by which it was supported; and when they had done so, they would have proved that every person who held the office of paymaster of the navy since the passing of the act had been guilty of an infraction of it. After all, if the law was so, the breach of it was of course not to be justified; but then came the question of the degree of impropriety of the conduct of the individual, and much of that depended upon the question of—whether he did it knowingly and unnecessarily? To pursue the idea of convenience in transacting public business of this kind a little further, he would observe, there were new 0,800 ships books for payment, and in the course of the last three weeks payment had been made upon 40 ships mooks, and they abounded with items

he believed that doubts neight he very reason- ask, whether it was to be contended by any gent, in that house, with any regard to pracficability in the dispatch of business, that every one of these items should be paid by a distinct specific draft upon the Bank given to the claimant? If not, then there was an end of the argument upon the dry point of the illegality of drawing money out of the Bank for any but a specified purpose for the use of the navy! Thus the argument upon the illegality of the practice fell to the ground. Then the question of strictness of law being at an end, the rest was a question of degree, or extent to which the practice had been carried, and that, like every other, must be governed by that which was reasonable; for he did not say that it might with impunity be carried to a blameable extent, or be endlessly followed up. It would be always just to mark it with censure whenever it was done unnecessarily. But he said it was not a question of mere law, as gentlemen on the other side of the house took it, but a question of degree. That was to be determined upon the circumstances, and the house should judge, or rather a committee should judge, how far it was necessary or unnecessary, and which the house possessed the power of deciding after a proper inquiry had been had into all the circumstances of the case, but no such proceeding had hitherto taken place. The diversion of the public money, which was another topic brought forward, was very fit for inquiry. As to the charge, or rather an imputation, founded upon an inference, against the noble lord, that he had some participation of the benefit arising from the use of the public money while employed for the advantage of private individuals, that was a subject fit for inquiry, but to decide which, the house, at present, had no materials; and upon the question of the extent to which the public money had been drawn out from the Bank; and here he must advert to a part of the report of the committee of 1782, which had escaped the notice of the hon, gent, who brought forward the motion, which was to the following effect: " we consider that this excess is not money for which the treasurer is accountable to the public, but belonging to the proprietors of these bills, and remaining in his hands at their risk, until they apply to him for payment." Now, he said, if this doctrine be correct, the whole money in the hands of the treasurer was notthat for which he was responsible to the public, but to the individual to which these of s., 125. and 15s. gd. Now he would sums belonged, and if they had received

their money upon application, there had been no breach of the act of parliament, and the house would find, upon inquiry, that what he had stated hypothetically was the fact.—He maintained that the deficiency stated in page 128 of the Report was incorrectly stated as to the amount of it. He did not say that the mode stated on the other side was the proper mode of keeping the money of the public; but what he contended was, that the case was, not that case of aggravation which was contended for on the other side. It was, however, a matter which he did not ask gentlemen to take on his statement, but leave it for inquiry; for that he believed it would turn out that the violation of the act of parliament in this respect, so much insisted upon, was in a great measure imaginary.—He now came to the great point, which was, the imputation to the noble lord that he had participated in the use of the public money. This was not made as a distinct charge, only it was thrown out, or insinuated as a matter of inference. Now, he would put it to the conscience of the hon. gent., who brought forward this motion, and to use language already uttered in the course of this debate, to lay his hand upon his heart, and ask himself, whether he could believe that out of the monies which had been drawn out of the Bank, the noble lord had really any participation in the profits of using for private purposes? And whether he believed that a vote, carried to the extent of that now proposed, in deference, as that hon, gent, might think, to the public opinion, would not be to confirm such opinion to its full extent? He would ask whether that was fair? Whether the carrying the motion now before the house, would not be to impress upon the minds of the public an idea that lord Melville had been found guilty by the house of commons of foul and corrupt malversation? (A cry of hear! hear! from the opposite side.) If gentlemen really thought so, they were right in pressing the motion; but he April. Of this due intimation was given in was at a loss to find out upon what foundation such a conclusion could be drawn from the premises before the house; for the treasurer of the navy had that day received case could not, even on the face of the report, before the other side was heard, amount to any thing more than a case of suspicion. He would then ask the hon. gent, what he would think of the hardship of that case, if hereafter it should appear as he believed it would, that the suspicion was without foundation?—He then pro-

ceeded to observe on that part of the report of the commissioners, in which they disapprove of the manner in which the accountants' branch, consisting of a principal and eight clerks having been established by order of council in Aug. 1786, for the express purpose of bringing up the old, and keeping up the new accounts; and they were surprised this had not been done, has that the clerks had been employed in the furrent business of the pay-office; and on which the committee said, this was a false economy. He admitted that no economy was more pitiful than that of starving the public service by a paucity of clerks in public offices; but there was an erroneous conception on this subject. It was generally considered, that the power of the treasurer of the navy was much greater than it was, he was considered as a kind of despot in the office, when in truth he could neither add to the number of the clerks nor to their salary. This economy, pitiful as it might be was not the economy of lord Melville, for he had applied to the admiralty for additional clerks for the accountant's branch, and they were not allowed. Since lord Melville became first lord of the admiralty, he had applied, and these clerks were allowed long before the commissioners suggested the necessity of them. There was another detached point, upon which great stress was laid, and which seemed to be considered a material feature in the case. He meant the circumstance of Mr. Trotter having drawn 1 million "in one day from the bank, and lodged it in the house of Messrs. Coutts. His right hon. friend (Mr. Pitt) had said, that much doubt lay upon this transaction, and that it might admit of explanation. Now he should go. farther. He would undertake to explain it satisfactorily. The fact then was, that this million was not the whole sum drawn that day. He begged the house not to be startled when he stated how the case was. The fact was, it was part of a sum of, 21 millions drawn upon the same day, viz. the 10th of the Gazette by the commissioners of the navy and victualling office, stating, that the money for the services of these departments for 6 months, and giving the creditors an option of money or bills, as they should think proper. The next day, the 11th, these 21 millions were offered to the persons having any demands against these several tlepartments, and therefore there was nothing secret or clandestine in the transaction. The

the rome of the satisfiets

It had been insinuated out of doors, in consequence of something contained in the report, that he, as treasurer of the navy, had thrown obstructions in the way of inquiry. The right hon, gent, here entered into a justification of his own conduct. He said, he had been called upon by the commissioners to furnish four several lists, but, on consideration, he found he could not furnish more than three, and even bese not in the precise form required. These three, however, he did furnish in the most complete form in his power, from the documents which his office afforded; and for any further information upon the subject he referred the commissioners to the books of his department. The report, however, in noticing this transaction, and his first answer, gogs on to say, "his accounts were afterwards made out," implying, as he conceived, that they were at first refused. Having said thus much, however, he hoped he should not be suspected of having thrown any impediment in the way of the commissioners, to whose object, fairly and liberally pursued, ho man was a greater friend than he. He added, that he wished to stand well in the opinion of the house and of the public. He concluded by observing, that if he thought this motion well founded, that a case was made out against the noble lord, he should not lift up his voice against such a motion; but he thought he did not ask too much, when he asked the house not to suffer itself by prejudice within, or by intimidation from clamour without, to take upon itself to decide without full and competent information upon the question now before them.

Mr. Charge Porsonby rose, and spoke to the tollowing effect:—Sir, I am satisfied that the right hon, gent, who spoke last, thinks, as he speaks, that there is matter in this business, highly deserving of the most serious consideration of this house. I am sure he think? it a question highly deserving of a committee, for the purpose of proving the innocence and purity of Mr. Trotter; for, from all I have heard of his character, I am certain if he did not think Mr. Trotter pure and innocent, he would not continue him in office. I think it right, sir, to quote the right hon, gent,'s conduct, in proof of his sincerity; for, I am sure he would not yote for farther delay, if, not from a consciousnes, that it was necessary to the exculpation of Mr. Trotter. He surely would not continue him in office, or act in any way, merch for the purpose of screening an it of the accuser? no. It is the evidence of the

next detached charge particularly concerned | accused person from justice. But, while I give the right hon, gent, credit for all this candour and regard for truth, there is one circumstance which strikes me as somewhat extraordinary. The right hon, gent., through the whole of his speech, uses the phrase, 'this charge,' as if it were lunited to one. Now, this is an error; for there are several distinct and separate charges comprised in the offence. For example, one of the heads of accusation is, that lord-Melville, the very man who proposed the law in question, and afterwards treasurer of the navy, did violate the provisions of that law, by conniving at the conduct of his paymaster taking the public money from the bank, and applying it to his own private profit and emolument. Is not this a single, distinct, and substantive charge? Has this any thing to do, in the remotest degree, with accommodation afforded to another office? or with the charge of a participation of gain with lord Melville? Is not this, then, a distinct and separate accusation? and, if so, how does it become necessary to its ascertainment, to inquire what office received the loan; or whether Mr. Trotter divided the profits with lord Melville? The right hon, gent, pursuing this error, says, as a reason for faither time and inquiry, it is difficult to decide upon complex and intricate accounts, meaning thereby the accounts of Mr Trotter. Su, these accounts have nothing to do with the charge which I have stated. I ask the house, will they, after 10 years of consideration and examination, enable you to say, that lord Melville did not connive at Mr. Profter, his paymaster, taking money out of the bank, and applying it to the purposes of private emolument? You know they will not; and, therefore, as to this charge, no delay is necessary. But it has been said, that the accounts are not correct; as it will appear that the sums transferred to the bank of Messrs. Coutts were only 7 or 8 millions, and not 15, as therein stated. But how will this, admitting the fact to be so, acquit that noble personage of corrupt connivance at the breach of the law? Is he innocent, because he only connived at the misapplication of 7 millions of the public money? The next objection urged is, that the charge against the noble lord and Mr. Trotter is supported only by exparte evidence. Why, this is indeed a curious objection. The gentlemen chuse favourite terms for themselves, and then they quarrel with their own phrase. It is indeed exparte evidence, but of what party? Is

guished services? These worthy parties, it now seems, were most cruelly deceived. They attended the commissioners, never once dreaming that abuses could have crept into their office, which the commissioners wished to investigate, but fancying it was to be only an examination, to ascertain the degree of their ments and virtues, and confidently anticipating a brilliant reward. Now, to come to this exparte evidence, as it is called: lord Melville being asked, did you permit Mr. Trotter to draw the money from the Bank of Fingland, and place it in his private banker's hands? his lordship answers, "I never gave him a direct authority, but I knew he did it" Now, surely, it would have been more manly in his lordship to have said at once " I did," than to admit that he knew what was going on, and did not prevent it. It was skulking from his duty. It was as much as saying to Mr. Trotter, make money of your office as you can, and I will protect you. And who is this Mr Trotter, with whom lord Melville cannot be presumed to have any fellow-feeling? Why, the general agent of lord Mclville. Why, knowing him to be acting in this illegal way, did he contimue him in the practice? Can any man believe it was exclusively for Mr. Trotter's sole benefic? But even though it were, lord Melville would not be the less responsible; as principal, he was as corrupt as if the act of his agent had been done personally by himself.—The next argument advanced is that no loss has been sustained by the practice. This I can easily conceive, was a good calculator; and if he took only without giving the parties much credit for 5 millions, instead of 15, it was because he their innocence. 'Mr. Alexander Trotter v an expert calculator. He might know very well how far be might use the public money, before the demands for it should come found upon him. It was only the common science of every banker, who knows when his bills are payable, and to what amount, and regulates his issues in the mean time, according-

YUL. IV.

party accused stating every thing he thinks ly. This argument, of no loss to the public proper in his own defence and justification. from this practice, is an extraordinary excuse But it has been said, that these parties from a right hon, gent, so well versed in the have been hardly dealt with; they have financial system of the country, and the laws been taken by surprise, and have had no that particularly relate to it. Does he renotice of what was going forward. What! collect the act which prescribes the form of I thought that commission issued for the the bills, and the mode of drawing them? purpose of inquiring into abuses, with a view That act says, "any man counterfeiting one to correction and amendment. Have I been of these bills, shall be guilty of a fenustaken, and was it then for the purpose of lony, without benefit of clergy." Now, inquiring into the transcendant nierits and suppose an expert forger, and many such virtues of the treasurer and paymaster of the there are, had forged a bill of 500,000l, but mayy, and to ascertain what farther honours had the money to replace it when it became and rewards they deserved for their distin-; due; and supposing this transaction to be afterwards discovered, would or could the right hon, gent, advise his Majesty to pardon that man, because the money was made good? To excuse, therefore, a public officer, guilty of mal-practices, upon the ground of no loss, is monstroug language. It is language that puts an end to the definite provisions of the law, and the constitution of the house of commons. What! no loss? Is then every public officer to speculate with the public money for private profit, and if no loss ensues, is there no criminality? What is the use of your laws, it they may be thus dispensed with at pleasure? What becomes of the house and its duties? Is the house to vote a sum of money for one particular service, and may any officer apply it to another as he pleases, and if no loss follows, is he to remain guiltless? The right hon, the chancellor of the exchequer, says he knows of one sum of 40,000l, that was thus applied to a purpose different from that for which it was voted, and he adds, judiciously applied. I ask, however, if any regard were paid to the votes of the house, why was not that payment afterwards disclosed, and a hill of indemnity demanded for those that made it? It is monstrous that any minister should think himself justified in taking such a liberty with the public money, and not call for a bill of indemnity. It is said that the accounts are not correct; that the sums lodged with Messrs, Coutts are not so great as they are stated. This does not signify. It appears that Mr. Trotter took all he could. He could not make free with more. It is a proof of his skill, not of his innocence, and, perhaps, it is for that skill he has been reinstated in office. Great pains, we are told, have been taken to inflame the public mind. It is said that hand-bills and publications have been circulated, for the purpose of exciting odium against the parties. If so, it is base.

The hon, gent, opposite (the attorney general) will feel it his duty, I am sure, to ascertain the fact, and punish these libellers; but why are we to stop the proceedings of the house, because offensive and libellous publications have been circulated? The proceedings of the commissioners in 1782 have been referred to, but they have nothing, to do with the present business. The question is, whether the act of 1785 has been violated? The conduct of the commissioners in 1782, he would venture to say, did not sanction the conduct of Mr. Trotter. Their recommendation was, that none of these public officers should have public money in their hands, or be exposed to the temptation of private gain, that might end in public! I cannot conceive why we should; postpone the resolutions. Can you obtain evidence from a committee, that the law has not been violated? This is the first instance of an application to parliament in a grave business for delay, without stating any grounds. If you have any ground, why not state it? I think the gentlemen are not aware of the consequences of delay, if any is to take place. In such event, I think bills ought to be brought in to restrain lord Melville and Mr. Trotter from leaving the country. The proceedings of a committee will occupy a great length of time. What is to become of lord Melville and Mr. Trotter in the mean while? If it shall-appear that the sums have been great, it will not be fit that they should be allowed to walk the streets at large, and be at liberty to convey in trust to their friends those funds from which restitution ought to be made to the country. The right hon, gent. (Mr. Pitt) will therefore find it due to his character to follow the case of Sir Thomas Rumbold, and take care that any funds they now possess shall be forth-coming to satisfy the public demands. And now, sir, let me tell you, there never was a moment in the history of the country where the character of the government was so esmeasure, of which I own I did not approve, this house has received an addition of 100 members from Ireland. I own, sir, I am anxious that they should give this night a proof of their spirit and purity. Public rumour stated that at the time of the union many were induced by large sums to part with their legislature too easily, and their integrity was not free from doubt and susthrowing from them that imputation. I should be deeply afflicted if that measure he considered his case adhuc sub judice.

were only to give the minister an increase of influence, and not of purity and virtue. I should be ashamed to walk the streets of London, if it were the general officion that the members from Ireland were not as clear of corruption as the members of this country. and that there was any virtue in the one from which the other was shut out. Sorry should I be were the two representations to meet like two running sores, wasting the strength and vigour of the body by their confluent corruption. This is the first opportunity they have had of giving a proof of the virtue and integrity of my countfymen, and I hope they will not lose it. You are now engaged in a war alone, without an ally, with a great nation, led by the most extraordinary man the world has ever produced. You have imposed heavy taxes upon the people session you have followed the poor man's last luxury into his cottage, you have taxed his salt. Will it not then be wise to shew, that if you call upon him to make great sacrifices, you will take care that they shall be administered with integrity and economy? Is it not incumbent upon you to shew, that no rank, no power, no influence can screen a public malefactor? and that the man who would abuse his trust, and misapply the hard earnings of the people, cannot be screened from the justice of the country? When you have established this character, as I hope and trust you will this night, you may carry on the contest as long as you may think it necessary for your honour or your safety; but, if the amendment be adopted, you will incur a very different opinion put it to you, whether it will be considered as a mode to ascertain the innocence of a man, who stands convicted upon his own oath, or a strategem to shelter him from punishment by delay? I can make great allowance for private friendship. I am sure, however, it is the sentiment of the house that lord Melville cannot be defended; for I observe that not one gentleman has arisen sential to its welfare and security. By a this night to speak for him, but such as have been his colleague in office. I cannot find fault with that friendship, but sure I am, that if they cannot acquit him upon outh, of what he has admitted upon oath, they cannot acquit him of a corrupt breach of the law.

Mr. Canning rose to explain the cause of Mr Trotter's continuance, in office. He said he had never seen him until he was appointed paymaster under him. He restored picion. They have now an opportunity of him because he was a useful man. And he had not removed him from office, because

of an inquiry, because, he said, it had ever been the principle and practice of our jurisprudence to have the whole of a case before any man was pronounced guilty. It was not sufficient to state that the law had been violated by lord Melville; but before the house could pronounce a judgment against him, it would be necessary to shew that he had violated the law from corrupt motives. The law might be broken, and the motives not corrupt. It had never been the object of the naval commissioners to try criminals, or to convict men on their own confession. Their object merely was to inquire into abuses, and it was for that purpose alone that they had put questions to those who came before them. The house then could not, on the report of men having such an object in view, convict a person without hearing evidence at its bar. Such was the proceeding in the case of sir Robert Walpole, the duke of Macclesfield, and various other persons. He would not now say one word concerning the merits of the case; but he contended that the whole of it ought to be heard before the house proceeded to come to a decision upon it. It would be impossible for any man to be as capable at this moment of determining upon the various shades of guilt belonging to the case, as he would be after an inquiry was gone into. From the report now before the house, it was impossible for any man to say that lord Melville was personally guilty of corruption that he was corrupt for the sake of private emolument. Nothing like personal corruption was proved against the noble viscount. How was he to judge of his own offence but by his own understanding? How did it appear to what degree he connived at the misconduct of his servant. These and many other points were wholly left in the dark by the commissioners, and could not be cleared up without farther inquiry.

Mr. For then rose. He said he should be extremely unwilling to suffer this question to be put without expressing his sentiments upon it. For if, unhappily, the vote of the house should be opposite to that which he hoped and wished, he should feel very uneasy indeed that his name should partake of the universal odum that must attach to any decision tending to second such notorious delinquency as the report on the table declared. He could never reconcile it to his mind to be silent upon, such an occasion, lest he should be suspected of declining to mark with the strongest reprobation, guilt

The Master of the Rolls argued in favour of a nature so glaring, that any man who gave it the sanction of his vote, or attempted to protect it from punishment, must be viewed in the light of an accomplice, or one at least disposed to become the accomplice of similar transactions. Before he would proceed to the merits of the charges under Consideration, he thought it proper to notice the arguments of the gentlemen on the other side, not because he considered those arguments possessed of any intrinsic force, but lest from the authority of the persons from whom they proceeded they might have the effect of leading the house to a decision, which, if it should correspond with the wishes of those by whom such arguments were used, must destroy its character with the • country and with all Europe The first gentleman with whom he would begin was the last who spoke. That learned gent, directed the whole of his observations to shew that the house should go into a committee in order to ascertain whether the breach of the act of parliament, not of which lord Melville stood charged, but of which he confessed himself guilty, proceeded from corrupt motives. If corruption consisted merely in a man putting money into his own pocket, according to the vulgar conception, perhaps some of the deductions of the learned gent. would be right. But he would contend that nothing could be more corrupt, in his opinion, than to permit a man's own agent to convert the money of others to his own private emolument. This was the amount of lord Melville's confession; and although it might be possible, from a further examination, to prove the noble lord more guilty, it did appear to him utterly im-. possible to prove him less so. For the most conclusive evidence of the noble lord's corruption, he would only refer to that paragraph in the report, in which the noble lord stated, that although he knew his agent Trotter was applying the public money to other purposes than that for which it was "legally intended, that he did not prohibit him from doing so. What was that, he would ask, but complete corruption, even taking the case simpliciter; but combining it with other circumstances, could any man entertain a doubt upon the subject of his guilt? What greater aggravation of his delinquency in tolerating the breach of his own act of parliament could be irragined than allowing his agent to misapply the public money, for the safe custody of which that act was intended? But it is pretended that no loss had accrued to the public from this

was advanced, that as there was no loss there was no risk. Now, said the hon. gent., it unfortunately happened in certain parts of my life, which I do not quote with a view to recommend my example to others, that I was in the habits of engaging in certain speculations which are commonly called gaming. If a man should, in that kind of speculation, win a large sum of groney, 1 am sure that an argument would not thence arise that he had made no risk. I rather think the natural inference would be that his risk was considerable. Probably however, in this case, lord Melville did take care that Trotter should not lose any money. Trotter was the confidential agent of lord Melville, and lord Melville was the confidential agent of the state. Therefore, in this sort of speculation in which Trotter engaged, lord Melville could guard against much risk. two men play at cards together, and a third person stands behind one of them and throws hints to the other, he that receives the hints is tolerably sure of winning. Just so in this business; lord Melville knew when the navy bills were likely to be funded, and Mr. Trotter could act upon the information he might rececive. Will any one say, then, that from such acting upon such information, no loss would accrue to the public? On the contrary, I maintain, that the public did suffer a loss of 1 per cent: upon the discount of such bills. But, then observed the hon, member, the learned gent, desired the house to go into an inquiry, in order to obtain farther evidence. He would appeal to the judgment of the house, whether any farther evidence could be necessary to enable it to •come to a decided opinion upon the breach of law, which the noble lord himself confessed? That opinion the house was called on to declare. The public had a right to demand it from them. It was said, that the house ought not to think of acting judicially, of inflicting punishment without the fullest tion, and affording the accused the fullest opportunity of vindicating himself. And so far as the confession of lord Melville went, he had been already tried. He would, however, defy those gentlemen who rested their objection so much upon the question of punishment, to shew, that it was at all in the power of that house to inflict any punishment on such delinquents as lord Melville and Trotter. But if the house should determine on prosecution in any way with a

malversation, and a very singular argument | the attorney-general to prosecute; whether by moving an impeachment, or preparing a bill of pains and penalties, which perhaps would be the more proper mode of proceeding, he would maintain that the confession of the party accused, would be evidence to proceed upon, and that the house was now called on to act, as it must in every similar case, as a grand jury, to pronounce upon the guilt of the accused. It was strange to hear it asserted, that the accused was not guilty, because no loss had accrued from this scandalous transaction. To those to whom the loss of honour was nothing, perhaps, it might be said that no loss had arisen. But what was the loss of honour to that government which after such a palpable instance of delinquency, should preserve its connexion with the delinquent? and what the loss of character and honour to that house, should it attempt by its vote, to screen such a delinquent? infinitely more than any sum of money could amount to.—Whatever the learned gent, to whom he had already adverted might assert, he could not see that any farther inquiry could be necessary to enable the house to decide that a great public other who allowed his servants to make illicit profits from the public money, in the teeth of an act of parliament, was guilty of a most serious offence. The guilt consisted in the violation of the law, and it never could be pretended that any such violation could be innocent. There were, indeed, many cases in which the most severe punishments attached to offences to which the charge of moral turpitude did not apply, but which were criminal in consequence of the precept of the law. Such were many of the offences against our revenue laws. Not two years ago a**g** act was passed declaring a man guilty of felony, without benefit of clergy, if paper of a certain sort should be found in his possession, this sort of paper being used for the manufacture of bank notes. Now, the reason of this statute was this, that a examination into the merits of the accusa-e man could not be presumed to have such paper in his possession but with a criminal intention. Therefore the breach of the act was proof against him. And the act of the 25th of the king, which applied to the case under consideration, was drawn up upon a similar principle, and the breach of it was to be deemed the proof of the cti-Upon this proof, those minal intention. which giose out of the reason of the law, he had no hesitation in pronouncing the noble lord guilty. At all events, there was view to punishment; whether by directing | no man who could say that strong suspicion

did not attach to him. When private individuals became the objects of suspicion, it was their own affair; but when suspicion attached to men of high rank in the state, it became a matter of great public interest. Putting all the circumstances of the case together, he could not see that any thing could be obtained to avert the judgment of the house from farther inquiry. Whatever delay might take place, there was only one mode of confirming still farther the guilt of lord Melville, and that was in case Trotter should "'peach, but there was no mode or evidence possible to be imagined that could refute that which lord Melville himself confe-sed. On that confession then, he called upon the house to proceed, by adopting the with whose name dishonour was inseparamotion of his hon friend. With respect to the charge of lord Melville's having participated of the profits resulting from Trotter's application of the public money, he could not say that there was direct evidence before the house; but there certainly was strong ground of suspicion. The noble lord, it would be recollected, retained the office of treasurer of the navy for several years after he was appointed to that of secretary of state, and when in that house allusion was made to the circumstance of his holding the two offices, the answer from the other side of the house was, that although he held those offices, he only received the salary of secretary of state, and nothing from the treasury of the navy. Ay, that is nothing of the legal salary. Did not this justify something more than suspicion? Why should the noble lord so fondly cling to this office of his fixed, Mr Trotter? There were many other persons among even his own relations who would have been glad to occupy this situathis kind, make no inference from that attachmenf. Another objection arose against ! difficulties which some gentlemen complainof could, be removed; that any of the obaccounts were indeed of such a nature, that the parties themselves could not understand for a committee of that house to make any thing of them?—It had been said that the house should proceed with the utmost deliupon whose character were they to decide on | pointed out the means of preyenting it,

this occasion? Not, certainly, upon that of lord Melville, for his character was entirely gone; but upon the character of the house and the government, which must depend upon the vote of this night. As to the charaster of lord Melville it was so completely destroyed in public estimation for ever, that he would venture to say, that were the vote of the house unanimous in his favour, it would not have the slightest effect in wiping away the stigma that was universally affixed to his name. What, then, must the world think of retaining such a man at the head of the glory of the country? It was dreadful to reflect that the most honourable claims should be placed at the disposal of a man, bly associated—who had confessed himself: eguilty of an act of corrupt illegality.—Are we, said the hon, gent, to connive at and approve of all this, when even the right hon, gent who has moved the previous question, will not go the length of approving all he has done. Sir, we have heard to-night, with sentiments of exultation, the brilliant efforts of a noble friend of name (lord H. Petty) on the bench behind met there is not a man in the house capable of appreciating virtue and genius, who did not feel a secret satisfaction in the speech of that noble lord. I recollect, sir, when the right hon. gent, on the opposite bench (Mr. Pitt) made his first essay in this house, I recollect, and many in the house must recollect also, the just pride which we all felt to see him, much at the same age then that the noble lord behind me now is, distinguishing himself in hunting down corruption, in unmasking abuses in the public expenditure, in proposing and enforcing reforms of various. kinds. What a contrast does his conduct on tion. But no; lord Melville seemed parti- this pight afford! Under what sort of ficularly attached to it, and would any man of gure does he appear? "Heu! quantum common sense, in considering a thing of mutatus ab illo!" The right hon, gent. (Mr. Canning) who now fills the office of treasurer of the navy, asserts that it, is physically the proposed committee, from this consi-limpossible to act up to the letter of the act, deration, that he did not see that any of the | and has amused the house with an account of fractional sums of 8s. 6d. 14s. and 2s. and then turns short upon us, and asks how scure accounts could be explained. Those it is possible to pay all those trifling claims by drafts upon the bank? I do not say it is; but does he not keep money in the iron chest them, and how, then, could it be possible of his office to pay them, and are not the sub-accountants furnished with the means of liquidating them? But these abuses, it is said, can never occur again.; Mr. Trotter, beration in deciding upon character. But in his dying legacy to his successors, has

Mr. Trotter, and he bequeaths that to his successors which he never thought proper to enjoy himself. 'The act can never be violated again; I hope not; I hope the decision] of the house this night, will render it imthe situation of treasurer of the navy, or for any future treasurer, ever to violate it. But if we do not come to this decision, what se-curity have we, that some future Melvilles and Trotters will not break through any new act we may pass, in the same way in which they have done the resolutions of this house in 1782, and the act of the 25th of his present majesty founded upon them. I majority of this house will be found to put the seal and stamp of their reprobation upon such monstrous and unblushing delinquency. But, say some gentlemen, the depositions are not evidence. That I deny. If an impeachment were carried against my lord Melville and his associate, these depositions might be produced against them at the bar of the house of lords. Really, sir, 1 have a strong repugnance to enter into all the disgusting particulars of the conduct of this person with whom I had once some slight connection; God knows, it was a connection of hostility; but after what appears on the face of this report, I should be ashamed of myself if I belonged to the same class of society with him. What is any honourable man to think of a person, who either refuses to answer a plain question, or who answers it equivocally and under reservation? * Did you derive any advantage from the use of the public metries in the hands of your • paymaster? To the best of my recollection I never did '-Now, the hon. gent.'s objection to this is, that the noble lord should have occasion at all to mention his recollection on the subject. He should have been confident. There are some cases where a man may be allowed to speak as to his recollection, while in others, to mention it is to betray him. It a man were asked whether he was on a a particular mght in a particular room with John a Noakes, it might be very well to answer, that to the best of I hope and trust that this dreadful and dishis recellection he was not, but if he were gusting business, like all other evils, will proasked whether John a Noakes did not charge him with an attempt to pick his pockets, and kick him out of the room—what would be the justicence if he were to answer that John a Noal is del not, " to the best of his recollection?" With respect to the noble Jord's office to sween positively that he did not

No; it shall not be done for the future, says profit from the misappropriation of the public money, it was remarkable that his offer was confined to the period in which Mr. Douglas, who was now dead, was paymaster of the mayy, but did not at all extend to the paymastership of Mr. Trotter. What was possible for the gent, who at present holds the conclusion then to be drawn from this? Why, that he was ready to make oath as to the paymastership of Douglas, because he was dead, but did not think proper to swear as to Trotter, because he was alive.—As to the letter which has been brought forward, and which, in my opinion, only aggravates and confirms his guilt, we never heard any thing of it, although his examination took place in Nov., until a few days previous to hope and trust, sir, that a large and virtuous the day appointed for his trial. It is said that lord Melville never saw it till it was in print. Can any one believe it? Is it credible that the noble lord never had the curiosity to dispatch some confidential friend to make an extract of that part of the report which he had good reason to suppose must refer to him? When, indeed, he finds the effect of it on the public mind, when he finds that there is not a man, woman, or child, in every corner of the kingdom, in whose mouth the tenth report is not to be found; then, in order to do away the impression of it, he comes forward with his letter. But what can he now gain by this last shift of his? Nothing at all. But if he was suffered to avail himself of the delay he sought for, would not the country feel that the house of commons favoured public abuses, screened a great and criminal delinquent, and that our navy, the greatness and glory of the nation, was still possessed by that very man? Then in what respect would the government be held in the eves of the people, and of foreign nations, should this man, in a state of accuration and suspicion, be suffered to hold his present high situation, when the bravest and most honourable commanders in the army and navy are always suspended from duty during an accusation of which the result might be an honourable acquittal. . The impression on the public mind would now be, that lord Melville fled for protection to power and not to innocence, duce some good: that this day will be an æra for commencing an examination into all public abuses, and be the forcrunner of such reforms as shall prevent great mischiefs in the country. I hope the right hon gent. will himself undertake this great work, and that the commissioners of naval inquiry, to

whom the country is so much indebted, will continue their laudable and salutary pursuits, and bring every great delinquent to condign punisilment.

Lord Castlereagh opposed the motion, and insisted, that the very severe animadversions which had fallen from the hon, gent, were not fully justified by the nature of the charges against lord Melville. His lordship exhorted the house not to forget what was due to an old and faithful servant of the public, whose honour was dearer to him than his life. He expressed his sincere wish that the affair might be thoroughly sifted to the bottom by parliament, but he also wished, that the house would not be induced by party clamour and vociferation to torget individual justice. His lordship, therefore, deprecated entering fully into the business at present, unless it were intended to move further for the dismissal of lord Melville from his Majesty's service. He considered, he observed, Mr. Trotter in the light of a deputy of lord Melville's; and that, therefore, the manner in which the public money entrusted to his care had been employed, demanded the particular attention of parliament; but his lordship was against treating ford Melville with the severity intended, and deprecated their being hurried by their sensations of strict rectitude into a premature decision upon a subject which involved objects of such magnitude. His lordship also stated, that a strict examination of the accounts was very necessary to know to what length the supposed abuse had been carried.

Lord Andover supported the motion, and censured severely the conduct of lord Mel-He enlarged particularly upon the answer the noble lord had made when the plain questions whether he had connived at Mr Trotter's practices, or received any emolument from them, were put to him by the commissioners. An answer, his lordship observed, very dissimilar to that of the Roman statesman, who, scorning any other justification, appealed boldly to the opinion his country at large entertained of his honour and integrity. Such was not lord Melville's character: his sensibility, his integrity, was not of that nature which " seeks the light, and courts the day."

Mr. Wilberforce.—I do not rise, sir, at this late hour, to detain the house for any I have listened with all the attention I am ruption, and there would be no security left

master of, to the arguments of gentlemen who have opposed it, I must confess that it is impossible for me to leave the house this night without giving my vote in support of the resolutions moved by the hon, gent. It seehis to me, that if I were willing to admit all which the advocates of lord Melville argue for, yet I am equally bound to vote for the original motion. If the house were to step out of its way to adjust all the shades of criminality which belong to this case, then, indeed, it might be necessary to take some proceeding or other, similar to that which has been recommended to us by my right hon, friend, the chancellor of the exchequer. But here is a plain, broad fact, which no subsequent elucidation can possibly explain away. Here is my lord Melville publicly declaring on his oath, that he has tolerated his dependant, in a gross breach of an act of parliament, for the purposes of private emolument. I really cannot find language sufficiently strong to express my utter detestation of such conduct. In my mind, the friends of lord Melville have not at all affected the plain, simple state of the transaction. What advantage can we derive from going into a committee, for the purpose of contradicting an examination given on oath? I confess, sir, that I cannot make up my mind to believe that lord Melville received any direct gain from the transactions alluded to. But, though I do not believe that lord Melville has been a participator in the profits, yet I think it by no means improbable that he may at times have drawn more freely upon Mr. Trotter than he ought to have done. I will not say that lord Melville has not received accommodation from these profits. The bare fact of his having borrowed 10 or 20,000l. at a time from one of his clerks, is a very suspicious circumstance. It is clear that Mr. Tretter is a man who acted on the great scale, and this fact was of itself calculated to produce strong suspicion. Let me ask any of my kon, friends around me, if there are no suspicious circumstances attending these transactions? If the house was once to suffer a minister to say that he had connived at the breach of a law by a person who had been his confidential servant for a number of years, and that the superior was to pass uncensured because no personal corruption had been proved against him; if that was once long time, but I cannot satisfy my mind to be admitted as a principle by which the without saying a few words in support of house of commons was to be directed, it the original motion; for, notwithstanding would open a door to every species of cor-

for the faithful discharge of any public so much the measure itself which created trust. Ministers may be desirons of waiting till the public feeling shall have subsided, and fancy that the whole business may blow over. But they are mistaken. They appear to me to have placed themselves in this situation. 'They might have prevented it. Had I been in their places, I would not have risked my reputation by setting up such a justification as they have done for lord Melvill.—As to the argument that there has been no actual loss to the public by these transactions; when we reflect upon the consequences that might arise from the detention of money intended for the payment of so great a department as the navy of this country, when we reflect upon the lengths to which men may be induced to go if the mind is once accustomed to abuses, and when we consider the influence such conduct must inevitably have upon all the interior departments, I do contend that the loss in a pecuniary view, may have been to an extent almost incalculable. It has been elegantly and beautifully said by a noble lord (Petty), that it would be fortunate indeed for this country had these abuses never been discovered, if the result was to be, that these Reports were to lay a dead letter on the table of this house, to prove and to proclaim, that although there might be found in this country persons honest and assiduous in conducting an inquiry into abuses, there was after and behind all this, a power able and wilking to step in between the law and the offender against the law, and thereby establish at once the most fatal and the most shareful of all precedents, that of guilt known and acknowledged but not censured, of a breach of the law ascertained but not punished. But have we not sustained a loss which no money can repay? Such is the opinion which I entertain of the consequences of the loss of honour, that I esteem it beyond any thing that can be set in balance against it. Would to God we could be restored to the state we were in before this his casting vote in favour of Mr. Whitbread's Mohappened! If abuses such as have been brought to light by the commissioners of naval inquiry are tolerated or in any degree

such general discontent, as the circumstance of the judges having given their opinions in favour of it. Lord Clarendon concludes his reflections on this transaction with these remarkable words: " and here," says he, "the damage and mischief cannot be expressed, that the crown and state sustained by the deserved reproach and infamy that attended the judges, by being made use of in this, and like acts of power; there being no possibility to preserve the dignity, reverence, and estimation of the laws themselves, but by the integrity and innocency of the judges." It is not only lord Melville, but we ourselves that are upon our trial, and a fearful trial it is. It we shrink from it we shall hereafter have reason to repent of our conduct. The house is now appealed to as the constitutional guardian of the rights of the people, and I should ill discharge my duty to the public, it I did not give my most cordial and sincere support to the present motion

Sir Charles Price observed, that, as a magistrate and a man, he felt himself most fully justified in declaring that if lord Melville had been entirely free from any criminality, he would have answered more fully and unequivocally than he had done. He therefore supported the original motion.

Mr. Wallace rose to express the conviction he felt of the personal integrity of lord Melville.

Lord Archibald Hamilton rose, andst loud cries for the question. He said be would not detain the house a moment member for Scotland had yet delivered their sentiments on the question, and he only meant to state, that there was at least one representative of that country who would vote for the original motion.

The house then divided:—

For Mr. Whithread's Motion 215 For the Previous Question

The numbers being thus equal the SPEAKLR gave tion, thereby making a Majority of.......

The question was then put on each of the resolutions moved by Mr. Whitbread, and countenanced by this house, melancholy in- carried in the affirmitive. When strangers deed will be the result. As to the "clamour" | were re-admitted to the gallery, the house *that has been mentioned, it is not the cry of was debating on the 11th resolution. It appopular faction, but it is the universal senti- | peared that Mr. Pitt had moved to leave out ment of persons of every rank, of the rich as the concluding words, viz. " has been guilty well as the poor, of the middling class of the of a gross violation of the law, and a high community; who understand the constitu-tion perfectly well. Greatly as the people ing these words—" has acted contary to of England dishked the conduct of Charles' the intentions of the said act." After a long the First in levying ship-money, it was not conversation. Mr. Pitt obtained leave to

might have an opportunity of proposing another in a preceding part of the same resolution. He proposed to insert after the words " private interest or emolument," these words, " of Mr. Trotter." This | ment. amendment was warmly opposed. It was contended, on the one side, that the resolution ought to be left in its original ambiguous form, as it gave no opinion on the question of lord Melville's personal emolument; | being put on the motion as it originally and that to throw the whole upon Mr. Trotter, would be pronouncing a decision, which the house, from the facts before them, were not competent to make.

Sir William Pulteney suggested, that the words, '' as acknowledged by lord Melville,' in addition to those proposed by Mr. Pitt, would meet the ideas of both sides of the house.

Mr. Whithread said, he had no particular objection to this amendment. The words in his motion had been left general, because it was confessed that the sums were drawn out for private interest and emolument, but not specified directly whether for lord Mel-ville as well as Mr. Trotter. In this way the motion was read by the chair. It then stood thus: "That the right hon, lord vis-" count Melville having been privy to, and " connived at, the withdrawing from the " bank of England, for the purpose, as sta-" ted by lord Melville, of private emolument " to Mr. Trotter, sums issued to lord Mel-" ville as treasurer of the navy, and placed " to his account in the bank, according to " the provisions of the 25 Geo. III. c. 31. " has been guilty of a gross violation of the " law, and a high breach of duty."

Mr. Windham contended that after the clear clucidation of the case that the treasurer of the navy could answer whether he had not derived advantage from the public money, the words in the resolution ought to be left ambignous.

Mr. For said, that the attempt to screen ford Melville from the result of the previous resolutions by so triffing an amendment, would do the noble lord no good, but would put that house in a very awkward point of view.

Mr. Wilberforce said, that not fo brand the transaction by the epithets of gross violation of the law, and a high breach of duty, after the confession of lord Melville, would be ignomimous to the house.

Mr. Sheridan said, that the amendment made the motion almost nonsease. To say, in the first instance, that lord Melville con-Vol IV.

withdraw this amendment, in order that he mived for 16 years, and then to fritter away the conclusion, was a most extraordinary way of acquitting his colleague.

> Mr. Rastard said, it was impossible for any gentleman to support such an amend-

Mr. T. Grenville spoke to the same effect. 1 Mr., Pitt persisting in his declaration that he would take the sense of the house, the gallery was cleared; but on the question stood, the speaker declared that the ayes had it, and Mr. Pitt did not push the house to a division. Before strangers were re-admitted, Mr. Whitbread had moved an address to his Mujesty for removing lord Melville from his councils; and at the time the gallery was opened Mr. Pitt was proposing to postpone the consideration of this motion until Wednesday.

Mr. Fox said, after the long debate which had already taken place, he should have no objection to the postponement of his hon. friend's motion, provided it was understood that the house should not sit in the interval. He could not consent to a proposition which would leave to the house the chance of meeting again without having taken any step to remove a disgraced administration.

Mr. Pitt.—Certainly, in every view of the case, it is better that the house should adjourn to Wednesday next...

Adjourned, at #o'clock on Tuesday morning to Wednesday.

List of the Majority.

Abbot, Right Hon. C. (Speaker.) Adair, R. Bouvene, Hon. E. Brogden, James Althorpe, Lord Brooke, Charles Adams, Challes Anderson, Sir J. W. Bart. Blowne, F. John Andover, V scount Annesley, Francis Browne, Isanc 11. Buller, James Anson, Themas Binbury, Sir C. Antonie, Wm Lee Byng, George Calciaft, J. (Toller) Astley, Sir J. H. Bart. Calvert, Nicholson Atkins, John Aubrey, Sn. J. Bart. Bradshaw, C. Cavendysh, Lord C. Cavendish, W. Babington, Thomas Chipman, Charles Coke, Thomas Coke, Edward Bagenal, Walter Baker, John Baker, Wm. Coumbe, H. C. Baker, Peter W. * Cooke, Bryan Coote, Sir Lyre Bamfylde, Sir C. . Cornewall, Sir G. A. Bankes, Henry Courtenay, John Barclay, George Cowper, Hon. S. Creevey, Thomas Curtis, S. William Bare'av, Sir Robert Barham, Jos. Foster Bailow, F. W. Bastard, J. Pollexfen Curwen, J. C. Best, W. Drap 'r Cumming, Daley, D. Bowes Bligh, Hon. Thomas

Barrier Committee Committee

Denniscn, John Dancannon, Loid Daniel, F. Dickins, F Douglas, Marquis Dogdale, S. D. Dundas, Hon. L. Dundas, Hon. C. E. Dundas, Hon. G. H. L. Durand, J. H. Ehington, Lord Elliot, William Er kine, Hon. Thomas Fellowes, Robert Foley, Hon. Andrew Foley, Thomas Foliambe, F. Ferrard Fitzgerald, Rt Hon. J. Fitzpatrick, Rt. Hon. R. Folkes, Sir M. R. Folkestone, Viscount Fonblanque, J. Fox, Hon. C. J. Francis, Philip Frankland, William Fuller, John . Geary, Sir William Giles, Daniel Godirey, Thomas Golding, Edward Gregor, Francis Grenfell, Pascoe Grenville, R'. Hen. T. Grey, Hon. harles Grimston, Hon. J. W. Hamilton, Lerd A. Hanison, John Holland, Henry Holland, Sir Nathan. Howard, Henry Huddlestone, John Hughes, Wm. Lewis Hulkes, James Hurst, Robert Hussey, William Hutchinson, Hon. C. H. Robarts, Abrain Jeffery, John Jekyll, Joseph Jervoise, J. Clarke Johnstone, George Kensington, Lord

King, Sir J. Dashwood

Kinnaird, Hon. C.

Scudamore, John &
Shattoe, Robert E. D.
Shakespeare, Arthur Knight, R. Payne Ladbroke, Robert Lambton, Raiph Langton, W. Gore Lascelles, Hon. Edward Lascelles, Hon. Henry Latouche, John Latouche, Robert Lawrence, French Lawley, Sir Robert Lemon, John Lemon, Sir W. Letevie, Chayles Shaw Lovedon, Balley Lubbo L. J. Maddocka, W. A. Markhen, J. Alagars, Joan

Marsham, Lord Martin, R. Mathews, J. M'Mahon, J. Mellsh, Wm. Meterlfe, Sir Theo Middleton, Sir W. Milbanke, Sir R. Milis, G. Milner, Sir W. M. Moore, G. P. Mordaunt, C. Morpeth, Lord Moreis, Edward Mostyn, Sir T. Moore, P. Newport, Sir John Noel, G. Noel Miville, North, Dudley Northey, Wm. O'buen, Şir Edward Ord, William Osborne, Lord I. G. Ossulston, Lord . Palk, Sir Lawrence Palmer, John Paxton, Sir William Pedley, J. Peel, Sir Robert Pierse, H. Pelnam, Hon. C. A. Petty, Lord Henry Pierrount, Hon. C. H. Flumer, William Ponsonby, Right Hon.W.B. Ponsonby, George Portman, Ed. Berk. Poyntz, William S. Prace, William Price, Sir Failes Prinsep, John Pulteney, Sa W. Pytches, John Ridley, Sir M. W. Russel, Lord Wm. St. John, How. St. A. · Salisbury, Su Robert Scott, Joseph Shelley, Timothy Shelley, John Sheridan, R. B. Simpson, Hon John Smith, Win Smith, Charles Somerville, bir M. Spencer, Lord R. Stanley, Lord Stanley, Thomas Stewart, Hon. Mont. Stewart, James Symon is, Thomas P. Tarleton, Bana ne Taylor, C. W. Trointon, Henry Tho noon, keleit

Thornton, taruel

Tierney, Right Hon. G. Townshead, Lord J. Tyry Litt, Thomas Vansitteit, George Walpois, Hon. G. Walpole, Hon H. Word, Hon. J. V. Watson, Hon George Western, C Callis Wharron, John Wiight, John Athins

Whisbread, S (Teller) Willoughby, Hon H. Wilberforce, Wm., Withams, He Williams, Owen Well h, Sn B Windham, Right Hen. W. Wrottesley, Sir John, Wynn, C. W. W. Young, Su W.

List of the Minority.

Abererorabe, Hon. G. Adanis, Win. Addington, Rt. Hon. Amstie, R. S. Alexander, Boyd Alexander, II. Archdall, Richard Ashley, Hon. C. Bagwell, John Bagwell, William Baillie, Evan Baldwin, W. Barne, Snowden Bathuist, Rt. Hon. C. Beaumont, T. R. Beresford, Lord G. T. Beresford, John Binning, Lord. Bloxham, Sir M. Bond, N. Brodic, James Brome, Lord Brooke, Lord Bruce, I ord Bruce, P. C. Buller, John Burrows, Sir W. Button, N.C. Calvert, J. Campbell, J. A. Campbell, John Campbell, Lord J. Canning, Rt. Hon. G. Cartweight, W. R. Castlercagh, Visc. Chuse, W. Clephane, David Cline, W. Chve, Hon. R. Cockerell, C. Colquiroun, James Cour, Rt Hon. I. Cianley, Lord Curron, Hon. R. Cust, Fron J. Dashwood, Sir II. Dent, John Devaynes, W. De Blacquire, I ord Dick, Quintin Dickenson, W. jun. Dickenson, W. sen. Dickson, W. Douglas, Sir Gco. Daigenan, Patrick Dundas, Phillip

Dundas, Hon. R.

Dund is, Rt Hon. W. Ducto, Lord Dupie, James Filord, Su W. Eliot, Hon. W. Fllison, R. Erskine, Sir W. Estcourt, T. Everett, T. Fusion, Earl Fane, Francis Fane, Henry Tane, John Fane, Hon T. Faiquhai, James Fellowes, W. H. Ferguson, James Finch, Hon. E. Fitzgerald, Rt. Hon M. Fuzhams, Lord Litzhugh, W Toster, Rt. Hon. J. Frederick Sir J. Fydell, Thomas Garland, George Gibbs, V Giddy, Davies Cleabervie, Lord Graham, T. Grant, F. W. Grunt, Sur W. GICEBE, W. Greville, Hon. R. F. Guaning, R. Hardton, Sir IL Hamilton, -Hamilton, H II nulton, Sn C. Hamond, Sir A S.' Haidman, Edward Harrey, E. Hawkins, Sir C. Hawthbrine, C. S. Heachcote, Sn W. II nderson, A. Hill, Sir George Hilliard, E. Hobbouse, B. Hollon, J. Holtord, G.P. Hope, Hon. Alexa Hope, Hon. C. Horrocks, J. Hunringfield, Lord Buski son, W. Inglis, Sie H. Jeffray, J.

or of nham, i, H. 11. Walter a, Sir J. " Hon E. Augst u, J. 12,1 Ligh, R. H. I cland, John Lorg. Rt. Hon. C. Longheld, M Lopez, M Tour inc, Lord Macaciphton, E.A. . M'Dowall, W. M'Kenzie, F. A. Manne ., R Mey, £d♥ ad Mildma, Sa II. M Coul, M. Montgomery, P. Moore, C Magan, C M rla i W. No care sa F. North State and Nicholl, bu L. Norman, J. C. Odeil, W. Or me, J. Ormsbr, C. M. Faget, Hon C. Pager, 11 ov. E. Patten, Peter Patters in, J. Percival, Hon S. Phypis, Lion E. Part, Right Hon. W. Pirt, W. M. Poplium, Sir II. Pole, Hon W. W. Porcher, T D. Pr ston, Sir R. Pultency, Su J. Rochfort; Gustavus Rose, Right Hon. G. Rose, George H. Russel, M. Rutherford, J. Saluabure, Sa R. Sargent, J.

Scott, David Scott, Hon J. Scott, Sir W. Scott, Claude Scott, Samuel Seymour, Lord R. Sinclair, Sir John Sitwell, Francis Sloane, Hans Smith, Sir W S. Smith, J. A. Smith, Spencer Smyth, Rt Hop J Snevd, Nath mel Somerset, Lord C Somerset, Lord R E. Spencer, Lord E. St. John, Ambrose, Stauhop', W S. Staniforth, John Steele, Rt Hon T. Stecle, Robert Stephale, Sn P Secwart, Sir James Steward, G. T. Stoptord, Lord Snathey, 5 r H. Strahati, A. Shert, J. H. fruart, Su John Storges, Bourne Sudley, Lord Sullivan, Sir R. Spencer Smith Talbot, Sir C. Thelluson, G. Thelluson, P T. Thynne, Lord G. Thynne, Lord J. Tuchfield, Marquis Townshend, Hon. W. Trail, J. Turner, Edmund Vansittart, N. Ward, Robert Wallace, Rt Hon. T. Welby, Sir W. E. West, Hon F. White, M. Wigram, Robert Willer, T W. Wood, Mark Wortley, J. S.

HOUSE OF LORDS.

Tuesday, April 9.

[MINUTES] The bills upon the table were forwarded in their respective stages.—The order of the day being read, the house resolved into a committee on the Irish militia enlisting bill and lord Walsingham having taken the chair, the various clauses and provisions of the bill were agreed to by their lordships, without observation or amendment.—The house having resumed, the report was received, and the bill ordered to be

read a third time to-morrow.—A bill was introduced by the dake of Athol, to divorce Frederick Touche, esq. from Elizabeth Atherton, his now wife, and to enable her to marry again, and for other purposes therein mentioned. On the bill bein, read a fir t time, the lord chancellor quitted the woolsack, and celled their attention to the prominent consideration of the b I. It was a measure briginating with the woman; a cucumstance which uniformly rendered that house very cautions in entertaining bills of the kind. There were, undeubtedly, some precedents of such cases, he believed two, and one of these to late as the year 1801; yet these were confiten inced on the extraordinary circumstances and peculiar spec $A_{
m s}$ ties of the cases. He felt it appered rah r h, rl to say any thing untivourible of a bill of the kind, on the occas on of its first reading, but he must observe the Lill was one which deserved their fordships most sectors consideration, and answers attention, when it should be read a second time. The duke of Athol observed, that part of what had fallen front the noble lord on the woolsack, in a great degree anticipated what he proposed to offer. There were avowedly precedents for bills of the lin l, and he was aware that the present case, must stand on its own particular merits.—Adjourned.

flower of Lords.

Wednesday, April 10.

[MINUTES]—The royal assent was given by commission to the Miluia Reduction bill, the Spanish Trade bill, the American Treaty bill, the Foreign Prize Ships' bill, the Beng. 1. Supreme Council, the Innkeepers' Rates bill, the Edinburgh Police bill, and six private bills —The Irish Militia Reduction bill, the Irish Spirit Permit bill, Bowyer's Lottery bill, the London Bread Assize bill, and some private bills, were read a third time, and passed.—Lord Hawkesbury laid on the table, by command of his majesty, copies of correspondence between the admiralty and navy board, and an account of the number of guns and carronades on board of certain ships, pursuant to addresses of that house. Adjourned.

HOUSE OF COMMONS.

Wednesday; April 10.

[Minurus.] A message from the lords informed the house, that their lordships had

agreed to the Innkeepers Rates bill, the English Militia Reduction bill, the Spanish Trade Licence bill, American Treaty bill, Neutral Ships bill, and Alien Prize Ships bill, without any amendments. After which, the speaker, pursuant to a summons by the black rod, attended in the house of lotds, where the royal assent was given by commission to the teregoing bills, the Edinburgh Police bill, and several private bills.—Mr. Lee gave notice, that on the 25th of April he should move for leave to bring in a bill to allow the same advantages with respect to the issue of small notes to the country banks of Ireland, as were enjoyed in this country; also for a bill further to regulate the mode for receiving small debts in Ireland -Sir W Sgott moved the order of the day for the second reading of the Prize Courts bill—Sir C. Tole expressed a wish that this measure should not be hurried through the house, but that the lion member would consent to have it printed, and that sufficient time should be allowed to the parties concerned, to cons'der the several clauses which it contained Sir W. Scott proposed to have the bill read a second time this day, and committed pro forma te-morrow, after which he should move to have it printed, and after the houdays recommitted, when as much time as the hon-gent, should deem necessary should be allowed. The bill was read a second time, and ordered to be committed to-morrow.—A message from the lords informed the house, that their lordships had agreed to the Irish Militia Volunteer, the Irish Spirits Permit, the London Bread Assize, and Bowyer's Lottery bills, without any amendment. -Mr. Rose presented several accounts relative to the I-le of Man, and the case of John Duke of Athol. Ordered to lie on the table, and to be printed -Mr. Giles desired to be informed, whether it was the intention of his majesty's ministers, to bring forward a bill for continuing for a further period the Commission of Naval inquiry; as if not, he should feel it his duty to submit a! motion to the house on that subject, at an! early, day after the holidays

[PROCEEDINGS RESPECTING LORD MILTUILLE AND MR. TROTTER] The Chancellor of the Ecchequer, as soon as he entered the house, rose and said, that he thought it his duty to acquaint the house, that the noble lord who had been the subject of the discussion on a former night, had since made a tender of the resignation of the office of first lord of the admiralty to his majesty, which resignation his majesty had been most graciously pleased to accept.

Mr. Whithread then moved, that the resolutions of Monday should be read. The house ordered that the eleventh resolution only should be read, and it was read accordingly. "That the right hon, lord viscount Mclville having been privy to, and connived at the withdrawing from the bank of England, for purposes of private interest or emolument, sums issued to him as treamountened, sums issued to him as treamountened in the bank, according to the provisions of the 25th Geo III c 31, has been guilty of a gross violation of the law, and a high breach of duty." Upon which.

Mr. Whithread rose, and expressed himself in these words: Sir, the notice which has been just given by the right hon, gent. over against me cannot have been unexpected by any one; but I confess that, by the notification pow made, I am by no mems satisfied: I think the public cannot be satisfied; I think, nav, I feel confident, this hoese will not be satisfied; I am sure the ends of public justice will not be satisfied, if some further resolutions are not adopted, in consequence of the proceedings on the report of the naval commissioners. If the i-sue of the debate of the night before last was a mere personal or party triumph; if it was only our own teebugs which were concerned, we might be satisfied, because the noble lord who was the object of the accusation which I had the honour to bring forward, his thought fit to retire from a situation of responsibility, dignity, and emolument, at least from the first situation in point of dignity he held: and as far as any triumph over an individual can be concerned, this has been most complete. But, sir, I was not actuated, and I am sure those who voted with me on the occasion were not actuated by personal or party motives: I rundertook a great cause, in which I had the satisfiction to succeed: I shall not abandon the cause I have undertaken; but I shali still urge the motion of which I gave notice on the former night. If I know any thing of my own heart; if I know any thing of the feelings which actuate me, there is nothing, I trust to God, of a vindictive spirit within me; and having accomplished the end or disclosing the conduct of the noble lord, and having the verdict of the house, I should not, for the mere sake of illterior punishment, think it necessary to press the subject rarther; I should here stop, and desist: but let us consider the situation in which we stand. The noble lord, stigmatized as he is by the vote and proceedings of this house,

has not been dismissed. He has done that which any honourable man niay do on feelings of his own. He has tendered his tesignation. Is that enough? Lord Melville may be restored to-morrow; he may again be made first lord of the admiralty. Is it fit that should be a possible event? Is it not necessary for the dignity and honour and feelings of this house, that it should be impossible he should be restored to the situation I have described. Reflecting on the proceedings of the other night, I think no man can view them without the deepest gratitude, without experiencing the strongest emotions of joy that such was the event. Many instances have occurred in history where a faction has triumphed over an individual, where persons have been pursued by large majorities of this house; but what is the situation in which we stand? Can there be any page of the journals of parliament which will be looked to in after ages with more pleasure by the true lovers of the country, than the page which records the proceedings of the house on this momentous subject? With an attendance much larger than is usually obtained, the point was equally balanced, and it remained for you, sir, sittang in that seat, which is the seat of impartiality, which is alled, I may say, without paying any undeserved compliment to you, by a person in every respect qualified -it remained for you, to whom to party spirit can be imputed, of whom no partiality can possibly be surmised, to decide between the two parties, which was in the right Your decision did immortal honour to yourself, your decision was looked upon, is looked upon, and ever will be looked upon with joy and satisfaction by the country. The popularity which flows from deeds like these is the greatest satisfaction a man can receive. If we see in all persons whom we meet; if we read in every countenance, in every expression, the congratulation, the self satisfaction; the approbation bestowed on the representatives of the empire—if our of this house

"We read our history in a nation's eyes,"
we have good reason to applaud ourselves;
but we shall not have such cause of exultation it we stop here, and do not render it
impossible his majesty should ever restore
lord Melville. At the same time that I thus
express my feelings—that I give the house
the strong bias of my mind, I mean to speak
with an assembly, which has conducted itself with so much honour and respectability, in terms of deference and respect.

If I did not think there was a pervading sentiment, that the motion with which I shall conclude would be approved of and adopted, I would not urge it: but from all I have collected, not only from my immediate frænds, but from persons divided from that party, with which I am proud to rank myself, and who have opposed that partyfrom what I have heard from every description of persons whose sentments I have endeavoured to collect, I find a generally prevailing opinion, that it is necessary we should proceed further in this stage of the business. Ulterior proceedings, with reference to others, undoubtedly must be had, and we must tell his majesty in the most solemn way we can, that it is necessary lord Melville should be removed from every office he holds under the crown, and from his majesty's presence and councils for ever. 1 should like to ask the right hon, gent, opposite me (Mr. Caming) whether some prelummary measures have been taken. Has Mr. Trotter been dismissed? (Mr. Canning answered, Jer.) Has Mr. Wilson been disnnssed — (Mr. Canning answered, no) If not, then I say all that is necessary to be done has not been done. There may be particular circumstances, and I am far from meaning to say they do not exist, which may make it necessary to retain such a person as Mr. Wilson in office a few days. Is it the right hon, gent's intention to dismiss him? (No miswer!) Then I say he ought to be dismissed from his office. He is not fit to hold a situation in the Navy Orlice, or any other office under government? Bet, even if both are dismissed, ulterior proceedings must be had; and, in order that it may not be necessary for me again to trouble, the house. Take this opportunity of giving notice, that it is my intention, immediately after the holidays, to move that his majesty's attorney-general be instructed to proceed against lord Melville and Mr. Trotter, for au account, in order that (agreeing as I do with the commissioners of naval inquiry) the public may receive back what has been unjustly taken from the public purse, and that the profits so unduly made may be refunded. The way of obtaining restitution I shall leave in the hands of the hon. and learned gent. "There are other proceed-" ings necessary to be adopted, in consequence of the Tenth Report, even if the question I shall propose to day should be carried. That it will be carried I can entertain no doubt; it would indeed be an inauspicious dawn of our labours, if the house should

of that sun, which has just begun to diffuse its warmth and nourish the hopes of the country, will be brilliant, and when it sets, that it will set in glory. It will be necessary that all the proceedings, both of lord Melville and Mr. Trotter, should be enquired into and sitted. The time is come in which I shall, as it is my duty, propose every practicable enquiry. With regard to that hart of the subject which you have already investigated, no enquiry could have made it clearer; but there are other matters contained in the report which are dark and mysterious. Or them I must throw a light. It was my intention to have proposed a resolution with regard to the transfer of the public money from one service to enother, in violation of the law. but I collected from gentlemen with whom I converted, that those transfers might have been made without such evil intention as I attributed to them. To one transfer the right hon-gent, opposite næ has acknowledged he was privy. The transicis might all of them have been honourable shall proceed, in conformity with the wish l of the house; but it may be necessary for me to mention, that it is my intention, after the holidays, to move for a select comminee, to enquise into all the transactions took no step to prevent it. This is a point referred to in the report; for I am convinced, no subject of deeper moment can come under the consideration of the house. It appears by the report, that money coled for patricular services has been applied to other services. What a pricedent does this set up? What a door does it epen to found? Thring the whole of the treasurership which pacefed, and during the whole which sucecoded load Melville's treasurership, no negotiation of this kind was ever found necessary. It was only during the administration of lord Melville that my such practices were ever carried on in the treasurership of the navy office, according to all the accounts we have of the manner business was transacted. In the course of these transactions a sum I have mentioned may appear trifling; particular circumstance will come to the recollection of the house. What I allude to for 24,000l. If it was only for 24 pence, ic, the papers recording them having been destroyed, papers which ought to have been carefully preserved. If such transactions as are stated in the report took place, they ought to have been secretly recorded, in order that, if imporent, the justification of those concerned inight appear; or, if guilty, that they might be confermed. There are other parts of the Tenth Report which it things often come to light which we despair may be necessary to refer to a committee, of developing. The most important point

reject it. I hope and trust that the career as well as other circumstances not included in the report. I adverted on a former evening to the conduct of the bank, and meant to have returned to it, but my recollection failed me. Upon the evidence of the chief cashier of the bank, it appeared the bank had not sufficient vouchers for the money issued. I have been given to understand, that the evidence was not correct, but that the bank were correct. If that is the cases let it be set right. Do not let the imputation remain on the bank, it it is an unjust one. In the course of what I differed to the house the other evening, I intimated, from conversation and rumour, which I stated to have been founded on accurate information, that the right hon, gent. (Mr. Pitt) was not without blame, I do repeat that charge, and it remains for him to exculpate himself. If he comout do so now, I shall move for that part of the subject being referred to a commutee do charge, that he was apprized of the irregular mode of taking the money and of the Bank of England, and placing it in a private bank. The mimour is, that he was acquainted, from the most direct and authentic source, and that as prime munister and cluef financial officer of the country has which must also be referred to the commit-There are other circumstances, particularly the circumstance which relates to Mr Jellicoe. This is one of the strengest features of the case, and has made a strong itapression on the public, and every person who hasheard of it. It is not only lord M. halle who is accused, but the commissioners of the treasury. It will be for them to shew upon what ground they granted a quietus to Mr. Jellico, to the amount of 21,000l. To persons who are in the habit of constantly talking of millions, tens of millions, fittes, and hundreds of millions, and who could make a mistake in supposing that to be 186 millions which was only 134 millions; the but I wish to know why a quietus was given the grounds ought to be enquired into. I believe it will be found, that the lords of the treasury were to blame, and that it was obtained under false pretendes .- Lastly, sir, there is a circumstance on which it is particulary important the house should have information, if it can be obtained. How it is to be obtained I do not know; but

on which I dwelt so long the other night, way. With this view, I shall move so both as it affects the public and lord Melville, as well as his connections, is, whether he was or was not a participator in the peculations charged against Mr. Trotter. fact is material to be ascertained, and if it is possible, the commissioners will ascertain it. The suspicious circumstances I stated on the last night—they have made a deep impression on my mind, and there has been no denial of them — It is stated; by Dr. Swift, that two and two do not always Melville, four and four were not always equal. His lordship had, as the salary of his office, 4,000l. a year, no duties attached to it, but it was clear of 'all deduction. We heard lord Melville state how he was oppressed by public business, that he had hardly time to rest at night; but that it was necessary for the administration of publie affairs they should not be entrusted to other hands than his own; that it was necessary he should continue to be president of the board of control, and that he should have the management of the war. But was it necessary too that he should be treasurer of the navy? Undoubtedly there were always persons willing to receive the emoluments of office without doing the duty as well as his lordship. What does lord Melville do? He gives up 4,000l. a year of his income, as secretary of state, and takes 4,000l. as treasurer of the navy. What does this prove, but that the one was better than the other; that the one promised to yield more in future, that the one was male, and the other female, the one finitonly 4,000l, a year, and the other was productive of a great deal more. This is what, on reflection, more and more strengthens my suspicions, for suspicions I admit they are, and must be till they are confirmed: but whether they are confirmed or not, perhaps I do not feel that they constitute the principal article of charge. The principal article of charge has been substantiated, has been acknowledged by the noble lord himof suspicion that he has swerved one hair's breadth, one scruple beyond his duty, it is necessary for the dignity of the house of commons, and for the satisfaction of the people of this empire, that he should not again execute his office, and that others

humble address to his Majesty, praying his majesty to remove lord Melville from his councils for ever, and from all offices held That during pleasure of the crown. The noble lord is not the only one by many who has given in his resignation to his majesty. The right hon, gent, opposite has heretofore done the same. But if lord Melville is suffered to retire with his dignities and riches, his resignation will not affold a satisfaction to public justice; and beyond that I do not make four. I am sure, according to lord wish to proceed. As to the punishment of the noble lord, if the public was not coacerned, I would say, "go forth, let us hear of you no more." That which he has suffered by the disgraceful situation he has_ deposed himself to, is infinitely more than I wish him to teel: but the end of punishment is example, and unless he is punished beyond what he has already suffered, he is not sufficiently, punished. We know that lord Mekille, down to a very late period, has had the confidence of the crown: that the confidence of the crown has been expressed towards him so strongly, that, since the last administration, an addition of 1,500l. a year for life has been conferred on him. It is on the table, printed and known to the whole world, that after lord Melville's having retired to Scotland, I should have supposed for life, he was-by the exigencies. If the country? no, but by the circumstances of the right hon, gent. opposite, called from his retirement, and given a situation of the greatest responsibility the crown had to give, except that which the right hon-gent burseli holds. He was ful, the other not; that the one produced to receive the concluments of such orive. That was not enough; he was to have 1,500l a year more. That was not enough, for incase he retired, he was to have a grant for late-a patent office; for so long as he should hold the privy seal of Scotland he was to have 1,500l. a year . This is a man therefore under no ordinary cheur's mees. At the time of this advance, which perhaps it may be necessary to enquire into, there was also a grant to lady Mchille of 1,500l. self, under his own hand, and out of his a year of the money of the public, for which own mouth; the house of commons has no service has been rendered; granted, if decided on it, and where there is ground not in a clandestine manner, at least in a way that those who affixed the seal to the patent did not understand the nature of it. What office lord Melville holds during pleasure, besides the one which he has resigned, I do not know: I'do not know that a pension of the kind I have stated should be deterred from acting in the same can be valid; or whether, supposing a ser-

vant of the crown to have misconducted himself, such a pension may not be revokable? If it is, it ought to be revoked; if it is not, so much more the pity. Having taken up more of the time of the house than I at first intended, I shall not longer intrude upon their attention, meaning to conduct myself as becomes me towards the members of the house, and particularly those who agreed. with me, and trusting that those who dis agreed with me on a former evening, will agree with me this night, because there may be many who will now imagine, that they house, having come to the resolutions found! ed on the report, ought not to stop shorf, and may therefore concur with me in the vote that lord Melville should be removed from his majesty's councils. In this hopd, and with this view, and not meaning to be led away by my own saggestions, unless they should be supported by the house, and without any desire of pressing the house to a division, if it should appear that it is not actuated by sentiments similar to my own, I shall conclude by moving, "That an humble address be presented to his Majesty, praying that his majesty will be graciously pleased to remove lord viscount Melville from all offices held under the crown during pleasure, and from his councils and prosence for ever."—The question being pat.

Mr. Carning rose, and spoke to the following purport. However, strongly the hon, gent, who has qust sat down has expressed his desire that the house should on this occasion attend morely to the call of impartial justice, and however desirous he may have been of disclaiming any other motives for the course he has pursued, than what proceeded from a wish to establish an example, such as may effectually prevent the recurrence of the like mischiefs and irregularities in future; I cannot help thinking, and I am pretty confident that maky of those who have heard the hon, gentlemm's speech are of the same opinion, that, not- cusations, relative to which the house have withstanding his professions of moderational decided, had been published against him. he has introduced such topics and spoken in such a tone, as prove him to have been actuated by something more than the motives alleged, and indicate something nuch my own opinion might have been of the nathore bitter than appears to be warranted by the nature of the case under consideration. Gracious God! what measure of justice would the hon, gentleman deal out in this case? What is his object? In the interval 🖔 that has elapsed since the house last separated, lord Melville has, in deference to the

sign the high office which he held in his Majesty's councils, and yet this ready compliance with your vote is stated by the hou. gentleman as an article of aggravation in the charges against the noble lord. The hon. gentlemm has made that act of the noble lord a ground of accusation against hum, and seems to have considered his deference to the authority of the house in the light of a subterfuge to evade or disappoint justice. What would have been the Kelings and the animadversions of the hone gent, what his expressions of indignation and resentment, if the house had met this day, lord Melville, instead of bowing to its decision, still continuing to hold the office of first lord of the admiralty? (A cry of hear! hear! from the opposition benches) Would be not have made that the ground of further and increased invective? (Still a loud cry of hear!) I take for granted, from the symptoms on the other side of the house, that the gentlemen there assent to these assertions, they would have considered ford Melville's continuance in office as an aggravation of his guilt, and yet they now condemn him for his prompt acqui, scence in their wish What course then is lord Melville to pursue to avoid their wrath, if what he has done, according to the notification of my right hon, friend, be made the ground of invective against him? and is this to be taken as a specimen of the fanness of the motives upon which gentlemen boast of acting, and of their impartiality in acting upon them? Sir, the bon, gent his applied to me for internation whether I have dismissed Mr. Trotter from the employment which he hold under me in the navy pay office ! I have teld bun that I have done so. After the decision of the house on Monday night, I could not have a naoment - hesitation. Some gentlemen thought proper on a former evening to ammakert in terms of severity on my having retained Mr Trotter in office, after the ac-But of my conduct in that instance, I trust every candid man will approve Mr. Trotter I considered on his trial, and whatever ture of the charge against bim, and the de-gree of his guilt, it struck the that it would be extremely unfair to prejudice the public mind against his case, by dismissing him under such circumstances. He stood in such a situation that I could not feel it consistent with public justice, to fix a seal of decision of this house, thought proper to re- infam; upon the man by dismissing him

while his trial was pending. But the moment the sense of this house was known, when I no longer could prejudice a man whose guilt, till declared by a competent tribunal, I should have felt it unfair to prejudge by any act of mine, I removed Mr. Trotter; and my reasons for not removing him before that sense was known, will, I have no doubt, be deemed satisfactory by every dispassionate mind.—Nay, I have little doubt that although a learned gent. (Mr. **Ponsonby**) remarked with such severity on my conduct on a former evening, that hon. gentleman himself will, upon a little reflec-! tion, if he possess the mind and feelings of a British lawyer, be ready to confess that he was wrong, and that to have taken a different course from that which I have pursued would have been unfair, tyrannical, and oppressive. With regard to Mr. Wilson, who holds a secondary station in the navy pay office—to him I do not conceive that any imputation attaches, that would warrant me in acting towards him in the manner which the hon, gentleman desires. On the contrary, I consider Mr. Wilson to be one of the most industrious and deserving servants of the public. But, says the hon, gentleman, Mr. Wilson is reported by the commissioners as having given a reluctant testimony; as having refused to answer question-, lest they should tend to criminate himself Su, the conduct of Mr. Wilson before the commissioners of naval inquiry has been thus explained to me; and not to me only, but, if I am not much misinformed, to the naval commissioners themselves, I speak in the presence of one of them (sir C. Pole), who will correct me if I am wrong, though they have not thought fit to report that part of Mr. Wilson's evidence. Mr. Wilson acted occasionally, in the absence of the paymaster, and used to sign drafts in the usual form on the bank, for the money wanted for the office. If there was any legal guilt in the manner of executing this part of the duty of the paymaster, and that there was legal guilt in it must not now be doubted, since the house has so decided, Mr. Wilson, so far as he acted in this respect for the prancipal, participated in that legal guilt, and was liable, or thought himself liable, to whatever might be the legal consequences of it. That had ever acted with a view to private emblument, has not been supposed or charged against him. His evidence upon oath expressly denies that imputation. The share which he had had, as substitute for another, in a practice pro-Vol. IV.

nounced illegal, Mr. Wilson naturally supposed would implicate him in the penalties applicable to the illegality of the transaction, and on that ground alone he declined to answer the interrogatories of the commissioners. But although the charge of legal guilt might attach to this man, I believe that he is perfectly free from any imputation on the fore of morality, Still, though I entertain this epinion of Mr. Wilson, if this house pronounced a different opinion, I should bow to meauthority, and remove him from office. But to such opinion has been de-dared, and I think him not at all fairly impacated in the guilt attributed to the transaction which led to the discussion. Why then should I comply with the wise expressed by the hon, gentleman with what justice could I sacrifice a man whom I conscientiously believe to be innocent, to suspicion, or to clamore; unless some new evidence should arise, or some competent tribunal should pronounce Mr. Wilson guilty? I have not, I cannot have, any personal partialary for Mr. Wilson, whom I know only as a clerk in my office: but I will mete out a differenty measure of justice to this or any other man whom circumstances may place in my power to what the hon, gentleman seems disposed to spira to lord Melville. But to return to the question; the hou, gent, his now renewed all the untairness, and apparently forgotte sandthrown aside at the fairness and moderation, of which he thought it necessary to assume the semblance at least in the last debate. He has resorted to every means of aggravating his charge, he has collected every circumstance that could tend to give an unfavourable impression against the object of his accusation, and has even construed the act which was a mark of the noble lord's deference to the house, and of his humiliation, into ah aggravation of his criminality. The hon, gent, at one time calls particular parts of the tenth report dark and doubtful which at another time he assumes to furnish clear and glaring evidence to aggravate the guilt of lord Melville. And he has travelled not only out of the charge itself, but out of the report, into the whole range of party politics, into the history of every action of lord Melville's, political life, te-collect topics, which have no natural relation to the subject properly before the house, and all calculated obviously to inflame the passions upon a charge which its advocates term an appeal to justice.—The hon, gent, tells you, that the motion this night is nothing more than a con-

firmation of the vote of the former night. But the vote of the former night, as amended, only declared that the noble lord had violated the laws but it did not charge him with having dong so for private emolument. You have indeedrecorded your opinion that lord Mckillernas been guilty of a violation of the act of pathament, in consequence of sion. If he means the virtue displayed by which certain advantage; have resulted the house of commons, I cordially agree and ther person. But wer have not this must with him. Whatever I may have presumed another person. But you have not in that with him. Whatever I may have presumed the noble lend held dany particularly to thak of the vote of Mossay night, as such advantages, not declary of the appear hasty or premitive, no may more heartly fore, that when the hoer fait, so there is no that of house capture seems for mother to the particular that I wo not have in the particular that I won the particular that I won the particular that I won the following the fol follow up the vote of last gla vir real 3- | nor me of its own fielings of which right; tency—that is, in this say views and so have for the property of the claim in which the views area. The horse to at a country are exercise of virtue be-will not despond the original views of most men's capacountry has collowed of its justice, comper, and wisdom, by first voting a firm guilty of a les er ofience (1 do not mean to vindicate lord Melville, or exemuate the b fraction of the law for which you have field him responsible & but an edobce merely legal is less in the tree of every han than the gress in ral guilt which the hon, gent would now enpute to him. and which he would fain persuide you, efficient to your exh knowledge and recollection, you result to impate to him yourselves), and then turn as tound and opportioning the junistiment, as to the orime of which it has found for Mer alleger to the following the statement of the original design of the land of the following the statement of the st guilty, but to all the fool asperace, and aggravated charges which the him yet a has in • this stage of the best ness though it decent to heap upon him; to vibil charges the house is no party; and when it not only did not caneram by its vote of the last night, but upon conference of a continue of the continue of th of notice to home pent, has dischared any other motive for his monor this night Against one who never was himself tuspected of deserving the character of a bitter po-

would challenge any man to produce an instance where party prejudice has been found to obstruct, or delay, or influence the promotion of merit, whether political or military.—Sir, the hon, gent, has congratulated his country on the extraordinary public virtue which has been manifested on this occaerty, and be or it the usual practice of the country and the times in which we live. The han gent, must have gene far back into the fines of encient Greece and Rome to find models of that sort of virtue. There he will have for al, no doubt, that when a great political definquene was to be brought to justice, and an appeal made to the people to aggravate the severity of punishment, the the roser was not gonerally found among those who had received any injury from the accused: bu' among those whom he had served. And, sw, when I look back to the proceedio s of this house in the year 1795, when I recollect the secious charges which were then brought forward against two most eminent community, now members of the other house of parliament (and for their services will epull a to that distinction); when I recolled that in the debates which arose upon those harges, their most active defender, their med indefatigable advocate, was that xery noble lord who now is made the theme of the hen gent,'s violence and invective; but a view to public justice. What has been read when I see that noble lord, now no already done toward that each is the sade linear a minister, already pulled down from of humiliation to which the n ble lord has the high eminence on which he stood, and been reduced nothing? Is such a cird to such prostrate at the feet of the house of commons, a political the nothing? Has not the noble no longer formidable from power or danlord already suffered quite enough to disarm gerous from influence; when I see him now, any set of men not actuated by the most after his political clistened has ceased, after rancorous feeling of party counity? And the crimes of his political nature (be they against whom is this entity directed? what they may) have been severely visited upon him; when I see him now in this defenceless state perfecuted and hunted down, Pitical antagonist. In any of the public si- | and by whom? by the friends of sir John mations which lord Mchille has occupied, I Jervis and the kindred of sir Charles Grey;

praise of Spartan inflexibility, of move than ever part of their conduct, and appeal to the Roman virtue: but while humbly and at a fair decision of the house? The right hon, distance I admire the exertion of these high gent, has spoken as it illese noble persons qualities in him, I pray to Almighty God to received favours from the noble lord who

this business, and in answer to this accura- grates de? Am London to be told to a the notion it is only note say, to very that I am the lord who especiate in the success the noquite unconscious of any such had riness, and ; ble lords was conferring a favour on them, quite unconscious of any such had ruess, and ble lords was conferring a favour. On them, it would, I think, he is her difficult for the por any of those with whom they were consight hom, gent, to shew in what way it has been evinced. He chooses to congratidate me and my hom, filend near me (Mr. Whitebread) on our more than Spartan virtue in voting against the noble lord, who, as he deleges, was the champion or those who are dear to us by the tractor blood or the connections of trendship. He tells us that the public service? The ruling how we was disinterested, and he admires this point, or ascertaining how fin the noserous telegrations. relations was disinterested, and he admires this point, or ascertaining how far the nothe return we have made for these magna- ble lords at that time defend a minisnimous excreons. This, sir, is a stratege ters, it is afficient for me to say, that to kind of longuage, but a vail be proper for ampley a valuated military men of all difme to call the attention or the house to the for nt parties, is no proof of the moderate n circumstances to which the right han, gent, of ministers. They are compelled, whether has alluded. It is sufficiently in the recel- they will or not, to enapley the exices of lection of the house that there noble loss those who lare a stinguished by their talents

I cannot, sir, refuse to the hon, gent, the they not call for the fullest investigation or spare me the pain of being ever called a point appeared in their defence; that I utterly disto imitate his example! Mr. Grey—I rise, sir, under no inconsiderable share of embarrassment. I was in leaved with credit and honour those by
some doubt whether I should take notice of whose they were coupleyed. This sort of
the observations of the right hone gent, at all limits for merely but it expandicuIt is, indeed, ampleasant to me at all this solutions and unit was one to the country
to enter into any thing in this house where whose line this they had promoted. Will
I am personally concerned. With regard to the right hone gent opposite, who, on the
whatever I or my hone relation have done, I include the injurious forms an impury
do not feel that we have included the reproof part, since I do believe we
neither of us have my obleption to confess
to the zeal, importality, chab into a leave the due, whose the leave is a labeliage
to the zeal, importality, chab into a leave to the leave that their conble lord. The right long at least to the labeliage was an and in above a payorate, ethis, was couled to the least, happendiage and
this business, and in answer to the accusegrate de? Am how one is tool to a the nobeing in the service of gove nment, r.e ived or their extraction, and the number who only bare justice from the moisters of the would according a condition of multiple could not long provide in the condition of multiple could not long provide in the condition of the could not long provide in the condition of the country represents atterly represent both to the country of the conditions. tinguished the late war, the two poble lords, house and the country. It is harmy possi-triumpling over difficulties of a very formit ble to conceive muisters guilty of a reater dable nature, had eminently distinguished crime than retesing the services of persons themselves. On the a recorn to this country, of known talents, and to whose employment from the West Indies, some distantion the only existing of judy it is some differarose, and an inquiry was proposed by some sences of political opinion. But substitute members of this house. What was the con- hon, gent, has represented the defined of duct of these noble persons on that occasion? those noble persons as a work or great diffi-Did they fly from justice? Did they wish to culty. It is of consequence to nee, then, elude inquiry, or did they discover any how the case really stands. Why, sir, as anxiety to conceal their condect behind any nearly as I can recollect, though I concerne mean or dishonourable subserfug. ? On the emember what was the majority, only sesteen contrary, did they not court heady? Dad members, that is the old manufactor on the an-

vision of Monday, could be found to support that charge now represented as so formidable. The case was one of the plainest and least miricate that can be supposed, and, therefore, the hoble lord's defence was, in point of diperdity, trifling, and, in point of justice, the noble lords had a right to expect it from those who employed them. I had; been of heed to say so a meh outlist file, for, after what tellette in the right hope gent, for, after what follets in the noble how gent; it was quite impossible for me to have to mained silent. Indeed, the right hen gent's allusion to this to test was equalled ill judged and impolited. It was calculated to excite years? A chings, without at all advancing that cause which he affected to say the training that cause which he affected to to the warmth with which the noble local has been attacked; but, eatly, I am at a loss to discover where the kast evidence of it has been exhibited. If there felt no personal warmth or yindictive, sourt on the subject. warmth or vindictive spirit on the subject, and I am sure no part of the conduct of my hon friend has betrayed any sppearance of a rancorous spirit. I have supported my hon, friend from a sense of duty, and I only regret that I have been prevented from taking that share fr the discussion which I road have ished. The right hon gent tills of regions, by which no trace has appeared by Fugh there has been no rancour, it was expressible not to feel strong indignation when a stances of strong, coinquestry were discovered. My hon friend proof these delinquipoles, with that force which was peculiar to himself, but he never respirted to asperity of observation wished only the ends of justice to be fulfilled, but when this object is ecomplished, I am sure that my Low thend with never think for a monie at a fundative incisures of pureshment. It is with a view to have these ends of just constituted that the motion of

tions by some corresponding measures Without some others it is almost a nullity to sufter the resolutions of Monday to cemain on the journals of the house. My hon, friend has said, and has said with truth, that the notification of the resignation of the neble lord by the right hon, gent is far from satisfying either the house or the country. The resignation of the noble lord, at apether per and, might have been very proper, but under the pre ent circumsten, is of the country, his more resignation of his situation as sursi lord of the admirally is far from coming up to the sport of those resolutions which will afford such general satisfaction in every part of the empire. Lead Mclville's resignation after the resolutions of Monday was altogether a matter of course. Neither he nor any other man dared to have continued in power after the opinion of this house was so solemnly and so beneficially expressed. The resignation has taken place, but what security have we obtained that he may not, in a very short time, be recalled to a very confidential situation under the crown? The house will be in in more that the noble lead still continues a privy counseller, and one part of my hon triend's motion is, that he be dismused, not only from his majesty's councils, but from his presence for ever. It is not at the same time to be forgotten that the noble lerd at this time is actually in possession of several lucrative offices head during pleasure; and I do not think that, after the resolution we have pissed, his removal from these would be acall carrying punishment to an improper length. $-\Lambda$ fter the declarations we have made, can we take any precautions too strong to insure the object which the impority of the house preferred to lave makew. The right honegent rests a great deal en delicacy, as an argument for resisting my hon friend's motion. I, ser, pay hon friends as this eye in the blought; am a finend to debeact, where it can be excifor 1319 but he has declared that it a satistic cised consistently with justice, but I can factory as in the is given that lead Melville never recede to a preposition for screening has closed his polyical life, be has no wish convicted guilt from adequate punishment, to press the motion at the present moment. By any scruples which false delicacy would This surely is no evidence of tanceur, of impose. With a wish then that our resolu-which the right hon gent, speaks so loudly trons should not be evaded, but carried into is his speech. As to the necessity of the the fullest effect, I should certainly be desirection I have not sort of the sitution in say- lous of seeing my how friend's motion ing, that unless something is added to the 1c- adopted, as of once the most consistent with solutions of Monday might, they are left in- our honorar, nost agreeable to the calls of complete. After saying that the noble lord pistice, most consonant to the expectations had been guilty of a gross violation of an act of the country. I shall say only a few words of parham m, and a high breach of his du-lon some other topes which the right hon ty, we shall not discharge our duty to the point his thought fit to introduce into his country without following up such resolu- speech. The right hon, gent, has spoken of

the arbitrary and despotic dectrines brought [have brought forward those tyrannical, desforward by my hon, and learned relation be- potic, and oppressive doctrines which he suphind me (Mr George Ponsonby); but as poses me to have delivered my hon, and learned relation is himself so juttered any such linguage as could convey competent, it is not necessary for me to trou- the idea of arbitrary printiples or practices, ble you with many observations. But I I am utterly unconscious I was followed may just be permitted to ask, whether there by a right honegent, who is disquestionably be anything estraordinary or inconsistent one of the most sound and constitutional he had previously employed to the most naproper jurposes. If Trotter was not disnused, he ought surely to have been suspended from his office, till the inquiry in this house was closed. The right hen gent has advanced pothing to shew that it was not his duty to have followed this course. This whole speech, indeed, was nothing but idle | rant and fray, containing nothing addressed to the reason of the house, nothing 如 次 effords the least proof that the motion of my hon friend should not be adopted, as the natural consequence of the previous resolutions Concerving, then, this to be the case, I shall support the motion as called for by every principle of bonour, every cemand of justice, and every feeling of regard for our character

Mr George Ponsonly—Mi Speaker; after being so pointedly and personally alluded to by the right hon-gent., I hope I shall be favoured with the attention of the house for a few moments. Since first I had the honour of a scat in this house, I will not attempt to deny that it has ever been in; ardent wish to stand high in the esteem of all the members of whom it is composed, After the vote of Monday, however, a vote so honomable to the character of this assembly, a vie which has exalted its charecor among all orders in the state who value independence and worth, I confess, that to friend and patron, ford Melville, instead of stand well in your opinion has become a weakening, strength ned this opinion. I matter of the utmost anxiety. The right ask, then, after such evidence was given hon, gent has chosen to say, that if I had before the commissioners, if it would have been a British lawyer I never could possibly | been at all extraordinary or unjust to have

Of having be any thing estraordinary or inconsistent one of the most sound and constitutional with place, any thing inconsistent with the weavers, and a judge in one of the highest character of a British lawyer, any thing at a heart in the kingdons, and it is rather retyrannical of Nespotic. In having a person been mise that he took not the least notice of accused of a most aggravated crime accured which he bosed arbitrary doctrines, though previous to his tigal? Could this be called abberrap he he had be accused of prejudging a criminal, because he had be accused of prejudging a criminal, because he had be accused of prejudging a criminal, because he had been they were accused. It such doctrines had been fully on fairly considered. Here, had complete the strong had have however, we were talking of a person not down arbitrary and the strong are the same accused, but ferrel quilty. I put it of the doctrines which many of the most eminent house, whether, after the carcumstances of lawyers that this country eyer saw have not house, whether, after the cacumstances of lawyers that this cacumity eyer saw have not Trotter' consect were known, it was at all scrupled to ayew. The right hon, gent, deecht to employ him in a smarten which lowever, tells me that it I were a British lawyer, Leould not possibly avow the princycle beimputes to me. I m not ashamed at any time, sir, or under at a counstances, of my country, and Nope I shall never be sulty of an action which will give my country cause to be a namely of v an As, however, the right horver, the right horver, the right horver, the right horver, horve of hie as the right hon goth at the honours and tewards which the right hon gentleman now enjoys. What I have carned of honours and rewards have been the result of long laborn and partial exertion; but this I can safely assure the hogse, that never till now have a been accused of being an arbitrary or unconstitutional lawyer. I said, sir, alluding to the associated at a Trotter, if at encumstances had been disclored at a shewed that he ought not to have been employed in the office of many ster of the navy and in the office of paymaster of the nacy, and I am still of the same opinion. But on what principle is it that the right hou gent. accuses this declaration as inconsistent with the principles of justice. What are these principles? they are pluply these:--that Mr. Trotter had, by his own evidence, proved his guilt, and that the testimony of his

with which the employment of a perion even susperted of malversation does not appear to me to be very consistent. If the right hou, member had acted in this ways the service, and that the public are told, in am fully sensible that neither the hour pictures of publication, by the friends the country would have blamed his gent, affication after publication, by the friends the country would have blamed his gent, afficiation after publication, by the friends that a sincere respect for the riess was equally the first house—that he has been condemned them it is house—that he has been condemned to the riess was equally the first house. tain a sincere respect for the ress was equally them it is a line of the ress was equally them it is a line of the ress was equally to that without greathers without at a clear that the offered to call evidence to prove the falsity of the constations against him, but was refused—that, in short, he is a much proceed, and nuch injured man. Let me suppose that, by these delusions, the strong sentiments of horourable indignation, what I say, for the paints to state accounted, what I say, for I protest, with all evailing him off or these for ourable changes, my estern for his talents, I would not roish the idea of his makers and a constant dealers, the roise on the doing measure I once more my estern for his tracers, I would not reach should, doing, the new so of parliament, the idea of his making specific for in — Having said to is write a general field of the area of the area of the area of the contraction and the source of the contraction, the general questic, induce the house. My should be removed the complete of the complete of his contraction, the general questic, induce the house. My should be removed the complete of house of the complete of his contraction of the contraction of th not old us, what is much more important, what was more infinitely connected with the resolutions of Monday night, that lord Melville has closed his political life; that he is never more to have any share in *the administration of the affairs of this great empire. We all know what are the connections of the Noble level, how far extended are the resource, of his influence. None of us are ignored, show multipliely he possesses the confidence of the ministers of the crown how are ons they would, at any time, be to receive the advice and supe the noble lord shall not again to we it in his port. We have, independent of a motion power to have any veight in our national similar to that of my hon friend, no security councils. I wish to have the public money against his return to power, a thing totally placed safely beyond the reach of the corinconsistent with the resolutions of Monday, rupt and proffigate. I am willing to shew and which would render them mere waste as much tenderness as possible to the noble paper on the journals. But even admixting | lord, though I confess I never had the hon-that lord Melville did nominally retire, let | our to exchange one word with him in the it be kept in mind that he still continues a whole course of my his, but I shall be a prive coencetter, as my hon, friend below me (Mr. Grey) has already very properly remarked. While the connection of the no- men. I am clear, sir, for making every

suspended Mr. Trotter till his innocence or 'country continues such as it now is, I proguilt was fully ascertained? I say, in such fess that I am not satisfied that we have a suspension there was no prejudice. There gained what our grand struggle of Monday was, on the confiary, that siriet regard to so justly entitled us to expect. Let me supthe proper applifation of the public namey, pose that this intimacy continues, and that the minister employs his influence to misrepresent and vihfy the decisions of this house. tensive their influence, to an ignominious punishment? It is to goard equally against this and any smooth case, that I am inclined to support the motion of my bon, friend. The fight hone gand strongly recommends to us the propriety of lemmey in our proccedings; I am no eremy to leutency when properly exerted, and I have no wish that the noble lord should be treated with unnecessary severity; but, before I can be lenient, I must know that the care of pablic justice is recure. I must be satisfied that party in lemity to no man tall I have provided against peculation among great public ble logg with the administration of the public delinquent refund what he has upI shall certain'y support my lion, friend in all his motions for carrying through this glorious work of reform. While I shall support the motions for calling lord Mclville and Mr. Trotter to a strict account, I shall be equally a friend to any investigation of the control exercised by the treasury over the inferior offices. It is the duty of the hub-paymasters of the navy, which accounts treasury is see that negligence and waste do not exist in the inferior department. I do not impute corrupt practices to the right I do not exist in the interior defar ment. In a same of the first point of the first poin without strong evidence; but I do see from the report, that the superintendence of the treasury has, in many instances, been criminally relaxed. The rank of the commissioners is not to screen them from inquiry; and I trust the fature proceedings of the house will fully prove the truth of this opi-Though I am a representative for another part of the kingdom, I shall feel no hesitation in saying that I consider myself as much a representative for Great Britain as for Ireland, and on all occasions hall endeatour to act on this liberal principle. If the motion is persisted in, it certainly shall have my cordi d vote

Mr. Conning explained, and stated that lord Meletlle was as effectually excluded from his project is concerds, by what had already talen place, as he could be by any resolution of the house to that evect.

Mr. Sanded Thornton rose, in consequence of the advision mode this night and on a preceding one, to the cylid neo of an experienced and incritorious officer of the beak before the commissioners of navalenquity. That other (Mr. Newland) had there intimited that the drafts passed by the treasurer had not always expressed the service was drawn." The fact was, that Mr. New- ther proceedings. land, though at the head of the cashier's department of the bank, was not the officer Jusion made by the right hon, gent. (Mr. under whose inspection the detail of this Canning) to the two honourable persons branch of business was carried on, and there- whose naval and military conduct had been fore had only delivered a matter of opinion. the subject-of-inquiry in that house the be-The onicer who paid the drafts from the ginning of last war, was very injudicious,

justly obtained out of the public purse, and havy office had a sured the hon, member, that after every research in his power, and to the best of his accollection, no draft had ever been paid without specifying the service. Since August, 1863, the mode of conducting the business had been varied, and sums were written off at one by the bank from the treasurer's account to That of the were kept also with that corporation. The hon, sent, mentioned that allesions had them they were founded at a using. What right have presed why anyothog gendemen they connected using post explain. With aspect to by. Trothy and Air. Wilson, every apprehension confidence of their employer or using Left, and his present. ployer, or misap Lattle public money, were at an end. The right hon gent. (Mr. Canning) had sent an order this morning to the bank, prehibning any money being given to any person connected with the naval department, without a draft signed by himself.

The hon cent said, that he had voted in favour of the resolutions because the thought it his duty, because the hoof Llow, in his opinion, had committed hunger but he has unwilling to go for her upon an a obtain more evidence than the young and a before them? The motives them the hold that noble lord had acted. The fusion dones he was ready to admit, and had voted accordingly, but the biggs to the history had been accordingly. but that his conduct had proceeded from corrupt motives, he thought entanted ver to be proved and roundliss inding, it evetore, the rote he had (iven on Monday night, he could by no meeting along with the hon. on which they were drawn, conformably to gent, it his mocon of tranight. He could the provisions of the act of perliament. If not help the facting have long the noble this were the case, the bank were certainly lord had been available and active servant of to blame, as he held in his hand a copy of the public. From this receiver, he had the power under which the paymaster had given his former vote with regret; and, passed his drafts from the year 1786 until from the same circum tance, could not be the resignation of lord Mobility, this power induced to tro further makes. Give the most the resignation of lord Melville; this power induced to go forther, unless from the most stated in express words, " that he should be positive evidence of the corrupt intention of particularly careful to specify in each and the noble lord, which evidence was not at every draft the service for which the money present of such a nature as to justify any far-

Mr. Barkan was of opinion, that the al-

and could serve no useful purpose. The quiries were also to be instituted. part which he himself had taken in that dis**cussion** he had been prompted to by what | **he** had at that time conceived to be a sense of duty, however painful he had telt the The two poble lords, however, had been amply acquarted by a vote of the house, the public. In regard to the question now imperchment necessary before the house, he thought this step moved any means say that such y fu should be be from supporting any measure that he thought had its origin in the smallest degree from a rancorous or persecuting spirit. On the contrary, this, he maintained, was a meisure of justice, and essential to the honour and digraty of the house. He hoped, therefore, the motion would be persisted in,

Mr. Bankes disavowed any political connexions that could warp his judgment on any great quotion of justice or policy. The war he had covered on Monday night had been placed. Agreeted, and had not arisen from the historical from the hour results that had to he would take the about the hour results to the historical form. the therty, however, though unconnected with any pirty, to recommend to the hongent not to persist in las present motion. Was the hon, gent, ecitam that the circumstances of the case, taken in the whole, was but a part of the measures that were resolutions. intended to be adopted, and that other en-

should be told that it was to prevent the noble lord from ever again returning to his majesty's councils, but there was no probability, in his opinion, that he would again be restored. Indeed, he's ad, it might not be impossible but faither inquiries might and had since justified the good opinion of lead to discoveries that might render an He did not by before the house, he thought this stepenored by the hon, gent absolutely necessary to the tarther inquiry, but that such might be carry into effect the resolutions formerly voted. It was by such a measure only they he wever, be the effect, it would then be could tell his principle that it is a second tell his principle. could tell his majesty that so the persons were time enough to have recourse to such mea-improper to hold any office of trust or configures, for at present he deemed them prefidence The pie of he maintained, or mature. It had been said, that the resolutions of the former night would prove method fewer enemies keyer that noble lord, and advantage, unless tollowed up by a measure for should be be told amount of the former night would prove method feetual, would be attended with no material advantage, unless tollowed up by a measure of the description of that proposed by the hon gent, but it was generally understood that right, he contended, when those resolutions passed, that no farther measures were to be adopted. Had this motion immediately followed the resolutions, it might have been considered as a necessary corollary to those resolutions, and might, as such, have met his support, but the necessity of the measure no longer existed. The step proposed to the house was, besides, contrary to all the precedents with which he was acquanted. So far as his knowledge or experience extended, it never had been the usage of the house to address his majesty against persons out of office. Such was the case in respect to sir Robert Walpole, lord Kanelagh, and others whom the hon gent. mentioned, against whom no address of removal had been presented to his majesty, though previously perhaps in the contemplastinces of the case, taken in the whole, would warrant such a measure. At any tion of parliament, when no longer in of-rate, he thought it extremely ill-timed, and the same sense of duty that had prompted bim to vote with the non, gent sen Monday from office those who were in no office, night would also include him to test the present average. The design of the adjoinment on the former right, was avowedly to decline any measure on the part of the home should see if his minesty of the ascertained. When the house till they should see if his majesty quiries should be ascertained. When the would, from the suggestions of his own royal house should have the whole before them, breast, take any step that might supersede they could then more justly estimate the the necessity of any further operations on degree of odium that was to be attached to the part of the house. The result had been a conduct which, though pregular, and in favorable to the wishes of the house, and violation of an act of parliament, might yet had rendered, in his opinion, this measure admit of many circumstances of mitigation. But why, he asked, such In such a discovery he declared he would eagethess to follow up the blow that had have much more pleasure than he experimeed been already even? for this, be understood, before in the vote he gave in favour of the

Mr. Windham, though not generally in

who had just sat down, (for he and the hongent, were rarely on the same side of the question, though they often sat on the same bench,) agreed with him in one point at If the qualification proposed could present be acceded to, consistently with the feelings be acceded to, consistently with the feelings (Mr Caphing) had said, that it ought to be soft the house, the motion made by 's hon. I a rule not to proceed with pas ioo and vioriend should not be brought forw. What capet a good rule, certainly, but extremegenth mensawould have a soft the fait by in observed on the part of the right hon. If they had, let it be shown by arways to soft the same as the motion, while some steam and the first the right hon, gent, the motion, while some of the house the cutton and regular form of the house. It all made a great fort, such a one as, he was jets ade l, we did detall them. He censured those who had recourse to any them. He construct those who had recourse to argument founded on delicacy and son sentiment, which should never be introduced or countenanced in a grave assembly. He was less interested for the noble lord from private motives than many others, though he was not uninterested. But when this interest \mathbf{w} is made use ϕ to influence the decision \mathbf{q}^{ϕ} a que tion, of which was the sest mode for the house todo a duty, he could not listen to a H. this resolution was a necessary corellary the other night, he as no reason why it should not be a necessity corollary now. The motion went (ar beyond what had been done, masmich as what had been done was no security for the perpetual exclusion of the noble lord, though it was to be expected be would not be reinstared. The only excuse that could be offered to the public for not following up the resolution agreed to on the other night was, that in the progress of the future investigation matter of extenuation may come out He did not think that could be. He thought the house justified in the vote of the last night, because the whole of the case was before them. If he did not think so, God forbid that he should object to any thing that could place the case in a fuller or purer view! There was nothing to impeach the decision then made on the failest motives: he should, therefore, icwere in power; they were so linked and hon, friend as a necessary inference and go-VOL. IV.

the habit of agreeing with the hon, gent, best judgment should therefore not be given up under less than an express pledge for what was implied in the resolution of the former night. It was, therefore, necessary to follow up the resolutions, in order to have security for the fidure. A polit hon, gent. (Mr Capning) had said, that it gught to be to the things and or time of the whole. langdom, the wisher the they how d contime the caloris, ne vished to take secounty against their talking only. It would be a limencible instance of the mutability of open as it they should forfeit, by a latto ence or a ngrete, the heal, hone its which their concept on he piece an evening had of fined them from all seat of people, bonours "which hould be winn now in their now tigloss". The right houngent. had induced impiself in the use of some strong expers is as as met the force of popoter provides and chimours in that he tally concred with him. A died of many occasion to public charge, and far as to cond many one upon we would be in his opinion, ore of the factorest and cost object acts of which a deliberative body could be capable. But as the noble back was not, as he would contend, been confirmed under the influence of public clamour, he conceived it the daty of the house to proceed and follow up their resolutions of Monday, by agreeing to the motion of his Lon, friend. Leaving out of the question all idea of participation in the profits derived from the use of the public monies, and looking only to the abstract crime, the bare and passon, violation of an act of parliament,' startic world maintain, that the bouse was in duty bound, quire a promise or declaration, which would if they valued consistency, if they valued render it impossible to restore lord Melville, the maintenance of their own honour, to Otherwise, he had such a hold of those who proceed and to pass the motion made by his connected together, that an attempt may be rollary from the resolutions to which they made to counteract what the house had had agreed on Monday. Gentlemen would done. One enstance was well known, in do well to recollect, that they were not in a which, after a censure more strong than that | court of strict judicial proceedings, but in now passed uponford Melville, the noble per- a court where the interests, the honour, and son recovered his situation, and the highest | the feelings of the people were to be conhonous of the country were showered on sidered. They were not to be fettered by him. What the house pronounced in its the ordinary not aims of the judicial tribunals, Λ a

asserted by them in that mode which they thought most likely to establish and preserve \ The noble lord x is undoubtedly cards annels, and he had no objection that he should long remain so that it was as frue, that he was no longer adeneas pritra, and, therefore, he thought it necessary that the proceed in the way most agreeable to terre-house should decline so. If it was under perance and moder tion. I trust, therestood that the noble lord should not be applicate, the hour cent, will me, perset in pointed to any place of trust and confidence pressing the house to a sevision on his as long as the resolutions igreed from Mortuged as an engineer to character the atoption of his house to another; but, the twint half period, it was his opinion that the house it with the house to the house that the it with the house to the motion that the first holds be completed with the house that the present it with the house that the agree to the modeln to a side essition of solution of Monda, and do not that it may say.

specific explination, and it appears to be post. He wished to know, xix he might the wish of several effort confluent that I pot equally core to the motion is well as should goo so to so that place on the whotever are mentioned to the touch in subject of the noble load a condition power, let that time, remained from an full for the the purpers of of the tion, and not with ment of the momen then, was the lattices the view of at all national tendenced, ration which induced him to recommend to then, which is preclude in a from again to be considerable to the considerable tendence in the debate should be because of the house of the house. The lead should not perfect as far as people with those technique of blockfity, and it portant notices at a very lat hoer, and state of the constant of t return to place to completely annial ited, and that you denote whatever need be capited hended to out his quarter. When I make this f trails doctration, I only will it to be mail at the flas is not to lear der ed as continuous in force in give the resolutions! of Mencas, lands, on funne inquires, befound to have been premarile, and should accordingly be ere-eation the journals of the house In any other case but this, I think it is at solutely impossible that enmans a should ever think or recommending the noble ford to a slaw in lies majesty's concess. After this decleration. I do third. that is motion of the har gent, might be the private with, without at all location sinh At 1 the could be called at a to the black of DI n't be a completely

but the privileges of parliament were to be mode in which that principle is to be reduced to practice. I think the explanation I have now given is sufficient to remove all that apprehension on which the hare gene erounds his motion, and sorely it would be but an act of common liberality to the noble loid and his numerous triends to

The Conc. To The Concern —As after the other resolutions were passed, he the right ben pent, has thus reputed some moust in coalisterey line given it has sup-In a to say a very few words, solery for The only reason which induced a postpotasuch a tindic as that which the right don has some more was naturally to be expect when any jet sat down had alleded proved on so but a single subject, he was to condition that the necessary of personny because the contract density of the contract of the personny of the contract of th in the metion, I am sure that every an opportant, or dealering his sentiment les in a tracked would be a complished. The had sulf, that among other advantages I have we he dation as all, a minight, in [xd], havourland of the delivered to dialect savone, thread idea or the orbit lordy going the mentity on opportunity of propeak performing that duty, but be never even him. I that the ident of his seed which his hou. In all peaceth inted to the house would not be be as flat forward. If the supporc of the from gent to the fell week, therehas, now to that was enter by lost, he cause his here facilitated himself had proceeds for a ple by that the motion would be a byted as an ob, our cero lar, igan the Resilieners, and that, very again this light, the child well d to the at a mention, ad very on ham - After the equation betory observations, Mr. Box projected in scheamed as follows -- The right home go, to video scale second in the depate, less del cened lemes han a mano se that it is for the core as her profession to have no view freally become worth while to take notice of The home one is a great of the gen - has observe in a Thomson lations of the

so fully to have overpowered his mind, that he has this evening taken an opportunity of throwing forth his indignation without providing himself with any grounds on which to exercise it. He his chosen to attack, without the slightest appearance of buth, my learned and hon, friend (Mr. G. Pon onby) as the author of arbitrary and [because he says, that a person proved by evidence to have been guilty of a most corright use of the public money, should at least be suspended from his office till the charges against line be fully investigated. Now, all that I have to say on this is, simply, that if such doctone be arbitrary, the most eminent lawyer or the kingdom have never been backward to promulgate it. It is a docume universally acknowledged and acted J on in all the relations of life. When we hear or real of a servant, or a steward suspected of peculition or any other breach of mist, and not merely suspected, but actually confessing guilt, we of course order il em to que the office where the grounds of suspicion arese, concurring that persons so s thated are uttedy unworthy of trust. But, perhaps the right hore gent meant to take up the bunk sof the Tenth Report, and was therefore unwalling to as in the charge of prejudice by the discharge of Mr. Trotter before the trial took place. Under what cocumstances is it that the right hon, gent. determined to retain Mr. Trotter in the important office of paymaster of the navy? He had heard that before the coamissioners he refused in some cases to answer questions at all, and in others had given equivecating replies. He had heard that he not only refused to answer questions to which, supposing him innocent, the reply was quite obvious, but he had known Mr Trotter to have used every effort to retard the investigation of the commissioners, and after all this previous knowledge, the right hon gent retains him in his employment as paymester. What, then, is the reason for this most extraordin my conduct? It if, sir, that Trotter's case was sub judice and the right hear gent. does not wish to prejudge him on his trid. The right hon, gent, has this evening declared that Profter is deanissed, we as he is as much subjudice as habast, on at any priod since the commissioners have dether exa-

to have irritated the right hop, gent, and mination. Mr. Trotter has not been formally condemned by the house, for we have found him guilty only collaterally, our resolutions on Monday evening being exclusively directed against lord Mchalle. Pechaps, sir, the light hon, gent was alarmed by the impression made by our proceedings, (and they were well calculated to make an impression Chipersons like the right hon gent ,) and by do pota destrines, and on this Ushall non a sudden impulse of feeling the ight it most long detain the house after what my learned prudent to discharge Mr. Teotics without and hone friend his said, in so satisfactory at further delay. I compote impote this decision manner. The right, hone cent accuses my to any other principle, or all the research hon and learned therefor arbitrary doctrines, I that operated for retaining Trotter for saveral morths back still continue, in force --The next feature in the very extraordinary speech of the right hou gent, were the arguments he used for the ionicat application of our resolutions against load Melville, and the circumstances on which this leasty is to be founded. Perhaps, in what I am now about to say, the right bon gent, may think me bitter and ancorous, but, in spite of this, it teel myself called on to say, that I shall never sit in this house and patiently hear these extravagust panegyres on lord Melville's public conduct. I am at a loss where to find what are the caconistaness which are to incline us so powerfully to mercy. What particular clair is doles he posses to induce the house to proposed his a conte rated offenor with a compartitor triffer, punishment. Is this more to lenty to be found in the caperness which his lordslap has ever shown to heap up conclusions, and to systematize corruption * Is was the got to the chamberlainship of Fite exacted to his wife, with arrears to a vast amount, procured under false pretences? Is it in proming a year ago fit sen hundred a ye r in edition, not, sir, to the salary of first load of the admiralty, for I know that is very in alequately paid, but in addition to his salary as lord mivy seal for Scotland? But, sir, the right hon, gent, lays a great deal of stress on bis discovering no political or party । कर्ली कर क the appointment of officers, either for the naval or military service. I deny, sar, that there is the least merit in this supposed inpartiality. It is what every mulaster, v haever he is, is obliged to preserve an appearance of, as an open dereliction of it would be attended with instant disgrace. I need not remind the house that lord North sent sir Charles Saunders and admiral keppel to Faulkland Islands, though that expelition unfortunately tailed. Indeed party distinctions were almost always from necessity overlooked. But, sir, I cannot ben the

right hon, gent, stating that the noble lord to whom they, were naturally so strongly atwas free from party violence, without re-1 tached. Sir Charles Grey and sir John Jarminding the house of one or two cream- vis, were selected for a very diffigult service stances, which demonstrate the consence of an the West-Indies, which they performed party spirit in all its most in obe air and dis- with gallantry. Some misunderstanding, gusting features. I shall menuon one, Sir, ! which fell within my own knowledge, and charge was preferred against them in this which will fully illustrate my position. At house, If I recollect right, there were three a period of the late wir, when the danger of invasion was supposed to be at the height, when others of voluntary service were eagerly accepted, a numerous and local body of hink which the noble lord had so much merit men in Taylstock made, a tender of their h combating. I take it for granted that he services. The tender was refused by this self same mode to lard Mehalle, on the sole ground, for no other could be alleged, that , the corps, when raised, was to be commanded by the late duke of Bedford. It may perhaps be imagined, that my feelings at the recollection of the deceased are so strong as to hurry me into some degree of exaggeration; but I solerally protest that I am stating the matter precisely as it happened. And yet, sir, we are to hear of lord Melville's moderation and period freedom from all party spirit. There is another circumstance, which also pretay strongly illustrates his lordship's forbear mee and superiority to any cf the workings of the angry passions. It is well known that the dean of the faculty of adjocates in Edinburgh is generally the most dument person in the profession, and that it is seldom customary to mterfere with him from any political considerations. Let this mild and moderate dord Melville actually did intertere, and by employing all the influence of government against the hon. Henry Erskine, a gentleman confessedly the most eminent at the Scotch bar, was actually dispossessed of a situation which he had for many years held with the greatist honour and credit. So much, sir, for the boisted liberallity of the noble lord, which we are called on to look to for a motive to influence our decision!—As to the favour bestowed on two noble lords, on which the right hon, gent, rested so much stress, I entirely agree with my hon friend near me (Mr. Grey) in every one of his observations. The right hon, gent, says, that my two hon. filends must possess more than Spartan victue to be able to follow that line of accusation agatest the noble ford which they had pursued. If extraordinary exertions in virtue were required, I do not know any men in whom they would be more readily found than in my hon. friends. But I must beg leave to say, that they are under no oblig itions to the noble lord

however, arising, they returned, and a divisions on the subject, when the minohey were successively thirteen, fourteen, and prenteen, and this was the tormidable phabelieved the charge to be fulse; and if he did believe it to be unfounded, what merit had he in defending the gallant officers? It was no more than an indispensible duty to those whom he had employed on a difficult service, which they executed with promptitude, vigour, and success. If this be merit, it is impossible to say, sir, how far the line of obligation may be extended.—An hon-gent. under the gallery (Mr. Samuel Thornton). has given a curious reason for voting for the resolutions on Monday night, on which it is impossible for me-not to make a few observations. He says, that he voted for the motion, conceiving the noble lord gulty of a certain degree of negligence and inattention I confess I am utterly astonished at such a declaration, after attending to the language of our resolution, that the noble lord had been guilty of a gross violation of an act of parliament, and a high breach of duty. Surely, sir, this heavy charge is not to be confounded with inattention and negligence. How the hon member could have misunderstood them, is to me incomprehensible, as they were particularly objected to on the other side of the nouse. With respect to the resolutions; it appears to me that they complete the criminal part of the charge against the noble lord, and I am not at present for pressing any further proceedings in that way. If the attorney general is to proceed against him for refunding the money derived from profits of money misapplied, this will be by civil, and not by criminal action, for recovery of money is always ranked among the civil actions. The same observation will apply to any action for recovering grants obtained under false pretences. I have the less objection to press the motion in the mean time, on the grounds of the pledge which the rt. hon gent, has this night so distinctly given to the house. I find, sir, after a careful examination, that during his majesty's long reign, now a period of nearly forty-five for the defence he made or those relations, I years, only the late duke of Devonshire and

myself, have been dismissed his majesty's doors for a long period of time, and that it councils, and I assure you, sir, we want no such person as the noble lord to be our associate. I had almost forgotten Mr. Grattan, who had the like fortune in Ireland. I behere the representatives of the late duke of Devonshire would have no objection, and I am'sure I should be proud in his joining our small sircle. None of us could, however, be proud of any connexion with such a man as lord Melville has shewn himself to be throughout his whole career of life. I have vernment to draw a line of distinction besaid, sir, that I would not now press the tween the monarchical part of the constitumotion to a discussion, in consequence of the right hon, gent,'s pledge, but I should be grieved indeed to see the resolutions passed without being followed by some lasting result. Such a work as that which we on Monday accomplished must not be suffered to pass away unimproved. From one end of the empire to the other the people will rejoice in the hope that a better system is about to be adopted, and we must not let their just expectations be disappointed. It is necessary for us by making lord Melville a signal mark of the vengeance of this house to shew the country that we are indeed their representatives; that we are determined equally to watch over their property and their liberties. The public have received our work with the purest gratitude, but is there no part of this great work to belong to the government?— Is his majesty to have no opportunity of manifesting his paternal interests on the subject? In what situation do we leave our sovereign? The people applaud us in the warmest terms. They say the house of commons have taken up our cause againt the whole host of contractors and peculators. house of lords may do the same; and shall not our beneficent sovereign have an opportunity of expressing the warm interest he takes in every plan for alleviating the burdens and improving the condition of his people? I admire this house as the corner stone of the constitution—as the source of all reforms and improvements--as the balance by which the constitution is kept in purity and vigour. But I do not wish to exclude, the monarchy from its proper share in every beneficent work. I think our resolutions ought to be presented to the throne. Should the house of lords also do the same thing, his majesty hight thus be prevented from expressing his dissatisfaction on the subject, to the great prejudice of the people of England. Is that the situation in which ministers ought to leave their sovereign? The

will be agitated over and over again. It is materially connected with other abuses, and involves the dearest interests of the country. It should be remembered, that Great Britain is at present involved in a struggle which pecasions considerable ferment in the publie mind; and therefore the public ought to be convinced that substantial justice is done to them. No lect on this topic will enable those who are inimical to monarchical gotion and the house of commons; they feeling no mark of disapproval from his majesty similar to that expressed by this house. I warn ministers not to leave it possible for such language to be held. Let them consider it as a question involving the dearest interests of the country, and the honour of the sovereign whom they serve. Trusting, therefore, that ministers will do their duty, I have no objection that the motion should be withdrawn, in the confidence of a more complete and satisfactory explanation and conclusion at a future day.

Mr. Wilherforce said, that perhaps there never was a time when parliament were called upon to interfere in a matter of such importance as on the present occasions and more important resolutions than those which passed on a former night, were never agitated in that house. As guardians of the constitotion, the house were met there to defend it from any inroads that might be made upon it, and he considered the resolutions which had been recently adopted as the most likely way to prevent danger to the constitution of the country, from the abuse of extraordinary power lodged in the hands of an individual. It behaved parliament to interfere whenever the public trust was abused or misapplied, and they should take every occasion to punish the offenders. This was the foremost of its duties. It was his opinion, and he mentioned it with deference, that it was the duty of parliament to inquire into all public abuses, and to follow up their resolutions by a minute investigation. He had declared his opinion on this matter on a former night, and he was perfectly satisfied with the sentiments he had delivered. When he voted the night before last, it was from a strong sense of public duty, and his desire to maintain the character and reputation of that house. He came down to the house this night without house may depend upon it, that this question | any expectation of a measure similar to that will be a subject of consideration out of proposed to the hon gent, being brought

forward He did not say that he should oppose the so rapidly as was proposed by the honexpediency of the measure at some future gentleman, period, and vote against it, but he should. Mr. David Scott —Sir, I merely rise to, he felt the dangerous consequences that were likely to cusue upon the avoid and man-

ecedings of Mondy would be migately if those who have the pleasure of knowing

He had not had any communi- they were not followed up by some metion eation with a single individual upon the like the present, at the same time he subject; and he confessed it was not with- thought that the house should not be teo out some surprise, that he heard such a precipitate in their steps, and he was not measure brought forward at the present time. I sure that it was adviseable to press it forward

not vote for it at this time. An hon, gent, express some reasons which I should hope had said, that the present metion was a di- would, in some degree, operate with the rect corollary of the resolutions of a former, hon mover to withdray the piesent motion night. What occasion then was there for I thould previously apologize to the houle any delay, and why did not the present may for introduct, while under such severe intion immediately follow the former resolu- disposition, as I fear will scarce enable in etions? Upon a conviction of the necessity to make myself heard. Sir, the hon gent of the vote has their gave, he might have who brought forward the resolutions on telt it his duty then to have supported this. Monday, and other gentlemen on it essente Bui; at the same time, he thought coreum- [side of the question, used as their strong, t stances had, since then, been considerably largument, that the public booked to the virchanged, by the resignation of the noble tue and dignity of the back for immensite lord, whom we were informed, had since justice, and for such severe resolutions as retired from office. He had heard, with then were moved. The resolution bere, considerable pain, the sentiments delivered then carried respecting ford Melville, 1 by a right hon gent (Mr. Caaning); and mean to say no more upon it, except that, God knows, the public must think it sixt-ficiently severe. If the present one pristenance of such pernicious principles. He posed was carried, what would the public felt as strongly as that right hon gent the say to it? They would, sir, instead of asimpropriety of actual from prote motives, cribing it to justice, to virtue, and a propeand he strongly consuled such as did. But I dignified conduct in the hours, ascendic in to the right hon-gent around thus "because what the hon, gent, below me (Mr. Fex), I vote he was, you must meretain vote for has so much dwelt upon, bitterness and me." This, he blought, such an e com- rancour. They would say, this he ble lend, modation as was calculated to detect the latter full forty years of most ment rous ends of justice. He thought it was a proof services to the state, and most of these in of honourable considence, when a maister the highest situations, has had a very unemployed persons that daffered from him in married return, severe in the extreme, so sentiment, and he could not but commend much so, that all these measures taken by the noble lord, and every other person who the house, must certainly have arisen, not acted in the same name r. The hon mem- from public virtue, but from a most, 1ber concluded, by entreating the lien, mover i severing viadictive spirit. Sin, this concluof the motion, not to do away the happy points the more natural when we look to the effect of the vote of the former evening, by attempting to go faither than some, he was persuaded, would think it their duty to accompany him. Governed by no popular feeling, he would not say, whether he would could believe a thing of the sort. There is or would not vote for the measure, but no man that has the honour of his acquaintwarmly urged the hon-gent, to withdraw his ance, who does not know him to be meapuble of benefiting by the public money, Mr. Fuller hoped the hon gent would for by any other thing hot perfectly honoursitt the matter to the bottom, and not allow lable. Such suspicion could scarce arise in the depredators on the public to go under the mind of any person, as indeed being tected and unpunished. If there were a directly contrary to the habits of his life grain of ipecacuanha or of emetic tartar in the world, be trusted it would be administicled, from what we all know, if he had tered to the delirquents, to oblige them to chosen to benefit by the public money, he disgorge their ill-gonea gains The pro- length have hed malbens. On the contrary,

him best, I believe know, that if he made not far distant when Scotland would be about ennity and illiberality. I believe, sa, that all who know his lordship as well as I do, know him to be as honograble, liberal, and humane a character as ever existed. The resulting subject which the bon member below (Mr.) For ruged so nuch, of his budship's, viodenote in turning out the dean of faculty, I must take the liberty of saving, is completely unfounded. Lord Melville had no more to do in the turning him out than you had The dean of fieldty, sir, is elected by the majority of the votes of that respectable hody, over whom he presides, and no individual, however powerful could influence them in their choice. I must also touch on something which fell from another bon. member of Ireland felow me, who was effen ted with the right hon good opposite (Mr. Comme) so my that no fittish lawyer: xould have so acted. The hon Irelanember I se does not know that we commonly, when speaking of British subjects, call them English, be they English, Scotch, or hish; he therefore, I hope, will never be offended with the word English being applied in futime to expressiony of his majesty's subjects, or suppose it can be meant as an allusion to any parteolar part of the united kingdom

Mr. Kinmard said, that it was not his intention to have said any thing on the present que alco, had it not been in consequence of what had fallen from the hon, member who spoke last, founded on the observation of a right hon gert opposite to him (Mr. Camano) That right hon, gent had said, that no man could reproach lord Mebille with being a buter political adversity. There was a country which was probably known to the right bon, gent, only by the account given of it by Dr. Johnson. In that country (Scotland) lord Melville was known to be a buter political adversary, and he was therefore the more surprised to hear the hon member who spoke last, who was a native of Scotland, say, that lord Melville did not exert his influence in that country, in a particular instance, which had been al-Juded to by an hon, gent, near him. He would ask that hon, gent, or any other member of the house, to step forward and say that lord Melville had not exerted all his political influence, and in consequence had succeeded in turning off from a post of honour in the faculty of advocates, a gentleman who was an honour and an organisation

the two ends meet at the end of the year, lable to shake off the yoke of the noble lord, he was well plened. Much has been and fand to vindicate the insult which had, through his means, been offered to one of the most learned and best beloved men in the coun-

The Secretary of Har (Mr. William Dindis) and, that he did not expect that how should have felt bunself called on to make any object tions in the course of agetaling the present question. He was aware that it would show very had t*te in 1 im to interfere, and therefore it was not be intention to have done so. Here, however, he telt lamself called on by the hon, gent, who had just set down. How had the hon, gent found out that lord Malville was a latter political adversary? Was it by going to his country maisien, and diveding with him for weeks or months at a time, by reveng in his consisted moment, that be hid ocquired that I noveledge? Had the him, getti only gene to the noble lord us his posments of cerewal ty, now to the up against I in in the hom of his need, when the bon gent was not called on, when, is feet, it was in a ranner understood that the motion was to be withdrawn, and that only for the sche of n he lacing extrancons observations and allegations of the him? On such conduct he have be needed to make no comment. It is easy conserves that the aguerous feelings in the backets of Englishmen would epeck new teachly against such a practice than any thing we could say. With respect to the combenium who had been deprived or the other of deen of the faculty of advocates, the case La1 been altogether merepresented. What was the fact? That gentleman attended a receting or the friends of the people in Edinburgh, where democratic principles were attempted to be disseminated throughout the country; his conduct excited the indignation of the advocates, and animated by the equit the corps, as he might call it, they unanon,ou ly concurred in depriving that gentleman of an office, of which there was no former instance of exclusion.

Mr. Ter begged leave to remark, that whatever had failen from his bon, friend near him (Mr. Kinnuard), had been extorted by the remarks of the right hon, gent. opposite (Mr. Canting).

Mr. Kinned thought that the right hon. gent, had effectedly displayed his bad taste in attacking hum in the manner he had done. Was he to be expeated with invalidate to his profession. He trusted the time and for my pay oper technics because living in

stranger to lord Melville's agreeable convivial qualities, he had occasionally mixed in company with him, and had even been pleased with his society; he had now, when called on by his parliamentary duty, discharged that duty with fidelity, though to the exposure of any thing bla reable in lord Melville's conduct? The Lon. goat, begged pardon of the house for noticing this indecent reproach which had been thrown out against hum. In doing so, he meant only to put himself right with the house, without any regard whatever to the right hon, gent.

Mr Eltison begged pardon of the house while he performed his duty in shortly stating his sentiments on this subject. He had come down the other night, as he had today, with every wish to check peculation, and with every intention to follow up the resolutions of the house, as far as justice might seem to warrant. • Expecting that the whole crime would be made out before the house ought to be called on to pass sentence, he on the former night voted for the committee, thinking that any sentence before the gravamen was made out, was worse than any punishment which the house might afterwards feel itself called on to inflict. The house, however, having determined that there was no necessity for a committee in the first instance, he came down this night with a determination to lend every aid in his power to bring the guilty to punishment, to pledge himself to assist any class of men to whatever party they might belong, who who would institute an enquiry, and punish abuses in every department.

Mr. Whithread hoped it would not be necessary for him to make any apology for offering a few observations on what had been said. He had been airigned by two right hon, gentlemen, both this night and on the former night, for the way in which he had opened the business. On the former night he had been accused of too much passion in his statement. As he was conscious of feeling nothing of the kind in his mind, he hoped the right hon, gent, would do him the justice to suppose that he had, misconceived him in this respect. As to his statement of this night, he denied that he had blaned lord Melville for tendering in his resignation. He thought, on the contrary, that noble lord was right in retiring; but he must be of opinion that ministers were reprehensible in allowing him. There was t is difference between him and Mr. Trotter; Mr. Trotter had been dismissed; he had re- objected to by his hon, friend (Mr. Fox),

the same county with lord Melville, no [tired! He ought to have been dismissed as well as Mr. Trotter The hon gent., however, had been arraigned, as being nearly connected with sir Charles Giev, and also with sir John Jervis, with having omitted to recollect that the noble lord whom he now accessed, had been the advocate and supporter of the two hon, characters never, however, entered into his nimd that those two persons did owe any obligation to lord Melville. He had tever considered lord Melville as their advocate. But, supposing it to have been the case, what was meant to be inferred from the fact? Was it to be alleged that the support which lord Melville then gave his two noble friends was a job, and that he (Mr. Whitbread) was now bound to do a job for lord Mclville? Sir Charles Grey then stood on his trul. He was acquitted, and was twice thanked by that house for his conduct. Lord Melville, it may be said, moved for those thanks. Be it so. But would it be said he did so without thinking them incrited? Far more, would it be urged, because lord Melville chose on that occas: n in abandon his duty; that the hon, men to and an hon, friend of bis(Mr. Grey) were new called on to desert their duty? The right hon-gent. opposite had given a promise that night, that lord Melville should never again hold any office of trust in the management of public affairs; but roight not his majesty change his advisers, and might not lord Melville be then again admitted into his councils? What means had the king of knowing what was done in that house? Had the right hon. gent, communicated their resolutions to his majesty? That could not be, else he must have been dismissed, and would not have been allowed to resign. The hon, member felt auxious that the house should stand high in the public opinion; he felt doubly so, after the proceedings of the other night, lest it should be again let down. He begged to be allowed to state the way in which matters stood on the morning when they last adjourned. Many members were anxious that the concluding motion should then be made. He intimated his intention of bringing it forward that very night. Nothing fell from him indicative of any intention to relinquish his motion; 'he only wished to postpone the moving it for a few hours on account of the exhausted state of the house. The right hon gent, without assigning any reason for the additional delay, proposed an adjournment for thirty-six hours. This was

unless it was understood that in the inter-lever, could not help having also a high val no public business should take place; respect for the authority and opinion of the and the right hon, gent, with a countenance commissioners of naval enquiry; they said, which he (Mr. W.) should not easily forget, and the hon, member said too, that Wilson said, upon every view of the case, it would was an improper person to continue in his be better to adjourn. Could it, however, present or in any other situation of public be in the contemplation of the house, that trust.—The hon, gent, said he could not, this delay was for the purpose of allowing after what had fallen from hon, friends of Sord Melville time to resign? It any mein-his, and from other hon, gentlemen, whose bers, however, found themselves taken by support the was anxious to procure, refuse. surprise, he should withdraw his motion, in the mean time, to withdraw his motion. but at the same time he knew that was not! He was anxious, however, lest the public a thing calculated to satisfy the public. He should suppose that in passing their former said so, not in any spirit of resentment against lord Melville, as if this resolution were necessary to make him feel his situa-If he had any feelings, and the hon. member entertained no doubt he had, nothing could ring them more than the resolutions already passed by that house. He was conscious the sense of the house went with him, that it was necessary that lord Melville should never again hold any office of trust. All, therefore, that he desired was to find out some way of entering this opinion on the journals of the house, and that the motion had on that account been withdrawn. The parallels which had been set up as to the motions against ministers being allowed to drop on their resignation, did not at all apply. They were made on the ground of incapacity; this was founded on a delinquency. He knew that in common cases, to be expunged from the list of the privy council was no disgrace. It had occurred to his hon. friend (Mr. Fox). The right hon, gent, then in the plenitude of his power, had recommended it as a measure proper for his majesty's adoption. He had, however, since retracted that opinion, and had recommended to his majesty not only to restore him to that honour, but to promote him to his highest confidence. He God and man. But, could ever such a recommendation avail in favour of lord Melville, after the resolutions adopted by that | most simple form. house ?--lle was happy to understand that the Bank was not so much to blame as he had supposed; and also to learn, by what fell from the right hon, gent. (Mr. Canning), that the mode of conducting business in his office was entirely changed, such would always happen when principals began to do their duty. But, why, he must ask, was Trotter dismissed, and Wilson not? The right hon, gent, had said, Wilson was a was then agreed to, and it was ordered deserving officer. With all respect for the that such of the members as were of his assertion of the right hon, gent. he, how- majesty's most hon, privy council should

Vol. IV.

resolutions, they had only adopted in a heat what they were unwilling to follow up; he, therefore, had to suggest what he hoped would meet the opinion of every gentleman present, that a copy of the resolutions of that house, of the former night, be laid before his majesty without any comment. By this means he conceived that the house and the public might be satisfied that lord Melville could not, with any consistency, be restored to any office of emolument or trust under the crown. This, he thought, was the best mode of conciliating different opinions.—He then moved, that his original motion be withdrawn;" which being agreed to, the hon, gent, proposed, "that the resolutions of Monday be entered, as read; ** which was also agreed to., Ilis next motion was, "that these resolutions be laid before his majesty.". This motion was agreed to, nem. con.

Mr. Whitbread again rose, and said, that he thought the most solemn mode of carrying such an important step into execution ought to be adopted on the present occasion; on that account the should propose, "that these resolutions be laid before his majesty by the whole house."

The Chancellor of the Exchequer said, that had asked pardon for his offence before he understood the hon, gent, to have meant that the resolutions should be laid before his majesty without any comment, and in the

> Mr. Whitbread replied, that it was his intention they should be presented without comment, but not without form. quoted several precedents to prove the propriety of the mede of proceeding which he proposed, and observed, that even had no precedent existed, that course ought to be pursued which gave most weight and dignity to the transaction.—The motion

would be graciously pleased to receive the to a summons by the Black Rod, on his same.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer said, that the hon, gent, had already given a general notice of some motions he intended to make after the recess: he should wish to be informed, if possible, on what day he in,

tended to bring them forward.

Mr. Whithread said, that on the very first day after the conclusion of the holidays, he meant to move that instructions be given to the attorney-general to proceed legally against lord Melville and Mr. Trotter; and also, that an enquiry should be instituted for the investigation of those parts of the 10th report which had not been already considered by the house. There was one circumstance which he only wished to notice, though he did not mean to be deemed too severe in so doing. He merely meant to suggest to that hon, and learned gent. (the attorney-general) that it might be proper to introduce a restraining bill, to prevent the noble load making away with his property. He did upt mean, however, by stating this, to take the house by surprise.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer hinted, that it was not impossible his majesty might Intimate his wish to receive the resolutions before the adjournment. The extent of the looked into the reports of the commissioadjournment had usually been from Thursday to Monday se'nnight; but he should propose that, in this instance, it might be from Thursday to Thursday fortnight.

Mr. Serjeant Best gave notice, that on an early duy after the recess, he should submit a motion to the house founded on the 11th report of the commissioners of naval enquiry.—Adjourned.

> HOUSE OF LORDS. Thursday, April 11.

[Max wares:] The royal assent was given by commission to the Irish Militia Enlisting bill, the Irish Spirits Permitbill, the Bread Assize, and Boyer's Lottery bill. -The Lord Chancellor stated, that very shortly after the recess, he should move to appoint particular days for the delivery of jumment upon such causes as stood over for uluniatedecision.—The bills upon the table were forward in their respective stages.

Adjournment of Thursday the 25th inst.

Mouse of Commons, Thursday, April 11. house at three o'clock, and after at measure he proposed he had prepared

wait on his majesty, to know when heltending in the house of peers, pursuant return, informed the house that the royal assent had been given by commission to the Irish Militia Volunteer bill, the Irish Spirit Permit bill, the London Bread Assize bill, Boyer's Lottery bill, and several private bills.—Lord Stopford informed the house from the bar that his majesty had been waited on with the address of last night, and had been graciously pleased to appoint 4 o'clock this day to be waited on therewith.—A new writ was ordered for the borough of Malton, in the room of the hon. C. L. Dundas, who since his election had accepted the stewardship of his majesty's Chiltern Hundreds.—The Prize Courts bill, and the Property Duty bill, passed through a committee pro forma, were then reported, ordered to be printed as amended, and the reports to be severally taken into further consideration on the 30th instant.

[PAYMASTER OF THE FORCES REGUL 1-TION BILL. Mr. Rose prefaced his motion for leave to bring in a bill to amend the 23d of the king, as far as it relates to the regulation of the office of paymaster of his majesty's forces, by stating briefly the objects he had in view in bringing forward this measure. He had nors of accounts, and found that no accounts had been passed from the office of the paymaster previous to the passing of that bill; the provisions of that bill were sufficient to secure the passing of the accounts; but they gave to the public no means of compelling the production of vouchers, or of enforcing the payment of arrears that might accumulate in the hands of the paymasters; in consequence of which, considerable risk was incurred by the public, from the length of time that often elapsed before the accounts were audited, One of his objects, therefore, was, to enforce the production of vouchers to the pay-office, and to give process to the public for the recovery of any arrears that may remain in the hands of the paymasters. Another was, to separate the acting from the retired or removed paymasters. There were many provisions in the existing bill which were found inconvenient or unnecessary. Another object consequently was, by omitting these parts, to accommodate the provisions of the bill to the practice of the office, under improved regulations, adopted since the passing of the bill. The

received the approbation of both, and he be irregular to bring the subject then behouse, the end proposed in it being pre- fort of the mass of the people would algisely the same as the hon, framer of the ways have their lordships' support and former bill had in view, namely, to prevent any misapplication of the public nidney. If the house should agree to his motion, he proposed to introduce a bill, and after the first reading to have it printed, and the second reading fixed for this day; three weeks, in order to afford time to gentlemen to make themselves acquainted of the war; but this was unfortunately the with its provisions. The former act having case, which he could not more clearly-ilbeen entered as read, leave was given to bring in the bill; which Mr. Rose brought than by assuring their lordships, that the up, read a first time, and ordered to be quartern loaf was sold in Cheitenham, and printed, and read a second time this day many other large and populous towns three weeks.

month ago he had moved for copies of seve- The difference was nothing to a man of forral depositions relative to the gaol of Kil-Itune, but to a hard-working man it was of mainham, and they were not yet forthcoming. I the first importance, and ought to be re-He was surprised to find so much difficulty duced to its proper standard, if possible. in obtaining papers from Ireland. A simi- He had made the strictest enquiries respecthar circumstance had lately occurred, with I ing the cause of this extravagant differject, in which seven weeks had elapsed, stated this with the utmost confidence, bewithout any icturn being made. He should, therefore, move " that the return for the papers he had moved for should be made! forthwith." Ordered .- The house then adjourned to this day fortnight, and the right hon, the speaker, followed by several members, immediately went up to St. James's with the resolutions of Monday last.

HOUSE OF LORDS.

Thursday, April 25.

[MINUTES.] Several private bills were brought up from the commons, and read a first time.—On the motion of the bishop of Oxford, the committee on the Universities 'Advowson hill was postponed till Monday, secretary of state's office, presented an account of the State of the Gaols in Ireland, during the year 1804.

bill through the house (the Bread Assize tity of grain in the country. It was really

during the summer, and had since submitted | bill). It was his intention, if present, toto the consideration of the auditors of have made some observations, which, from the public accounts, and to the war depart-1 the accuracy of his information, might be ment of his majesty's government. It had of national advantage. It might perhaps was sure it was now in a state in which fore the house, but he hoped that whatever he could confidently present it to the regarded essentially the interest and comhearty concurrence. It was truly lamentable, that, at a period, like the present, there should exist any men who endeavoured to amass fortunes at the expence of the community, but particularly at the expence of the poor, who were, at present, bearing with cheerfulness their share of the barthens lustrate or satisfactorily prove to the house, through which he lately passed, at one shil-Lord II. Petty observed, that more than a ling, when it was sold in London at 1s. 4d. respect to other papers on a different sub-] ence, and found that it was artificial. He cause he was sure of his information, and could prove the fact. It was the practice of certain millers and mealmen, who resided about 15 or 20 miles from London, many of them possessing from 200,000l. to 300,000l, to receive expresses from town respecting the state of the markets, according to which returns they either sent or kept back grain and flour, as it best suited their purpose. He wished to be understood as not at all wishing to cast any odium upon, or excite public indignation against a particular description of people; but he could not, at the same time, refrain from communicating to their lordships the information which he had collected respecting this most serious and important matter. for which day the lords were ordered to be life thought it high time for the legislature summoned.—Mr. Johnson, from the Irisii also to consider how the assize of brend was struck and regulated in general. He had reason to believe and be convinced. from the best authority on the subject, that [PRICE OF BREAD.] The Earl of Suf- if government established flour magazines folk rose, and expressed his regret, that at convenient distances from town, the high unavoidable business had obliged him to price of bread would be soon lowered, and be absent during the progress of a late always kept in due proportion to the quanthis city and suburbs contained, should be Mr. Garrow took the oaths and their seats kept in a state of expectancy on flour factors for the most necessary article of life. If those magazines were established at certain distances, such as Uxbridge and other places equi-distant, he was certain that great convenience and saving to the people would be the happy consequence. Having said thus much on this most important subject, he should trouble their lordships no farther than to repeat his hope, that the matter might be well weighed and considered, with a view of giving it that effect, which he flad no doubt would be highly beneficial.

Lord Walsing ham assured the noble earl, that the bill should not have been carried through its stages so soon as it had, if he was not informed that the noble call had no objection to its progress.

The Lord Chancellor said, that it must be a gratification to the noble earl to know that the bill to which he alluded had no connection with the subject which he brought before the house. - Adjourned.

HOUSE OF COMMONS.

Thursday, April 25.

[MINUTES.] The Speaker reported to - the house, that the house attended His Majesty on the 11th instant, with the resolutions of the house of the 8th instant, relative to lord viscount Melville, whereupon His Majesty was pleased to give the following most gracious answer: "Gen-" tlemen; I shall on all occasions receive "with the greatest attention any represen-"tation of my commons; and I am fully " sensible of the importance of the matter wark against Lord Melville.] Mr. "which is the subject of your resolu-"tions."-On the motion of Mr.W. Smith, it was ordered that a new writ should be issued for the election of a representative for Hereford, in the room of J. Scudamore, esq. deceased.—Mr. Johnstone, from the the undersigned, electors of the borough office of the chief secretary of Ireland, presented at the bar the various statements relative to the prisoners in Kilmainmam gaol, which had been ordered upon the character of parliament, of the disreformer day, on the motion of lord Henry Petty. Ordered to be laid on the table. Sir Thomas Metcalfe moved, that the second reading of the Paneras Poor bill be fixed for Monday next, which, after a few observations from Mr. P. Moore; was agreed to, and ordered by the house.—Sir J. W. Anderson obtained leave to bring in house to follow up that virtuous line of

lamentable that a million of people, which the Thames.—Lord John Townsend and in the house.—The Chancellor of the Exchequer gave notice, that on Monday next he should move for leave to bring in a bill to continue the commission of naval mquiry; and also that he should submit a motion for the purpose of appointing commissioners to inquire into the conduct of the principal departments connected with the greatemilitary expenditure of the country, with powers to examine witnesses on oath, and to report to both houses of pailiament, in order that if no abuse existed that required correction, the public may be satisfied with the assurance of the fact; or if, after investigation, new measures should seem necessary, parliament should adopt such as in its wisdom it may think fit.-Mr. Kinnaird presented a petition from B, Tucker, esq. late a commissioner of the navy board, stating that a letter of the navy board to the admiralty, on the subject of the report of the navy board relative to sir Home Popham, prepared by Mr. Tucker, contained many gross, false, and scandalous charges against him, which were not communicated to him by the admiralty or the navy board, and from which he prayed an opportunity of clearing himself.—On the motion of Mr. Kinnaird, it was ordered that there be laid before the house, a copy of Mr. Tucker's letter to the admiralty on this subject.—Sir A. S. Hammond gave notice that he would to-morrow move for certain papers connected with the 11th report of the commissioners of naval enquiry.

[PETITION OF THE ELECTORS OF SOUTH-Henry Thornton presented the following petition agreed to by the electors of Southwark, in common hall assembled: "To the honourable the Commons of Gt. Britain and Ireland, in parliament assembled. We, of Southwark, in the county of Surry, beg leave to congratulate your honourable house, upon the result, so glorious to cussions which have taken place in your honourable house on the 8th and 10th days of this inst. April, respecting the gross malversations in certain branches of the executive government, which have been disclosed in the Tenth Report of the commissioners of naval enquiry. We pray your honourable a bill for the formation of the Tunnel under | conduct, which, upon the two days before

tion through the whole country. We en- dom and fidelity with which it has distreat you to sift to the bottom the mass of charged its most sacred trust, by the proabuses which the aforesaid commissioners gress it has made towards protecting the We beseech have traced and exposed. you to renew, without loss of time, and law and breaches of public duty; and to extend, if necessary, the powers so that the petitioners approach the house, as faithfully executed, of those commissioners. We implore your honourable house to pull of the people, under a full conviction that down guilt, however protected; to save such shanteful abuses will induce the house from rapacity, from peculation, and fraud, a people who contribute cheerfully to the of the country, by bringing to condign real wants of the state, and who never complain but when their generous temper that they beg to submit to the house, that is abused and imposed upon; so shall the commons of England take the most efficient course possible to vindicate the sulhed honour of the government; to contirm the public confidence, and to plant! in all good hearts the most unfeigned admiration of the British constitution."

PETITION OF THE CITY OF LONDON A-GAINST LORD MELVILLE.] Mr. Ald. Curtis presented a petition from the lord mayor, aldermen, and livery of the city of London in common hall assembled, setting forth, "That the petitioners have uniformly concurred in and supported such measures as have appeared conducive to the safety and welfare of his majesty's dominions, and have cheerfully submitted to the most unexampled burthens, under a confidence that the resources of the country were faithfully and honestly administered; and that they learn, with the utmost concern and astonishment. from the reports of the commissioners for naval enquiry, now before the house, that the right hon. Henry Dundas viscount Melville, late treasurer of his majesty's navy, has been guilty of a gross violation of the law and a high breach of duty, whereby immense sums of the public money have been perverted to private emolument; and that they conceive it to be a high aggravation of such offence, that these disgraceful transactions were carried on during a period of unprecedented difficulty, when the very existence of the country was said to be at stake; and that the person so abusing his trust, so violating the law, was in the enjoyment of several high and lucrative offices, and ever among the foremost in laying additional burthens upon the people, and calling upon them to submit to the most painful privations; and that they virtue, integrity, and firmness of the house, which so generally pervades all ranks of in common with the nation at large, they

named, have diffused such signal satisfac- his majesty's faithful subjects, of the wispeople against such gross violations of the the guardians of the liberty and property to do ample justice to the outraged feelings punishment convicted criminality; and it would be highly derogatory to his majesty's government, prejudicial to the pubhe service, and insulting to the house, and the nation at large, for lord Melville any longer to continue in his majesty's councils. or to hold any place of trust, profit, or honour, in or under the government; and that they conceive all other persons who may be implicated in, or who have connived at, such abuses, to be incapable any longer of serving the country with honour or advantage, and ought equally to be brought to a severe account; and therefore praying, that the house, taking these matters into their most serious consideration, will vigorously promote and prosecute those inquiries, and cause the powers of the said commissioners to be prolonged and extended, and other measures to be adopted, in order that such further enquiry may be made into the rescipt, management, and expenditure of the public money, and the conduct of the public offices, as may lead to the detection of all abuses and the punishment of all offences, and that such a system of vigilance and economy may be established, as may effectually guard against the recurrence of such plagrant abuses."

[PETITION OF THE CITY OF SALISZURY AGAINST LORD MELVILLE. Lord Viscount Folkestone presented a petition from the mayor and coproration of the city of Salisbury, setting forth, "That the petitioners have perused, with much concern and interest, the resolutions of the house of the 8th and 10th days of this instant April; with concern, that any charges of the pature therein implied should attach upon any individual in a high official situation, and with interest, that the representatives of the nation have, under the circumstance are duly impressed with a high sense of the of such charges, marked such individual with their censure and reprobation; and and strongly participate in the sentiment that the petitioners beg leave to state, that.

have to lament the weight of the heavy came within the act. It declared, that burthers to which the legislature has found it was not the intention of the honin necessary to submit them, but they claim baronet to defend the petition, as far as for themselves, in common with the na-| related to the majority, or the right of tion at large, the merit of having borne them with patience, readiness, and equanimity, trusting that what had been grained ion. He thought the latter words superliberally would be applied faithfully; but fluous, [but did not imagine they vitiated when a suspicion is gone forth, under the the declaration. authority of parliamentary compussioners, and that suspicion apparently adopted by contrary, that, unless the declaration was the house, that peculation has been hard final, it could not be received. at work, the petitioners take leave to call upon the national representatives for ,iedress, reminding them that it is of little consequence as a public grievance, of little consequence in point of official morality, whether the actual peculation be by men of great authority and power, or by their deputies and subalterns under their permission and connivance; and therefore praying the house, to continue such commission of enquiry as has already discovered such abuses, and to institute any new commission which may be necessary to as-· certain whether in any other department of the state the national finances have been misapplied, and also to devise such legal proceedings upon those instances of misapplication already before the public as may satisfy the general cry for justice, by bringing all persons concerned to a strict responsibility." .

[MIDDLESEN ELECTION.]. Mr. Calcraft said, he held on his hand a declaration, signed by sir Francis Burdett, which the house, he had no doubt, would receive, agreeably to the act of the 28th of the king, for the regulation of controverted elections. The act being read proformal by the clerk, the hon, gent, observed, that, according to its provisions, any member, in case of a contested election, might withdraw himself from the contest, by signing a written declaration to that effect, which the house was bound to receive.

The Speaker called the attention of the house to the present proceeding. He ob-The question was, but not partially. whether the declaration was so defined, that the hou, member withdrew himself contained in the petition.

declaration which he held in his hand restriction on the bank continues, there

voting, but he wished to defend himself against the charge of bribery and corrup-

The Secretary at War argued, on the

Sir John Newport was of opinion that the declaration came within the spirit and the letter of the act, as it was unpossible for the hon, baronet to proceed further; and as the charge of perjury goes to civil penalties, the declaration, if not worded as it was at present, may be brought against him.

Mr. Calcraft observed, that with the indulgence of the house, he would withdraw the declaration, for the purpose of amending it; and as the hon, baronet was indisnosed in the country, he would pre-ent another, signed by him, to-morrow of next day.—After a few words from Mr. Rose and Mr. Grey, the declaration was

accordingly withdrawn.

[IRISH SMALL NOTES REGULATION BILL.] Mr. Lee adverted to the present rules prescribed by law, for the issue of small bank notes in Ireland, by which it was enjoined, that no private banks should be allowed to issue notes under three game as value in that country. The effect of such a measure must obviously be highly detrimental to trade in general. The farmer could not, on account of the scarcity of specie, sell a small quantity of corn there, to the amount of 20s. or 30s, unless he could, which was very rarely the case, give change for a 3-guinea note, and the same difficulty was left in every other branch of dealing. This was not felt in England, or Scotland, where the private bankers can get small notes from the Bank of England; but the national Bank served, it was certainly competent for a of Ireland was restrained, so as not to member to withdraw himself by signing extend its issues. He saw no reason for a written declaration, but he must re- this distinction in the case of Irish private linguish prosecuting the business totally, banks, as they must always have in their possession national notes, in proportion to their own issues, and were therefore perfectly secure. He would leave at to out of one or several parts of the charges any gent., conversant with business, to reflect on the extreme inconveniencies Mr. Calcraft was of opinion that the that must ensue ever here, if, while the

in circulation. In Ireland, the measure | " regard for town and country meetings had a most desireable tendency, as the "when properly directed in supporting tradesman there had seldom any other " the cause of independence, freedom, way of getting his note exchanged, but of repairing to the public house, and spending a great part of the money. He then moved for leave "to bring in a bill to-gulate the issue of small promissory. notes' and bills in Ireland, which was a; reed to." The bon, gent, next observed upon the great difficulties existing in the recovery of small debts in Ireland, where creditors were often obliged to travel from No or 40 miles, to the quarter sessions, at an expence perhaps superior to the amount of their respective debts. The principal object of the bill he should propose, would be to give magistrates the summary power of debts under ol. He then moved for leave " to bring in a bill for the more expeditions recovering of small debts in Ireland;" which was granted.

PRINTER OF "THE ORACLE" FOR A Label on the Housi...] Mr. Grey rose, and, after stating his reluctance to take any step at all inconsistent with the most perfect liberty of the press, called the attention of the house to one of the most indecent libels on the procedings of that house, which it had ever been his province to notice. It was, indeed, a libel ot so gross a nature, that the house, in consistency with its own dignity, could thing of a libellous and indecent tendency, not suffer it to pass over, without a strong expression of indignation against such an attack on a solemn decision of the legislature. The hon, member then read the tollowing paragraph from "the Oracle" of yesterday. The article is prefaced by a statement that sir Charles Middleton house, and we have seen them altogether was appointed first lord of the admiralty. " as the proper reward of public and pri-" vate virtue, we cannot help sincerely " regretting, that party rancour and po-" pular clamour have at this time tie-" prived our king and country of the " ville. In no period of 'our political " history can we find such an instance " of the strong effects of prejudice. With " all our profound respect for the motives | motion. " which influenced the majority of the " house of commons-with all our admi-" ration of that spirit which arouses and

should be up smaller than 3 guinea notes |" sations of an Individual-with all our " and public vutue—we cannot help again " and again declaring, that lord Melville " has fallen a victim to confidence misplaced; to prejudice misjudged, and " to indignation misapplied. He has " been condensed without a trial. When " an appeal has been offered to his in-" temperate judges-when a request has been made to put him on his defence-" when it has been earnestly solicited to " give him a fair and a candid hearing, and " then to come to a decision on the me-" rits of the case—a strong and presump-" tuous negative has been given, directed " and enforced by the violence of the "times."—The hon, gent, proceeded to move "that the printer and publisher " should be called 'to the bar of the " house." The clerk taking up the paper [PROCEEDINGS RELATING TO THE in question, ascertained that the printer and publisher was Mr. Peter Stuart, of Fleet-street; and on the suggestion of the speaker, the passage complained of was agam read at the table.—As soon as Mr. Grey's motion was put from the chair,

The Chancellor of the Exchequer rose. and spoke as follows: I certainly do allow, sir, that the passage now read is libellous and indecent; but, if we are now to begin to turn our attention to every which appears in the public newspapers, I hope at least we shall observe the strictest impartiality. It is not the first time that we have heard of libellous, ligentious, and unwarrantable observations in newspapers, even on the proceedings of this overlooked. If gentlemen have now, "While we announce this arrangement however, made up their minds that such licentiousness of the press is not to be tolerated; if they are resolved that mulignant remarks, whenever they appear derogatory to the dignity of this house, shall meet with marks of our indignation, I am " great and powerful abilities of lord Mel-satisfied. All that I ask is, that we shall not select one instance for punishment, while we allow many others to pass with impunity. I certainly do not oppose the

Mr. Grey.—The right hon, gent, has allowed that the passage which I have thought it my duty to bring before the " animates the people in their expressions house, is both libellous and indecent, and " of indignation at the supposed malyer- he has no objection to the Motion which

I have proposed. He says, however, that | have delinquents brought to punishment, this I can have no sort of objection, for I have selected this case merely because it struck me as one which it was the iniperious duty of this House to take under instance was one which appeared to me that account only have I brought it under the consideration of the house.—The right hon, gent, has alluded to cases of one to blame but himself. Those who did see such libels and who did not specify in respect to that dignity in all its proceedimportance of this house could not be that our proceedings have been culumniated, and has not moved for the punishment of the libeller, he has not done his We must see that our decisions are treated with proper respect, or else our character is destroyed. It is therefore on a principle of regard for our public utility that I think the present motion necessary, and I trust the house will act on the same principles.

Mr. Fox.—I certainly do agree with the the motion. right hon, gent, opposite, that in a business of this nature, the strictest impairtiality is our duty. I differ with him, however, as to the particular period when my hon. friend near me has brought forward his motion. When we talk of the propriety of such motions, I hope we shall not lose sight of the particular circumstances and the particular time under which they are produced. It is the duty of this nour, but this is a period when this jea- gether erroneous. sal gratitude throughout the country, and it is our duty to see that this decision shall our resolution is properly respected, when we find men in high official situations en-When we see evident signs of reluctance to clare, that I entertain for him no individual

he wishes this not to be a particular in- is it not our province to teach those who stance selected for party motives, but a libel our proceedings, that they shall not part of a general system of inquiry. To do so with impunity? We have woted certain resolutions on which his majesty's servants have not hitherto thought it their duty to take the least proceedings.-The right hofi, gent. opposite, the treasurer of their special cognizance. The present the navy, even continues in office, a new whom the commissioners of naval enquiry right and proper to be selected, and on have declared unworthy of acting in any pecuniary situation, since, he refused to answer questions essentially connected with the object of their investigations. If ever libels on this house which were overlooked. I then, sir, it was fit for the house of commons If he did know of such cases it was his duty to be jealous of its honour and dignity, to have specified them, and if they did not surely this is the period when our calls to meet with adequate punishment, he has no Jealousy are greatly increased. The very strength and efficacy of our late resolutions must depend on the measures which we them in this house, were certainly deficient now form, not as against an individual, but for the support of the character of the ings, without which the honour and the house of commons. I therefore entirely coincide in the motion of my honourable maintained. If any man has seen and felt friend who introduced this business, while it is impossible to disagree with the right bon, gent, opposite, that in all cases of a similar kind, we ought to proceed with the greatest fairness and impartiality. a general principle, indeed, I lay it down that, independent of all party considerations, whenever gross or indecent attacks are made on the proceedings of this house, they ought to be punished with suitable I therefore cordually vote for severity.

Mr. Canning.—The allusions which the hon, gent, has made to my conduct, renders it necessary to say a few words in reply. The hon, gent., sir, has represented it to be an aggravation of this libel, that Mr. Wilson has been continued in my office after the opinion of this house had been formally declared. [A general cry of No, No, from the opposite side of the house.] With all due deterence to the hon, gent.'s house at all times to be jealous of its ho-logic, I think it is, in this instance, alto-It is, indeed, a most lousy ought to be the most active. A late extraordinary position, that, because other decision of this house has diffused univer- persons had been guilty of improper acts, the author of the libel, who knows nothing of the matter, is to have a severer punishnot be wantonly attacked and insulted. It ment. I wish, sir, the honourable gentleis the more necessary; sir, for us to see that man had considered the matter a little better, and then, I am confident, he could not easily reconcile such ideas, either with deavorate to act as the protectors of those logic or humanity. With regard to this condended of the grossest malversations. Mr. Wilson, it is necessary for me to de-

concern or regard. In retaining him in with success. In the other house of parthe situation which he now holds, I looked Hamont, however, it was introduced on the only to the equity of the business. I could suggestion of the lord chancellor, and when not record de it to my ideas of justice to I have said this, I have surely said enough cosmiss one against whom no decision to shew that the clause was not meant to of this house had taken place; against be an idle, unmeaning provision; but any whom no proof of criminality, so far as active, positive regulation, on which every the use of the public money is con-individual appearing before the commise uped, has been even attempted to be sioners had a fair right to act. Wilson established. He indeed refused to answer contain questions from the compenioners of caval enquity, but on what grounds did his remail next? It was expressly under the idea that his answers would subject him to cert un pains and penalties; not that he participated of any money improperly applied, but that he acted as the deputy of a person whom a vote of the house had ciclared guilty of a misapplication of the public money. In all these transactions Hat on was increly the deputy, merely the metranicut to carry forward the designs of another. True it is, he filled up drafts in the absence of he principal, but it was impossable for lam, while he continued in the other, to refuse such an employment. He might indeed have resigned, but nothing anort of the could have supersoded the necessity of the conduct to which so much blame is now attached. Having then officlated as a deputy, Wilson very naturally refused to answer questions to which be-Lugw that puntshment might be applicable, and to which, under a clause of an express act, he was entitled to refuse any reply. Surely, sn, it will not be contended by the gentlemen on the other side, that the clause, under which Wilson refused to answer the commissioners, was intended to signed for the protection of those who, though conscious of no moral delinquency, might, under particular circumstances, have been guilty of a legal crime. Such was exactly the situation in which Wilson stood in the whole of this business. Looking to the transaction in a moral view, looking to him as not deriving the slightest advantage from any misapplication of the very mk which he used for those drafts by I shall not oppose the motion. which the transfer was effected. Let me not introduced into the bill on light auhere, but my exertions were not crowned gent, as treasurer of the navy, was any The IV

did taka alivanjage of the cleare, and in so doing, only did what almost any man would have done under such cocumstances. Though only himself an instrument, he was consible that his answers might bring him into an unpleasant situation, which therefore he prudently avoided. In such conduct there was no criminality, since, while the individual exercised a right which the law allowed him, the public sustained no lass. The, su, is the view which the best consideration of the subject has suggested, and, acting on these principles. I have not thought it my duly to dismiss Mr. Wilson from the situation which he holds in my office. This house has latherto decided nothing on the case of Wilson, and, therefore, I have not selt it my duty to dismiss him from his present employment. When the house does express such a determination, it will undoubtedly be my duty to how to it with all suitable respect; but, till that opinion is expressed, I shall continue to follow that line of condet which appears to me alike consistent with justice and humanity. I am sensible, that m following this course, I subject myself to a great deal of odium and abuse. My conduct is ascribed to the most dishonourable motives; my refusal to dismiss Wilson be an absolute nullity. It was certainly is branded with the opprobrious epithet of intended for some purpose, or it never protection of convicted guilt. Seeing the would have been adopted. It was de- matter, however, as I now see it, I shall endure all this odium and reproach. When this house shall declare their opinion fairly, it will be my duty to stibmit to it; till that period arrives, Wilson shall not, in the absence of any proof of his guilt, be dismissed from his situation. I shall never, either by my voice or by, my conduct, patronize the tyrannical, despitic principle of punishment previous to conviction. public money, he had no more to do with Having said so much in answer to the honit than the pen which he used, than the member opposite, I have only to say, that

Mr. For, in explanation, maintained remind the house too, that the chaise was [that the right hon, gent, had totally mirepresented his argument. He had not thority. I contended for a streamously asserted that the conduct of the right hon.

aggravation of the particular libel in ques- make it a fair subject of prosecution, and tion, but he insisted that the particular if it really was of that magnitude it was circumstances in which the house were surely expedient that any proceedings conplaced, rendered it highly necessary to watch over the dignity and honour of their clamation. As the affair now stood, to proceedings, and not permit them wantouly either to be opposed or insulted. In I tion, whild carry with it an au of piecialluding to the case of Wilson, he had meant clearly to explain to the house his opinion, that when men inchigh offices in the state, acted as the protectors of those against whom strong accusations existed, it became a matter of necessity that every attack on the character of the house, should be carefully looked to and signally punished. As he was up, he could not help remarking, that there was a very great distinction betwint the right of a person to refuse answering certain questions before parliamentary commissioners, and the propriety of continuing those persons in office after such a refusal had taken place. It was surely a strong proof that all was not right when an individual was afraid of the consequences arising from a plain question, and yet it was to such a -plain question that Wilson refused to give a direct answer.

observations. side of the house. He confessed he was he had now stated, that the humane spirit gosted to the hon, gent, that he should and, therefore, Wilson was not, from his only in the man time give it in the form silence, to be presumed guilty. dering the nature of the passage in question. He thought it would be more consistent with the dignity of the proceedings that magnitude and importance as to ing contrast to the conduct pursued by a

sequent on it should not be carried by acagree immediately to the hour gent,'s mopitation which he wished to mark no part of the proceedings of the house. There observations he applied to the motion immediately before the house, but it was necessary for him to advert to other topics, which had been introduced into the debate. He thought his right hon, friend, the treasurer of the mayy, had been very unjustly attacked for retaining Wilson in his situation. Doubtless, Wilson was legally guilty; but he was morally innocent, and therefore in refusing to discharge Wilson, his right hon, friend drew the proper distinction. Wilson refused to answer cortain questions, but in this no criminality was established. He acted under an express clause of an act of parliament, and he was besides protected by that general benevolent provision of our common law, that no man is bound to give evidence against himself, and that no judge has a The Attorney General rose, not, as he right to enforce questions from which declared, to trouble the house with many punishment of a very severe nature may There were, however, a arise. The house would recollect the enfew remarks which he thought it his duty cumstances under which this clause was tethrow out, in consequence of what had introduced. He had himself thought it fallen from the gentlemen on the other unnecessary on the precise principle which not surprised that the hon, mover thought of our laws rendered it superfluons. this the most favourable moment for bring- Being introduced, however, it was surely ing forward the libel in question to the no matter of guilt that it was acted on, attention of the house. The opportunity and yet for the very act of Wilson, in was favourable, when the hop, gent. found availing himself of it; gentlemen blamed hunself in a majority on a great question, his right hon, friend for not dismissing but he hoped, as his right hon, friend near him. With what justice this was done, he him had said, that when such motions left it to the fair judgment of the house to were brought forward they would be con- decide; the legislature meant the clause ducted with impartiality. Though be cer. as a clause of protection, and now the tainly did not mean directly to oppose the house were to be told, that the use of it motion, he did not wish the house to be was a proof of criminality. The legislature taken by surprise, and therefore he sug- never could have such an object in view,

of a notice, that thus all the members! Mr. Rose; without opposing the motion, might have an opportunity of fully consi- rose principally with the view of vindicating the conduct of his right hon, from the treasurer of the navy, from the aspersions which had been thrown out against him in of the house to act with this degree of the course of the evening. He thought his temper and moderation. It was of im- conduct respecting Wilson was, in the highest portance to ascertain whether the libel was degree, commendable, and afforded a strik-

priy-master of the forces at a distance of in the character of a servant of the public; time of rather more than thirty years. He himself at that time did belong to the treasury, and therefore what he was about to state was the more to be relied on. He recollected that two officers in the paymister's office were found guilty of gross traud on the office with which they were compected. This appeared on a solemn examination by the lords of the treasury, who accordingly ordered them to be dismirsed, and actions were instituted against them to recover their iltegal gams in a equit of law. One of them died before this process could be accomplished, but the sorvivor was actually cast in an action, and was confined in the King's Bench pricon. A change of administration took in which Wilson eluded their investigations. place, and those defaulters were actually re-placed. He recollected that an hongent. opposite (Mr. Fox), who was now so clamorous against the continuance of Wilson in his situation, was violent against a proposition for condemning them, declaror their innocence?" He wished to know, then, on what principles the hon. gent. could reconcile his present conduct with his former appeals in favour of justice and manniv.

Mr. For, in explanation, professed that he had not at that time a perfect recollection of the transaction to which the hou.member alluded. If he recollected right, nowever, the two persons were, at the time the vote passed in the house, actually sub indice. If, however, the hon, member had any wish to push the matter farther, he, tions.

Sir Charles Pole.—The right hon. gent. the present treasurer of the navy, thought proper to assert on a former occasion, that certain explanations were given by Wilson to the commissioners, which satisfied them himself during the examination. sir, it is proper for me to state, that no such explanations ever took place, and so much the reverse of being satisfied were the commissioners, that they almost take shame to themselves for not ordering him to prison for the manner in which he gave

and certainly such conduct as Wilson's on his examination before us is much to be condemned. If questions are put, and answers are thus to be refused—if inquiries respecting the application or mismanagement of the public money are to be trifled with, there is no utility in appointing coinmissioners of naval or unlitary enquiry. We have the head of a board who refuses us all information—we come to the deputy. and he is equally silent—and thus may we go, through the whole range, and still no satisfaction is obtained. Wishing, then, that these difficulties should be removed, the commissioners certainly never can express themselves satisfied with the manner Thus much have I telt it my duty to say respecting this part of the subject; and before I sit down, I shall add an observation or two respecting the conduct of the right hon, treasurer of the navy. I do say then, and say it with confidence, that the my, as tar as he could remember, "Gra- right hon, gent, did not give our inquiries cod. God, will you condemn people with-fall those facilities which might have been out hearing them? Will you suppose them expected. We did encounter difficulties. pull, without even listening to any proofs of a pretty formidable kind, to which the dilatory proceedings of that right hon. gent, did not a little contribute.

Mr. Canning.—I feel myself, cir, so personally alluded to by the hon, baronet, that I trust I shall be excused in rising again. I never stated that the commissioners of naval enquiry were, by means of subsequent information, satisfied with respect to the conduct of Wilson; what I said was, that the explanation given to me upon the subject would, I was confident, have , satisfied the commissioners with respect to the person alluded to. I wish, however, for his part, was ready to discuss it on anto know whether the commissioners have other occasion in all its features and relative provided the whole of the statement given in evidence by Mr. Wilson, age or no? (Sir Charles Pole answered yes.) Mr. Canning was proceeding, when he was interrupted by

Mr. Plumer, who begged leave to remind the right hon, gent, that the regular way of conducting business, was to address the of the manner in which he had conducted jehair, instead of that sort of imperious ca-Now, techising in which the right hon gent. seconed so desirous to indulge.

Mr. Canning again proceeded: I ask, sir, of the hon, baronet, whether the commissioners of naval enquiry have reported the whole of the correspondence which took place between them and the treasurer his evidence. I think it necessary for me of the navy, are or no? (Sir Charles Pole to make this declaration, standing as 4 do said, no.) I know, sir, they have not, and

511 Charles Pole,—The commissioners of naval enquiry reported, sir, all the answers made by Wilson. With respect to the correspondence with the treasurer of the navy there is no objection to produce it; the only reason why the commissioners did not state the whole of it was their desire not to overload the report.

Pr. Laurence defended Mr. Burke's gonsence of public virtue, on the truly petrione [cf proceeding, and had taken the account prove of the mode proposed by the Attor- hin this respect. He did not question to ney-General, to defer the motion until the property of the demand of the country of boure should have regained its proper tone [ners, but had merely had a view to toof mederation; it was nothing more than practicability of complying with it is an a project of the first law officer of the crown, sustency with a proper regard to the end of to get rid of the question entirely. He had serious objections to the conduct of the right hon, gent. (Mr. Canumg.) who had taken the word of Mr. Wilson against the report of the commissioners of naval enquiry, and who, instead of facilitating, as he should have done, the enquiry, had thrown obstacles, altogether unexpected, they took shame to themselves for nor in the way of the commissioners.

the question with respect to Mr. Wilson, by lent acts. A clause had been introduced the information he had: he defended the into this act, by which no person was bound questions he had put, with respect to himself, by whatshe knew of his own knowledge. . He begged his hon, and learned refused to answer questions that had this friend to suspend his judgment till he very tendency [gestures of dissent from should have seen the whole correspondence, the other side . He was always very happy which he would not have wished to bring to be corrected if he was wrong, and from torward, if he did not think it would clear the gestures which he observed, he was mhis conduct.

The Solicitor-General observed, that some topics, certainly not in an immediate manner connected with the question before the house, had been alluded to in the course of the debate. The learned doctor had re-Preached his right hon, friend near kind it would be entirely nugatory, for the mowith catechaing and putting questions to ment an answer was refused upon its auis how, admiral who was at the head off thority, guilt was to be presumed. He could the commission; but it could not be out by no means take upon himself to say that of the recollection of the house, that his under no circumstance could a person inright hon, friend had been pretty smartly cur any legal guilt without having derived attacked, and was therefore in some mea- any private advantage from the cheancore called upon to make a reply. He had stance he meant to conceal. Undoubtedly her severely altacked, or at least severa al- fit might be possible to incur can't by en-

the whole of his correspondence had been stated in the report? The answer was, " No," and the house would judge whether, in each case, he right how to not had not some claim to their indial cree. It had been said that I is 19ht hon, meny and withheld from the commissioner pager. which rewas necessary for them to possess, in eader to prosecute their improvingle · uccess. If he (Mr. Gubba really too duct, in re-instating Mesers. Be adottlike and the report as far as it touched up on that. Povell. He complimented the speaker, fair, it went metals to show that his right whom he considered as the as tract ex-lient friend had objected to cerein forms and constitutional vote which be gave on a lof counsel whether he was bound to comformer evening. He could by no means ap- ply with the demands of the communications mency of the public service. All the . counts, however, had been made out in one, and the papers had been presented to the commission. But from the mount of an which gentlemen spoke of the act of parliament, and from the circumstance of ever of the commissioners having stated that having imprisoned Mr. Wilson, one would Mr. Conling maintained his right to put | think that persons were speaking of differto criminate himself. Yet it was continueed, that those ought to be imprisoned who clined to think that he was mistaken. But he had certainly understood, that this was the meaning of what had been stated. But what was the use of this clause, if it were not intended that persons should avail themselves of it? Upon their construction, sion. had been made to the subject of his dearouring to shelter others, and in var-

home of commons had already passed a Note of centure. If these resolutions did ever, had nothing to do with the question, not touch upon Mr. Wilson, how could since nobody doubted his right to avail he right hon, friend be said to brave the hunself of the clause, if he thought his horse of commons? From the gestures conduct required it. What was complainon the other side, it appeared that he ed of, however, and with justice, was, that moght be mistaken.

be explaining every moment; but that, in could have answered with the greatest the present instance, he had not said that the right hon, gentleman had braved the house of commons. He had only said that he had braved the opinion of the house of commons, and he would now go father, and say, that he had braved the bestature.

The Solicitor General in continuation, expressed his surprize how that conduct could be called braving the legislature which had been expressly sanctioned by on act of the legislature. Who had braved the legislature? Not his right hon. mend, for he had only refused to remove from his office a person who had taken advantage of a clause in an act which the legislature had passed. Was it he who availed lamself of the provision in the act that had braved the legislature? He could understand any thing but that.

Sir Charles Pole submitted it to the house whether the commission would not have attempted, in vain, to accomplish the ends for which they were insututed, while the person who was at the head of the office into the abuses of which they were enquiring, refused to them the means | gent. maintained, was to the commissionor information they called for. He denied lers as acting under the express sanction that he had employed any unnecessary of parliament, extremely indecent and diswarmth. This was the first time that he respectful. The treasurer of the navy, had in the house given his opinion of the lif he laboured under any difficulty how Tenth Report, and what he had said was from conviction, and not from any motives | nussoners for advice and instruction. It of personal hostility to the right hou, trea- was not unknown to the house, that one Luiter of the navy.

ous other ways; but he would be glad to Mr. Serjeant Rest thought the conduct . know, how it was possible to take advan- of the treasurer of the navy, in not distion of this clause, and shelter himself charging Wilson, highly reprehensible. under it, except by refusing to answer It had been argued that Wilson merely such questions as might involve him in acted according to the instructions of Trotpains and penalmes? What explanation ter, as if this were any excuse for his concould be give? He could not eifter upon | duct. If he had acted properly, he ought explinations, because that, in effect, would to have disclosed the netarious proceedthe exposing himself to the very charge ings which were going toward, and by which he wished to avoid. But there was such a disclosure much of the evil which another thing to which he wished to ad- was now brought to hight would never wet. his right hon, friend had been ac- have been accomplished. It was also concould of braving the house of com- tended that Wilson, in availing himself of gions, but surely, Mr. Wilson was not the clause in the act, not to answer questo be confounded with those on whom the tions to criminate himself, did only what was naturally to be expected. This, howthe treasurer of the navy estamed in his Mr. For here interrupted the hon, and office a person who had refused to answer dearned gent, and said that he could not some questions which an innocent man safety., If a person had a servant, or a steward, and wished to ask some questions respecting the state of his affairs, and could obtain no satisfactory answer, what would be his conduct? He might think it very right for the servant not to answer questions to commute himself, but he would also think it highly necessary to dismiss one from his emproyment who had such extraordinary secrets. The learned segment also condemned severely the conduct of the Treasurer of the navy for the difficulties which he had thrown in the way of the enquiries of the commissioners. It was as far back . as the tenth of last July that the commissigners issued a precept to the treasurer of the navy calling on him to produce certain papers, and it was not a little singular that two months clapsed before any auswer was returned. What, in the mean time, was the conduct of the treasurer of the navy? Why truly, he could make no return to the commissioners till he had taken the advice of his majesty's attorney and solicitor general. This the learned to act, ought to have applied to the comof the commissioners was a member of the

profession to which he had the honour to [" priveMr. Pitt of so able a coadjutor, were rence to the attorney and solicitor genenavy was culpable then for not applying to those whom parliament had invested! with vast powers for the correction of enermous abuses. He put the case of a court of justice where certain important documents were to be procured. Here it would surely be strange if on an application for their production, a reference should be had, not to the court itself but to the crown lawyers. Not less extraorduary was the conduct of the treasurer of the navy. I rom July till October had elapsed to give time for the right hon. gent, to consult the attorney and solicitor general, and in the mean time no pains appeared to have been taken to procure the commissioners the information they required. Indeed, it appeared from the examutation of Fennel, that though he was nonunally employed to prepare the accounts, he was positively engaged in making out the accounts of lord Bayning, who had been out of other for 20 years. He was decidedly for the motion.

Mr. Robert Ward denied that the treasurer of the navy had been backward to furnish information. He had, on the contrary, given every possible facility to the enqui ries of the commissioners. He went over the same grounds which had been traced Those who were so very impatient to de- racter that had been given of them by the

belong, and certainly, with all due defe- " equally zealous in their endeavours to " restore to the public the unaccounted ral, he opinion was as greatly to be re- !" millions of which that public has been spected on all constitutional points as theirs 4" so disgracefully robbed, there would possibly could be. The treasurer of the " perhaps be some excuse for all thet " affectation of public virtue which ha-" lately distinguished certain barling pa-" triots of the day. Lord Melville, barnot deprived the public of a single darthing. His most implacable enemies " have not dared to charge him with " such an act. Can as much be and ex " the fathers of some men? If the publ-" were paid its pecumary claims, lon-" since indisputably proved, certain to-" zions patriots, instead of living in spler " dour, would be put on the pairsh. It " the future resolutions of the house or " commons, in the future resolutions of " all public meetings, we hope that an " immediate attention to the enormorn " debts still due to the public by certain " noisy individuals will be strongly to "commended."—As come as the clera had read this last paragraph.

Mr. Fox rose up, and emphasically asked,—is this cay palliation?

Mr. Ward replied, that though it was no palliation, it afforded a good and suft cient reason for having the whole enquiry prosecuted with temper, particularly by persons whose families might appear to have been defaulters to a considerable amount.

Mr. Sheridan rose only to make a few by those who spoke on the same side of observations. It was the less necessary the question. He wished particularly to for him to enlarge, as the house seemed know whether the hon, admiral was sen- agreed on the general question. He had · ous in his assertion that the commissioners no wish to discover any improper degree took shame to themselves for not putting of heat on this or any other occasion, Wilson in prison in consequence of his though certainly the honourable member evidence? If they were serious in this who had so earnestly recommended mo-assertion, they were fit only to be Inquideration, had little of it indeed in his sitors, and not legal temperate commis- practice. He was not in the house when sioners. [Here there were loud and vio-] the right hon, gent. opposite (Mr. Pitt) lent marks of disapprobation.] Return- gave notice of his intention to move on tog to the question before the House, he Monday for leave to bring in a bill to conjured gentlemen to look at the question extend the powers of the commisioners of with moderation and temper. With this naval enquiry. He was happy to hear view, he thought the delay of a day of this notice, and more so from the would be highly expedient, and in the quarter whence it proceeded. If, howmean time he was desirous that the whole ever, the powers of the commissioners paragraph should be read by the clerk at were to be renewed, it was necessary that the table. This was the more necessary, their characters should stand high with as the paragraph as read by the bon, mover the house and the public, and that such did not form a whole.—The whole was insinuations as those which the honouread accordingly, and the following makes rable member had thrown out should be up the whole paragraph complained of : budly refuted. If they deserved the chabecomet at the head of the commission been his intention to have given a similar mented the observation of the learned notice previous to the adjournment.—The gent., that he was not at to be at the head of the commission, unquestionably if the Peter Stuart was ordered to attend the commission was by be renewed, they ought not to be a point don it. But, in order to vindicate the character of the dominisenergers from the effect of such insinuations, he left it his duty to give notice, that on Wednesday next he should move the theaks of the house to the commissioners of naval enquiry.

Mr. Peter Moore contended that the commissioners had not reported of Mr. Wilson his having refused to answer any it a proper mark of respect to his imposts questions that might criminate himself, and to the house, to make the communibecause it appeared by the report, that when asked whether he had derived any profit from the use of the public money, tion of Mr. Whitbread, his majority's an he had positively declared that he had not, swer was again read. , The report of the commissioners only charged him with having declined answer- not forbear expressing his astonishment ing questions which, in being answered, that after such an interval, no crep had would expose the guilt of others. He been taken to evince his analysis's sense should ask the learned gent, opposite, of the importance of the resolutions of whether, it a witness were to decline an-I that house. Several gazettes had appeared evening questions of that description in a since the resolutions were carried up, and cause before the King's Bench, he would none of them had announced that the name not be committed to prison? As to the of lord Melville had been expended from conduct of the right hon, the treasurer of the list of his majesty's privy counci. the navy, it appeared that the first appli- Neither had the house been into in id that eation had been made to him on the 10th | night that his majesty had given orders of July, a second on the 17th, and no for the books being laid before him for susver having been returned, a third ap- that purpose. Unquestionably there had pheation had been made on the 2d of been proceedings for the few last day. Oct., and it was not till the 3d of Oct. which might have contributed to occupy that an answer had been returned, after his majesty's attention from this subject. he had taken the opinion of his majesty's He was therefore an nous to know from attorney and solicitor general, whether the right han, the chancellor of the exhe was bound by the act to obey the pre- chequer, before he gave notice of any cept of the commissioners. He put it motion on the subject, whether it was therefore to the good sense of the house, that right hon, gentleman's intention to whether the right hon, gent, had accu- recommend to his majesty to expunse rately acquitted himself of his duty? When the name of lord Meville from the list of the right hon. gent. had been asked, on the privy council? a former occasion, whether he had dismissed Mr. Wilson? it was his opinion, that he did not feel himself bound in corthat the question ought to have been tur- sequence of any thing that had occurred ried further, whether he had dismissed that house, on the day when the resucommissioners; for if no other member many members who had supported the

learned gent. (Mr. Ward); if the hon. | should bring the matter forward, it had original motion was then put, and Mr. house to-morrow.

> PROCEEDINGS RESPECTING THE SELECT COMMITTEE ON THE TENTH NAVAL RE-PORT.] Mr. Whitbread having enquired if the abswer which his majesty had been pleased to return to the resolutions of the house which had been laid before hun on Thursday the 11th instant, had been reported to the house;

The Speaker said that he, had thought cation of the answer of his majerty the first business of the day.—On the mo-

Mr. Whitbread then said, that he could

The Chancellor of the Exchequer said. himself. For when a public officer op- lutions of parliament had been ordered posed himself to an enquiry relating to to be laid before his majesty, to give any the public money, for the purpose of shel- such advice to his majesty as, that which tering the delinquents, his guilt was nearly the hon, gent, supposed. On the even-equal to that of the man who declined ing of Wednesday a motion to address answering lest he should criminate them. his majesty on that subject had been made, He was happy that his hon, friend had and had afterwards been withdrawn, as given notice of a motion of thanks to the it did not seem to be the sense of a great

argued that a 'removal from any place of trust and confidence would be sufficient, till faither light was thrown on the subject, to his majesty.

solutions of that night should be laid before his majesty, that the right hon, gent. could have abstained from recommending Tuesda, next for that purpose.

not be provious to the recess. He could the consideration of the house, was for a

original resolutions, that the house was not forbear from congratulating the house in a condition at the moment to pro- on the sense which seemed so universally ceed to such an extremity. It was then to be entertained out of doors, of the conduct of the house in the votes which they had already passed on the subject of national abuses. The right hon, gent, of by its having been ascertained by a select posite to him seemed also sensible of the committee how far the noble lord was ini- | general/spirit which had been awakened plicated in the business. A suggestion, through the country. He had that right. however, was thrown out, that the noble prematurely in one sense, and tardify in lord, though he had then retired from another, twen notice of a motion which office, might be again restored, and hold be unquestionably meant in some shape other places of trust and confidence, and to meet the wishes of the people. In it had been proposed, as a step which doing so, however, was not the right hour would be sufficient to guard against this gent, taking the business out of the bands circumstance, that the resolutions of the of other hon, gentlemen, who had alreads former night should be laid before his given notice of similar intentions? That majesty. In this proposition he had con- was not a novel practice, now for the first curred. But he certainly did understand time adopted by the right hon, gent. It it to have been the opinion and sense of happened some years ago to him (Mr. the house, that that step would of itself be Whitbread), on which occasion the right satisfactory. 'And under that impression | hon, gent, took a bus ness out of his hands, he had not thought himself-called on, nor but never did any thing under it. The did he now feel himself called on, till he right hon, gent, had indeed given notice was otherwise instructed by the house, to of a much more extensive motion than make any recommendation on the subject had yet been proposed; but whether he had done so in consequence of hearing Mr. Whithread thought, that a sense of that such an intention had been declared duty should have dictated to the right hon. Im another quarter, and wished to take gent, to have formed a different conclusion it out of their hands for the purpose of from that which he had now professed, doing it away, he should not at present Indeed it had seemed to him absolutely pretend to judge. If a new commuttee impossible, after the solenn manner in was appointed, he hoped it would be chawhich it had been determined that the re-fracterised by equal fidelity with that which had distinguished the commissioners for naval enquiry. The right hon, gent, hall expressed a doubt it there existed any to his majesty a measure which seemed lavish or improper expenditure in the so naturally to follow from the knowledge military department. On that abject he of those resolutions. He would not fi- (Mr. Whitbread) entertained no doubts. ture how it was possible in more pointed He was convinced that in the military terns to have expressed the senfiments department as gross a system of poculation of the house. The step which they had and plunder existed as had already in part adopted was, to his conception, equally been discovered in the naval expenditure marked and pointed, as if the motion An hon, gent., a friend of the noble lord, which he had that night submitted had had, in vindicating him from the present been agreed to. It, however, the right charge, asserted that he possessed opporhon, gent, thought that he had sufficiently turities in another department or making satisfied his duty by allowing things to an immense and rapid fortune, without any remain as they were, he (Mr. Whitbreat) chance of detection. That hon, gent, was Telt that he would not discharge his with- well acquainted with the truth of what he out giving notice of a motion, similar to stated; and was it to be supposed after the one which he had formerly withdrawn, what we had seen, that such opportufor the arst open day. He then fixed nities did exist, and that too, without the possibility of detection, and that no Mr. Whitbread then proceeded to call advantage was taken of them. The thing the attention of the house to the subject was hardly to be looked for. The first of the motions of which he had given motion which he should now submit to

commissioners of naval enquiry. There were soll some dark parts in that report, on which he wished that farther enquiry should take place. It was not his inten-tion to enter at any length into what passed on the last debate on the subject farther than to say, that he thought the right hon. gent, opposite had not paid that proper respect to that house, and to the commisstoners acting under their authority, which my ht have been expected, in not dismissriig a person who had refused to answer the questions put to him, when called on under an act of parliament to give evidence. Would it be maintained that every witness was to be entitled to decline answering any question which might be put to him, on the pretence that it might criminate himself, and that the judge was not entitled to ask, in what respect, and to ascertain whether the allegation was real or teigned? The right hon, gent, himself actually condemned Wilson, and condemned his own conduct by the apology he now made for both. He now confesses that the clause of the act of parliament, does not apply to Wilson. According to his own account, Wilson tells him, and he now tells the house, that Wilson was the instrument and tool of Trotter. Would his being so involve him in legal, or even moral pult, so as to excuse him from answering questions? The pretence was ridiculous, it was to screen his principal, not himself; for, as being merely a tool, any answer he might have made, might have gone to exculpate, but could not criminate hun-Equally well might the printer of the stamp on which the order was written, have refused answering any question which might have been put to him, lest he should cruminate hunself. Another hon, gent. (Mr. Ward) had been much offended at an expression which had fallen from the hon. president of the board of Enquiry—that when the vote of thanks was to be moved to the commissioners of Naval Enquiry, dismissed from his situation. He (Mr. Whitbread) declared, that had he been in committed not only Wilson, but Sprott gent. There was another part of the hon. Vol. IV.

select committee, for taking into farther lalso; and so, he hoped, would every comconsideration the tenth report of the missioner of Enquiry feel himself inclined It was not his wish to trespass on to act. the time of the house,, and he should, therefore, conclude by moving his first motion, "That a select committee be appointed to make further enquiry into the matters contained in the report of the commissioners, and to report the same, with their opinions and observations thereon, to the house."

The Chancellor of the Exchequer rose, not . to oppose the appointment of a select commattee, but from a wish to clear houself from the charge of having prematurely given notice of a motion on any particular subject, and thereby taken it out of the hands of another gentleman. He spoke now in the presence of the hon, gent, alluded to, and he appealed to him, if the notice which he gave was not expressly conditional, that if he (Mr. Pitt) did not, on an early day after the recess, bring forward such a motion, he (Mr. Giles) would. When, at an earlier period of the session. the hon, gent. (Mr. Giles) moved for leave to bring in a bill for continuing the powers of that board; what was his (Mr. Pitt's) ground of objection? Was it that the period should not be extended? Quite the contrary. "He allowed that full time ought to be given them for finishing their investigations, and his only objection was, that it would be premature to enlarge the period of their continuing in office at so early a period of the session till once it was ustertained whether the present session might not afford them sufficient time to complete their enquiries. If they were not likely, at a more advanced period of the session, to be able to complete their business before the end of it, he pledged himself then to move for the enlargement of their powers. Now as only one additional report had since been made, while it was stated that other objects of enquiry still remained, he felt it both his duty and his mhe took blame to himself for not having climation to move for extending the period committed Wilson to prison. He hoped of their continuance. And, however much that hon, gent, would attend on the night hie confessed himself to be one of those who did declare that there were parts of their conduct of which he could not apand would then state what he had alleged prove, he still felt it his duty to say, that that night, that in consequence of the de-they ought to continue. In this situation, charation, the hon, president ought to be he submitted to the candour of the house that there was no ground whatever for charging him with having taken the busithe place of the hon. bart, he would have ness; out of the hands of any other hon.

been misrepresented. He thought, as in the department into the management of which prestigation had been made, they had discovered practices and malversations, of which they could not approve, it would be proper to make such inquiries into every branch of the revenue, as should go to show, either that in other departments they did not exist, or, if they did, that it was the determination of parliament to take such measures as should detect and prevent them, in future. He did not say that none such existed. He only said that it must prove satisfactory to the public that the tact should be ascertained. In so great and expensive an estable liment as that of Great Britain in the midst of the perilous times too, which we had of late years to encounter, it was hardly to be expected that some acts of improper management would not present themselves. He was anxious, however, that the investigation should be gone into with temperance, moderation, and a regard for the public good. the general subject one peculiarly deservmg of attention and investigation, to be conducted on the immutable principles of ments of the hon, gent, he himself (Mr. coe." Pitt) was implicated. On that subject, he himself, as to evince that he was beyond the facts to speak for themselves. As to think it i mild be proper to refer the whole

gent.'s speech in which his sentiments had the attorney general. If the enquiry was to be prosecuted by bill of discovery, as to the question of participation, it would be improper to refer that part of the report to the committee, or to establish two concurrent and collateral investigations. He did not think therefore, that any part of the report, which was to be the object of the bill of discovery, should be subjected to the enquiry of the committee. He was indifferent whether his object was to be attained by amendment, or by an understanding that such parts only of the report were to be submitted to the committee, as should not be the subject of investigation in the other course of proceeding. He had prepared some words, which he should move by way of amendment, as most consistent with form. The right hon, gent. then moved, "That a select committee be appointed, to consider further of the matter contained in the tenth report of the commissioners of Naval Enquiry, so far as the same relates to the application of sums' granted for havy services to other -The same motives which made him think branches of the public service, as also to the aregularities committed in the mode of drawing the money granted for the service of the navy from the Bank, and to justice, induced him heartily to concur in any communications that might have been the appointment of a select commutate, to made to the chancellor of the exchequer, consider faither the subject of the tenth or the lords of the treasury, relative to report. He was particularly anytous for such megularities; and to the proceeding a most immite investigation into that part that might have been taken for recovery of it, in which, according to the state- of the airears due of the late Mr. Jelli-

Mr. For asked, if the house were to inwas eager that the hon, gent, would bring struct the attenney general to commence a forward every thing in his power. He prosecution against lord Melville on any hoped that in the many years of his life particular point, it would not be proper which had been devoted to the public ser-| that that would also form a part of the envice, he might presume, without flattery to quiry of the committee? There was another himself, to think that he had so conducted point which he thought would not go with propriety to the committee; but would be any corrupt or sorded motive.—He did better discussed in that house, and that suppose, that any one who knew him, was not a crimination, but an admonition would not imagine it possible that he could to the right hon, gent. (Mr. Canning) on be a party to any transaction from corrupt his continuing M1. Wilson in office. This views. But he did not wish to rest his naturally led him to remark, that it was not justification on the partiality of his friends, by the house going unto an enquiry on the on that favourable opinion, which he tenth report alone; on the abuses in the was flattered, knowing a considerable por- military department, or in all the departtion of the house to entertain; he wished ments, that they could perform their duty. They could do their duty only by going inthe other part of the motion, he did not to those enquises scriously, and with an intent to persewere. If they were to go of the report to the committee, as he un-linto enquiries, let them shew their sincerity an stood that the second motion of the by the persons whom they chose of the hon, gent, was to direct a prosecution by committee. Persons like the commission-

on them to expose one of the most powerenquiry, let them endeavour, if possible, to get men equal to these commissioners. If they had to employ the attorney general to prosecute, they could not doubt, from what they had seen of him, he would not be a very willing advocate. He had no doubt the very persons who had expressed an opinion against the commissioners of Naval Enquiry would still be of opinion that they ought to be continued; yet this they would do not willingly. He did not blame the right h n. gent. (Mr. Pitt) for being the friend of lord Melville, yet he had never proceeded to any researches, he should be the person to move for a committee on the other subjects of enquery. If the committee had been to be moved for by some gentleman near him—lany person (he spoke in an abstract sense) not on the treasury bench, he thought it would f have been better, more creditable, and more effectual. When a minister, under whose administration all the mismanagement and peculation have taken place, has the mortification to find that the delinquent is not a person remote, but his own rearest and dearest colleague, he cannot no wish to do any thing inconsistent with be the most proper person to move a comnuttee to take cognizance of the malversations. He trusted the house would take care to have proper persons appointed in the committee. It that right hon, gent. had had the appointing of the five commissioners of naval enquiry, would they have the tenth report? He hoped the gentlemen that should be appointed would discharge their duty. It was natural that | in appointing them, the favourable opinion of the gentleman who names them of those who concurred with him in opinion, would could promote the effect of the enquery, by encouraging those who assisted, and structed it.—He did not think that the enquiry should be restricted by the words of the amendment, but he submitted to his hon, friend, whether the motion for the prosecution might not be better postponed till after the committee shall have reported, and ascertained by their enquiry what persons have been connected with the delinquents.

ers of naval enquiry, of fidelity, ability, Chancellor of the Exchequer had this and above all, courage; who had not day more openly than on any other oc. shronk from their duty, even when it called casion avowed his disapprobation of the conduct of the noble vectorit, but he had ful men in this country.—If they were for also declared, that he did not look upon it as his duty to advise his majesty to any other step, unless the house, by a fresh decision, should make it necessary for him-This had raised his suspicion, and he thought it his duty to put the house on its guard. It had been, at different periods, customary to institute enquires in that house; which were never alterwards thought of. He alluded to the committee that was appointed to enquire into the state of the finances, just after the first stoppage of the bank to pay in cash, which could not but think it unfortunate, that though it was now well known what they might have discovered. He thought the subject of the highest importance, and hoped the house would look to it with that jealousy it deserved.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer explained. 'He said, that he wished to exclude no part of the enquary, but that which might be made the subject of legal examination. If the order of the motions were inverted, and the second put first, it would answer all he wished.

Mr. Whith ead explained, that he had justice. As, nowever, the principal object to the public in the appointing the committee, was the exposure of lord Melville's participation in the peculation, he would rather allow the other motion, for an instruction to the Attorney-General, to lay over, and confine himself to the first motion.

Mr. Sheridan was of opinion that they could not with any propriety proceed at the time with a legal prosecution, and with farther enquiries, by a committee, and that, therefore, all legal proceedings lead him to appoint only such. They ought to be delayed till the committee, could not prevent such partiality, but they now proposed to be appointed, should have made its report. He could certainly have no objection to the measure proposed reprobating those who thwarted or ob-by the right hon, the Chancellor of the Exchequer for continuing the commission of naval enquiry, and for appointing others to investigate the other departments of the public expenditure. But, however willing he was: to see any measure of this kind' promoted, he' could not see, without alarm, any disposition on the part of that right hon, gent. to appoint such com-The right hon, the missioners. He wished the motion to

and it was not his fault if that notice had not been long ago given for that purpose, and which he now thought there was reason to regret. Indeed, this notice, he understood, was to have been given to-day, had it not been thus anticipated. The righthon, gent, might have as a reason, perhaps, for his acceding to the appointment of a select committee, according to the motion of his hon, friend, that he himself (Mr. Pitt) had been implicated in the charges of the tenth report, and enquity should be made. The mouve. it must be allowed, was extremely honourable: but till that enquiry was made, he was, in some measure, on his trial, and, therefore, by no means the fittest person proposed by his motion, which evidently must arise from the nature of the objects of enquiry. The conduct of the right hon gent, himself, it was evident, would army, or the management of the exchequer. It was, therefore, a very grave question with him, how far it was admissible for any appointment of that nature to originate in that quarter. The right hoh. gent. might think it a popular measure. It was making the most, perhaps, of a case of necessity, and taking the merit of proposing what he could not prevent, but must certainly, at best, be considered as a very " forward step.

Mr. Cambag reminded the hou gent. (Mr. Whitbread) of a former profession he had made, namely, that of being ready to co-operate with his right hon, friend in any measure that had a tendency to promote the object he had in view. His right hou, friend had no design of delaying the enquiry; he was only at opinion that, if it was the intention of the hon, gent. to move for a legal prosecution, it would he proper to give that motion precedence of the other. The propriety of this arrangement was obvious. For those perwho were to be subjected to legal enquiry, were not to be expected to give

have originated in some other quarter, any legal proceeding, and cramp at the same time the enquiries of the committee, as those persons were the only evidence from whom they were to derive their information. In regard to the amendment proposed by his right hon, friend, he entirely concurred in thinking that the committee qught to be confined to their proper object, and not to interfere in such points as should be judged necessary to be referred to a court of justice. It, therefore, the motion for a legal enquiry were first fixed, and the object or objects of that was anxious, therefore, that every thir legal investigation determined, the committee would have the advantage of Fnowing to what objects they were to confine their exertions, and would be relieved from that part of the subject which would come under the cognizance of a court of to propose the motion for another com- justice. From the disposition the hon. mission.—But he urged another argument, gent, seemed to be in the last night this of still greater weight, against that right subject was before the house, he did not hon, gent,'s nominating the committee think he could have any objection to such an arrangement.

The Chancellor of the Fachequer disclaimed every idea of being implicated m any charges adduced by the tenth report, be involved in that enquiry, whether it and challenged any gentleman to point out regarded the various departments of the a single passage in the report that implicated him in any respect.

Mr. Fox admitted that there was no passage, to be sure, that directly implicated the right hon, gent.; but the article relative to the money for secret service, though it did not mention him by name, would cortainly lead to a decision how far that right hou, gent, was involved.

Mr. Grey was of opinion that it would be impossible to carry on the two enquiries together; and to give up the question entirely to the attorney-general, was giving There was presumptive up too auch. evidence, he thought, for concluding that lord Melville had participated in the emoluments diverted from the public service, and had therefore been of opinion at one time that it would be better to refer this part of the husiness to the attorney-general, and to appoint a committee to go on with the other objects of enquiry. Should the house, however, be of opinion that the whole business should be referred to the committee previously to any legal enquiry, he would willingly accede to it.

The Muster of the Rolls declared it to may answer before the committee, that be the practice of the house of commons, might tend to criminate them before a that whenever any point was determined court of lastice. Such an arrangement, by them to be reteired to a court of law, the would defeat the very end of all further enquiry and investigation ceased in the house. In that case a partial en- | agent, as well as paymaster to the navy. quiry night take place, to ascertain whether or not it was proper to prosecute, but no further. Should the house choose the other alternative, they must abandon a civil process. The prosecution could not then be carned on to recover the public money, but the enquiry might be pursued of the public money; and yet he did not for the purpose of inflicting punchment know that a court of law could order any on those who had embezzled it.

Mr. For saw nothing improper in uniting the enquiry by a committee with the prosecution by law, and cited several precedents in support of his opinion.

The Master of the Rolls observed, that in those precedents the matter investigated had always gone in the first instance before the committee, and had never been primarily discussed in the house.

Mr. Kinnaird said, that the object of the present investigation was two-fold. In should not be left entirely with the attorthe first place, to ascertain from an examination of various parts of the report, the practicability of recovering the public feelings were rather those of commimoney, and then to discover whether there seration; but it was necessary that justice were not grounds sufficiently strong to authorise an impeachment. If the house were under the necessity of relinquishing one of these points, he should certainly prefer abandoning the former. He entreated the house to consider whether the public would be satisfied with a civil suit if there were grounds sufficient for an impeachment. He saw no objection to appointing a committee with extensive powers, which powers might be diminished by the house, should they afterwards deem a prosecution necessary.

Mr. George Ponsonby was against submitting the business to the attorney general, as he did not think that was the most likely way of obtaining the object which the house had in view. Suppose the house should order the attorney general to file an information against those persons for money which had come into their hands, they might again refuse to give any answer, on the ground that they might! possibly criminate themselves, and expose attorney general, who had exprest his opimon to-night that it was legal to refuse answering those questions, might possibly think it his duty not to resist such a defence. Besides that, it was not so clear that the courts of law could give any remedy in some cases which might occur Supposing, for example, lord Melville, who had appointed Mr. Trotter his private

and who had drawn on him for sums of ten and twenty thousand pounds at a time, should have repaid those sums, but not with interest, this would be a corrupt participation, and a participation to a great extent in the profits of the abuse interest to be paid. Besides, it lord Mclville and Mr. Trotter could demur to the information, that would put an end to the whole of the business. If a committee was appointed, he thought it would be fettering too much the power of the house to allow witnesses to decline answering on the mere pretext of not criminating themselves. The suppression of truth was almost as strong evidence as the admission of fact. He therefore wished the business ney general. He declared, that as to the persons concerned in these offences, his should be done on this great case; for the public would never be satisfied that they were sincere in their desire of detecting and punishing offenders, if this business was now left to a tribunal which would not have the power of doing effectual justice.

Lord Henry Petty could not allow the debate to proceed farther without expressing the strongest doubts of the sufficiency of proceeding at law, by leaving the whole business now with the attorney general. As the sense of the House had been strongly expressed on one point, he thought it the duty of the house to pursue that examination by which they had already ascertained a most important fact. From the tenth report of the commissioners. the house had already drawn a great and evident conclusion, "that lord Melville had been guilty of a violation of the law, and a high breach of duty." Did it follow, however, from that, that no other conclusions or determinations were to follow, but that they were immediately to divest themselves to pains and penalues. The themselves of all power of investigating further, and delegate all their powers to the attorney general? He thought the house ought not to delegate its power in that manner, but that it was their duty and a duty that the country expected from them, to pursue the examination, and silt those transactions to the bottom. When the house had discharged this duty, and done all that was in its power to do, then

it would be time to call in the assistance I tion, which was, whether the house should of the attorney general and the courts of l Taw, if it should be found necessary, for investigating the whole of the report, or the great objects that parliament had in refer a portion of it to the prosecution of

Mr. Whitbread said, he had listened to by the different gentlemen who had spoken on this subject, and had heard nothing that altered his opinion: he, therefore, must persevere in the resolution he originally moved.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer said, in that case, he should persevere also in the amendment which he had moved; being firmly convinced that the mode heldo more than the commissioners of may il had suggested was the most likely to obtain the ends of justice.

Mr. Whitbread observed, that the right bon, gent, had chapged the grounds on which he rested his amendment. He had court of law, and by that alone. He amproposed it on the grounds of the unreasonableness of expecting witnesses to induced to move, in the first place, that give evidence before the committee which might expose themselves to prosecution.

that the change proceeded entirely from parts of the Tenth Report which were not move to-night that the attorney general the amendment by the right hon, gent. should be ordered to prosecute. Upon this motion, he had moved the amendment. It now appeared that the hou, gent, hipself had discovered that the motion of which he had so given notice, was not sufficiently matured, and he wished another report of a committee to precede it. The change was, therefore, most evidently alluded to. ongthe part of the fron, gentleman.

down to the house it was his intention to postpone that notice.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer had no objection to other gentlemen changing their opinions, it they thought proper; was he who had changed his.

Mr. Grey did not apprehend that there was any great probability of the second committee being able to extract much enquiry had done. If there was to be a committee appointed for the purpose of investigating "this business, faither, he should, buwever, prefer a committee with general power to one whose powers would be limited

reserve to thehiselves the entire power of the law officers. If the house adopted the former proposition, he sould not easily be all the observations that had been made persuaded that to that a reference to a court of law might be united. He confessed Ne was inclined to prefer the latter; and a great reason for this preference was his not being able to discover what supenor means of arriving at the truth a select committee could possess over those belonging to a court of law. Why was it expected that a select committee should enguny, or all their extensive powers were enabled to do? On the contrary, in his opinion, all that was wanting in the board of commissioners could be supplied by a cerely wished his hon, triend had been the attorney general be directed to prosecute, and in the second that a select The Chancellor of the Evelequer, replied committee be appointed to examine those the hon, gent, himself. . He had on a included in the matter for prosecution. former night given notice that he should Both his hon, friends' original motion, and opposite, being however adhered to, and the supposition that he meant to make the question now being, whether a committee should be appointed with extensive powers, or a committee with limited powers, he was certainly disposed to vote for a committee with extensive powers. repeating at the same time, that he should have preferred the mode which he before

The Chancellor of the Exchequer said, that Mr. Whithread said, that when he came he had proposed the committee to be invested with specific and limited powers, under the idea of a legal proscention, at the same time as originally proposed by the framer of the motion.

Mr. Windham observed that his sentibut he did not wish them to state that it ments were so completely in unison with those of the learned gentleman on the second bench (Mr. Ponsonby), and with those of the noble ford who followed him (II. Perty), that he should not feel it nemore than the commissioners of naval cessary to trouble the house at any great length on the subject. He certainly wished, that the committee should be vested with extensive authority. As to the amendment proposed by the right hon, gent, even if it were necessary, it by no means included what it was intended Mr. Thomas Grentille stated the quest to include. The true and accurate way of

describing it would be to give to the com- contrary, he suggested the most successful mattee what was not given to the law; but in his opinion the house should first fully discharge their own duty before they delegated their power to any other hands. A doubt had arisen in his mind on one of branch of the subject, he had no doubt his the points which had been introduced into the discussion. It seemed to be taken for farring such farther power. granted by some gentlemen, that the house at liberty to pursue the enquiry generally themselves, and then refer the examination of parts of the subject to another tribunal. In this opinion he certainly could not concur, although he was not prepared to For his part, he saw no contradict it. reason why these two measures were incompatible. He could not see why such an umon was inconsistent with our jurisprudence. If, however, the house must *ather give up the prosecution by the law officers, or the institution of a select committee, he certainly would not hesitate in recommending the former: compelling the delargacuts to refund the public property which they had amassed, was an object of infinitely less consequence than that a full declaration of the judgment of the house of commons on them should That which was the instant be made. first be performed. He deprecated the pos-ibility of their power slipping into hand. which, as had been stated by a learned gentleman, might not be able to effect any bemetical purpose; and there was then no return, the house could not resume what it had rashly abandoned. Under these inpressions, he should give his decided vote ior the motion of his hon, friend.

Lord Castlereagh said, there were two questions before the house, and they involved two modes of enquiry. The one was whether the committee should be invested with extensive powers? the other, the public duty to a court of law? The lattories of a committee, would be, that they could not reply, when their conduct was designed to undergo a future juridical examination. This evasion could not be resorted to in a court of law. His right hon. investigation should be avoided; on the afraid to confide in its own committee.

means of promoting it, and if any gentleman would intimate any additional authority with which the commissioners might be clothed, not interfering with the legal right hon. friend would gladly join in con-

Mr. Bankes argued the expediency of must make an option: that they were not appointing a committee of enquiry, and on their report instituting any legal proceedings. He had no doubt that he differed from all parties in the house, when he expressed his wish, that the matter should be referred back to the commissioners of naval enquiry, arming them with fresh powers, that they might be enabled to prosecute their researches to the desired point. The bon, gent, expressed great doubt, whether the individuals accused could be compelled to refund the public money, asserting that considerable difference of opinion existed on the subject among several sages of the law, and observed, that in 1782 and 1783. a similar circumstance had been debated in the house, the result of which was by no means tavourable to the hopes of those who thought that such repayment could be compelled.

Mr. Serjeant Best preferred proceeding and immediate duty of the house should in the business exclusively in the committee. The noble lord objected to that mode, because evasive answers would be given to the commissioners. Was the sable lord uninformed, that in a court of law the same expedient might be resorted to by the parties implicated? There were many advantages in such an enquiry, possessed by a committee, from which a court of justice must be precluded: the latter must confine its attention to the immediate matter-charged; the former might extend its examination according to the new lights received, and the unexpected circumstances disclosed in the progress of the whether it would be eligible to limit those affair. What was to be attained by the powers, and to assign a certain portion of proposed juridical proceeding? Restitution. True. But restitution was a very inferior ter appeared, to his mind, by far the most object. The leading design was to exhibit eligible; for the natural answer of lord a terrible example of the consequences of Melville and Mr. Trotter to the interroga-[public delinquency. The table of the house was already crowded with petitions, indicative of the public sentiments. Would the commons of England disregard the opinions, and disappoint the expectations of the people? Would they yet do more, friend near him (Mr. Pitt), did not intend, and abandon the sacred duty with which by the amendment he proposed, that the they were entrusted? Was the house

hoped the right hon, gent, would not perthe house would assert its own dignity

of the nation. though he had taken no part in the proceedings bitherto, Cheng, withheld from considerations which were perfectly jusrefram from making a few observations on some parts of the evidence contained in the report of the commissioner, as it who had fallen under its censure would had given place to much misapprehension. It was a great misfortune in itself, for any went even to a charge of constructive per- upon to the prejudice of the late paymaster, jury against one of the persons who was viz. the advice he gave Mr. Tierney on an object of the resolutions. Mr. Trotter quitting his office, which that gentleman had stated before the commissioners, upon bad followed, and which had been consiouth, that all the drafts made on the bank, dered as resulting less from a desire to be were strictly conformable to the provisions serviceable to the public than to bar the of the act. The evidence of Mr. Abraham advantages (as the commissioners had re-Newland, who must be considered as a ported) of his successor. host of credit and presumed accuracy, was the hon, baronet appealed to Mr. Thermey directly opposed to that of Mr. Trotter, and it needed not to be insisted upon, on had understood him, to have considered which side the public, and possibly the lit in that view, but merely as growing out house, might lean in respect to these con-jof a conversation on the means to avoid trasted facts. It occurred, however, that accidental loss on the transit of money after the resolutions had been voted, an from the bank to the office. The hon, bahonourable member who was himself a ronet avowed a remote family connexion bank director had expressly stated in his with the late paymaster, but he trusted place, on the second might of the debate, that he might be allowed to indulge his that upon an accurate examination of the feelings to a certain extent in consequence books of the Bunk, it appeared that all the of that affinity, without being suspected diafts of the treasurer and his deputy had for a moment to entertain a wish to check been drawn in strict conformity to the course of public justice, as applying the act, and consequently that Mr. to Mr. Trotter or to any other person Newland was mistaken in the evi- who stood under the censure of that dence he gave before the commissioners, house. He trusted also that whatever This important fact was adduced by the proceedings might be adopted by the house, honourable member in justification of the their justice would be tempered with merbank, of which he was director; but it is cy. He then adverted to the prevalent fair also to refer to it in exculpation so prejudices in the public mind with respect far of Mr. Trotter, who otherwise must to Mr. Trotter's great accumulations, but dabour under a suspicion of having sworn he could wenture to assure the house that what was untrue. It appeared that Mr. New- the "immense palace" so often adverted land was not charged with the department to was nearly as visionary as the "palace in which the drafts were presented. A of Aladdin;" being a house of very moright honourable friend below him had derate extent or accommodation, and disclaimed all vindictive measures, and observed that the proposed proceedings in 80001.; and further that the total of Mr. the exchequer might possibly go to the Trotter's income derived from all his real runt of the individual, in the present in- and personal property, without any reser-

and should the members of it be fettered stance, without answering completely the by needless restrictions? He sincerely lends of public justice, which might possibly be better effected by the appointment sist in his amendment, but if he did, that of a committee with full powers, at least in the first instance. Another hon, gent. and independence, and justify the hopes had expressed feelings highly creditable to himself in declaring that his commise-Sir Julin Cox Hippisley said, that A- ration for those who fell under the weight of the scorded displeasure of this house, went hand in hand with his desire to pursue all that justice required! The hon. tifiable in his own mind,) he could not baronet therefore trusted that no prejudice would operate on the minds of any gentleman within that house, but that those be enabled to meet whatever proceedings might be instituted against them without individual to tall under the censure of that any prejudgement from unsubstantiated house, and it needed not to be aggravated charges or misrepresentation. Another by representations which, by implication, circumstance also had been much insisted To this fact himself, who did not appear, as far as he upon which had been expended less than

pledge himself; they had been ascertained would be best obtained by a legal process; to the satisfaction of a great number of but on such a subject he most speak with persons, and he was assured that in what-diffidence, as the law officers attending in ever future proceedings the house might that house had not thought fit to hazard institute to obtain the full disclosure of any opinion. He was anxious to learn, Mr. Trotter's affairs, they would appear if they also judged this to be the best mode to the conviction of the house and the of proceeding, what would they underpublic.

Mr. Tierney asserted, that the drafts on the bank might be drawn in the way prescribed by the act, and yet the law might be most grossly violated. The object of the statute was to keep the public money, under the orders of the treasurer of the navy, in the bank, and for this purpose it was enacted, that no draft should be i-sned but for some special navy service. It would probably be found, that a special service was stated when no such service existed. The act directed, that the property in the bank should vest in the sucessor to the office; but when the money was transferred to Messrs. Coutts and Co. this purpose of the legislature was disappointed. Supposing the event of the death of Mr. Trotter had taken place, and the million of money had been with Messrs. Coutts and Co. on the change in the treasurer of the navy: until adimmistration was taken out, the property could not be removed, and who would have administered to the effects of Mr. Trotter? as an important secret, that he had deviclosure, the word legacy was used: a per-[prosecution, that expectation might be son who was present in the office observed, frustrated. As to his own particular opidetermined we should not obtain the same officers of the crown could undertake for emoluments he has enjoyed." Having nothing respecting the result, whether a said thus much on official matters, in an-civil or a criminal proceeding was to be swer to the hon, gentleman who spoke last, justituted. He at least should only say, he would now say a few words to the that, whatever he undertook, he should :... question before the house. He could have endeavour fairly and faithfully to discharge no view on the present occasion, but to as his duty directed. Should the matter follow up the general design intimated be brought before a committee, they might by the resolutions on a preceding night, not he state to infer the guilt of the accu-The house had determined there had been sed, should they take refuge under the a violation of law; and farther, that ad- protection of the law. In so doing, the vantages had been derived, which ought committee would, no doubt, go farther not to have been conceded. With rethan a legal proceeding could attempt to Vol. IV.

vation or subterfuge, did not exceed spect to the last, he could not avoid consi-1200l, a year. To these facts he could dering that the restitution of public money any opinion. He was anxious to learn, take? would they compel Mr. Trotter to account for the misapplication of the public money? Could they ascertain the quantum of the deficit? He feared the law officers would have no means of ascertaining how far lord Melville participated in the profits; he supposed the utmost of which they could acquire intormation, would be the aggregate of such profits. Although he thought the courts of law could conduct best the part of the business to which he had just alluded, yet he thought atterresent that full powers should be given to the committee; the strong inquisitorial authority of the house was the most effectual and solemn in the existing circumstances, and would be most satisfactory to the public.

The Attorney General would not enter into a comparison of the greater or less power which might be exerted by a committee of the house or a court of law. That of the committee might no doubt be the greater. But should it be the opinion of the house, that he, in his capacity It was true that he (Mr. Tierney) had of his majesty's attorney-general, should held some conversation with Mr. Trotter, proceed against ford Melville and Mr. and the latter gentleman communicated, Trotter for the recovery of the profits they may have derived from the use of the public sed the means by which all hazard by the money, he was ready to confess, that howloss of drafts on the bank by messengers ever he might proceed, they would still would be avoided, and that was, by what have the power to demur. So far, then, he called a write off. He well remem- as an ample source of information might bered, on the occasion of this grand dis- be expected to be derived from such a " Here is a legacy of Mr. Trotter's, he is nion, he was ready to say, that the law

him, he should equally proceed to discover lic clamour which might then be raised. to what extent lord Melville might have He had attended minutely to the different participated in the profits of Mr. Trotter, and how far, consequently, lord Melville stood responsible to refund to the public. It was the inclination of his opinion, that if profits appeared to have been made by Mr. Trotter; which could not be traced to lord Melville, then he could not proceed on the principle to recover equally from lord Melville. It would therefore be incombent on him to examine to what degree lord Melville might be responsible, and that extent must be measured by the nature of the evidence. The only and great difficulty was to ascertain that point it. But these profits had since been reto lord Melville, they would no doubt be make that motion, but he should not as-recoverable. The question would still re- sume it to be already made and decided. main, whether the profits were made by He could not but commend the delicacy of the public money, or by sums drawn in the learned gentleman (the attorney gefavour of individuals. This could as easily neral). The learned gentleman cautiously be ascertained in a court of law as by a abstained from deciding whether a legal committee, may better. The committee proceeding should be instituted or not. At might be excluded from most of the intel- least, he had given no reason to expect ligence which might be procured by a legal that any good could be expected to result proceeding. agreed to, lord Melville and Mr. Trotter were equally silent upon that point. But might still remain with mouths closed, and what says the learned gentleman, "whatheither be convicted by themselves or any lever may be the mode of proceeding may other means the committee could respit they not demur, and refuse to answer? and to. He should therefore vote against the lift hey demur, where is the compulsion?' committee, unless it were intended to follow up the report by some criminal proceeding.

Mr. Tierney in explanation said, that he wished it to be distinctly stated whether, if Mr. Trotter should state what was the amount of the profit which had been gained by the use of the public money, it would thrown out of a prosecution by the attorney be consistent with the forms of law in general, why should it be supposed that that case, to ask, whether lord Melville the committee would be found to institute had participated to any, and to what amount, in such profits?

believed, if it should be said that the posed to limit and cripple the powers of public monies which had been so impro-the committee. There you might examine -perly applied had been fully restored to their use, it would not be in their power to press the question farther.

M1. Alexander observed, that he had always had the greatest deference for public opinion, but he hoped that the day would never come when the house of comsome, be induced to adopt a particular now themselves ready to vote for a prose-

go; yet as far as evidence would justify [line of conduct in consequence of any pubarguments which had been used on both sides, and upon a serious consideration of the whole, it appeared to him, that the mode of proceeding by law was the most temperate and dispassionate that could be adopted in the present instance.

Mr. Skeridan wished to make an observation or two upon what had fallen from the right hon, mover of the amendment. The amendment of the right hon, gent. seemed to assume that the house had already determined the matter should be sent before a court of equity. A motion to that effect might have been intended; but before there was any regulation to ascertain | reasons had been adduced to caution the house to pause before it was adopted. gulated, and if they could now be traceable [Perhaps the right hon, gent, himself might Even were the committee from it; and all the other great law officers Before a committee, they could demur only under the protection of the fifth clause of the act. Still he would have lord Melville and Mr. Trotter brought before the committee. Who could pronounce what might be in the contemplation of the committee to adopt? and if no suggestion had been one? The learned gent. gives the preference to a court of justice; and for what The Attorney General stated that he reason? No doubt, only because it is prothe books; the clerks of Messrs. Drummond, or Coutts: there you might take tiva race evidence. What was the language held upon a former night? Was not the house told-" do not be rash, do not come to a vote before a select committee furnish you with evidence?" Those theremons would, contrary to their own good fore who then accused you of rashness, are

dence is produced by the committee. In whatever light it was viewed, there was every reason to lament the proposed free from any further prosecution. amendment of the right honourable gentleman. It would have the worst possible effect out of the house. Let it not be said, that the house was already fired of the first appearance of the good that had been done. He was not one of those who exalted in first decision. do its duty; it had done no more; was there room for surprise that such a resolution, after such evidence, should have been carried by a majority of one? For his part he hoped the public eye would remain steadily upon the business, and attend to see how it would be followed up; as anxicoasly did he hope, that the right honourable gentleman would not shackle the mquiry by any unnecessary restrictions.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer, in explanation, said, the hon, gent, had described the amendment proposed, as if he had not listened to its terms. His object was to give the committee full power to examine every matter, but that which was commitred to the attorney general. The proposiintentions of the hon, mover of the questum.

"O Lord, I must not answer that question, because it may lead to convict my-

cution in a court of equity, though no evi-1 to enrich himself, the generosity of that house, and of Englishmen in general was such, that he might be certain of being

Mr. For stated the grounds on which he should give his vote to-night, and with which he hoped the majority of the house would concur. He agreed with the right hon, gent, that a committee considering this subject, must be either limited by the applause bestowed on the house for its instructions of the house, or by their own That applause sayoured discretion, to certain specific points. He somewhat of applause at seeing the house never listened to a debate with more attention than he had done to the present, and never had he more complete conviction than from this. From every gentleman who spoke, but more particularly from an hon, and learned gentleman, who had most influence with him, on this occasion, the attorney general, who in a clear, able, and honourable speech, had told the house the result of a prosecution by a person in his official situation; by which it was manifest, that the prosecution would come to nothing; for whatever might be the law on the question, whether the party would be obliged to refund the money, which had been unfairly gained, if it was money belonging to the public, (and the learned gent, inclined to the affirmative of that protion which had been supposed to be de-[position], yet as the prosecution by the signed to get rid of all enquiry, was attorney general calling on the party to acknowledged to coincide with the first refund, was in the nature of a civil action, it was clearly the opinion of the learned gent, that if the money so unfairly made Mr. Fuller reminded the house, that an use of for private emplument, should be hon, member under the gallery (sir J. C. | held not to be in strictness the money of Hippesley) had said, that he could prove the public, but the money of the indithat the most magnificent edifices, and the viduals who claimed, its restitution to most superb palaces, had been raised out the public could not be ordered by a court of twelve hundred pounds a year! In such of justice, because the money was not a case he should be ashuned to belong deemed to be the money of the public. to any party, but he thought it his The prosecution therefore for restitution duty to recommend further inquiry into to the public, would in this event fail; and the business. A great deal had been said he could not help connecting this idea with about the propriety of persons answering what he heard on the 8th of this month in to questions which might tend to criminate the house, from whom he could not recolthemselves. He knew, without possessing lect, although there was an impression on at the same time any knowledge of the his mind that it came from the most reregular forms of law, that it had been the spectable authority in the house, which uniform practice of every villain at the Old was, that the money in question did not Bailey, for these hundred years past, to say, belong to the public, but must be considered as the property of individuals; and if so, the prosecution by the attorney self!" But in honourable society, what general must fail, as iar as regarded the would be said of a gentleman who would recovery of the money. Besides, if the answer in this manner? On the other hand, case were not so, and the prosecution was if a person in office did honestly confess instituted, it would be so easy for Mr. that he had misapplied the public money, [Trotter to transfer to my Lord Melville, but would prove, that he had not done so for my Lord Melville to transfer to Mr.

to elude all justice. But although this part of the case appeared very important in the views of some person, it was comparatively trivial in the view he took of it altogether. His great anxiety was for the to come to any decision against its judgment, on account of any supposed inpression made on the public, that then constituents had no right to bind the house to vote against what appeared just to the house. It was true the public Rad no right to insist on the judgment of the house being m any particular way on any given case, and that such was his opinion he had given proof in the earlier part of his life; but although the public had no right to tell the house what should be the result of its enquiry, it had a right to say that the Iwuse should enquire; that was a duty the house owed to the public; and not only to enquire into any public abuse, but to enquire in the most efficient manner. Now, he had no hesitation in saving, that the most efficient manner appeared to him to be by a committee of the house, and if so, the public would have a right to say, it the house did not adopt that mode, it was guilty of a dereliction of its duty. It was true that neither Mr. Trotter nor my lord Melville, nor any of their agents, would be obliged to answer any thing belore a committee of the house to criminate themselves, any more than they would be obliged to do before a court'of law; but then it must be remembered, that if they refused to answer the committee any fair questions put to them, it would be in the power of the committee to report them as persons misconducting themselves; and as they were persons holding ofuces under government, they might very fairly be cashiered. But as to the examination of Mr. Trotter or lord Melville, he did not think them so important as some others might do. Had he been on the committee of naval enquiry, he | doubted whether he should have proposed to have examined either; for the great! looked into the accounts, public and priany thing that could be expected to come out of the mouth of any delinquent what-

Trotter, as the case might suit them, so as | be delinquents, because very little useful information could be expected from them. The question was, what was the power the house was called upon to give dit of its hands? That power was no less than that of enquiring into all the minute details of honour and character of the house. "It the whole case. The followed another had been said that the house ought never question, whether if the house gave that power put of its hands, it was reasonable to expect that the hands in which they trusted it, would have the same power of examining the matter as the house had? A question which it was impossible to auswer in the affirmative, by adopting the mode proposed by the amendment, therefore, the house would change the best security for a bad one, for preventing future depredations on the public, and that its constituents might call a dereliction of its duty, and were likely to do so, when they tound the mode proposed to be adopted by the amendment was the mode which the most particular fr ends of my lord Mchille were the most partial to, which they hardly would do, if they thought it would pre-s hard upon him. He was appreher sive that the public would be justly dissatisfied with the house if it did not do its duty in this case, which it could not do without adopting the mode best calculated to investigate this matter fully, which, in his opinion, would alone be well done by a committee, such as was proposed by his hon triend's motion.

Mr. Chaning was surprised how any man could accuse his right hon, triend of any intention to cripple the enquiry; it was only limited to the essential points it should have in view. Besides, his right hon, friend lett gentlemen on the other side the option of which mode they should pursue. He could not but advert to the topic, concerning which so much clamour had been raised out of doors. Nothing was so much insisted on as the loss which the public had sustained by these transactions. If there was a loss, let it be ascertamed; if not, let the mistake be corrected; and how could that be better done, than by the mode recommended? How could the money be better secured than by a legal proceeding? object with him would bave been to have It was rather wonderful that gentlemen, who in every other respect were so anxious vate, for they afforded better evidence than to abide by what was prescribed by the commissioners, should in this instance refuse to adopt that authority. The comever. Not that he would not have heard missioners pointed out the proceeding of a them, if they desired it, but he should not court of law, yet gentlemen now wished to think of sending for mem as witnesses to ex-1 shrink from that mode of proceeding. Inplain any matters in which they appeared to deed they seemed solicitous only to follow

what made an impression out of doors, and expected the support of the right hon. to change their ground, and shape their gent. (Mr. Caming), who had spoken so conduct in the manner the most likely to | vehemently against him to-night. He had eatch a lew stray votes.

amendment, in a speech of considerable length, in which he maintained that all the evidence which could be examined before a committee of the house, could also be examined before a court of justice; with this advantage, that before the latter A would all be given with the solemnity of an oath, and before a select committee, it would be without that solemnity.

Mr. Fonblanque stated the inconveniences which would arise in the discussion of this matter in a court of equity, as reasons why the house should consider a great deal before it gave up its own control over the case in order to send it before that tribunal.

M:. Whitbicad replied to the different observations which had been made against | his motion, but chiefly those which had been urged by Mr. Canning. He did not change his ground for the purpose of taking in any stray votes; he appealed to the good sense of the house as to his having changed his mode of proceeding since the debate commenced. He did not see any represent attaching to that, for it was the result of the force of arguments from his friends, and he saw no disrespect to the hon e for a man to change his opinion in the course of a debate: He thought the use of a good argument was to convince those who heard it, and he hoped he should never be so arrogant, as to think that his mind was so perfect as to be incapable of receiving instructions from the minds of others. He had once thought of a prosecution against my lord Melville by the attorney general, but he was convinced, from what he had heard to-night, there was but little prospect of any restitution of property to the public by that mode of proceeding; he had therefore for the present abandoned it, and he adhered only to the motion for a committee. As his motion to pursue lord Melville as a public debtor, but to prosecute him as a public delinquent. Not that he gave any other proceeding up, tor he held himself at liberty to take any of the modes proposed to be adopted on this occasion, but he abandon- the supposition of influence. ed them all for the present, except that of

great hopes the house would continue to The Solicitor General supported the deserve the approbation of the public by its determination this night.—The house then divided—For the amendment 229; for Mr. Whitbread's motion 151; majority for the amendment 78.

Mr. Whitbread then said, that he held in his hand a list of members whom he meant to propose to the consideration of the house. as fit persons to compose a committee to whom the subject should be referred. It was of the utmost importance that this committee should consist of persons whose character for talents, independence and integrity, should give confidence to the country, and he was sure that no objection would be made to any one of the names that he held in his hand. They were selected, without consideration to party, from both sides of the house. He read over the names, as follows:-Mr. Baker, Mr. Bankes, Mr. Blackburn, Mr. N. Calvert. Hon. Spencer Cowper, Mr. Creevey, Hon. Mr. Pierrepoint, Hon. John Fane, Sir John Newport, Lord Folkstone, F. Gregor, Feq. Lord Archibald Hamilton, Mr. Madocks. Lord Marsham, Hon. II. Lascelles, Sir John Wrottesley, Sir Robert Peele, Lord Henry Petty, Mr. Sheridan, Mr. Whitbread, Lord Robert Spencer, and concluded with moving the first name, William Baker, Esq. member for the county of Hertford.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer objected to this mode of naming the committee, and moved as an amendment, that the committee should be chosen by ballot.

Mr. For expressed his astonishment. that on a subject of such moment the right hon, gent, should resort to this mode. Nothing but the most perfect publicity could satisfy the ends of justice, or convince the public that they were in earnest. He said, that it was perfectly understood that a select committee of 21, it chosen by ballot, was a committee of persons who, somehow or another, spoke the scutiments of the stated, the great object with him was not minister, and if this were chosen in that way, jealousy and distrust would be the consequence; that this was a committee to try the ministers themselves, and that it was a monstrous thing that it should be nominated in a way that would countenance

The Chancellor of the Exchequer answered. the committee proposed by his motion, that the mode of ballot was the ancient and as he gave up the other measures, he usage of parliament in such cases.

the proceedings of committees chosen by sition meeting the sense of their lordships, ballot, the country owed the highest ob- it was ordered accordingly. ligations, and he trusted his friends would a few words from Mr. Grey, the house diwided on the motion for a ballot. Ayes 251; nees 120; majority 131.—Adjourn-

> HOUSE OF LORDS. Friday, April 26.

appeal cause, Cathcart, Bart, v. the earl of Cassilis, being resumed, Mr. H. Erskine was heard on behalf of the noble respondent—The bills upon the table, chiefly of a private or local description, were read in their several stages.—The lord Chancellor called the attention, of their lordships to the great number and accumulation of appeal causes and writs of error which now lay over for consideration; particularly with respect to those which came from Ireland and Scotland. According to the present mode of proceeding, the attention drawn to some of these, perhaps for years. It would be important that some line should be endeavoured to be struck out, or some arrangements formed, with a view to the more regular and expeditious hearing or disposal of these causes, in reference to the concerns of the parties, as well as to the business of the house. Under this impression, he should move for the appointment of a committee of their lordships, to take the subject, which was one in every point of view of great importance, into consideration a such a proceeding; the order of rotation for hearing, in Which the different cases at present stood, the respective general natures of the cases, and also the places from whence the appeals light grounds. ceedings on Tuesday next."-This propo- diture.

[WEST INDIA ACCOUNTS.] The Earl of not be diverted from following the ancient Suffolk, after shortly adverting to a repractice of the house in the best times by cent communication which he had with the any insinuations of sinister motives. After noble secretary of state, then in his place, observed, he should have to move their lordships with respect to the production of certain very important public accounts. He was aware that documents of this nature should not in general be produced, except adequate parliamentary or public grounds were laid for their exposition. In [MINUTES.] The consideration of the the present instance, he was confident the ground he should proceed on was unobjectionable in these points of view; it was no less than that of public delinquency, which, he had reason to think, he could make appear, in case the documents he should forthwith move for were granted to him; and this consideration, without going farther, he would aver, was an adequate and sufficient reason for their production. The noble earl then moved, "That au humble address be presented to his majesty, praying that he would be graciously pleased to order that there be laid before of their lordships could not be regularly the house, an account of all momes and sums drawn from the West Indies, upon his majesty's treasury, from the 1st of Dec. 1798, to the 1st of Dec. 1802, specifying the amount of the respective drafts, the time of their being respectively drawn, and the different times of payment."

Lord Hawkesbury said, he did not rise to oppose the motion, the nature of which the noble earl had communicated to him a few minutes since; but, to express his opinion generally, that documents of the kind should not be produced, unless some adequate parliamentary or public grounds were laid for such production. Such motions should not be made, as he feared, was sometimes the case, idly, or upon For, independent of other were made, should be taken into conside-limportant considerations, it should be reration. It was his idea that their lordships collected, that such proceedings induce who were present this session should be great inconvenience, at different public empowered to sit in such a committee. offices, and sometimes so as materially to His lordship then moved to the following interfere with the necessary dispatch of effect: "That a committee of the Lords public business. To the present motion present this session be appointed to take he had no objection; nor did he mean, into consideration the different appeals and in any thing said, the least to call in queswrits of error now pending before the tion the general right of parliament, on house, with a reference to a more regular, sufficient grounds, to call for official inconvenient, and expeditious mode of hear- formation; more especially in what (and ing and proceeding on the same; and that which was peculiarly and constitutionally the said committee do commence its pro- its province) concerned the public expen-

The Earl of Suffolk acquiesced in the ob- sundry papers therein referred to .- Mr. servations of the noble secretary of state. There was one expression which, he said, he was sorry had fallen from him, namely, a motion idly made. This by no means could apply to that which he had just submitted to the house; for, if he were not greatly mistaken, or misinformed, he could ground, upon the documents moved for, a charge of delinquency on the part of the ticasury.

Lord Hawkesbury explained, that it was obvious, that what he stated generally could not be intended to apply to the motion of the noble earl, masmuch as he expressly stated he did not mean to oppose it.—The question was then put, and the address ordered accordingly.—Adjourned.

HOUSE OF COMMONS. Triday, April 26.

[MINUTES.] A new writ was ordered] for Bleachingley, in the room of James Mills, Esq. deceased.—Sir John Newport, after observing that the order of the house made on the 7th March last, for an account of the expences of state procedutions in Ireland, had not been complied with, moved that it be forthwith complied with. Ordered.—On the motion of Sir John Newport, it was ordered that the several papers presented yesterday from the office of the Chief Secretary for Ireland, be printed.—Sir John Anderson brought up a bill for making a Tunnel under the mittee be appointed to examine the lists, Thames, from the parish of Rotherhithe, in Surrey, to the parish of St. John Wapping, in Middlesex. Read a first, and ordered to be read a second time.—On the motion of Mr. Rose, the Thames Lastage and Ballastage bill was read a second time. -Sir M. W. Ridley observed, that this bill repealed all the regulations of former acts, and substituted other very important ones which required a good deal of consideration; he therefore requested the right hon, gent, would not push it immediately through a committee, but allow a few days for that purpose: to which Mr. Rose acceding, the bill was ordered to be committed to a committee of the whole house on Monday fortnight.—On the motion of sir A. S. Hammond, it was ordered that there be laid before the house a copy of a letter from the Comptroller of the Navy to the Board of Admiralty, dated 224 April, 1805,

Creevey referred to some observations which had been made some time since on the subject of the revenue of the Isle of Man. It might be recollected that it was then stated that the surplus of the revenue of that island for six years had amounted to the sum of 20,000%. Upon enquiry into its application, it was said that in the year 1802, the sum of 3,000% had been paid into the Exchequer, and passed over to the consolidated fund, notwithstanding there was a specific act of parliament against such application. Of course there was the sum of 17,000l, remaining for the purposes of the island. The hon, member then gave notice, that he would on Friday next move for a committee to enquire into the application of the remainder of the surplus revenue of the Isle of Man.

[BALLOT FOR A SELECT COMMITTEE ON THE 10th NAVAL REPORT.] motion of the Chancellor of the Exchequer, the order-of the day for balloting a Select Committee, was read. The serieant at arms having, in pursuance of order, gone to the speaker's chambers, the court of requests, and places adjacent, to summon the members, the balloting proceeded. When all the names of the members had been read by the clerk, and when those who chose to vote had deposited their lists in the halloting glass,

Mr. Sturges Bourne moved, that a comand to report to the house the names of the twenty-one members who had the majority of votes.

Mr. Whitbread rose, and said he had still stronger regions now than he had yesterday, for his objection to the mode of proceeding by ballot. He was then apprehensive that such a mode would, in fact, subject the nomination of that committee to the influence and dictation of a minister. This day he found those apprehensions strengthened, by a very confident rumour circulated, upon he knew not what authority, that, notwithstanding all the apparent Tairness and impartiality of a ballot, yet that the names to be returned upon the committee were pre-determined by the minister; by which the business of the ballot was converted into a solemn mockery, and rendered wholly nugatory as to the obvious intentions of the house. A on the subject of the evidence printed in hist of those names had been this day put the 11th Report of the Comm. So ners of into his hand, which he would flow read Naval Enquity, together with comes of m his place-Lord Castlereagh, Mr. Whit-

ham, sir W. Scott, Mr. T. Grenville, Mr. Ichamber. Ryder. lord George Cavendish, lord Dunlo, Mildmay, Mr. S. Thornton, Mr. J. Faue, lord Boyle, Mr. Cartwright, Mr. Hawkins committee to be returned by the scrutineers should tally with the names he had read, palpable to admit of the smallest doubt; guided in such steps as he should feel himself bound to adopt under such a cucumsensible, that many others of them were men whose situations and known sentiments rendered them totally ineligible to any thing like fair and impartial enquiry upon the subject of the Tenth Report of the Naval Commissioners. If the names of those members should appear upon the list of the committee returned, he should move, however unprecedented such a motion might be deemed, to expunge their names from the committee, and to insert others, not so objectionable, and of course more compatible with the objects contemplated by the house.

that the names of some members might be returned upon the committee, who, however unobjectionable, could not, without most material injury to their own interests and avocations, devote their attentions to case of election committees, any gentleman so circumstanced, would, upon application to the house, be excused from attendance.

Mr. Sheridan hoped, that after the motion now before the house was disposed of, | ministers into the hands of their friends. namely, the question upon the list of scru- Now, if the fact was so in the present case, tineers moved by Mr. Sturges Bourne, his hon, friend (Mr. Whitbread) would, in some more explicit way, put the house in of his hon, friend, with the return of the possession of the list of the names he had scrutineers, would be a pretty strong crijust read, in order to lay the foundation terion of the truth. Upon the former ocfor such a measure as might appear necessary, in the result to which he had alluded. —The question on Mr. Bourne's motion door-keepers of the house put the lists into was put, that certain members, whom he the kinds of members as they entered. He named, be appointed to select from the [(Mr. S.) had-charged the fact upon minishallot; which was agreed to without op- ters, and pledged himself to prove it to the

bread, Master of the Rolls, Mr. W. Wind- were ordered forthwith to the speaker's

Mr. Sheridan again rose, and observed, lord W. Russell, Mr. Leycester, Mr. St. that his hon, friend had just read a list of John, Mr. Foster, Mr. H. Lascelles, sir H. Imembers, which he (Mr. W.) had been given to understand the scrutineers would return, in consequence of the pre-concerted Brown, Mr. Gunning.—If the list of the instructions received from ministers. Now it was extremely important for the house to ascercin whether the committee, for the fact he had just stated would be too the appointment of which it had already decided, was to be fairly and bond tide and by that circumstance he should be chosen by a ballot; or whether that ballot was to be rendered nugatory, and the committee to be really nonmated by governstance. Many of the names in this list ment, to the flagrant deception of the were undoubtedly of high respectability, house, and the probable defeat of its oband highly eligible; but every man must be fject. An hon, member, last night, had proposed a mode of selecting the committee. which he was persuaded would have been much more likely to have obtained a fact and impartial selection, than that which was the pleasure of the house to adopt, namely, to propose the members one at a time from each side of the house, and then it would have been fairly seen how to the majesty's ministers were disposed to acc to that principle of impartial investigation to which some of them had professed the a selves so friendly. Put he well knex from past experience, that the mode adog ced was not the one facely to obtain an i.e. Mr. For observed, it was very possible partial selection. There was an important occasion, some years since, which ever red to his recellection. It was a ballot proposed for selecting a committee of East-India judicature, upon which occasion, as well as on many others well known, it was the business of an investigation, likely to irrefragably and flagrantly obvious that the he so arduous, and of such long duration, ballot for a committee was but a mere He should hope, therefore, that as in the mask for the nomination of the immister, and that in fact, the names of the persons to serve had been previously determined by ministers; that the lists of those names were made up at the treasury, and put by it was necessary the house should know it; and the comparison of the list, in the hand casion, to which he had alkaded, the lineiness was done so openly, that one of the position, and the includers appointed house, and he accordingly had moved to

have Joseph Pearson, the door-keeper, sent instance was, that the honour and valled to the bar and examined. He was however, resisted by the right hon, gent. over the way (Mr Pitt), and the house divided against it, for the ayes were but 38, and the nots 108. In the present instance, he would recommend to his hon. friend to lay his list on the table, in some manner which would bring it fairly within the cognizance of the house.

Mr. Whitbread said, it was his intention to ground some proceeding upon the busmess, in case the list returned by the scrutmeers should correspond with it.

.The Speaker said, that unless the hon. member meant to conclude by some motion, there was no question now before the house.

Mr. Whithread said he should then chape a motion, and accordingly moved the following resolution .- "That it is a high breach of the privileges of this house, to enculate lists nominating persons to be chosen on any committee by ballot." The carstion being then put, the house divided. when there appeared, for the motion, 45; a. diest it, 121; majority, 79.

PROCEDUNGS RISPLETING THE PRING Cor "THE ORACLE." On the moe and Mr. Grey the order of the day for " of often fance of Peter Stuart, the printo the Oracle 'was now read.

rates of "the Orac ... to the property of supporting the resolution, of the bonse, yet his peace of raind we not at all broke in upon because his conduct, along with that of other menihad enforced their determination not to restrain any animadversion by the public lamented, the necessity he was under of on the conduct of parliament. God forbid! said the hon, member, that the people of this country should have any imp diments thrown in their way, in discusing the conduct of their representatives fauly and freely. Most certainly, such a liberty ought to be exercised in a becoming manner. It was well known, that the publishers of papers were too apt to indulge themselves in discussing what they called party questions. He professed to be of no party; but he felt us much as any man the accessity of maintaining, in all its purity, what was called the liberty of cle' be called to the bar of the house." the press. His own opinion in the pre-VOL. IV.

dignity of parlimnent would be best maintained by passing over in silence such trifling indiscretions. The acting otherwise, would have no other effect than to countenance them. Parliament ought to entertain a firmer rehance on its own recntude. Such were his sentiments on this occasion, and he hoped, that some other member, better acquainted with the customs of the house, would suggest some mode by which such a dignited and independent conduct might still be rigorously attended to, even in the present case.

Mr. Grey said, that if he had conceived this matter to be of hight or trivial unportance, he should never have made such a complaint. There was no member in the house more unwilling than he was to enter complaints against individuals, or to mterfere with the free discussion of public affairs. Had the present paingraph been only a free comment on public matters, as the bon, gentleman who had just spoken seemed to milly, he should not have troubled the house on this occasion. It was not difficult, however, to judge of the true nature and bearing of this matter. He who runs might read in the present mstance. It was only yesterday he had seen it, and he still retained the same opinion be then did, as to its mischevous Mr. Athers Wright spoke against the tendency. It could not be viewed at all a plion of any severe measure as to the light of a fair discussion of a pub-Although he lic question. If it did appear to the house has perfectly contineed in his own mied, in a contrary light, he was perfectly willing to forbear from all further proceedings. To him, however, it bore a very different aspect and character. It was no discussion, it was more angestive, absolute bers, had been severely censured. It was and three-sified abuse, tending to villig well known with what rigour the house the proceedings, degrade the character, and insult the authority of parliament. If performing such a duty, and he would villingly have overlooked it, had he not been convinced that it outstripped all bounds of moderation in the candid discussion of public affairs. If the hon, member who had endeavoured to justify such a manifest infringement of their privileges, should think proper to move that the order be discharged, he should not think it necessary to press his motion any further.— The question, which had originally been proposed by Mr. Grev, was then put from the chair " That the printer of "the Ora-

Mr. Atkins Wright again rose and con-2 F

motion should first be disposed of, and then the house could more readily deterinme what course was to be followed.

to press the matter turther, it the house really appeared to agree with the arguments and proposition of the hon, gent. who had just sat down. He should wish to know, whether that hom gent, who had just sat down was disposed to do that, in regard to his own person, which he seemed inclined to do towards the house of commons. Did he (Mr. Wright) mean to say, that he would despise every thing that this I reply, that if the house is about to could be said against him? Really, for adopt a new feeling, and to take notice his part, he could see no reason why meni- of expressions of this sort, after they have bers ought to be more tender of their own so long slumbered, and allowed these things characters, as individuals, than they should to pass unheeded, it ought to give warm a be of the character of the house of com- that it has changed its centiments, in order the character of the house was saying punishment may not fall on a particular centious, and therefore he should be guilty of no great vindictive principle, if he should cutam degree, as a caution to others.

that is due to this house, yet, on this octhat this has overstepped the boundaries ber the usual result of such prosecutions.

jured the house not to make this a matter of all that licence that the house has ever article, and I must certainly alfore that The Speaker suggested, that the original it is a very severe libel. But when I say this, I must at the same time observe, that though in itself et is extremely improper, yet when compared with a vast Mr. Windham said, he was not inclined variety of other articles that have appeared respecting the proceedings of the home, it is by no means so severe. Indeed, in this view, it may be said to be mere unlk and water. But then, my hon, triend says, "if we are to allow things of this sort to go on, where are we to stop? Is the house of commons to suffer every sort of censure on its proceedings to pass without any animadversion?" Why, sir, to mons. The not being equally attentive that people may be prepared, and that to the public, "You may say what you individual, where so many are involved please, we do not mind it." If such was in the same sort of delinquency. I do the rule, why not proclaim it? Why not not say that my hop, friend is not persay to the writers of newspapers, you may feetly warranted in the mode of proceedwrite what you choose, there will be no ing which he has adopted; but it is but many done by your misrepresentations? fair at the same time to observe, that the Was it to be said, that, because the house house has been long in the habit of tolehad passed over a great many instances of rating such paragraphs as that of which a similar nature, we bught on that account complaint is now made. We are necusto pass over every one? This would be tomed to connive at these things. We falle logic. The freedom of the press had connive at reporting our debates, and very been too long tolcrated, not only to the properly, because I should consider it as mjory of public, but private men-not a great, if not a mortal blow to the liberonly to the general degradation of the tios of this country, that the public should higher orders of society, but to the general be kept in ignorance of the proceedings consuption of the lower. The only questin parliament. Now, it ought to be retion was, whether the instance now before collected, that we use a great deal more the house was one which went to that ex- freedom with our own characters than we cess as should lead them to interfere to think it just that other men should do. maintain their own dignity. It was impos- But when people are obliged to report, sible, in his opinion, to conceive any thing if they do report well, the severe things more gross, injurious, calummous, and li- which we say of one another in this house, is it not natural that they should fall into an imitation of our etyle, and speak of us, Note for punishing the offender in a in some measure, as we do of ourselves? I do therefore think, that in these cases a Mr. Sheridan.—Although, sir, no person great allowance ought to be made. I should feels more highly than I do the respect be extremely sorry that any thing like a prosecution should take place in this incarion, I certainly do not think that we stance. My first reason is, that I am a ought to be too eager in taking notice of warm friend to the liberty of the precs, this paragraph. My hon, friend has said, and the second is, that I very well remem-

some time ago published on the house of ty of intention in the jury he addressed. commons, and I was one of a commuttee appointed to discover the author of that right hon, friend was perfectly consistent pamphlet. I certainly had no doubt that with the premises. it was a libel; however, when it came into a court of law, an hon, friend of mine had the ingenuity to persuade the jury, that it was no reflection whatever on the house of commons. If, therefore, the futher of this paragraph makes an ample apology, which I have no doubt he will be ready to charged.

this motion was first brought forward, I mode of proceeding,

he designed to do justice to the talouts of viduals, that, strictly speaking, are whol-

I recollect, sir, that a certain libel was this friend, and equally to the perfect puri-

Mr. Canning said, the conclusion of his

The Attorney General said, he supposed we might then, have acquitted libellers, though we were not allowed to talk of

" acquitted felons."

. Mr. Fox.—Sir, it has never been my opinion, and I think my conduct has pretty well shewn it, that the liberty of the pross do, I cannot think that the matter ought should be rashly meddled with. But, howto be carried further. It will be suffi- ever, when a gross breach of privilege is event to have him reprimanded and dis-[committed, it is not perhaps altogether proper that the offender should escape with The Chancellor of the Exchequer. When impunity. Some allusion has been made to a prosecution by the attorney-general. certainly wished that the hon, gent. should It does not appear to me that this is the prise upon it. Now, however, it does just mode of proceeding on a case of this stand in a very different situation from luature. No court of justice ever, or at least what it did before. However, therefore, | very seldom, adopts the plan of a prose-I might be disposed to pass over the pa-|cution in the case of a contempt of court, ragraph which is now the subject of ani-|but almost invariably proceeds by taking madversion, I cannot, in consistency with the punishment into its own hands. In a my duty, allow it to be passed slightly libel on the house of commons, therefore, over, since it has been taken notice of. At the person who has written it ought more the same time I agree very much in what properly to be punished by this house, and has been said by an hon, gent, over against lit certainly is by no means advisable, that me (Mr. Shendan), that these things should he should be sent to such a mode of trial not rashly be taken up,—and yesterday as has been alluded to, without strong I adverted to this circumstance. If this grounds for so doing. But let me not, at has been tolerated long, I am certainly of opinion that it is not altogether candid that one by no means disposed to favour the disindividual should be selected for the purposition to turn matters into contempt pose of punishment. I would only remark, of court, which are in fact crimes of en. however, that the hon, gent, in bis zeal to tirely a different nature. In this instance, defend the press, in the present instance, however, it is clear that the offence resemhas so far forgot himself, as to undervalue bles that of a contempt of court, and as the trial by jury; a thing no less sacred in such it ought to be punished by this house, this constitution than the house of com- and by the other. I have certainly not mons. His argument went thus far, that often thought it fit to prosecute individuals. it was needless to commit the matter to a But at the same time I must say, that the mry, as they would not give a proper ver- | gentlemen on the other side have not been dict in the case. With regard, however, I remarkable for their forbearance in any to the question, whether this ought to be case where government has been concerned. sent to a jury or not, the most proper time I do not, therefore, see why the house of to consider that will be when the printer commons should be the only part of the has been called in, and his apology heard. constitutional body that is to be libelled We shall hear in the first place what he has with impunity. I widely differ from my to say in his own defence, and then we may bon. friend, when he says that such a consider what will be the just and fair paragraph as this appears almost every day, Undoubtedly I am not in the babit of Mr. Skeridan said, he could not easily reading the newspapers so much as he be caught addressing the house in dis- does, but I certainly have scarcely ever respectful language of an English jury, seen any thing like this. I defy any gen-The construction just given to his remark | theman to shew me any such paragraph. wis not correct; in what he had said, There are, indeed, often attacks on indi-

imputation as this had been thrown on any Certainly this ecannot be said. This may of the proceedings of the house of com- be a reason for taking notice of the libel, mons, when the majority was in favour of but at the same time it must be confered, administration, it would not be tolerated. It is an additional proof that it could be No one would dare to do such a thing. I attended with no harm whatever. certainly do think this, therefore, an extraordinary case; but at the same time, on who had just sat down, on the nature and the general principle, that the freedom of discussion, either in or out of doors, should not be discouraged, I am free to confess, that I am not of opinion that the

punishment ought to be severe.

Mr. William Smith. - Nothing, sir, in fly opinion, can be more serious than a libel notice of. directed against an individual. It very often does him incalculable injury, because house sat rather in a judicial capacity. it goes into a thousand places where it is This was no political question, and thereabsolutely impossible for him to follow it. I fore the libel was the more intolerable. But, sir, I really think that a "libel on the | The house had on this occasion done every house of commons stands upon very dif-thing with coolness, and no passion or ferent grounds; paragraphs of this sort, party feeling was concerned. He entered when they are not in unison with the publishes protest against the distinction that had lie feeling, are of little importance. This been made by the hon, gent. for if this was libel, sir, is certainly out of all unison with I to be allowed, a door would be opened to the public feeling, and therefore, in iny the most scandalous attacks on the house opinion, it is perfectly harmless. This is of commons, when it was found that they certainly not the case when a libel is publifully might be circulated with impunity. lished on the conduct of a member of the house, and this, therefore, ought to be a and the house having determined " that more serious consideration. We ought to the Printer be called in," Mr. Peter Stuart be more careful of protecting individuals appeared at the bar. from such attacks, because when the house, in a collective sense, acts with the public A. Peter Stuart.—Q. Look at that paper: all libels on their conduct can be attended is it printed and published by you? A. It is. with no mischievous effects, even though they should be more gross, if any thing can be more gross, than the libel in question. I do not, therefore, think, that it was material to notice it; but whether any proceedings should be had upon it, after it has been noticed, is another ques- "I very much regret that any part of the tions entirely. I am rather disposed to agree with the right hon, gent, on the other side, that something should certainly be impurable house. If, sir, I have expressed done by way of marking the displeasure "myself too warmly in favour of lord Melof the house. But I must advert to one "ville-for whom I shall always entertain thing. We are here accused of haste, intemperance, &c. Now, sir, how did we that this honourable house will view it as proceed? eided the matter, and therefore this is "not a wilful intention to provoke the more particularly a libel upon you-you consure of a power on which our dearest whom we all respect, and whom certainly [" rights and liberties depend. I intreat it becomes us all to protect from any im- | " you, sir, that some allowance may be Proper imputations. This case, therefore,

ly unjustifiable, but I say, that if such an [listened to the arguments on both sides?

Dr. Laurence differed from the hon-gent. effects of a libel. The hon, gent said, that when a libel was not in unison with the feelings of the public, it ought to be disregarded. By parity of reasoning, it followed, that when a libel was in unison with the public feeling, it ought to be taken There was something in this that distinguished it from other libels. The

The question being loudly called for,

*The Speaker.—Q. What is your name? . The Speaker .- That paper has been complained of to the house as containing libellous reflections on its conduct and character. What have you to say in answer to the charge?

A. " Permit me, sir, to assure you, that "contents of my paper of yesterday should " have incurred the displeasure of this ho-"the highest respect and esteem-I beg You gave the vote that deal "the unguarded language of the heart, and " made for that freedom of discussion of does didubtedly differ from any other "public affairs, which for a long series of materially. Can it be supposed, sir, "years has been sanctioned by common on were actuated by intemperance, "usage; and that the hasty composition live your vote in haste, after you had "of a newspaper may not be considered " as a deliberate design to offend this ho-levery thing that was just and proper that "nourable house."—Mr. Peter Stuart there was no use whatever in attending in having concluded, he was desired by the lit, and that it afforded no protection what-Speaker to withdraw.

my duty to submit a resolution to the house on this business. This I shall do without premising it with any observations. Of the sort of apology that has been made, if apology it can be called, I loave the house to judge, and I shall be perfectly may be. I wish, however, to make one observation with regard to the charge that has been thrown out against me by a gentleman on the other side, of having been too hasty in bringing forward this business. Sir, I brought it forward as soon as the oftence came before the public, and as soon as it was generally known, and this I thought to be the most proper period. Having said this, su, I shall only move " That Peter Stuart, in publishing the

to object to the motion that has just been I tion whether the observations came from made. But with regard to the observation | me, and how far they were accurate. I am to which the hon, gent, alluded, as coming | not ashamed of what I said, and, if the from a person on this side of the house, I hou, gent, wishes for information on the rather think the hon, gent, spoke of something that fell from me on a former night. thing that fell from me on a former night, containing the remarks to which he has I believe the hou, gent, wishes now that he adverted. That a man may say that it is had attended to what I suggested on that of no use that he should attend the house. occasion. I stated, that I had no doubt because he can do no service in it, without that this came under the description of a being guilty of a libel, I should think inhirel, and I think so still; but I also said, controvertible. I did say so, and that was that it did not appear to me that the house in opinion most certainly. If he thought ought to interfere in the business. This this a libel like the paper now before us, is still my opinion. Many things come if he had shewn me the print in question before me which I cannot hesitate to pro- at that time, I could have fold him how nounce libels; but from the circumstances for it was accurate. It may not, perhaps, that attend such cases, I should not advise be such an easy matter now; but, howthat any notice should be taken of them, lever, even at this distance of time, I have And I must say, that the eagerness with no objection to give him every information which the hon, gent, and his friends have in my power; and I believe I can still, taken up this paragraph savours much from recollection, satisfy him on this submore of the irritability of soreness than of ject. But, sir, I must confess I do not see any soundness of character on their part. | the justice or the candour of withholding An hon, gent, over the way said, that he all allusion to the affair at the time when had great difficulty in finding any other it happened, and bringing it forward as an libel similar to the present one. Sir, libels argumentum ad hominem, when such a libel. do not make such lasting or strong im- as this is before the house. I certainly do pressions in other cases as they do when think this a more serious libel than many they are directed against ourselves. This others, and confess that it has made a may have been the case at present. I re-| stronger impression on me for exactly the collect, sir, when public prints made that reason that has been stated, of its not being hon, gent, state, at clubs and meetings, in favour of my own side of the question; that the house of commons was so lost to not however, because I am myself indivi-

ever to the people of this country; and I Mr. Grey then rose.—Sir, it is now remember too, that the hon, gent, was absent from his duty in the house at the time. Yet, sir, I do not say that the hon. gent, absolutely held this language; but I do say, that the prints made him say so, and adopted that mode of conveying their sentiments with more weight. But after satisfied with their decision, whatever it all this, sir, there was no interference on the part of the house. I only mention this, sir, to shew, that those things which make for us do not make altogether so strong an impression, as those things that make against us. With regard to the motion itself, I certainly have no objection to

Mr. Fox. The hon, and learned gent. has, I suppose, alluded to me in what he has just said. He has stated that a certain print published observations purporting to said paper, has been guilty of a high breach come from me. When he produces the of the privileges of this house." paper to me, I may perhaps recollect The Attorney-General .- I do not mean what I said, so far as to give him informasubject, he has only to produce the paper bbels are much more serious when they are most agreeable to the executive power; for, in that case, there are strong suspicious that they may possibly come from those who receive the pay of the government.

Mr. Atkins Wright defended the seittiments which he had formerly expressed, but spoké so low, that we could not follow him in the particulars.—The motion was then put, and carried without a division. Mr. Atkins Wright then moved, "that Mr. P. Stuart be called to the bar, reprimanded, and discharged."

Mr. Grey observed, that if it was the general sense of the house that a libel of this nature should be passed over in this manner, he had no objection to the motion. He was of opinion, however, that when the house interfered, its sentence ought to be something heavy. The paragraph in que-tion had been voted a high breach of privilege by the house, and the author ought therefore to meet with some marks of the displeasure of the house. However, he should be sorry to urge any greater severity than the house thought necessary; and he would therefore be perfeetly satisfied with whatever the house judged proper. If, then, the house, after hearing the apology that had been made, if it was an apology, should think it proper to agree to the motion, he had no objec-

The Chancellor of the Exchequer was sorry; that in this instance he should be compelled to propose a greater degree of severity than what had been mentioned; but he felt that he should not have done his duty to the house, if he allowed the matter to rest here. However buch therefore he might be disposed to lenity, as far as the individual was concerned, yet he nity of the house. After having once resolved that a person had been guilty of a high breach of privilege, he could not, in consistency with the dignity of the house be instantly discharged. He thought therefore, that in the first instance, the author of the paragraph should be committed. and then, if he made a proper submission, as he had no doubt he would, he should consent to discharge him at the earliest possible period. He then moved, "that the said Peter Stuart, be for his said offence taken into the custody of the ser-

dually concerned, but because I think that | taken into the custody of the serjeant at

PAPERS RELATING TO THE SALE OF CORN AND FLOUR BY MR. CLAUDE Scort.] Mr. Scijeant Best rose, pursuant to notice, to call the attention of the house to a transaction, which, if the circumstances were as had been stated to him, deserved the serious attention of the house. In 1795, government had thought proper to give olders to seize neutral vessels comg to France with provisions. These we tels and the cargoes had been consumed, after a part of the provisions had been taken for the use of government, with the remaining cargoes to Mr. Claude Scott, to be disposed of for the public account. He was inform ed that the produce of the sale, amountm: to two hundred thousand pounds, had been suffered to remain in the hands of M. Scott, down to the year 1800. During this period, Mr. Scott had frequently supplied government with corn to a large amount, which there was reason to suppose he had bought with the public money, and for which he was paid in treasury bills, hearing interest, so that he not only derived mercantile profit from the public money, but also interest from the mode of payment. If these things were true, they were highly culpable: the person who had given him the information pledged himself to make good the fact at the bar; yet he hoped sincerely the hon, gent, could do away the charge. He concluded with moving for a variety of accounts relating to the sale of the vessels and cargoes, the payments made to the lords of the treasury, and the several contracts between M1. Scott and government for meal and flour. &c.

Mr. Claude Scott stated, that the money was much more than 200,000%. which was one proof that the hon, gent, was extremecould not forget what was due to the dig-|ly misinformed on the subject. The produce of the sale had been paid by him to the bank, and remained therefore unemployed. He offered to give it to government, but was told by Mr. Rose, that it was not settled to what particular account it was to go, but that as soon as this was settled he would be informed of it. The money for some months lay in the bank, and then upon an order from the treasury, he paid it over to the treasurer of the navy, and this was the whole of the matter.

Mr. Rose said, he did not believe that the hon, member, whose conduct was the juant at arms." The motion was agreed object of the motion, had employed the to, and Mr. P. Stuart was immediately public money for any length of time whatbrought forward, because it would afford demanded of him this conduct as a incluber that hon, member an opportunity of making of that house; if the statement was incorme whole case clear and public.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer said he was desnous, the papers moved for by the hon, and learned gent, should be laid beforc the house, but he could not help remarking the manner in which this motion was made. The hon, and learned gent, gave notice last night he should make this motion, by stating that it was applicable to the connection between government and Mr. Claude Scott, but he might have given an intimation of the ground of his motion, that those who wished to take a share in the discussion might be acquainted with the nature of the transaction, as it was intended to be brought forward; but here was a matter of ten years standing brought forward without any such intimation, when poutlemen night not have the subject fresh in their memory. He was glad to observe, however, that what had been hinted at by the hon, mover, as to the cause of his not kept the public money for any consimotion, was likely to turn out to be totally derable time. unfounded.

Mr. For thought the conduct of his bon. and learned friend in not giving a more descriptive notice perfectly correct, and such as he would have observed himself in a similar situation, for had he made a speech on giving his notice of the motion, desirable it might be by such member that the speech should be answered. This complaint, therefore, of a want of a further intimation of the hou, mover, was properly a complaint that he had not been irregular.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer said, he did not wish that a speech might precede the notice, but the general grounds of it would have been so far from being irregular that it would have only been conformable to custom in cases of this nature.

Mr. Grey maintained, that ample inforbeen detailed in the notice, as entered in dered." the order book, which be read.

The Chancellor of the Exchaquer said, he had not seen the book, which appeared to contain sufficient notice.

the distinctness with which he gave notice early in the present session he had stated, He did this on a statement which was stated, that there was a sum of 800,0001.

He was glad the subject had been | made to him; which statement, if correct, rect, he was imposed upon; and improperly made the instrument of bringing forward an unnecessary enquiry, in which event he should be among the foremost to bring his informant before the house, for the purpose of receiving its censure; for those who gave misinformation to members on such occasions, deserved censure. He understood the right hon. gent. (Mr. Rose) to say, that the hon, member (Mr. Scott) had not employed the public money for one moment. He was glad to hear it, and if so, he must have been greatly misinform-

Mr. Rose said, he never was so absurd as to have said the hon, member never employed the public money in his hands for a moment, for it would have been a thing impossible for any man connected with government in a contract to have that said of him; all he said was, that he hal

Mr Serjeant Best said, a month.

Mr. Rose said he believed not a mouth, or any thing like a month; but he spoke only from the best recollection he had on a subject which was ten years old; the impression of that recollection was, that the conduct of the hon, member (Mr. Scott) it would have been irregular in any other was perfectly correct and honourable. He member to answer that speech, however knew very little more of that hon, member, beyond that contract with government, than the hon, and learned gent, did .- The question was then put and carried, " that there be laid before the house an account of such sales, delivered by Claude Scott, esq. serernment, and an account when the produce of such sales were paid to government, also, that there be laid before the house a copy of an account of corn and floor, sold by the said C. Scott, esq. to government, between the year 1795, and 1800, and all montes paid by him on mation of the nature of this motion had account of such sales; which were all or-

[frish LOAN.] The house having resolveditselfinto a committee of ways and means,

Mr. Foster said; he should only intrude upon the attention of the committee for a Mr. Serjeant Best justified himself as to few minutes. It would be recollected, that of this motion, as also for the motive with that a loan of 1,000,000l. would be prowhich he brought the subject forward; it posed to be contracted for in Ireland for was to lay the foundation of an Enquiry. The service of that country; he, had also

due upon treasury bills, respecting which | 481, and on further representation, to 48. he expressed a wish to reserve all discussion till a future day. He had now to state to the committee; that he had proposed to raise a loan of 1,800,000l. m .Ireland. Offers had been made there, which were not consider any engagement made with them. accepted: offers were then made in this country for the loan, which he had telt it by their offer, if they were indemnified for his duty to accept; and it was the contract so made, that he had now the honour to submit to the committee. The terms of the loan were,

100l. Long annuities £ 5 0 0 24l. in the 5 per cents 4 0 making together 61. 4s. the annual charge for the loan; but in addition to this there was 4s, 10d, for the sinking fund on the 5 per cents. and 8s.4d. on the long annuities, making altogether a sum of 6l. 17s. 2d. per cent. He trusted the committee would not consider the terms of the loan as disadvantageous to the public, when it was stated, that for the loan of two millions and a half, which was raised in the early part of the session for Ireland, the annual charge amounted to 61, 17s. 7d. The latter loan was not only made upon terms more favourable to the public, but it had this further advantage, that a great part of fremely happy to hear the right hou, gent. it was in annuities, whereas the other created a permanent debt. He would now, with the permission of the committee, say a few words upon the reports which were circulated respecting the rejection of the offers made in Ireland for this loan. The offers made by the persons who wished to contract for the loan in Ireland were as follows:

Annual charge to the nation. 100, 31 per cent. stock . . £3 10 0 48, 5 per cent. ditto 2 8 0 148, Sinking Fund, 1 per cent. 1 7

He had already stated, that the annual charge on the present loan was 61. 17s. 2d. w. so that there was an actual saving of 10s. 3d. per cent. The difference also on the capital of the debt created would be immense. If the offers made in Ireland had been accepted, the subscribers would have had a bonus of no less than II per cent. where the advantage to them was so great, they wished to have their offer considered as a contract. He would now expinin to the house the whole of the franshas unreasonably high, it was reduced to the bitters, for the sake of enjoying the

This offer had been received by the lords of the treasury in Ireland, subject to his approbation. He would show by the letter of the hadders themselves, that they did not The letter stated, that they would abide any depression that may take place in the stocks here before the return of the express with his answer. This offer was made in Dublin on Monday the 16th. The letter of Messrs, Bogle, French, Burrows, and Canning, was answered by him by an express, which arrived in Dublin on the Sunday following, stating, that their offer could not be accepted. There was not in their letter, nor in any one of the papers, one word that went to show he was bound. The bidders merely said, they would abide by their offer if indemnified against possible depression. Now they complained, and said they had a right, merely because they were not allowed the 13 per cent. bonus they had carved out for themselves. He trusted this explanation would set his conduct in a fair light.

Sir J. Newport expressed himself exgive so truly satisfactory an account of this transaction. He had heard of the rumour. that had been alluded to, but could not believe them; and it gave him the greatest pleasure to hear them so completely done away. He highly approved the loan having been made in England in preference to Ireland, because it could not fail to be eventually truly advantageous to that part of the empire. In a poor country like lieland the great object should always be to bring capital into it. Here would, there fore, be nearly 2 millions of capital sent thither, and that Irish capital, which, it taken as a loan, would be locked up for that purpose, might now be much more beneficially employed by being vested in trade, in the promoting and encouraging manufactures, in the improvement of agriculture, and in many other modes which that part of the kingdom is deficient in at present, and to render which most flourishand therefore it was not surprising, that ing and prosperous, capital is only wanted. He allowed, there were some things attending this mode of raising money by loans, which he did not altogether approve, such as being connected with a sinking fund, and action, as fur as related to the offers made other inconveniences; but it was but fair in Ireland. The first offer made there was and right to runsider every matter of this g for 49, 5 per cents.; this being represented kind in all its bearings, and to put up with

right honer. . nad conducted the busitoes in a manner highly creditable to himsell, and very advantageously to the country, and it was with infinite satisfaction he gave his approbation to it. The resolutions were their read and agreed to; the house resumed, and the report ordered to be received on Monday. - Adjourned.

> HOUSE OF LORDS. Monday, April 29.

[MISULES.] The earl of Oxford was Facin and took his seat .— Counsel were further heard relative to the Scotch Appeal, Catheart, bart. v. the earl of Cassilia, Viz. part of the respondent.—The bills upon the table were respectively forwarded.

[UNIVERSITIES ADVOWSON BILL.] The order of the day being read for their lordships going into a committee on this bill.

Lord Sidmouth rose for the purpose of opposing the proceeding. It was with pain he differed, and on topics of such pecuhas importance as those involved in the measure in question, from the reverend and learned prelate who brought forward the bill. It was said, it would be a boon to the universities. No person could posand have a greater respect for these very as a boon to individuals belonging to those corporations, than to the institutions themserves. Great stress was laid upon the monveniences produced by the restrictions churches in the populous parts of the counbe believed no proof whatever was brought | the metropolis, in particular. With reforward of any practical inconvenience spect to the subject of the bill in question, he having taken place. The restriction had contended their lordships had by no means continued for more than half a century, sufficient information to proceed upon. It was enacted at the instance of that pro- He saw no infinediate necessity for profound lawyer and venerable magistrate, lord Handwicke, who, no doubt, had thoroughly considered the subject before he proposed the restraining clause. In the former debate on the subject, it was also said, the sucession to the livings was at present too slow for the beneficial purposes of the institution; but of this no proof was brought | lordships; such as, that the extent of the forward, and, as the case stood, he was increased proportion of hvings should not entitled to contend, were the restriction be suffered to exceed three-fourths, and removed, the succession would be too raand. He was perfectly aware of the im-YOL. IV.

Upon the whole, he thought the the interests of those persons affected by the bill, as far as the same could be done without trenching on those principles, and on that system, upon which the institutions were hitherto introduced. The important consideration of a due connection between the properties of the larty and the ecclesubsticul establishments of the country, made also a part of the present subject. How ial giving an unlimited power to the universities to acquire advowsons, would operate with respect to that consideration, was matter for serious discussion. He repeated, no practical inconvenience had hitherto been proved to exist: He was against conferring an unlimited power on Mr. H. Erskine in continuation on the the universities, though, under what had been stated, he had no objection to open the door wider, and to extend the proportion of the number of livings from one half to three-fourths of the number of tellows in the respective, solleges. Nor did he object to other regulations being made, upon the same principle, such as augmenting the value of the present livings, &c.; but he was hostile to all mnovations, which did not proveably proceed upon sure and certain grounds. A more liberal provision made for those mentorious persons, could, he thought, be obtained without having recourse to a sweeping repeal useful, learned, and respectable bodies, of all limitation whatever. Adverting to the than houself, yet he conceived that the present state of church himgs in general, bill before the house would operate rather and the duties of parochial ministers, his lordship thought the augmentation of the value of small livings would be highly beneficial, as well as the crection of more which the bill was intended to remove, but try, and in great towns, in many parts or ceeding with the measure. Time, certainly, should be given for further enquiry. It, however, it should be the general sense of the house, that the bill shall speedily be committed, he hoped what he had suggested, in the way of detailed regulation, would be seriously considered by their the smaller hvings augmented. Under his present views of the question, what he portance of the subject to which these con- | should propose was, that the bill should derations referred, and no one could be be postponed until next session, for the more willing to promote the comforts and purposes he had mentioned. He would,

2 G

regularly before the house, by omitting the word "now," and inserting "this day 3 And, convinced as he telt of the benefimonths."—On the question being put,

The Bishop of Oxford rose, and argued support the original motion. generally in support of the measure. contended that the proportion in which the restraint stood, by the existing law, was fullacious in the extreme. The knowledge was sufficient for them to proceed upon. There was nothing in the bill, to interfere with the augmentation of the value of the smaller livings; the erection of churches, or practical inconvenience had litherto resulted from the restraint; there was no nehouse; it was every day, and injuriously telt. An appeal to the colleges would soon convince on that lieud, particularly that of be found. He must, therefore, deprecate any further postponement of the discus-310H.

The Archbishop of Canterbury observed. that he conceived the principal objections of the noble viscount to the bill, to be, first, an apprehension, that, were the existing restraint removed, the succession to the university livings would be too rapid; and, secondly, that it would tend to dre prive the laity of too great a degree of its members may be more than sufficient. that portion of pationage, which he con- of others, they may be inadequate. ed in them. With respect to the first ob-| proceed to legislate blindly. It may, howjection, his grace observed, he conceived ever, be desirable to go into a committee the state of the funds of the universities on the bill, as that detailed mode of diwould operate as a sufficient check to any thing which might be feared, if too rapid. With respect to the present state of the par tronage of ecclesiastical livings, he should be extremely sorry to see it taken away from numbers of those who at present enjoyed it, and who so beneficially dispensed at, particularly members of that noble house. But there was another description of patronage, which, they must all feel, mation, than to postpone the measure to had a very different tendency. He alluded an indefinite period, without the least certo that, which was affoat in the market, tainty of procuring the necessary informaand every day bought and sold under cir-tion. It would be prefetable to go into cumstances mjurious to the establishment of the committee, to ascertain the precise nathe church, the interests of religion, and, | he believed, against the laws of the realm; measures to obtain it. The measure before the patronage of such persons might easily the house was, he contended, brought forbe placed in better hands, and in none more ward on 'adequate parliamentary grounds.

therefore, propose to amend the question lies. He had not the least apprehension on the score of too rapid a succession. cial tendency of the measure, he should

Lord Auckland, though he professed himself not unfriendly to the bill, yet was of opinion that their lordships had not sufficient information to go upon: a practical which their lordships had of the subject inconvenience was a matter capable of proof; let that be brought forward, and the amount and respective value of the hy mgs in the hands of the different colleges should also be known. The tunds of the a more suitable pension for the officiating universities may also be matter of proper clergy. With respect to the idea, that no | consideration, for it was important to know how far they had the means of providing, which they themselves held forth as a recessity of direct proof of it before the medy for the alleged grie ance: there was no evidence whatever on these heads before the house.

The Bishop of Orford shortly observed, which the noble and learned lord was a he was in possession of some detailed inmember; abundant proofs of that could formation on those heads, did noble lords deem it necessary.

Lord . Luckland resumed. He contended for the propriety of having an account of the number and value of livings in the gift of the different colleges, as some of those it might be desirable to augment. The state of their respective funds should also be known. One general rule could not properly be laid down for the whole. The means of some colleges for providing to. ceived to be at present so beneficially vest- was a subject on which they should not cussion may at least give them an idea of the precise nature of the necessary information.

Lord Grencille in some degree agreed with the noble lord who spoke last, in deeming that the house was not possessed of sufficient information: he thought it, however, better to proceed with the bill. and endeavour to get the necessary inforture of the information wanted, and adopt advantageously than those of the universi- | The real ments of the bill, as it then stood,

resolved into this question, and there now people, and what was the consequence? exist sufficient ground. In soutinuing the restriction? With it is at to the apprehension of a rapid succession, he thought those persons who so sorthly presided in the different college- would take care to prevent that, but he was inclined, upon the whole, to contit the assertion that the present succes ion to the college livings was too slow, and in considering this part of the subject, the peculiarly strong claims of thosewho were to be ameliorated by the bill, should be attended to. The question under consideration was not of figures or of numerical calculation, but of reasoning, feeling, and presumption. With respect to that salutary and beneficial conmection which subsisted between the property of the larty, and the religious estabhishments of the country, did he perceive the least tendency in the bill to inilitate against the measure; but with a most reverend prelate, he thought it would have a contrary tendency. He had no fears on that head, for even did too great an accumulation of advowsons, or too rapid a succession ensue, the legislature might hereafter interpose and check it, as it had already done. Many of the arguments of a noble viscount, he contended, operated for, instead of against going into a committee. The restriction, at present, the operated unjustly, it referred angued, merely to the number of the advowsons, without in the least considering their respective value. This part of an amelioration of the present system could but be considered in a committee, in favour of which proceeding, everything advanced in argument, that night, decidedly tended.

Lord Sidmouth explained, that what he had said was, that there was no proof brought forward of the succession being too slow.

Lord Auchland contended that where a grievance was alleged, it should be established in proof; the case in the present instance was one of evidence and fact, an adequate proof ought to be given.

The Bishop of London argued in favour shalf their number clerical men. of the measure, and urged the consideration | therefore, could the allotted proportion of of such a bill as the present in a committee, as particularly necessary. Adverting to the general state of parochial establishments; he lamented there were so tew parish churches in the western parts of the metropolis. Most of the chapels of case were private property, and afforded no ac- restriction, and which the noble and learncommodation for the lower orders of the

these persons either went to no church at all, or were constrained to frequent places of worship different from those of the established religion. He trusted these matters would be seriously considered by the legislature, and taken up at some future period, and also the consideration of the number of small and madequate livings, and the impracticability of clerical residence in many of these. *

The Bishop of St. Asuph contended, that every thing that was advanced, were reasons for going into a committee on the bill; they were in possession of adequate information, in order to proceed with the bill; and the legislature, when it originally laid on the restriction, was not informed, as his noble friend opposite contended the house should have been, or the proportion of the numbers allotted would be very different; he had no fears of too rapid a succession ever taking place; the colleges had not the means of affording an opportunity for that; but, he observed, that the patronage of the universities was always honourably and beucficially exercised.

The Lord Chancellor agreed with a noble baron (Grenville), that the question before them was rather one of general reasoning, than proceeding upon particular informa-The original restriction did not appear founded on the state of the respective colleges, or the propertion would be very different. In advancing this, he meant not the slightest reflection on the name of that great and venerable character who proposed it, whose name would be remembered as long as the law of England continued to be known. That his position was well founded, would clearly appear on comparing the allotted number of livings, viz. one half of that of the fellows of colleges in the universities, with the actual number of persons in each college capable of being so beneficed. Some of these (referring, for example, to that which he had the honour to belong) had the entire number of their fellows ecclesiastics, whereas others had not one moiety apply equally or justly to both? He agreed with the observations of the rev. prelates, on the scandalous traffic for church preferments, the extent and tendency of which did not seem to have been tuly perceived by the illustrious author of the ed lord, strongly reprobated as of the most

some limitation to the acquisition of advowsons in such cases as the present. The rected to su again on Wednesday house should consider that the corporations in question were as trustees who purchased for their own benefit; that they were not only donors but donces; there obviously therefore existed reasons for some restraint. which did not exist in other corporations He must join, with the noble lords and reverend prelates who reprobated that scandalous traffic in church preferments so forcibly alluded to. He was fully aware how greath preferable it would be to see such patrorage vested in the universities; but even with reference to purchases, even from presented at the bar the correspondence these quarters, he conceived, considering the possibility of abuse, that some degree of restraint would even then be necessary. They should endervour to find some certum ratio for apportioning the number of divings to that of the fellows of the respective colleges, or rather of those who are capable of being beneficed, and therefore some words should be introduced to express that provision in the bill, as "such persons as are elected, or are capable of being elected."

The Bishop of Oxford in reply, observed. that no fears need be entertained of too great an accumulation of patronage on the part of the universities, even were that likely to take place, as parliament would always have it in their power to effect the victous excess; nay, to check the evil in the bud. He entered into some calcula-*tions es to the number of livings wested in different bodies. Of these, we understood the reverend prelate to say, that a less number than 700 were at the disposal of the universities, and many of these of small value, out of an aggregate of upwards of ten thousand livings.

The Duke of Norfolk said, that if the bill were agreed to by the committee, without some limitation or degree of restraint introduced, he would certainly oppose it in some luture stage. He concerved that the same reasons continued to operate which induced the legi-lature, in its wis-

pernicious tendency. He had no fears of straint in question .- The house then, after too rapid a succession, or that through the Inegativing the proposition of lord Sidoperation of the bill, the patronage of the | mouth, resolved into a committee ou the laity would be improperly trenched upon. [bill, and lord Walsingham having taken Lord Ellenborough observed, as the ge-the chair, the preamble was postponed, neral sense of their lordships appeared to and the enacting clause entered into pro he for going into a committee, he should forma. It being settled between their lorddetain them very shortly. He was of opi-[ships across the table that progress should nion that it would be expedient to set be reported, and leave asked to sit again; which being done, the committee was dijourned.

HOUSE OF COMMONS.

Monday, April 29.

[MINUTES.] Mr. Calciait presented a [petition from upwards of 1000 inhabitants of the parish of St. Paneras against the full for the election of a workhouse, and some parochial regulations in that thee. Ordered to he on the table, -hir. William, from the commissioners of navil enquey, with the treasurer of the navy, which was ordered on a preceding day. The papers were ordered to be laid on the table, and on the motion of sir R. Buxton, it was ordered that they should be printed.—Mr. Johnstone, from the office of the chief segretary of Ircland, presented copies of the Contracts which had been entered into for the purchase of houses or ground for the scite of the Roman catholic seminary. Ordered to be laid on the table.—Mr. Parnell presented statements of the public expenditure in the Irish chancellor of the exchequer's office, which were also ordered to be laid on the table.—Mr. H. Thornton gave notice that, in consequence of the resignation of sir Francis Burdett, he would, to-morrow, move that the petition against Mr. Mainwaring be discharged.—Mr. Ciecvy rose for the purpose of putting a question to his majesty's numsters. weeks shall have elapsed to-morrow since the resignation of lord Melville, and vet no sucressor had been appointed. wished to know who was to succeed to that important office, as under all the circounstances of the country, and considering the forward state of the enemy's fleets, it was extremely desirable that a person should be appointed, adequate to the urgency of the times, and arduous duties of that high office.—The chancellor of the exchequer had no difficulty in answering the hon, gentleman's question; the appointdom, in the year 1736, to provide the re- ment had taken place, and would be men

the successor to that noble lord was one who fully answered the description of the hon, gent, and would be satisfactory to the wishes of the nation. (After having sat down, the right hon, gent, mentioned the name of sir Charles Middleton.)

[PAPERS RELATING TO THE ELEVENTH NAVAL REPORT.] SIT A. S. Hamond rose to give notice that he should move, to-morrow, for further papers respecting the Fleventh Report of the Commissioners of Naval Enquiry.

Mr. Grey rose to take notice, that the hop, comptroller of the navy had, on a day last week, given notice of a motion connected with the Eleventh Report of the Commissioners of Naval Enquiry. He wished to know what object the horsomet had in view, in requiring additional papers on the subject of that report. hen, baronet had given a notice of a simifar nature on a former night without statme, his object, and on the next day he had come down to the house before the usual hour, and had moved for a letter from himself to the Commissioners of Naval Enquiv, together with certain inclosures. It was impossible to know what the hon, baronet proposed to himself by the production of such documents. What had the house to do with his letter to the commissioners? it was open to him to avail himself of an opportunity of doing so. The proceeding was altogether so extraordinary and irregular, that he (Mr. Grey) was of opinion that the former notice and motion should be expunged, and that the hon, baronet should distinctly state what his object was,

Sir A.S. Humond said, that upon the occasion alluded to, he had taken no advantage of the house. It was near five o'clock when he made his motion, and he had given full notice of the purpose for which he made it. He had stated that the documents were respecting the evidence given on the eleventh report before the naval commissioners. The house was then as full as it was at present, and he was not aware of having been informal or out of order.

Mr. Tierney observed, that on the day of the hon. baronet's motion, it was understood that a ballot for a select committhe motion, as all be understood was, that the information, however, which it was

tioned in the Gazette of to-morrow; and the notice eferred to some papers relative to the eleventh report; in fact, that it was a general notice. He thought the hon. baronet should have stated what his object

> The Speaker remarked, that there was no question before the house on which it could come to any ultimate decision.

Mr. Tierney said, the hon, baronet ought to apprize the house of the object of the motion he intended to bring forward. He supposed the documents he meant to move tor, were intended as the medium of attacking a noble lord. It so, it was necessary that every thing connected with the immediate object of the motion should be before the house.

Sir A. S. Hamord appealed to the pa- house, that in their recollection, an hou. member opposite (Mr. Kinnaird) had brought forward a similar motion, under similar circumstances? On that honmember's motion, papers of a nature parallel to those he had moved for, were ordered, and not a single objection was started.

Mr, Grey professed his ignorance of the circumstance to which the hon, baronet alluded. As fat as his own recollection extended, the motion of his hon, friend was not brought forward without a specific no-Every member, indeed, knew to If he had any observations or comment to what end it was directed. Here, on the make upon it, as a member of parliament, contrary, it was perfectly understood that no business of a public nature was to be done till after the ballot was formed, the hou, baronet introduced his motion in the absence of all those who might be supposed most anxious to defend the character of the noble lord, against any attack which night, be wought against his public conduct. Ile himself had remained to a late hour in expectation of the motion being brought forward, and he was at last astonished to find, that the hon. baronet had brought forward the motion at a time when cot one of the noble lord's friends were at all apprized of his intentions. They knew nothing at all of the nature of the papers to be moved for. They were obliged to be satisfied with the simple explanation, that they were papers connected with the Eleventh Report of the Naval Commis-In fairness to the character of sioners. his noble friend, some opportunity should have been given to move for the productee was to take place at four o'clock. He tion of other papers, by which these allecame down auxious to know the nature of gatious might have been disproved. All

collection of documents relative to the short of sporting with the dignity of the house, as well as the character of his noble friend. Fortunate, indeed it was, that his character was far above all suspiction, so that even the partial production of these papers could not injure him in the estimation of the public. To move for papers, and not to explain to what object they were to be applied, was, he would ever contend, equally inexpedient and objectionable. A right hon, gent, opposite (Mr. Pitt) had attacked an hon, and learned friend of his for not bringing forward his motion on a former evening, on a sufficiently explicit notice—which on enquiry turned out not to be the fact. It was ascertained that the notice of his hon, and learned friend was sufficiently explicit; but surely no one member could now come forward and say that the motion of the hon, baronet had been intimated in terms at all so specific. The house besides had here to consider what was demanded. was not a motion for the production of documents to establish the innocence of the hon, beronet, which would without difficulty be acceded to. It was a motion for the production of a letter containing comments on the report of the commissioners. If the hon, burenet thought these comments necessary, he, as a member of the house, had an opportunity of stating them in his place. It was not consistent with the dignity of parliament to receive them in any other form. It was one of the first instances of letters of individuals being thus attempted to be placed before parliament, and he trusted the practice would be effectually checked. The house, it appeared to him, were called on to expunge the motion for the production of the papers in question.

was his earnest wish that the kon. gent. Ity of granting them might have been can-(Mr. Grey) would give effect to his threat, I vassed; but the hou, baronet had moved and move to expange the notice, if he for a letter from himself to the admiralty, thought it was wrong; but surely be ought inclosing other documents, without any first to recollect what at was. It was for information which might enable those cona copy of the evidence of the comptroller cerned to supply the deficiency of such of the navy before the commissioners rela- papers, supposing they should be incomtive to the eleventh report. Now, when plete. He repeated that it was an unfair it was known that the eleventh report con- proceeding. In what situation would the tained comments on the conduct of the public be, if any person could, by writing person who had made the motion, was it a letter to the admiralty, get the inclosures was that he should be shut out from mov- printed and law before the house of com-

judged necessary by the hos. Exronet to ing for such papers as were necessary to convey to the house, was, that it was a his vindication? He believed it would turn out that the document which had been eleventh report. This, surely, was nothing | moved for was one which the hon, bart. had had no opportunity to bring forward. The hon, baronet had intimuted to the commissioners that their report would convey to the world an erroneous state-They declined receiving his statement. ment. If then the 11th report reflected on the conduct of the hon, baronet, was his statement in explanation to be rejected, because it might convey an imputation against a noble lord, whom some persons thought fit to consider above all enquiry? He was not desirous of entering into a discussion of the motives by which gentlemen were actuated in their friendship for the noble lord. Whatever he had thought of the noble lord, or now thought of him, he was not disposed to consent that his name should be brought forward to prevent the production of a document necessary to the vindication of a member of the house. The motion was for papers materially affecting the character of the person who called for them. Similar papers had been produced by a vote of the house; he was, therefore, at a loss to conceive why in this instance they should be objected to.

Mr. Grey said, the right hon, gentleman (Mr. Pitt) had not advanced a word in vindication of the manner and time of the hon, baronet's bringing forward his motion, but simply that to deny it would be inconsistent with the justice due to an individual; and that, as the report reflected materially on the hon, baronet, he ought not to be precluded from moving for that which was necessary to his own defence. By no means. God forbid, that he should be denied any paper necessary to his jutification. What he complained of was, that the hon, baronet moved for documents comprising letters, without stating for what they were intended. Had he The Chancellor of the Exchequer said, it inoved for specific documents, the proprie-

circulation of he knew not what trash. If cuments referred. Even now he was at the letter was a justification of the hon. honourable mode. Public rumour had whether it was fan that papers so proinduced him to believe it related to an attack on a noble lord; if so, his friends ought to have an opportunity of supplying any defect in the papers it contained. A against him, he challenged it. He was so man of honour could submit to. convinced of the noble lord's integrity, hon, barourt desired that he might not be that he had no doubt any enquiry would [condemned unheard. He (Mr. Tierney) redound to his honour.

had taken the most regular and orderly thought the better way would be to move was in bringing forward his complaint. for a committee to consider the eleventh navy was particularly reflected upon. a mutilated case should not be laid before There was one part of the evidence which the public. reflected on him in a way that no person it was material to him to prove that he fication. ton to take the house by surprize.

the navy to the commissioners of the ad-Lthe papers should be read. miralty, dated April 22, 1805, relative to table, it appearing that the inclosures had no titles.

such a proceeding as ought to satisfy the business to move for certain documents.

mons? Such a practice might lead to the house. Nobody knew to what these doa loss to develope them. There were no baronel, let it be produced in a fan and titles to them. He desired to know duced should be printed.

Mr. Tierney wished the papers to be laid on the table for two or three days before they were printed. If they were right hon, gent, had supposed that he (Mr.) printed in their present state, they might Gies) had intimated that the noble load create an impression which supplemenwas above enquiry. He had never said so, I tary documents might not be able to He had said, that the noble lord was above remove. Both the hon, baronet and the attack, and if there was any imputation while lord were in a situation which no was equally anxious that his noble friend Sir A. S. Hamond maintained, that he should not be condemned unheard. He In the 11th report, the comptroller of the report. All he was desirous of was, that

The Chancellor of the Exchequer said. of feeling could pass over. Either the there was an equal desire that justice noble lord or hunself must stand in a should be done to both parties. The situation in which no man of honour question was, whether the house would would wish to be placed. He had written put the hon, baronet in a situation of haa letter to the commissioners, to desire ving hostile evidence adduced against him, that he might be re-examined. After a lest the documents in his vindication lapse of seventeen days, he was told, that might possibly reflect on another person. the report having been submitted to the No doubt, the comptroller of the navy three branches of the legislature, his re- wught to have the full benefit of these paquest could not be complied with. He pers; they were calculated to elucidate was at the head of an inferior board, and points relative to the hon, baronet's justi-An hop, gent, had observed, was not the person alluded to in the that it was competent to move for a comeleventh report. He had written a letter mittee. Was it not also competent to any to that effect to the commissioners of the one to move for a vote of censure against admiralty, and had desired them to look the hon, baronet upon the report? It over the documents, to convince them- was not unusual in the course of debate relves he had acted right. These were for gentlemen to form different views of a the papers he had moved for. The house subject, and even when notice had been was full at the time, and if he had done it given of a particular motion, it had been tive minutes too ently, he had no inten- discovered in a few hours that the motion which before was considered the best, Mr. W. Dickenson presented at the par would prove the worst that could be ad-" a copy of the letter of the comptroller of opted. He concluded by moving that

Mr. Fox observed, that the case before the evidence contained in the eleventh the house was involved in intricacy. The report of the commissioners of naval en- whole seemed to have arisen from an irquiry, together with its inclosures." On regularity the most strange and extraorthe motion that the papers do lie on the dinary that ever crept into the proceedings of that house of parliament. The hon. baronet thought, that his character being Mr. Grey doubted whether this was attacked by the eleventh report, it was his

evidence and comment. It the hon. baronet had not the documents necessary to | his defence, certainly it would be unfair; but if his comment on them had been omitted, it would have been competent in would have been avoided. Had he pointed them out by specific titles, it would were both under similar circumstances. have been competent to any gentleman to have this or that by itself, which may l mislead the house, and therefore it will be necessary to move for some other papers. It had been stated, that the papers had been moved for at a certain time of the without the text, was not that state of the state the nature of the documents, that business which the house of commons lord St. Vincent's friends might move for ought to be satisfied with. The right other papers, if necessary. hon, gent. (Mr. Pitt) had observed, that which be; that the imputation was unme- rough? Was there no hardship in that?

Nothing could be more right. But was rited. His character was not above enit right to conceal any thing? Instead of quiry, but it was above all suspicion that referring to the documents A, B, or C, could be thrown upon it without enquiry. the hon, baronet referred them all to the Now the inclosures were produced, the inclosures of a certain letter. The house house did not know what they were; the should consider the difference between clerk could not read thom, because they had no titles. He wished to have the titles of these documents. He regretted that the house should have unnecessarily got into so difficult a state of proceeding.

*Mr. W. Dickenson, jun. did not conceive him to have made it, as a member of par- there was any impropriety or irregularity liament, in his place. If he had stated in the proceeding. One of the papers was what the inclosures were, all this difficulty a letter from sir A. S. Hamond; the other, a letter from Mr. Tucker: they

Mr. George Ponsonby was of opinion that the papers ought not to be read, as they could not be read in the ordinary way, having no titles. The hon, baronet had not specified what any one of the papers was, but had stated that they would shew day—a very fit time, he granted, to move the house he was at issue with lord St. Vinfor such documents. No man could say cent, and that one or the other must stand that any observation in the hon, baronet's mea situation in which no man of honour power to make personally, could be more would wish to stand. The letter, thereavailing in writing. It was important to love, did not contain a defence of the hon. have the whole of the documents before baronet, but a new and distinct crimmation the public; but to have the comment of lord St. Vincent. He was bound then to

The Attorney General observed, that the house had seen changes on a former if any other person but lord St. Vincent day, with respect to certain motions of were the object of the motion, no clan, hon, friend of his (Mr. Whitbread), [jection would be made to laying the do-The fact was, that his hon, friend, so far cuments on the table. But was there not from having abandoned his notice, shad a report on the table charging the hon, babeen driven out of it by the majority of ronet with having omitted to have inform-the house. He wished to know whether ed the first lord of the admiralty of certhere was any thing deserving reproach, tain important transactions? He had lookor that could reflect on the understanding led into the introductory letter since the or sedateness of his hon, friend, by the commencement of the discussion, by which course he had adopted. Whoever wit-lit appeared that the hon, baronet, when nessed the debate of that day, and saw the he found that his conduct had been reeagerness with which every one of the flected on by the 11th report, had tenderhon, gent,'s friends wished to screen the ed to be re-examined, which the commisdelinquents, could not but allow this was sioners had, no doubt on sufficient grounds, a sufficient reason for his hon, friend's refused. The hon, bart, had then adabandoning his motion. He was of opi-I dressed himself to the admiralty, inclosing mor it would be more dignissed and con-I the documents. In consequence of which sistent, not to have these papers printed they were no longer in his possession, and till others connected with them could be that had been the cause of his moving for also produced. Every one was aware that the letter and its inclosures. As to the there was no man in the country above en- observation of the learned gent, that this quire; but such was the character of lord was a fresh and distinct charge against the St. Fincent, that if the letter accused him, noble lord, was not there already upon the general and just opinion of mankind the table a charge against the hon, ba-

A learned serjeant (Best) had given notice of a motion on the subject of the eleventh and are as follows: report wext week, and in adverting to the right hon, friend had only said, that it was impossible for the house to know whether the motion would be made for a comunttee or not.

Mr. Grey telt it impossible to suffer the house to be led away by false impressions. He was sure the statement from the other side was not correct relative to the order of the motions (on Thursday). He had himself suggested to move for the committee first and for the prosecution after. But be obserbouse, that they who supported him opposed the production of documents: God forbid! It was to the manner of moving for the documents they objected. He was happy to find that no gentleman attempted to justify the manner in which the hon bart. had April; "gentlemen, having read your elebrought forward his motion. The report had been printed on the 11th of March, and it was not till the 22d of April that the hon. bart, wrote the letter to the admiralty; on the 25th he gave his notice, and on the 26th he moved for its production. had written the letter for the purpose of having it produced to parliament?

no time. It was extremely late in March when the report had been printed, and the next day he wrote to the commissioners. written his letter on the 1st of April, and to another, and numbered from 1 to 10, being letters from the secretary of state, and from the earl St. Vincent, and if the secretary of the admiralty did not produce them with the proper titles, it was not his take up ten minutes, he should therefore vote for the motion.

Mr. Kinnaird should not have risen, if it had not been for a personal allusion to himself. Previous to the motion which had nature of his grievance and the motion.

Vol. IV.

The papers were then read by the clerk,

" Copy of a letter from the comptroller" of charge of notices that had taken place, his the navy to the lords commissioners of the admiralty, dated the 23d of April 1805, on the subject of the exidence printed in the eleventh report of the Commissioners of Naval Enquiry :- together with copies of sundry, papers therein referred to. Navy office, 22d April 1805.—My lords, having read the eleventh report of the commissioners of naval enquiry; and thinking the evidence given by the earl of St. Vincent as stated in the appendix to that report, was incorrect, and likely to lead the public into xed a uniform endeavour to mislead the an opinion prejudicial to my official character, that I kept his lordship in ignorance of material transactions in my office; I was desirous of being again examined, and for that purpose I wrote to the commissioners the following letter on the 1st " venth report to the house of commons, " and observing from the concluding part " of the appendix to that report, that the " earl St. Vincent declared before you, " that he had no knowledge of the transaction therein alluded to, in respect to What was to be concluded, but that he!" which, the sums of money therein men-"tioned were severally issued; I beg " leave to be again examined before you Sir A. S. Hamond said, he had lost "upon that subject, in order that the tes-" timony I propose to give, may be made a supplementary report. I have in my " possession such proofs as will convince of enquiry. As he held a high office, it " that his lordship; was acquainted with was no slight matter that he should stand " those transactions; these proofs in jusright with his superior board. He had I tice to myself, I desire that I may be " allowed to lay before you."—I attended delivered it with his own hand to the com- with the letter myself on the day it bears missioners. On the 17th he received the date, and having delivered it, I retired answer, and on the 22d he wrote to the into the next room to wait their pleasure. admiralty. The documents were in the upon the subject of it; after waiting a regular form of documents from one board short time, I was informed that they would send me an answer; and I received in consequence, the following answer, dated the 17th instant. "Sir, we have received your "lefter of the 1st instant, respecting the "evidence given by the earl of St. Vinfault. The reading the papers would not be cent, entered in the appendix to our " eleventh report; and proposing to us " to re-examine the matters to which that " evidence relates." We have very lately " had occasion to decline resuming an en-" quiry after having submitted our report been observed upon, the had presented a " upon the subject of it to the three branpetition from Mr. Tucker explaining the "ches of the legislature; and we do not " any thing in the circumstances commu" adopt a different line of conduct."-Feeling much disappointed at not having the opportunity of giving the explanation which I wished to the commissioners, and not remain in agnorance on this subject, I take the liberty of submitting to your lordto verify upon ny oath before the commissioners, or in any other manner in I should swear to it. I have the honour to be, my lords, &c. &c. (Signed) A. S. $oldsymbol{Hamond.}$

"Statement and Declaration.—On reading the eleventh report of the commissioners of naval enquiry, and particularly the evidence given by the earl of St. Vincent, as considered, and a compensation made them stated in the appendix to that report, for their losses, if the same should be made which appears to mer not to be correct; I appear to the satisfaction of the navy have judged it proper to make the follow-board; this, lord St. Vincent fully aping declaration. I declare, 1st, That I proved of at that time. I also informed have written documents in my possession his lordship, that Mr. Lindegren was emwhich appear to me to prove that his lord- the navy through the neutral merchants. ship had a thorough knowledge of one of (the Russian ports being then shut against the transactions; all knowledge of which this country); which his lordship also aphe has denied .- And, 2dly, I declare, proved of, and continued .- I stated likethat although I have no written testimony wise to his lordship, all the circumstances after the earl of St. Vincent came into and add now, that I not only am ready had acquainted him with any services I subjoin to this statement and declara-

``L. _

" nicated by you, which requires us to for his sanction; and his answer was, that lord Spencer had not mentioned any circumstance of the kind to him, or fleft any memorandum, having emptied his drawers previous to his Gord St. Vincent) takbeing desirous that your lordships should ling possession of his office room. I then told his lordship, that it became my duty to inform him of various particulars that I ships the following statement and decla-sconsidered absolutely necessary he should ration, which I should at any time be ready be acquainted with. I mentioned to him the circumstances under which the merchant builders were then going on with which it might be thought desirable that the 74-gan ships contracted for in 1800; that unstead of having complied with their request to increase the price they had engaged for, I had been authorized by lord Spencer to give them assurances, that if they went on and built the ships according to contract, their case should be fully (copies of which are hereunto annexed) ployed as an agent to procure hemp for to prove that his lordship was actually ac-that had occurred in the change of the quainted with the other transaction set mode of paying the navy bill; shewing forth in that report; and also stated in what an immense saving it had been to the the appendix by his lordship to have been public; and the difficulties which arose unknown to him; yet that his lordship in 1797 in first getting the ninety-day bills was not unacquainted with its baving taken into circulation; and informed him of the place; and the fact of his having denied expedient the treasury had been obliged the one, which is capable of distinct proof, to resort to for keeping up their credit, may tend to confirm my declaration upon when there did not happen to be money the other, as the same degree of forgetful in the exchequer to discharge them.—And ness which occasioned his denial of the I do as confidently assert, upon the same one might occasion a similar mistake in principle, and either at the same time, his denial of the other. I therefore de-for shortly afterwards, I informed his clare, that feeling it to be my duty to take lordship of all the cucumstances of the care that the first lord of the admiralty transaction relative to the issue of the should be informed generally of the trans-actions of my office, and particularly, of missioners' report, and which is described such as were out of the ordinary course by me to he of a nature not fit as yet to of proceeding; I took occasion not long be made the subject of a public report: office as first lord of the admiralty, to ask to give to your lordships the most comhis lordship if his predecessor lord Spencer plete explanation of the fransaction; but going on under the authority of his ap- tion a copy of a letter, which, though subprobation of order, that still remained un-sequent to my examination, yet previous executed, and to which I might have oc- to their making their report. I sent to the casion hewafter to call upon his lordship commussioners of naval enquiry, tender-

upon that point, provided they would not make it the subject of a public report; to keep secret, except so far as the disclosure of it would be detrimental to the public survice; and I do declare, that I upon which I was desirous to observe this secreev, were perfectly satisfactory and action, and took place before Mr. Pitt! quitted office, although the bills were not actually passed until the 9th April, and therefore this particular issue was not distinguished by me, when I related the circumstance to lord St. Vincent. (Signed) A. S. Hamond. Navy Office; 22d April] 1895."

" No. 1. Copy of a letter from SimA.S. Hamond to Earl St. Vincent, dated Navy Office, 9th March 1804.—My Lord, As I had not the honour of seeing your lordship this morning when I waited upon you at the admiralty, I beg to inclose, for your information, an order I received the 9th of last month from lord flobart; and to acquaint your lordship, that in consequence thereof I have forwarded the service therein moutioned as far as it was in inv power, and that three ships fitted for the purpose have now sailed down the river to join lord Keith .- I beg further to acquaint your lordship, that I have avoid- of the navy.". ed as much as possible taking any people this service; but, from the want of exercontrol, and from the necessity which culars of which shall soon be laid before Sir A. S. Hamond."

ing to give them also every information visable, under the present circumstances of the war, that an attempt should be made for carrying into execution the project sugand which letter, if they had added to their gested in the inclosed paper for choaking appendix would have shown that it was up the entrance into the harbour of Bounot a transaction which I had any wish logne; and the success of such an enterprize depending in a great measure upon the secreey and dispatch with which the preparations may be made, I have the believe, if the commissioners had entered king's commands to signify to you his mainto this examination, which I tendered jesty's pleasure that you do take these prein that letter, that they would have had parations under your immediate control, no difficulty in stating, that the reasons and that you do communicate confidentially with Mr. --- supplying him with such funds, and giving him such orders for cufficient. And I do declare, that with the purchase of vessels, and providing the respect to the last issue of 30,000l the or-|stone and other materials which you may der for which is dated from the treasury, judge necessary to be embarked, as shall on the 14th March 1801, it was consider- be requisite for accomplishing the object ed as forming a part of the above trans- in view. The advances you may have occasion to make for this service will hereafter be replaced by the treasury. As soon as the vessels shall be sufficiently laden, you will give directions that they should proceed with all possible expedition to the Downs, where all further orders will proceed from lord Ketth. I am, sir, &c. (Signed) Hobart. Sir A. Sallamond, baronet, comptroller of the navy."

"No. 3. Sir, I have seceived your letter of yesterday, inclosing an instruction which you had received from lord Hobart for the execution of a secret service, and which I have no doubt will be will performed; but as the whole expense is to be defrayed by the treasury, I do not see occasion for any part of the detail being submitted to the admiralty board. I return herewith lord Hobart's letter, and have the honour to be, sir, &c. (Signed) St. Vintent. Admiralty, 10 March 1804. Sir A. S. Hamond, bagonet, comptroller

" No. 4. My dear sir, thepe you can on stones from his majesty's dock yards for report progress. I have not seen or heard from Mr. - since I saw you: lord St. tion of the parties whom I was directed to Vincent approves much of the direction being with you, and will hunselt write to existed for extraordinary dispatch. I have lord Keith when the preparations are suibeen obliged to have recourse both to ficiently forward. Yours faithfully. J. Woolwich and Chatham yards, the parti- Sullivan. Downing Street, 18 l'eb. 1804,

the admiralty. I have the honour to be, "No. 5. My dear sir, I ord Hobart begs my lord, &c. &c. (bigned) A. S. Hamond." me to say, that he hopes the vessel's ha-"No. 2. Copy of an Order inclosed in ving taking the ground, is not an indica-Sir Andrew Snepe Hamond's letter of tion of her draught of water being too great the 9th March 1804, to the earl of St. for the proposed service. He is going to Vincent. Downing-street, 9th: Feb. 1804. settle with lord St. Vincent about the pri-(Most secret)—Sir, It being thought ad- tections; and I am going to arrange with the treasury about the instructions to the in February 1804, mamely, the project for Custom House. "I am, my dear sir, faithfully yours, J. Sullivan: Downing Street, as I understood both from your birdship 21 Feb. 1804. Sir.A. S. Hamond."

"No. 6. DowningStreet, 23d Feb. 1804. My dear sir, Lord Hobert proposes to opinion was, that if executed by the send a messenger to-night to lord Keith," and hopes you will send your paquet to go by him. Lord St. Vincent's letter will if put into the hands of the officers of the accompany it. Mr. Frewin of the Custom sent on board ships unless notice is given that a drawback will be claimed for any part of the cargo, or unless some suspicion is entertained of fraudulent practice. He will be in the chair of the Custom House all next week, and will see Mr. - and act upon any communication from him, if it should appear absolutely | necessary; but until the necessity shall find a considerable impression is made on would not be advisable to give an order, nature should be carried on by the compbecause it would inevitably give publicity troller of the navy, without the knowledge to the business. Mr. Frewin has been of the first lord, of the admiralty, I tech fully informed on the subject. I beg of the well under the necessity of requesting you to send me the original paper of your lordship will have the goodness to

1804. My dear six Though the accomplintended to be imputed to me by lord St. panying are rather rejective. I have had Vincent: I have the honour to be, my some comfort from the report of - who lord, &c. (Signed) A. S. Hamond. arrived about an hour ago. He says, posi- The earl of Buckinghamshire, &c. &c. &c. tively, that the other pilots agree in opi- late lord Hubart." mon with — that the project is practi- . "No. 10. Grosvenor Place, 3d April, cable, and that if the ships should be 1405 .- Sir. I avail myself of the earliest placed in the proper births, they will pro- opportunity of acknowledging the receipt duce all the effect we have been given to of your letter of the 1st instant, which did expect. and will call on you. not reach me until yesterday. In order to I have sent them to lord Stanfincent, intimate for your satisfaction, that you had Yours truly, (Signed) J. Sulligurer Six A. Ifull authority from me to understand, that S. Hamoud, baronet."

looked over the accompanying papers, sideration of earl St. Vincent, and that he have the goodness to return them to me, had approved of my suggestion to place It you think yourself at liberty to gli a and the necessary arrangements for that service a copy of captain Owen's letter, for the under your direction and control. I purpose of my showing at to the birst lead! have further to add, that I signified to you I will shoul you for it; I have shown him the king's commands for supplying such in confidence the papers, I now send you. funds, and giving such orders as might ap-Truly yours, (Signea) J. Sudlivan. Sir A. | pear to you requisite, with a view to the S. Hamord, baronet."

My lord. As I find, upon reading the vances which you might have cocasion to eleventh report of the commissioners of make for the service in question, would be mavel enquary, that ford St. Vincent does hereafter replaced by the treasury. I have agt appear to recollect the secret service the honour to be, &c. &c. (Signed) Buckyour fords up placed under my control inghamshire. P.S. If it be intended that

blocking up the harbour of Boulogue; and and Mr. Sullivan, that the plan had been first submitted to lord St. Vincent, whose smugglers themselves, there was reasonable ground to expect success, but not so, navy; that it was in consequence of this House, assures me that surveyors are not opinion, that your lordship determined to employ Mr. —— to carry the project into immediate execution, to direct me to take the preparation under my control, and to provide naval funds for the expence thereof, 'as the more effectual means of keeping the exdedition secret, and which was to be repaid by the treasury when the amount was ascertained.—As I occur, he is decidedly of opinion that it the public mind, that a transaction of this Mr. — or a copy of it. I am, my dear furnish me with some document that will sir, J. Sullivan. Sir A. S. Hamond." fully shew I was not guilty of that breach No. 7. Downing Street, 26th March of my duty, which I cannot but think is

. * previous to any determination being taken, "No. 8. Downing Street, 12th April the project for blocking up the harbour of 1804. My dear sir, When you have Boulogne had been submitted to the conultimate success of the undertaking. In-"No. 9. Navy Office, 1st April 1805. forming you at the same time that the ad-

a public document, I must request, for very obvious reasons, that the name of the individual principally employed in the business. may be omitted. B. Sir A. S. Hamond, Bt."

" No. 11. Navy Office, 30th Nov. 1804.] Gentlemen, Since my return to town, your precept to the navy board has been put should take under my control, and prointo my hands. I find the board has already signified to you, that the navy nine-lintended to be carried into execution on ty-day bills, mentioned in your said predept, on the days and for the sums particularized, were issued, by my written directions, to the committee of accounts, in consequence of secret orders I had received from government for specific purposes, and which were kept in my possession.— I have now the honour to acquaint you, that the first sum stated in your precept, was issued by diffections from the lords commissioners of the treasury, dated 4th October 1792, and marked most secret. The subsequent issues, viz. 22d November 1799, and 9th April 1801, were made by &c. (Signed) A. S. Hamond." similar orders. The service for which these naval payments were made, was communicated to me in confidence, and I consider it to be of so delicate a mature, first although the late treasury board signified in a scoret letter to me from Mr. Vansittart, dated 1st May 1804,"" That " the individual in question had performed. " the service for which the navy bills had " been issued, to their lordship's satisfac-" tion, and therefore directed the navy " board to make out a clearing bill to dis-" charge Mr. — and his partners " from the responsibility of the debt to " government;" yet I am decidedly of opinion, that even mentioning the name of the parties, with the sums issued to them at the particular periods before-mentioned, in any report to be laid before the public. would not only endanger the loss of a great part of the money to government, but would subject the party who had been employed to very great inconvenience.—I therefore submit these circumstances to your consideration, as I find it impracticable to give you a copy of the orders under which I acted, " omitting the secret " instructions respecting the services to be " performed," as they are contained in almost every line of the authority: I am, nevertheless, ready to lay before you, privately, all the papers relative to the transaction, provided I am assured that it

your communication to me, should be made that case I should consider myself not at liberty to make the communication without. first consulting the government. respect to the 2d issue of navy bills to Messrs, Hammersley and Co., I beg leave to acquaint, you that lord Hobart, one of his majesty's principal secretaries of state. signified to me the king's pleasure, that I vide funds for the preparation of a project the enemy's coast (a copy of which I have the honour to inclose in obedience to your precept); and considering it more for the interest of the public, that the funds should be in the hands of a banker, rather than in those of a person unknown to me, and over whom, whilst money was at his command, I could expect to have but little control: I judged it most advisable to have the money imprested to Messrs. Hammersley, and Co. who have no other concern in the transaction than paying such bills as had my approval. I have the honour to be,

On the motion for their being printed, Mr. Tierney called the attention of the house to the papers. It was a direct and wilful perversion to call them papers that corrected the evidence of lord St. Vincent. That evidence stood unimpeached. The house had an interest in the character of lord St. Vincent, and his private friends had an interest. The charge here was no less than one of direct perjury. It was the general fate of all men in high situations to subject themselves to calcumny, if they touched the work of corruption. He would aver, and that without fear of contradiction that with regard to the secret expectation, lord St. Vincent never did give his sanction to it. Lord St. Vincent uniformly protested against the appropriation of naval money to any but naval services, or to other purposes than those for which it was voted by parliament. Histordship uniformly took care that not one farthing of the public money of his department should be misapplied. Lord St. Vincent never made any appointment of a single naval officer to the expedition, although he . might have desired ford Keith toggive it a convoy. The whole was left to the persons appointed by the treasury. The papers which were just read, had nothing to do with the charges against lord St. Vincent. By lord Hobart's letter, it appeared that the whole expence was to be defrayed is not to be publicly reported upon, as in by the treasury. Lord St. Vincent washed

his hands of it when he returned to sir A. I baronet was to blame in what he did on "Hamond the letter of lord Hobart. To be the subject of that expedition; that was sure, it was impossible for lord St. Vin- a point which was not now before the have known of the expedition, but he had ther the evidence of lord St. Viucent was, no participation in lodging navy money in for was not correct, and it really appeared the hands of the hon. haronet. Here then to him perfectly correct. ands, in the face of the house, the first charge against lord St. Vincent, and the that whatever might be doubtful, thus up the harbours of the enemy; but, as a ed from his resolution not to use the public money against the votes of parliament, I have but one more observation to add, which is, that the worthy baronet has my warmest thanks for the production of his papers.

Mr. Canning observed, that the impression made upon his mind was, that the hon, baronet had moved for the production of these papers for the purpose of repelling a charge, the substance of which was to be decided by the answer to be given to a question, whether the comptroller of the navy had employed money charge, and which charge it was not for to be conformable to, or contrasted with, transaction. what might have been said or sworn elsewhere, he left to the consideration of the collection went, it did appear to him that public.

Mr. Fox observed, that what had just been said by the right hon, gent, wer fair, if indeed it was not something more than fair. But he had a right to expect that the point should be put on the true ground, and perhaps it would have been fair if thert. hon. gent, had put forward the charge against lord St. Vincent. The ground of bringing forward these documents was, that my lord St. Vincent's evidence, as delivered to the commissioners of enquiry, was incorrect, as was indeed stated in one of the documents themselves. Now, it was so far from being so, that there was no incorrectness whatever in that evidence; un the contrary, lord St. Vincent understood the matter at the time, as he and every body else understands it now, and he should be glad to have the incorrectness pointed out. It

cent, as a member of the cabinet, not to house. The question at present was, whe-

The Chancellor of the Exchequer said remarkable phrase that either the situation much indisputably appeared on the face of lord St. Vincent or sir Andrew Hamond of these papers; that the transaction which would appear from the papers, one in which loccasioned the expence, the expedition no man of honour would wish to stand. which had been so frequently rejeated, As a seaman, lord St. Vincent gave his was a measure perfectly well known to opinion respecting the project for choaking [lord St. Vincent; that to the expence being incurred, he had no objection; that servant of the public, he had never depart- he objected afterwards only to its being defrayed out of naval money—(a cry of hear! hear!)

Mr. Grey said, that lord St. Vincent knew of the transaction of some secret service was a fact; but that lord St. Vincent disapproved of and protested against the application of naval money for that purpose, was equally indisputable; no naval money was, either directly or indirectly, issued for that purpose under the authority of that noble carl. His answer was given to the commissioners of naval enquiry with reference to his assent to the naval entrusted to his charge in other services money being employed to the purpose of than those of the admiralty? That was the that secret service, which assent he most indisputably never gave. Lord St. Vinhim to say had been repelled; that was cent was, therefore, perfectly right in that with the house. But how it might appear [sense, when he said he knew nothing of the

> Mr. Wallace said, that as far as his rethe noble earl was quite incorrect in the statement he made before the commissioners; for it appeared from the communications of sir A. S. Hamond, that the noble earl not only knew of and approved the secret service, but that he also assented to providing the funds for it. Most certainly the impression on his mind was directly the reverse of that felt by the hon. gent. (Mr. Grey) opposite to him.

> Mr. Grey.—I see the representation of the hon, gent, who has just spoken, is directly the contrary of the transaction to which he refers. If he means an epithet to apply to the evidence of the noble earl.

Sir A. S. Hamond said it would appear, that his lordship directed that the comptroller of the navy should have funds for defraying the expences of the expedition, and he would beg to know whether these was another matter to say that the hon, funds were to come from his own pocket,

or from the money of the navy. lordship was satisfied the service (that for that purpose. was, the secret, or stone expedition) would he properly performed. Here the hon. baronet alluded to the letter of lord Hobart, of the 7th of March 1804, and of earl St. Vincent on the 9th, whereinghis lordship said, "It is thought advisable under appears on the face of the papers. the present circumstances of the war, that an attempt should be made for the prose- prove that which my lord St. Vincent said cution of a project for choaking up the harbour of Boulogne, the success of which will depend on secrecy and dispatch. I have the Ling's commands to inform you it is his majesty's pleasure that you take this under your immediate control, and communicate in confidence with Mr. supplying him with such funds, and giving him such orders for the purposes of protecting the vessels, and supplying stones and other materials, which may be judged necessary to be embarked, as shall be requisite for accomplishing the object in view. The advance you may have occasion to make for this service shall be hereafter repaid from the treasury. The vessels will proceed to the Downs, and you will receive orders from lord Keith." Another letter on the following day from the noble earl to su A. S. Hamond stated, " I received! your letter of yesterday inclosing instruc-lafterwards, replaced. tions from lord Hobart for an expedition on the naval service, and which I have no doubt will be well performed; but as the whole expence is to be defrayed by the treasury, I do not see any occasion for any part of the detail being transmitted to the navy board."

Mr. Walloce. I beg to know whether I have stated the direct contrary of the true representation on these letters? I have not done soms far at least as my understanding goes. I say, it was stated wasnottrue. But fill he knew that his lordoriginally in my lord Hobart's letter, that ship had declared himself so entirely ignosay against that?

Mr. Greg. My lord St. Vincent states, that the whole expenditure is to be defrayed by the treasury. He protests against it at the time, he supposed from what had the application of any naval money for been said, because his lordship did no that purpose. I have my lord St. Vin-know it was to be done.

This his own emphatical expression, put his letter was written by lord St. Vincent, in hand in the fire, rather than he would have which he told sir A. Hamond that his consented to the issue of any naval money

> Mr. Wallace. I did not mean to say any thing in contradiction to what the noble earl has thought sit to communicate to the hon, member as to what he would have ussented to: I am stating only what

Mr. Grey.—I say the papers completely.

to me.

'Mr. Sullivan lamented that he had had the mistortune not to be in the house when this discussion had commenced, and that, therefore, he could but imperiently reply to all that had been said. But he was clear that the expedition was perfectly known to lord St. Vincent, and he thought it but justice to all parties to say, that he was perfectly acquainted with the whole transaction. Lord St. Vincent resided at that time chiefly in the country, and, therefore, the communications with him were entirely by notes. His (Mr. Sullivan's) letter to sir Andrew Snape Hamond was dated 9th Feb. On the 10th, lord Hobart wrote to lord St. Vincent, that for security and expedition sir A. Hamond was to take charge of the whole of the preparations, and to advance the funds which were to be Sir A. Hamond proposed to raise the money by an issue of navy bills, which were to be paid off when the money for the expedition was issued from the treasury. The funds thus created were paid into Messrs. Hammersley's bank. He did believe in the beginning, that lord St. Vincent was acquainted with the whole of this arrangement. But he acceded to the , declaration of partial knowledge, because he was sure that the noble lord would not say that which the funds were, in the first instance, to be rant of those particulars, he did believe supplied from the navy, but that they were that he was perfectly aware of them. He ultimately to come from the treasury, and did believe the hon, gent. opposite, when that my lord St. Vincent was aware of this. The stated that lord St. Vincent declared he I should be glad to know what he has to would have sooner but his hand in the fire than have countenanced this application of the navy money. But certainly lord St Vincent did not shew any such aversion to

have quitted office altogether; or, to use some light on this transaction, by stating Mr. Vansillart thought he could throv

what he knew of it from his official situa-I transaction which was purely naval, and tion at the time. Great difficulty arose from the unwillingness of lord St. Vincent to apply the navy money to this expedishould provide the funds in the first instance, and that they should be ereplaced from the treasury as soon as the vote of credit passed. He was glad his right hon. was a man of honour, but without that explanation he should have found it difficult to reconcile the evidence with what he knew of the facts, though he could not suppose any improper design in lord St. Vincent. At the same time, it was obvious, that the hon, baronet stood fully acquitted by the documents on the table, so far as any charge may have been made against him of having acted in this business without being fully authorized.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer supposed the house must now be satisfied from the reading of the papers, that there was no intention to take the house by surprise, nor defice, the obvious empression they were neral), till the matter had been explained. had advanced the money to be replaced, but I honourable to his lordship. without the first lord of the admiralty have

which was approved or by the first lord of the admiralty, there was no objection on the part of that noble lord to the plan gotion; and there being at that time no vote | mg forward, provided the expence was of credit, the only resource that remained not defrayed out of the funds for the naval was, that the comptroller of the navy service. This was a new light, in which the transaction would not have been placed, if this discussion had not been instituted; what the effect of it was, he should not say.

"Mr. For was astemshed to hear from friend, who was then treasurer of the navy, I the right hon, gent. (Mr. Pitt) that the nahad explained the matter in the manner by tural construction was, that lord St. Vinhad done. He was sure lord St. Vincent cent meant to say he knew nothing of the stone expedition. Could any man suppose that was what his lordship designed to represent? Was not the most indolent observer in the kingdom informed or it? Then, as to the money, true it was there was no attack upon the integrity of the noble lotd. Whether lord St. Vincent, in the high situation he held, did wright or wrong, in suffering the expedition to proceed, was a question on which at present he was called upon to give no opinion, but as a pecuniary transaction it was perfectly obvious he would not suffer the funds of the navy to be applied to it. The right hon. gent. said the design was to stille the into advance any other unfair object, and formation contained in the papers. Did that any sormise of that kind &as abso-frequiring them to be publicly read indicate Intely unrounded. Whatever construction any such indisposition? Then why talk of may now be put on the words of the evil surmises, when those hon, members to whom the surmises were attributed, could calculated to make was, contrary to the not have the most remote idea of their intention of the noble lord, he did sup- contents. With regard to the innocence pose, that sir A. Hamond had issued navy for culpability of sir A. Hamond, that money for services, of which the first was also a question foreign to the present lord of the admiralty knew nothing. This enquiry, and would, no doubt, be a subwas the impression they had made on his ject of future discussion. It there existed hon, and learned friend (the attorfey-ge- any feeling on the mind of a single individual in that house, which attached the He asked, under these circumstances, what I smallest suspicion to the character of the would have been the counsequence if the noble earl who had been so often named enquiry had been stifled in the manner in this debate, he hoped the matter would that had been proposed? What injury he investigated with the utmost industry, would not have been done to sir A. Ha. and he (M1. Fox) was fully convinced mond, it it had been only known that he the enquiry would terminate in a way most

The Chancellor of the Exchequer was suring any acquaintance with the transaction prised to hear hon, gentlemen disavow that tor which it was advanced? Now it ap-there was a design to stifle information peared that it was advanced for a service when a motion was proposed to expunge known and approved of by the first lord the order for the perusal of the papers. of the admiralty; that there was an ar- With regard to the assection that what lord rangement that it should be advanced by St. Vincent said implied he knew nothing the comptroller of the navy, to be replaced of the transaction, in this he must perseby the treasury. It now appeared, and it were. He did not say what his lordship's was a point much relied on, that in a limention was, but he (Mr. Pitt) would

repeat, that the natural impression from move any doubt on a matter that appeared | the report. to him so plan, he would read the question and answer from the report. -Q. " It asked for the purpose of assisting his lordappearing that 1400l, was advanced by ship's memory. The same general nega-Mosrs. Hammersley and Co. between the 18th of Feb. and the 21st of April, 1804, for a secret service, was the comptroller or secret service, or have you any knowledge of the transaction?"-A. "He was not; nor have I any knowledge of the transaction."—His lord-up might mean that he common impression would be, that he had no knowledge of the transaction regarding that seems service. This was the conclucion he bew, and he believed most perconstant deduces on the perusal of the report.

Mr. Gren said, the right bon, gent, had been quitte of wilful misrepresentation-[a cay of order 1]. The chancellor of the confequences with much warmth. The peaker telt it his duty to inform the honomable coutleman, that the expression he had rande u e of was not justifiable. Mr. Coes said, he was somy that any improper expression should have escaped him in the warmth of the moment. He contended that there was no evidence of any disposido cto stiffe enquity; on the contrary, he find expressly declared his desire that every document that could aid the defence of the hon, barenet, or any attack to be made on lead St. Vincent, should be produced, only as hing to regulate the manner in which such documents should be allowed to go forth. He contended, that the questions put to earl St. Vincent were only relative to the application of the money.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer stated, that what he had said, was, that there had been an attempt to stille these papers, and he said so still.

from all sides. He stated, that the object of the questions put to earl St. Vincent related solely to the apparent ifregularity in the application of the money. When his lordship answered that he knew nothing of it, his lordship was then asked whether it might not have been applied to the stone expedition? He answered, it could not, because there was no authority for such an application, the money for the stone expecution being to come from the treasury.

Vol. IV.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer thought. the papers was, that the noble earl knew it extraordinary that this explanatory quesnothing of the affair. But in order to re- floor and the answer to it were omitted in

> Sir Charles Pole said, the question was tive being given, it was thought unnecessary to insert the question in the report.

Mr. Hobbouse said, that the question the navy authorised by you to perform a had been for so long a time quite out of sight, that, without consulting his recollegion, he should not have known what was the immediate object of discussion. He had witnessed a great deal or clamour wa not officially apprized of it, but the and irritation of crimination and recrinumation, and of every thing, in shert, but argument. The motion before the house was, whether the papers which had been presented by the worthy baronet (str A. S. Hamond), and real at the table by the clerk, should be printed for the use of the members? If id any object tion been offered to its adoption? What possible nuschief could result from the publication of these papers? He had heard none assigned; he could conceive none; and therefore he should cordially assent to the motion. With respect to the testimony given by lord St. Vincent before the naval commissioners, and annexed to the eleventh report, his (Mr. Hobbouse's) unpression upon reading it agreed with the statements of his right hon, friend below hun (Mr. Vansittart), and of his right hon, friend near him (Mr. Sillivan); both of whom, from the public situations they at that time filled, were we'll acquainted with the whole proceeding, and most capable of forming a correct judgment. The question put to his lordship was, "whether the comptiolier of the may was authorized by him to perform any secret service, for which a certain sum was advanced, and whether he had any knowledge of the transaction?" The answer was-" he (the comptroller of the navy) was Sir Charles Pole was now called upon not, nor had he (lord St. Vincent) any knowledge of the transaction." What other inference could be deduced from this reply, except that his lordship did not know the secret service alluded to, and had not authorized it? This from the letters which had now been read, was certamly not conformable, to the fact. It a; peared, however, from the same document, that his lordship looked to the treasury for the payment of the expence. and therefore he was not guilty of warranting the application of naval money to secret services. By the explanation now given by lord St. Vincent's friends, it was clear, also, that to this point his lordship intended to direct his answer to the commissioners. The evidence, thus construid, left not the least shadow of blame upon his loadship. He (Mr. Hobbouse) entertained the highest opinion of lord St." I meent's integrity and honour; he admired his protessional talents, and completely approved his administration of the admiralty curing the time that he presided at that board. Mr. Hobbouse concluded with repeating to the question under consideration, might no longer be protracted.

Admiral Markham.—Lord St. Vincent certainly knew it; I knew it; sir Thomas Troubidge knew it. With regard to approving it, I never did. I can mention a circumstance which will show lord St. V mcent's acquaintance with the aftair. Incmarked to his lordship at the time the busmess was currying on, with so little secreev it was connucted, that it was the common talk from one end of the fiver to the other, that the comptroller of the navy was atting out there stone slaps. Lord St. I meret replied to me, "You and I have nothing at all to do with it, the treasury is to pay for it."

Lord Duals thought it strange that the explanation given to lord St. Vincent's mind by a particular reference to the stone expedition, was not inscribed in the report. If the question was put, why was it not in the minutes; if it was in the minutes, why was it not in the report?

Su C. Pole said, it was not put down, because it had been directed werely to assist lord St. Vincent's memory, and because it had not produced any knowledge of the matter in his lordship's evidence.

The Attorney-General said, the misapprehension arose from the unhappy cucumstance that the witness did not understand the question, which required some explanation for the direction of his mind. The question was put down without explanation, and the answer was recorded without qualification. Thus the imsapprehension was in the report, without that which | had some tendency to correct it.

Mr. Rolert Ward contended, from the time, that had St. Vincent must have been perfectly aware that the expedition was going forward, and that the letters showed mit this motion.—The motion being put, it. The noble lord must besides have

been aware that the money was to be advanced by the comptroller of the navy, to be replaced by the treasury.

General Gascoyne, from what had been stated by the hon, baronet, who was at the head of the commission, thought it clear that the commissioners conceived the noble lord's memory wanted assistance and direction. They knew his lordship well; and it they conceived his memory was deficient, he could not imagine they thought so without reason. He was the more ready to admit the propriety of this proceeding, as he himself had been a memhis wish, that a debate so totally irrelevant ber of a court martial on a very memoraous general officer, before which the noble lord had been a witness, and the noble lord's memory failed him so much that he forgot precise orders einen by him elt. He could therefore conceive very vasily, why persons so well acquainted with hilordship as the commissioners, should have thought it right to assist his memory on the occasion now alluded to.-The que tion was now put, and the papers were ordered to be printed.

> [NAVAL' COMMISSIONITS' RENIMAL BILL. The Chancellor of the Prehapter moved the reading the act appointing the commissioners of naval enquiry, and the act being read accordingly, the right hon, gent, rose pursuant to notice, to move for leave to bring in a tall for the further communice of that act for a time to be limited. In a former part of the sessions, he observed, that it was his intention to bring forward this motion, if it should appear that the commission of naval enquiry should be unable in the lourse of the sessions to fully discharge the duty assigned to them on their original appointment. This mability was now apparent, as several objects still remained for mivestigation which would necessarily occupy much time. It therefore became proper to prolong their continuance. With respect to the conduct and character of this commission, he was as willing as any man to admit, that it had produced much useful and important information. At the same time; however, he would say, that there were several parts of the conduct of this commission of which he could not approve, but still their investigation had been productive of many results that would conduce to the public service, and on this ground he left it his duty to sub-

Mr. Whitbread thought it extraordi-

the very time he was moving for leave to bring in a bill to prolong the existence of the naval commission, in order to cast all shu upon the conduct of that commisthe house would excite surprise, but from the right hon, gent, on such an occasion too, it must be peculiarly corprising. Not only that he was quite sure it was decidedly opposite to the general opinion of that house, and the universally received sentiment of the country, that those commissioners were in any part of their conduct deserving of censure. So far from it, that there could be no doubt that the publa feeling was worally in their favour, too much so indeed to allord any thing like a gracious reception to the right bon l gent's remarks. Those commissioners, 1 in his judgment, and he believed there were very tow who really differed from him, had ducharged then duty with peculiar! moderation and justice. If the right hou. gent, thought that they had in any instance deviated from that duty, or made; an improper excrease of the power intrusted to them, it was incumbent on him to Joose insinuation. Persuaded of the merits of this commission, and that where the a salts of its enquiry were in any case imperfect, that imperfection did not proceed from any want of diligence or capacity on their part, but from a deficiency in the powers with which they were invested, he should move an amendment, the object of which would be to remove that deficiency. The first point upon which he would propose to extend the power of the commissioners, would be to compel pubhe officers to turnish them with accounts or documents in such form as they might think proper to specify. Thus, no treasurer of the navy, or other public officer, or deputy, would be permitted to thwart or delay the proceedings of this meritori-The next amendment ous commission. he would submit would go to repeal or new-model the 5th clause, which appeared to have been so improperly made use of to prevent the full disclosure of pubhe delinquency, and to protect delinquents. These effects, which were notorious, and which occasioned general regret, must impress the mind of every man who was really

many that the right bon, gent. should select ers of those commissioners from any apprehension that that power was likely to be abused; for against such an apprehension the discrect, and moderate manner in which they had heretorere acted must afison. Such a reflection from any man in Itsel sufficient security. According to the att, as it now stand, every person had, it seems, a right to decline to answer any question which he close to think might tend to criminate himself. This afforded wh a latitude as we calculated completely to detent all engony, and he would appeal to the learned gent, on the other side (the attorney general), whether any man would be permitted in a court of law to decline answering a question merely upon he ewn conception that the an wer might tend to crimmate him. The practice, on the contrary, was, he apprehended, that if a man declined, upon such an allegation, to give an answer, the court was competent to say, that it did not appear likely the answer would produce such an effect, and that therefore the witness must answer. If, however, the answer should tend to crimmate the witness, the common law would protect lum agreast the conseforness. Now, it was his with to invest have stated it, and not to have dealt in the naval commissioners with a discretion of the same nature as that possessed by the judges -- that they should have the rower of compelling reluctant witnesses to answer -that such witnesses should be protected by this act, not against the answer, but again at the consequences of such answer. With this view the hon, gent, moved an amendment to the motion—that the word "amend": hould be inserted after the word continued.

 The Chancellor of the Exchequer observed upon the allusions which the hon, gent. had made to what he thought proper to call a slor thrown out by him upon the conduct of the naval commissioners. Surely the hon, gent, could not suppose that because he felt it his duty to bring forward this motion, that he was therefore divested of the liberty of speech, or that he was to be held out to the odium of that house cr the country, because he had thought proper to animadvert upon the conduct of the He certainly did naval commissioners. think that those commissioners had in certain instances executed their powers in a very unbecoming manner. But still he was ready to say that their services were productive of public utility, and in conseanxious for the success of this enquiry, quence of that opinion he brought forward with a strong conviction of the necessity the motion before the house. With regard for this amendment. No objection could to the new provisions recommended by be made to a further extension of the pow- the hon, gent., he did not conceive that

however, they should appear upon lutigic consideration to be necessary, it would be competent to the hon, gent, to propose be a better opportunity for discussing them, would for the present decline to enter at [may length. But as to the last point respecting the 5th clause of the act as it stends dangerous innovation upon the old constanticnal practice, to oblige a min to crimianic Linsell. It was such a proposition as he trusted the house would feel to he at least descreng of too much renous consideration, at once to give a soil of opinion by the adoption of the horomable gentleman's motion that such an amendment var necessary.

hon, friend in thinking the instruction thrown out against the naval commissioners the right hou, gent, expressed his disapprobettion was taken into view. If the ground of this disapprobation was of a serious and important nature, it was highly inconsistent in him to bring forward the motion before the house. For if the commissioners were descrying of such censure, how would the right hon, gent, reconcile it with a just sense of public duty, to propose the contimuancoof their power? But if, on the contrary, the grounds of the right hon. gent.'s disapprobation were of a trifling or comparatively unimportant nature, how strange was the opportunity chosen for degent, respecting this commission. At such a time, what could he mean by alluding to trivial errors-

Just had a fault and hexitate dishke, Anxious to wound, and get afraid to strike.

Those two lines appeared to be quite apfor him. With respect to the proposition or his clerk, or any other public servant,

there were grounds for their adoption. of his hon, friend, gentlemen would consi-They were at all events of such a nature | der that the adoption of it would not go to as to require much deliberation, and there- loud the Louse to any sub-idiary amendfore he should oppose their introduction ments that might be hereafter submitted. into the cough d trame of the bill. It, He merely proposed that as an amendment was obstously nece sary in this act, that the original tule of the bill should correspond with the desired object. If the amendthem in a future stage, when there would ments recommended by his hon, fixed were calculated to entrench on the great than on the present occasion: namely, in [and fendamental principles of common law, the shape of a motion for an instruction he would not, in many degree of regard or to the committee to accesse such clause. Ignatifude to the commissioners of nacid Into the merits of these amendments be lengthly, and no man respected them more than he did, be induced to assent to then adoption. He wished not that any man should be bound to crammate into cit, but, he would av, that it would appear to him in any act which had for its object? I cona breach of common equity, and a most pel public officers to account for trea conduct of the public money, he was cery unwilling that a clause should exist, criculisted to shelter any public officer his air retidering such account, it be chose to wichine it. At least, any other who should avail bimself of such a clause, and thus explicitly declare an apprehension of the discovery of his guilt, bught not to be allowed, for one moment afterwards, to retain his other Mr. The entack concurred with his The case was different with respect to provate individuals. But, when the public thought projet to appoint a commission to by the right hon, gent, who had just sat enquire into the conduct of its reward or down, a most extraordinary cucumstance, agents, was it to be borne that any one indeed, particularly when the time at which lot such regrants should refuse to an we the questions of such a commission, but, still more, was it tolerable that after such refusal he should remain in office, ha public officer were unocent, why should be wish to be allent, and, if he were guity, why should the legislature protect him or his silence? He did not mean of course that any officer should be forced to time h grounds of prosecution again . Jam ell, but that, if he persisted in that commut which clearly implied a consciousness of definquency, he should be disintered from office. Gentlemen often appeared to forget the nature of Greek commissions. They were claring it! There was something poculiarly in fact, to be considered as the represenremarkable in the conduct of the right hou! tatives of the public, examining the conduct of public servants. It a master were to appoint any person to enquire into the affairs of his family, and a servant were to refuse to answer such questions as might be put to him, from a fear of cammating himself, would such a servint be perpropriate to express the disposition of the mitted to retain his place? Certainly nonnght how cent, they seemed to be made Why, then, should a treasurer of the navy,

connellable to answer such commissions necessary, from the embarrassing impedior not, it ought, surely, in common sense ments those commissioners had already exand equity, be understood that a refusal to pericured in the course of their proceedunsacrationld be immediately followed by tings. The right hon, gent's leading obdismissal. If that were understood, then jections were directed against the suggesthe refusal of the 5th clause would not be tions of his hon, triend (Mr. Wibel read), so essentially necessary, although still a for enabling the board to entone asswers act. So he was advised by the lawyers whom he consuited. Perhaps those laww s proger to estend and, explain the powers of the commissioners to as to leave them fully to execute the purpose of them appointment. The hon, member repeated, that it was desnable to be understood that such a commission as that under consideration was not to be viewed by public officos as a hostile court of enquity, but as a representative of the public, to which they are as much obliged to account, as any servenes are to the enquiry of their masters.

The Atterney-General opposed the amendment. If it were adopted, he would put it to the consideration of the house how the bill was to be framed agreeable to its title, unless there was a private communication between the hon, gent, and his right hon. trief,d before the bill should be drawn up, of his right bon, friend against those amendments should be removed. If the objections prevailing against those amend ments, which objections he himself felt strongle, should not be done away by future discussion, the house, if the amendbe placed in the dilemma of having prefixed be determined on.

Mr. Sheridan supported the amendment, the right hon, gent, that this was not the truth. It did not appear however, from

however high, be allowed to remain in of-proper tage for going into detail or disfice after refosing to answer to the agent of custon upon the nature of such amendtheir master for the manner in which they ments as might be necessary, yet he agreed hat managed his concerns, particularly with his hon, friend, that some amendwhen their iclusal betrayed a sense of ments for the purpose of enlarging the gualt? Whether public officers should be powers of the board of naval enquity were provision to meet such a case as that of to such interrogatories as they should deem Mark Sprott would be called for. That pecewary, from the witnesses brought beperson declined to answer, on the ground fore them, without having the objects of that he was not, being no public officer, their enquiry deteated by pretences that competible to miscer questions under the those persons were not bound to answer interregatories, tending to their own errmination. His hon triend never meant, vers were right, but whether or not, it he was confident, to expose, any man to the severity of criminal proceedings, in consequence of any testimony extorted frem no room for doubt or cavil, and to enable him by the authority of the commissioners; and the law of the land would protect him in such a case. The house, certainly, in appointing the board of commissioners for the purpose of waval enquiry, did not surrender any of its own publices; neither did he concerve the house to have warranted any individual to direct his consures against the conduct of those commissioners which it had so highly approved, and before the right hon, gent, proceeded to direct such heavy consures against that board, it was incumbent upon bout to have pointed out those parts of their conduct which called for his reprobation. He had himself given notice of an intention to move for the thanks of that house to the comand that the objections existing in the mind mission or naval enquiry, for that conduct which had already excited the amiversal gratitude of the country without doors; and when he should have the honour of bringing that motion forward in a day or two, he should hope, if not for the concurrence of the right hon, gent., at ment now proposed were acceded to, might least for some explanation of those parts of the conduct of the commissioners, which a true to abili, with which the bill itself may she had taken so untimely and unqualified prove to be meanistent. It was manifest an occasion to censure. The commissioto him that it would be much better to ners of enquiry had complained that their prespond the adoption of a title to the bill, powers were defective, masmuch as they which would imply an alteration of the had no power to enforce the answers to existing act, until that change itself should which his hon, friend alluded; and the right hon, gent, was all alarm, lest public officers should be placed in the hability of and observed, that although he agreed with criminating their conduct, by telling the

But he would beg leave to ask the right hon, gent, if he was always so much alive to constitutional feelings on this point? or whether he had forgotten the bill introduced by himself into that house in 1785, for the express purpose of enquiring into abuses which had obtained in the fees of the public offices of government, by which bill, the commissioners appointed under it were invested with such powers as completely stripped all persons brought before them of those rights now so warmly and pertinaciously contended for by the right hon, gent. Did the right hon, gent, on that occasion plead Magna Charta in support of his arguments, when he gave the most decided resistance to the admission of a clause moved by him (Mr. Sheridan), for the protection of persons against the extortion of answers tending to their own crimmation? Ind the right hon, gent, forget that the identical Mr. Trotter, of whose rights he was now so jealous, was, under the former bill, compelled to answer interrogatories similar to those which lie had refused answering to the commissioners of the unqualified censures thrown by the such a clause wholly needless. right hon, gent, upon the commissioners of naval enquity, he thought the house was bound to them by every sentiment of the most unlimited gratitude; and when he should have the honour of calling for the expression of that gratitude, by a motion or thanks, he should frame his motion, so as either to make it impossible for the right learned gent, that there was no very great port it. likelihood of frequent or confidential inwhich were deemed necessary in the bill, as by courtesy of the house he had the nomination of the committee to prepare and bring it in, perhaps he would act wisely in nominating on that committee his hon. friend, and some of those near him.

Mr. Rose perfectly coincided with the motives of his right hon, friend, in oppos-

the existing state of things, that self-cri- | decided friend to enquiries of this kind, minution was much the order of the day, ever since he had the honour of a seat in parliament—[a laugh]. Gentlemen might laugh if they pleased, but he would boldly look them in the face, and say, without fear of refutation, that there was not amongst them one who was more disposed than he had even been to the strictest economy in every brench of the public expenditure. He well remembered the bill alluded to, and how warmly it was opposed, as well by an hon, member unfortunately no more, as by the last and preceding hon. members who spoke, but who were this night such strenuous advocates for opposite principles. It was felt on that occasion, that it would be necessary to examine many public officers long in the habit of taking large sums of the public money, and who would never be induced to answer interrogatories, if not compelled to it. It was then objected from the other side of the house, that it was unconstitutional to force men to answers that would criminate themselves, and expose them to prosecutions, and that a particular clause was necessary to guard against such consequences; but the introduction of such a clause was naval enquiry? which circumstance is al- resisted by his right hon, friend, upon the luded to in the appendix to the tenth re-I ground that the law of the land gave amport. So far, however, from agreeing with ple protection in such cases, and rendered

Mr. Bankes supported the amendment, because it was obvious, from the complaints of the naval commissioners, that their powers were defective, and their enquiries, therefore, in many instances, inefficient; but this defect might not arise from any imperfection in the act itself, or in its construction by the commissioners, hon, gent, to dissent from him, or Compel II, upon due investigation in the proper him to show some reasonable cause for his stage of discussing the bill, an amendment dissent. He agreed with the right hon, and should appear necessary, he should sup-

The Chancellor of the Exchequer, in tercourse between his hon, friend (Mr. answer to what had fallen from Mr. Sheri-Whitbread), and the right hon, gent. who dan, respecting his bill of 1785, said, if hon. introduced the original motion. It, how-gentlemen would take the trouble of reever, the right hon, gent, wished to have ferring to the bill itself, they would find it any suggestion as to the amendments contained no one of the obnoxious or unconstitutional principles which the hon. member had thought fit to impute to it. The question was then put on Mr. Whitbread's amendment, and negatived without a division; and the original motion for leave to bring in the bill was carried.

[MILITARY COMMISSIONERS' BILL.] The Chancellor of the Exchequer rose for the the amendment; though he had been a purpose of moving, that leave be given to

bring in a bill appointing commissioners to examine into the public expenditure of the give no positive answer. He was not sure departments therein mentioned, and to report such observations as might enable the legislature to correct and prevent irregularities at present existing in such departments, and to adopt a better mode of conducting them for the luture. He said, that as he had stated on a former night the objects of his motion, it would not now be nece sary to explain them very mulutely. They would chiefly comprehend the great branches of the inditary administration: the effices of barracks and ordnance, the commissional and the quarter-master-general's deputments. There were several other objects to which he wished this consmy ion to extend. By a bill passed some years go, the inspection of the public accounts was taken from the auditors of impress, and vested in a commission; however well that commission had fulfilled its duty, professions under which the right honyet, from the length of the war, and the gent, brought forward this bill, had no greature case of public business, it was im-Thesitation in declaring his decided opinion, possible to avoid large arrears, an evil that any bill of this sort brought into parwhich could not be avoided, unless by appooring a fresh commission to assist in bringing up the accounts. This was one ture, by persons who were themselves the object, another was to examine into the friends and colleagues of delinquents, gave expendence of the public money in the him no hopes whatever that such enquiries West ladies, to take measures for recovering what was due, and for preventing the be the institutors of enquiry, and the norecurrence of abuses in future, all which minators of the committees by whom such was now before the board of treasury, lenguires were to be carried on, was a Having thus briefly stated the outlines of circumstance which the housemust regard his plan, the right hon, gent, expressed his lat least with considerable suspicion. With madiness to listen to any suggestions that respect to the personal delicacy of any might be made to him for the purpose of rendering the operations of it more effectual. The result of such a commission must be, that the public would have the satisfaction of being assured, either that no abuses existed in these departments, or if feel, himself bound, by considerations of they unfortunately did exist, that measures would be taken to correct them.

to heland?

The Chancellor of the Exchequer replied, that would be a subject of future discussion.

Mr. Ellison asked if the war-office was to be included?

The Chancellor of the Exchequer answered in the affirmative.

Sir John Newport wished to know, whether or not the commissariat of Ireland commission, as well as that of Great Bri-lany man believe that the house would have tain?

The Chancellor of the Exchequer could whether the same commission would serve for both countries or not. Ireland might, perhaps, require a distinct commission.

Mr. J. Fitzgerald desired to be informed, whether or not it was to be understood that a distinct commission was to superintend the mulitary department of Ireland?

The Chancellar of the Exchequer entertained some doubts if the same committee could undertake the management of both Great Britain and Ireland, local knowledge might, perhaps, be necessary; but on this subject he had not made up his mind,

Sir John Newport pressed for a more explicit answer to the question of his hon-

Mr. Pitt said, he had not yet made up his mind on that part of the subject.

Mr. Fox, notwiths anding the plausible hament, for the specious purpose of investigating abuses in the public expendiwere serious. That such persons should man, acting under such circumstances as those in which the right hon, gent, stood, that was certainly his own consideration; but if he (Mr. Fox) was the person closely connected with delinquents, he should personal delicacy, to take special care not to be the man to bring forward such Mr. J. Fitzgerald enquired whether the an enquiry, and to name the committee operation of the bill was meant to extend for carrying it on, conscious as he must be of the sentiments such a circumstance must produce in the opinions of all thinking men. The motion for the bill to institute the committee of naval enquiry, on a former occasion, was brought forward by an hon, admiral, who every man must perceive, from the course of that enquiry, and the results it had produced, was serious in his intentions for the detection of delinquents. But he begged to ask, if that bill was to be subject to investigation by this had been introduced by lord Melville, did

had before it Reports, such as those already

feelings and motives of the right hon, cent.; profess that had be been's pulledy enginestanced with regard to the per one detected of delinguincy, he would not have ventured to come forward for the purpose. of naming the committee was forc to follow up the investigation; and it ever there was a question upon which the house should be least disposed to compliment the right hon, gent, with the privilege pt nominating a committee, the present was undoubtedly that occasion. He approved, however, of what the right hon, gent. said of the objects of this bill. It was much; but there was still a necessity for much more. The navy and the army were undoubtedly two great branches of public expenditure, in which great abuses had unquestionably occurred, but there were still others that as loudly challenged enquiry. Did the right hon, gent, think that enquiry was not full as necessary in the expenditure of the treasury, so much more immediately connected with himself? and upon the same principle, if he were to bring enquiry forward and name the committee, he might as well nonmate the lords of the treasury at once to investigate and censure their own delinquencies, if they conduct was the object of enquiry should be permitted to nominate the enquirers, was contrary to every principle of common

right hon, friend (Mr. Pitt), whose indi-public money-perverted to procure votes vidual purity not only placed him far in the Irish parliament in favour of the above the unwarrantable imputation of the union; and I charge the noble lord, then hon, gent., but justly attached to him the at the head of administration in Ircland, esteen and confidence of the country. The with being the proposer, chief manager, personal purity of his right hon, friend rendered his character such as any country measure." The noble lord, however, sat might be proud of, and the spotless inte-silent, and did not think proper to vengrity lie had maintained during perhaps ture on a reply.—The hon, gent, concluded

made by the naval commissioners? Helphelongest political life ever enjoyed by would not now pretend to dive into the lany prime minister of this country, mailed him ont as the very individual by whom but for his own character's sake he would the country would most wish such a conmittee to be nonmated. He called on the house to repel with indignation any imputation on their honour, let it come from what quarter it might. Would they endure to be told, that they were willing to subject themselves to the authority of any individual, however pure his character, however high he might stand in their extimation, from the experience of many years during a period as critical and amportant as ever occurred in the actuals of the world? As to observations on the intended regulations of the bill, it would be more proper to defer them till the bill was actually before the house itself.

Mr. For explained, by saying, he had not imputed to the right hon, cent, any such direct influence as to say he could dictate the droice of a committee. He only meant that there was generally a sort of courtesy observable in the house, on most occasions, to include the inclinations of the right ken, gent.

Mr. Grey admined the dignified real with which the noble lord asserted the indicadual purity of his right hon, frond, and vet he begged leave to remind the noble lord, that more flagrant corruption had were guilty. But that those persons whose prevailed in the country during the period that right bon, gent, had been at the head of the government, than during any other period in our history. He (Mr. Grev, well justice, common decency, and common recollected, that the noble ford himself had sense. But let not the right hon, gent. I taken fre in a similar manner, when his " lay this flattering unction to his soul," Jown individual purity was called in questhat after what had passed in that house, I tion by a right hon, gent, who was now after the enormities that had already been one of his colleagues (Mr. Foster). The dragged to light, the public would be satis- noble lord indignantly repelled the insuriafied with the appointment of a commissi- fion of corruption brought against his own on by himself, to enquire into those bran-Joovernment, and concluded by an highches of the public service with which he him-I fraught panegaric on the spotless purity selfwas immediately connected. If he enter-lof the Irish house of commons! His right tained this hope, he was convinced that he hon, mand, however, answered, " the nowould find himself very seriously mistaken. ble lord imistakes me-I have urged no Lord Castlereagh rose, with much insimuation; but I directly charge, that there warmth, to vindicate the conduct of his was a gross and corrupt profusion of the and principal instrument in carrying that

€97]

with respect to abuses in the naval de- 10% of January, 1780." partinelly, there was well founded susper on Robert Burton's conded the mascom, at least, that summar abuses were pre- true, and said, the o'lines presented him valent in other departments also. The purpose in the macroin the first is that expectations of the people were now rand, of the armost he side of the tenth reand they booked up, for investigation. If we're had be been alsed serend, he it we came to an I hone thy toflowed, the should certainly I we voted in the in nopeople would be satisfied, but it merely for , on the mount of the self- had a has carried on for the purposes of decountry, vin, vinated a variety of well the protection of delinquents, too na ? Mr. Earles were to I shot the motion to all admit would be do atistaction and case from him, and the rest, thouse decement record quite of the white-The que trained resepute and leave given produce or answer through of 1921 and 2 the mag of the bul.

he tell it not sorry to have the matter of fribonal, where no projectice curred, and share contained in the tenth report of the from which, consequently, a fan and met commissioners of naval enquity put to a devision should be autropated; but he comes of in estigation. which it made were very derious, and before it puted with the business, or scat should be proceeded on with decision and it to the course of a cheerer, what would to patch. Though he was convinced that be the result. This in lit to early inlord Michalle was not godly of participating the game of mayorsation of public sons to be examined might a grun, and money, yet he should not attempt to creathenoble lord, or throw dust in the eyes of the public on the present occasion, but he thought it improper to have con-| distinguishing the items of each, threw heard; in saying so, however, he did not mean to question the decision of parlia- tained. He was decidedly in favour of a ment, which found the noble lord guilty of committee with enlarged power.; but as having violated the law; but he could not retrain from saying, that he abhorred the barbarous mode of first cutting off a man's head, and trying afterwards whether hel was guilty. He was of opinion that the most eligable mode of proceeding would be, to have a civil process instituted against the noble lord and Mr. Trotter in the court of exchequer, in order to have restitution made to the public. Should it turn out, in the course of the proceeding, that the noble viscount was in a corrupt league with Trotter, he trusted, then, that the house would proceed by impeachment; but he again repeated his conviction that this was not the case. He concluded with moving, "that the attorney general be directed to take such measureas may appear most effectual in ascertaining and securing, by a due course of law, such sums as may be due to the public by Vor. IV.

by observing, that after what had passed came into their hands sed equent to tre

in he had dook that a net out the uce. No min had a myle he se Proceedings assecting Lord to courts or law than because it is and MILLYIDI, AND MR. TROTTER, M. the hoped that the matter might some time: "percer Starkage rose, and sail that or other cone before one of rice a a rist The charges) we had the house to consider at present, ferred, considering that the nerron or perthus defeat the end of substanced picture: besides, the officery and in stars of the different accounts, and the disculty of demned the noble lord before he was difficulties almost insurmountable in the way of accomplishing the object to be atthis did not seem likely to be attained, he thought that the majorta is of the singlect, and the satistaction of the pullic, rade it necessary to move gerally, the attorney-general be directed to proceedite the noof lord and Mr. Trotter. He should therefore propied at an amendment, that all the works after " lord Melville and Mr. Trotter" b. left out. and these words be inserted. Fond that the attorney-general be directed to prosecute the said lord Mcbille and Mr. Protter for the said offences."-On the question being put,

• Mr. Windlem considered it perfectly clear, that the best way of proceeding would have been to have releared the whole matter to a select commutee, who could have taken the opinion of the law others in what manner the suit ought to be brought with the best chance of suclord Melville-and Mr. Trotter, in respect | ceeding in its object. They would have to the profits arailing from money ap-Theen able to ascertain whether there exists plic ble to the service of the navy, which ed evidence sufficient either to support a

Since that course was not taken, and the of the law, which had been admitted, and alternative lay merely between the civil could not proclude an enquiry into the par action and the criminal prosecution, helicipation in the peculation, which was should give his vote for the latter.

The Muster of the Rolls ob caved, that point of view. every thing on which a civil suit could! Sa J. Near of expressed his prescrence proceed had been already settled by the of a committee with extensive powers, to vote of the house. What were the reasons a commutee with limited powers, and conurged by gentlemen on the other side of tented that such a committee should make the house for the adoption of the resolu- a general enquity and refer certain points tions of the 8th instant, in opposition to for prosecution. As however the was not the wish of his right hon, fixend, that the to be done, he certainly preferred a criwhole business should be referred to a journal presention to a civil one. In his case committee? Because they asserted nothing trination, the satisfaction of public just co could be done in the committee. It was was of a finitely more consequence than impossible to change then opin on of the the regaining of a pality sum of money. transaction, as it was impossible to do away the effects of lord Melville's con-Isham ful conduct of this great in bequent fession of an intringement of the law, and were servened, men would soon become the proofs of Mr. Trotter's speculating ashamed of speaking against corruption. with the public "nloney. If so, it this He severely consumed the conduct of the were true; how could a committee find last administration or the right Longent. out better grounds for prosecution than opposite, and spoke with much watmid to what existed? If parts of the subject favour of a cruminal proceeding, were referred to a court of law, it would be highly improper that the same topics at two to offer on the present que tion. the same time should be under the con-[Gentlemen had alluded to several more sideration of a committee of the house. It of proceeding, in order to meet the in would be better to postpone a reference tentions of the house, and the expectation is to law without abandoning it altogether. of the country. Some, it as peaced, were An hon, gent, had proposed an amend-for a civil, others, for a criminal prese ment to the original motion for a civil cution; others again, would preser the sent, in which he had moved for a crimi-mode of imperchment. His object was, mal prosecution! and that to ascertain to see lord Melville projecuted and pa whether or not lord Melville received any Inished one way or the other. As the exprofits from the use of the public money. I now stood, the noble lord was not punish If he did, they ought to be the object of a jed in any degree at all. If the present civil suit. Be ides there was no better question, or the amendment moved up or chance of obtaining that information in a it, or an impeachment, went nearer the a criminal court than in a civil one. Evi- complishment of their object, he i aid dence must be produced in both, and vote for it. He had no other any net, evidence would prove it in Either: The than to see adopted the speedlest made resolutions of the house contained a cen-[or obtaining redress for his constituents, sure on ford Melville's conduct. His resignation which was in consequence of those that ford Melville had already been suffiresolutions, was in fact a substantial pulciently punished, unless he was convicted inshment inflicted by the house. After of wilful participation in the illicit profits. these grave resolutions, it would be hazardous to send the affair into a court of tives of preference for a criminal rather law. The conviction could not be answered for, nor the sentence on conviction. It would be impossible, he believed, to discovery of a corrupt participation on the prove any actual participation in pecuniary advantages, and the legal punishment no other choice, he should vote for the might not accord 'exactly with the idea amendment, as it seemed somewhat more the house entertained of the hemousness closely to follow up the spirit and intention of the offence.

Dr. Laurence contended, that the re-

civil action, or a criminal procedution. I branch of the charge, namely, the violation Ipunishable, both in a civil and crimin is

Mr. Pytches said he thought that it to

Mr. For said, he had but a word of

Mr. S. Stanhope in explanation, said.

Mr. T. Growille left no very strong mothan a civil prosecution. He feared that either would be found inadequate for the part of lord Melville. But as he now had of the resolutions which the house had already adopted. This was more his object solutions of the house only went to one than a vain attempt to recover the money.

thea risolutions, they would but ill answer Alle had been guilty of a flagrant breach of the law, and or an evident dereliction of duty; and was no legal punishment to f followeigh a proved and acknowledged offence? As to an impeachment, little could be expected from it. The public justice of the country might be better satisfied, perhaps, by a criminal prosecution, pulpishment of the offenders and in that view of it, he should vote for That mode of proceeding.

Mr. Shoudan said, there were two modes who proposed the former said, he did not thick lord Melville had participated in the elightest degree in the emoluments in instituted against him? Surely, every er on most see that such a motion was man who made the motion; as, though [luquent had been proclaimed to the counto prevent those on the other selection [made of him! He approved of the obus ituting more effectual proceedings. The Servation of the hon, bart, (sir John Newvere about to proceed for the recovery of that proceeding which should best conthe money, assuming every thing which suit the dignity and honour of paritaought first to be precisely a certained. The house were tuning their backs upon friend (Mr. Bankes) had said, that the that of which they had proof, namely, the xiolation of the law, and they were sending the participation, of which they had no proof, before a jury.

Mr. Wilherforce said, that the hon, gent. who had made the present metion, did not seem to have attended to the circumstances of the case. For that kon. peut. lmost smile at the right hon, and learned part of their case in which there was a

Should the house adopt no other measures gent. in contending that a civil prosecuthan those now proposed in furtherance of Juon was the only remedy, and yet at the same time confessing that they would not the expectations which the country had answer tor the success of it. He sincerely conceived from the resolutions. By these presented that the powers of the committee the house had pronounced that lord Mel- had been restricted. On the investigations there to be made, the public might have iched to succes. Any other mode must be open to disappointments, inconvemence, and delay. The attention of the public was fixed on the placeedings of the house, and of the courts of judicature, to which they should resort for justice and He was laverse from allowing the possibility of the ends of justice being deleated, or that any disappointment should flow from such a proposed; one for a civil suit, and the quarter; that the public should find that office to, a criminal process. The gent, they were so short-righted, ignorant, and improvident as to the decision which must follow. It was then duty; they were called on to preserve the good opinion of of Mr. Trotter. He would ask, why the public in the course of law of the there did he move that a civil detion should knigdom; and, so tar as in them lay, not to allow the public mind to relax in any share of that love, confidence; and affection absolutely useless, that it must be worse in their proceedings which we knew at than useless in the opinion of the gentle- [present existed. Here a great public dehe was convinced lord Melville did not try. His offence had even been in part acparticipate in the profits of Mr. Trotter, knowledged; but when parliament carried he made this motion, it is to be supposed, Ib minto a court of law, nothing could be tence was now driven to make a choice [port]. It was not money which that of the two measures, and, comething like house, and the country sought for in a grand jury who had not found a bill, they [this instance; it was for the adoption of ment, and of the nation. His hon. adopting of the one mode of proceeding in the cummal court, would not preclude them from their civil suit. In this he agreed with his hon, friend. He had not contended, as the hon, and learned gent. (the master of the rolls) seemed to imagine, that they would avail themselves of both proceedings. That he understood not to he entertained the highest respect, but he be the meaning of his hon, friend; but could not think that his motion was calcu- only that if one failed they might then related to produce any good effect. The cur to the other. If in the criminal action subject of the motion was one, on which they felt embarrassed, they might be enthere was no proof, and in the success of titled in the civil action to attempt somewhich, their own professional men did thing like compelling restoration. It was not hold out to them any very flattering of the atmost importance for parliament hopes. What man could seriously listen to take care that they did not go to demand to the recommendation, who would not the opinion of a court of judicature in that to judicial preceeding, and he wished the people in general to be so. He confessed he had had no doubts, although the spritt or the law was unquestionably with them, whether it was equally clear that the letno of the law was so too .- None of those conflemen, he viver, who were better quali-Lead in the prefer led to be to judge on tratable of the earth any doubt on Parties in the long tope there was on that joint no is alreading Pailine was or document in the to be deprecated It cannot in the courts of lay alone that is obseque would attach. Pariament we are also come in for its share. He was not or sions for popularity, he was solely adjectous to preserve unbroken, these bonds or esteem, at ection, and con- to ascertain every thing else connected indence, which he hoped would always comme to subsix between the people of this country and parliament. He therefore, as the best measure which now resthe profits. (Now what says the right hon, mained to be adopted, save the amendment gent. (Mr. Pitt)? He advises us to try lus cordial sur port.

The Chare. ' a of the Prehequer .- I think! I am warrented in signing that the mode little chance of success. I therefore wish proposed by the hon, gent. (Mr. S. Stan. hope), is that which was approved by a great meyorate of the bouse. The question then a, whether we shall wave it, and substitute one which the gentlemen themselve, who have brought it forward, wishment? When a grand jury finds a bill, say is hable to some of ection? The ob- is that a pumphment for the offence servation made respecting the powers of charged, and is the case not to be sent to: the committee dees not appear to me to be founded in fact. The question of enlarging its players and not assect the particular case of ford Melville. . The doubt arising upon that subject was uberfor the powers of the committee were sufficiently wide, and embraced every other proper object of enquity, exclusive of the particular case referred to a court of law? That, however, is not the question now. The question ' now is which of the two modes is preferbetter calculated to obtain the object than | tlie criminal process. After having inflicted so heavy a wound upon lord Melville, as that which his lord hip had already suffered, he slid not understand that the hour wished to follow it up in a penal way, unless satisfied of his leidship's participation in the profits. With a consciousness to that effect, I thought the house would be content with an assurance that his lordship was not to return to his majesty's councils. I think, then, that word or two in explanation of the opinion

chance of finlace. He was a great friend the house ought not to go those lengths which they declined at first without a furtheraggeavacion of his lordship's Glence. With this technic, therefore, I shall vote tor the outqual metion.

Mr. B hilbread .- The right bon. gent., who has just sat down, are use as if the house had decided that they would proceed by civil suit. That, however, is not the case. He has indulged in much sarca-ne against me on account of the course of me proceedings. Sn. I say, that in what I have done, I have proceeded with debberation, and I am not sorry for any step I have taken. I will to youred in both ways. I mean after the house had declared itself up on that part of the charge which is maisputable, I wislad for a committee with the subject. The houre has decided upon the violation of the law, but not upon the participation of xi count Melville in dervil suit for the participation, while the law omcers of the crown admitted it had for a mode by worth chectual justice may be done, and that is a committee of the whole house. That a great wound has been inflated upon my lord Mckille by the discovery. I admit; but is that a rutrial to a petty jury? I admit that the vote of the house compelled the resignation of lord Mciville, but there is a great deal of difference between that resignation and his dishussal. The people should have seen and known that he was The effect ought to have been dismissed. plant and palpable to the understanding of every man. If a civil suit were to discover that the money was picked out of the pocket of the public, would that be able? and I contend that the civil bill is punishment, unless followed by restitution? I admit I might have the committee, and the right hon, gent, said he would not opposent; but to obtain his concurrence, I must have abandoned the particular case in question, and acquiesced in an attempt to cloak and screen lord Mclville, and that I did not choose to do. The criminal proceeding is, I am sure, the best calculated to outain the ends of justice, and I shall accordingly vote for it.

The Attorney-Coneral wished to offer a

the mode now proposed would wholly or ther the house thinks that enough has been necessarily ful of effect; he only said that | done? If they think enough has been done, great difficulties might arise to obstruct they will vote for a civil process, in conthe end it attempted to accomplish. Biferit with the right bon, gent. (Mr. Pitt). it was the object of the mover to obtain It they think the public expect that pupermeany reduces to the public, the court is sument should fellow guilt, they will at xme's Beach might not be able to adopt the criminal proceeding. With regreat that redress. It could not grant a gard to the questions referred to the comunless evidence was as niced of the a timet amount of lord Melvelle's parter to feet, as first lord of the treasury, may be tion in the illegal profits. Otherwise at Jooncerned, and two or three others, they chornous trie might be alway ted to be are only so many episodes, while the main ing o ed open him, which he count would be not of which they arise, is not to be not be able to make out. Better he per conclicd. I lament that we are driven to of the recovery of the money for the pub-It might be entertained, therefore, from and our duty to the public require that a ci il than a crommal prosecution, and we should send viscount Melville to that from that persues as he should vote for tribunal where justice may inflict punishthe a loption of the termer.

Mr. Therney. -1 c not agree in the some and restricted powers which some officer of the crown to state, that any good honor able and leaned gintlemen will was likely to result from the mode they only allow to this home. Lalways thought, supported. The books and papers of that by the spirit of the constitution it third parties could not be called for. All preserved stronger inquisitorial powers that was wanted could be got by a crimithan any other tribunal in the country. The hal prosecution. If a man was not proright hon, gent. (Mr. Pitt) would have nounced guilty who refused to answer a it understood, that the house does not wish question, still no jury would be found to to proceed to any more punishment against ford Melville; but I contena, on the contrary, that the house has not shewn any inflicted was not much in point of fortune, disposition to stop here. We have voted considering the places the noble lord still that lord Melville has valided the law, for fretained. It must then be sought in his private emolument. next que tion? Way give us the money strange indeed that, with such a wounded back again, and we have done with you? character, he should remain a private coun-But low are we to get the money? The sellor of his sovereign. The house then diattorney-general gives very little hope of vided on the question, " that the words the mode proposed; and therefore I approve proposed to be left out stand part of this of a committee of the whole house as the question," Ayes 223, Noes 128, majobest mode of cetting at the crime, the purity 95. The amendment was of course asslanent, and pecumary redress. I feel rejected, and the original motion carried. it an impleasant task to rise for the purpose of defending the dignity of the house. The do now adjourn;" and on this question house may not waste its time upon persons there was another division, Aves 98, of inferior note; but I contend that there Noes 240, majority 142.—While strangers to no precedent of a minister, high methel were excluded, the report of the commitking's councils for many years, breaking tee appointed to examine the lists given in the law, and suspected of a corrupt motived on the ballot for the select committee was in doing so, being handed over to the at-{brought up. On reading the report, it torney-general. I am confident there is no appeared that the names of the members case nor precedent of the kind. I disclaim chosen for the committee corresponded a vindictive spirit towards viscount Mel- exactly with those in the list read on Friville, and assert, that my conduct yields to day by Mr. Whitbread. no influence, except a sincere regard for the honour of the house of commons. I his intention to move that some of the think, therefore, that the house cannot names on this list should be expunged; stop here, but, that it must call for some but as that motion would probably occa-

imputed to him. He never asserted that punishment. The plain question is, whounttee, namely, how are the right hon. this course; but I think our character ment wherever convicted guilt deserves it,

> Mr. Serjeant Best challenged any law declare him innocent who was a self-convicted violator of the law. The wound What then is the character. But if it was there, it was

> > Mr. Fox then moved, "that the house

Mr. Whithread then stated, that it was

sideration should be adjourned until the that it was still his intention to move next meeting of the house .- Adjourned.

HOUSE OF LORDS.

Tuesday, April 30.

[MINUTES.] Their lordships proceeded further in the Appeal, Blane, esq. Trustee for sir Andrew Cathcart, against the earl of Cassilis. Mr. H. Erskine was heard in continuation on the part of the respondent, salter which the further consideration was postponed till Thursday,—Several private bills were brought from the commons, and read a first time.—Mr. Owen, from the hast India house, presented certain accounts pursuant to act of parliament, which were ordered to he on the table.-The order of the day being moved for the second reading of the bill for dissolving the marriage t I John Moore, esq. and Barbara Brabazon, his late wife, and for enabling him to marry again. Mr. Adam was heard as counsel for the petitioner. It appeared that there was an maccuracy in the recital of one of the clauses of the ordered; and were immediately after prebill, and on that account the party obtained leave to withdraw it, for the purpose of introducing a new one. The hearing of counsel was then postponed to Thursday next.—Adjourned.

HOUSE OF COMMONS. Tuesday, April 30.

[MINUTES.] The Thames Tunnel bill was read a second time, and was ordered to be committed. - Mr. Lee obtained leave to bring in a bill to supply cities and market towns in Ireland with water. -The secretary at war presented an estimate of the expenses of volunteer and youmanry corps, which he gave notice, would be referred to the committee of Supply on Friday next; in which committee Mr. Patt also gave notice, that he would move? the army extraordinaries .-- Mr. J. Fitzgerald called the attention of the house to an extraordinary construction (the arrest of judge Johnson) which had been put upon the act made for the purpose of arresting felons and traitors in any other part of the united kingdom. He was proceeding to comment with severity on this construction, for the petition, either by withdrawing it, or when the Speaker informed him it was by discharging the order. As those who not regular to enterfully into observations [of the sture, but that he should simply withdraw it, and as the time fixed for takgive notice of the measure he intended to ling it into consideration was so near, he

sion some debate, he proposed that its con-|bring forward. The attorney general said for an amendment of the law afinded to; but he did not think right to do so, while discussions were pending in the Irish courts of law on the true construction of it with respect to that country .- Sir Andrew S. Hamend, pursuant to notice, moved, "that there be laid before the house a copy of the letter of the navy board to the admiralty, dated the 5th of April 1805, together with a copy of the memorial inclosed, relating to the answer of the navy board to the first report of the commissione, of naval enquiry." Sir Charles Pole did not mean to object to the motion, but to say, that Le hoped, by the papers it would appear, that the navy board had recovered the money said to have been lost in that report. Two years had already clapsed since the report had been made. Su A. S. Hamond stephed, that no time had been lost by the navy board. They had put the matter into the hands of the law offcers of the crown. The papers were then sented by Mr. Dickinson, and ordered to he on the table and to be printed.—A petition of the proprietors of the Croydon canal, was; resented to the house, and read, noticing the bill for supplying the inhabitants of Camberwell, and parts of St. Mary's, Lambeth, and several other pa rishes and places in Surry and Kent, with water; and setting forth, that, by an act of the 41st of his majesty, the petitioners were invested with full powers for supplying the towns of Croydon, Streatham, &c. with water from the said canal, and that the powers proposed to be obtained by the said bill will materially injure the rights and interests of the petitioners under the said act; and therefore praying, &c. Ordered, that the said petition be referred to the committee to whom the said bill is committed; &c.

[MIDDLESEX ELECTION.] Mr. Henry Thornton, pursuant to his notice yesterday, crose to move for the discharge of the order for taking into consideration the petition complaining of the want of qualification of Mr. Manuwaring, on Thursday the 2d of May. There were two ways of getting 11d presented the petition had neglected to

which he had given notice; and particularly, as su Francis Burdett and his friends would not be precluded from being heard touching the charge contained in the petiton before the committee to whom the petition and the nierits of the election had been referred. It would be contrary to the spirit of the act, that the same matter should be tried before two different commiltees.

Mr. Creever suggested, that it would be the more proper way to have the consideration of the petition adjourned.

· Mr. Thornton observed, that when the petition was presented, Mr. Mainwaring was a member of the house, and being so to longer, the house was of comse capable of archarging an order which new was useless.

Mr. Crectey considered the point in question to be a new one, and said he should take it as a favour rathe hon, gent. would deter his motion till to-morrow. .

affair amounted to this; the petition was bring in a bill for encouraging the restpresented against a member on the ground of dequalification. That member had been depossessed of his seat, in consequence of another petition, and therefore the petition d.d not apply. The only question therefore was, whether the house had the power of disembarrassing itself from it? •

Mr. Thornton said the petition was perfeetly weless, as the house could not pro-Ceed upon it.

Mr. P. Moore said the petition looked to an eventual encumstance, and might therefore be applicable at another time, and he was commissioned by the parties who signed it to say, that deeming Mr. | professional duties, from attending to its Mamwaring disqualified, they wished their! petition to go collaterally with another. which was still depending.

Mr. Thornton replied, that should that be the case, sir Francis Burdott's triends laws as they stand at present with respect would still have a right to be heard before to livings under 400%, per annum, the a committee.—The order of the day was bishops having power to enforce a rest then discharged.

[PANCRAS POOR BILL.] Sin T. Met. ce'f moved the second reading of the tion to a clause for obliging the rector to Pineras Poor bill, which, he observed, was for the purpose of remedying the defects of 2001, a year. He therefore moved for in the act passed last session. If any ob- leave to bring mabilito encourage the resijections were made to any parts of the bill, dence of stipendiary curates on their cures. these, he observed, could be rectified when it was referred to a committee. His object was to place the parish of St. Paneras on the same footing as the parish of St. was no other part of the empire where the

thought it his duty to submit the motion of George, Hanover-square, that noblemen and gentlemen should be included among those who conducted the parish concerns.

> Mr. Calcruft and Mr. P. Moore pro nounced the bill a mere job, which was abandoned by the members of the county, by whom it was brought in, as it was against the general wish of the parishioners. They also objected to the bill on the grounds that the directors appointed their successors, and even the auditors of their own accounts; and it was a mere job, that mught not to be countenanced by the house of commons. Very great malversation had occurred, which was to come the ensuing term before the King's Bench; and therefore the house, they had no doubt, would not entertain the present bill.—The house then divided for the second readme, Ayes 42—Nocs 30, The bill was theu read a second time, and was ordered to be committed.

[STIPENDIARY CURATES' BILL.] Attorney-General, pursuant to notice, rose The Speaker said the proceedings in the for the purpose of moving for leave to dence of Stipendiary Curates on their cures. The bill was the same as one which had already received the sanction of the house, though from its having had, when it passed before, a clause in it for making provisions for such curates as should be deprived of their curacies by the effect of the rectors' residence bill, it had been considered in the other house as a money bill, and on that ground rejected. His learned friend (sn William Scott) who had introduced that bill, had since brought forward another without the exceptionable clauses, but had been prevented, by his progress. The same cause still prevented him from bringing forward the measure, and in consequence the duty had devolved on him. His object was not to alter the dence; but when the living exceeded that sum, he thought there could be no objecprovide a resident clergyman, with a salary

Sir John Newport expressed his hopes that Ireland would not be excluded from the benefit of the act proposed, as there sider the effect produced in that part of the United Kingdom, by the suspension of those parochial duties.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer said be understood that provisions to the effect alluded to would be made in the bill proposed to be brought in by an hon, and learned gent. (Dr. Dargenan).

" Mr. P. Moore hoped it was mental to make the duty of residence in indutory or the clergy.

Mr. Creecey apprehended that the intended provision to curates was rather too Innited.

The Attorner-General agreed with ir J. Newport, that if there was any soundness of principle in the bill, it applied with equal strength to helland as a did to Eng-1 land; but he possessed too little local mdertake such a measure of himself; and call in question. He could not but or he had heard if argued, on a former occasion, that it would be too hard to compell curates to reside in panishes where he residence was appropriated to them.

Dr. Durgenan said, that the bill he had the honour to move for would embrace the was given to bring in the bill.

[BALLOT FOR A SILECT COMMITTEE ON THE ELEVENTH NAVAL REPORT. The Chancellor, of the Exchequer moved the order of the day for reading the report of the committee appointed to examine, the lists of twenty-one persons given in for the pur pose of constituting a select committee on finder ? Let them be declared a the facthe tenth naval report. The names were orday, let them be somed by ball to Tors accordingly read, with the number of the votes for each.

the reading of those names which the house had just now heard, completely justified him in the very serious motion which he should have the honour of submitting to them, according to the notice he had given the other day, provided that these names egent, has said, that there was nothing in turned out the same as those he himself any one page of that report which in the had formerly read to them. It did so happen that they identically corresponded with each other. This shewed that the reports | gent. and to state, before the appointment which had gained ground without doors, as to a list of names having been industri- very suspicious circumstances, particularly ously circulated, for the purpose of com- with regard to the delicate and confidential posing that important committee, were well transactions of government, and the quietus founded. The house had already decided, that was granted by him to lord Melville, that it was no breach of the orders or on the case of Mr. Jellicoe disclosed in

regular residence of the parochial clergy [customs of the house to circulate such lists; was more wanted. Those who were apt so that that he believed it would be demto complain of the increase of one religion, led a breach of order in him to say that and the decrease of another, should con- | the treasury were unity of so doing, and therefore he should endeavour to abstance from so expresing lamseff; though it was a fact or which he expertained not the mallest dos . He would appeal to the right bon, coulemen themselves whether a ex the could venture to deny the fact Minn. It was a conduct which, no deabt, appeared highly discreditable to them. Let the house recollect in what introducing the right hon tent, opposite (the chancellor of the exchequer) then stoods. He (Mr. Patt had boldly congestorward and tell a spor limiself a viri great responsibility at to the courtry. Intimately connected with logic Melville, be had pawaled to the ball cand the country is to the purity of he conduct. The right hon, grant had, by his own account, his builds some and his character. mimpeached and this as attorn he (M) formation respecting that country, to un- (W.) was not disposed at that moment to serve, however, that a considerable deal or suspicion was created by the manner in which that right hou, gent, had prepose himself to go to trial. The per on who were to judge of his conduct were such a were appointed by hunselt. This med objects alluded to by the hon. bart .- Leave was a circumstance not very credited according that right hom, gent and, in short, it oppeared perfectly hadrens to see a man Stand up and say, " here I am, to ob to meet any accusation the house may trank proper to bring force a against me, or call me inquestions, emitted a ginning to the end of my philical corcer. Who are to be inconduct really appeared like a snam right, in which that right hon cent, had marche t Mr. Whitbread then rose and said, that his troops out beforehand, and had seem ed comident of being victorious. Let the house recollect the rituation in which the business stood. Lord Melville was a principal object of the tenth report. He has been withdrawn from it, and the right hon least impeached his own character. He begged leave to differ from that notif hon. of that committee, that he stood under

that report. been made by that right hon; gent, that he was actually in the knowledge of such transactions. It was natural to suppose, that the knowledge which he must have had of most of the transactions under the cogmeance of lord Melville, world have implicated him in some degree, and rethat he should be regularly and only fixed of any suspicion. Nov. வர் Mchille has been withdrawn, the right hon, gent, and says, the person who is the object t quite." It was particularly nec ergane, where any representati or were not made to the chance the eschoquer with regard to th graving of the money from the bank To Manage Columnances under who Mr. Pitt) had come lown most mag mously to move for a select committe on enquity. To whom were the hou confide the investigation of this impor question? The right hon, gent? had name a nonest others, three persons who I x rade outh at the table of the house, the they thought it would be very much to to detrement of public business if they wer obliged to air aid on election committees; the first person he should mention was dond Castlereagh who had positively sworn, that he conclined that it would impede his stand basines it he attended on a compatter. The master of the rolls had sworn, R that he could not attend on a similar comantice, without coenting inconvenience to the suitors in the court of clancery. Sir William Scott swere, that it would be at tended with difficulty for him to attend, on account of his avocations in the court! of admiralty. Now, there were these three persons who were thus disqualified from attending on this select committee, which depositions on oath, on account of their having occasion to attend to other public business. The oaths which he had mentioned were not taken on a peculiar case, such as the Middlesex committee, requiring their immediate and constant attendance, but it had been their regular practhe hitherto. He apprehended that every member appointed upon such an important committee is the present, should be perfeetly efficient, and able in every point of view to devote his, time and attention to such an important enquiry. Much suspi-

VOL. IV.

An acknowledgement had hon, gent, himself, whether he really wished, or thought it was for his advantage or that of the country, to have such a committee appointed? It was a committee of that right hon, gent,'s recommendation, if not nonmation, (a cry of no! no!) He should wish to know it those gentlemen who were crying on this occasion, no, no, were willing to go to proof with him upon the subject. Perhaps he anglet think of calling some of them as witnesses to prove that the right hon, gent, had encolated these lists among his friends. He should pdf it again to the right hon, gent, standing, as he had done, in the place of lord Melville, and appearing magnaturous and allant on the subject, whether it was a oper situation for the first minister of country to stand in? and whether he self should appoint the committee ined to investigate his own conduct? ad heard or persons playing at cards g their own trumps, but the right hon. carried this principle somewhat furcause he was taking upon himself 'ege of naming the whole of his own · meant no personal disrespect to duals, but he had great objections 'itical connections with the right which he thought, rendered ersous. He thought ou such right hon, gent, should not of making his own political es. That might not be the the safest way seemed to right hon, gent. He, for a situation, would not tried by his coadjuttors, us oldest and steadiest 111 1 polit it had been expressed m ti . , become a disgraced and punMiec friend and associate. Especially he d to the noble lord disqualification was proved by their own (Castlereagh), was a conduct, for many years, rendered in an unfit person. There was also anothe moble lord, from the same country, who he can office under the present administration (lord Dunlo). There was a right hon, gent, too in a place of high rank (Mr Poster), and the master of the rolls, and sir W. Scott, who could not attend without public detriment. He had rather, whatever respect he might have for them, see two country tendenien in their places, though their talents and knowledge of business might be inferior. The noble tord (Castleteagh) was not merely a placecion was attached to the formation of this man, but a perpetual placeban. Nothing committee. He would appeal to the right | could move him. He was not to be shaken

2 L

noble lord scemed invulnerable; he laughed at the convulsions of government and parties. Nothing short of an earthquake could shake him. He had been already celebrated for having two strings to bis bow, and he kept his bow always strung. He seemed not to have two strings only to his bow, but as many as a certain person was noted for having to his knees. He was in power in the right hon, gent.'s last administration. He performed him some services at the union; after the union leaving I eland, he came here, and was in place again. That administration went out on a specific public ground, and another came in on a directly opposite principle; but still the noble lord clung to office, and kept in place. That ministry was turned out by the help of his right hon. friend; but then was the noble lord again in power, and now be is to screen lord Melville, and the limitations in a new coalition. He did not question the of the power of the committee, he mainpurity of his principles, in all those evolutions by which he contrived to maintain his land the minorities since, the people of position and keep himself where he was: but | would the country think him a proper person to investigate the conduct of his ratron? He and his patron, no doubt, ieserved each other's friendship, for their mutual services. He would give his ordship credit for manly policy, and grantude to the right hon, gent.; but though this committee a screen, or a stalking-hor e? might be very gratifying in private life, it rather disqualified him for the atuation to be allotted him. For what was the committee to examine into? Delicate and secret transactions! The nobleshood had been less able, yet whom the country would like engaged in similar transactfors in Ireland better; and this would apply more largely already, and his palate may have become to the other phick fonable persons. He vitiated in these matters, and the nucleous and he entertain very differential and the nucleon the uses and abuses of publish ideas on the might refer to some later money. He stead of the name of the noble lord, he noble lord had been char matters. The would propose a gentleman whom he had hon, gent, now sitting feed by the right loved and respected from youth, but whom Foster) with a scandalou the side him (Mr. he had almost constantly opposed in poliin payments to persons fi misuse of money, the union, and had sat fis Ireland, to carry vinced that the right/mute. He felt concould not, from his hon, gent, opposite a bitterness of zeal and not of acrimony; nade the charge cor usual accuracy, have one who had given the right hon, gent, an it. It was repeated uld be not have proved independent support for years. This gen-Her the noble logid last night. He would tleman, he had even within these few It he demed it, find to his right hon, friend, months opposed actively in his election Foster at the lie what if he examined Mr. to serve in that house, and he did not reout in these " dear? What might not turn pent of it: he might do so again. Melville? Waftsfelicate transactions" of lord | meant Mr. Baker, of Hertford; a gentlefor that confus the noble lord a person fit man of talents, and anxiously laborious in

by political storms and tempests, they only | ever so severe and honest, the public would bound him more firmly to his post. That not be satisfied with such a committee, after such a charge which he (Mr. W., offered to prove. He reckoned, therefore, such a motion not extraordinary, after what had happened, though not precedented.— The hon, member then alluded to his own name, and diserved, that he would gladly withdraw from such a committee; but if it appeared the general wish for him to remain on it, he sould certainly take care to attend to if Let him, however, be struck off at person thought his conduct in this bus vess rancorous; if they considered him a jersecutor instead a prosecutor; or if mey could see the folly he was accused of, respecting the alleged changes in the order of his motion. He liked his craduct the better for the censuges ne received from some persons.—After dwelling with great force, in the modes attempted tained, that with the first majority of one, England, Scotland, and Ireland, went hand in hand, and applauded their justice and spirit. Was all talent gone? Could no independent gentlemen be found to make up a committee for such a purpose as this? He would put it to the gent, of the ojposite side, whether they would make this Would they have the public consider it as a cloak to cover lord Melville? He thought he could name a gentleman, even in the place of the Master of the Rolls, though could find persons as competent, and possessing more of the faith of the public. Intics, both in and out of that house; with whom he must have sometimes had the heats and even the bitterness of contest-I limittee? Let the enquiry be every thing relative to public advantage, and commanding every respect from all hoped he should never again have occawho knew him. He should therefore con clude by moving, " that the name of lord assert he had never given the sanction of Castlereagh be struck out, and that of Mr. his authority (humble, indeed, it was) in Baker substituted in its 100m."

The Master of the Rolls said, it it were necessary that every member of a committee should constantly attend the duty, many of those now proposed ought to be excluded; but this was not considerate expedient. He had never represented himcelt as so absorbed in business, that he high no moment and no day which he could detote to this branch of his parliamentary enginements. He hack frequently acted in such situations: he was named for one on the enal list; for another of considerable con- his (the Master of the Roll's) character, vanence relating to barley gram in Scotland; and had sufficient time to form gentleman of any design either to misiehis opinion, and to assist in the preparated present his conduct or his motives. tions of the report. Hostrusted then, he'l was not to be considered s unqualified sunsuccessful as not to make himself underand he hoped it was not thought on every good; he thought it was impossible for trivolous pretence he was disposed to soy man to apply a construction, on what abandon any duty, which it was fit that a member of that house should perform, ful to the right hon, and learned gentleman. Certain he was, that he had never deserted any situation of this kind in which he was ever fluence the treasury might have used called upon to act, but on account of its in threalating lists, it certainly had not interference with his indispensable engage- | done more than the hon, gentleman had ments in some other place. He was on a done by circulating the lists which he had secret committee in 1791, upon another in brought down the other day. In his list, 1799, but it he were unfit for such a charge as that now proposed, he should with great ideasure submit to the direction of the house, Many years he had enjoyed the honour of been ascribed to the treasury? being a member of that house, but he had never made extravagant professions; he was onvinced his character must depend, not upon self-applause, but upon conduct. If he had never vaunted of high qualities to the disparagement of others, he had not wholly disclaimed party feelings and party principles, and he should rather think the worse of that man who was destitute of either. If, lemanate from the treasury, accompanied by the peculiar circumstances he was now called upon to vindicate his own honour, he would publicly proclaim, that he never in that house uttered a sentiment he did not should have voted for it, provided be apconscientiously feel; when he expressed his proved of it; but it his own conduct were opinion, that the Sheriffs of Middlesex the immediate object of investigation, he should not be punished before they were should have been utterly ashamed of hunheard by their counsel, whatever might be self, if he did not take all possible means attributed to him, he was influenced by no of shewing to the public, he would have no party bias; he imputed to neither side im- share in recommending a single individual, proper motives; he had a more fit employ- for the purpose of such an enquiry. It has ment in regarding the purity of his own. friend should produce to him a list, where He was discussing a most unpleasant topic, he (Mr. Fot) was then personally concern-

sion to recur to. He could confidently the support of any principle, of which, in his conscience, he disapproved. If he were objected to for his deportment, it must be in the house, not in the committee; the members of these select establishments had no option, they had only to exercise common sense on the evidence that was produced before them. He apologised to the house, the rather because he did not ine to speak to the question, but to that part of the hon, gentleman's address which appeared to convey some imputation on but at the same time he acquitted that hon.

Mr. Whitbread lamented he had been so was said, in the smallest degree disrespect-

Mr. Wortley Stuart observed, that whatevery man named had voted against lord Melville; and did this shew a greater degree of impartiality than that list which had

Mr. For was at all times happy to pay due deference to the usage of the house, when it was reversed by the fit respect to the principles of the British constitution. The attempt of an individual to force a list for a committee upon the house, would be culpable; but t was in a much higher degree criminal for any such experiment to with the influence that must be presamed from that quarter. If his hon, triend (Mr. Whitbread) had brought down a list, he he was speaking of himself—a subject, he ed, he would say, " I will have nothing

judges," and he would not condescend to m-pect it. If his eye were to stray upon the paper, and he should decover in the list the name of his hon, friend, he should enquire if his friend meant to surrender his affection, and to insult him by such a propognion; much less could be do such an act in a clandestine manner; in such a else every name and the Schmitted to the convation of the house, and be exposed to a committeesion. Considering the cond con the right hon, gent. (Mr. Pir) was implicated in the charge, it was nost indecent that his own colleagues should be appointed to the committee. He could only say, that to place himself in such a situation, was diametrically opposite to every sentiment he (Mr. Fox) could indulge; but if the right hop, gentleman could accommodate his feelings to such a condition, he (Mr. Fox) sincerely congratulated him on the convenient effect of his present during every moment of the promsensibility.

on this subject the feelings of men seemed now have been proposing a quorum for to lead them to extraordinary extremes. The committee, to render such constant at-If he was to be sent on his trial where no | tendance wholly unnecessary. charge had been exhibited—if he acceded to this, what they required was, that his jury should be entirely composed of men ties, could not devote the whole of their whom he could challenge for cause. This might be their notions of justice, but if he had any thing to apprehend from the effects of the spirit of party, the only way to a branch of their parliamentary function determine the point preferly was to take care that the majority a hould not be composed of those whom abit, if not convicwas not so chimerical in point of honour, so forgettal of the principles of reason, himself in the situation which they proposed, for the perdous chance of acquiring then approbation. Nor was the practice of parliament on this occasion so inconsistent, as had been represented, with the maxims of the British constitution. The committee had been appointed in, the way most usual on such occasions. It was true that the mode had often been objected to, yet, "open argument, it had been approved. Committees so appointed had produced reports most satisfactory to the house and to the hatton, and he was not, upon old exploded reasoning, disposed to renounce what had been so long establish-

to do with the nomination of my own When this was proposed, in the pursuit of his present design, the hon, gent (Mr. Whithread) should have proposed that all persons in office should be excluded from the ballot. He should have gone tarther, and have insisted, that all gentlemen who condercenced to honour him (the chancellor ex the exchequer) with their private mend-hip, should also be excluded. Such acië the extraordinary propositions by which these wild notions of personal deheavy and private honour must be mantimed, that his (Mr. Pitt's) celleagues in office, as well as those with whom he was connected by the ties of effection, were to be deprived of their parliamentary privileges. On the other grounds of objection, after what had been so ably stated by brright hon, and learned friend; if was not necessary for hinge to detain the house. This was not appellection committee, where it was required that the members should be ceedings; and if he were not resisting the The Chancellor of the Exchequer said, that motion of the hon, goutleman, he should gentlemen shen say, that because some members, from their other important dotime to such an enquiry, that they you never to be nominated upon committees, and were to be excluded from so essential What would be the consequence? Those persons who were best acquainted with the business of finance would be precluded tion, might lead to find him guilty. Helfrom sitting on committees in that important department; those best versed in legal subjects would be prevented from justice, and the law of England, as to put giving the assistance of their learning in juridical investigations; those who were most informed on the great political intes rests of states, would be incapacitated from affording their light on that important branch of enquiry; and thus the country would be deprived of the benefit of that mass of talent, the application of which would be most conductive to its glory and happines. Then it was said the house was to look to what the public expected of it: the house certainly was to attend to what the public ought to expect; and that would be best indicated by a calm, firm, and icsolute discharge of its duty. But a party cry, which assumed to itself the voice of ed. Mad not the house already decided the people, was not to be mistaken for the the committee was to be chosen by ballot? popular sentiment, in order to annihilate

the acknowledged privileges of a member of possible, yet more objectiouable. Could of hole islature. The e were examinations-proceeding on the general principles of justice; every honomable man was therefore a fit member of such committees; the joint talent of the house, when collected, would meet effectually conduce to ministers, should be resisted, and the prothe elucidation of truth. Was the introduction of one party only the best way to procure a fair, and the exclusion of the contrary side the most probable means of obtuning a wise, decision? Undue influthree ought not to be employed; but to say that to suggest a list to the inspection by on the committee, but it was demanded. · of a member, was the use of unducinfluence, seemed an assertion not at all correct, because it could by no means be discovered if the party had or had not voted according to that instimation. The matter on the whole appeared to him so plain, that he felt it difficult to undicate himself ter speaking so long; but his leading desire was to rescue the house and its proceedings from the imputation which had been prealy directed against both.

Mr. Sherdan said, there was a warmth intinded into the discussion, which did not belong to it. The real question was, which side of the house most conduced to support the character of the house, and to fultil the just expectations of the public? In: some degree the right hon, gent, seemed to have a correct notion of the subject, but in order to justify himself, he presumed to call the opinion of the nation a party ery. Were the resolutions of the City of London a party cry? Were the meetings all over the kingdom a party cry? Was the vote of the house of commons, supported by the independent spirit of its speaker, a party cry? It ought to be known, that the time was at hand, when it was necessary to encourage the friends of the state, by the loud voice of the people. The gent, on his side of the house did not challenge the individuals proposed for the committee without cause. They distinctly said, no one holding a place under the crown, is a proper person to examine the conduct of the first lord of the treasury. They said, a person like the noble lord, numster, went out with him, sime again into office with him, and remained to open a back door for the right hon, gent.'s ad-

it then be said the would repeat the question) that no cause was shown for the challenge? It was extremely suspicious, that the first person proposed by his hon, friend (Mr. Whithread), being a partizin of the position for the ballet immediately succeed. The right hon, gent, said, "shall The stripped of my friends? Is no person in office, no one connected with me to appear in the committee?" It was not required that no colleague in place should that the members should not be pestered with treasury lists. Could the right bon. gent, not trust to the league of private affection? And if his confidence were deficient there, could be not reposed in the expectation, that, for the many favours received, and the many more in reversion, his connections would not be unmindful of his security? It was not tur to say, the exclusion, on some important occasions, of persons in place, was unknown to our law; this was the case under the circumstance of appointing committees on delinguency in the East Indies. brought in the bill by which this was enacted? Lord Melville. This was the pubhe reformer who was so lately libelled by the house of commons; this was the man who was chairman of the committee, and who prosecuted the unfortunate delinquents with extraordinary severity. But this, was not an Indian delinquent, it was the person advanced to the head of the king's government. How much more expedient then was it, that this great officer of state, if guilty, should not be protected by the companions in his crimes? The right hon, gentleman forgot himself. He (Mr. Sheridan) told him he was himself upon his trial, but he replied, that there was no charge, no report against him. The right hon, gent, prescribed three things, which the committee were to fiv, and it was extremely unlucky that in all three he himself was implicated. The first was, if he had authentic information of the purpose for which the money was drawn out who had since the union acted with the (that was, if the first lord of the treasury had such intelligence of the proceeding of lord Melville and Mr. Trotter). The second was, if the money was withmission, is not a fit man to be employed drawn for the purposes for which it on such an occasion. Perhaps, the ira was voted, and applied to the deliamantium, of which gentlemen had heard cate services which had been adverted to. within these few hours, rendered him, It was curious to see a person put on the

committee, of whom it was said he had man that to all those to whom he had another. On this charge of the misapplication of the money, the right hon, gent. that the first lord of the treasury authorised such conduct, it would be no wonder third regarded the discharge given to lord Melville, on account of the detalcation of Mr. Jellicoc. Was it not then most obvious, that the right hon, gent's purity was the question to be determined in all these? Then his hon, friend very naturally enquired what would a man of honour do if placed in such an unhappy predicament? The right hon, gent., when Mr. Fuller said though he felt a great the subject was started, made no objection respect for the hon, members who comand impartial investigation.

posed to be partially inclined. di ot wish to go into a committee concerning which such impressions prevailed, and he thought it necessary to ask whethere how, gent, had any objection to not give a faithing for his arguments. himar.

corrupted the Irish parliament. If he any public objection, he had menyoned would commit such practices with regard his objections, and that he never could to one legislature, his inclination at least have entertained the slightest to him indiwould not be deficient to do the same to vidually. On the contrary, he appealed to himself, to say whether he had not asked his advice as to the names he should was to be tried, and if it should be found himself put down in the list, and his consent to insert his own name?

Mr. H. Lascelles acknowledged the horif the subalterns in office took advantage gent, had done so, and he felt himself of it to effect then own purposes. The much obliged by the compliment; but be did not allude to him, but to what had been said by Mr. Sheridan.

Mr. Sheridan disclaimed all idea of the smallest objection to the hon, gent, and said that his objection did not go to the committee, but to the mode in ... which it had been appointed by the right hon, gentleman.

to the committee. He felt himself so posed the committee, he was afraid, from closely touched, so sore when his conduct the way in which it had been appointed, was doubted, that he would have the the public would not think it so impartial matter fully examined, and, to use his as it ought to be. It was known, he said, own words, he would have it sifted to the as well as the sun at noon-day, that his bottom. Then said his hon, friend truly, a side of the house wished the right hon, man of honour, in such a disposition, would gent, out of office; and it was as well have no concern with, and if possible, known that he would endeavour to keep no knowledge of the parties by whom the in as long as he could. He was sorry to enquiries were to be prosecuted. But see him proceeding in such a way, to obtain what was the course of the right bon, an enquiry into his conduct. He thought gent.? He said, "I will prescribe the the committee he had recommended the line of your march. I will appoint the other night would have been a much fame: commanders in the field." He drew up one, viz. the taking one alternately from the indictment by which he was to be each side of the house, and all those to arraigned, and then nominated the jury whom he had since mentioned it were of before whom he was to be tried. He would the same opinion. Why were not the tell the right hon, gent., in such a proceed-mames of two hon, gentlemen below in ing he had consulted his own honour; this list; gentlemen of the most noble the people of England would be disappoint- and independent principles, and who had ed, and they were entitled to a fair, full, for many years past supported the measures of the right hon, gentleman? He Mr. H. Lascelles said, he wished to mentioned Mr. Bankes by name (and there trouble the house with but a very few was a cry of order! order!). The other words indeed, to which he was induced gent. alluded to was Mr. Wilberforce. by what had fallen from the hon, gent. He was afraid, he said, their names were opposite. He had said that he thought left out, because they had dared to vote the committee was very objectionable from lagainst him on a late memorable question. the mode in which it had been balloted He exhorted those gentlemen, however, to for, and that all the members might be go on, and one day or other the country He would most assuredly reward them. He said, if the hon, and learned gent. (the Master of the Rolls) was always quibbling in another place as he was here, he would

Mr. Canning complained that his right Whitbreud assured the hon, gentle- hon, and learned friend (the Master of the Rolls) had been arraigned in his absence, to name a member. Let the list, brought and plained for having entered on a justiiscation of himself in circumstances that list said to be recommended by governso peculiarly led to it. He was sure, however, that he might say also in the absence be seen in which the principle of equaof that right hon, gent., that there never lization had been most closely adhered to. was a man to whom the task of justifying lumself was more easy or less necessary. He reprobated the terms that had been employed by some hon, gent, in speaking of the committee, and thought his hop. friend (Mr. Lascelles) perfectly justifiable in resenting the general language of opprobinim that had been applied to it,-They had been told by an hon, member that he was far' from meaning to attach any disrespect to his hon, friend, or to im- duct, as first lord of the treasury, that pute any disgrace to individuals of the committee was to sit in the nature of a jury. commutee. What! said the right hon. The right hon, gent, had not, it seemed, gent., is it then no disgrace to belong to a entirely forgotten the early habits of his packed jury, such as very attempted to re-life, and had therefore talked in the lanpresent this committee in the was glad, guage of that profession, to which he was however, his hon triend had taken an op- bred, " of a challenge for cause." He portunity of having such broad imputa-begged, however, as a professional man tions contradicted. In regard to Mr. Buker, for whom he had the greatest respect, there were in our law two sorts of chalhe contended that he had been introduced lenges, and that the other of them was merely for the purpose of giving more; weight to their objections against the noble haid, and not from any real regard for that hon, gent. They had proposed him in torm, not in substance. It was not to obtain his assistance to the committee, but a tribunal of his own nomination. One to get quit of his noble friend, they had thing had also struck him most forcibly. brought him forward. Though to Mr. Baker, therefore, he could have no objection, he regarded himself as bound to resist the motion. His right hon, friend had been represented as shrinking from enquiry, but how was that charge attempted to be made out? Was it by stating, as they had done, that he had withdrawn from the cognizance of the committee every thing but what related to himself? This, instead of shrinking from an enquiry, was more like courting it, so far at least as related to himself. Hiert. hon. friend indeed had always wished enquiry, and had originally contended for that very mode of enquiry which the house had at] last adopted, he meant a committee. As to what farther respected lord Melville in the report, the house had already decided, that it was better to refer it to a court of law and to recover such monies as the public might be found to have a claim to. It' had been suggested by some gentlemen that the committee should be formed by

down by the hon, gent, opposite, and the ment, be compared, and it would then

Mi. Jekyll thought, that in the course of the proceedings, he and his friends with whom he acted, had reason to complain of want of candour from the right hon. gent, on the other side of the house. The only question now before the house was, whether the noble lord opposite was a lit person to be on that committee, situated and connected as he was with the right hon, gent, near him, on whose conalso, to remind the right hon, gent., that "a challenge of the array," by which last the law provides that no public officer shall chose his own jury, and he was astonished the right hon, gent, should attempt to take advantage of being tried by His hon, friend who brought forward this anotion had objected to the name of the noble lord, and in the whole course of the debate not one reason had been given against this objection. The simple question came therefore to this, would the public he satisfied with the nature of this proceeding? He did not think it would; and, as he wished the house to revise their decision in the appointment of this committee, he should vote for the motion, and hoped the noble lord's name l, would accordingly be expunded from the

Mr. Windham said, that it was his intention to propose that his name should be struck off from this committee, upon nearly the same grounds on which he meant' to contend that the noble lord (lord Castlereagh) who was the subject of the motion, was ineligible, namely, the friendly footing on which he had been with the noble lord (lord Melville), as well'as with the right hon, gent., whose conduct was allowing each side of the house alternately lalso to be investigated. The official con-

lord Melville, the social intercourse thence arising, and the impression made on his mind by the many amable and estimable to possess, were all circumstances, which tended to disqualify him for the duty meant to be imposed on him. It was a duty which he did not wish to undertake. As to the nature of the comcomposed, not merely of impartial, unprebut of those who would be disposed to \$6 in pursuit of it, and would be zealous and active in their researches. The committee was not meant for judicial decision, but to collect and bring forth the grounds for a rather accusatory than judicial. committee that was to example the Johnson said of the partisans of Ossials, grounds of the accusation? friend was thus appointed to pursue such fusing evidence, was a degree of insolence examination, he must be rather a sturdy to which the world had not been accusmoralist, who could use the necessary di- tomed!' It was the duty and interest of ligence in order to seek out facts likely to the house to preserve itself from suspicion was, that it was not so much lord M. the party accused by such a committee as the it. hone gent. (Mr. Pitt) that was the | might have weight, the ir acquittal would object of charge, as the powers of the have no weight at all-[acry of hear! committee were now limited. But this hear! He conjured the house to take was only to change the person, lea-| such a course as should satisfy the public; ving the case the same. He once act- and though this was a phrase which, used ed with the right hon, gent,, although too generally, would rather belit the prohe now disagreed with him; and, in- prictor of a theatre or a tea garden than a deed, to speak correctly, even when he great and grave assembly; yet it was a fit did act with him he could not be said address to the house on this occasion. The properly to agree with him. The agree- public was the party to be satisfied, ment was rather comparative than abso- for it was the property of the public that lute-rather with reference to the senti- had been misapplied, and what was still ments of those than opposed to the right more to the purpose, it was the confidence hon, gent., and with whom he (Mr. W.) of the public; that had been shaken.—If now concurred, than from a complete obliged by the vote of the house to become coincidence in the views entertained by a member of this committee, formed as the right hou, gent. Still his connection it had been, by ballot, and limited, as it with the right hon gent, had been such was, in the objects of its enquiry, he dethat he did not wish to be a member of a sired to be understood as engaging in committee appointed to arraign his con- it contrary to his inclination, and as ca-

nexion which he (Mr. W.) had had with which this committee was vested, combined with the persons of which it, composed, he really did not think R was calculated to give satisfaction to the comqualities, which the noble lord was known try. And however little he might be disposed, or might wish that others at different times had been disposed, to enforce a devoted reverence for public opinion on great questions of general policy, upon which, what was called the public was generally mittee, it ought in his judgment to be incompetent to judge; yet upon such an occasion as this, the case was directly the judiced persons, persons who would receive reverse. Here public opinion was not and report truth when submitted to them; only entitled to peculiar attention, but was itself a great part of the question. The weightiest part of the charge against the noble lord (lord M.) was the shock he had given to public opinion; and the first duty imposed upon the house, was to take charge, which should be submitted, after- such measures, consistint with justice, as wards, to the consideration of the house, might be likely to estore it. Where the The character of the committee was suspicious of the public were reasonable, Was whether they should ultimately turn out it not then singular, that the very per- to be well orall founded, they had a claim son accused, should himself nominate the to consideration and satisfaction. As Dr. When a 'to revenge reasonable incredulity by 1elead to conviction. The task was more in any part of its proceedings upon this de-uignateful than ought to be imposed on licate question. The wife of Cæsar should any one, and the confidence was too much not only be pure but unsuspected. If the for the house to repose. -It was a trial committee were to be constructed according which he was not willing to encounter. to present appearances, his conviction real-The only argument that could be used ly was, that although the condemnation of In considering the powers with tering his protest against the proceeding.

the committee merely to enquire into the thought fit to revise his opinions, and conmember, to his having retired from power the confidence of the British nation. with his right hon, triend, on the choun-stance of a great political messive, and re-silent, when he heard the conclusion of the VI IV

Nord Castlereagh rose, expressing much turning to power again without his right reluctance in the necessity by which he was hon, hiend, or that measure, it would be impelled to call the attention of the house, seen, from the discussion which would take when he was personally the subject of de-place in a few days, whether he was under bate. He then applied himself to the charges any engagement that could preclude his acbrought against him by the hon, gent, who ceptance of office. The hon, member had moved to strike his name from the com-thought proper to make some allusion to mittee, and said, the hon, gent, in the allu- his conduct in another country, as connected sions he had made to himself, and the seve- with a great and important mercure: the val public topics with which he had been part he took in the recognition or it, publicly and officially connected, only exer- was the prouded circumstance of his life. cised a privilege to which, as a member of He would venture to say, that there never parliament, he was fairly entitled, and for was a transaction in which there was more which he will not the slightest degree of institutions sacrifice of political interest to ammosity towards the hon, member. He public welfare. The charge made again t totady denied the alledged analogy between him on that subject were protessed bound his excusing bunself upon oath from sitting led on something which had tallen from a on election committees, which were attend-fright hon friend near him (Mr. 19sters, ed with examistances specifically required whose difference of opinion from him caby act of pachaments totally incompatible that great question be regretted as a nu for with the discharge of his official duties, and time; but he had only to as, that which had ans competence to attend upon a committee fallent from that right float girls, on the of this kind, where a perpetual attendance night alluded to, by no me habitative conupon the whole of its cittings was not re-struction attached to it by the hor member, quired, but might be optional, and more Upon the whole, be considered the quite so ted to be opportunities. If the present tion of this night not be any incar as poscommutee had required an attendance equally sonally directed against him, but an indicat close, he could have felt it his duty to state attempt to censure the discretion of that have to the hours, that his official avocations must by persons who only to pected his decisions render that attendance impracticable, and when they happened to cond with the n there of fined the indulgence of the house to lown. He knew it was divoided to allude Le crewed from serving on it. The house to former debates in that house, but as having done him the honour to name him those gentlemen had thought fit to this to is a member, it would ill become him to them for the purposes of disting oblique aria co its wi dom; and though he was upon him and his friend, he trusted he was upon him and his friend, he trusted he was upon him and his friend, he trusted he was upon him and his friend, he trusted he was upon him and his friend, he trusted he was upon him and his friend, he trusted he ment to his right hon, friend, and that if the recurrence, in order to remind the hou is if commutee were to exercise a indicial power, the conduct of some of those gentlement possibly his time of lite, and his little expe-An hon, member in particular, (Mr. 198) rience in such investigation, neight render who had, at no very listant period, though nim not so eligible to the duty as his right so contemptably of that house and its deep hon, and learned friend, the master of the sions, as to witharas himself entirely from rolls, yet, considering it was the duty of pathamentary and ance, had at length truth of facts upon proof; that it was in the descended to attend the proceedings of the power of no member of that committee to house. But he trusted the house had too concerl a single fact that should come out just osense, and too strong a recollection of in the course of enquiry; that those facts that hon, member's conduct, ever to repose must be detailed in their report to the house, their confidence in him. He trusted that who, and not the committee, were to exer in period would ever arrive when the dicase judicial decision; he felt nothing on rection of public affairs would be entrusted the situation, or the nature of his connect to his hands; for, convinced he was, that tions with his right hon, friend, that could however the hon, member s principles may drapality him from discharging the duties have been neutralized by any new connecinflosed upon him by the nomination. With tions he may have formed of late, they were respect to the allusion made by the hone still such as to render him totally unfit for

noble ford's speech, which he considered the I not merely a question regarding the constant most unpahamentary and indecorous he ment of facts in the committee, but there had ever heard in that house; the whole, as far a related to his hon friend (Mr. Fox) | gation of abuses. It was a proper consider was a misrepresentation and perversion of fation, whether we should not avoid the apfacts. The noble lord had stated that his hon, friend had no respect for parliament | elver active in the matters complained of but when it acceded to his wishes. Such a He wished to ask, upon a prima turic view declaration he considered unparliamentary. For the question, whether it was not a strong The noble lord had also thought proper to ! refer to the past conduct of his hon, friend, lord, that he was connected with the person To such a reference he should gladly subthe dricus ion which would arise out of that enquiry; he should even provoke it with great pleasure. His hon, friend did what he and counivance at the withdrawing of bimself was obliged to do at the same time I money from the Bank. The second was, time; he ceased to attend in his place in whether it had been withdrawn for the purparliament: this was what the noble lord po es for which it was roted applied, not attend when he had experied of the to have of these transactions, and he conmunity of combating for the public good, nection with administration, were substantally woble lord state that his hon, friend caused critice, however, was obtained by the purany embarrassment by his non-attendance, chase of private boroughs with the public being questioned as to their fitness of expediency? The noble lord had misrepresented his hon. friend, as calling this a packed committee. He might have said, the committee was solicited by the right hon, gent, and he would ask him, whether it was con sistent with a high sense of dignity, and very bonourable to his feelings? When the question before the committee was that of abuse, and the conduct of the right hon, gent, the subject of enquiry, was it bonourable or delicate in him to endeavour to recommend the appointment of persons firm in his interest, and connected with him in the government? The noble lord next complained of the manner of his how, friend's bringing |

were other duties that regarded the investipointment of persons, who had been then objection to the appointment of the noble who formed the subject matter of complaint, mit the point at issue; he was not affaild off that it might turn out, that he was himself a party concerned. The first charge again t the right hon, gent, was his knowledge of, called descriion and abandonment of public [as it was stated, to/delicate services; and duty. His Jion, friend abstained from at- the third charger regarded the acquittal of tending when he knew that his presence Mr. Jellicoe. He would insist, that the could be attended with no success; he did knowledge which the noble lord was likely and promoting the interest of the country, tral grounds for his disqualification. The But when his hon, friend and himself had noble lord was himself a minister, and corgiven up attendance in the house, they did nected with the noble lord who had been not leave the right hon, gent. (Mr. Pitt) un-ladjudged a delinquent, and might himself 1 " der any great embariassment, they left him (implicated.) The noble lord had been acarr in possession of the support, almost the un-pronouncing his panegyries upon the restriction qualified apport, of the house of commons, parliament, and had said, that there never and without opposition, he had the resources was a more meritorious sacrifice to public of the country at his command, without duty and the welfare of the country, the check or restraint. How then could the that which produced the union. That is when that very circumstance afforded the money. His lerdship had been charged right hon, gent, every facility to carry on with this: but he did not attempt a word his favourite plans of every kind without in his own vindication. As the noble lood denied that any charge had been preferred against him by the right hon, gent, on the treasury bench near him (Mr. Foster); let him, therefore, ask that right hon, gent. Whether the charge could be supported, he did not mean to say, but that it had been made was most certain and undeniable The noble lord heard the charge at the time it was made by the right hon, gent, he heard his hon, friend (Mr. Whitbread) also repeat it. He was charged with giving money for the purchase of votes. That charge stood against the noble lord, let him answer it: would be screen himself, like persons who refused to acknowledge their delinquency, under the 5th clarke? .He should, however, forward he motion. The argument he only say for the present, that the charge had wished to at ply in thi case, was respecting been made, and no answer whatever had the qualification (2) the noble lord. It was been given. With respect to feelings, were

ation of a delinquent. Abuse of office was the subject of the present investigation. inquiry; he, therefore, would ask whether the noble lord was a proper person to be upon that committee? but when the question was agitated, the gentlemen on the other side found it necessary to shelter themselves under the proceedings of the house, and say that he and his friends were endeayouring to vility the character of the house, by objecting to certain names in the list for the committee. If those names were hable to objections, there was no other way of hering that but by the mode his hon. mend had taken, and for which he deserved the greatest thanks. With respect to the mode of ballot, the theory which was used in the present case was not applicable that any other. When the abuses of government were the matters of complaint; at a time when the people, loaded with taxes, were looking with the greatest anxiety to that house for redress, it was their duty to adopt the most efficient method of bringing delaquency to light, and doing that justice which was expected at their hands. But his chief objection was to the quarter from whence those lists had been circulated; a fluence might be practised. The right hon. gent, had said, that if lists were circulated, they were not obligatory; he would ask the right hon, gent, if there was not great danger of creating an improper influence? If in a court of justice, the accused party was to circulate lists among the jury who who were to try him, would not the right hon, and learned gent, the attorney general, fall immediately upon them, and expose the erminality of their conduct? The defence that would not be accepted in a a house of commons.

The Attorney General observed, that the that part of their political life in which they thought fit to abstain from attending their duty in the house of commons, was not very honourable or advantageous to their character. The right hon, gent. opposite (Mr.) Windham) had contended, that public opigion was not to be made a general rule of | be amply discovered in it. .

he o change situations with the noble lord, conduct, but ought to have particular force and its accused and shrink from the charge, in this case. He, on the contrary, thought without giving an unequivocal demal, he jit ought to have no influence on an inquery should feel disgraced, and stand in the city- into facts. The right hon, gent said, the committee should be in accusing committee; but he thought, it should not be an ac Public corruption was the subject of this clising committee to the exclusion of all disposition to inquire into the means of acquittal. An impartial committee would not be had if the list of the bon member opposue to him had been adopted; for it consisted of none but members who had voted against ministers on the subject of lord Melville. The object of the committee was indeed to inquire; but it was as much to inquire with a view to estable h innocence as to detect guilt. Its object must be jutice, and its pursuit the discovery of truth. and such, he was persuaded, would be the sentiment of the committee now before the house. He thought the right hon, gent. (Mr. Windham) a fit member of that committee, notwithstanding he had endeavoured to disqualify himself, for he had that sturdy morality which he stated to be a requisite in a member of that committee; since he had given proof that the sense he entertained of his public duty overbore the private esteem he had for lord. Melville; in a word, the right hon, gent, was in himself an epitome of what a committee ought to be; since he possessed, in an eminent degree, all the qualities he himself required in that body. With quarter where the power was great, and in-prespect to the other hon, gent. (A)r. Whitbread), who it appeared would do his duty with more satisfaction if the committee was appointed as he wished, he was satisfied their object was to discover truth, and detect guilt. There was, no doubt, from the zeal, activity, and perseverance of that hon, gent. that they would be able to procure every information, and that the objects of the committee would have their fullest effect, as it was now chosen. He therefore thought the mode by which the committee had been appointed was more calculated to attain court of justice, ought not to be received in [their purpose, than the one proposed by the hon, gentleman.

Mr. Windham, in explanation, stated, that hon, gent, who had spoken last, had ex- he did not use the words alluded to by the pressed himself with great warmth, in de-right hon, gent, in the sense attributed to fence of his political friends. In his opinion him. When he made use of the phrase, " accusing committee," he did not mean that it was to be so composed as the learned gent. imagined; it was not his wish to exclude impartiality from it, although he wished that the valuable qualities of research, intelligence, and investigation should

Mrs Robert Thornien stated, that he did ! of that er se character that some gentlemen [seemed to conceive. He thought it per who should be nominated to try the most The time of the house, he thought, had have been coculated from the treasury; but government, or opposition, or any other let of men, nught decide upon any questian where I allot should be employed; and the noble lord who was now objected to might | for such a purpose as that proposed. By no have his ten friends, and be borne off by that number of persons politically attached racter of that functionary was. If he found to him, or ruined by his adversaries. He such a person to have been uniformly venat would acknowledge he had received one of thow lids, from whom he knew not; and Le exercised his discretion upon it to the same extent that he presumed every mem-Let who received one did; he made such alterations in it, and those with respect to more names than one, as he conceived indispensible towards obtaining an impartial, I wire, and enlightened committee. He since he came into this country, he saw count part for that on the ballot but few of many reasons to approve of it. He would, the opposition members put in their lists, and he thought he could perceive the motives which influenced them to decline the exercise of that pinelinge; and that was, be- hon, member that the observations upon can refley had determined at the time to which he was about to enter could have no bring forward this motion. He was one of possible bearing upon the subject before the those who had veted with the hon, gent, floure for discussion. (Mr. Whithread) in conturing lord Melville, and upon that occasion he led acted [conversation, during which stranger, were villa a number of friends, among whom excluded, the house proceeded to a division many thades at d difference, of opinion existed, respecting the digree of culpibility the name of Lord Castlereagh, and inserting ampurable to that wild, lord. Was the that of Mr. Baker in its place. Aves 86region of that voic to be arraigned, because Noes 219.—Majority against Mr Whitthey had met and consulted with each other? | bread's motion 133. He did not suppose the hon, good, would say yes. He test it to be his duty to concurit improbable, but that both he, and those who acted with him, might have opportunities of voting again in support of a motion brought forward by that hen, gent. The present tration, however, was one which cuber he not any of his triends could sup-Jest.

Mr. Richard Mirro said, that if he could not think the checkmon of lists an offence have brought himself to be on the same opinion with the hon, and learned gent. (the Attennes General) he would have purfeetly is unable, for if men, whose polineal an end to the debate long ago. Would it inclusions generally coincided, did not not, he isked, be more honourable for the consult and concur with each other upon noble vi count to be acquitted by his accusuch occasions as the one which bud just sers than by his friends, as much as it would given the to the discussion before the house, be more to the honour of an individual to it would be in the power of any ten mem-] be acquitted by a jury, the toreman of be sto carry the appointment of the persons | which was known to be his personal enemy? important mession. He believed lists might | been very unnecessarily taken up in discussing whether the name of the noble lond to count studence that, but not opposition should be continued on the list, or no. He their lists that It no lists hould be cent out, I was not of the opinion of those who hid at down as a principle not to be deviated from, that a public functionary was by no mernic to be received into a committee appointed means. He would examine what the chaout of office, and corrupt when in it, he could not consider such a man as a fit sucject for a committee. He would say a few words in answer to one argument from that noble lord. He was one or those who had been accused of raising a cry against the Umon; true, he had opposed it. Since that great legislative measure took place, and with the indulgence of the house, explain what they were-

The Speaker begged leave to remind the

Mr. Martin gave way, and after a short on Mr. Whitbread's motion for expunging ,

While strangers were excluded.

Mr. Windham, having stated to the house. In the motion of that hon, gent, on the 8th; that he had been a member of the admiand although he had since differed with him inistration in which the abuses described in on one or more occasions, he did not think |the Tenth Report are alledged to have taken place; and having submitted other considerations to the house, why his name should be struck out of the said list, concluded with a motion to that effect; upon which motion another division took place:—Aves 80. Noes 207. Majority 127.—Adljeurned.

HOUSE OF TORUS. Wednesday, May 1.

[MINUTES.]—The bills upon the table were forwarded in their respective stages.— A short conversation took place between the carl of Suffolk and the bishop of Oxford relative to the situation of the poorer orders of the clergy, particularly the curates, in consequence of the noble earl having litely stated that he had received a variety of information upon the subject, some of which was of great importance, and which he should take a future opportunity to call their lordships attention to, as he deemed it well worthy the interference of the legislature; this, he seemed to say, was his intention in the event of the bill now betore the other house not passing.—The bishop of Oxford observed, that to enable their lordships duly to understand the subsect, information on both sides the question should be laid before the house, otherwise a deri ion upon it must be a very laine one.— The earl of Sunolk replied, that his principal view in calling the attention of their fordships thus early to it, particularly that of the rev. prelates, was, that the information before the house might not be expurte. -Some detailed observations were then interchinged between the above peers, respecting the situations of curates, upon some particular livings, who, the noble earl seemof an observation from the Lord Chanfallen from their lordships, but what was contrary to the orders of the house.

[Universities Approved Bill.] into a committee upon the above bill,

The Duke of Norfolk said, that as he was in hopes the bill in question would be considerably modified in the committee, he should not oppose that part of its progress; but were it not so, he should certainly oppose the measure in a future stage. After some further observations, his grace moved, that an Account of the number and value of the different livings in the presentation and disposal of the colleges in the universities, &c. be laid before the house.

Lord Grenville expressed his doubts of the propriety of this proceeding; besides, he believed there was no regular office, or place, at least none under government, whence the information required could be drawn; and he highly disapproved of any juniversities. He hoped, therefore, it would

corporate bodie, for a statement or specification of their properties, from a proceeding brought forwert, as the present, by any individual peco. He believed such a proceeding was without precedent; and to set one in the present eac, may be of a dangerous tendency; or, it a precedent existed, it could not be conformable to that respect to the rights of preperty, which he trusted all their-lordships were inclined to

The Lord Chancellor coincided in the remarks of the noble baron; better would it be to suffer the bill in question, beneficial as it was likely to prove, to be lost, than ser such a precedent as that comfuned in the noble duke's motion. Did the universities themselves come forward and desire relief from specific hardships, the case would be considerably altered.

The Bishop of Oxford shortly stated, that he was in possession of important information on the subject of the motion, which he should freely communicate to their lordships.

The Duke of Norroll questioned the justice of the noble baron's remarks. He was of opinion that all corporations were more or less in the nature of trustees, and may fairly be called upon. However, he had no objection to withdraw his motion.

Lord Hawkesomy, in a great degree, exed to think, were not justly treated. The pressed his concurrence in what had tallen conversation was terminated in consequence from the noble lords who disapproved of the motion; but in some measure the ments of cyllor, who said, that not a single word had such a question would depend on circumstances, so that it was impossible, with reference to the powers of parliament, to lay down a fixed principle for such cases. - The The order of the day being read for going motion was then withdrawn by the noble duke, and the house, pursuant to the order of the day, having a solved itself into a committee upon the bill,

The Bishop of Ceford addressed the committee in a speech of some length upon the subject, in which he recapitulated his former arguments in favour of the principle of the bill, and added a variety of detailed considerations, drawn from documents and accounts, to which he referred, in support of of the measure. He contended, that it was incumbent upon those who opposed the bill. to make out what benefit would be obtained by continuing the restriction. The repeal. properly speaking, would not be a boon, but an act of justice, on the part of parliament, and would be gratefully received by the attempt to call upon private individuals, or | not be reluctantly granted, to them, and that the bill would be suffered to pass in its approved of the suggestion, that the Inc. original shape.

Lord Submouth expressed his objections against the bill in its present shape. If it appeared to be the sense of their hedships, that a bill to the general chief of the piesent ought to pass, he thought some mediacations might beneficially be introduced; but these not being now perfectly prepared, it was his intention to propose them in a future stage of the bill. The thought that before the universities were suffered to purchase any new livings, their friends should be employed in augmenting the small livings now in their possession, and afterwards that suitable parsonage houses should be creeted. on the same.

The Lord Chanceller supported the bill. His general grounds were those he had advanced on former occasions. He dwelt with great force and effect on the considerpermit the universities of the kingdom to acquire ecclesiastical patronage (a pătronage which they had always exercised in the most honourable and beneficial manners, ere it should fall into the hands of such persons, Schistoatics, Methodists, and other feet of the bili in quistion; yet there were journed. some of his suggestions he thought highly decrying of attention; such as the augmentations of small livings. &c. and which, he Le had alluded to.

fallen from his noble and learned friend.

bill in its present shape. It was a boon amond the act of 9 Geo. I. in regulating the well deserved by the Universities, and duty of parish others, so far as relates to soould be dealt to them fully and hierally, contracts for lodging, maintaining, and emand not with a sparing hand, which would ploying the poor .- Mr. Leycester moved, imply a suspirion of probable abuse on their that a message be sent to the house of lords,

to some point, in the noble baron's speech, tee to which had been referred the further intended as a resultation of certain positions examination of the matter contained in the which he had advanced.—The bishop of tenth report of the commissioners of naval London and dy carl of Suffolk shortly de-lenquiry. The message was ordered, and manufather common a

of the colleges should be applied to the colargement of small livings, rather than to the purchase of others. The bishop of Oxford argued against the introduction of a clause to that effect. The ford chantellor thought that the enacting clause, should pass in the way he had suggested; and that the provisions adverted to should be considered on a future div. The tishop of Oxford did not object to this. The bishop of St. Asaph said he would not trouble the house with his opinions in the present state of the business; but thought the observation of a noble ford (Harrowly) well worthy of attention ---The question was then put, and the cure t ing clause agreed to.—Adjourned.

> HOUSE OF COMMENS. Hedneydyn, May 1.

The speaker attended at haif past times. ation of its being infinitely preferable to and at four o'clock there being only to members present, including the necles, the house of course adjourned to to- reason.

> HOUSE OF TORDS. Ahinsday, May 2.

[MINUTES.]—Counsel were fine i sectarians, as it was likely to a dingerous heard relative to the Scots' Appeal, Cath and destructive extent, through the present cart, bart, v. the earl of Cassilis. Several scandalous mode of traffic for church pre- private and return bills were brought up ferments. Though he widely differed from from the commons, and were severally rest his noble friend (lord Sidmouth) in some or first time.—The balls upon the table wer. important considerations respecting the sub- forwarded in their respective stages. - Ad-

> HOUSE OF COMMONS. Thursday, May 2.

unsted, there would be a future opportunity | [MINUTES.]-Air. Grattan was sworn of con idering. With that view, he thought and took his seat for the borough of Malton. if would be dearable to vote the remaining '-A new writ was ordered for the election clause generally, it would be proper on a of a member for the borough of Helstene, future day to resonant the Bill, in order to in the room of John Penn, e-q who had consider of farther processons of the nature accepted the children hundreds - The firsh. Mail Coach Road bill, the Irch Loan bill, Lord Harriesturn supported what had and the frish Dollar bill, were read a second I time, and ordered to be committed.—M. Lord Grem the spoke in support of the Baker obtained have to bring in a bill to to request that lord Mclville have leave to Lord Sudmouth spoke in explanation, as coine and be examined before the commit I ord Harrowbyl Mr. Leyce to to be the bearer of it.



[PRO METALLE'S GRANT.]—Lord-H. count was ordered, with some others of the Pergrose pursuant to his notice, to move for cream accounts of the public income in Scotland, and of certain grants out of that in-The reason of his making these motion was, that as there was an acknowledgat necessity of inquiring as much as possible into every abuse in every department of the government, those papers seemed to him well worthy of the attention of the house, as conn ted with that object. He stated, that it had been the practice in Scotland, originating at the union, to draw money, by anucipation, out of the hands of the receivergeneral of Scotland, by the authority of warrants from the barons of the exchequer in that country, signed by some of the lords." of the treasury here. This mode of drawing the money which had been originally designs dias a security against abuse, seemed, i however, to have been lately made the means of it. From 1783 to 1790, on an aver 90, 180,000l, a year had been drawn in tar, way; from 1700 to 1797, it had increased to 223,000l, according to the report or the committee of finance. That committee had suggested the propriety of limitting this usue, as there was no certainty that the revenue from which it was taken | idea. This account was also ordered. could be counted upon for any certain prowithout any regular account. The practice, The House.]—Sir Harry Medding prehowever, had been suitered to continues sented a petition from Peter Stuart, propri and the mischier of if may be conceived eter of the paper called The Oracle, then in from one instance; the grant of 1500l. a custody of the serjeant at arms, by order of year to ford Melville annexed to his office the house, for a breach of privilege in a pe of lord privy scal for Scotland. This grant ragraph in that paper, and moved, that the he considered a violation of the appropria-said petition be read. The petition was son it, of the act respecting the revenue of accordingly read by the clerb, and is as fol-lows:—" I athe honourable the honse of stricting the crown from granting pensions commons in parliament assembled, it e peti-above 1200l, without the consent of parlia-tion of Peter Strut, printer and published ment, for this grant was 1,000l. If this of a morning new paper intitled 'The grant had been directly made as an addition Daily Advertiser, Oracle, and True Briton, to the salary of the first lord of the admi- most humbly shewith, that for the publicaralty it would not have been for life. It it from of that part of his paper of Thursday was intended as a g. int for life, the proper last, deemed highly offensive to this honourmode would have been, an application to [able house, he feels the deepest regret; and parliament, stating lord Melville's merits as that although certain expressions in that the grounds on which the claim was made. paragraph be indiscreet and unguarded, and The noble lord concluded with moving, such as have incurred the displeasure of so "that there be laid before the house an accomposition a branch of the British consticount of all monies paid under warrants of tution, yet that your petitioner humbly the barons of exchequer in Scotland, direct- | hopes, on this acknowledgment of his sined to the receivers of the customs and ex- cure sorrow, that this honourable house, in cise in that country, stating the persons to the plenitude of its condescension and libewhom such grants were made, and the pur- rality, will be pleased to pardon him for a poses for which, from 1797 down to the transgression solely attributable to the hasty

same nature. The noble lord then moved for an account of mother grant to lord Mel ville, of the arrears of the stewardship or Fife. This was incessary, as there was a report that the grant had been made in a surreputious manner, and not on grounds satisfactory to the lords of the treasury when it came afterwards to be considered.

Mr. Bond—It is true my name appears upon the warrant; and I subscribed it without any hesitation, upon the authority of what appears at the beginning of that document, that the business was done with the concurrence of the barons of the exchequer in Scotland. I certainly take no blame to myself in the affair, since it was done with their acknowledged advice and approbation. But I think it due to this house, and to the public, on the present occasion, to advance one step further. It was not known to nie, nor, I believe, to any or my colleagues who signed the instrument, that it had reference to arrears to an amount of may consideration. Some small sum, we thought, might be comprised under that head, but that it should cover an item exceeding 3000l. I believe none of us entertained the most remote

PROCEEDINGS AGAINST THE PRINTER latest period they were made." This ac- composition of a new sosper, and not to any

his principle and pride zealously to support abetting domestic treason, and assisted by conditime. the co-operation of the revolutionary power of France, would, he verily believes, have effected the de truction of the British constitution, had not the svise and efficient measures brought lorgand by that administrasupposed that the objectionable paragraph impropriety in the petition, and he there was directed also against the right hon, the fore continued his motion. speaker of the house of commons; that commection with the whole nation, highly opinions of the petitioner re-pecting th profoundly vererates as the head and public to the bar and discharged.

Mr. Windham called the attention of the

deliberate design of offending this honour-[house, whether ever any thing in the town of able house. That your petitioner is embol- apology came up to this, which not only dened to solicit your indulgence and forgive- [justified what the house had thought repreness on his well founded assurance, that du-l hensible, but even made accusations upon ring the several years in which he has con- the house. He also pointed out the extraducted a newspaper, it has uniformly been ordinary claim of merit in opposing certain societies out of doors, which, it was modestthe character and dignity of the house of ly said, would, if not opposed by this person, commons; and that it has frequently fallen and those to whom he referred, have preto his lot to have vindicated both from the vented the house from ever sitting. He charges of societie expressly instituted to left it to the house what opinion it should bring them into public disrepute and con- form of this extraordinary petition. He tempt—In any observations which your should not offer any new motion; but he petitioner may have published on the con- put it to the hon, baronet whether the oriduct of lord Mclville, he could not but bear | ginal one ought to be persevered in. The in mind, that the views of those societies, petition was, by general desire, read a se-

Sir Henry Mildmay said, he really saw nothing improper in the petition, nor could he understand why the hon, gent, should cry out so much against it. If it was the allusion to lord Melville, and the credit tion, in which lord Mclville held so conspi- given to him, and those who acted with him, cuous a situation, been adopted; and this for those measures which enabled the house honourable house would not, in that case, to preserve its place, he had no hesitation perhap, have been now in existence, either for himself to avow the same sentiment. to consure ford Mclville, or to pardon your He could not help believing that the right petitioner.—That it any thing could increase hon, gent, misunderstood the language or your petitioner's regret, it would be its being the petition. He did not see the smallest

Mr. For, although he professed hipsel. your peritioner has no hesitation to declare, to be no way averse to the object of the that no idea was ever more remote from his petition, thought that the petition it cli we mind; and that your petitioner would be a very improper one to be presented to the the very last person to insinuate any thing house. It was unnecessary and improper di respectful of a character whom he, in to introduce in a petition of this nature, the c teems as a private gentleman, and most conduct of lord Melville in other times, and upon former occasions. He was at a less to organ of this hon, house.—That your peti- know for what other meaning this topic had tioner most humbly hopes this hen house been introduced, but for the object of atwill consent to his release; and your peti- tacking those who brought him before that tioner will ever pray, &c. P. STUART."- house. The other topic which he had The petition being read, the hon, barenet chosen for his defence, namely, the general moved, that the said Peter Stuart be brought | principles on which he had long conducted a newspaper, appeared to him a most un seemly ground for the petitioner to rest his house to the insolence of this petition, and defence upon. In the first place, how was asked whether any thing like it had ever the house to know the fact? How was it to been known? The condescension of the be expected that they should know what petitioner in bearing testimony to the pri- newspapers he conducted, or what was his vite character of the speaker, and the office manner of conducting them? He could not he held, was indeed extraordinary. How-conceive that the house could admit of such ever far the house could go in tolerating the a ground of defence, unless ministers wished insolence offered to it, and to every thing now to inculcate the doctrine, that it will else sacred in the state, he was decidedly of always be admitted as an excuse for those opinion that the incolence of this petition who may be brought before them for libelwas beyond all toleration. He left it to the ling that house, that the person who has

been guilty of it has uniformly been a snpporter of administration, and of all those majorities which could be supposed to be procured by the influence of the minister, and that he had before been in the habit of only libelling those minorities which opposed the wishes of ministers.

The Characttor of the Exchequer could not well understand the conclusion drawn by the hon, gent, from the topic he had last stated. If the pentioner had stated generally, that he had been in the habit of supporting administration, or any set of ministers, that would certainly have been nothing to urge in windication or extenuation of the offence which drew upon him the di pleasure and punishment of the house; but when it was recollected, that it was for a libel on the house of commons that he had been ordered into custody, it was undoubtedly a topic of extenuation of the oftence to alledge, that so far from being in the habit of libelling them, he had always before supported, as much as in him lay, the resolutions and decisions of the house of commons. He must allow, however, that the language and tone of the petition were not exactly what would have appeared to him the most proper. It was not, however, with this position r alone, but it appeared of the majority of the house of commons to him a common fault with almost all who | should at all times be treated with the greatwere connected with the press, that they est respect; but certainly it did not appear assumed a loftion stile, and gave themselves to him to be entitled to more respect for something more of importance, than ap- inaving been obtained by the colling vote of pe are treatmally to belong to them. As to the speaker than mose decisions wheeling the danger of the times, in which the peti- agreed to, elici mammonsly, or by very tioner alledges that he has supported the large majorities of the house. The only house of commons, in that he was fully borne topics then which were objected to in the out by the high authority of the right hon. petition, were these expressions with 12gent. (Mr. Windham) who had frequently spect to the peaker which app ared very and very torcibly described those dangers in haturally to have been introduced in consethat hours; and who, as well as the peti- quence of his having been supposed to have tioner, had often attributed to that admini- libelled the peaker, and his processions of stration of which lord Melville formed a respect for lord Melville's services, which part, the salvation of the country. This were urged in extentiation of the zeal with epinion was not singular: it had been for many years the prevailing opinion of both All the rest of the petition was merely the houses of parliament, and of a considerable expression of sorrow and contrition for havportion of the people of this country. If ing offended the house, and this part cerin common with them the petitioner had tainly could not be objected to. felt the importance of the services that had certainly competent to him to state this cirnot surpri ing that those who do not relish one which was complimentary to the speak-Vor. IV.

that opinion should also dislike the statement of it in the petition. That opinion was, however, entirely agreeable to the opinion which had for many years been entertained by a great majority of that house, and of the other house of parliament, and although the petitioner might have thought his testimony of much more importance than it really was, both with respect to lord Melville and to the speaker of that home, yet he could not see any thing improper or insulting to the house in his introducing those topics in extenuation of his of ence. It was in the recollection of the house, that on the day that this busines was last brought forward, considerable stress had been led on the majority baying becauter ied by the decision of the speaker, and therefore the libelling the majority under itch circumstances, was an aggravated of cours, as conveying a personal libel on the caracter of the speaker, whose role made the argority. When this had been toll dit poin at the time the libel was complained of, it was not extracedinary that the petitioner should peritively disclaim every idea of reflecting upon the speaker, and tale that opportunity of expressing his respect to this character. For his part, if appeared to him that the decision which he undertook to defend his character.

Mr. Windham begged the house would been rendered to the public by lord Mel- observe how small a part of this petition ville, and those with whom he acted, it was was taken up with expressions of sorrow anti contrition in comparison to what had cumstance in his vindication. He had stated | been allotted to the other topics. It certhe ground of his partiality to lord Melville tainly could not be supposed that he disato proceed from his opinion of the great ser- greed altogether from the opinions stated in vices he had performed; it was therefore those topics, and more particularly in that

er. His objection was not to the obser- tions in aggravation, which he now vation itself, but the time and place in which it appeared. It was not the commentary should therefore now state, that gross and and the criticism itself which he found fault with; they might be very good; but non! crat lie locus. The petition of a person | under punishment for libelling the house was not the place in which a completitive on the conduct of the speaker ought to be found. It was the complexion and character of the performance altogether, that made famendment to move for, but he thought it impossible to agree to the motion, and he that the punishment ought now to be intherefore intended to move an amendment.

Sir William Burroughs rose to order. He thought it irregular for a member to make a | might have spared all the e topic, that is amendment.

rules of the house the right hon, gent, could not make a second speech to move an amendment.

Mr. Grey felt extremely sorry to be obliged again to trouble the house on this business. He did not know what was the preelse name of the amendment intended to Should be inflicted for that offence. The be moved by his rt. hon. friend (Mr. Wind-) ham) but he thought it was evident that the hou e was now placed in a situation that made it impossible to avoid passing some severer punishment than was at first thought of. If the nature of the composition which was presented as a petition was considered, had in bringing the business forward, we it would appear to have been written alto-d best known to binself; but it was anost gether in a strain of defiance and accusa-j clear that nothing but a sense of duty to the tion. This was the general tone of it, and house could have governed the conduct of nothing proved it more strongly than the his hon, friend on that occasion. What ver defence of the right hon, gent. (Mr. Pitt). Could the Louse countenance the petition of a person who placed himself in the situation of accuser of one of the parties? Was at not evident that the general object of the petition was to attack those who brought the business before the consideration of the from e? He musi confess it gave him very marry separations the other night, when he heard on ben, friend of his (Mr. Sheridan) give the term of " milk and water," to what be concerned the grossest libel against the character of the house that ever was submitted to their consideration. It was by no means to be considered as an animated discusion of public affairs, but a mere composith a of unqualified abuse against the majorit of the house. It was an attack upon their character as judges sitting in a court of justice, it called them intemperate, partial and possurptuous.* He, on the former night, had I it the libel to the consideration

coived that he had a right to make. He enormous as he conceived the libel to be which he had submitted to the consideration of the house, it was in his opinion highly aggravited by the style of defiance which appeared throughout this petition, and which was highly indepent and insulung to the hone. He did not exactly know what

Mr. Caming thought the ben, member second specen with a view of moving an lated to the libel it elf, and have confined himself to the petition which was before the The Speaker gave his opinion, that by the phouse. If the hon, member who had himself brought forward the lusiness, was content on the night he brought it torward with the small measure of punishment that was memioned, or rather with the no punish ment, it was not competent to him dow to go back and argue that a severe punishment honegent, tookegreat credit to himself for being so ready to comply with the suggertion of a light punishment; he should also have given equal credit to the conduct of his right hon, hiend (Mr. Pitt) on that occasion. Whatever feelings he might have might be the motives of the apparent lenity of the very persons who complained of the libel, it was evident that the motive of his right hon, friend (Mr. Pitt) in suggesting a more serious punishment was, that he conceived it due to the character and dignity of the house, and that even when he u.s himself in a minority, he felt that the decisions of the majority ought to be treated with the highest respect. As to the part of the petition which contained complimentary expressions towards the speaker, although strictly speaking the petitioner was not to be supposed to know that it had been dwelf on as an aggravation of his offence that he had spoker so disrespectfully of a decision which was determined by the casting vote of the speaker, yet he did not suppose that any member could so adhere to strict for mality, as to censure the petitioner for morely answering a charge that had been made against him. Although it might, at first of the bourc, without making any observa- light, appear somewhat ludicrous to hear

the press, it minutes be recollected by the has and the country, on which side of the horse these prosecutions first commenced, and who it was who began them. As the petitioner had defended, with mistaken zeal, the man who had been the victim of the anger of that house, was it unfair for him, in externation, to show the causes which had produced that real which drew upon him the displemente of that house? It was certand, fair in him to point out the reason why he entertained so great a partiality for lord Melville; to state the services which that noble lord, and those with whom he strongly that the salvation of the country, and the protection that he enjoyed in common with all his fellow subjects, was owing to the salutary laws which were then enacted, to be strongly impressed with gratitude for those services, and to undertake zealons ly, although imprintently, and perhaps prosumption ly, to defend a person whom the house had condemned. As to the merits of lord Melville in those times to which the petition alludes, no resolution of the house of commons could erase that page from the history of the country, or from the recoilestion of the supporters of the constitution. He again repeated, that a great change had now taken place, and that the house and the country must recollect on which side of the house it had begun.

peculiarly disagreeable to him to mix in any debate, when his partiality even to the excess of liberty for the press would interfere with the opinion he would otherwise have

the petitioner complimenting the private could not give a silent vote; nor was he to character of the speaker, yet if that had be deterred from giving his opinion by the been left out, and the compliment was only indecent threat that had been thrown out by to his situation as presiding over this house, [the hon, gent, who had spoken last. That the company might be complained of with gentleman had tated profit strongly, that it 12010 reason, and would appear to convey war in the contemplation of his friends to curomething of a reflection on his private chartail and abridge the liberty of the press, and racter. He wished, however, now, that the wished to have it supposed that he and his editors of papers in general, not only those friends were to be re-poissible for the dewho conducted daily, but those who pub- termination on the other side of the house Inhed weekly papers, should take notice, to abridge the real liberty of the press. He and receive warning that a great change had saw nothing inconsistent in the conduct of taken place in the system of forbearance his hon, friend, (Mr. Grev) on a former that had hatherto been adhered to. Justice, night with his conduct to night. He had 'impatial justice, must be done on both on a former night given way to that dispoa les. A new zera had now begun, and if sition for lenity which he perceived to have any general clamour should be raised with been thear the prevailing sentiment in the respect to the abild ment of the liberty of house; but when he now found this disposition towards lenity had been abase I, and that the stile of the petition shewed strongly the spirit in which the libel had been written, there was no inconsistency in thinking that this lenity had been misplaced, and that some severer punishment should now take place. He confessed that he himself had used the words stated by the how gent, and although he gave his opinion that the paragraph alluded to was a very gross libel, yet he called it milk and water comparatively with others which had not yet been noticed. However, he must confess that some bounds ought to be set to the licentiousness of the acted, had rendered to the country; and it press; vet when he considered in whose was not extraordinary, or unnatural, that hands the pruning knife, was to be placed, any member of the community, who felt to lop off its exuberances, he was much afraid they would destroy the pith and vital sup of the tree. On this ground he had hitherto opposed all those measures for restricting the freedom of the press; he had opposed all the increase of duties on those smaller publications which might at a cheap rate disseminate general and useful information; he had opposed the bill which required a printer's name to be inserted on every hand-bill; but above all, he had opposed that intamous act if he might be allowed to call any thing infamous that was still in the statute book), which allowed magistrates and courts to transport persons to Botany Bay upon the second conviction for a libel. Upon the present occasion he had himself been applied to by some triends of the petitioner, and waited on him in conse-Mr. Shertlan said, that although it was quence. Having read his petition, he entreated him to leave out those passages, and struck his pen across them. It appeared, however, that after he had seen him, the petitioner took the advice of some other tormed, yet, on the present occasion, he persons, and re-inscreed these passages. Af-

ter having done so, he had, however, the most grave and serious libel. The Afeat deceney not to ack him to present his peti- | zeal that some gentlemen now shewed to tion. The right hon, gent. (Mr. Pitt) had francish a libel on the majority of the house, considered it as a tair set-off in the petition er to state the former services of ford Melville: if that, was any argument, why did not his lordship's defenders in the house make use of it? When the petitioner chose to state that they sat there as a parliament owing to the exertions of lord Mclville and his triends, was that language which the house could enduce? If it was owing to sa lutary laws, surely it was to the legislature, and not to one or two individuals, that those laws, were owing. It was equally objectionable in a petition to speak of either the merits or demerits of any of the parties in parhament. If a person under punishment for a libel on the character of the house should have taken agother ground, and instead of attributing the safety of the state to lord Melville and his colleagues, had attributed it solety to the firm stand which the opposition in parhament had made to all the fabricated plots and conspiracies which had been invented on the part of government, for the purpose of preserving ministers in power and office, such a statement would have been equally objectionable.; A petition ought not to be so framed as to revive those topics of discussion which were not relative to the matter before the house. He felt sorry that the petition had been so word- the has very frequently libelled the minority, ed that he could not give it his support. I pon the ground he had stated, he should find himself obliged to agree in the vote of his hon, friend.

Mr. Dent thought the petition contained no matter that could be adduced in aggravation of the original libel. It appeared to him a great inconsistency in the hon, member, (Mr. Sheridan) to give credit to the legislature for the salutary laws it had enacted, and yet give no credit to the administration who had brought them forward.

Mr. Sheridan, in explanation, said the hon, gent, had completely misunderstood ! him. those laws as salutary, nor to the government that introduced those laws.

The Attorney General thought it was a most extraordinary speech which the house had just now heard from the hon, gent. (Mr. Sheridan). His expression on the other night was a remarkable one, and would not easily be forgotten. He had described the libel as a "mere milk and water" production, when compared with many others, and yet the night he found out that it was a ment to be inflicted on the present occasion,

appeared difficult for him to a count for in any other way than he had already done, by supposing they were attached to majoritie, or minorities, exactly cas they themselves happened to belong to the one description or the other. Having now found a libel on a majority to include themselves, they were ready to vote for increasing the punish-

Mr. Whithread considered that his hon friend (Mr. Sheridan) had properly state i the grounds from which the disposition to lenity on a former night proceeded. There were two solutions of it. In the first place, his hon, friend (Mr. Grey) had complained of a libel; the home experimently agreed that it was a gross thel; and, under these circumstances, it was not surprising that his hon, friend (Mr. Grev) should, as usual, feel a greater disposition to levity than the right hon, gent. (Mr. Pitt). If the fact, however, was, that it was one of the minority of the 8th of April, who both wrote that libel and drew up the petition, it was not extraordinary that that hon, member should warmly defend his own productions. The set-off in this case is curious. The editor, who is punished for libelling the majority of the house, tells you, in his vindication, that Was it to be endured, that an editor of a newspaper should tell the house of commons that he had sat in judgment upon them and their proceedings, and pronounced his applause or his censure on the different parties in parliament as he thought fit? This set-off stated, that lord Melville had been an old and faithful servant of the crown. had, however, been proved, that for more than 16 years of the time he was in office. he had been an unfaithful servant; and that the principal object of him and his colleagues. was to cling to office as long as they could, As to the party heats which prevailed at He neither meant to give credit to those times, they were in a great measure gone and obliterated from the page of history. The right hon, gent, himself (Mr. Pitt) had, if there was any faith in man, proposed and fecommended his hon, friend (Mr. Fox) as a fit person to hold a high office in the government of the country; and, therefore, he could not have supposed that he deserved those scandalous libels which had been thrown out against his character. Without wishing for any severity of punish-

he should be very glad that the hor, baronet [sition to it; he thought he should not be alwould see this petition in the same light flowed to pass with unpoints. that it appeared to him in, and consent to withdraw it for the purpose of preparing [due to those who first brought this business another that would be more decent and forward, and those who, when it was brought The expressions in the first part seemly. of it would be sufficient to obtain the object, and the remainder had much better be] omitted. He then appealed to the hon. baronet, whether it would not be better to withdraw his petition?

Sir II. Mildmay answered, that he had heard nothing in the latter part of the discussion which altered in any manner the

opinion he had before formed.

Mr. Ryder thought that the topics objected to had been very fairly and naturally introduced into the petition, and if the house was to consent-to-have the petition withdrawn upon these grounds, he thought it would convey a severe reflection and libel on the conduct of that government to whom the country had been so much indebted. Although he by no means wished to revive party animosities, yet he considered that the petitioner had a right, when the question came in his way, to state, in extenuation, the reasons for which he felt so much real in the cause of ford Melville; and, for his part, although he was not at all personally acquainted with his lordship, he should not hesitate to say, that whatever offences he may have committed, he had rendered great and important services to his country.

Sir John Newport withed to give an hon. member (Mr. Canning) an opportunity of explaining what he meant by saying lord Melville had fallen a victim to the anger of that House?

Mr. Canning did not recollect having used the expression: he thought he had said the displeasure of the house, and that even that phrase he had given with a qualification.

Lord De Blaquiere thought the origina llibel was much aggravated by the stile of the petition. He did not think that the house should take notice of every sentiment that was put in writing by a blockhead. He could not bring himself to think that a man was worth notice, who would not take advice even for his own relief. The hurry of the press was given in excuse for the original paragraph; but, it should be recollected that the circumstance upon which that writer had thought fit to comment, took place Before the recess, and it was not right to admit any thing which was untrue as an extenuation. He had received good advice, and had not availed himself of it, but had acted in oppo-

Lord Marsham thought equal ciedit was torward, decided on it according to what was due to the character of the house. He did not think that every punter should be allowed to appoint himself a censor of the proceedings of that house. He, however, did not wish the punishment to proceed any farther, but should be glad that a more seemly and becoming petition was presented to the house, than that which had been read.

Mr. Whitbread then said, he should move that the petition be negatived and returned to the petitioner, in order that another might be immediately presented which would be fitter for the house to receive. The first page of the petition would be fully sufficient.

Mr. Fox appeared to think the previous question would be the best way of disposing

The Speaker thought that the previous question could not be put upon the motion in its present form.

Mr. Whithread then said, he should negative the question, intending, however, to vote for the receiving a petition that might be unobjectionable immediately after.

Mr. Wiberforce said he was a triend to the liberty of the press, and particularly as it related to subjects of a political nature, which were more important to be discussed than any other, as far as the interest of the public was concerned; and for that reason he should be disposed to make greater allowance even for excess of liberty in those topics than in others, because they were more likely to lead men to a warmth of expression than any other, and becouse they ought to be discussed with greater boldness, and boldness of discursion naturally lead to excess, upon some eccasions. He allowed also what had been hinted at already, that wherever any one was accused of misconduct, it was but fair that he should call his conduct, previous to that accusation, in aid of his case, as it might operate to soften the rigour of judgment against him; and therefore he should, in a case like the present, be among those who were disposed, to be most liberal; for he wished to allow all possible latitude to political discussion. He therefore wished to see no attack made on the liberty of the press, for which reason he could have wished that this had not been

the pres, could not help observing that the approve, making the cort of applogs now offered to the hour; for alchould be salmitted that an apology chould not be too humble, yet, when it was mide at all, is! should have been made to the whole house of commons, for when any attack model the house, the apology, whenever it was made, should be made not to one side of the house, but to the whole house, and if any person had incurred the displeasure of the liouse and made his apology, when he solicited its forgiveness, the apology should not be allotted to that party which usually constituted its m. ority, or with a view to whom he might conceive constituted the majority of the house, for that was language ! sort or polition he was to be at liberty to argument on any document, to look it the which ought to be presented to the honce followed to give him that name) had observ

made metter of complaint; but being judge, and suppose he were to endeadour brought toward, the hous must dispose of to set one part of the bench against the it in the best minner it could. And here other, and that, in teel of intreating the he, with all his partiality for the liberty of benity of the whole court, he had en had voured to foment a quartel, arrong the stile and mode of the petition were sucress judges; such a period, he apprehended. he should not wish to hear at the bar of that would not be considered as but it; then I v horse. He trusted he should not often see! done one thing tending to mine, done of pua person, whose general conduct he might nishment. On the whole of its matter, " did really think it such as he had already stated a to be. It was a case in which the petitioner ought to make an apology, but he confessed he was not for a very humble spology; merely a gentlementy apology, and the such analogy skould be made to upon it became subject to the centure of the whole Louse of commons, and not to one sit after home, which he could not help considering to be the cree in the pre sent instance.

The Soliestor General said, that with the opinions of the hon, gent, behind hon, Vir. Wilbertore) he enurely concurred, and with those of the noble lord sitting below him likewise, for he concurred in the canprocure to the petitioner the favour of those ment, that the apology ought to be o'cored, not to one side of the house, but to the whole house. He concurred in the obertotally improper for a supplicut. Now, if vation, that whenever a libel was published he recoll cited the words of the petition cor- on the house of commons, it was not one ie the there was one among them of an side of it only that ought to be offended, but ambiguous meaning; it was not the word the whole hou cought to be so, and most sorrow, but regret. In that it reminded him undoubtedly was so. In such case the degree of a story, wherein a person who had been bity of the whole house ought to be vindeguilty of a crune and apprehended for it, cated; and if any petitioner had endeavourbeing called upon to make his apology, said ed to make his escape from the indignation he was orry, not for the act, but for his of the house by conciliating one part of n being taken. But whitever course was instead of the whole house, if he endertaken, the house would take one of its challyoured to shelter himself by one on hotractor, and that if the present petition was tempt, the sudgment of the bone would with how in the house did not charmon any only he the more verse against han. But comprome e with the petitioner as to what he had been accustomed, when he heard are present. He did not tlank that the dignity document itself, instead of taking the conof the hours should be engaged in discussing I tents of it, from the description of another, what petition would be right, but, it was before he drew any conclusion from it. sufficient to say that the present was not so. In other words, he chose to examine the Supposing the house to be of that opinion, premises before he drew any conclusion, he wished it to be understood, that if the pre | because if the premises were not true, the sent petition was not received, it was be-conclusion was not likely to be correct. cause it was not in that stile of expression Now his hon, friend behind him (if he was of commons in behalf of a person who had ed that the apology ought to have extended oftended its dignity. The precent perition to the whole house in the case; and he had appeared to him to be deficient in the tem- observed there was no sorrow expressing per and view of it, for it was apparently the petition; there was only regret stated. preferring one part of its members to others. Hearing that stated from that how, member, He would impose that a person came to who was usually accurate in his expression; receive the semance of a court of justice, he was induced to look at the petition itself, and that he were to present a petition to the and by it he found that the words were

the -" To ir peritioner humbly hopes, [terms than the petitioner himself had used, on this acknowledgment of his sincere sor row, that this house, in the plenitude of its condence propriated liberality, will be ple red to padon him." Now, he thought this was a complete answer to that part of the observation of the hon, gent, behind Jam. He confessed he was very much suuck by what fell from an hon, gent, on this occasion who sat below him, that the oftence in this petition was not matter of acendent, but had been pointed out to the pethioner, and that he had refused to withdraw that which was offensive. If there · was matter of offence in the petition, he should not have attempted to defend it, for he could not think of defending any thing house, and that the more especially, if the potition to see whether that was the fact, na unusual thing for an accused person to practice of men in every simation, when particular case, to refer to their former and not, not as a justification of an improper act, but as an extenuation; but the house had attached more value to his labours than] they had. What were the facts in this part of the case? They were no more than this: the petitioner stated, that formerly the conduct of the house of commons was attacked by certain societies then existing in this country, and that he, as far as his ability could go, defended the house against the evil designs of those societies:—that might | be a vain declaration also; but sarely it was

the words were these: -" That your petinoner is emboldered to sobeit vour indul gence and forgiveness on his well founded assurance, that during the several years in which he has conducted a new.paper, it has uniformly been by principle and pride zealously to support the character and dignity of the house of commons, and that it has frequently fallen to his lot to have vindicated both, from the charges of societies expressly instituted to bring them into public disrepute and contempt."-There might be no merit in all this; there might be but little consequence in what he had done, but surely there was nothing culpable in the statement of it, and this was that was justly deemed offensive to the the whole extent of what the petitioner bad said. But with respect to what he had said elt usive part had been pointed out to the of the character of lord Melville, if he had petitioner, in such cases, he should agree attempted to vindicate lord Melville on the with the noble lord, that the petitioner was grounds which the house had disapproved, not entitled to any favour; but there again he should not have attempted to support, he was induced to look at the premises be- this petition, but he had not done so; and fore he arrived at the conclusion. He agreed rather than that he should be supposed to when say more for the petitioner of a petitioner, when say more for the petitioner than he said he came to ask for favour of the house, to for himself in this respect, he should quote eadeavour to set one part of the house his words, the substance of which was, that a sams) the other; but he must look into the the petitioner thought the former conduct of my lord Melville was such as entitled han and he found nothing of that sort in it. It to the gratitude of his country, in which y is not unnatural for a man, accused of he had not gone further than he ought, having attroved the proceedings of the techaps, to have gone on the present ocintence, plead that on former occasions he tition were these:-" In any observations and defended the house; vainly thinking, (your peditioner may have published on the perhaps, that his labours were more impor- | conduct of lord Melville, he could not but that then in truth they were; but it was not bear in mind, that the views of the e societies, abetting domestic treason; and assisted to so, it was on the contrary the uniform by the co-operation of the revolutionary power of France, would, he verily believed, they were accused of misconduct, in any have effected the destruction of the Pritish constitution, had not the wise and efficient measures brought forward by that adminis tration in which lord Melville held so conwould not censure a person for making that | spicuous a situation, been adopted, and this reference, even it it should think that he hon, house would not, in that case, perhaps, have been now in existence, either to censure lord Melville or to pardon your petitioner." This was a mere statement of the object of certain societies to break in upon the functions of parliament, and of the part which lord Melville, as a conspicuous member of executive government, took in frustrating the designs of such societies, in which statement there appeared to him to be nothing indecorous. He could not find, in any no aggravation of his offence. He would part of this petition, any thing of that offennot represent this part of the case in milder sive matter which had been alluded to by

This debate; and if there was any thing that might be considered as transgressing the bounds of the most perfect propriety in the petition, he was not asking too much, he thought, when he asked the house to make some allowances for the possible misconception of a man in the situation of this petitioner. It any of the expressions in the petition were, and he did not admit they were, but supposing them to be so, they could not aggravate his offence when they were dictated by a spirit which intended to lessen it. The petitioner at loast thought he was not doing wrong, and therefore ought not to be held guilty of any intention to offend, and intention alone constituted true guilt in cases of this kind. considered these societies to which he had alluded, to have had some mischievous designs. He considered that lord Melville had been instrumental in preventing what he apprehended to be great mischief; under these circumstances he could not think there was any thing in this petition which deserved the reprehension of the house. It was not for the purpose of reviving animosities in the house, that the petition had adverted to these topics, but merely to set forth what it was which had actuated his conduct, and what made him venerate, as he did, the character of lord Melville; for motion of the hon, baronet, to call the petitioner to the bar, in order to his being discharged.

Sir II. Mildmay explained, and observed, that although the petition had been shewn to the hon, gent, on the other side (Mr. Sheridan) it did not contain now any part of the paragraph to which that hon, gent. objected, except the two last lines.

Mr. Sheridan explained also, observing, that he did not mean to say the whole of the paragraph to which he objected when he saw the petition was in it now; but past of that paragraph was retained, and to that part he objected.

Mr. Atkins Wright observed, that when he first called the attention of the house to this matter, and submitted the propriety of passing it over rather than prosecute the author, he did not so much as know the name of that gentleman. He was still unacquainted with him. He gave his opinion freely, and he was upon reflection confirmin the propriety of that opinion. He thought the house ought not to consume

by several gentlemen who had spoken in | more time on this occasion, but to proceed to other important business which remained to be heard.

Mr. Sturges Bourne said he had no wish to procrastinate this debate, but he could not help taking notice of what had been said by some gentlemen to-night of the necessity of the house availing itself of the power of punishing its accuser, and insisting that the petition ought to be withdrawn, and that the petitioner should present another. He was astonished at a good deal of what he had heard on this occasion. He thought that this power of the house ought to be reserved till great occasions called for it, where the offence to the house was serious and deliberate, and not as in this case the effect of haste and mere inadvertence. He had observed some gentlemen very forward in calling themselves the advocates of the liberty of the press, but in their actions they had added to the restraint of it. He alluded to the case of Mr. Reeves, when a certain publication of his had been charged to be a libel upon the constitution of the country, and n was referred to the consideration of a committee of the house of commons; that committee examined a great deal of evidence on the subject, and admitted much under the head of evidence which a court of justice would not have received; and afterwards the hon, gent, made a motion these reasons, the solicitor general declared, 'for punishing the author by the authority of he found himself called upon to support the the house itself—thus blending two chrracters, which ought to be united as seldon as possible, that of accuser and judge. Not that he meant that such a thing should never take place, for there might be circumstances in which even that would be unavoidable, but he was sure it ought to be rarely done. This author, however, was sent with his book to a court of justice, where he was tried and acquitted by a jury of his country, and the hon, gent, opposite to him, who had so repeatedly boasted of his attachment to the trial by jury, as well as the liberty of the press, had quoted this case on a former night, as a reason for not sending such cases to be tried by jury. With none of these principles did he agree. He was so far from thinking that the power the house possessed of being both accuser and judge was a reason why they should themselves punish any one who offended it, that he thought it, a reason of itself why the house should be extremely tender of adopting that course. Gentlemen had opposed the bringing of this petitioner to the bar on this occasion, and had been pleased to say that he should

showing very indifferent attachment to one of the best principles of our Constitution, a perfect and tender regard to that sacred tight of the subject on which the redress of grievances so materially depended, he meant the right of petitioning. He knew of no constitutional principle by which that house so will reject any petition which was not worded in an offensive manner, still less did he understand the principle on which the house should dictate to any petitioner the to m in which he should frame his patition. · There could be but little freedom where the party petitioned should dictate the form in which the petition should be drawn. All petitions which were not disrespectful to the house were regular, and which the house, therefore, ought to receive. He believed that in this petition there was not a single sentence, word, or syllable, which reflected on the house, or on any part of it. It alluded to certain societies which formerly existed in this country, and to the efforts which certain members of the house made to counteract the machinations of such societies. Why should that give any offence to any members of that house? Were there any gentlemen in the house who wished to identify themselves with those societies? How they could feel themselves uneasy under this allusion was what he was at a loss to comprehend, and until now the house had not been called upon in this case to exercise either its vengeance or its anger.

Mr. Sheridan explained, and observed, that the hon, gent, had not accurately recollected the case to which he referred, and which recollection he intreated him to improve, for he was wrong in every fact he had stated concerning it. He never moved " charged; -paving your fees." in his life against the press. The motion alluded to was made by an hone gent. then member for Bridport (Mr. Sturt) who was not now a member of the house. The house."—Ordered nem. con. house came to a determination of appointing a committee, which elected him chairquestion was deemed a gross and scandalous it should be burnt by the hands of the common hangman. . The matter ended in directing the attorney general to prosecute the author. The case went before a jury, who acquitted the author, and that was the history of the whole case.

Mr. Sturges Bourne explained, and obto the motion of the hon, gent, who spoke cessary for him to occupy much of the time Vor IV

be bat liberty to present another petition. Last on that occasion, but to the language This, he confessed, appeared to him to be he held forth in the debate, by which he colled for the heavy purishment of the house on a person who was ultimately acquitted by a jury.

, Sir Rulph Milbank thought the words user by the petitioner were indecent, and such as the house could not, without a surrender of its dignity, pass over without some punishment to mark the sense it entertained of the misconduct.—The house then divided, for the motion 142-against it 121majority 21.

The petitioner was then brought to the bar, where he received a reprimand from Mr. Speaker, and was ordered to be discharged out of custody, paying his fees.— The reprimand was as followeth, viz.— " Peter Stuart, you having confessed that " you were the printer and publisher of a " paper which has been complained of to " the house as containing libellous reflec-" tions upon its character and conduct;-" this house resolved that you were thereby guilty of a high breach of its privileges, " and ordered you to be taken into custody. " By your petition you have this day expressed your sorrow for your offence, " and acknowledged the justice of your punishment; and thereupon this house, " in its lenity, has ordered that you be now " brought to the bar to be reprimanded " and discharged. I have now, therefore, " to reprimand and admonish you, as a " warning to others, that this house doth " and will resent it as a high offence in any " man who shall presume to slander its cha-" racter and conduct, and endeavour to degrade it in the public estimation. You "being now-reprimanded, it is my duty " further to acquaint you, that you are dis-

Mr. Whitbread moved, " That what Mr. Speaker hath said in reprimanding Peter Stuart be entered on the Journals of this

. [VOTE OF THANKS TO THE COMMIS-SIONERS OF NAVAL ENQUIRY.]-Mr. Sheman, by which committee the publication in | ridan, in rising pursuant to his notice, observed, that if there were any persons in the libel. A motion was afterwards made, that house who were indisposed to concur in the motion which he should have the lignour to submit to the house, who should come with reluctance to a vote of approbation respecting the conduct of the Commissioners of Naval Enquiry, he could not but consider the discussion unlucky that had rendered it necessary for him to rise at that late hour. He served, that he did not apply what he said trusted, however, that it would not be ne-

of the house, and as he thought that the important distinction to be attended to, general feelings of the house, and of the country at large, were with him, it would, perhaps, be the best mode to submit his motion without other comment or preface than simple reference to the Reports on the table. But as the right hon, the chancel or of the exchequer had expressed his disapprobation of the conduct of the commissioners as in some instances unbecoming, it would be necessary for him to preface his motion with a few observations. But he should not dwell on the subject at any length, as he trusted that the right hon. gent. if he did not retract would at least not press his objections to the motion. Notice was given of the right hon, gent,'s intention to renew the commission. Surely, if the commissioners were to be re-appointed. they ought to have distinctly pointed out to their what appeared erroneous or unbecoming in their proceedings, that such errors might, in future, be avoided. Knowing, then, that some objections did exist, it was necessary for him to request the attention of the house for a few moments previous to his submitting his motion. It was almost needless for him to state what were the circumcances under which the commissioners had been appointed. If they had done their blishment of the commission, and no sooner to investigate the abuses of the heads of member of the house could be found to great departments, to look men in high situ- second it. Such was the situation of the ations in the face, and, acting with firmness commissioners almost immediately posterior and intrepidity, to expose abuses wherever to their appointment. The house would they fell under, the range of their inquiries, 'also bear in mind that the right hon, gent, commissioners who had been appointed tion positively accusing lord St. Vincent of his duty to call the attention of the house. here it would be easily seen, that the comhim to read the terms of that commission, vantageous circumstances, considering that to be convinced of his erroneous view of its the character of him under whose auspiges nother and extent. He had attended to that they were to act, was thus directly attacked. recommendation; he had fully considered on the score of incapacity. To this attack the terms under which the commissioners a total change of administration succeeded; were to act, and his opinion remained un- and here again the commissioners had to changed. A full power was indeed given contend with new difficulties—they were to to the commissioners to make the fullest investigation, and no fifth clapse existed to shelter any one from answering whatever questions were put to him, even if the anagrees should have a tendency to cri- the right, hon, gent, on his return to office minate hinself. There was, however, an they had little hope of encouragement. He

and that was, while the principals in the different offices were to remain unmolested, the whole weight of inquiry or consure was to fall on the subordinate officers. Indeed the right hon, gent,'s act was one of the most liberal, polite, and well bred acts of parliament that ever was framed. It took it for granted that it was impossible for a principal in an office to be guilty even of the least irregularity; but he might be the willing and active auxiliary of the commissioners, by compelling all those under him to give them whatever information they required. Thus came the right hon. gent.'s commissioners to the execution of the duties committed to their care, and he had surely no occasion to remind the house under what circumstances the commissioners of naval enquiry entered on their dutie. When their appointment was first proposed, it was contended, even by some hon, gent. on his side of the house, that the appointment was unnecessary; and it was artfully insinuated through the country, that it was not a board of commissioners, but a body of inquisitors, that was about to be established. It was well known, that lord St. Vincent was the person who proposed the estaduty vill, they had done so under peculiar were they appointed than the character of encurprances, and in opposition to formid-; his fordship was on all hands assailed; vasble difficulties. They were not called on gious motions were threatened, and one to investigate the small peccadillos of clerks bon, baronet had brought forth a positive and underlings in office; they were required t charge, though, unfortunately, not one Very different indeed was the task of the opposite (Mr. Pitt) brought forward a mounder the auspices of the right hon, gent, improper and negligent conduct in the adto which, on a former day, he had felt it ministration of the affairs of the navy: An I carry on their inquiries when all those on whose support and encouragement much reliance was to be placed, were removed from office. Indeed, from the language of

which their own reports explained, or that even in the office of the treasurer of the navy, which was as it were the flag-ship to ail the other offices, they should begin to experionce the most serious difficulties in the execution of their duty. What then appeared on the face of their reports? Qu the 10th of July they send a precept to the treasurer of the navy (Mr. Canning), requiring the production of certain documents essential to the proper prosecution of their inquiries, and receiving no answer, they repeat the precept on the 17th of the same month. The 2d of October arrives, and commissioners acting under the authority of thus be trifled with? Was any gentleman, however high his influence or extensive his power, to set their authority on a subject of such vast importance at utter defiance? Yet all these difficulties had been overcome by \ the indefatigable zeal, diligence and fortitude of the commissioners. The house would keep in view that, with respect to the distribution of the public money, three great duties were to be performed. In the first instance, the house had to grant supplies adequate to the exigencies of the public services, founded on proper estimates laid regularly before them by the express command of his majesty. The ways and means to provide this supply were next to be considered; and it was the object of the house to lay the burthens on the people at large

had then declared that, from all he had seen, and inefficient, and this was the mole in and all he had heard, or known, every one of which the votes of the house were to be his it asons for attacking the administration applied. The two fast of these dutie: of the noble lord had been confirmed, could only be exercised by the house itself; and the commissioners were to act under but it was frequently the custom of the house the impression that the conduct of the no- 19 delegate the other to commissioners; and ble lord who had established them was perfectainly if such commissioners were apremptorily condemned. With all these pointed, it became a matter of the highest difficulties, with all these underiable disad-interest to see that they were implicitly vantages, they had, however, proceeded obeyed. If the house delegated to certain with intrepidity, and the public saw what individuals the most important of its powwas the result. The grand obstacle in the ers, it was proper that these individuals way of their inquiries was derived from the should be searable that they were to meet most extraordinary use to which the fifth, with ample encouragement and support, clause of the bill under which they acted Without confidence, their labours for the had been applied. It was quite clear that discovery or the correction of abuse, could the commissioners never expected that this never produce any satisfactory result. At claise should be applied to the purposes this moment, there was a notice of the renewal of the commissioners of naval inquiry, and it was therefore proper that it should be fully understood in what light their conduct was regarded by those who had such means of giving their conduct and inquiries full efficiency. It was not sufficient to say that their conduct was, on the whole, worthy of approbation; or, that there was more to praise than to blune in their exertions for the discovery and correction of abuses. • The commissioners were professedly selected out of the talents, the respectability, and the worth of the country, and it ought, therefore, to be fairly unprevious to that period his right hon, friend derstood, whether their conduct was not had given the commissioners no sort of in-ladmitted to be such as to entitle them to formation. Was it then to be endured, that | unequivocal approbation and confidence, If this was not allowed by t ose who were the three branches of the legislature should to bring forward the proposition for the ronewal of the commission, then he himself must insist, that though the commission was renewed, other commissioners ought to be appointed. It was ridiculous to ay, that if they were unfit, other gentlemen fully qualifted for the task could not, be procused. The right hon, gent, was bound to state his opinion fairly to the house, for he himself was resolved that the re-appointment of the commissioners should take place under no compromise.—Having said this, he wished briefly to direct the attention of the house, to what the commissioners had done, to what remained for them, to do, and then it, would be seen whether they ought to be reappointed. The attention of the house had been so much attracted to the tenth report, that the other reports of the commissioners in a manner which would operate with as had been passed over with comparatively little severity as possible. The third duty small notice. In the other reports, it was, of the house was one, however, still more true that nothing of so high game was, important, and one without which the other disclosed, but many gross trauds had been two would be in a great measure pugatory brought to light, many important improve.

them the ability, the diligence, the uncon- pounds, whereas or examination it appeared what each of the reports contained. In the notsufficiently elucidated, enough was stated first report it was ascertained that in the to show that gross trands had existed. On offices in Jamaica connected with the navy, the tenth it was needless for him to enlarge, the public, through the negligence and fraud, as it had sufficiently attracted the attention of one of the officers, sustained a loss of of the house and the public. The eleventh about thirty-six thousand pounds in bills, report he should not now insert, as it was to and upwards of one hundred and thirty five be the subject of a specific motion by an thousand pounds from lavich waste, and hon, and learned friend of his on no very other causes arising from the manner in distant day. He begged leave, however, in which business was conducted. The se-the mean time, to call the attention of the could report referred to the mismanagement, house to this report, as one of very high imof the chest at Chatham, and displayed a portance, as one which disclosed transac-scene of the most infamous peculation. The tions equally contrary to law and to fair conrewards allotted to our brave scamen for stitutional principles, as were indeed calcuthen meritorious exections in defence of all lated to give a vital stab to the credit and that is dear to freemer, had been found to character of the country.—Having thus have been most shamefully misapplied. In gone through the different reports, all of many cases the harpies of agents had appro- which evinced the most commendable diliprised nearly thirty four per cent. of what gence and zeal, the hon gentleman next was intended to assuage the sufferings of specified what yet remained for examinathose who had bled in the cause of their tion. There still remained the proceedings country. The necessity of entering an ap- of the navy board, the victualling, the trunspearance once in three years, even from port, and the sick and hart boards. Of the Ireland and the most discort parts of the necessity of investigating this Is t board, no island, only to ascertain whether veterans doubt could exist, after what an hon, admiral and invalids had grown younger, was properly exposed by the commissioners, and a latherto unexplored, this was the most suitable remedy had been provided. The grossly corrupt. The dock-y ids abroad, third report was on the infamous over- too, opened a wide field for inquiry; he charges in the dock yards. The house and believed, in many cases, it would be found the public would form a pretty good idea that charges of nineteen shillings a ton were on the subject, when they were given to made, when four shillings and twopened understand that, in one article, there was a covered the whole expence. The ordenneecharge of one thousand and forty pounds, department, also, would come in for it. when before the commissioners at was found share of investigation; in many cases gross per provision made for invalids in the navy, in this transaction; and, last of all, they had were fully exposed; and the sixth very to enquire into the expenditure and abuses clearly shewed that vast sums were charged of the money which ought to be approfor work done in the dock yards, not one priated for the benefit of Greenwich hos third of which was ever performed. The pital, that noble and beneficent asylum for commissioners stated a sum of nearly eight our brave and gallant seamen, after they

ments had been recommended. In all of thousand eight, hundred and fifty-three querable fortitude of the commissioners had that somewhat more than five hundred been eminently conspicuous. It would not pounds was the real amount. The ninth be necessary for him to go into any detail, report related to the receipt of stores in but it was proper just to give a sketch of Plymouth dock, and though the matter was that thirty-four pounds was the fair price. frauds were committed, and there was one These evils had been sifted to the bottom, case where fifty thousand stand of arms were and the gross frauds of those who were fat- purchased, after surveyors, properly uptening on the public would now happily be pointed, had declared them unfit for service, prevented. In the fifth report the evils of They had also to enquire how far the officerthe sixpenny office, so assential to the pro- in the treasury knew of, or had any feeling hundred thousand pounds, one-third of have lost their limbs, exhausted their con-which could not be accounted for in a satis-titutions, or worn out the best part of their factory manner. In the seventh report a lives in the service of their king and councase was stated of the repairs of the Ama- trv. If they have such material business ranthe, in Woolwich dock-yard, where the still to perform, which cannot be done withexpence had been carried as high as three out a continuation of that zeal, firmness,

ability, and fortitude, which they have ex- the commissioners should be thanked now erted on every former occasion; after the for their past service, he should not oppose slurs and insinuations which have been thrown out against their conduct, it became peculiarly incumbent on the house to convince them of the present occasion that, in continuing them in the performance of those arduons duties they had yet to sustain, they might depend upon receiving every encouragement and support which the house could give them. Reform, he said, was a thing which, on all occasions, had been most odious; but at present there was such a host of contractors, jobber, and other de-· scriptions of persons, who were used to derive advantage from the improvident expenditure of the public money, that the outery against the commissioners, and the resistance made to their efforts for the public service, were such as had never been experienced at any antecedent period. On these considerations, he thought, that if any material objection were brought by the right hon, gent, or others, against the vote of thanks he was about to move, the house ought not by any means to encourage the idea of their re-appointment to the same commission. But, if there were no such objections, it was the duty of the house to stand forward, and to say, with that commanding force which should be heard from one end of the kingdom to the other, that these commissioners have hitherto nobly and honourably executed the high and important trust reposed in them by the house; and that in what they have hereafter to do, the house will chearfully support them to the utmost extent of their power. would not, therefore, detain the house any did accordingly to the following purport:— "That it appears to this house, that the Commissioners appointed by an act of the 43d of the king, to enquire into the irregularities and abuses committed by persons employed in the several naval departments, have, as far as appears from their reports hitherto made, exerted themselves with great diligence, ability, and fortitude, and that the whole of their conduct, in the execution of the arduous duties intrusted to them, is intitled to the approbation and thanks of this house."—The motion being put from the chair,

to object to the motion, but to offer a few general observations on what had fallen from the hon, member in the course of his speech. If the sense of the house was, that it was equally apparent, that had such op-

the proposition; but he could not help thinking that there existed no precedents for such a mode of proceeding. The precedent of the vote of thanks given to the commissioners of accounts did not apply, because these commissioners had not only given in a number of reports; but the reports had been fully canyassed by a committee of the house. That committee had reported on the statements which the reports contained, and it was on this that the vote of thanks was grounded. In this case, however, only one report had been minutely considered, and therefore he put it to the house, whether the vote of thanks would not be much fuller and much more comprehensive, if it were given after the reports were fully considered and digested. This he put to the consideration of members, though, as he had already declared, he should not press for any division of the house on the subject. As to the call which the hon, member had made on him for a clear statement of his objections to certain parts of the conduct of the commissioners, he felt it necessary to male a few observations. He fully admitted, that commissioners appointed to examine into great public abuses, ought to exhibit a projer degree of firmness as well as zed; but there was a mode of exhibiting that, which was at all times to be attended to. The truth was to be got at by all possible means, but the character of individuals should be as little as possible precluded from a fair opportunity of full discussion or subsequent vindication. In several cases a prejudice was created longer than to read his motion, which he against individuals, before the report was fairly before the legislature, and therefore he thought, that any surmises to the prejudice of individuals which had transpired before the reports were completed, were not to be justified. He objected also, that the reports were drawn up and presented, without allowing individuals accused to justify their conduct, or indeed fully to understand in what light the report would place their character. The commissioners had a right to use every means to come at the truth; but then it was surely not inconsistent with the most rigid inquiry to call on individuals to exclain their own evidence. It appeared The Chancellor of the Exchequer rose, not that those commissioners refused the opportunity of explanation to several persons whose names were mentioned, whose characters were affected by their reports; and

knowledge of the first lord of the admiralty. the impression would have nevergone abroad line had alluded to. said to come within any notion of propriety. It was that which in the eighth report, alluded to the conduct of a grand pury. A person was charged with stealing casks at] Plymouth, and a bill of indictment was preterred against him. This bill was thrown out by the grand jury, and the olicitor who was employed to conduct the prosecution, wrote to the victualling office to say that the grand jury was tampered with. Upon the authority of this letter those commissioners thought proper to publish such a statement in the eighth report. Was it right to throw out missioners to whom the motion before the such an insinuation as this to the public; and house referred. Indeed, if the facts were particularly upon such grounds? Was it well founded, which he laid before the discreet, was it fair, to charge a respectable [house precisely in the manner they were body of men with perjury? for the charge amounted to nothing less. Those commissioners were also accused of having improperly alledged that the high price of stores cessary to enable parliament and the counwhich was complained of in the West In- try to decide upon the characters of men dies, was owing to the neglect of the navy whom their reports affected, he would leave board to send out stores for the service of hit to the house to judge of the propriety of our fleets in that quarter in due time, ac- adopting a vote of unqualified thanks to cording to their duty. Now it appeared such a commission. With such information that the navy board had not omitted to at before him, however, he hoped the house tend to their duty in this case. For they would feel satisfied that the exceptionals had sent out two ships in proper time laden | had thought it his duty to make to these with stores, which ships were lost, and to commissioners did not rest on light grounds; that loss was attributable the high price of that there was nothing in it so warm, instores which was complained of. This fact temperate and unjust as some gentlemen

portunity been afforded, the characters of commissioners; and if co it certainly ought such men would not have suffered. This to have been stated. If the fact were so, was particularly the case of the comptroller; the house would judge whether any charge of the navy, who stood charged in one of attached to the navy board, and whether the reports on the table, with having, con- the commissioners acted fairly in attempting trary to his duty, applied money voted for to impute it. When they reported the fact the navy, to other services, without the respecting the price of stores in the West Indies, and undertook to ascribe that evil to Upon this head, it was now obvious to the navy board, they ought certainly, in every man, indeed a recent conversation in fairness, to have annexed to that report any that house had made it so, that if the request | communication they had received from that of sir A. Hammond to the commissioners, | board in vindication of its conduct, particuto be allowed to explain, had been granted, larly such a material communication as that The commissioners respecting that officer, which the premature | might say that they had not received this report of the commissioners had created, communication at all. He could only state It was not a little remarkable with reference to the house what he had been informed. to this point, that although lord St. Vincent It had been also told to him that one of the was applied to by the commissioners for ex- commissioners of the navy, Mr. Gambier, planation respecting the Stone Expedition, was examined before the naval commiswhich it was now quite clear was fully sioners, and some of his answer-were inacknown to that noble ford, no opportunity of curately taken down by those commusioners. explanation would be granted to sir Andrew | These inaccuracies were printed and cor-Hammond, notwithstanding his special re-| rected, and yet in the appendix to the request. There, was another part of the con- port, the inaccuracies remain without the duct of those commissioners of rather doubt- corrections. The same complaint was ninde rul propriety, if indeed it could be at all with respect to the testimony of a principal clerk to the navy board. This clerk made a memorandum of all his answers—several of them were found to be incorrectly noted by those commissioners—such incorrectnesses, upon being pointed out by the clerk, were admitted and corrected. Still the omission appears in the appendix. Now those corrections which are omitted are all stated to be material to form a fair judgment of the conduct of the navy board, and, if so, their omission cannot induce a conclusion favourable to the character of the commentioned to him; if these commissioners suppressed any documents with which they were furnished, and which were at all ne was, he understood, communicated to the seemed to imagine. At all event, it could

of indiscriminate approbation, each of the points he had stated should be distinctly and minutely inquired into, and each of the reports before the house fully examined. Still, notwithstanding this impression upon his mind, he would leave it to the feelings of the house to take whatever course it might think proper, but he would not himself press

any particular motion.

Mr. For then rose. He animadverted on the several charges which had been advanced by the right hon, gent, who spoke last against the commissioners of naval enquiry. As to the first, which regarded what the right hon, gent, professed to consider the premature publication of any of the subjects referred to in the several reports from this commission—he could not deem it any fair ground of accusation against the commissioners; for it did not appear that they had designedly given publicity to the rumours referred to. Besides, indeed, whether such things were kept secret or not in the way desired by the right hon, gent. appeared to him to be a mitter scarcely worth notice. As to the case of the comptroller of the may board and his alledged thisappropriation of the money entrusted to his care, the right hon, gent, seemed to think that it opportunity for explanation had been afforded that comptroller, as well as to lord St. Vincent, much confusion would have been avoided, as appeared in a part of the noble | not, they could not be urged against the ford's evidence in the eleventh report. Now, motion 'before the house. But the right for his part, he saw no such confusion. Even hon, gent, had confessed with perfect canwithout the explanation recently offered to the house on this point, he really thought statements that he had made to the house; that nothing could be clearer from the context of the evidence, and from a fair consideration of Lord St. Vincent's answers to the two quertions which were lately the subject of discussion, than that the noble lord was ignorant of the money matters the least doubt. The charges, such as they which formed the gravamen of the charge were, that the right hon, gent, had stated, against the comptroller of the navy. But the right hon, gent, asked why was not this comptroller examined by the commissioners touching this charge, as well as the earl of St. Vincent? He would tell that right hon. gent, that the comptroller of the navy was examined, and that he defended himself from answering questions under the 5th called for by public policy. The snerit of clause. After having done so, then he would the men and the gratitude of the people deprofile to the right hon, gent, whether, if he manded it. In considering the services of himself were one of those commissioners, those commissioners, the state in which they he would propose to examine again him or and lord St. Vincent were placed, was to be any man who so acted? With respect to the taken into account. Much as that noble

scarcely be denied, that previous to a vote | conduct of this commission in the first report, he must observe, that if those statements were well founded, the navy board seemed to have been very passive under the serious imputations cast upon them. They professed to feel themselves very unjustly acquised. The report containing this accusation had lain on the table for nearly two years, since June 1803, and during that period the commissioners of the navy board acquiesced in suffering' the impression against them to circulate. No jealous v as to their character was heard of until within these few days. No inquiry to vindicate their fame was proposed or hinted at. Surcly they could have brought forward a motion had they felt so sorely as is now pretended. That there might be some parts of the conduct of those commissioners fairly to be found fault with was very possible. But yet, if they were culpable only in a small degree, that was no reason to be alledged against the motion. If, however, it appeared that they dealt unfairly by the characters of men, that was a serious charge; and although it might be proper to continue the commission, most certainly the names should be changed. For it doubtless would be absurd to continue those in power whose conduct had been heretofore exceptionable. The exceptions that were made to the commissioners furnished, if well founded, a reason for excluding them from office: and, if dour that he could not youch for any of the and surely then he could not ask the house to rely on them as objections to the motion? That those commissioners were ready to go to trial against any or all of the charges that could be alledged against them he had not could not in any man's mind be deemed anplicable in any shape against this motion, in opposition to which, indeed, nothing had been offered. The right hon, gent, had not said, nor could he, that this motion was annecessary. It was necessary to satisfy the general opinion of the country. It was statements of the right hon, gent, as to the lord had deserved and obtained by the glo-

scarcely in any of them a more difficult task to perform, than in originating this commission, which had to maintain itself in almost every stage of its progress against the operation of power, influence, and authority. With a degree of fortitude and perseverance that would be at any time landable, but which in their peculiar circumstance was extraordinary, they pursued de linquency through all the obstructions of high office, and against all the frowns of power; and they succeeded in detecting and exposing the criminality of one of the

principal officers of the crown.

The Chanceltor of the Exchequer stated, that the navy board had not tainely submitted to the imputations cast upon their characters by the first report, as the hongent, imagined. For, according to a memorial presented to the house on the 5th of April, on this subject, from the comptroller of the navy, it appeared, that immediately after the publication of the report alluded to, the hon, baronet intimated his wish to these commissioners, that he should be examined afresh, in order to do away, what he conceived, unfounded aspersions on his character, but this the commissioners de-The hon, baronet then drew up a memorial to that house, which he meant to present; but, deeming it his duty, he waitthe intention, and stated, that he should consider any such proceeding as a personal affront to himself. The comptroller thought it his duty to conform to the noble lord's desire, and to that was attributable the kind of acquioscence the hon, gent, who had just sat down, alluded to.

Mr. For observed that, even supposing all this statement to be correct, it did not account for the silence of the comptroller of the navy, since lord St. Vincent retired from office. Why not seek the removal of that obloguy, of which he complained, under the lord of the admiralty who succeeded lord St. Vincent? He could not, however, help saying, that this comptroller, in suffering from such a motive as the right hon. gent. had described, so deep a stigma so long to attach to his reputation, betrayed a very criminal complaisance to earl St. Vincent.

Sir Andrew Snape Hammond confirmed the statement of the chancellor of the exchequer, as to the early disposition and soli- respect to the motion before the house, he

rious battles he had fought abroad, he had citude he had shewn to vindicate his character from the imputations thrown upon it by the report alluded to. At the suggestion of a right hon, friend of his (Mr. Rose) he meant to have followed the precedent of the 10th of queen Anne, where an imputation of a similar nature was thrown upon a member of the victualling board, by the report of the committee of that house. It was his intention to move, that the report under consideration should be referred to a committee, and some proceeding taken respecting it, that should afford hun an opportunity of vindicating his character. This course he was prevented from following by lord St. Vincent, who told him upon an interview, that it was for his lordship, and no other person to recommend, when the reports of the naval commission should be taken into the consideration of parliament, as that commission had been originally proposed by him. The hon, baronet remonstrated with his lordship on the obloquy to which his character must be subject in the interim, and appealed strongly to his sense of justice; but in vain, lord St. Vincent would not consent. His right hon, friend (Mr. Rose) also waited on his lordship, but was equally unsuccessful. Advised, however, by his right hon, friend and others; and considering his own situation in the navy, he declined entering into hostilities with the noble lord. ed on lord St. Vincent, to apprize him of He waited in confidence of a full acquittel his intention, and to know his lordship's of his reputation, whenever those reports pleasure. Lord St. Vincent disapproved of should be brought before parliament. Since lord St. Vincent's retirement from the admiralty board, he was given to understand that it was intended to establish a commission of revision, and before that commission, he hoped to establish the injustice of the charges propagated against him. That there might be some irregularities under a department so wide and extensive, as that over which the navy board presided, he was prepared to admit; but he could assure the house, that there was nothing for which the members of that board were more anxious, than a full and fair examination of every part of their conduct, particularly that to which the report of the naval commissioners alluded; all he asked for that board was this, that until such full and fair examination should take place, the house and the country would suspend their judgment upon the charges against them.

Mr. Rose corroborated that part of the speech of the hon, baronet, who had just sat down, which referred to himself. With

he pointed out some parts of the conduct of | notice of the charge against his right hon. house promoders upon them. port. This report almost altogether, con- the system of his pred cessors, who genesisted of a censure upon a most respectable relly distributed contracts among members man, after Taylor, who were one or his conof parliament, or their religions. The right state it. It appeared that Mr. Taylor was home gent concluded with fitting that alan one, of block monticturer. He had though he felt his objections to some parts supplied the pay, with blocks at Chailban of the conduct of this coamics on to be well and Parismo the electic year 170%. Those for aded, still be would not appose the mo-"commit concer the gight proper to proponed of tion, as the sense of the house seemed to be his charges excessive. In cense present a finits favour. pulme a freety ememory south validar esty tracts to serious their spanis - And, would stroller of the next had said a great deal rethe hour bole con, that Mr. Pavlor, under this also asserted, obtained the Chatham entract at toper cent more than his! for a real area of which the Commissioners of Navat In purvice aplauted; and Mr. I deny the substance of the report? The real Dunst medic obtain dathe consent for Ply- facts, he would declare, were a thousand mouth, at 5 per cent, above Mr. Taylor's times worse than the statement; and he price,—such then were the trand-detected, wished the gross negligence of the hon, baand the retrenels icuts produced by these roner's colleagues had not been whit it was. commusioners. But what must still more the must complain of the untair proceeding surprise the House, the commissioners of gentlemen in arguing upon the authority were aware of those facts before they made of papers scarcely laid before the house, and their report, for they took place two months without notice. With respect to the lecture that how . Yet no part of the censure of opposite to him, he would say a word or Mr. I were was abated,--- Every part of this two. When a confract is entered into, the statement me right hon, and, said hower party contacting to than the an article is ready to prove at the bar, it required. There bound by the stipal ded price, whether the was enother charmstane with reject to article use, or not; and he maintained, that this much abused man, which he begged to an application to raise the price of blocks, mention. This thicks were stated to be of an interior quality. He had a reval, a Mr. Carrett. The blocks of bota there rivals were compared by a special commission in 1791, and those or Faylor were declared to be decidedly an ener. Capt. Nicholl, who saired in the Formidable, took Garnett's blocks on one side of his ship, and Taylor's on the other. I'm after he and returned, ! and was about to set out on another cruize, he gave orders to have his ship furnished with no other blocks than those of Taylor's. —As to the fraud respecting 13,0001, worth of wood, which the right hom mover had alluded to, Taylor had nothing to do with it. Nor had those commissioners any merit in stanville got 10 per cent, above the price detecting it; for that merit belonged to the Navy Board, who had found out and were prosecuting this fraud before the naval commission existed. The right hon, gent, took | be fully enswered. VOL. IV.

the Nasat Commissioners, which did not in friend, respecting the patronage of con-his extraon patro the unqualified paness is tractors, and contended that it had been which the hone mover would have the the policy religion of lar right hone friend's The con-jadministration, to prevent the system of duct wine's he deemed particularly except contracts altogether, and to leave such tomable was to be found in then third re- things to the infferent boards, contrary to

Admiral Mirkham sid, the hon, compspecting the memorial of the Navy Board, and the justification they would have set up it not counteracted by bird St. Vincent. He would ask the hon, baronet if he would now before that report was laid on the wisle of on blocks, delivered by a right bon, gent. which was the case, ann illed the contract. That Taylor was thought to have a good barg in of his contract was plan, because Duastan sille at Plymenth gave him ten per cent, for permission to lave the article at the price of his contract. The contracts for blocks still go on, to the great loss of the public money, although the machines at Postsmouth are sufficient to supply the demand of the whole nation. When the war broke out, contracts were made for a short time; but that was for want of lignum vitre. And they were not then intended to be con-

> Mr. Rose said, in explanation, what Dungiven to Mr. Taylor.

> Sir A. Hammond observed that, when the report came to be fully considered, it would The machine made

blocks of an inferior size, of ten inches; the words. He was ready to repeat, that but as to the making of large blocks, it had in his mind the motion so amended would

made no progress.

Mr. Jeffery (of Poole) contended, that whatever might be the portion of praise to which the Naval Commissioners might be supposed to be entitled, he was still bold enough to say, that the affairs of the navy were never worse conducted than during the administration of lord St. Vincent; not only the navy, but the whole of the commercial! part of the community were loud in their complaints against it. (A general cry of) hear ! hear !....chair ' chan ')

The Speaker observed, that the line of argument of the hon, gent, did not apply to

the question now before the house.

Mr. Welberforce heartily joined in ap proving the conduct of the Commissioners of naval enquiry, and thought them fully entitled, upon their general conduct, to the thanks of the home, and of their country; but at the same time he must request, in mercy to those who had not fully informed themselves of the contents of all the reports, that the words "the whole" of the conduct ment proposed by Mr. Wilberforce, but of the commissioners, might be omitted in the motion. There were now eleven of the reports, many of them extending to considetable length, before the house, and one of them had as yet been examined. scarcely any but the tenth had yet under-{ There were even several papers now below gone a due investigation. omission of these words would give more could not vet have been examined, but meaning to the vote of the house, and at which, however, were represented as in the same time render it more worthy the gently calling for examination. The vote. acceptance of those whom it was intended therefore, in his mind, should not be ge to commend, than if it stood in the manner | neral, as fresh materials might be rection be in which it was originally proposed. The Commissioners had undoubtedly detected very great abuses, and had thereby rendered very signal service to the country; and on that ground he felt them entitled to the thanks of the house and the gratitude of the country. He hoped, however, that nothing he now offered to the consideration of the house would produce any division of opinion; for he threw out the consideration merely to guide the conduct of the house, not in the least to detract from the merits of Much of their pro the commissioners. ceedings yet remained to be examined and not entitled to thanks. He thought that any discussed; and it might, perhaps, hereafter occur, that something would be considered in a light that might induce the house to express an opinion contrary to what the present motion called upon them unreservedly to declare. This was the only motive that prompted him to suggest the omission of

have not only a more distinct meaning, but must prove more acceptable to the commissioners themselves. Under that impression he should now move that the words "the whole of" be struck out of the motion. The question so amended, being put from the chair,

Lord Herether said, although he approved of the conduct of the commissioners, if was too far to approve of it in all its detail, as it they acted by divine authority, and could not err.

Mr. Rose thought the original motion would be rendered much less exceptionable by the proposed amendment, but said it would be still more eligible, if the wora-" so far as yet appears," were omitted; since the whole of their reports, save only the 10th, had not yet undergone any examination.

Mr. Vilberforce thought the last proposed

alteration wholly unnecessary.

 The Attorney General approved the amend should think it still better, with if e addinor of that proposed by Mr. Ross. There was cleven reports before the house, and only Indeed the the house quite fresh from the press, which produced in defence of those whose characters were affected by the reports of the commissioners. As the amendment scemed to him to improve the motion in the sense which he thought it should be received, it should have his concurrence.

Mr. For said, certainly the original mo tion of his hon, friend near him (Mr. Sheri dan) meant to approve the whole conduct of the commissioners. He could not agree to the amendment, because, being entered on the journals, it would imply an opinion, that the whole of the commissioners' conduct was expression of general approbation of their conduct must mean the whole, and, therefore, he liked the motion neither the better nor the worse for the omission of that work. If the house did not mean to express full approbation of the conduct of the commissioners, or to say this was not the time, let

could assent to no amendment that could be deemed an abatement to the object of the for the original motion, or even for its original motion. Many of the reports of the commissioners had been above a year before the house, and yet no fault was found with them by gentlemen on the other side, nor any proposal made for their investigation. Even the tenth report was not fully considered, but was referred for faither consideration to the select committee up stairs. It was well known that carpings, cavillings, and malicious insinuations had been directed against the conduct of those commissioners, by persons whom their vigilance had detected and exposed. The motion of his hon, friend was designed to refute those calumnies by a general declaration of thanks From what had fallen from the house. from a right hon, gent (Mr. Pitt), early in the debate, he was taught to believe there was no very material objection to the motion. If the house, then, did thank them, let it be done liberally and generally, or not at all.

Mr. Langham thought the motion of thanks altogether unnecessary; as well as untimely, inasmuch as the vote of a majority upon a former night, in approbation of the tenth report, was already a vote of approbation; and because a much better opportunity for expressing the sense of the house generally upon the conduct of the commissioners, would occur when they had concluded the labours entrusted to them, neither which, nor the period of their appointment, were yet terminated. Why had there been no motion of thanks proposed to them on the seventh or ninth report as well as now? He could not think the commissioners themselves really wished for any thank for any consequence resulting from their enquiries. Such a vote, he thought, would rather have the effect of biassing the commissioners, and involving them in those party differences which seemed to exist in that house. Besides, the commissioners, in any thing they had done, had only complied with duties to which they were bound by oath, and it would not be holding them very high to thank them for doing a duty to which they were solemnly sworn.

Sir William Flyord agreed in the construction given by an hon. gent. (Mr. Fox), that a vote of general thanks to the conduct of the commissioners must mean the whole, and, therefore, not approving their whole conduct, though he highly commended many parts of it, and conceiving their re- their conduct reflected the highest honour

them reject the motion altogether; but he ports in many instances as going to censure persons non coram judice, he could not vote amendment. He did expect some person would have moved the previous question, ton which he should have voted, conceiving it better to retain the approbation or disapprobation of the house until a future occasion, when the merits or demerits of the commissioners' conduct would be fairly balanced.

Lord Henniker again rose, and said, though he approved the conduct of the commissioners, yet it had not yet been so scrutinized as to warrant a decisive vote upon it. Thinking, therefore, that both sides of the house should pause before they came to any de ision, he concluded by moving the question of adjournment.

Mr. Coke (of Norfolk) thought the original motion of his hon, friend deserved the fullest concurrence of the house. There seemed to prevail on the opposite side of the house a singular degree of cagerness to get rid of the original motion. One mem ber moved one amendment; another suggested a second; and a third urged the question of adjournment. But to his mind, the commissioners had done their duty as honest men; by their vigilance and firmness a noble lord had been detected in peculation, malversation, and every species of misconduct that could degrade him. As a plain, honest man, he should give his cordial support to the original motion.

Mr. Bond desired, most unequivocally, to declare his most cordial approbation of the conduct of the commissioners. He never would wish to screen delinquency wherever it was to be found; and if he were to vote otherwise than in the most hearty support of the original motion, he must vote in violation of his own feelings, and the principles which had uniformly guided his conduct to the best of his judgment: but though he fully agreed in general thanks and approbation of the conduct of the commissioners, vet he did not thereby mean implicitly to approve every thing they might have done. What they recommended, it would be for the judgment of the house to decide upon; but in declaring general approbation of what they had done, the house would not bind itself to agree to every thing they recommended. Some trivial charges had been alledged against them: but, had any thing been said by them that did not mark zeal and ability? For his own part, he was convinced that

2 P 2

upon them, and had produced the greatest land perulation-was the order of the day, it benefits to their country.

schaments expressed by the learned gent. Indenene, we those to whom enquiry was who spoke last, and he most cordially con-Identical, and who acquitted topic dety with curred in them.

Mr. Gregor was rather inclined to vote inc. of hone a men. for the amendment.

dustriously propagated, against them by his motion; and particularly so, as it had persons in power, whose conduct, they had fine t with objections from a quarter for shewn, deserved dismissal from their offices, which he cutertained the leglest respect. house, clearly pointing out the continues he worded he motion in that comprehenand delinquency of many of those persons, hive way. His reason was, that difficulties and he should be glad to know what had of the most extraor inner more were, at been done towards bringing those persons every instant, interposed between those to task. From those reports it also appeared gentlemen and the riduous dety in which that boards were still continued in authority, they were employed, and he therefore under whom the grossest peculations had thought no acknowledgment to them could been committed and connived at. If those be too stron? When they were encounboards were still continued, what security tered at every step by all the acts and subhad the country that the same abuses would terfuges of that corruption which they were not be repeated? He had heard of some appointed to detect, it was the duty of the gentlemen called on by those commissioners house, who e-ministers they were, to mark for necessary information in the line of their their apprebation of their conduct, and their official duty, and yet refusing to answer, and determination to support them in the most screening themselves under the pretended comprehensive and cray natic manner. When meaning or defects of the act: a conduct on hon, gent, rise, and declares in his place, at which an honest man would shudder.

in the present circumstances of the nation, that he proposed that the than sof the house should be carried to its utmost extent in should be extended to the commissioners in every brench of the public expenditure, as general a way as it could possibly be. This was no party question, but one which He willed to tell them what he, and he equally concerned every side of the Home, was persuaded a great inmostly of the counand every part of the empire. Those who try, felt towards them for their undated knew any thing of Roman history, must re-specieverance and industry. He endeavoured collect, that from the moment a profuse and to mak, in as strong a manner as his powers corrupt expenditure of the public money of expression could command, the obligabegan to be laughed at in the senare, as a trons he owed them for their ability, dilimatter of indifference, the ruin of that emgence, and jortifude (that word he would pire took its date. For his own part he not have spared) in pursuing the arduous cared not who was at the head of the go-vernment, whether a branch of one family them. Their conduct in it was well entitled or of another; whether the representative to the praise of fortitude, a virtue which of my lord this, or the relative of my lord admitted of being as fairly exercised in the that, so long as the affairs of the empire pursuit and detection of abuses, as in those were wisely and honestly administered; situations to which, in its ordinary acceptabut when the public money was in question, it was generally applied. He wished

was the duty of purbanient to institute the Sir John Wrottesley was glad to hear the same to enquery, and to protect, support, the chigeace, the impartiality, and the firm-

Mr. Marshay to e to reply. He trusted Mr. Bastard thought the commissioners the hone would give him credit for having did not only deserve the present thanks, lacted tanks, in the manner in which he but were also entitled to the best support of blought forward the motion. It was, he that house. It was well known that insignould confer, a subject of regist to him, nuations had been made, and reports in that he had inserted the word "whole" in Reports had been laid upon the table of the H was not without mature consideration that that the perion who was the heart and germ Mr. Fuller said, that as a friend to his of that cornaission was unfit to be continued country, and an honest man, he would as a member of it, it was high time indeed most cordially vote for the original motion, for that house to step forward for his proand lie thought no man a friend to his coun- tection. It was upon that ground that he try that did not earnestly wish that enguiry, inserted the word whole in his motion; and and he had som considerable stake in it, to convey to those gentlemen the thanks of

which he was the head. It was full twentyand why, he would ask, did the hon, barn-protection of the house. While they were net acquie ce, during all that time under hunting down corruption and peculation, those imputations? We if that he was deterred by the rebule warch he is said to have received from earl St. Vincent, or that he looked forward to another proceeding which was going on the meant the commission for examining into the civil affairs of the naval department) for satisfaction and justification of He was much misinformed as to the inture of that new commission, if it was to act as a committee of revision, to controll and annul the desisions of a commission appointed by the joint authority of both houses of parliament, and accountable only to them. He could not conceive, that it tould even have been intended to degrade a commission so constituted, by subjecting their labours to be criticised and reversed by a committee of placemen. Did the hon.

the house for their conduct in pursuing the character, because he expected it from a enquiry, for the firmness they had mani- committee who had no power to do it? tested in prosecuting it against all the ob- Another rt. hon, member (Mr. Rose) had also stacles which guilt, and fraud, and avaring, brought forward his charge. Why did not had erected to obstruct them; there was not the house hear of it before? Why were his occasion to have read all the reports to es- feelings so long silent with respect to his timate the merit in that part of their duty: | constituent and friend Mr. Taylor, who, it it was sufficient to know that they met with seems, had fallen, by some means, under opposition from every quarter; and that, the censure of the commission? What pre-- notwithstanding, they persevered and suc- vented him from making it the subject of bours we before the house and the country, his deheavy he supposed. It was really too exciting the admiration and gratitude of both. I much, when the noble ford who proposed He had also another motive for wording his that commission professed himself ready to motion in the way he did. He wished to meet every charge which could be made compel the right hon, gent, on the opposite against him, that he, as well as the membench, to state to the house precisely and bers of that commission, should be assailed postucely why he objected to the conduct from so many quarters. When a member of the commission is of naval enquiry? He has the indiscretion, to say no worse of it, to had done so; he had stated them candidly faise in his place, and unblushingly proclaim, and temperat le, the was happy to avail that earl St. Vincent was the worst lord of hiuself of the few opportunities the right the admiralty that had ever appeared at the non. q ot. ahorded him of commending head of the raval department of the country, hum), and alter all what did they amount to? It was the duty of the house to mark their one or the most important was, that they reprobation of the sentiment. Was it fit-chized a previous it had been tampered ting, he would call upon the house to dewith, that was no new charge. He recol- clare, that the noble lord should be attacked lest data having been brought forward by [in that vile way? Was it just that his hoan hon, baronet or W. Elford) before, who know, he would say the honour of the coun-Greatened to make it the subject of a mo- try (for the honour of that noble lord was a tion in that houre, but who was finally part, and a proud part, of the glory and obly ed to give it up, because he could get the honour of the country) should be thus no on to second his motion. Another bon, Struck at and wounded in that base and inmemori (str. A. Hammond) had complained (glorious manner? The blow, which was apof reflections which had been the own out in parently directed against the commissioners one of the is ports, a junst that bound of of navel enquiry, was in truth, and in fact, levelled at that noble lord. The commistwo months since that report was made; Isioners had a claim upon the gratitude and and pyr-uing them through all their foul and secret retreats and lurking places, they were entitled to all the encouragement which the legislature could hold out to them. He should be sorry that the motion he proposed should occasion any diversity of opinion; he wished to meet the feelings of the house. He would be sorry that any division should arise on a motion, which he had hoped would have been almost unanimously acceded to, but he could not consent to abandon the word whole in the manner proposed. He would not be satisfied that the amendment should appear upon the Journals, although he was disposed to meet the ideas of the hon, gent, who proposed it, if he were allowed to amend the motion himself.

Mr. Wilberforce acquiesced in the propomember decline doing early justice to his sition of Mr. Sheridan, and leclared his readiness, with the consent of the house, to litius, communicated to them the resolution withdraw his amendment.—The amend-of the house. The messengers having rement being accordingly withdrawn,

Mr. Sheridan withdrew his original motion, and immediately proposed the following: resolved, "That it appears that the commissioners appointed by an act of the 43d year of his majesty's reign, to enquire and examine into any irregularities, frauds, or abuses, which are and have been practised by persons employed in the several naval departments therein mentioned, have, as far as appears from the reports which they have hitherto made, exerted themselves with great diligence, ability, and fortitude; and that their conduct in the execution of the arduous duties entrusted to them, entitles them to the warmest approbation and encouragement of this house." disclaimed, at the same time, any admission, upon his part, tial any thing had been proved against the conduct of the commissioners, and declared, that the reason why he assented to any modification in the form of his motion was, that gentlemen had not time to examine the reports.—The quesby Mr. Sheridan, when the speaker declared the ayes had it.—Mr. Sheridan next moved the following resolution: -resolved, "That this resolution be communicated by Mr. Speaker to the said commissioners."— Agreed to .- Adjourned.

> HOUSE OF LORDS. Friday, May 3.

attended by several members, brought up a just read, and stated a few cases in the way message from the commons, in substance, of precedent, which, he conceived, bore a desiring their lordships permission to a mem- resemblance to the present. Among these, ber of that house, lord viscount Melville, to the case of the duke of Buckingham, who, attend a committee of the house of commons to whom was referred the consideration of so house of commons, on matters of charge much of the tenth report of the commissioners of naval inquiry as related to the applica- ther proceedings took place on that case on tion of monies imprest to the treasurer of the the 14th of the following month; the result navy to purposes other than the service of was, that their lordships resolved the duke his majesty's navy; and also what commu- should not attend the house of commons. mications were made to the lords commissioners of the treasury, or chancellor of the 1673, in that of the earl of Arlington, who exchequer, respecting the same, &c.—The was also required to attend the house of messengers having withdrawn,

lordships do send an answer to the message just delivered from the commons by messengers of their own." Which being ordered accordingly, the messengers from the commons were ordered to be called in, and now upon the book. In the present inthe lord chance for, with the usual forma- stance, he repeated, though the message did

tired;

Lord Hawkesbury rose to call their lordship's particular attention to the proceeding which had just taken place. He would first move, that the standing order be read by the clerk, which was accordingly done. This order imported that no peer of the realm, a member of that house, should attend the House of commons, or any committee thereof, to answer matters of charge or accusation against themselves, either in person or by their counsel, &c. on pain of being committed to the custody of the black rod, or sent to the Tower during the pleasure of that house. His lordship then observed, that the case which was involved by the recent message from the commons, was one of very considerable and peculiar importance; though no consideration of the kind was expressly referred to in the message, vet, from the votes of the house of commons, which had come to his knowledge upon the subject, there was no doubt but matters of charge and accusation against tion was then put on the motion, as altered lord viscount Melville were connected with the proceeding. He had therefore, with reference to what he had reason to think would take place, enquired respecting such precedents as existed of such cases; and though he met with several, yet he did not feel himself fully informed, or adequately prepared to recommend at present any distinct line, or course of proceeding. He then adverted to the circumstances which gave [LORD MELVILLY.] -- Mr. Leycester, rise to the standing order which had been in March 1626, was required to attend the and accusation against himself. Some far-A case nearly similar occurred in the year commons to answer on matters of accusation Lord Hawkesbury moved "that their against him. In this case, their lordships, after duly considering the case, and though the earl of Arlington himself desired to be examined, refused their consent, and subsequently resolved upon the standing order

not directly mention matter of accusation | against lord viscount Melville, yet he could | not avoid observing (not meaning however to give any decided opinion upon the subject) that it expressly referred to the tenth | report of the commissioners of naval inquiry, a copy of which was on their lordship's table, and which contained matters of grave and serious accusation against that noble lord. This report, he could collect from the votes of the commons, was referred to a select committee, who were desired to examine into several points contained therein; and, among others, into the application of public monies entrusted to the treasurer of the havy to other services than those of the navy. A proceeding of this kind, so set torth, although it may prove, upon due enquiry and explanation, to be even a meritorious line of conduct, yet, prima jucie, it was to be regarded in the light of matter of necusation; therefore, from what evidence they had before them, derived from the re-! port upon the table, and the votes of the hou e of commons, it might in the first instance be inferred that the intention was to examine the noble viscount upon points which may form matter of accusation against bimself. Under these circumstances, particularly referring to the standing order, it was incumbent upon the house seriously to take the case into consideration before an answer should be sent to the commons. He already stated he had not his mind fully made up as to the most proper course to be adopted. He thought that some time, avoiding all unnecessary delay, should be given to noble lords to consider and to enquire as to the precedents in such cases. He hoped, lowever, under the circumstances of the case, and its peculiar importance, there: would be no objection, no difficulty in referring it to the consideration of a committee; of privileges, and that the clerk be ordered to furnish them with such precedents or similar cases as may have occurred.

Farl Durnley thought the noble secretary of state should be sure of proceeding upon strong grounds before he did what night appear at least as throwing difficulties in the way of public justice; and it appeared to him rather extraordinary that a member of his majesty's government should be the first to come forward with such a proposition, in a case of such a delicate and peculial nature as the present. At any rate, he hoped their lordships would avoid all unnecessary delay, and come, as soon as possible, to a resolution upon the point.

Lord Hawkerbury, in explanation, begged leave to express his astonishment at what had tallen from the noble earl. He was at a loss to conceive how it could possibly be inferred from what he said, that he entertained the least idea of throwing any difficulties in the way of public justice.

The Duke of Nonfolk urged that several peers had at different times attended committees of the house of commons for the purpose of giving them information with respect to subjects under their consideration. He admitted, however, in answer to a question of lord Hawkesbury across the table. that there was no matter of accusation against any of the peers he had alluded to. He was perfectly aware that their lordships could not compel ford Melville to attend a committee of the house of commons; but he conceived there could be no difficulty, if that noble lord was willing to attend, in giving him permission to do so. He wished to know if there was any precedent subsequent to 1673 applicable to this case?

Lord Hawkeshury observed, that his motive in moving a reference to the committee

was to search for precedents.

The Lord Chanceller contended for the propriety, in every point of view, of upholding and maintaining the privileges of their leidship's hou e. It was the bounden duty of every member of that house to maintain them. What had been advanced by the noble dake was nothing at all to the present question. It signified nothing, with reference to the standing order, whether a peer be willing or not to attend. The order was peremptory and explicit, and prohibited such attendance, under severe penalties. He believed there was no instance of a peer's being permitted to attend, where matters of a cusation against him were under consideration. The noble and learned lord referred to some precedents before the order was made, in illustration of what he had advanced. In a case, in the year 1628, a permission had been granted in respect to an act of parliament then recently passed, and a correspondent entry was made upon the Journals. In one or two other instances, leave had been given; but, by the resolution solemnly taken in 1673, no member of that house was suffered to attend in such a case, even were he inclined, or even to appear by his counsel. These were prohibited under the penalties of the custody of the black rod, or commitment to the Tower. For his own part, in such & case, did every subject in his majesty's dontinions think he

to the house in general, and, therefore, to door of lord viscount Melville. His only the country, in stremuously recommending, wish in this case was, that the privileges of nay, even in insisting, with reference to the their lordships should be maintained, and standing order, upon such a proceeding as their standing orders adhered to, and it that recommended by his noble friend, it was with this view, and this alone, he had should be referred to the committee of pri- brought forward his proposition .-- I no movileges, to enquire what had been the for- tion ear the conversed to, and the committee mer practice of the house upon such occasiot privilege, we condered to sit on Mondey sions. He agreed with the noble lords, for the jurpose of taking the subject into that no delay should take place.

The Duke of Norfolk explaned, that, in his opinion, where the will of the partie i quired, and the permission of the hoard ed, with a view to the ends of public in tiec. the cite of London in control a cushed delay would be suffered to take place.

served, that he did not object to the matter? petinen into consideration -Mr. Levec to being referred to a commutee of privileges, lintorned the hour from the nat, that put of state did in the present in tence.

in a case like the present, it was more providing part of read to them, in order to his beexceptionable line of proceed and and hely venously transcribed as found in lay and a somewhat bastily used.

vernment, for the reason he had before stated; at the same time he should not object to referring the matter to the committee of privileges.

Lerd Huwkesbury felt himself called upon, in consequence or what had been said and repeated by the noble lord, to say a lew words in vin lication of his own coaduct. It was very true that he was a member of his maje iv's government; but he did not forget, at the squae time, that he was also a member of their lordship's house, and as such he should have been wanting to had their privileges and their standing orders, quire into the different departments of the was conscious of no wish or intention to public expendature therein mentioned, and

was acting wrong, he should perform his thiow any objectes in the way of any induty to himself, to all their locosings, and prestigation instituted with regard to the con-Leonsideration. -- Adjourned

> HOUSE OF COMMONS, Trate, Mey 3.

combined, the case was materially aftered | [Mryorr]--- I no sherves of London In many color it was highly desirable tout presented at the berefact of the periton of the solid every possible information should be afford farious, decemen, and coamon source de-He repeated for hope that no unnecessity, going the province to Catholic petitions lay would be suffered to take place. I now could be to too the house.—Ordered to hard Doonley, in further explanation, obline on the take oil the day for taking said He had only expressed his doubts of the pro- | snang to their end of the lead water on the priety of a greatly of his majesty sign een-flord with the a resist to their lord high. ment coming forward, as the noble secretary that they would prome had viscount of the | ville to attend on comment to The Duke of Montrose contended, that consider further or so matter of the tente liarly the duty of a member of the name type of a great and ord, and that their lordships had government to come forward, and enders provided the material order and deir answer vour to point out the most correct and up the ances are constant as own—All believes must say, that he more in an empression a monor reserve to the minuter of verely which had fallen from a not kee al, on a note 1 of helt occur fitted out and stored in his his public friend with endeavouring to threw [majesty's yard during the administration of obstacles in the way of an one to ten in flord St. Vincent.-Mr. There y succented stituted in the house of a many had been whether the how gent, ought not meandour to state the nature of the paper, for which Earl Duraley, in explanation, repetied bis he mainted to move, and whether, it he regret, that this mount had been brought intended to make them the ground of charge forward by a member of his majesty's got upon the noble call, it would not be more fair at once to more for an inquiry into his conduct, than to bring forward a charge upon an experte statement.-Mr Jenery tated his object to be, to procure a docament whereby the comparative state of the navy then and at present leight be ascertained, and whether the former administration of the admiralty was entitled to the approbation or the house.—The chancellor of the exchequer brought up the bill for continuing, for a time to be limited, the act for appointing the commissioners of naval inquiry, which was read a first time; also duty is he had not called their attention to the bill for appointing commissioners to in-

[PETITION FROM MIDDLESEX RE-SPECTING THE 10th NAVAL REPORT.]-A petition of the free-holders of Middlesex was presented to the house by Mr. Byng, setting forth, "that the votes of your hon. house on the 8th and 10th days of April last, tounded on the tenth report of the commissioners of naval enquiry, has interested the whole nation, and no part of the nation more deeply than your petitioners.—We humbly crave the liberty of stating, that no measure ever yet issued from the commons of England which had diffused more gladness or raised more expectations than the said votes of April the 8th and 10th, declaring ford viscount Velvale to be "quilty of | a gross violation of the law and a high breach of duty; there by supporting the upright and victions discharge of the salutary duties of the said commissioners, whose conduct has excited the gratitude and the confidence of the whole country.—That the renewal of the said commission is a subject of unfeigned joy to your petitioners; and that we entreat your hon, house to be careful to to its end. We pray your hon, house to attend particularly to those parts of the teath report which have a sailed the obstacles thrown by persons in office or the way of the investigation of the said commissioners, and to guard against their repeation.-We submit to your hon, house whether the subaltern agents of corruption will not be example of their superiors, unless the explicitness and enlarged authority of the law defeat their artifices. Nothing, we are persuaded, could be more revolting to the public sentiment, or could more thoroughly disappoint the hopes of the country, than that, instead of inquiries real, honest, and efficient, a fallacious and illusory system should be allowed to be adopted, under which real guilt might clude detection, and the substance of earnest investigation be sacrificed to mere prefence and shew.—Your petition-Melville and Mr. Trotter would not, of itdelinquents is the real wish of the country; would not be of such real benefit to the dicated upon a great malefactor, with the port; and that you will carefully guard Vol. IV.

ness, with which it falls upon the poorest and most unprotected criminal.—Your hon. house, by the said votes of the 8th and 10th of April, has extorted the admiration of those most hostile to the character and construction of the lower house of parliament. By tollowing up the spirit of those votes, your hon, house will secure the confidence and the affection of the notion, and the triumph of the English constitution will be complete.—We entreat you to proceed and finish your labours upon these points in a manner that may be worthy of your honoured and applauded commencement of the same. We beg of you not to cease till you bring viscount Melville to condign punishment; to expose delinquencies whereever traced, and to make an example of guilt in whatever quarter it may be proved."— Ordered to lie upon the table.

[Petition from Wastminster Re-SPECTING THE 10th NAVAL REPORT. -A petition of the electors of the city and liberty of Westminster, convened by the high bailiff in Palace-vard, on Friday the 3d of May, was presented by Mr. Fox and make the new law effectual, and adequate read; setting forth, " That your petitioners share the national gratitude to your hon. house, for your memorable and virtuous votes of the 8th and 10th days of April last, founded upon the tenth report of the commissioners of naval enquiry, declaring the lord viscount Melville to be guilty of a gross violation of the law and a high breach of public duty.—Never were parliamentary eager to shelter their contumacy under the measure received with more exultation by the country than the aid votes; and nothing, your petitioners we per vaded; could cause more disappointment to the nation than your hon. House's stopping short of these great ends of justice, which the public interest demands, and the honour you have acquired by the said votes, exacts and enforces at your hands.—The pure, the moderate, the faithful, the independent and the dignified discharge of the functions with which the law has invested the said commissioners of naval enquiry has filled the couners humbly beg leave to state to your hon. Itry with the most unqualified admiration of house, that a civil action against viscount their conduct. The renewal of their authority is a source of the most unfeigned self, be satisfactory to the public expectation. | pleasure to the people at large; and we do That a criminal prosecution against these most earnestly supplicate your hon, house, that in the construction of the new statute. because the recovery of millions of money your attention will be fixed upon the contumacious obstruction to full enquiry, which people, as to see the justice of the law vin- is so clearly pointed out in the said tenth rement; and that the corrupt or wanton vio lators of law shall feel the strength of its house not to permit the public feeling to be sported with; and in the formation of inbe equal to its professed objects; both as relating to the vigor of the authority, and to the integrity of those who are to put it in execution; for we submit to your hon. house whether, if any thing can be worse fore, oppose the motion of the hon, gent. thin a deep-rooted, wide-spreading system effect what you began with so much honour. Having he olved into a committee, the fol We intreat you not to relax in your efforts lowing sums were voted: till you have brought lord Melville to con- To make good the excess of the dig i punishment, and given to all who shall I be found to have committed similar crimes, a signal demonstration, that, in the representatives of the people, instead of abettors | of their iniquities, they will find only the To complete the sum of five milfaithful guardians of the nation, and the zealous vindicators of the laws." - Ordered to lie on the table.

[ROMAN CATHOLIC PETITION.]-Mr. To cobserved, that as the day which he had fixed for submitting a motion to the house on the subject of the petition of the Catho-*lic body of Ireland was so near, he deemed it proper to take this opportunity of stating the reasons on which he proposed to defer that motion from the 10th to Monday the 13th inst. One reason was, that many gentlemen interested in the discussion were now in Ireland, and could not attend on Friday. This was a sufficient reason, but it was not the principal one. Another was, the importance of the business which was to occupy the attention of the house next week. His hon, friend (Mr. Whitbread) had a mo-

against its repetition.-Your petitioners begition of considerable importance, which, toleave to state to your hon, house, that a civil gether with the other motions noticed on suit against ford Melville and Mr. Trotter, seven I interesting subjects, would, perhaps, unaccompanied by criminal prosecution, latique gentlemen so much as to render it would be infinitely short of the public hopes, inconvenient to have his motion brought because it is so of public justice. It is not forward on Friday. He thought this was a the refunding of money that, of itself, is of fit time to state for the information of genreal consequence to the nation; it is the in- tlemen, the univiance, if not the precise fliction of an exemplary vengeance upon words, of the motion which he should subproved and powerful delinquents. It is the mit to the house on the subject. His momanifestation to the whole world that high tion would be, that the house should resolve criminals are not above the reach of punith-linto a committee of the whole house, to consider of all the matters contained in the petition, and whether it would be expedient arm.—Above all things we intreat your hon. I that all, or any of the prayers it contained, should be complied with, or whether a middle course should be adopted for granting quiries similar to the naval commission, that some, and deferring the decision upon the you will take care that the power constituted rest of their claims; or whether or not some collateral means of meeting the wishes of the petitioners might not be eligible.

> Dr. Durgenan declared himself hostile to the prayer of the position, and should, there-

[COMMITTER OF SUPPLY.] -The Chanof abuse and peculation in the management! cellor of the Fredaguer moved the order of of public money, it would not bothe insti- the day for going into a committee of suptution of a system of revision, in its nature a ply; and on his motion, also, the extraorburlesque upon investigation, and in its re- dinaries of the army, the expences of the sult a mockery of justice.—To all these volunteers in Great Britain and Ireland, and points we begithe best attention of your hon, the deficiency of the consolidated fund, were house. We beseech you to pursue with referred to the said committee.—The house

> extroidinary services of the army over the estimates voted £668,893 15 ° last vear

Extraordinary services of the army 2,000,000 0 0 for the present year

lions granted out of the monies that should arise from the consobdated fund of Great Brita n tor the year 1804

- 3,049,458 15 5

To dehay the expences of the volanteers in Great Britain and

1,600,000 0 0

Mr. folinstone said, he did not rise to object to this resolution; but, as emigrants were included in this sum, he submitted it to the committee, whether it would not be better that the money voted for emigrants should be a. distinct vote for that purpose, instead of being brought into the army extraordinaries? There was another circumstance which struck him, and thit was, the observed, an article of charge of somewhat about 500l. for the expences of creating sir Brooke Watson a baronet. He thought, if honours were heaped on a man in the situation of the hon, baronet, it was rather ex- | restored, every thing would be much cheaptraordinary that the public stould be asked er, and the country would derive innume-

to pray for them.

The Chancellor of the Fathequer said, that as the baronetage was given that gentleman ing always usual, when that honour was been lately taken out of circulation, it beconferred for services to pay the expences, came absolutely necessary something of this As to the emigrants, this was a charge for kind should be adopted. With respect to such emigrants only as have served in our the assimilation of the coinage of the two armie,, and are paid abreed in order to save rountries, it was a subject that required the expence of coming to this country. This great consideration, and therefore necessary was absolutely necessary, when foreigners, this bill should pass as speedily as possible. who had served us, had no other means of ment head of service under which they then read, and severally agreed to.

[IRISH SILVER TOKENS BILL.]—On the question for going into a committee on the Irish Silver Tokens bill;

Mr. Magens requested the attention of the house while he made a few observations on this bill, which, he thought, would be as properly made in this as in any other stage of it. He observed, that in a conversation which passed in that house, some short time since, it appeared that the rate of exchange being so much against Ireland was in consequence of the very large quantity of paper that was in circulation in that part of the empire. These tokens, as they were called, were, in his opinion, very little, if at all, better than paper; and as they would be subject to great depreciation, he saw very little benefit to be derived from this measure. He thought the only way to serve Ireland effectually would be to restore a real silver coinage directly under the royal authority, and thereby to assimilate the coinages of the two countries as nearly as possible. For these reasons he could not approve the bill.

Mr. Princep said, he thought some limitation should be put to these tokens, and hoped a standard comage would soon take

place.

Mr. Rose said, the silver tokens were tokens above the value of the price of dollars, and therefore he thought there was no fear of the apprehensions entertained by the hon, gent, who spoke last but one. It had been for a long time in contemplation to make a standard coinage, but there were certain obstacles to it which at present could not be removed.

Mr. Magens said, if the old standard were | sent to this country.

rable benefits from it.

Sir J. Neaport said it was much to be wished that the old standard was restored, for his services in the army, it was therefore but that would require some considerable brought into the army extraordinaries, it beginne; and, as a large quantity of paper had

Mr. Lee said the hon, member who made gaining a livelihood in this country, and the the objection to this bill, most certainly was army extraordinaries were the most conve- not acquainted with the situation of Ireland, or he would not argue as he had done. could be classed.—The resolutions were Silver notes, which were the only circulation for making payment of small sums, were now drawn out of circulation, and it was necessary somethin reshould be substituted in their stead, as there is now no circulating medium for small payments. He was, however, one of those who did not think the quantity of paper that had been in circulation was injurious to Ireland; the fact had never been proved, and till it was so, he should differ from those who held that opinion,

> Mr. Foster said very little remained for him to say on the subject. There was at present great distress in Ireland for want of small silver change, and as it is only to continue so long as the restriction of the bank trom paying in specie continues, the hon. gent. who made this objection, need have no great apprehension. It will be extremely convenient to the people of Ireland, and he hoped therefore the bill would have the approbation of the house.

> Mr. Johnstone said he doubted whether these pieces of silver would continue long in. circulation, as, at 5s. 5d. each, people would find an advantage of eight and a half pee cent, and would send them to this country to make their payments, and thereby save so much in the rate of exchange.

> Mr. Foster said, these tokens are to issue at 5s. 5d. but will be ten per cent. under The value of Spanish dollars, and if you add eight and a quarter, the difference of exchange, it would be nearly 19 per cent. and when exchange is very high, it would be nearly 25 per cent.; when to these are added the inconvenience of carriage, and the wearing of the silver by friction, there would be thought very little danger of their being

of the bill, and the house went into the committee, in which the clauses were read and agreed to.—Adjourned.

> HOUSE OF LORDS. Monday, May 6.

[MINUTES.]—The bishop of Oxford presented a petition from the freeholders of Oxfordshire, praying that the restraints upon the catholics might not be repealed, which was ordered to lie on the fable.—Lord Mulgrave reminded their lordships that they stood summoned for to-morrow upon a notice which he gave before the recess, relative to one of their standing orders, that which enabled any peer to move the house into a committee whenever he desired it. The more he had considered that order, the more he was convinced it ought not to remain upon the books of the house; and he should therefore, to morrow, move to expunge it. -- Lord Mulgrave said that a noble friend of his, who had undertaken to bring forward a clause to be added to the University Advowsor bill, had not yet been able to complete it; and he, therefore, wished that the further consideration of the bill might be postponed till Wednesday. After a short conversation between the bishop of Oxford, the bishop of St. Asaph, the lord chancellor, and lord Mulgrave, the order for the committee sitting this day was discharged, and tixed for Wednesday.—Adjourned.

> HOUSE OF COMMONS. Monday, May v.

[MINUTES.]—The Speaker acquainted the house, that he had received from the Commissioners of Naval Enquiry, the following letter, in return to the thanks of this house of Thursday last.—" Office of Naval Enquiry, Great George Street, 4th May 1805. -Sit, We have had the honour to receive your letter of the 3d of this month, transthe house of commons of the 2d instant. It is most gratifying to us to learn, that our led by the ways of justice to the immortal has been considered by the house as de- mons; the other, if they were led by misserving a vote of their approbation; a tes-taken elemency to pursue it, led to the diswith the greatest respect and thankfulness;

Mr. Vansittart said a few words in favour [to express our sense of the very obliging manner in which you have been pleased to convey the resolution of the house.. We have the honour to be, sir, your most obedient, humble servants, Charles Morice Police, Ewan Law, John Ford, Henry Nicholls, Wm. Mackworth Pracd."-On the motion of Dr. Duigen*n, the Irish First Fruits Bill was read a second time, and ordered to be committed on Wednesday .-Mr. Calcraft presented the Declaration of sir Francis Burnett, which was read by the It contained a statement of the cierk. progress of the poll at the late Middlesex election, and concluded with infimating the hon, baronet's intention not to defend his seat. [A copy of the declaration will be found in p. 211 of this volume, where it was inadvertently in crted.] That clause of the act of parhament was then read, in pursuance to the provisions of which the declaration was formed. After a few words from the secretary at war and Mr. II. Thornton, stating their disapprobation of a gfeat deal of irrelevant matter in the declaration, the 7th of June was the day fixed for acting upon it.

[DISMISSAL OF LORD MILVILLE.]-Mr. Whabread rose, and observed, that whatever motives might, in the course of the business he had undertaken, have been imputed to him by the opposers of the measure, he presumed there were none who would suppose he had not, during the whole course of the proceeding, been impressed with feelings of the greatest anxiety. He confessed that the feelings of anxiety he had felt, in different stages of the discussions that had taken place, were not to be compared with those which he now experienced. He had now come to a point, and standing on which he trembled, not from any doubt of the propriety of the measure he should propose, but from his apprehensions as to the course the house of commons would mitting to us a copy of the resolution of adopt. There were two paths open before them. The one, as it appeared to him, conduct as Commissioners of Naval Enquiry honour and renown of the house of comtimony which is justly esteemed one of the repute of the house of commons, and highest-honours that can be conferred on eventually to the detriment of the public persons employed in the service of the interest. . If it had been immediately propublic: we receive this mark of distinction posed, in consequence of the resolutions which he had the honour to submit on the and we request that you will have the 8th of April, that an address should have goodness to communicate to the house been presented to his majesty, praying that these our sentiments.—We also beg leave flord Melville might be dismissed from all and from the royal presence and councils, on a former day that his name was not struck

The Chancellor of the Exchequer interrupted the hon, gent, for the purpose of speaking to order. He really had understood that the hon, gent, had given notice of his intention to move to take into consideration his majesty's answer, and he conceived that he would have begun his observations with reference to that object. He had a communication to make to the house, which he thought would anticipate what the hon. gent, had to say; he therefore wished to · put it to his candour, whether he would afford him an opportunity.

Mr. Whitbrend said, he meant to have concluded by moving, that his majesty's answer should be taken into consideration. Whatever communication the right hon. gent had to make, he thought it would come with more propriety after the motion. He apprehended that if he had made a motion on the morning after the night the sufficiently severe. He could not easily resolutions were proposed and acquiesced in, that lord Melville should have been dis- |gent. (the master of the rolls) over against been a member of the house of peers, but merely of the house of commons, and he there would not have been a dissentient voice. In the course of this business he had shaped his conduct so as to obtain the suffrages and support of every independent member of parliament; and, in so doing, he conceived he had strictly conformed to his duty, and promoted the welfare of the public. The second day after the resolutions passed, he had moved an address to his majesty, that lord Melville should be removed from his councils and presence, and deprived of all offices held by him under the crown. It appeared on that occasion to have been the sense of the house, who had just intimated that he had some not confined to men in high stations; but a

the places held by him under the crown, communication to make, had not only said off the privy council book, but that he saw no reason for adving his majesty to crase it. Under these circumstances, a committee had been appointed to examine further into the matters of the tenth report, as exclusively as could be of the conduct of lord Melville, and a civil prosecution had been ordered against his ford-hip and Mr. Trotter. A right hon, gent, had proposed, that it should be turned into a crimmal prosecution. In this situation the country stoodthat the house had decided that ford Melville, not accidentally or once, but during the course of a long administration, had been guilty of a breach of his duty, and a gross violation of the law, and yet no punishment had been inflicted in consequence of this decision. He knew it had been contended that lord Melville had been punished, and appeals had been made to the House whether the punishment had not been forget the impressive words of a right hon. missed from his offices and his majesty's him, or his feelings and countenance, comcouncils and presence, that there would not | pelling sympathy, when he asked whether have been one dissentient voice. He ap- lord Melville had not been sufficiently puprehended, that if lord Melville had not nished? He wished he could borrow a little of that right hon, gent,'s accuracy of expression to call upon the house to perhad moved for the dismissal of him as a form what, if it did not perform, he should member of the house of commons, that contend lord Melville would not be punished. If humiliation; if voluntary degradation; if the resignation of high employments under the crown, such as lord Meiville thought himself capable of filling; if the feelings of a man who had held great official situations for many years; if a broken and contrite heart, ha flanima, ha fusies —if these were to be considered a punishment, then it was possible lord Melville might have been punished sufficiently. In that case, his punishment was as far superior to any the house could inflict, as the hand that inflicted it was above the power of mortals. It was the duty of the house to that it would be better the resolutions should take care that others were not betrayed into be carried to the foot of the throne; but the same errors, and that men in the situahe was of opinion the consequence of that ation of lord Melville should know, that if measure was not precisely what would have they acted as he had done they would subtaken place if the house had gone up with ject themselves to degradation. It was also the address. The consequences he had incumbent on the house to take care that "hoped for had not taken place. He had equal justice was done to all, and that when resigned his office at the admiralty, but his others were writhing under punishment, name had not been struck out of the list of |delinquents of greater magnitude were not the privy council. The right hon, gent, spared. The sensations of shame were

from his presence for ever.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer-Before, it necessary for me to make a very few obat the ultimate decision of his majesty. By servations, which appear to me of such a following this course, it was imagined that nature as will supersede the necessity of the same result would be obtained without agitating the question at greater length, on wounding the feelings of the noble lord, the present occasion. When I interrupted who was already sufficiently afflicted by the the hon, gent, it was for the purpose of general decision of the house. This step then saying, that I had a communication to make being taken, it did not strike me that it was to the house, which might probably render at all expected that it was my duty experially. gent, has in view, is already accomplished, sellors. If I had conceived this to be the

common clerk, detected in a fraudulent act, majesty's privy counsellors, his majesty has felt equally with the highest peer: his feel- accoded to this advice, and that erasure will, ings, too, were accompanied with the loss of on the first day that a council is held, take character, and the loss of character was at- place. Having said thus much, I shall, with ten led with the loss of bread. With re-the permission of the House, say a few gard to such a person, it was highly proba-ble, that even if the law was not followed formerly resisted this proposition, and those up, that his wife, children, rel tives, and under which I have felt myself bound to dependants, would be reduced to a state of yield to it. The hon, gent, has thought niscry. Such an accumulation of distress proper to allude to the discussion which was of itself punishment enough; but justice took place on the day previous to the reand the law must have their victim. He cess; and he civs, that on that occasion, therefore, in the name of minor delinquents. It declared that nothing then appeared to in the name of the public, in the name of me which called for my advising his mathe representative holy of England, and in justy to erase the name of lord Melville the name of the former vote of the house, from the list of privy counsellors. I be-called for that which was but bare simple lieve, sir, it is in the recollection of the justice on lord Melville. He deprecated house, that a motion similar to that now the injury the character of the parliament; brought forward, was produced by the hon. of 1805 would sustain, if, after the detec- | gent. on the d-y to which he has alluded. tion of lord Mclythe's guilt, it should ap- On that occasion I did state that the motion pear that partiament had stopped in its pij- appeared to me altogether unnecessary, since in hment, and that he had been suffered to lord Melville had resigned his official sinuaremain a privy counsellor, particularly after the proofs against him had been so complete; when instead of any denial of the charge by lord Melville, habenus confictentum reum, he had given evidence against hor to the proofs the had given evidence against hor to the public leady was an insuperable had to the public leady was an insuperable had to the public leady was an insuperable. himself. He proceeded to infer the odium bar to the noble lord's return to power. it would east on the ministers of the At that time it did not appear to me to be the day if it should appear they had endeal sense of the house that such a motion should voured to protect such a delinquent. He be persisted in, or that it was at all necessary highly censured that advice which had die- after the resolutions of censure on a former tated the answer of his majesty to the evening. Many gentlemen who concurred sheriff of London, when they waited upon in those resolutions thought that the wound his majesty with the address of the common which had been inflicted should not be agcouncil. After a few further observation, gravated by any unnecessary circumstances he moved, that his majesty's answer should of severity; that when the justice of the be taken into consideration; observing, public was satisfied, the feelings of the indithat he meant afterwards to move an ad-vidual ought not to be outraged. Liven dress to his majesty, praying him to order several gentlemen on the other side of the the name of ford Melville to be erased house did not seem to wish that the motion • from the privy council, and to dismiss him should be pushed to a division. The motion was accordingly withdrawn, and in the room of it the house agreed to lay the resir, the motion is put from the chair, I think solutions before the throne, and to await his motion unnecessary; that communical to advise his majesty to erase the name of tion is, sir, that the object which the hon. lord Melville from the list of his privy counthe have felt it my duty to advise the crasure general wish of the house, I should, un-"and Mclville's name from the list of his questionably, have bowed to it, but not

viewing the matter in this light, I did not official notice to his majesty of the proceedconceive that I was bound to give the ad- ings of the house. So it was; but why vice which the motion of the hon, gent, is was it thought proper to give such a calculated to enforce. Since that time, however, in consequence of the notice of the hon, gent. to renew his motion, I have felt it my duty to ascertain what is the prevailing feeling of gentlemen on the subject. I have had occasion to ascertain the sentiments of respectable gentlemen on both sides of the house, and seeing reason to beheve that the step to which the motion of the hon, gent, is directed, was considered expedient, I have, however reluct intly from private feeling, felt it incumbers on me to propose the crastire of the noble lord's name from the list of privy counsellers. I confess, sir, and I am not a shamed to confess it, that whatever may be my deference to the house of commons, and however anxious I may be to accede to their wishes, I certainly felt a deep and bitter! pang in being compelled to be the instrument of rendering still more severe the punishment of the noble lord. This is a feeling of which I am not ashamed. It is a teeling which I cannot drive from my bosom. It is a feeling which nothing but my to the time. The right horacert, tree the conviction of the opinion of parliament, flast moment for giving this advice, in order, and my can coll public duty could possibly as far as possible, to any at the construction which must be to wound the already severe- flown and makes this communication, morn ly afflicted feelings of an unfortunate indi- the well founded terror, that it is permitted vidual.

mons? At all events, fir, we have reason only acted contrary to the adention of the this address, and consider it merely as an I trust, however, that things will now

notice? Surely, 51, for the purpose that ministers, might take such measures, as they might not be so strictly bound to talle, if the notice had not been given. This, sir, was understood, and strongly urged at the time. But it the right hongent, then understood the sense of the house in such a manner that he did not think it his duty to priving the craing of lord Melville's name from the list of privy coursellors, how comes it that he has now given that advice? How has he since collected the general opinion of the horse in a way to induce him to alter his sentiments so materially? Untile says, that he has canvassed the opinions of several individual members. Sir, there seems to be strong grounds to suppose that this is a compromise adopted in consequence of a ministerial intrigue, rather than an act inglying any deference to the opinions of the house. I do not know that however. I m only in posses ion of what the public to general know on the object.—Now, sirgone word as have overcome. After what I have said, this house from ford Mckylle. Notice was I true t the hon, good, will see the propriety given of the proposition, the very first day, of withdrawing his motion. Every public | I believe, that the basiness can before the object is now obtained which the motion house. Allusions to a had been made in could accomplish, and I am sure the hon, pubsiquene proceedings, but it is not till gent, has candour and humanity enough with n helf on room or as being brought not to press a discussion, the only effect of forward, that the right hen, get to comes dual.

Mr. For—Since the right hon, gent, has be left in a minority. But is it pow fretold us that at last he has condescended to eisely the same thing as if it had been done advise his majesty to remove lord Melville long before? Does it not show clearly, from his privy council, I would wish to know that every thing within the compass of whether that has been done in consequence possibility is to be done in favour of lead of the resolutions of the house of com- Melville? First, it was maintained that he to rejoice in the triumph which justice has law: then he was permitted to resign Lisexperienced, when we consider that they office of first lord of the admiralty, to were compelled at length to give this advice prevent his being turned out. And now at who were for protecting lord Melville, be-plast, when nothing can be done to prevent cause he was "an old and faithful servant it, he is erosed from the privy council. of the crown," and had only acted contrary The difference between its being done now to the intention of the act of parliament! and done before is, I acknowledge, as far as Why was this advice not given immediately the public are concerned, not very material; after the address of the 10th of April, for but it will be recollected, that the right hon. which the right hon, gent, expresses such gent, held out till he could hold out no profound veneration? He comments upon longer without a certainty of being heat.

may have been be jun, that the house will fol- councils, or at all relating to it. low up the public opinion, and that every provisions for the future, for these may be referred to contained no expression of an disregarded, as they have been already, But by inflicting proper punishment where it is deserved. This triumph has not been willingly gained, but, has been extorted by the sole consideration that a majority would oppose lord Melville if he was further defended. I can assure the house that there in his own dismissal and that of another is every symptom of the country being seriously agitated, and that it will not readily place much confidence in those who have exerted themselves so much to screen a delinquent, though they have at last been obliged to give him up.

The Chancellor of the Fxchequer stated that the resolution he had announced to the house had not the least connection with any transaction out of doors. This he most distinctly denied. As to the allusions of the hon, gent, who had just sat down, to what he called a canvas of the members, he saw nothing censurable in his availing himself of his extensive intercourse with members to that the noble lord was guilty of public ascertain their sentiments upon any public question. This was a right which belonged feelings which would entitle a man to comto any member of that house, and he believcular proceeding was wished for by a majo-By this course the time of the house was saved, and its opinion treated with due deference. What he had done in such a way he by no means thought inconsistent with his official duty, or the respect he owed to the constitution and privileges of that bouse.

Mr. Fox disclaimed having said, that he believed the change in the right, hon, gent's. conduct to proceed from the transaction referred to out of doors. Nor did he mean told, that from the year 1765 to the year to impute blame to an endeavour to consult the opinion of members upon any public vate profit, an interest of 15,000l. per anquestion. But he expressed his surprize num from the use of the public money, as that if this measure had been taken in compliance with the sense of the house, it had farthing of this money had ever since been not been taken earlier—that it had not im- paid [a loud cry of Order, Order! but still mediately followed those resolutions which the right hon. gent. proceeded]. Was not passed the house so long since.

speedily be finished, however tardily they; of lord Melville's name from his majesty's

The Secretary at War (Mr. W. Dundas) measure will be taken that can afford secu-corroborated the statement of the chanrity to the people, not by making legislative cellor of the exchequer, that the resolutions opinion that the name of lord Melville should be erased from the list of his majesty's council. Nor did the hon, gentleman who spoke last but one (Mr. Fox) express any wish to that effect. On the contrary, the hon, gent, was heard to glory person, from the list of his majesty's council, and to state his hope that that would not by any part of the public be considered a disgrace. Was not this then pretty clearly to express an opinion, that it was not his desire to produce that effect which had been communicated to the house, with regard to lord Melville, whom it was so much the study of the hon, gent, to degrade? The house, however, witnessed the hon. gent.'s conduct, and it was for them to judge whether it sprung at all from a love of public equity, or was influenced by any feeling of humanity. It had been stated plunder, and therefore incapable of those passion. But he most positively denied ed it was the general practice with every that any such guilt could be ascribed to him. member who had any proposition to bring That he had connived at the misconduct of forward. When he found that any parti- Trotter, he was ready to admit, but that he had participated of any of the emoluments rity of the house, he thought it but right and resulting from that mi conduct he ever respectful to take that proceeding at once, should contradict. Nothing had appeared and thus prevent the necessity of debate. before the house to justify the charge of lord Melville's having joined in public robbery, and if any gentleman should assert such a thing, he was prepared to meet him. With respect to the hon, gent.'s professed desire to punish and prevent peculation. and the improper use of the public money, the house and the country must recollect enough to be enabled to judge of the sincerity of his professions. For need it be 1782, lord Holland derived, to his own pripaymaster of the army, and that not one the hon, gent, aware, when he was in-The Chancellor of the Exchequer observed, dulging himself in what he termed speculathat there was not one word in the resolutions, but what was commonly called gamtions alluded to, recommending the removal | bling, that he was squandering the property

peculations of lord Holland furnished him with the means of defraying the extravagancies of early life? (Here there were strong marks of disapprobation throughout the house. The right hon gent, concluded with observing that, he might be thought severe, but if the hon, gent, felt what he

said, he had himself to thank by provoking it. Mr. Fox.—As the right hon, gent, has thought proper to make such a pointed allusion to the conduct of my father, I hope for the indulgence of the house while I submit a few observations. For although a considerable time has elapsed since the death of the person to whom the right hon, gent, alludes, I cannot but feel a high interest in any thing that concerns his repumean by calling him to my recollection in this instance, unless to create an uneasiness in my breast, I am it a loss to imagine. For feeling was different. Nay, the house how does the case of my father apply to that of lord Mclville? The case of lord wards by granting an increase of the salary Holland is as clear a light. There was no attached to the other, in heu of such privilaw to forbid the phymaster of the army to level. That sentiment was decidedly exapply the balance remaining in his hands to pressed in the resolution of the committee, any purpose of private emplument, in the upon which the salary of lord Melville, as way described at the time load Holland treasurer of the navy, was augmented in h ld that office stands, if, a the right how gent, alleger, it consluments resulting from the use of the was criminal in a public officer to in de use public balcaces, which from the period of of the public money for his own private that sugmentation was entirely to cease. again tit, à forting, it was still more crime which the right hon, gent, has accused my former we not again that, while that of pointment of Mr. Burke and Mr. Barré, the other was in the very teeth of a low I cannot see any analogy between the case proposed by himself. What then does the or low Holland and lord Melville. With time the practice was under discussion, very different opinions prevailed among the most [eminent lawyers in the country upon this question, whether the paymasters were accountable to the public for the interest arising out of the balances remaining in their proposition was maintained by many per-public money, I leave it to the house to sons of high consideration, but most certain ly the weight of eminence and authority

Vol. IV.

of the public? Did he not know that 't' e | public, but that of the public creditors. This question was repeatedly discusted in this house, under various administrations. I will not mention that of the marquis of Rockingham, because that ministry might be supposed to have some regard for me-but it was very fully canvassed during the administration of lord North, when, indeed, no such supposition of favour could exist. And what was the result *- why, that a committee appointed to consider the case reported that the practice referred to was regarded as a privilege belonging to the office of paymaster of the army, and that it had universally prevailes with those who held that office, with the exception of the father or the gentleman over against me (lord Chatham) and perhaps another. tation. What the right hon, gent, could If it were deemed a criminal practice, no doubt some measure of prosecution would have been instituted. But the so telt it, and evioced its sentiment after-1.1 mg the fact as it order to compende for the 10% of the profit, when there was nearest of parliament! Recollecting, therefore, that the practice of nal after the act had passed. The difference stather did pressul among the paymisters of between the case of ford Holland and ford fittle army up to the time at which the salary Metville is this, that the conduct of the of these officers was increased at the apright hon, gent, gain by the reference respect to the allusion which the right hon, That the practice which obtained in the gens, has unde to my conduct in early life, office of the paymaster of the army wa. I have to be one, as the right hon, gent. generally right, I am not now joing to are terms it, gambled a good deal. I also gue. Let I do renember, and it must be feel that I continued that practice much too in the recollection of the house, that at the lique, and lost a considerable sum of money. My father, no doubt, left me a large fortune—but how the right hon, gent, can infer that my menner of spending that fortune can afford any proof of my connivance, in what he considers my father's improper manner of obtaining it—or that hands. I know that the negative of this I was a party to the misappropiation of the conjecture. That those who are the relatives, or who have had the misfortune of was on the other side. For it was held that being in any degree connected with lord the balances were not the property of the Melville, should teel affected by the disgrace

natural; but yet I think that such feelings on the globes which they were to occupy. should not be suffered to stand in the way With a view, therefore, of giving the in of a great public duty—and certainly it combents, and other persons concerned in express them in this house. I shall now say sidering the principle and application of the no more than to observe, that if it were the bil, he should conclude with moving, that object of the right hon, gent, to wound the second reading be postponed to this day my feelings, he has entirely failed, and to fortnight. repeat, that if he h d succeeded in fixing the imputation of guilt on the conduct of faid, that although this bill professed only to my father, that success would only tend to encourage the residence of curates, the efaggravate the guilt of Tord Mclville.

had never charged lord Melville with parti- rector is. He particularly objected to that cipating in the plunder of the public, be- claus which preposed to empower the bicause that had not appeared. If hereafter shops to let out the glebe to the curries, at it should be made out, he would bring the lany rent they pleased. The inference had arisen from charge. ance at Mr. Trotter's mi use of the public money. He would not press his motion, though he thought the house of commons and the majesty of the crown would have been more satisfied if the era ure had taken place in consequence of the address of the house. One question only he wished to have answered before he withdrew the motion. Did lord Mclville hold any office under the crown during pleasure?

The Chancellor of the Exchequer said, he believed he did not.

Mr. For said, there was a report abroad, that one of the offices hell by the noble The motion lord, was during pleasure. relative to the grants in Scotland, of which notice had been given by a noble friend of this (lord H. Petty), now absent, from a circumstance (the death of the marquis of Lansdowne) which every one regretted, would show how this was.—Mr. Whitbread then withdrew his motion.

STIPENDIARY CURATES' BILL.]—On the second reading of the Stipendiary Curates' bill being put,

Mr. Western roce, and said, that the subject was one of the greatest importance, and entitled to the fullest investigation. He conceived the object of the bill to be no less than a direct attack on the chinch establish ment, and an invasion of ecclesiastical property. • He could not assent to the power proposed to be vested in the bishops, which he considered sufficiently great already. He highly disapproved of any measure which subjected the clergy, to the absolute domimon of those spiritual lords; and would not this be the case, if they had the power to a few years, that the loss to the incumbent grant the fifth of the incumbent's property | would be irreparable. He had no objection

into which he has fallen, is, I admit, very [to the curate, and set an arbitrary valuation would be much more delicate not at all to church property, a fair opportunity of con-

M1. Creacy seconded the motion. He t et of a would be to transfer to the curates Mr. Whithead said in explanation, he poore one-fifth of the whole revenue of the

The Ittorney General defended the prinwhat he had said of lord Mclville's conniv- ciple of the bill. The principle was the same with that of several laws on the statute book. A similar bill had passed the house of commons twice lately, from which the present differed only in as far as it was framed to obviate the objections of the upper house of parliament. The grants to the curates were in consideration of residence; and I oil by the common law and canon law the incumbent was obliged to do the duty of the church, or fortest by non-residence. There was nothing in the bill subversive of the principles of the constitution of the church of lengland, whose property and rights no man living would be more ready to uphold and as at than bimselt. Now, as there were several clauses in the bill untilled up, if gendemen would consent to its going irto a committee, to fill up the clauses, he should propose that the report should be received on Wednesday se'nnight, a period of delay which he hoped gentlemen would allow to be quite sufficient.

Sir W. Scott could not bring himself to think that there was any danger to be apprehended to our church establishment from the passing of this bill. When the duty was performed for the incumbents, they ought not surely to deal out with a niggard hand the

stipend for that service.

Sir J. Wrottesley did not object to the princ ple of the bill, but he wished the right hon, gent. (the Attorney General) to consider the propriety of giving glebes to the curates under the provisions of the bill as it now stood; if the glebe ground was in tillage, it might be ruined in a short time, or if in grass, it might be so much injured in

garden, but he could not give his consent turnty which any but great and powerful that the globe be disposed of according to debat is could avail themselves of to enthe valuation of the bishop.

Lord Porchester expressed himself against the bill, and observed, that although it was compulsory on the incumbent as to the payment of the fifth of his income if over 1001. yet that the curate was not obliged by any clause of the bill to do the duty

Mr. Fellowes thought that the bill did not go far enough.—Mr. Western then withdrew his amendment; after which the bill was read a second time. - Adjourned.

> HOUSE OF LORDS. Tuesday, May 7.

[MINUTES.]-Lord Holland was sworn, and took his sout — The consideration of the Appeal Cause, from the court of chancery in Ireland, Rowe v. Powell, which stood over since last so sion, being resumed, the Solicitor General and Mr. Adam were heard at considerable length, on the part of the After which their lordships respondent. deferred the farther hearing of counsel till Monday.

[ABROGATION OF A STANDING OR-DER. |- The order of the day for taking into consideration the Standing Order, No. 30, being read,

Lord Mulgiare, pursuant to notice, the Standing Orders of the house, the Order, No. 30, which empoyered any individual peer to move the house to go into a committee when he wished to speak upon a l question more than twice, or with a view to enlarge the freedom of debate. His lordc'ip did not well know whether he should dwell upon the regulation as a standing order of the house, or merely as an admonition or remembrance, in which light it seemed to be considered by the noble lord on the woolupon it as a standing order of the house, and as such he should touch upon the various reasons which should induce the house to discontinue it. He had therefore to represent the abuse of such an order as pregnant with the most pernicious consequences. It was not only incompatible with the digmity of the house, and the impartiality and solemnity of their proceedings, but it also went to infringe the privileges of the house, by rendering nugatory the interposition, in upon the whole, the order in question adthe case of such committees, of proxies or mitted of serious objections; and many of

to the curates having the globe, house, and protests. It would preclude every opporgross the whole discussion of any question, however important, by centinually resorting to the expedient of moving for a committee, under the cover of this Standing Order.-Besides, what a powerful and dangerous engine might it not prove in the hands of a de potic government, or of a turbulent and factious opposition, who, by protracting any discussion that might involve the most important affair, must throw almost insuperable obstacles and embarrassments in the way of public business. He should not insist on the slighter inconvenience which an enforcement of such an order might frequently produce, but the arguments he had already advanced against its being longer continued were, in his mind, fully sufficient to justify him in now moving, that the said Order be vacated. In addition to these very objectionable considerations, it clashed with, and even rendered nugatory, an important standing order of the house, No. 19, which prohibits any noble lord from speaking twice in the same debate. It could not be called a standing order, for all these required two days notice, even for their suspension. The present, from its essential nature and effect, admitted of no such previous proceeding. He could see no adebrought forward his motion for crasing quate reason which could possibly be adfrom the book which purported to contain vanced for continuing such an order on the book. Therefore, with such impressions of it on his mind, he felt it incumbent on him to propose, "that the said order be vacated." On the question being put;

The Farl of Carnari on rose, and contended the noble lord was totally mistaken, as to the effects of the order. It did not refer to the aising a committee for the purposes mentioned by the noble lord; but merely to afford a greater latitude to the freedom of debate. The objection, with But in whatever point of view it respect to the proxies and protests, did not might be regarded, he could not but look apply, as all questions were ultimately decided by a house, wherein these could freely. be given. There was never an improper use made of the order; and it was incumbent on those who urged propositions, tending to cramp the freedom of debate, to prove that such an order had produced specific inconveniences: decming of the order as he did, he should certainly vote for its

continuance. The Lord Chancellor was of opinion, that,

2 R 2

those advanced by his noble triend were, he | something in the way of amendment, when, thought, well founded. It could not, box ever, be considered as a standing order It was, to speak strictly, a tem inbrance; from the tring of its being enacted, writing was in-1623, to the present, it had, he believed, been but twice setted upon. This in some degree showed its mutitary; its possible injurious effects were obvious. He agreed that questions must be ultimately decided by the house; but still the order or memental should not be suffered to stand, at least without some material alteration or amendment, as the very circumstance of reolymy the house into a committee invough it, more be productive of mischieven conscouences, as therein the sense of a decided and great majority of the house (proxics not being admissible) may be counteracted.

Lord Grenell, was for retaining an order from which no practical inconvenience had i resulted since its adoption in the year 1626. It appeared that it had only been enforced [three times, 1st, when an attempt was made to deprive some members of that house of new regulations. He should also move for their seats by introducing a bill, requiring certain qualifications; 2dly, when it was thought fit to propose that certain words introduced into a protest should be expunged; and, lastly, when certain questions were moved to be submitted to the judges, in the case of Mr. Justice Pox. In all these cases the enforcement of the order appeared to [his fordship highly proper.

Lord Harrowby argued generally in favour of the leading observations of the noble secretary of state. He was answered by

The Earl of Radnor, who maintained a contrary opinion.

Lord. Hankesbury argued briefly against the continuance of the order. His grounds were the more promuent position, of his **noble colleague.**

speak even once upon the present question, were it not for some points he heard advanced that evening, observed, that the order existed an interval, not greatly short of 200 years, and no inconvenience had been One of the objects he had in view was, that proved to result from it. With respect to the overseers or road makers should retain the appellation of " remembrance," such such a sum as might be deemed necessary to was the general head given to all the orders fill up such chasms as might be made in in the book, and he denied that it clashed roads. Another, that in several parts the with the order No. 10, as peers were usually suffered to speak in explanation of any point they had before advanced, which could not be considered as speaking fwice.

The Lord Chancellor quitted the wool

being apprised of its being in some degree informal, in that state of the proceeding, by one of their lordships, he set down. The question was then put, and the house divided - For the motion, including 15 proxies, 29—Agamst it, including 8 provies, 22— Majority for vacating the Order, 7.—Ad-

HOUSE OF COMMORS. Tresday, May 7.

[MINUTES.] — On the motion of Mr. Calcraft, a new writeway ordered to be issted for the election of a representative to serve in parliament for the city of Coventiv, in the room of Francis William Barlew, esq. deceased.—All Foster gave notice that tomorrow be would move for a committee to consider of the consumption of beer in Ireland, and of making an allowance to the retailers of spirits in the cities of Dublin, Cork, Waterford, and Linterick, for the loses whech they may incur in consequence of the a committee to inquire into the Stamp Duties Act for that part of the United Kingdom.-The Irish Post Road bill was committed, and ordered to be reported to-morrow. -The Irish Promissory Note bill, and the Irish Bank Token bill were reported, and ordered to be read a third time to-morrow.-The Irish Loan bill was read a third time, and passed.—The Paymaster General's Office Regulation bill was read a second time, and ordered to be referred to a committee on Monday next —Mr. George Pontonby rose to make the motion, of which he gave notice yesterday, relative to the Country Road act. He said, by the pre-ent law, the grand jury at the spring assizes were empowered to make improvement, and the money is to be misel at the summer assizes Earl Spencer, who was not inclined to there was no power in my one to retain any sum for particular purposes, so that it a road was made of bad materials, and grew bad, there was no sum at disposal to make it better, but it must remain so till next year. roads must be made with stones, and they who anake the roads have not proper weapons to break them. The jury should, therefore, provide those. He hoped the gentlemen from Ireland would not think him sack, and was apparently about to propose | an enemy to the present system; on the conmore power it necessary. He concluded with moving, that leave be given to for an account of the quantity of ballast taken bring in a bill to amend the laws now existing relative to making roads in Ireland, pursuant to presentinents of grand puries." Granted. - I petition of the master, wardens, freemen and commonalty, of the mytery of vintuers of the city of London, un-balliet."-On the motion of the Attorney der their common scal, being offered to be | General, the house went into a committee presented to the house, the chancellor of the exchequer, by his mijesty's commind, ac quainted the house, that his majesty, having been informed of the contents of the said petition, recommended reto the consideration. of the house. Then the aid petition was brought up, and read, taking notice of the bill to alter and amend an act, passed in the 40th year of his present majesty, for making wet-docks, besons, cuts, and other works, for the greater recommodation and security of thipping, committee, and revenue, within the cost of London, and for extending the powers and provision, of the mid act; and setting forth, that the petitioners apprehend they may suffer loss or damage by reason of the works mentioned in the said act, and submit that they are entitled to compensation in respect thereof; and therefore praving, that provision may be made in the sud bill for that purp se Ordered, That the said petition be referred to the consideration. of a committee; and that they do examine the matter thereof, and report the same, is it shall appear to them, to the house. And a committee was appointed accordingly; and the said committee have power to send for persons, papers, and records. — Mr. Calcraft moved for the following papers, which were ordered;—a copy of the grant or charter of her majesty queen blizabeth, to the corporation of the Trinity House of D ptlord Strond, dated 11th June, in the Both year of her reign: a copy of the grant or charter of his late majesty king Charles II. to the corporation of the Trimty House of Deptford Strond, dated 21th June, in the quantity of all the ballast on the river Thames, which has been shipped from the several time. wharfs within the jurisdiction of the corporation of the Trinity House of Deptford Strond, for the last 30 years, particularizing the quantity shipped in each year, and for which the said corporation received one penny per ton, and distinguishing the kind ciple of the bill was to ascertain accurately or quality of the ballast shipped: an account of the quantity of ballast taken from the bottom of the river Thames by the corpora-

trary, he wished the grand jury to have | tion of the Trinity House of Deptford Strond, in each year during the last thirty years: by the corporation of the Trinity House of Deptroid Strond, in their lighters, out of vessels which have entered the port of London, in each year, for the last thirty years, whether such vessels were partly laden, or in on the Stipendary Curates bill, which after being reported, was recommitted for tomorrow.—On the motion of the Chancel-In of the Exchequer, the house went into a committee on the Property Daty bill. Previou ly to its commitment the chancellor of the exchequer stated, that the clauses he proposed to introduce into this bill were merely of a verbal nature, or such as related to regulation only, and not such as would produce any alteration in the rates or quantum of the duty. A number of clauses were then severally read, and, after a good deal of conversation, agreed to. The report was ordered to be received on Friday next. -Mr. Alexander brought up the report of the committee on the deficiencies of the civil list, when the resolution granting to his majesty the sum of 10,458l. is. $6\frac{3}{4}d$. for the purpose herein mentioned, was read and agreed to.—The committee on the Irish Land Partition bill was postponed till tomorrow.—Mr. Loveden, on Monday, gave notice of a motion to be brought on Thursday the 10th inst. He said he did not apprehend it could occasion much discussion, as it would be similar to what he had formerly proposel; for fresh lists of unpaid or unclaimed dividends at the bank, &c .-- but he meant to push the enquiry further than he had before done, and to call for an account of the suitors money locked up by the court of chancery.

[IRISH ELECTION BILL.]—On the question that the Irish Election bill be read a second time,

. Colonel Bagwell said, he had no objec-17th year of his reign: an account of the tion to the principles of the bill, and hoped, therefore, it would then be read a second

> Mr. Lee said, that although he should accede to the second reading, he did not mean to exclude himself from objecting to some particular parts of it.

> Mr. George Ponsonly said, that if the printhose persons who had a right to vote at elections, and to make that right known to those who were candidates, he approved of the

bill; but still there were many clauses to other branches of administration, and to inwhich he meant to object in the commit-

Mr. Richard Martin objected to the bill going into a committee. By one clause in it, any person has a right to enter a traverse against the title of every 40s, frecholder, and it would take fifteen years value of it to pay the expences of defending his right. Besides, the bill vilified the country; for it says that all vice was attributable to the poor, and all virtue to the rich; he therefore ob jected to it, on the ground of unseemliness He thought the election law of the two countries should be assimilated as nearly as possible, and this subject should not be taken! up on such light and flippant grounds as it had been. He thought a committee should he appointed to take the matter into consideration.

Colonel Baguell said there was no duty on freeholders, except on leases, and that was necessary, in order to ascertain the right to the frechold.

Sir John Newport thought he saw several objections as to the traverse and other points, but these might be modified, altered, or done away in the committee.

Earl Temple said a few words in favour of the bill going to a committee. After which the bill was read a second time, and ordered the inquiries necessary for these ends, the to be committed on Thursday.

PETITION I ROM BEDFORD RESPECT-ING THE 10th NAVAL REPORT. -A petition of several freeholders of the county of Bedford, was presented to the house and read; setting forth, "that the petitioners unite with their constituents at large in thanking the house for their resolutions of the 8th and 10th of April, founded on the tenth report of the commissioners of naval enquiry: by the first of those resolutions the house vindicated the character of their country, by censuring a minister proved to have been guilty of a gross violation of law, and a flagrant breach of duty; by the second, the house laid before the sovereign the sense. of his people, and enabled him, by a ready compliance with their wishes, to endear himself more than ever to their loval and affectionate hearts; and the petitioners implore the house steadily to persevere in detecting all other abuses which are pointed at, as well in the tenth as in the eleventh report of the said commissioners, attentively to investigate all irregularities which may be brought to light by any of their succeeding reports, impartially, minutely, and resolutely to examine into the public expenditure in all the

flict exemplary punishment on all who shall be found guilty of, or in any wise aiding, abetting, or couniving at similar frauds and depredations; and that the petitioners are thoroughly persuaded that it is needless for them to urge any fresh motive to the house in order to induce them to adopt such measures; they rely upon the knowledge the house have of their duties, and upon their sympathy and fellow feeling with their constituents, who, during a long, a difficult, and trying period of war, in times of severe hardships and scarcity, have chearfully submitted to the heaviest burthens; that what they granted liberally should be applied honestly was the least the petitioners could hope from men whose consciences and bounden duty enjoined a faithful discharge of the great trust reposed in them. Disappointed of this hope, and finding on the contrary that a minister filling many great and lucrative offices, high in the confidence of his sovereign, one of the foremost in his pretended efforts to reform abuses, has been at length himself detected in coaniving for a series of years at the foulest peculation: the petitioners now appreach the house with their claims to protection and justice; and they trust, therefore, that in prosecuting house will proceed in that spirit of firmness and integrity which dictated the resolutions of the 8th and 10th of April; and that they will not trust this great cause out of their own hands, nor again suffer themselves to be deceived by the plausible promises of men who openly violate the laws of the legislature, and hold in defiance and contempt the wholesome guards they enact against the possible malversations of office; and that the petitioners also trust that the example of the past will act upon the house as a warning for the future; that they will see and acknowledge the just value of those principles on which our ancestors established the power and authority of the house of commons; that the house will feel their office to be that of control over the servants of the crown; and that jealousy and vigilance instead of confidence and compliance, are their true and distinguishing characteristics; to this system the petitioners humbly hope that the house will direct their immediate and unvarying attention, as the system. by which the country may best be defended, and as the only one under which the constitution can be safe."

[PETITION FROM NORFOLK RESPECT-

ING THE 10th NAVAL REPORT. - A pe tition of the gentlemen, clergy, and freeholders of the county of Norfolk, convened by the high sheriff of the said county, at the eastle of Norwich, in the shirehouse there, on Tuesday the 14th day of May 1805, was presented to the house and read, setting forth, " that the petitioners beg leave to express their gratitude to the house for the steps which they have already taken towards the detection and punishment of those servants of the crown who have defied the laws, broken their trust, and applied enormous sums of the public money to their own corrupt purposes of, emolument and power; and that in the name of a loyal and suffering people, the petitioners implore the house not to relax in their exertions; they intreat them to consider how patiently the petitioners have seen millions added to millions of the national debt, the rapid advance in every article of consumption, their burthens increasing, and their means of bearing them diminishing, in the just hope that while engage I in extensive wars what they contributed with cheerfulness would be applied with fidelity, and as the law expressly directed; and that faithful to their first daties, the house have recorded, by the resolutions of the 5th and 10th of April, that the people of England have been grossly wronged by lord Melville; and the petitioners humbly represent to the houle, the necessity of effectually protecting the nation against future depredations; and therefore praying the house, first, to investigate and sift to the bottom the remaining charges of abuse in the application of the public money, contained in the tenth report of the commissioners of naval enquiry: secondly, to examine minutely into the nature of those irregularities brought to light in the eleventh report of the said commissioners, and likewise whatever may appear culpable or suspicious in any of their future reports; thirdly, to institute immediate and rigorous enquiries into the expenditure or every other department of executive government; and that in performing these acts of necessary and expected justice, the petitioners are persuaded that the house will take no other guides than its own wisdom and resolution; and that, warned by the example of detected guilt, and awake to the frauds which have been practised upon their own facility, as well as upon the public purse, the house will perceive the necessity of resorting to those prin-

tem of vigilance and jealousy in preference to one of blind and implicit confidence in manuters."

PETITION FROM SOUTHAMPTON RE-SPECTISE THE 10th NAVAL REPORT.]-A petition of the inhabitants of the town and county of the town of Southampton was presented to the house and read; setting forth, "that the petitioners would feel themselves criminally indifferent were they not to express their gratitude for the votes of the house on the 8th and 10th days of April last, which declared lord viscount Mclville guilty of a gross violation of the law and a high breach of duty, votes which have diffused joy and confidence throughout every part of the united kingdom; and that, among the various irregularities and abuses which have been detected and exposed by the commissioners of naval enquiry, none has created more jealousy and alarm in the breasts of the petitioners than the application of monies, appropriated by the legislature for the uses of the navy, to other purposes, a practice replete with danger to the constitution and to the liberty of this country; and that the detection of such malversations in one department of the state induces apprehensions that others may not be more faithfully and honestly administered; and the petitioners therefore think it their duty to implore the national representatives that their intention, already manifested, of instituting enquiries into every branch of the public expenditure, may be speedily carried into effect, a measure calculated to compose the public mind, to confirm the confidence, and to secure the unanimity and energy of the people."—Ordered to lie upon the table.

RETITION FROM NORTHUMBERLAND RESPECIMNG THE 10TH NAVAL REPORT.] - A petition of the gentlemen, clergy, and freeholders of the county of Northumberland, held at Morpeth on the 24th of May 1805, was presented to the house, and read; setting forth, "that the petitioners beg leave to congratulate the house on the result of the discussions that have taken place in the house respecting the gross peculation and misapplication of the public money, in open defiance of the law, that have been detected by the commissioners of naval enquiry; and they pray the house to persevere in that virtuous line of conduct, which on that important occasion diffused suc regeneral satisfaction throughout ciples which prevailed in the better days of the country; and they intreat the house our constitution, and of acting upon a sys- not only to continue their enquiries into the

to extend the same into every branch of vinced that rig d trugality alone can enal le may have been made in pa hanent to their this country to support the present enormous weight of public burthens, and sustain the awful contest in which we are engaged: and the petitioners implore the house to punish guilt, however protected or exalted, and to rescue from peculation and plunder a loyal people, who have ever willingly contributed to the real exigencies of the state, and who never complain but when their generous temper is impos d upon, and thus will the commons of the united kingdom confirm the confidence of the people, and instal into the hearts of all good men a warm and stea ly attachment to the British constitution."—Ordered to lie upon the table.

[PETITION FROM THE NAVY BOARD RESPECTING Mr. Tucker's Perilion. Sir A. S. Hamond presented a potition from the commissioners of the navy; the object of which was, he said, to obtain from the house permission for the navy board to exculpate themselves from the charge made! formerly a commissioner, addressed to the admirally, on the 4th instant, which they their own honour, from the charges made in the letter first mentioned, and in which they pledge themselves to refute the statement made by Mr. Tucker.—The petition was received, and is as follows: " A petition of the there undersigned principal officer's and commissioners of his majesty's navy was presented to the house, and read; **setting forth that the petitioners have learnt** from the votes, that Benj. Tucker, esq. late a **commissioner** of his majesty's navy, did, on the 25th of April, present a petition to the the secretary of the admiralty of the 21th of April might be called for, and which letter has been since laid before the house, and printed; and that the petitioners deeming Mr. Tucker's petition and letter of a most libellous and slanderous nature against

abuses in the department of the navy, but | address the lords commissioners of the admiralty in vindication of their honour and the public expenditure, and to adopt a solid the proceedings of their board; and being and permanent system of economy, well con- anxious to remove any impression which disadvantage by Mr. Tucker's letter and petition, they pray that the house will be pleased to give directions that a copy of the petitioners' two letters to the secretary of the admiralty of the 4th i stant m y be laid before the house."

Sir 1. S. Humond then moved, that copies of the letters from the coamissioners of the navy to the board of admiralty, of the 4th instant, be laid on the table.

Mr. Kinnand rose, not, he said, to oppose the motion, but to call the attention of the house to the time and manner in which it was brought forward by the bon member. Six weeks had now elapsed since he (Mr. Kinnaird) had given notice of his intention to bring forward a motion of enquiry respecting the conduct of an hoo, officer (sir Home Poplam) on the ground of which motion he was certainly fortified, and very considerably, by the letter alluded to. Dur ing the whole of that time, the navy board scemed to betray no anxiety for the chaagainst them, in a letter of Mr. Tucker, racter of that hon, officer; but now, on the very eve of the day fixed for bringing board of admiralty, on the 24th of April: a forward the motion, the hon, member had copy of which letter had been ordered to come forward with a pention from the navy be laid before the house. The object of board, and without any previous notice had the commissioners in this petition was, to moved for the production of letters, writhave laid before the house two letter, with ten but three days since, which, before the their inclosures, addressed by them to the house knew any thing of their content, were professedly calculated to overturn all deemed indispensable to the vindication of imputation upon the navy board, with respect to the hon, officer. How was it that the navy board, who seemed so much alive to injurious imputations, had not written those letters of vindication sooner, or why was the production of them deferred to so late a moment, as to render it almost impossible for them to be printed in time for the due consideration of members? He hoped the house would be gratified with some explanation on this ground from the hon, member. He (Mr. K.) had given notice to bring forward his question to-morhouse, praying that a copy of his letter to row, and by that notice it was his intention strictly to have adhered; but he begged to remind the house, that this was the very first intimation received of any document intended to be brought forward in contradiction to those documents already before the house, and upon which solely members were them, they have felt themselves bound to left to form their opinions: he would there-

whether he was bound to abide by his former notice in bringing forward his question to-morrow, if the letters moved for by the hon, member should turn out to be of such a nature as to place his intentions to a vi m in which they ought not to stand, or involve any new matter that required farther deliberation, or rendered necessary the production of other documents, in order to the fullest and most mature discussion of a his can conduct, as well in urst proposin. to bring forward the enquery, as in perse the following partiage from the letter of Mar. of ome loss to conjeture have the nave board, by we letter of their could refute st dero at look ally real upon the ground *! the royn realisand accounts. " havalue, I to a t, buly rand cated every port of my conduct through the whole of this m-Nest atton, I think it my duty to tach bord-time, to the country, and to the boase of commons in particular, to call then attention to one of the papers which has been I this the any board before the house or commons, a tea the 19th Lebrury, 1805, (percolott,) purporting to be "an account "of the expend s of the Romay, from the " with Nov. 1500, to the 2d Jane, 1303. " as no rily as can be ascert (med at the payy " office," in order that their lordships may in be, whether that paper could have possoldy been drawn up with any other view than to deceive and mislead the judgement of parliament. That account is declared to be trained, so as to bring under one point of view " how much she exceeded the proportion of the vote of parliament allowed for wear and tear, or came within that sain." Sir, in that statement there are emissions of the most extraordinary nature and magnitude; and I must take the liberty | introduce. to add, in the language of the navy board, that I scarcely think there ever were such extraordinary means resorted to, to propretend that they have not examined that account before they signed it, and that their confidence has been a second time mi-place i, and upon whom will they charge it? Or, sir, will they continue to vouch for the farmess and truth of that paper? pairs, and the stores supplied to her, be- the assertions of Mr. Tucker, no avoidable You, IV.

fore beguided by the opinion of the house, tween December 1801, and June 1803! They have suppressed all knowledge of the stores purchased in the Red Sea, and of those supplied at Madras, as well as of her repair and refit when she was docked at Bombar, in the months of October and November, 1802; notwithstanding they have, in thit very paper, given credit for eant month store such were brought home in her, and mort of necessity have been received at one of these places; and subject so highly important. To vandicate [that it as pears (page 114), "a higge could not have come out of unc' a Chadiam, ovided the characteristic can be the Romvening to that cod, he regged leave to real her sisca shoe chice; " They cannot plead principle of the etches thous; the To bertations, for advanalty, so roup proclases in the Red rescheen ben 16and other upon the subject, that he was point for by the max food other hadin some 378), and the open at Bombar have proved on each (perp 9.1), by the due just a surveyor, of the gavy! These lacts, 115, speak too plain to require any comment from me, or to be susceptible of sat stationy explanation any where, but at then ford hips' table, or at the bar of the house or commons. - ilaving shortly adveried to this passage of Mr. Tucker's letter, he begged leave to ask the hon. member, whether the vindication be proposed to bring forward, applied merely to this part of the letter of Mr. Tucker, or to the whole; and to this question he regreated to call the attention of the house, 1 1 it was of much importance to know, v hethat the vin heatory letters, were intended to cancel the errors stated in this paragraph, or whether they took a wider scope? If to this only, he should bring forward his motion to-morrow. But it to the whole subject of enquity, he should think it indecent to press his motion, until the house had full time to consider the subject of those letters, and be prepared for any subject of discussion they were calculated to

Sn A. S. Hamond answered, that although the letters in question were certainly directed to the leading subject of the duce a particular effect! Will the navy board I hon, gent,'s motion, yet surely there were other topies to which it was equally necessary to direct relutation. He could assure him, however, the letters were so short as to require to delay for consideration, and might, he hoped, be printed in time, or anight he on the table for the perusal of Sir, in that paper they have omitted, by members; and as to the delay of the navy what accident they best know, all her re- board in writing to the admiralty to refute.

delay had occurred; for when the hon, mem- low seemed to be in the contemplation of ber was informed that the navy board were unremittingly employed in their associations from ten in the morning till six in the evening every day, he would perceive they had little leisure to answer the long letter of a gentleman who had nothing to do. He begged to observe, that the navy board opinions thereon, to the house, and such he were brought into this question in a very extraordinary way. The hon, officer, who was the object of the enquity, had stated homself to be extremely anxious that it should come forward, but on reading the original report, he stated that there were sary, of a few days; but however that considerable inaccuracies in it, and re-Imight be settled, he would take leave to ferred for revision to the navy board; say, it was quite impossible that any unupon which revision it appeared that there | derstanding on the subject should be arwere such inaccuracies. It was then upon I rived at to-day, as to any arrangement that this report that the imputations of Mi. Tucker were founded; but he trusted the hoped the house would not, however, pronavy board would not be refused the right | ceed to any decision without a fair hearing, of producing correct statements for then own vindication, which he pledged himself would prove those imputations to be sary for clearing his own character. It was unfounded.

Mr. Kunnaird said he should persevere in bringing forward his motion; but he begged the hon, member to recollect, that the original report was made six weeks ago, and that no attempt had been made to correct its alleged inaccuracies until after the suggestions of the hon. officer (of whose vindication he should be as proud as any man) and on the very eve of bringreport.

Mr. Tierney thought it would be right maturely to consider upon which of the two reports the house was to found its de- one which demanded the fallest investigaliberations. He thought to-morrow too soon, however, to bring the question forbe brought forward might render it necessary to move for others. He could not see that the discussion, as it related to sir Home Pophani, was likely to extend to any great length; for such was the nature of the several items for consideration, that it was impossible for any men but naval officers, professionally and technically acquainted with them, to be competent to the discussion. He hoped the hon, member would postpone his motion for a few days, more especially if the letters should turn out to be such as to require farther time for consideration.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer was inalined to think, the proper mode of pro-

gentlemen, namely, an open discussion by the whole house; but that it would be much more proper to refer the question, with all the documents thereon, to the investigation of a committee, who should be instructed to report the evidence, with their ander-ood to have been the original intention of the hon, member who proposed the question. But if it was to be an open decussion, he could see no inconvenience that would arise from the delay, if necesunght appear expedient on to; morrow. He and affording a full opportunity to the gallant other to bring forward every proof necesnot merely the character of the hon, othcer, it was not merely the character of the navy board, that were at stake; there were other persons, in other quarters, whose characters depended on its result. It was a matter that involved some of the dearest rights of the constitution of this country, and materially concerned the exercise of prerogatives, which involved the safety of every man. A right hon, gent, seemed to ing forward the question upon the original think the subject one which could occupy no great length of discussion, but let it not be imagined, that the subject the question involved was to pass sub silentia, for it was tion.

Mr. Turney was as anxious as the right ward, as the documents now proposed to hon, gent, to silt the subject to the very bottom; feeling, as he did, that the navy board stood in a situation of such high and important trust with the country, that it ought not to remain a single hour in a dubious light. He was therefore inclined to oppose ang delay of the discussion not absolutely necessary; but as to the hon, officer, he could have no sort of objection to allow him every fair opportunity for his vindica-

Mr. Kinnaird said, he was far from abandoning the charge he had made, founded as it was upon the reports of the navy board themselves; but it was impossible not to see that the navy board themselves were connected with the charge, for they asseeding in this case was not that which sumed to themselves that which only was

meant to refer to the conduct of an hon. vessels in commission, either home-built, officer.—The motion of sir A. Hamond was or captured from the enemy; the like of put and agreed to; and shortly atterwards the letters were laid on the table by Mr. Dickenson, and ordered to be printed.

[NAVAL ADMINISTRATION OF EARLST.] Vincent. Mr. Jeffery (of Poole), agreeably to the name of a former day, rose to bring forward his promoed motion, for the production of several papers, relating to the naval department, during the administration of earl St. Vincent; and though, he said, they were certainly voluinmous, there was not one amongst them idle, frivolous, or unimportant; but such | mg, at the moment, to so long a string of as would not rail to make a strong impression upon the mind of the house, and fully to account for the depressed and degraded state to which the lantish navy was at this moment reduced, and which, had lord St. Vincent continued at the boad of our naval affairs to this day, would have sunk to a state still lower, and less competent to meet the formidable enemy with whom we had to contend. He lamented that the task of bringing forward a motion of sol much importance should have fallen to the lot of a person so humble and incompetent as himself; but seeing no other gentleman actempt to take it up, he telt it his duty to bring it forward. He was conscious there was no department in the country more important than that of the navy, or that more ingently demanded strict vigilance and manute investigation. He hoped his zeal upon this point had not carried him too far, or led him to endeavour to disclose too much, for secrets there certainly were, which ought not to be exposed to the possible knowledge of an enemy. Having, however, explained to the house the object of the motion be meant to submit, it would be for their wisdom to decide how far it was right to grant his request; but if the documents he should require should be allowed him, he would himself undertake to prove, to the conviction of every man who heard him, the position he had laid down. The hon, member then proceeded to detail to the house a series of eighteen. motions for returns of the state of the navy, from the year 1793, to the present time, under the several heads of ships of the list and frigates, built in the king's yards, or those of the merchants, distinguishing the periods when contracted for, and when finished, or likely to be finished; the like of such vessels, broke up, or sold, or lost by capture or accident; the like of such I disclosure of the result. The hon, admiral

vessels, manued and equipped for general service, and those for harbour service: these with a view of comparing the state of our navy during the administration of edil St. Vincent; and those which preceded his lordship's appointment, and minediately followed his resignation.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer said, that as to the first motion of those proposed by the hon, member, he had no great objection; but he was by no means prepared to judge of the propriety of agreemotions, involving such a variety of subjects, many of which, upon mature deliberation, it might be utterly improper to comply with. He therefore hoped the hon, member would not be inclined to press such a series of motions, without giving some farther time for the house to consider the propriety or impropriety of

agreeing to them.

Admiral Markham assured the house, that nothing could possibly give to the noble lord, whose conduct was the avowed object of the motions just proposed, higher pleasure than the production of every document, and the fullest investigation of every circumstance that in any degree concerned his character or conduct. That noble lord was conscious of nothing in his conduct that he could have the slightest wish to conceal; and, therefore, on behalf of the noble lord, and so far as he was personally concerned, he had not the slightest objection to the production of all the documents just specified, provided the friends of the noble lord were to be at liberty to move afterwards for the production of such other documents as they should think necessary on the other side of the question. But with respect to the motions, generally, he presumed the hon, gentleman was not aware of the extent and tendency they would go; not merely to the conduct of earl St. Vincent, but of the whole British navy, since 1793, to the present time. As to the distinction between ships built in the king's yards, or the merchants' yards, he had very strong objections, because it would disclose a history of the supplies of timber for our navy, and the sources whence turnished, foreign and domestic. And though the enquiry was certainly a most desirable one, there were very strong objections to a public

gether in quite to good a state as a middle to be of the noble lord. He wished, be wished, where the blame is ted, he did he was it to bring be charge until the not with 10 save but certainly it was not be seen were before the house, out of which with the noble load, upon the whole of that there were to large. He wished to whose consuct, so far was be noted dong fee by was I fore he made his charge, caring coquity, that he carsiously desired but it was not bounded in the docuand or sterial.

Mr. Grey agreed perfectly with the ben. admiral; and as the factor of the public eart, and on his behalf, declared that he the discretion of the hon, mem' and whether many important topics still, end and do if sion, were circumstances high red as it advisable for him to introduce a comprebable that the home could provide on a sair to move ter others quite as volumin [nower contracted for more than the burdous; both must be printed, and what time Impoletwo ships of the line? We this the could then remain of the se sions to read, way to been up the sepert invoices a new to consider, and to discuss them? Much or was it is the entire in the body better would it be for the hen, member, it had non-to-wrighten had now. The The he had any charge to make again t could be lessed to be a control of the hell St. Vincent, to bring it at order. That he voted with the former is not ordered, with all the normal sections of the control of the control of the december of the control mittee, and let them report the oraclored by the many conthe hon, member had loomded his conselect, a the hon e wished. tion, he (Mr. Grey) was yet to leave; but flag more signally decorated with laurels charge must rest upon other proofs. in every quarter of the clobe, than during the period of the noble earl's administra- rise out of the papers. tion? If the hoo, member had any charge to make against the noble each, let him to fix what day he would bring forward his bring it forward. It was an enquity de- Scharge. sirable to the louse, and to the country, and to none more so than to the noble lord, full then he had no objection to withdraw On behalt or the noble earl, therefore, he challenged the enquiry, and hoped it would houses not be relinquished.

was ready to admit, our navy was not alto-fentually owing at this moment to the negments for which he moved, he should nest readily acknowledge his error, and be mady to apply to the house and to the pulshe officer for giving them unnecessary c niede pury. But he would get at to trouble -- With he get to the land acfuned by the first heavy under the the advanced period of the session and the moble of also solome to the content were Stribut dde not a track of a part to the convert rate of processors in which the tound from a various necessary to the direction of the second ready let for his tain so voluminous a string of resolvators, It is to prove the most the most numerous Le believed ever pro-land vanys shifter elemnes in every quister posed at any one time in that house by an of the object 1 and was that the beauted so individual inhiber. "The production of personic and perhapsing it maintained the papers required, might render it neces-[inder the nespices or the noble earl, who and we comally mer and it there appeared to the control of the property of the followed up in a particular to the control of the followed up in a particular to the control of the followed up in a particular to the control of the control of the followed up in a particular to the control of the c The land member had ruled or as a property with though they did not theat graceful and descaded at the of the Bare! I then elses to bring for said this businavy, during the clinners man of the fire entwer old to see the enquiry come noble earl. Upon what covere two earlies and. He had no objection however the affairs of the navy, during all a period, to post, one his motions to a more distant

Mr. Grey replied, that the hon member he begged to ask the bon, member in what high made out no charge against his nolde. period of our nat all history was the British I friend, save by his own ascertions: such a

Mr. Jeffery answered, the proofs must

Mr. Treiney wished the hon, gentleman

Mr. Jeffery answered Thursday; and his motions. - Withdrawn with leave of the

The Chancellor of the Exchequer said, as Mr. Jeftern reflied by saving, he did many of the motions contained papers, the not bring forward these motions lightly. revealing of which might be detrimental to It was no light, charge for him to state the public service, he hoped the hon, genthat the degraded state of our navy was [tleman would give him a copy of the whole,

consider how far it might be proper to peers, and with such a body as presented grant them; to which Mr. Jeffery agreed .- Itself on the reverend bench, he despaned Amounted.

> HOUSE OF LORDS. Wednesday, May 8.

[MINUTES.] The committee of priviless appointed to search tor precedents relative to the me sage from the house or common respective, located allocation two colock tal hyp. Tiring who I time no strangers detectable to Proger were t "and ch acellor then ead, ther who on mountains of pre-mided a perit c Ostord, prayer car de artims on the Catholics might ever reported, which was ordered to be a very first or "The lead · hare clor notified by a few-on or coming rouward on an early day a recoweck, with a motion for having some accord or entry made on the joinuals, of the principle upon which the proceedings that in the Moobtained in the case of Mr. In the Pox proceeded, or, or less to us has a such prompto were and a food by some or to move for a minimum of a not carried to "I dine", that a sur-In that day.

Plant ams And 1. The coder being and T. 1, 1211 card of this bill.

The Earl of Suffold root, in $\beta > 0$ veral observations expressed of his beat lity to the bill, he partice! Is deposed Monday until that does in consequence of the discussion of a measure of alexer ld so injuriously affect the lay patienties of the country, in so thin an attendance of lay peers. He thought, therefore, the consideration of the bill ought to be postponed, until a fuller attendance of the lay peers should be obtuned. There was another objection which struck him forcibly with respect to the bill, namely, its intrenching so materially, in his opinion, upon the statute of Mortman. Though, he thought, in the present state of things future stage, to propose what he intended, and of religion in this country, great acquisitions to the church may not be expected from such a consideration; yet, led more convenient, as to a certain extent, From persons in a dying state, particularly women, something in that way may be required. Yet still, its operation with respect to that statute was, he thought, a material consideration. He again pressed the idea of its being improper to discuss such laation of the bill, as far as such procrasti-

that he might be able, in the mean time, to a measure with so thin an attendance of lay of having his objections properly attended to. One or two of the reverend profites having observed, that not many minutes ago there had been a remarkably full attendance of lay peers, the neble earl observed he was aware of that; then lordships were tired out by listening to a very long conversation: he intimated an intention to move to have the bill postponed.

The Lord Chancellor observed, he should deem it incombent on how to oppose such a motion, the noble earl should recollect, that the bill, both in principle and detail, had been again and gain drawsed; with resect to the objection of the thin attendance of lay peers, a great number were in attendance not ten minutes before, who, if they thought with the noble lord, with respect to the operation of the bill on the lay patronage, would most probably have remained to express such apprehensions. Some few remarks, in the may of explanation, were afterwards interchanged between the earl of Suffolk and the noble and then ford by the stong wintly fout learned lord, in which the latter observed there might be some reers who preferred component not make conversions, but we discurd men to their daty; and the former, and the langer thank, as the proceeding then becod, I would be preferable not to trouble " I her tordshow further at present, accordonly dutte t are lover.

Lord Schroetherspressed his thanks to from 'on 's'm's for having so reaculy de-. rea the recommitment of the bill from his inability to attend; however, it was not his intention then to trouble the comunities with the discussion of the clause he intended to propose, as he believed many of their lordships, who had so recently refued, withdrew upon an understanding that what he meant to bring forward would not be discussed that right. He therefore should hot press it; not that he intended, after what passed, to interrupt the progress of the bill, but to take the opportunity of a possibly on the consideration of the report, the third reading, or, if such may be deem-H would be more regular to discuss his intended propositions in a committee, and with that view to recommit the bill.

The Bishop of Oxford was anxious to show that he never opposed any prograsti-

light upon the question; but in acceding to the proposition of the noble lord, who had an essential amendment to propose, he trusted that no unther impediment would be thrown in the way of the subsequent progress of the bill. The result was, that the report of the bill was ordered to, be received to-morrow, and an apparent understanding, that on Monday, the bill should be read a third time.

The Lord Chancellor presented a bill, the principal effect of which was, to encourage the cultivation, planting, &c. of church, college, and hospital lands, and to provide regulations with respect to the growth, felling, &c. of timber on the same. All he should propose at present would be, the first reading, and printing of the bill, intending it should be over for consideration; and, in the mean time, he should consult the opinions of the reverend personages opposite to lum, and which he meant to take previous to his proposing any thing farther upon the bill. The bill was forthwith read a first time, and ordered to be printed.— Adjourned.

HOUSE OF COMMONS. Wednesday, May 8.

the number of bushels of malt made from barley in Scotland from the 5th of July, 1803, to the 5th of July, 1804, with the duty thereon; and from the 5th of July, 1804, to the 5th of April, 1805. Also, of the number of bushels of malt made in Scotland from here and bigg, with the duty thereon, within the same periods,—A person from the office of the chief secretary in Ireland presented at the bar an account of the expences incurred by state prosecutions in Ireland for the years 1801, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. Ordered to be on the table, bill passed through a committee.—Sn W. quorum. Dolben presented a petition from the chancellor, masters, and scholars of the univer-Ordered to lie on the table.—Mr. Lee brought up a bill for the more expeditious recovery of small debts in Ireland, which was read a first time.—Mr. F. Fane pre-

nation might be expected to throw fuller I had been so unha; py as to draw upon them the displeasure of the house by then conduct at the election for Middlesex, in 1802, at which they had presided as returning officers; for which offence they had been committed to Newgate on the 11th of March, and praying leave to express their sincere serrow for their said offence; and as longer confinement would be prejudicial to then health, and their private concerns, to intreat the indulgent consideration of the house. The petition having been read by the clerk, was ordered to lie on the table; and Mr. Fane gave notice that he should to-morrow move that the sheriffs should he brought up the following day to the bar m order to be discharged. - The Irish Post Road bill was reported; to be read a third time to-morrow.—The Irish Bank Token, and Irish Promissory Note Bills, were read a third time and passed.—Mr. Huskisson brought up a bill for rendering the last duties on wine permanent; another for repealing so much of the 34th of the king as exempts slates under 20s, per ton from duty; and the Spanish Wine Importation bill; which were severally read a first time, and ordered to be read a second time to-morrow.—The Land-Tax Commissioners Name bill passed through a committee. Mr Dent gave notice of a motion, [MINUTES.] An account was ordered of for, an account of the officers who had been promoted during the administration of lord Spencer and lord St. Vincent, in the department of the admiralty.-The committee on the Thames Ballastage bill was discharged, and the bill referred to a select compatice. - A new writ was, on the motion of Mr. Grey, ordered for the county of Galway, in the room of lord Daulo, now earl of Clancarty, an Irish peer.—A select committee was, on the motion of the chancellor of the exchequer, appointed to consider of the state of the accounts between the East India company and the and to be printed.—The Irish First Fruits public; and an order made that nine be a

ILRISH STAMP DUTIES.] Mr. Foster, on moving the order of the day for going sity of Oxford, against the prayer of the linto a committee of ways and means, ac-Catholic petition on the table of the house, | quainted the house, that pursuant to his declaration, when he had the honour to submit to the house the statement of the Irish finances, he now proposed to bring forward his arrangement for an augmentasented a petition from sir William Rawlins, tion of the stamp duties. The various knight, and Robert Albion Coxe, esq. late heads on which he proposed an increase sheriffs of London and Middlesex, stating, were as follows: - A considerable addition "that, owing to unfortunate advice, they on the stamps on admission of attornes and clerks; a rise on the indentures of ap-1 to oppose his motion for the appointment prentices, in proportion to the fees paid, a rise on letters of attorney giving power to grant leases; on letters of attorney to receive rents; on all leases for a reserved rent above 201, or on a fine of 1001, proportioned to the amount of the fine of rent; on probates of wills, the stamps or which would not be so high as in this country; on legacies; on almanacks, the stampduty on which he proposed to raise from (vl. to 9d. each; and, lastly, on mamances of property against fire, which in some instances were higher, and in others lower than the duties payable; and, according to his airangement, would be made exactly equal, in all instances, to the rate of duty in this country .- The house having resolved itself into the committee,

Sir. John Newport said, he did not mean to make any objection to the resolutions, in this early stage; but he apprehended, that the stamp duty upon the indentures of attorneys' clerks might operate against the freedom of election, as these persons, after serving their clerkships, were at present possessed of the right of voting for representatives in parliament, upon which it was by no means desirable that there should be any additional restraint. He hoped, therefore, that the duty would be so modified, as not to be productive of the injurious effects he apprehended from it.

Mr. Poster replied, that he should be very ready to accede to any modifications the hon, bart, may think proper to propose for the purpose of obviating all his appreto, together with an additional one, that the foregoing duties be paid in English currency. He further moved, that an allowance of 71, 10s, per cent, be made to all stationers in Ireland who sold stamps without any agreed to.

Kunaird, pursuant to his notice, rose to make his motion on the subject of the papers before the house relative to the cona statement as he trusted would induce the house to agree to the motion which he •should have the honour to propose, for the appointment of a committee to examine laid before the house on this subject. Since he had been in the house, however,

of the committee, on the part of the gentlemen opposite. It would be unnecessary for him, therefore, to take up the time of the house by any prelatory observations, and he should therefore content himself by reterring to the many important documents contained in the volume before them for the ground of his motion. At present he should confine himself to moving, "That the several papers presented to the house, relating to the repairs of the Romney and Sensible while under the command of sir Home Popham, be referred to a select committee." On the motion being put,

Sir Home Popham rose, and declared that the would not make a single observation on the present motion if he did not apprehend that a silent acquiescence might be construed into a tacit acknowledgement that the motion rested on an actual charge existing against him. If the flon, member had made his motion on that ground, or with a view to such an object, he should have felt himself bound to give it every opposition, because there was nothing of the nature of a charge against him in the papers which he could not completely and satisfactorily refute. There were, he would not dispute, several matters contained in these papers, which it was desirable to have referred to a committee, and consequently he was not disposed to object to the infotion, provided that in so doing, he should not be considered as giving any acknowledgement of any well founded charge against himself. Many gentlemen, no doubt. hension.—The resolutions were then agreed had read the whole of the papers, and from being acquainted with their contents were competent to decide whether such a step was not expedient. His object in rising had been to state a general outline of his conduct, by adverting, if he should be peradditional charge for the paper; which was | mitted, to what had passed on a former night. The hon, member (Mr. Kinnaird) [CONDUCT OF SIR HOME POPHAM.] Mr. | had on that occasion stated, that he had been treated conformably to the usual practice of the navy; that he had been treated in the same manner as three gallant and duct of sir Home Popham. He had come hon, officers whom he had mentioned by down to the house prepared to make such hame, sir Richard King, sir Richard Bickerton, and sir Andrew Mitchell. . He had been extremely surprised to hear this assertion, and though he knew that each of these officers had attended the different the very large body of papers that had been boards, he had been so nervous that he could not bring himself to contradict an assertion so confidently made. But he had he had learned that there was no intention | yesterday received a letter from sir R. King.

that that gall of officer, on his return from India, had had occasion to attend and correspond with the different boards on the subject of his accounts. The navy board were justified, therefore, in the concluding paragraph of their letter, wherein they had stated, that in his case there had been a deviation from the ordinary practice of the board, and that he had been singled out as the victim to such a course. He had felt it necessary to advert to this circumstance, because of the impression that might have been made on the house by the statement of the hon, member, and because at the time of making it, the hon. gentleman had held in his hand, as a document, the scurnlous pamphlet that had been published reflecting on him. That | have ventured in her. He then proceeded it was usual for officers to attend the different boards on such occasions, he proved by reading an extract-from a letter of the navy board to captain Sauce, contained in the papers, in which that officer was called on to attend them on the l'aday after the date of their letter. In another letter, they called on him to explain why he had provided the Sensible, at Calcutta, with a greater quantity of stores than were allowed for ships of that class; and in a letter it was stated that such increase had arisen from an alteration of the establishment of that vessel. The fact was, however, that he had never given orders for ence to the strange accounts that had such alteration, nor had it ever taken place been made up, as would appear from the in the establishment of the Sensible. His letter to the navy board would prove that They had been told in that of criminal prothe Schaible had been nearly a wreck in the Red Sea; and he had given directions to contract for two sets of sails for her, provided, however, that if she foundered at sea, or should not arrive, no experice should accrue to government: as to the establishment of the vessel, he had given orders that such a provision of stores should be made as would fit the vessel for any establishment which the lords of the admiralty should think proper. General Baird, on his arrival in the Red Sca, had proposed to him a plan, by the desire of the governorgeneral, for attacking, in the event of the he was almost ashamed to read, he had expulsion of the French from Egypt, and the return of the Indian army, either the Mauritius or Ratavia. He was not prepared to say, that the force under his com- ber here read a letter signed John M'Namand at the time was adequate to such an mara, stating, in answer to a question put expedition, but he was anxious to have it by him to that gentleman, that he had in such a state of equipment as to be ready | heard, from the publisher of the pamphlet,

confirming his own opinion, and stating | chor, upon which so much stress had been laid, it now appeared that the whole matter was a mistake of the navy board. He owed it to the curiosity of a brother officer, who hearing so much about this circumstance, had examined the original records at Chatham, and found that the ring and shank of the anchor lost in the Indian sea had been returned to that arsenal, that this mistake was corrected; his own letters to the navy board on the subject having been among the papers lost. When he had sailed for India, he had a strong westerly breeze, and he found the ship so crazy, so rotten, and so leaky, that he was obliged to put into Portland Roads, and it it had not been for the importance of the service on which he was proceeding, he should not to the Cape, afterwards to the Red Sea and to Culcutta, where she was near sinking, as would appear from the papers. He tru ted that the bad and leaky state in which his vessel was there, would be a sately ctory reason to the house for the repairs she had undergone. From the in t hipment of his bearing that an unfavourable impression was entertained of his conduct by the admiralty, he had pressed carnestly for a hearing. He had passed, under the imputations that followed, the strongest and severest ordeal that any officer ever had. This would be evident from a referletter of the navy board by Mr. Tucker, secutions, and if those should not answer, of the matter being carried into the exchequer. But he contended that the law that ought to have been appealed to was martial law; that law which had upheld the navy of England. He ought, if supposed guilty, to have been brought to a court martial, rather than to have been made the subject of a paper war. He should have met the court martial with the same fortitude that he had shewn in meeting the scurnlons pamphlet to which be had before alluded, which, from a letter in his possession, that reason to think had proceeded from a source which ought not to have stooped to such unworthy expedients. The hon, memfor any service required. As to the an- that it had come from lord St. Vincent's

advert to a letter written by the navy board, He had in every in a ce retrence dexcomposed of exhortations and threats, and affording an 'extraordinary instance of an attempt to influence the evidence of an interior officer. This letter called on capt. Mitchel seriously to exhort his boatswin to state all he knew, and to inform inm, that from the state of his accounts his evidence might have very serious consequences. This was a call upon him to rack his memory.

Mr. Kinnaud here called the hon-member to order. He had a right, no doubt, to read the passage from the letter, but he aid not cenerate it orderly to put the meaning anto-another form of words; which appeared to him to be rather a comment than a quotation.

Sir Home Poplam appealed to any learned member in the house, whether he had put a construction on the words which apy court of law would not allow. They called up on an interior chicer to rack his inchang ior any tlang during the course of two years that could be brought against the condect of his captain. He should not deny, and it was what might happen to any otheer, that he niight have committed some irresubarties; Inthowas sure, he had not been pulty of any criminal irregularities, that could call for, or warrant the criminal indistry that had been employed to decry his submitted to the commissioners of naval ehquity, whose conduct had been so ably argued, and so universally applauded in that house, that he should feel a pride in abiding by the issue of their examination of Mr. 1 Lewis, and in their report thereon to the on this subject, had stated, that he would feel as much pleasure as any hon, gent, if the investigation of the business should be fapate bim from the calumnies that had been •the naval commissioners, calling on them to state, whether, even from inference or misrepresentation, it appeared by the examination of Mr. Lewis, that any collusion existed between himself and that officer. They replied, that they had made their report to leired, he had the satisfaction to know, that Van IV

board of admiralty. Before he should touch | the three branches of the legislature, and upon the other points, he begged leave to that they could not answer his que cendated 11th of Feb. 1809, to capt. Mitchell, pence, but on a scale de ervin, the arendon of an officer. As to the canvass, who h did not exceed in value 121 and the jume not 211, though the account occupied 3 pages in the report, norther could be supposed worthy of notice. If e had decined it nece-my to advert to these topics, and might have expressed him it with some warmth, but he was sure that would be overlooked, when it was considered how long he had been exposed to the calumnies so industranesh propagated against him, and how severely his own and his lamb, 's redmgs must have been affected. In the whole of the experientials he had been regulated by a de ne to have his ships as highly and is well diessed as they could be. He had given orders in drawing for money that no bills should be drawn at a higher exchange than 2s, 5d, which was lower than the rate of each upe on bills drawn to admiral Blanket, who had also been in the Red Sea. In the rations also there was a raving. The dummation in the extravagant expense of transport to an age had been very considerable. On his arrival in the Red Sca he had taken upon himself the manar ment of the whole of the company's transport tomage, and in a hort time made a reduction in the expense to the amount of 17,000l. per month, which he had toflowed up this the siving unreinted to 27,000l. He did not character. The whole transaction had been advert to these encumstances as instances of any great merit on his part, what he had done was only his bounden duty. He could not, however, but confess that he might have been a little extravagant with respect to his flying sails; but he trusted an additional expence of a few pounds for house. The hon, gent, in his opening speech | such an object would not be looked upon as a ground of disapprobation of his conduct. He had the testimony of the marquis Wellesley as to his measures of revourable to the person who was the object form and retrenchment, together with an of it. Nothing could so completely excul- assurance of every assistance to enable him to continue them. Thus far he had thought propagated against him, as a proof that it necessary to state the outlines of his there was no collusion between him and the | whole conduct, and, as he had stated at naval officer alfuded to. On this subject first, he had no objection to the appointhe had written a short and pithy letter to ment of a committee, provided his acquiescence should not be construed into an admission of the validity of any charge against him. . The report of the committee, he trusted, would be as favourable to his character as he could wish. If he had he had not erred from principle. He did not I to show great and exemplary merit. mean to say, that ignorance was any jutification of an officer's conduct, but he was of opinion that that conduct should be on other occasions. The papers were so just attention to every part of it. He was I they could not be advantageously discussed sensible that he was addressing the most hberal and enlightened assembly in the world, and except the moment that should restore him to the service in which he gloried, the moment of referring his whole conduct to their decision was the happiest of his life. If he had gone too much at length into the particulars, he trusted to the indulgence of the house for having taken up so much of their time and attention.

Colonel Hutchinson said, that as he had paid considerable attention to these papers, it became him to offer a few words. The but all these matters deserved examination impression which a careful perusal of these and those points should be left open to the papers had made on his mind was, that the [committee, for their report to the houre. hon, officer had discharged his duty, not As the motion was worded, it did not emonly with great fidelity, but with great abihty. He lamented that he was not able to convey in words the strong opinion which Sensible. He should suppose there would he had of his merits. He thought that the be no objection to an amendment to this charges against him were of a very serious effect:—" That the committee should exnature, first, that he had defrauded the public, and then (he was almost ashamed) to state it) made use of a false document to screen himself. This appeared to him certain, that a very partial investigation had been made, and very arbitrary proceedings adopted against the hon, baronet, and then that he had been refused permission to attend, and denied the opportunity to prove his innocence. Whether this was the ordinary practice, he had yet to learn. But if it was not, the persons who had begun it deserved the severest censure. He would vote for this motion, not because he thought ! that there was a case made out against only was of opinion that he was innocent, but that his conduct was meritorious, but because he thought that many other points in these papers required investigation.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer observed, that as it was likely the house would come, and his perseverance, and he was happy to an unanimous vote for the appointment of the committee, he should just remark, that he did so under the impression of similar sentiments with those so forcibly delivered by the hon member who spoke last. There The charges against him were frivolous, was not know contained in those papers from which may imputation of guilt could be scentrary, there was much that tended nothing was said of the great saving he

ments, he knew, were not confined to that particular service, but had been displayed considered on a great scale, and with a numerous, complicated, and detailed, that but in a committee, when it would be proper also to consider other collateral matters. There were various points respecting the adminalty and navy boards, and the short examination of one single witness to which their attention might be directed. There was likewise the circumstance of the publication of the report from one board to another. The loss of the vouchers was a matter fit for enquiry, as well as the saigular manner in which an English subject, an officer of the navy, had been impressed He should torbear entering into details, brace enough for this purpose, but only respected the repairs of the Ronney and amme the matters of the repairs of the Romney and Sensible, and the proceedings of the admiralty and navy boards, and commussion of naval enquiry thereon, and also enquire into the circumstances of the unauthorised publication of Feb. 20, 1801, the loss of the vouchers; and the circumstances of impressing Mr. David Evan Paitholomew; and report to the house, with such observations as arise to them from the consideration of the whole."

> Mr. For objected to the word unauthor rised, and the chancellor of the exchequer agreed to the omission of it.

Mr. Jeffery (of Poole) said, that the the hon, officer; on the contrary, he not hon, officer, in what he had that night so clearly and eloquently stated, had convinced his mind that he had discharged the important and arduous duty entrusted to him, in such a manner as reflected the highest honour on his zeal, his talents, in the opportunity of paying this small tribute to las ments. He thought, from every thing that he had observed, that the hou, officer was a highly oppressed man vexatious, and litigious in the highest degree. The whole rested upon about 12 l. against the gallant officer; but, on worth of canvass and some oakum, while had made. other, instead of incurring the censure, ought, rather to receive a vote of thanks from the house.

Mr. Da. id Scott meant at first to have objected to the committee, as no case had been made out; but on the grounds on which the motion now rested, he would vote for it. When he was chairman of the East India company, he had corresponded with sir Home Popham, whose conduct had made the most lacourable impression on his mind; that impression had been increased by the jorusal of the papers on the table. Serious observations hal been made on the expenditure of oakum and other tailes, while the saving of 27,000l, per month in the reduction of tonnage was overlooked.

Admiral Markham declared, that the pumphlet mentioned by the gallant beronet certainly did not proceed from what had been termed lord St. Vincent's board of admiralty. Whether his hon, friend wished to assert any share in the manuta ture of it, he did not know, but for turnself, he utterly disclaimed it: the first time he had ever heard of it was last November at Portsmouth. The scurnitty of this jumplifiet had been insisted on, but surely at was not more scurnlous than the pain, like antecedertly published by the hon, baronet himself, in which he had indulged in observations calculated to dename and disgrace one of the highest public boards in the country. The hon, adinitial read several passages from the law opinion annexed to sir Home Pophani's natiative of the proceedings, between him and the navy and admiralty boards, in support of his statement, and contended, that it a pamphlet, accusing an individual of reproper conduct, was unjustifiable, one of the same tendency, by an officer, against a public board, was still more so. The hon, admiral called on the right hon, gent. (the chancellor of the exchequer) to consider, whether or not, by the measures he house with a very few words. The hon. was now pursuing, he might not destroy that service which it was his duty to uphold. The liberty of the subject, to which he had alluded was a very ticklish point, and he cautioned him how he allowed it to be agitated. He warned him, lest by persevering in the system which had been adopted, the whole navy should go to ruin. His noble friend had persevered against a host of enemies in endeavouring to improve the state of the navy. Whether they

In his opinion, that hon considered the civil or the military services of that noble lord, the house would ultimately find him entitled to their warmest thanks; and he could not help beheving, that the right hon, gent. wished m his heart that the navy was in the situation in which it was when his noble friend retired from office.

> Sit Home Popham said, he should be very 2 ad to leave the comparative scurility of the two pamphlets to the determination of the committee.

> Mr. W. Dickenson jun. reprobated the keeping of the gallant officer in a state of suspence for such a length of time. Why was it that sir home Popham had not been tried by a court martial? Or why had not a civil or criminal prosecution been instituted against him?-Because, in that case, lacts must have been distinctly proved; because a jury must have been convinced of his guilt before they returned a verdict against him. Instead of this, the conduct of the hon, baronet had been subunitied to the consideration of the commissioners of naval enquiry, who very fairly declared that it was not a fit subject for their investigation, but for that of a court martial alone. But why had it been referred to the commissioners of naval enquiry? Because that was a court dilatory or summary, as they thought proper. Because that was a court in which a man, contrary to all the principles of British jurisprudence, was expected to criminate himself, and it he declined doing so, he was stigmatized with the name of the hero of the fifth clause!

> Admiral Markham said it was not a fit business to go to a court martial. There was such a complicature of charges, and such a mixture of different matters, that a court martial would not have been equal to its full and adequate investigation. This was at least his own opinion, and on that he had acted.

.Mr. Sheridan begged to trouble the gent, who spoke last but one had said he would not say any thing against the naval commissioners, and had immediately afterwards styled them a court which night acquit or delay, according to their caprices, and that, they might wish for persons to criminate themselves. This was a kind of language he could not bear, and thought no member ought to use, when the commission had been renewed, and the same powers invested in their hands by the house,

thought they were a court acting accord-feliclen by ballot. ing to carree, or wishing persons to citmusic themselves, he should in a man- proceeding. ly way have come forward, and stated such form. It hasned a commuttee in the early facts as strong objections to the recevar) part or the evening respecting the India of the computsion, and not at this take business. deal out in inuctions against from grather men who had acted with emach cal, that it did not follow such was to be the fidelity, diligence, and makable in oct , invariable in de of appointment. There in the great trust reposed in there, as to ac- has a considerable difference between a quire most deservedly the proverad oppro-benomitee, such as that the hon, pent, had

megn to case any refreshorement the consmissioners of maral enquity, on the contrave he had said, that do is common well how con the subject. the toal by court marcal, of which he

approved.

Mr. Komend. hove ter induced be mide! be, would not give we to the receptation of milian, that of tem at in the subject which had rand; so everyleady prepared to make. In reply to the a caration of against him, by said, that not being aware in the first it, tance of the excent of the papers that it would be necessary to call be obliged to negative his appearance of. for, it was respossible for him to by a time for returning them to a committee. He asked the hon, gent, in what part of the papers was to be found what he had in vain looked for, the wish expressed by the commissioners of naval enquiry, to decline the investigation of the gallant baronet's conduct, and to refer it to a court mutuil. The observations made by the right hon. gent. opposite, on the impressing of Mr. Bartholomen, were extremely unfair, as they implicated the conduct of a noble lord, which, he was convinced, when it came to be scrutmized, would be found to be in the highest degree praise worthy and Monourable.

Mr. W. Dickenson jun. had not stated that the wish of the commissioners of naval enquiry to decline the investigation of ser H. Popham's conduct, and to refer it to a court martial, existed in the papers before the house. It had been mentioned by anhon, baronet at the head of that commission, and confirmed by a conversation be had with him on the subject that morning, The motion as amended by the chancellor of the exchequer was then put and carried.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer; as he saw"the bon, gent, was prepared with a list of names, took the opportunity of in-

as they had before. If the how, cent. the should propose the committee to be

Mr. Kinnaird remonstrated against this The right hon, gent, had

We Chancellor of the Exchequer said, bacon and appliance of the resolutionary, I think I to, and one to which makes that Mr. W. Pakenson junt so the did not found to commute an individual was to be referred.

Mr. Kinnaird doctried caviding the

"Ino Speaker observed, that it would be breesay, for thosake or hard, to not o e the first name on Mr. Emmand's let, which happearing to be test of Mr. Canamy produced a considerable deal of laughter.

. The Chancellor of the Exchequer remarks delay that had been be and forward od that he certainly could have no objection to his right hom. friend, although for the sake of the general principle, he should

> Lord Temple could see no other rate or the conduct of his right hon, relation opposite, except that when he himself cuose to-nominate, he thought it right; but i any person on the other side proposed it, he found a ballet the only mode.—The motion was negatived. And the chancellor of the exchequer moved, that the number of the committee be twenty-one, and that they be chosen by ballot; both which metions were agreed to. - Adjourned.

> > HOUSE OF LORDS. Thursday, May 9.

The house sat in a committee of privileges from five o'clock tril eight, relative to the message from the house of commons respecting lord viscount Melville, during which time no strangers were admitted.— Prayers were then read, and after forwarding a few private bills the house adjourned.

> HOUSE OF COMMONS. Thursday, May 9.

[MINUTES.] On the motion of Mr. Levcester, it was ordered that a message be sent to the lords, requesting their lordships to permit lord Harrowby to attend and give evidence before the committee of timating, before he heard them read, that naval enquiry .- The Irish land partition

full passed through a committee, and was session house, and had to carry their witordered to be reported to: morrow. The lucses so far, and to pay their expences. hallot for a committee on the charges which rendered it a great hardship on all, against sir II. Popham, was put off till and actually precluded many from pursuto-morrow, on the suggestion of Mr. Kin- ing their just claims. He thought, therenaird, as several members did not know love, the bill would prove very advantagethat it was to have come on this day. -Mr. lows to the country, and as such would vote Shaw presented aspetition from the cor-[for the second reading. Mr. Lee said, poration of the city of Dublin, against magistrates were allowed to try causes of the Roman catholics' claims. Ordered to be lar i on the table.—On the motion of Mr. Fane, it was ordered that the late sheriffs of the county of Middlesex, be tomorrow brought to the bar, in order to their being discharged .- The Chancellor [ING THE TENTH NAVAL REPORT.] A peof the Exchequer moved, that the order for the house to morrow going into a committee on the bill for the continuance of the naval commissioners' powers, be discharged, and that a new order be made for Tuesday next. Ordered.—On the motion of the same right hon, gent, it was ordered, that the committee on the bill for authorizing presented to the house, by Mr. Charles on jury into other departments, be put off to the above-mentioned day also.—The house resolved itself into a committee on the Irish election regulation bill, in which several verbal amendments were made. Upon the house being resumed, the chairman reported progress, and obtained leave to sit again on Wednesday next.-The report of the committee on the Irish stamp duties act was brought up. The resoluresolutions.—Mr. Foster presented copies of the letters patent of the lords commisland. Ordered to be laid on the table,— The wine duty bill, and the slate duty bill were read a second time, and ordered to be referred to a committee to-morrow.reading of the Irish small debt bill, Mr. debts were recoverable at the quarter sess as highly necessary. He said that the re-

5l. for wages, and why not for common occurrences?—Tue bill was read a second time, and ordered to be committed tomorrow.

PLITTION FROM BERKSHIRE RESPECTtution of the gentry, clergy, and freeholders. of the county of Berks, assembled at a public meeting for the purpose of taking into consideration the propriety of a lopting resolutions in consequence of the decision of the house on the tenth report of the commissioners of faval-enquiry, was Dunday, and read; setting forth, " that the petitioners have read with indignation the intimation in the vote- of the house, of the abuses discovered in the said report; and they share the national exiltation at the resolutions of the house of the 8th and 10th of April last; and the petitioners observe with gratitude that the house intend to prosecute further enquiry to the detection of all abuses which may exist in tions were read and agreed to, and a bill any other department of the state, and ordered conformably to the tenour of the that they have ordered bills to be prepared for that purpose; and the petitioners entestam a confident hope, that in framing stoners of his imagesty's treasury in Ire-1 the above-named bills, the house will carefully provide that the power constituted will be equal to the professed object, both as to the authority to be conferred, and the integrity of those by whom it is to be On the order of the day for the second executed? and that the house will attend particularly to obviate a repetition of the May objected to the bill, on the ground of obstacles raised by persons in office to inits throwing too large a quantity of busi- vestigation; for the petitioners subunt, ness on private gentlemen, acting as magis-sthat if any thing can be worse than a deeptrates, who had already as much as they rooted system of abuse and peculation in knew what to do with; and as these small the management of the public money, it would be the institution of a system of sions. Sir J. Newport supported the bill revision, in its nature a burlesque upon investigation, and in its result a mockery of covery of small debts was very precarious justice; and that the petitioners conceive, and uncertain at present, as it was attend- that the most effectual method to check ed with considerable expence. Persons labuses, will be to punish already detected might now recover to the amount of 51. | delinquency; and they therefore hope the before the magistrates at the quarter ses- house will be deferred by no considerations sions; but many of them in the different from pursuing with effect what they have sounties lived 15 or 20 miles from the begun with so much honour; and that cators of the law."

HOUSE OF LORDS. Fridey, Max 10.

[MINUTES.] Mr. Leycester, chairman of the select committee of the house of commons on the tenth naval report, attended by several members, presented at the bar a message from that house, requesting that lord Harrowby might be permitted to attend the said committee. The lord chancellor, having previously put the question, desired the messengers to be called in, and informed them, that their lordships would send an answer to the house of commons, by messengers of their own.—Mr. Alexander brought up from the commons the Irish Loan bill, the Irish were severally read a first time.

[ROMAN CATHOLIC PETITION.] Lord Grenville moved the order of the day for taking into consideration the petition of the Roman Catholics of Ireland. The petition was then read by the clerk, and will be found in p. 97 of the present volume.

After the petition had been read,

Lord Grenville rose, and addressed the house as follows: - My lords, I was anxious that your lordships should hear this petition read through previous to my offering any observations on the sulfect of it, both on account of the many weighty arguments which it contains in favour of that which the petitioners humbly pray your lordships for, as well as for the strain of loyalty which pervades it, and the respectful, moderate, and temperate language are couched. When the petition was first presented, I expressed an earnest hope, and such seemed to be the wish of all your lordships, that whatever opinions individual peers may entertain upon the subject, that the matter of the petition should be temperately, dispassionately, and impartially discussed. I was then happy to perceive such a temper and such an understanding prevail; and I now beg leave again to express my most anxious wish,

they will not relax in their efforts till they considerations to which I shall have the have brought all persons concerned in the honour to call your lordships' most serious misapplication of public monies to condign attention, may be temperately, dispassionpunishment, and given to the world a sig- ately, and impartially discussed. Such, nal demonstration, that in the representation will lords, is my anxious wish, and such, tives of the people will be found, not the I am persuaded, is the earnest wish and abettors of imiquity, but the faithful guar- prayer of the petationers themselves. They dians of the nation, and the zealous windi- have felt the operation of party violence and party heat. They know, that from party violence and party heat they have much to fear, and certainly nothing to hope; and if, on this occasion, they look with confidence to better hopes and brighter prospects, it is because they are confident they address a body of men in whose minds party heat and party violence will not be suffered to have the smallest influence.-With respect to myself, allow me, my lords, to assure you, that I know myself to be utterly incapable of the waskedness (for wickedness it would be) of speaking with party views or feelings, while discussing the interests, nav, the rights of millions of British subjects, and on a topic on which the peace and unanumity of the empire may eventually depend. I Bank, and the Irish Post Roads bills, which | might venture to appeal to your lordships, and to every man who hears me, whether, if this were a question likely to conduce to views of such a nature, or if the individual who is addressing you could suffer party views to influe ce his conduct, all those motives which usually operate on men's minds, would not lead to a line of conduct precisely different. The person who undertakes to bring this great question before you, is well aware that he has to encounter numerous and powerful encmies; that he must subject hunselt to much prejudice, much clamour, and much misrepresentation; and that he must incar the imputation of indifference, perhips of hostility, to the civil and religious establishments of the country; establishments, the security and perpetuation of which are the dearest objects of his heart. All in which those arguments and that prayer these difficulties he must encounter; and in return what has he to expect? The satisfaction of having discharged a great public duty, namely, that of bringing before vour lordships a great and national question, and the consequences that, whatever may happen hereafter, he will not have to reproach himself with any of the evils which a may result from the rejection of the petition, if rejection should be its fate. This, my lords, is not all that I have to remark upon this part of the subject. I consider that this night the important subject and that it will be a great evil and misfortune

as bearing no comparison in extent with the mischiefs which mevitably must have ensued, if no opportunity had been allowed for a fair and full discussion of this momentons question. It will, I am sure, be respectfully entertained and delibetately discussed. The question will be placed on such grounds, that, whatever the decision of this night may be, no man will go away without the fullest conviction that the day cannot be far distant when the great and important objects of the petition will be attained. But, my lords, if instead of laying it before parliament, those who brought it forward had your back and told those from whom they had received it, that there could not be found one individual ready and willing to present their petition; that not only the voice of parliament was against them, but you, in taking any one single, step towards that the doors of parliament were shut to providing for the exigencies of givernment, then complaints—it such had unhapping of the people, or the various been the case, I ask every considerate and matters committed to the legislature, ever temperate man, what must have been the to loss sight of the fact that the co-fourths of copiession on the minds of that body on the people of Itelandare Roman catholics. whose behalf I am addressing you-what and must be previded for as Roman caother impression could there possibly have tholes. If I am asled, whether, supposing been, but that of absolute despan? What a mar could fegulate the thing by a wish, would it have been short of shewing the it would be desirable that the unity which great majority of the people of Ireland, that all the expectations which were held out to them by the upon were to be completely frustrated? The effect which has been produced on their minds by receiving their petition is, that they are convinced they will have an impartial parliament, sider their wishes with all the attention due to so numerous and respectable a class of our fellow-subjects. There said thus much in order to vindicate myself, and explain the reasons why I am clearly of opinion, that this petition, if rejected, would have been attended with the most fatal consequences; and also to vindicate the petitioners themselves, for the solicitude they have expressed, that then petition may be consideration.—And now, my lords, how shall I begin to lay before you this grave, extensive, and most important question? My lords, I would begin with that which is the system, the fact, and foundation of the whole proceeding, but which, simply

to the empire if the prayer of this petition | mean the fact, that you have, in the united is not granted; but that mistortune I hold kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, a. population consisting of 3 million according to the lowest, and o. 5 million according to the highest computation, of fellow subjects, who have been brought up and -rlucated in the catholic religion, who proless that religion, who are attached to it, and whom the close you must consider, for every purpose of government, as persons to be treated as Roman catholics. When this question is brought before you, you are not to consider it as we in this country are apt to look at the Roman catholics, as a body of men, nowever respectable, small in number, and forming only an exception to the general mass of population; but you are to consider, that in that part of the united langdom called Ireland, three-fourths of the population are of the description of Roman catholics; that it is impossible for we have established in concernment should prevail in matters of faith? I have no hesttetion in saying, that it would be a happy thing indeed it we were all united in our religious as well as in our political and constitutional opinions. But we are to consider the question not as we wish it, But as it worked upon by no local prejudices, to con-jes, as it has been since the revolution, and as it is likely to containe beyond any pemod of legislation we can contemplate. This being the case, I hope there is no man who. mercly because he wishes there was not this body, flatters bins-cli that he may shut his eyes to the population of three-fourths of the country, and content himself with saying. "I will provide to: that which belongs to the protestants, but I will take no cognizance of the other larger preportion of respectfully submitted to your lordships the population." I hope no man entertains such an opinion. If he does, I wish him to cast his eves back to any period of the history of this country, and to point out what moment there was in which the distinction of religious faith, as it regarded the concerns of the large population of caand plant , appears to me hardly to have tholics, did not form, I will not say a leadmade the reast impression on the minds ingencurretance, but the leading encumof the ... who are averse to the petition; I stance in the situation of the country; and

I would ask him, whether he thinks it would I tinue the irreconcileable enemies of the be possible to carry on the legislation of the profestant establishment of Ireland, and country, if parliament should shut its eyes to the protestant government of England. that which constitutes the leading circumparliament has always acted upon this distinction of religious faith, is a fact which'I will not detail by long historical narration, because I take it for granted that your lordships have a full knowledge of the subject. It will be abundantly sufficient if I refer your lordships to what, since the revolution down to the present reign, and from the present reign to the present moment, has been the system. At the period of the revolution, this great mass of the population of Ircland was unhappily connected with political opinions adverse to the principles of the revolution. I believe I may state, history, that from the concurrence of cucumstances familiar to all your lordships, the catholic opinions were at that time intimately blended with political opinions ad-the whole catholic population from the verse to the government of the protestant sland, or to reduce them to the state of interest. A great difficulty arose out of a poor, ignorant, illiterate peasantry. Such this fact to those who wished to maintain the relations between Great Britain and by a great man, never was a system more Ireland. It was not to punish religious opinions, not to put down religious opinions, not even because the catholic religion, as such, implied particular civil opimions in church and state, but it was because those opinions were connected with opimions favourable to the exiled family, that it was found expedient to exclude the catholics from certain objects of participation in the constitution and government of the country. I conceive it is not necessary for me to arraign the polycy of the measure. Whether it was expedient, depended on local circumstances, of which it would be difficult to judge at this distance of time; but this at least we know, that the situation of that day, is not the situation of this day. In justice to the memory of one of the greatest princes that ever existed on the face of the globe, and one of the warmest friends of liberty and incmore of king William that we must atteibute the measures that were taken after were measures forming no part of any systion or commircuce. In a subsequent reign the system was thes: an opinion was maintained that the catholics of Ireland, merely

That no kindness, no protection, no lapse stance and feature of the country. That of time, no community of interest, no intercourse, nothing could reconcile the eternal hostility of the catholics to the government of this country. Upon that assumption, incapable of proof by argument, the next principle adopted was this, that it was therefore necessary, not only to exclude Roman catholics from all participation in the government, and from all share in the constitution, but that they were not to be allowed even any influence, because influence led to power; not to be allowed to acquire any property, because property led to influence; not to enjoy the iree toleration of religion, or the cidinary rights of without any deviation from the truth of mairiage; not to be permitted to have the least intercourse with one set of the ling's subjects. Means were devised by perelties, proscriptions, and disabilities, to drive was the principle; and, as it has been said admirably calculated to produce the object it had in view. The effect of it was, that those who were deprived of the benefit of education were kert in entire agnorance; those who were excluded from acquiring property were left to languish in extreme poverty; those who were persecuted, oppressed, and excluded from intercourse with their fellow-subjects, became diegether alienated from society; and, in proportion, their minds were example ted against their oppressors. If I could entertain the smallest question as to the mipression on your lordships' maids by this statement; if I had the least doubt of the detestation with which every individual in the country considers such a system, I should perhaps have avoided stating the fact. I state it for this reason, because it enables me to exhibit the pleasing contrast of the measures adopted durtoleration, let me say, that it is not to the ing the period of his present majesty's reign. I speak now of the period in which his majesty found 3 or 4 nuthous of his subjects wards. We may confidently say, they m the state I have described. I desire vour-lordships to consider what has been ten that could have obtained his approba- the conduct of his majesty's government, what has been the object, and what has been the consequence at has produced. I would desire you to consider the striking because they were catholics, must con-|contrast afforded by the better system of

gradual ametioration, by massures which have been the more effectual because they have been gradual, in asmes which, by their mildness, have revered the whole of the former system. It is perhaps harely to be crear d, then it was note sary, in the reign of the sovereign unity rathern we live, to pass an act to enable the Rom me abolics to inter marry with the rest or his raid six's subjects. You have geen them a full toleration, and the lements of education, you have taken away to e colour mersures which proqueed the dispotent of families, you become and the infultival the comto a brigham of the people a participatio; in the soil, and by anowing them to that mais benefits; you have extuden to them all the advantages they are entitled to, exceptione, the most important of any xeabore given them, the experse of the elective tranchile and a share in the exicutive administration. You have done it is, and the result has taken place which the measures were edculated to produce. By i degrees the wealth of becond has extended itself. Tew countries, if we except the calametous remod of the rebellion, ever m to short a time made such improvement in agriculture, commerce, wealth, and general condization. When you gave this encouragement to agreenleure in Ireland, and adopted measures, to increase, the prospe nty of the country, you were aware that the sugmentation of wealth would have show itself in the lower and middling classes of society. As the country advanced in wealth the people derived a greater share of influence, and you found it necessary to give them, some, share, in the consultition. By the ing namenty of Great Britam, you acknowledged the right of an independent government. This state of times brought you to the year 1702, when, by a measure which every one will reflect on with pride and satisfaction, you at last extended to the catholics of Ireland a participation of all the privileges of British Wat supposing the objections were not so subjects, with the exception of those referred to in the petition. You Lave them the privilege of voring at electrons on mem-[bers of parliament; you allowed them to be appointed to all offices, except the small number mentioned in the set of the Irish parliament. Here then was the fact have probably occurred to enquire, whether of a system gradually pursued up to the period; and here, for a time, a stand was made, not, I hope, on the operion of those who made it, that it was right to take a ships whether these difficulties ought to Vol. IV.

policy during the present day, a system of fixed stand, and say, we will admit you to hese privilege, but from all beyond them e must exclude you. The reason, Lajmeliend, was entirely diderent, it was because the system of ameligration had not been sudden but gradual; because expenence had shown you, that alonying a privilege at one period did not infer the expehency of danying it at another; because, when adopting measures of ach extent, and openiting so much on the passions of men, it was necessary to know what you could give without interruption to that harmony, which it was your wish to mainam, in order that it might appear that that was given, large as it was, was granted with a liberal hand, and that the manner of the gift might tend to conciliate the nunds of those to whom it was given. In a pendently or these considerations, there are others of great, I may say, almost decisive weight. I have no difficulty in stating, that they are considerations which make it doubtful whether these privileges could have been given in the Irish parlament, without the rik of something like a consulsion. In the first place, the parliament of Ireland, particularly the popular part, was constituted, not as ours is, If me up by a concurrence of accidental carre, and producing a just expression of the serve of the country, but it was conattated with the precise object of making the leg-lature a protestant one, to the exclusion of three-tourths of the population. You know, that when a large addition was made to the representation in the house of commons in Leland, it was for the purpose, and with a view of rendering it as exclusively protestant as possible. It was a que from much agreated, whether, if the mint of siting in the parliament of Ireland we conceded to the catholics, a grant of that nature could be made without leading to consequences which no man would venture to foresee. Another difficulty arose of great moment, which was, vell founded as they were judged to be, or, being well founded, measures had been proposed to remove them without danger or mischier, and that the parliament of Ireland could have been open to the cathohe population of the country, it might such a measure would have been consistent with the microsis of Ireland, with referonce to this country. I ask not your lord-

did present themselves, and were consillantiously desired. British empire, these difficulties are removed. By the operation of that great measure, the union of the two kingdoms, Irish parliament have no bearing on the representation of the united parliament. The other objection is also removed; because, whatever influence and weight you would have given to the proportion of the catholics over the parhament of Ireland, exactly the same must be given to the proportion of the protestants of the parliament of the united kingdom; therefore the difficulties which prevented the adopopinion of many, would have made it inpossible to have carried it into effect in the Irish parliament: however, not only did the union remove these great and importart difficulties, but it did two things more, which I trust you will not omit to bear in mind, on considering the motion with which I shall trouble your lordships. It did, in the first place, excite great hopes in the minds of the cathelic sof Ireland, that, by the operation of the union, they would be relieved from their disabilities. No authorized assarance was ever given, no promise was ever made to the catholics, that such a measure would be the consequence of the umon; but, it is no less true, that by the arguments of those who supported the union, by the course of reasoning, in doors and out of doors, hopes were given, would be more favourably considered here than it was ever likely to be in the parliament of Ireland. Those who wished well to the union could not so far betray their trust as not to state, that one of the recoumendations of the measure was, that it did seem to afford the only practicable mode of preventing the renewal of the disputes which had produced such calamither from persons authorized, or not authorized to make assurances as to the effect of the union, that the hopes of the catholics were raised; it was from the na ture of the subject itself that they entergreat and sanguine expectations that the spire each other, a resolution to defend the

have existed; no man can deny that they measure would lead to the consequences so This assurance was dered of great weight. It happily is the given to the catholics upon the authority of case at the moment I am addressing you, levery man who spoke in parliament, whethat by a measure, which, I trust, has ren-lither in or out of office, that if the united pardered the mist lasting benefits to the hament was assembled, they would undoubtedly be permitted to present their petition at the bar, that their petition would not only be received, but it would be delithe objection that arose to the forms of the benately considered, and that whatever difficulties had before stood in the way of the accomplishment of their object, it was impossible that the united parliament could refuse to give their utmost attention to the subject, to enter minutely into it, to exaname it fully, not by one sweeping vote, but to pursue it in detail, and to investigate all the circumstances respecting the interests, state, and condition of that imyou come to the question untettered by portant part of the population of Ireland. It is this pledge which I now call upon you tion of the measure before, and, in the to renew. It is not now that I am going to call on you to adopt any measure. I shall state what is the measure you ought to take. All I have to propose is, that having now, for the first time since the union, this great body of men coming before you with their petition, you will consider it with temper, and with a sincere desire to do all that lies in your power to compose the ammosities of that part of the kingdom, and to render all the subjects of the kingdom happy in the enjoyment of equal privileges, equal rights, and an equal participation of that constitution so justly entitled to universal admination and respect. The question, therefore, which you will consider, and I shall conclude by moving, is, that the house will resolve itself into a committee, to consider the petition which has been read; and I hope it will not be forthat the subject of catholic engine pation gotten, that the motion cannot be negatived, except by those who are ready to say, not only that they are not prepared to go to the full extent, but that they will not enter into the consideration of the question. For my own part, I have no hesitation in saying, that in my opinion, it is highly expedient the whole of what is larked in this petition should be conceded. What the union has imposed upon you is, ties in Ireland. It was not, therefore, ei- the duty of providing in the most effectual manner for the real essential union of all the inhabitants of this kingdom, not in government, and policy, and law only, but in interests, in affections, in devotion to the maintenance of the constitution, and in tained, and were justified in entertaining, that resolution with which we ought to in-

tion of expediency to a certain degree; I have no doubt that such is the fundamental principle of all governments. It was so when the question was agitated in the reign of king William. The question that ought to have been considered in the reign of queen Anne, is the same that now engages your lordships' attention. It is this: Is there any expediency in continuing these restraints by which 1,000,000 of British subjects are deprived of the benefits of the constitution? Now, in this part of the subject I should state what I conceive to be a principle of eternal right and eternal justice, so evident that it is for those who maintain the propriety and expediency of the restricttions, to shew that the necessity exists. The first principle of the British constitu tion is, that we shall enjoy equal laws; that there shall be no man, however low his situation, who shall not, in point of political liberty, feel himself on a footing with the highest in the country. I repeat, that those who contend for the continuance of any restraint, are bound to shew, not only that it was expedient at the time, but that the expediency continues to exist. This principle I had occasion to state upon a much less important subject, and there was a disposition manifested on the part of some of your lordships to contest it, but it was with infinite pleasure I perceived, when persons of the highest authority rose, that it was impossible for them to state an argument worthy of the slightest attention by those who had the common use of their understanding, in favour of the continuance of restraints on any other ground than that of expediency. If there are persons in this house who are disposed to contend against placing the Roman catholics upon the footing of other subjects, I hope they will tell fortices, professing a religion which disquayour lordships what the necessary is for debarring them of their just rights. I should fit to come to the table of acoust of justice, feel warranted in making the quotion I mean to conclude with, without any other observation than this; that to my under-|disloyal, can agree that they ought to be standing no such incressity or expediency (permitted to tome to a country justice to does or can exist; none such has been swear to their loyalty. But I have feen stated. But I am willing to take the proof told, and I know that endegroups are made

country against all its enemies. The ques- | be imposed upon me, but because I feel tion is, in my view of the subject, a ques- such complete conviction, not only that the cause is right, but that it is so manifestly that is, I do unquestionably hold it to be too and palpably so, that it is almost unnecesthe benefit and safety of the whole country, sary for me to anticipate the objections that it should be adopted in cases where which I expect may be unded against it. the safety of the whole empire requires it: The principle I take to be, that the British it is incidental to the rights of all legisla-| constitution is founded on the basis of equal tures to impose restrictions and disabilities, laws. I admit that there should be some distinctions with regard to privileges enjoved by different parts of his majesty's subjects; but that such distinctions are to impose restraints on four or five millions of people, and to have the effect of debarring them of their rights, is what I cannot assent to for a moment, without the ex-Istence of some strong, numberst, and palpable expediency. The catholics come before you, restricted from the enjoyments of seats in parliament; from the highest offices in the executive government, from the superior offices in the civil, military, and nar al professions; from the office of sheriff, and by qualified restraint from offices in corporations. The question is, what is the expediency, the necessity, which should induce you to continue these restraints? The first ground I have leard stated is one which I should be unwilling to attribute to any man; which I should hope could not be entertained by any member, but which I mention, in order to exhaust all the various objections I have heard or can collect. It has been stated, and I believe written somewhere, that the nature of the catholic religion is such, that no catholic subject can, because he is a catholic, be a toyal subject. . If that be true, it will follow, that those persons who profess a digion which males them trustors are not at to be admitted into the legislature, or into the highest civil and military offices of the country. I might go further, and ask, whether they were fit to have been admitted to civil offices, with the exception of not more than tventy? Were they fit to have been admitted to the army, to the whole administration of the reveaue, with the exception of tour or five lifies them from their allegiance. Are they and swear allegiance to the sovereign? No man who concerves the carbotics really upon myself, not that I think it ought to to circulate the opinion, that it is impossible for any man, who professes the catho-beatholic were actuated by any such senti-Le religion, to be a true and loyal subject | ments, some I am, that none of your lord-Upon the roud, one is disposed to look a proceeded have visited the capital of arts little beyond more assertion. I wish to on the contract, or have set toot on the look to the proof. I find it in some dance that somerood has brushed up hero some poper on which I shall address a tew obserold east a read, or some old decree of values is, that the mass of the people of the church. I and arguments drawn against fireland are deliver . It that could be the declinations of individuals now existing, proved to the extent the fact existed in against their whole course of life, not your king William's reign. I should allow that any time they profess, not from lay tame the same real insteadinposing the restraints they believe they profess, but because persons chuse to say, "If you are a Reman" catholic, it is in vain for you to call your self loyal; I know your religion better then you do yourselt; you think you do not hold it your duty not to keep peace with heretics, but I know you do; you think too, that you do not hold the doctrine of the dispensing power of the Pope; but it is in vain concileably disloyal. I could desire the you tell me so, I know nich is your opimon." I should hopt my lords, that for any purpose of legislation, you would think it menulient on you to take the religion. of these persons from their own construction, and not from the construction of their enemies—that you would take their own conduct as the proof of their lovaics. and not condense them by the tenets, or parmerples of their rebellion. That is only doctimes, or conduct of some individuals an assertion. It can continue only as such. at some remote period. This want where the duty of presecution is to end. He you halfhou in Treland was more or less of a are not content to judge meanly their con-duct, you as east ought not to judge them them more unjude. Or unjustinable, than to for acts of which they are not guilty. ject ogrause of the council or Laterary or the year of the rebellion, what had been Constance, or some deceed of a contribution resound. Before that time there had aprosecute hereius? If it was true that Ireland, and secretar, to the lord heute-

othern countries of Emopp.—The next would justify their continuance. How shall I argue that the mas of the people of Ireland are not disloyal? One way I can arme, is by desiring you to turn to the state of Ireland—to the acts of the legis latine, consisting of protestants. See what they say of the catholics, of whom it is asserted that they are at this moment nic-I clerk to read, not one or two, but repeated declarations by the parhament of Ireland, that the concessions of government were granted to the unit im good conduct and loxage of the prople of Ireland. I know I may be told that this was true up to the last rebellion in Ireland, and that the masi of the people centimic tainted with the for there is no demonstration that the re-Historian the abelien in Ireland to the is true that no carbolic can be a loval sub-lead, one body. In the first place, down to church—n'it be true, that every men pre- not been any chang of open rebellion in tessing brasely a catholic wife, to de- bettad, but there had been in the empire throne the mounted who go error to a, it can be painted to the placing the that case I do not a to consider that of the fands of the threne. Look to hisgoes to. It goes to the that our stondy have shock to the story on government cannot make the concernor or a sadica of a tire time, and you will be told, that, you now, but don't you cannot gone and during both there abellions, the demeanhereafter. Nay more, you much a down on the Roman catholics of Ireland, eye y conce non-you have made, more though though the object was to create a Roman you are ready to begin a cru me to direct catholic king, was as lovel as possible. out of the country every one or trace per-Hamme the American war there were persons you think its niceone lead arrein. I nod, whou the sie my triumphed in the Against such a principle, one I now not behanner possessed, superior force, and how to argue. It it was possible to bemed the areas labe areason of the kingdom; forward any thing life argument, I should be there time the catholics were not only think miself picity well warranted in op- lovel, but they you esteemed as such, and posing it with what was actual practice, trusted with aims for the purpose of re-Is it true, that, in any country profe sine polling the common enemy. I speak in the Roman Cathone Religion, even as the hearing of per on, who have succes-Rome, a man is cound by his religion to evely filled the office of lord lieutenant of to the period of the last relichion they were uniformly lovel, it is no slight circumstance that the rebellion in Ireland arose not from religion, but other cacumstances. What is notorious to every man is, that in the whole of the conspiracy we see the arrangement of the leaders was commen called united Irishmen, which was leaders of the rebellion it is notorious, that though there were many cathorics, there were also many protestants. In the manrrection, the principal leaders were not entholies, but protestarts. It will not be thought, that because I told you there were protestant persons in the rebellion, it was therefore a protestant rebellion. I mentioned it to show you, that the repellion took its rise from call as different from religion-that it pointed at a different object -that if it had succeeded, the catholic religion would have been overthrown equally with the protestant religion. The object was not the overthrow of either, but of the monarchival government of England. Many striking instances may be found of profestants tho embarked in that rebellion with as much real and personal hazard as any catholic. If, then, there is no ground for the imputation of the late. rebellion—if the circum tances since, instead of turnshing grounds of charge against the catholics, turnish a relutation three-tourths of the catholics are more they have been for years past, surely it is as fair to give the catholics all the advantage of their present character for loyalty, Scribed the declaration.—One other only as to charge them with the guilt of rebels. Upon both these points, how does the of the catholics of those odious principles. You have the strongest of all evidence, their own positive, public, repeated decharations. I know that there has been a tashion to have recourse to the old exploded doctrines, that there is something in the catholics, that, let them say what they will, they are not to be believed on their eaths,

I a k any of them to rise and de- their religion capable of dispensing with clare at what period of our history it was, that sacred oblightion, and of relieving when the catholic body, as a body, ap-them from the guilt of perjury. It would period assutected, either to the established be useless to snow to what length this go comment or the constitution. It down argument would go. If it is true that 4,000,000 of persons campt be bound by any oath, the consequence must be, that the civil government of Ireland cannot longer exist—the people are disqualified from enjoying any civil government. One need not go to extremes to prove that this is not a very happy argument on the part of posed, not of catholics exclusively, but of those who assert the proposition of the necessity of enforcing the restrictions. The a name given to mark an union of different restrictions are enlorced by an oath—the sects. The next fict is, that among the catholics are excluded because they will not take the oath of supremacy -What! if they are not worthy of being believed on oath—if that solemnity can be dispensed with by the Pope, is it to be supposed that they would he sitate to take an oath to quality themselves for situations which will enable them to subvert the government of the country, and make the Pope lord paramount? The argument is conclusive—if they are not ut to be believed on oath, the test is worth nothing. I am endeavouring to auticipate every objection. It has been mentioned to, me, that although this petition contains a disclaimer of all the doctrines attributed to the catholics, yet that the petrioners are all lavmen, and that you are to infer, because no clergyman has signed, that the doctrines protested against are held by the priests. The argument: would not be good for any thing it it was tine; but I am desired to state, that the only reason why no clerical person has subscribed his name to the petition is, because it deters to civil rights only; they of them-if we are told, that in Ireland judged that then interference would be an intrusion Not only are they willing to quiet, trangoll, and loyally disposed to Join in the disclaimer, but I have it by the wards the monarch of this throne, than | records of the country, by the certificates of courts of law, that these respectable men have all of them taken the oaths, and subargument on this point of the subject. It is a prospective argument. If it is not question stand? You have the disclaimer true that every catholic is a traitor, yet it is said that the time is coming when every catholic must be a traitor, because the Pope has gone to Pans to crown Bonaparte. It is supposed, that if the Pope has your to Paris, and Bonaparté has recended the crown from him, it must follow that the catholics of Ireland are subjects of the Pope, and are transferred to France. because there is a power acknowledged in Such tity al arguments would be unworthy

erv of popery fac means of disposing the foolish and ignorant to deny the claims of justice to their fellow-subjects. Can any man believe that the Pope is more connected with France now than at the time of the Bourbons, or when the pretender was at Rome? Is it believed that he is power of France than at any former period? Quite the contrary. I hope there is no man who has not viewed with pity the humiliating and degraded situation in which that person is placed. If he possesses feelings, they must be the feelings of deep mortification, and of disgust at those who imposed the disgraceful task upon him. What is the inference, but that the power of the Pope is less—that his respect is less -that he is more degraded, and less to be feared, than any person who ever filled the chair of Rome, supposing it possible to impute to him any hostile intention toapprehensions at the Pope's journey to Paris, it is a transaction that exhibits him never found it excited rebellion in Ireland. Rome, and yet that was the very time

of a grave assembly, if it was not that, by compose themselves, and leave the country such absurdates, attempts were made to in a tranquil state. To argue the question revive the animosities of the two religious, with regard to the dissenters, I cannot on to extend them to this country, and, as if this occasion. It is said, that if the rethis was not mighief enough, to make the strictions are taken off the catholics, it will be necessary to repeal the test act. It would be a most absurd thing to say, because you grant a right to the catholics. you are therefore bound to grant an indulgence to the dissenters. Whether the dissenters have a right to the indulgence, I cannot say, but I am sure that nothing more actuated by a wish to extend the can be more unjust than that the catholics should be deprived of their rights, because others are judged by you not entitled to any indulgence. There never was a proposition more shocking, or unfit to be argued. It, instead of having shewn you that there is no foundation for the dangers apprehended, the fact was otherwise, let me ask you whether the present system would not augment them? I will admit that the catholics are as radically disloyal as they are represented, and then I come to the question-What is the line of conduct to be adopted? Shall 4,000,000 of persons entertain an irreconcileable hatred wards this country? So far from feeling to your establishment? There are two different lines of conduct to be adopted; the one is that which was pursued by Queen no longer as a priest, but as a person forced Anne—the other the line which has distinto expose himself and his religion, in per- guished the present reign. If their dispoforming a disgraceful ceremony. There sitions are such that you know they will have been periods when the Pope of Rome exercise power to your destruction, your favoured the interests of France; but you conduct must be like that of Queen Anne -you must take the power from them-You find the pretender supported by you must take from them property, and the means of acquiring it. but I conceive when the interest of his family in Ireland that the wiser system would be endeavours declined. In the present state if the af- to conciliate them. Has the system of fairs of Europe the influence of the Pope restraint any tendency to ameliorate their never can be attended with an serious condition? Can anything have a worse tenconsequences. If, instead of all this, every dency than to tell them that you distrust one of the propositions I have contradicted their loyalty? If such a system will nerwas true—if the catholic religion had a ther conciliate the higher or the lower tendency to make its professors disloyal orders, can it have the effect you wish? if they were disloyal, and in connection It there be any force in the opinion that with the French, I should then say the you ought to refuse to enter into the connecessity that existed for repealing the sideration of this petition, it can arise from restrictions was only the stronger—that it this circumstance only, that we are arrived would the more become you to exert your- at the point where it is necessary to make selves to contract that disposition by every a stand, and concede no more. I doubt measure of conciliation and harmony that whether it would be prudent for the legiscould be devised. I should say, that the lature to say, we will now the up our true allies of Bonaparté in Ireland would hands. Whatever system is adopted by not be the catholic religion, but those who the legislature, ought to be on princiwere endeavouring; and had endeavoured ples unmutable, and containing lines of to excite and revive animosities, which had distinction so clearly marked, that no man composed themselves and would gradually can mistake their tendency or operation.

ces, with the exception of seats in parliathere is any disaffection among the catholics, it is among the lowest orders; and your remedy is, to exclude those persons from that share of respect which you could give them. I should think that sound pohey ought to be the reverse. If you confide in the higher orders, you ought to give them power and consideration; and if you are obliged to impose restraints, impose them on those whose dispositions you distrust. Does any man believe that there would be less practical advantages from not excluding three or tour peers of whom we may state that we do not distrust then loyalty, but also that they have given as signal proofs as one men in his majesty's dominion, of their zeal and good conduc.? If they came into this bouse, do you beheve they would, usuade voa to aboush the therarchy of this country? World you be afraid or their influence going into the house of commons? Few can be so ignorant as not to perceive what little benefit the cause of the catholics would derive there. Supposing even 50 catholics obtam seats in the house of commons, could they persuade them to overturn the protestant government? The same sort of observations were niget at the time of the umon between Scotland and England. There were not wanting persons who told you, that by admitting the Scotch members you would overturn the Ingrarchy of the church of England. You have had for a century sixteen peers, and forty-five commoners in the house of commons, and I ask your lordships, not whether they have overturned the church of England, nor [whether they have overturned the monarsingle instance of a Scotch peer or computting the church of Scotland, so adderse establishment of the English church! grounds, to adopt a measure tending to never say throw so humiliating a stigma on a respectable class of subjects. I have seen every one of the offices of government filled by It is this principle, which teaches the peo-

Now, let me ask, whether the point on pleas, the chief baron of the exchequer. which these laws stand is of this descrip- a master of the rolls, the president of the tion? You have conceded to the catholics council, besides generals and admirals, all all the civil rights necessary to fill all offi-for whom have been presbyterians, and yet have filled their offices with advantage to ment, and the heads of professions. If the country. I have such every one of these offices so filled, and jet I have never observed, on the part of the persons filling hem, the least disposition to change the form of the existing government. It has been said in this house, that the consequence of entertaining the petition of the catholics would be, that you would have a protestant king in England, and a popish judge in Ireland. It has also been said. that, admitting it to be true that the catholics cannot overturn the established government, why are they so pertinaciously desirous of obtaining offices of public trust and confidence? I answer, that it is, because there is no proposition of more force than this; that although between individual and individual, the argument does not apply, yet between nation and nation, or between a nation and an individual, it is not true that what the one gains, the other loses. It is not true, with reference to this question, that what the subject gains, the government loses. Though the thing granted is of no value to you, it is of infititle advantage to those on whom it is bestowed. It is worth nothing to you to keep, but it is of the utmost importance to them to acquire. Let me desire your lordships to consider, what is the course of every liberal profession of life. Is any one at a loss to know, how much importance the student of law derives from the exalted situation of the noble lord on the woolsack? Is there any man in the navy, who does not look forward to the probable attainment of the ranks, and honours, bestowed on a Howe or a Nelson? The condition of every man, who is a free inhabitant of this country, is exalted by the consichy, but whether you can point out a deration, that there is no one who walks the streets, whatever his situation may moner, who ever dreamt of such a thing as the, who, with the exception of those to whom these restraints apply, may not to bishops, in place of the episcopalian arrive by industry, talent, and perseverance, to the highest ranks in society. Surely, then, we ought not on such To these who are so excepted, we can

 I pede fausto Grandia laturus fortunæ præmia.

persons who may be presumed to have had ple of this country, to feel a sympathy in a presbyterian education. I have seen your | the dignities bestowed on those above them. predecessor on the woolsack, the chief They are sensible, that however low their justice of the king's bench and common own condition may be, they are entitled to conclusions can they draw, but that then | conclude by moving, "that the house do religious and civil opinions are deemed now resolve itself into a committee of the injurious to the state? And it they feel whole house, to take this petition Aito conthat they are so distrusted by you, what sucration,"

aspire to the same advantages. But I can follow but suspicion and distrust on should like to know, it a law was to pass their side also? This, my lords, is the that the students of the Middle Temple | great and leading principle, which acshould be eligible to the office of lord chair thated my mind in the resolution to subceller, and that those of the Inner Temple and these observations to your better judgshould be excluded, whether their condi- ment, and it will ever be my happiness to tion and consequence would be the same Frellect on the attention with which you Go into the army, and the argument is have heard me. The object of the motion precisely similar. Look at an equal num- I mean to conclude with, is that which ber of culatterns. To one it shall be said, must be the object of every man who hears show courage, discipline, steadiness, every me, of every good citizen,—the uniting thing that can animate a soldier, yet there and knitting tegether the hearts and nimes is one thing attached to your situation, of all descriptions of men—that for which you never can rise to that rank in the army, I you daily entreat a blessing from Heaven which will enable you to make yourself on your councils. It is in that persuasion a name to posterity; you never can ob- I ask of you no immediate, or specific tain that which is the greatest incentive to grant, because I am not prepared to say the display of valour. The loss is not in the | what other measures, healing, and saluequal privation of an advantage; for it may tary, ought to accompany the adoption of happen that no subaltern officer may become my motion. Many there are, but this is $oldsymbol{z}$ general, no student become a chancellor, not the fit occasion for stating them: all $oldsymbol{I}$ The degradation consists in the deprivation, now ask of yours, not to shut your eves, and therefore this, which a little for you but to go into a committee, to consider to give, is much for the catholics to obtain, I that which never has been considered as If your desne is, that there should exist an one united subject in parhament, I mean idea of equal law in Ireland, how can it the safete and condition of Ireland, the leadexist so long as the people say, "It is not mg feature of which is the religious restricmyself, but the law, that imposes this dis-qualification; because the law says I am a wish, to have an open tunity of stating person whose loyalty cannot be trusted." their grievances to your fordships. When Then I ask you, what hope there is for all found that the people of Ireland grew country, whose religious ammostres are impatient at your neglect—when I found thus excited? The memory of former con- that no step was taken to redecin the tests can never be extinguished, so long as pledge given them by the union, I was de-you continue a degracing system of exclusive deadly of opinion, that all the best insion, pointed at one class of subjects, terests of the British Emphericained that These, my lords, are the reasons why I the subject should be brought into discuswish you to give way. I am perfectly per- son. It was then desire it should now be suaded, that, trilling as the privation ap- brought forward. I think the sea on highpears in your eyes, it is not inconsiderable by favourable. There is every motive for in theirs. , I concerve, that not allittle ad-fendeavouring to preserve that necessary vantage would result in this and other part of the enque; you are called upon parliaments, from having representatives by every principle of celi-defence, to close known to be of their own persuasion. If your ranks, and unite your whole force in they had such persons to represent them, order to oppose an enemy, whose power however few they might be, they would vou have so much reason to contemplate feel, that whatever their complaints were, with awc. There is this further argument wifether real or unfounded, they would be in favour of the question-that it is not attended to. They would teel more confi- called for by tumult, but by the good condence, than when they are told, that you duct of the catholics. I was not sorry for have shut your doors against every person the opportunity of stating my own sentiof then community; and that you will not ments. I felt, honoured by being desired suffer any person of their description to to put myself forward, and I can only add, enter the threshold of the legislature, be- that I should feel happy if, in the manner cause he holds religious opinions inquirious of discharging my duty towards them, I to the safety of the state. What other have done their cause no prejudice. I

determination expressed by the noble bi- Similar exertions for the same purpose have ron who has just spoken, to treat the subject been made by my noble friend who is at with all the temper and moderation which the head of the government of Ireland. but properly belonged to it; I can only regret as all our exertions have proved ineffectual, that he seemed, so early in his speech, to as the Catholics have been advised to press forget the recommendation with which he forward their claims on the attention of set out. The question, he said, would and parliament at this particular period contrary must be carried, if not on this night, at least to their own interests, and, as I think, to at no very distant period. This was holding a just consideration of what is due to the out something like a menace to the house, tranquillity of the empire, I feel it to be calculated to defeat that temper and modera- a duty to have no reserve upon the subject. tion, which the noble lord himself had be- I owe it to the country, to this house, to the gun by recommending.

when he was so grossly misrepresented [sult of some years' consideration; an opi-He would appeal to every noble lord, to every mon founded on the most accurate observahonest man who heard him, whether he had 'tion, and the most diligent enquiry I have uttered a sentiment or a word that could be been able to make upon the subject; and considered as the language of menace; when [though I certainly thinks the present time he said it must ultimately succeed, he only meant that sooner or later truth and reason must triumph over the prejudice of any party.

Lord Hawkeslury then proceeded · I certainly understood the noble lord to have used those terms in the sense which I have affixed to them. but if the noble baron only means that his cause must ultimately triumph by the force of reason and argument, I am equally ready to meet him upon that ground. In opening the business, the noble baron has not thought proper to explain to us distinctly the object of his motion for referring the petition to a committee. From the whole train of his teasoning, however, we cannot be at a loss to perceive that he does not propose to confine himself to the partial measure of remedying the complaints of the petitioners; but that his argument goes to the full extent of repealing and abrogating all the test, at present subsisting in every part of the empire. I trust your lordships are fully sensible of the magnitude and importance of such a proposition; I trust you will panse before you give any countenance to the first step of a proceeding which may lead to such alarming consequences. Whatever difference of opinion, I may entertain as to the merits of this petition, I have deprecated its being brought forward at the present moment; and I have great satisfaction in feeling, that, differing as I do, on this part of the subject, from some persons, whose vote this night will be dictated by the same general principles as my own, no efforts have been omitted by VQL. IV.

Lord Hawkesbury.—My lords; after the agitated under the present circumstances. petitioners, and to myself, to state distinctly Lord Grenville spoke to order. He said my opinion on every part of this question; it was impossible for him to remain silent, an opinion not hastily formed, but the repeculiarly unfavourable for its agitation; though I am of opinion that the circumstances growing out of that time, would of themselves furnish a sufficient ground for resisting the proposition of the noble lord; yet my objection rests not on the time only, but is applicable to any time and to any circumstances, under which this subject can be brought forward.—In stating this opinion to your lordships, it would be contrary to all the feelings I have ever entertained, and all the sentiments I have ever expressed, if I could be supposed to be influenced by any motives of passion or prejudice towards the individuals who are principally the subject of this night's debate. In this view, many of the principles laid down by the noble lord would not be applicable to me, or to any of those who act under similar impressions. It has ever been my opinion, that toleration should be extended to all classes of religionists, and to all sectaries. It was a question much agitate ' shout a century ago, by certain speculative wraces, whether the evils which would result from the prevalence of infidelity, or from the influence or religious superstition and enthusiasm, would be likely to be the most destructive of the happiness of society? This question, if ever it was a moblem, has been solved within our own experience. We have lived to see a republic of atheists established, for a time, in the very heart of Europe we have witnessed the desolation which they have occasioned, and experienced, in part, the exils which they have produced. We must be sensible that the me to prevent this question from being bigotry, intolerance, persecution, and cruelty

of the most condemned systems of super- and the governed, and which, though they stition and enthusiann, are as classes, hing the made the subject of revision and mode, aren, and mosey, when compared clear it alteration, should not be changed, with the same qualities in the atheists of Jescept on the strengest grounds, and on With such an example before our the most argent necessity. The principles eres, we can no longer hesitate in believing of the revolution, as established by the bill that those who possess any religion, he it of rights and act of settlement, have always what it may, are to be meterred, both as been considered as of this description. It is men and subjects, to those who have none, one of the fundamental principles of these Every class of religious persons, in this laws, that the king must be protestant, and view, deserves the support, the televation, transf hold communion with the church of and projection of a retoral government; England. The conduct of our ancestors at and of the different discriptions of plans, the revolution, has been a subject of admitians, the cotholics are not those in whom Franch to all wise mea and true patriots. I have the leat confidence, not can I agree The persons who brought about that glorious in any of the suspicions which may have event, were sensible of all the advantages been entertranid, that the enhouse are an jof hereditary monarchy; they determined capable of bic mine log I and datiful sub- to deput no further from the strict line of jects. I am commend that many of the succession, than the necessity of the case odion, tench ascribed to their, have never and the nature of the encounstances lenbeen substantially, and, in fact, believed by dered indepensible, but in their prospective them I know by Especience, both by seitlement of the constitution, as well as in their conduct in this country during the their remedy of existing grievances, they and tentical times, by their conduct in Call had the courage and to utude to consider the nada and in many other parts of the world, situation of the country in all its diderent the they have proved themselves on many contingencies. They put the que ten to occasions it is 157,4 and excellent subjects themselves, whether the microwenieric ariseyen to a protestant sovereign. But the ing from having a king of a religion difquestion of lane this right to consider, become from that where was established in does not relate to the it situation as subjects, I the country, or the evil of be observed upon we are deliberating up at a claim to politic the order of suression, it is the greater. cal power. Could lib to should be directland, with all the catta fair into biacultary to no non, except if on the strongest reasonments, they deturn and that it was more sons; exil rights on jot not to be alreaded, expedient to bred enough on the line of sucexcept upon the most urgent reason to the coston, than to ask the copaquences the principle which ought to a subsect the which right tesult from historical sources as wereign distribution of political power, is not only of a religion different from the first the coonand the sime, but is in some respects the try. This law was not founded upon any very reverse of that which on his concrate a speculative principles, nor decided from any upon could like to the way a forth a one, a dear of imaginary green needs, but was the the pre-unit on is always applied and respression of experience, and grew out of the straint, withit, and to the oating how we levels which had been actually telt under a wise at may be an extend it, its short in the who was of a religiou to the to that ways be extended with that decree of jea (which was established in the state). Now, I lonsy and encoasspection which my enable say, it follows as a necessary consequence of us to guird against the abuse of it, and the lineastron of the cown to persons of the which may pre-cut it being made thesin- established religion, that the same prinstrument to destroy the very government, ciple should apply to the numediate advisers, for whose projection and support it was cornsellers, and officers of the crown,—to alone created—Lara ready to ident, that those who dispense the taxons or the no laws can be considered as parjetrol, and 'crown, who are an some distances actually that there must exist in every state a power the deligaces of the crown, and who must somewhere, to revise, to readily, and even last in its name and builts radiently. There to also gote the laws of the street recording may be shades of opinion as to the extent to as circular times, may reciden it meets any which is fouly be expedient to apply this bit it by less that prictical of every was a principle; but that it is true to a certain government is to adopt of their elementary and extent, and me a certain degree, must apfundamental less, which might become a pear incontroverted for what could be hand of bandwark between the concerns a non-prepositions than, ranging innertial

"

ture, to be of the established church, and han from the the ne; it was protested by to allow the numsters, the chanceller, they there who so we ally opposed that will, we were reasoning ab initio, the converse though they would not content to break in of this doctrine, I could concrete, might len the course of sometion. The ling made be maintained with much physiolity: I a reclaration contoured to the same princould understand its be no contended, that cipies, the exclusion bill was teal tell by this any intringement upon the line of seccession | house, and it was not all after the country in an hereditary government was so sections. an evil, and nught be productive of such edamitous consequences, that it might be more expedient to mour the inconvenience of a king of a different religion from the religion of the country, rather than expose ourselves, to all the evils, which might arise from the possibility of a disputed succession; and that our security ought therefore to depend not upon the limitation of the crown in this respect, but upon a limitation against all those who hold the principal situations of trust and power under the crossn: but iters impossible to conceive how the idea can be cor be entertained, that were the heredamy principle is in question, you will actually violate it, rather than suffer a prince to sit upon the throne, who is of a religion duffeacht from that of the state; and yet, where the here heavy paraciple does not interfere. nor any other pany ple which can in any degree by a variance with what is due to the ree dity of the establishment, that you will suffer the convants of the crown to be of a religion the most hostile to that of the state, though their being so must be productive of as many and even more practical inconveniences than could arise from the king former. It may be 1,200, 1 of 5 to 2, in himself being in the same situation. The Itals places to state what was the case of limitation of the crown, therefore, with regard to religion, would seem necessarily to classes of protestant discreters who deffer lead to the limitation of the advisers and from the skinch of the hard, on magers of counsellors of the crown; and the principle doctrine, as wally as the toman catholics; with respect to the first could never be mani- | there are some who shall, reven more vielder tained, indees it were likewise extended to on the subject of likewisely and cut the cothe latter.—In point of fact, the history of hamment: has there is this important deour constitution establishes the truth of this function between protestart discuters of very course of reasoning. When, at the every decree on and remain asholics, the restoration, apprehensions and jealousies former acoust the all of the tool matters were entertained both of the presbyterious may be the suni ct of internal regulation; and catholics, parliament began by passing they acknowledge no torogn jurisdiction, the corporation act, principally directed they pay no tote, at the cathoagainst the former. They afterwards passed lies, on the contracy, adminifedge a juristhe test act, principally directed adminst the drevien in a foregoing over. I am aware litter; no limitation then existing or being sthat this in constant of test to be comined adopted with respect to the crown itself; to spiritual and crobe after a matters, but it and when the Duke of York publicly arowed must be obtain to after purson who has his convex ton to the roman eatheld religion,

law, where the law is above the crown, to and a bill was in correquence after fixed compel the ling, under the pain of forfel-linto parliament with a view of excluding judges of the land, to be of my religion that they would a use to any limitation on the most hostile to the enablishment? If the energies of the province of the crown, had the esperience of the er is arrang front a catholic king, that, in the fut to settlement of the emestition and the throne, the sime limitation was extended to the crown with regard to reason, which has been previously imposed on the great officers of the crown, and on the menda .. of both houses of parliament —The a bottom, therefore, of this principle with respect to the latter, was antee deet, in pane of time, to the adoption of a with respect to the former; the importance of the limited on, in this instance, for the security of our establishment, appears to have been judged to be at the least as remark and elected contactions the case of the crown stickly and the objections which were lett to apply to such a limitation on the Governice consistered trily as in inddegre implying to a limita-tion on the occurs of the entry of the const now to conside who could be the plant of effects of a removal of others. I have and restrictions which can be a last large adopted to the sense to the case of the last terms. These restrictions and the rather is, but to the last in the rather in the sense that a last term in the last terms and the rather is, but to the last terms in the last terms and the rather in the last terms and the rather is the last terms and the last terms are the last terms a much more can reduce the or che this distributed. There are certainly come

in many cases, to separate civil from ecclesiastical power. In the catholic religion, above all others, the jurisdiction and authority of the priesthood interfere in a great part of the civil and domestic concerns of life. Let any person reflect how large a proportion of the property and civil rights of individuals must depend on the legality and validity of marriage; yet on this subject the opinions and practice of the catholics differ essentially from those of the established church. They consider marriage as a contract to be entered upon, in many cases, under different conditions and in different circumstances from those which are prescribed by the laws of the country, and, when completed, to be incapable of dissolution, on any account, by any human authority. The power to whom they appeal, on all those subjects where there is ground for doubt, is a foreign power, wholly unconnected with, and in a greater or less degree necessarily hostile to, the state. Can there then be any doubt of the importance and solidity of, the distinction between the roman catholics and all other classes of christians? Their obedience to a foreign jurisdiction forms an additional feature in their dissent from the establishment to that of all other christians, and renders additional guards against them indispensable: but I say with respect to all descriptions of dissenters; would you intrust the patronage of the church, in its most important you desirous of seeing the administration of justice in the hands of those whose religious opinions are, on the most essential particulars, directly at variance with the law of the land? Would you be satisfied, that the office holden by my noble and learned friend on the woolsack should be conferred a a person of a religion hostile to that of the state? Remember, that, if religion does really operate upon the mind of man, it must operate far beyond all human considerations; that no pious man will prefer his temporal to his spiritual concerns; that, if the religion and the state are distinct and at variance, and he is compelled to decide between them, he must decide for his religion, and against the state. It is not because I believe the catholics to be bad men, it is because I believe them to be honest and sintere, "that I would exclude them from that power, which they might use for our own destruction. It cannot too often be repeated, that the question before us is not whe-

considered the subject, that it is impossible, whether they should enjoy toleration, or obtain civil rights or civil liberty; but whether you will grant to them political power of every description, at the time when they refuse to acknowledge the complete authority of the Whenever this question has been discussed without doors, the only answer I have ever heard made to it is that, as long as we had a protestant king, there could be no probability of any of the offices in question being filled by any except protestants: but, I ask, where is the security for this? where, but in the laws which it is proposed to abrogate? And if this were the case, what is the boon sought for; and why is there any eagerness to attain it? It would be to deceive both catholics and dissenters, if we should repeal these laws as a favour, and then refuse them the advantages which they have sought for and 'expected in their repeal. If the safety of the state requires a barrier; let that barrier be the law, and let us have courage and firmnoss enough both to avow it and to maintain it.—The question relative to the admission of catholies to parliament, stands, I admit, in some respects, upon different grounds from that or their admission into the great offices of state. The members of the legislature are not compelled by the law to hold commanion with the church of England. and, powerful as each branch of the legislature is in itself, the personal power of the individuals who compose it is very different branches, to persons who consder your from the personal power of those who held establishment heretical or idolatrous? Are the great offices of state, or who belong to the council of the sovereign. But though the legislature has not enacted the same tests for the members of the legislature, as for the officers of state; it has enacted some tests, and those of a nature very important. It has enacted for this purpose, an oath of abjuration of the pretender; a test which at this time of day may not be considered as very essential, but which no class of christians has any objection to take. has enacted a declartion against transubstantiation, and other popish articles of faith; a declaration most necessary at the time it was adopted, but which might be stated, perhaps with some degree of plausibility, to be a mere doctrinal test, and, though applicable to doctrines which are at variance with the religious opinions of the established church, not more so than many of the sentiments of certain classes of dissenters: but it has enacted the oath of supremacy: and I appeal to all considerate men, whether, as long as the catholics there he catholics may be loyal subjects; not refuse to take that oath, it could be safe

liament. I desire it may be considerell, what is the purport of the oath of supremacy: it does not call upon indivi-. duals even to declare his majesty head of the church, as he is by law; It calls upon them only to abjure all foreign dominion and jurisdiction; and as long as the catholies decline taking this oath, could it be fore clearly of opinion that, upon general consistent with the security of the state, to principles, it is to the highest degree inexconsider them as competent members of the pedient to relax any of those laws which legislature of a protestant and independent have been enacted for the security of the country? But in addition to these considerations, arising out of the importance of the tests themselves, particularly the latter, I am | thing in the present times, which could convinced it would be highly inexpedient for render it inexpedient to grant the prayer of the interest of Ireland to repeal these tests, and thereby enable Roman catholics to be- I view the subject very differently from the come members of the legislature. In the noble lord; I consider the circumstances to first place, it would not satisfy them; and 1 be so materially changed since the years should consider, that to add to their political 1800 and 1801, as to absolve from inconpower by means which would not satisfy sistency any person who might have been them, would be the greatest degree of im- disposed to support the measure at that peprudence. In the second place, though it riod, and who may nevertheless be determight benefit a few individuals; instead of mined to oppose it in the present instance. being advantageous, it would be highly in- The French revolution, as I have already jurious to the great mass of the population stated to you, was founded in part on atheof Ireland. In the year 1703, the elective ism; It was calculated to occasion new and franchise in the counties was granted to the Roman catholics of Ireland. This was considered at the time to be a great boon to them, not so much on account of the political power which it bestowed upon them, as because it placed the catholic tenantry upon the same footing with the protestant tenantry, and afforded therefore to landlords the same inducements to favour and conciliate the one as the other. The practical effect of it has, however, been to produce in the counties of Ireland something approaching very near to universal suffrage; as the law stands at present. No great inconvenience is, however, felt from this circunistance; they can vote only for a protestant candidate; and, the great mass of property being in the hands of the protestants, the tenantry, according to the natural order of society, will generally follow their landlords. But I know it is the opinion of some of the persons best informed of the internal state of Ireland, that, if the doors of parliament were once thrown open to catholics, if catholics could once declare themselves as candidates in the different counties, the influence of the priests would be exerted in favour of the catholic candidates as such, and certainly against the protestants. The result would be, that the in-

to admit them into the two houses of par- one side, and that of religion on the other. Such a state of things would not only produce much internal confusion and disorder, but it would operate most injuriously with respect to the lower orders of the people, who would unavoidably, in many-instances and on many occasions, become the victims of these conflicting interests. I am thereest blishments of the country. The noble lord has stated, that he could not see any the petition. As to this point, my lords, great dangers to Europe; the cause of civil society was at that time naturally and justly considered to be the cause of all religions, against those who had none. The circumstances of the moment induced many persons to feel an indifference respecting the subject of all former contests. To a certain degree, this change in our policy might be not only natural, but wise —but, as it repeatedly happens, in our exertions to avert the present danger, we were not at all times sufficiently considerate of the past, nor so attentive a we ought to have been to the future. However this may be, we have witnessed a great change in Europe within the last four years;—the extreme of democracy has given place, as in the end it naturally must, to the most arbitrary power which was ever erected in any country; and the person possessing that power, has judged it prudent to reconcile himself to the pope, and to the church of Rome, as a support and assistance to his authority. Whoever-considers the extent of the power of France at the present moment; whoever reflects, that almost all catholic Europe, with the exception of the dominions of Austria, is in subjection to France; whoever contemplates the absolute dependence of the pope on the will of France, and, what never happened Auence of property would be operating on in any former period, that there is at pre-

sent no counterbalance whatever at Rome | greatest of traiters; Imean Mr. Arthur O'Coning out of the nature of the connection sub- | verance very much his superiours. and the pope, and will attend to the circumstance that the catholic church of Ireland is under the controll and superintendance of a college of cardinals at Rome; must be convinced, that there never was a moment more untarourable for augmenting then political power. On every sound principle of reasoning, this could not be considered as a proper time for bringing forward such a claim. Those who do not agree with me in objecting to the measure on principle, should nevertheless wait for the result of the contest in which we are engaged; they should feel that this was the time, of all others, when it would be peculiarly hazardous to part with any share of that power which, once parted with, it might never be possible to resume, and which, if abused, it might be beyond our own power to remedy. I come now to the consideration of the practical advantages which would be likely to arise from these measures; and I cannot but feel, that we are called upon to sacrifice our laws for a benefit to a few individuals, but which would be likely to afford no advantage whatever to the great mass of the catholic population of Ireland Every thing in that country has already been conceded to the catholics, which can interest the great body of the people. They enjoy the right of admission into all offices, civil and military; the right of franchise in their respecprivilege enjoyed by their fellow-vibjects, with the exception of about thirty-eight of the first offices of state, and the admission into the two houses of parliament. Can we, then, really believe that they feel much anxiety on the subject of what remains to be given? I am fortunately in possession of the sentiments of some important authoraties on this part of the subject. In the year 1798, several of the persons who were under the accusation of high treason, were examined before a committee of the lords and commons of the parliament of Ireland, and were permitted to banish themselves at the time of the peace, on condition of making a full disclosure of all the circumstances within their knowledge, relative to the rebellion. I shall not allude to the evidence of that person, who but a few weeks before had been described to be the most virtuous and calightened of patriots; but who proved himself on

to the influence of that power; whoever | not Jamelesirous of referring you to the evigives due weight to the considerations aris- dence of persons both in talents and persesisting between the catholics of Ireland Istis. Dr M'Nevin, a physician and a cathohe; the 2d, Thomas Addis Emmett, a professed protestant, and a lawyer. My lords; the following questions were proposed to Dr. M'Nevm by the secret committee of the Irish house or lords:—Was any ecclesiastical establishment intended by the new government? A. No: I conceive that a revolution would of course involve the demolitton of the church establishment, and of course a relief of the poor from titles -Q. Do you think the mass of the people in the provinces of Leinster, Muuster, and Connaught, care the value of this pen, or the drop of ink which it contains, for pulsamentary reform or catholic emancipation? A. I am sure they do not: but they wish much to be relieved from the payment of tithes.—To Mr. Emmett, the questions, though not so, pointedly expressed, were of a singlar nature, and the answers are not less deserving attention. Q. Do you think the mass of the people care for catholic emancipation, or parliamentary reform? A. I believe the mass of the people do not care a feather for catholic emancipation; ne.ther did they care for parliamentary reform, till it was explained to them as leading to other objects which they looked to, puncipally the abolition of titles. They were also taught to consider that, when they became members of a democracy, their condition would be bettered. Q. Was any ective councies; and, in short, every right and pelesia-tical establishment intended by the revolutionary government? A None, certainly.—Why then I have a right to inter, as well from these authorities, as from the presumption of the thing itself, that, circuinstanced as the great body of the Catholies is at this time, they would not consider what you are called upon to grant, as any desirable boon or material concession to them. The noble baron has said that, in according to his propositions, we should part with but little, and grant much. My view of the question is totally different; I am of opinion that we should give little, and risk every thing; that we should surrender that which would not " enachen them, but night might make us poor indeed." I beheve that what remains to be given is all, because if given it might make the catholics the state. I am ready to give them every thing under the state, but I am not prepared, and, I trust, your lordships neather are nor that recession by his own confession to be the level will be prepared, to surrender the state

itself into their hands. I have heard it said, | sent concessions, if granted, may be followsince you have given so much, why not relinquish the remainder? I answer, you have latherto only given them that which, is conceded? Attend to the evidence of Dr. however advantageous and beneficial it may, be to them, is little taken from you, whilst you preserve what remains. The objection to your former concessions has been, not to the concessions themselves, but to the future advantage which would be taken of them; and that, were those once granted, they would tend infallably to the conclusion which is now attempted to be drawn from them. Recollect, that you still hold in your hand, the great offices of state and the two houses of parliament, and that therein are vested the power, jurisdiction, and sovereignty of the empue. Be firm in your presem setuation, and your establishments are safe: but every additional step you take may lead to the vital pregudice of the country. would now ask, whether, it this measure were conceded, we really believe the Roman catholics would be suisfied, and whether we have any eround of confidence from past experience, that nothing further would be demanded, which is neight be is dispensible to refuse, and yet which mu' be refused, after this concession, with considerable adfienlty and disadvantage. I admit that the petition on your table is drawn up, in general, in moderate terms: but the cathodes even there insinuate that they are not very well satisfied with the substituted tests which they are now obliged to take; and when all the ternaming restrictions are repealed, should not be surprised if they demanded a repeal of the very tests, on the condition of taking which they have been hitherto relieved. But is this all? Let me appeal to the Instory of Ireland within the last few years -In the year 1793, when a noble friend of none was lord lieutenant of Ireland, the catholics presented a petition, in which they professed specifically to state all their greivances. Some opposition was made to the prayer of this petition by persons of great weight and respectability in Ireland; but, in the end, all that they prayed for was granted. It was not fill this had been done, that they stated, in an address of thanks presented to the lord heutenant, in which they profess their gretitude for what had been accorded to them, that they considered it as a first step only to further favours, and expressed a hope that it would be fellowed by additional concessions. Such was the effect of your last concessions. Is it not important, therefore, to know, by what the pre-

ed? Are there no questions behind this, which may be brought forward as soon as it M'Nevin and Mi Linmett. Do they not tell you that, little as the brish people feel interested in the present question, they feel a strong interest in the abolition of tithes? It has been a doctrine advanced within these few years by several persons dissenting from the church establishment, that no person ought to be bound to pay to the church of which he is not a member. This doctrine was adopted by many of the chiefs of the Irish rebellion, and I would ask the house, whether the argument of the noble baron, in favour of the cencessions now proposed in consequence of the catholes being so great a majority of the people, might not be after vards applied, with at least equal plausibility, to the question of their contributing to your church establishment?—What would be the consequence? Why, that, in making this concession, you would only change the ground of the contest, and, instead of contending with them on a question on which the great mass of the population cannot have, and have not in point or fact, any material interest whatever, you would have to coptered with their upon a point on which all the passions, the prejudices, and the interests of the people would be naturally and easily embarked against you. Believe me, the arms you are desired to repeal, are the cytworks of your church establishment. As long as they are preceived, the establishment itself connot and will not be qualled. Struggle, therefore, for there to the last purand remember that if you are there descared, the centest is not at an end, you will only change the scene of action, and have to resist the eremy in a situation where his power of attack will be more formulable, and your means of defence much more difficult. The expectation that concessions, as such, should lead to peace, is unfortunately contrany to the experience we have had in the llistory of Ireland. No man can lament, in itself, more than I do, the greater part of the penal code which was enacted against the catholics in the reign of queen Anno: but there is one singular circumstance attendent upon the enactment of that code, and which deserves peculiar attention. --Though heland, from its first conquest to that period, had, with very small interuptions, been the theatre of rebellion and instruction, yet from the time of the adopnon of that code to the period of its repeal,

during a long series of years, notwithstanding there was a new family upon the throne, possessing a disputed title to the crown; notwithstanding two formidable rebellions in Great-Britain, Iteland remained in a state of repose and tranquility; it was not ill after the policy of concession had been adopted, that disaffection, insurrection, and, ultimately, rebellion, made again their appearance in that country. As I never could have approved of the principle of that code, I rejoice at its repeal: but though I approve of the repeal in substance, I doubt very much the wisdom and policy of the mode in which many parts of that repeal were effected; it does not appear to have been effected on any great and consistent system of policy by which the whole state of Ireland was brought under the consideration of government, and future dangers thereby foreseen and provided against, at the time that an-Const grievances were comoved: but conc 1/2 seems to have been too often made to a fire for the purpose of averting the dange. .. the moment; such concession, led naturally, to new demands; such demands, have on some occasions ocen precipitately complied with; and the consequence has been, that disconnent has been fostered and encouriged, and some inconsistencies have been introduced into the laws of that country, which it is imposible not to regret. Viewing the subject in this light, I cannot agree with the noble baron in attributing so much political wisdom to the gradual manner in which, in this instance, these concessions have been made: on the contrary, I disco-A 25 no small inconvenience and mischief m the very circumstance which is the object of his commendation; I believe that the tranquality of Ireland would have been better consulted, if, instead of the temporary expedients just described, a mature and steady view had been taken of the whole of its condition; if it had been deliberately enquired, what concessions might have been made without much hazard, and what ought to have been for ever refused: this being ascertained, it would have been the part of a liberal and enlightened policy to grant whatever the safety of the state would have permitted: and there would have been this convenience in such a proceeding, the deliberation with which the concessions would have been made, would have sanctioned the concessions themselves. At the same time it would have been a bar to further demands, and we should have been equally tranquil

we granted. 'My lords, the conduct of myself, and of those with whom I have acted, will prove that we have been at all times ·friends to a mild and conciliatory exercise of the laws in Ireland: but whilst I state this to have been our opinion and our practice, I must be allowed to say that I have formed a very erroneous judgment of the character of the people of Ireland, if I have not learned that that policy which in my judgment is most compatable with mild laws, namely, a steady system of government, is the best chance you can have for the internal peace . and tranquility of that country. The maxims which I have adduced in support of our established laws, are neither new in themselves, nor confined to the country in which we have the blessing of being born; they are the maxims and principles which have been hitherto adopted by every free community of Europe, as well in ancient as in modern times. It has been till within these few years a principle uniformly recognized, that the state and the established religion of a country must be connected, and that those who possess political power in the former, ow, a certain degree of obedience and homage to the latter. If I took to the ancients, I find that, in the republic of Athens, no citizen could take a share in the civil administration, or enouge upon any public cince, before he had taken an ooth that he would defend and project his country and its religion, and that he would conform to the mational worship. In the republic of Rome, the great civil and military officers could only be appointed through the concurrent sanction of the established rights; -without the due performance of these, the appointments were not good, the parties were said to be vitio creati, and they lost their situations. If I look to modern times, I find that, in the republic of Holland, the principal offices of the state could only be hollen by those who professed the established religion of the country. In the government of Poland, (a limited monarchy in principle, however vicious it might be in practice,) the same maxim was entertained and acted up-Similar principles will be found to have pervaded almost all the free governments of Europe: and I know not how I can better sum up what was understood to be the system and practice of Europe in this respect, than in the words of our glorious deliverer, king William; who, when applied to by king James, on the subject of andulgence to the catholics, made, through and equally safe in what we refused and what Mr. Pagel, the following answer: " Their

to his majesty; &c &c.: but since the matter that was then in hand, related not to the making of new laws, but to the total abrogation of those already made both by king and parliament, their highnesses did not see how it could be expected of them, that they should consent to such an abrogation, to which they had so just an aversion; as being a tlnng contrary to all the laws and customs of all Christian states, whether protestants or papists, who admitted none to a share in the government or public employments, but those who professed the public and established religion, and endeavoured to secure it against all attempts whatsoever." There are, I know, two most important exceptions, in recent times, to this policy: the first is to be found in the conduct of the national assembly of France, who proclaimed the equality of all religions; which was only a prelude to the destruction of every description of religion in that country. The second exception to this principle, is the system of the United States of America. I desire that any person who is disposed to hold out this system as a subject for imitation, would inform himself of the situation of that country; I desire that he would read the accounts of the different persons who have visited it within these few years: what will he learn from these accounts? why; that one of the circumstances that attracts the attention of every stranger who passes through this country, is the apparent indifference, in many parts of it, to all religion; that the public worship appears to be neglected; that the churches are suffered to be in ruin. Can such a state of things exist, and have no effect on the morals, temper, and dispositions of a people? should, then, America in this respect be an example even for a new community? but can she be an example to a country like Great Britain, whose pride and glory it has been, whose pride and glory, I trust; it ever will be, to exist under a different system, and to have been fostered and supported under different principles.* I know that the proposition of the noble baron has the approbation of some of the best and most virtuous of men; I know, however, that some of its most active friends will be found amongst those who have, on the subject of religion, no small degree of insensibility. If religion be necessary for the happiness of minkind, states murt be in earnest about it, as well as Individuals. The people of every country will look, and bute brether. In the Legioning of the reign o You IV.

highnesses ever had a profound submission | a right to look, to what their civil governors think, and how their civil governors act If they are zealous, if they are united, it will have a considerable effect in producing the same qualities in the community over which they are placed; if they are lukewarm, if they are divided, what can be expected from the people?-It has been said that the laws of Ireland, with regard to the different tests, are not in all respects similar to those of Great Britain; they certainly are not so in some particulars, the difference arises out of the different circumstances of the two countries, and it may be wise and expedient to have established it in However, my own opinion is, that the principal difference has arisen from a want of a due consideration at the time in the parliament of Ireland: but whatever the difference may be, we must take Ireland as we found her at the union. If the independent parliament of Ireland has removed some few restraints which it would have been more prudent on British principles to have continued, we are nevertheless bound in justice and good faith not to re-enact them, unless some strong practical necessity should indispensably require it: but on the same principle I assert, that, if the independent parliament of Ircl ind antecedent to the union, judged it expedient to continue certain restraints as necessary for the safety of that part of the empire, we are bound likewise in justice and good faith not to repeal them, unless some unforeseen circumstances and great necessity should compet us to have recourse to such a repeal.—I have already stated, in a former part of that with which I have troubled you, that I was ready to admit that no laws could be perpetual; but there are cortain laws so incorporated with the very existence of a state, that to aflempt materially to affect them, may be replete with the greatest danger .-I consider the connection which has subsisted between the church establishment and the constitution of this country to be of that nature; let us remember that if the church owes its existence to the protection of the state, the constitution owes not less its existence to the support it has occasionlly, met with from the church. In the reign of Charles the First, the party who meditated and ultimately accomplished the ruin of the monarchy, melitated at the same time, and equally accomplished, the ruin of the church establishment they fell together. and at the restoration they rose again to-

2 Y

Charles the Second, when the tide ran high | in favour of monarchy, the only resistance which was made to that prince for some years, was made by the church party; and to their opposition at that time, we were indefied for the preservation of any part of our political liberties. I come then to the revolution: and let me ask any person acquainted with the history of that period, whether the zeal of the whigs for liberty could have effected it, if they had not been aided, seconded, and abetted by the real of the tories for the established church?—! call not therefore upon any one of the great parties into which this country has been divided; I call upon them all, for support this night; I call upon the whigs, who have ever gloried in the lead which they took in the revolution of 1659, who have ever considered themselves as the principal promoters of the bill of rights and act of settlement; I call upon them to support the system of King William, and the laws enacted under his auspices; I call upon the tories, the inm, steady, and persevering supporters of the monarchy and the established church; I call upon them to maintain those laws which are the bulwarks of these establishments. The question between me and the noble baion, is shortly this;—he desires you, for the reasons which he has stated, to repeal your test laws; to repeal your corporation acts; nay, to repeal the bill of rights, and act of settlement, in some of its most important stipulations. I implore you to cherish the laws under which you have lived and prospered, to cling to that policy which, in my conscience I believe, has made you what you are, and under which you have enjoyed liberty, toleration, wealth, tranquillity, beyond whatever was enjoyed by any country on the face of the earth. Avoid rash innovations, shun new experiments: the future destiny of our country is not in our own hands; kingdoms may rise and fall, flourish or decay: but let us not be ourselves the instruments of that blow which may occasion our destruction; let us not despise the wisdom of our ancestors, nor forget the dangers which they have averted! Let us reflect that all past experience and all authority is in favour of our laws, and that at is only by a steady adherence to that system which we have received from our forefathers, and a firm determination to transmit it to our descendants, that we can hope to exist with credit, or to fall (if we must fall) with honour. Impressed with these considetations; fully convinced that the propo-

sition of the noble lord leads to the repeal of those laws which are the foundation of all our happiness, security, and prosperity; satisfied that the advantages which he expects to arise from the repeal of them, would not be game I, and that the best interests of the country would be exposed to the most serious danger; I deprecate his experiment; and I shall therefore give my negative to referring the petition to a committee.

The Duke of Cumberland.—My lords: after the very able manner in which my noble friend has explained to this house, the reasons which, I trust, will induce you to reject the proposal of the hoble lord, it will not be necessary for me to enter diffusely into this question. But when I reflect on what were the circumstances which brought our family to the throne, and when I consider what is the object of the petition on your table, it is impossible for me to remain totally silent. With respect to the circumstances which brought our family to the British throne, your lordships well know that they originated in the revolution. The great object of that revolution was to seeme the religion and liberties of these realms These objects were confirmed by the act of settlement, by the declaration of rights, by the oath of supremacy and abjuration, and by the succession to the crown in the protestant line. To maintain and uphold all these, our family was called to the throne And whatever can militate against these principles, in the remotest degree, it is my bounden duty, as a member of that family, and as a member of your lordships' house, to resist. For this purpose, I must e-k, what is the object of that petition? It is to enable the catholics to hold offices of trust and power in the state. Was it not to oppose such a system that the revolution originated? Was it not the very life and soil of that memorable transaction to secure the rights of church and state? Are we then, my lords, going to undo all that the revolution has done? Bear in mind, my lords, the scenes that preceded the revolution; they are strong proofs that the participation of equal power by catholics and protestants, ia thing incompatible with the principles of both. Are you, not already convinced, by facts and history, that it is impossible for protestants and catholics to agree in the administration of political power? What then will follow if the catholics be admitted to the great offices of trust? You will soon see what, thank God, till now we have only road, we shall experience the same con-

fusion and bloodshed which stained all the feelings; but he thought the manner in reigns from Mary, who began with granting them a dispensing power to hold offices of state, down to James, who ended with the dispensing power in their favour. He hurled himself tem the throne by conferring on then trices of trust and power, which afterwards drew down on them all the weight of I am justified, therefore, in penal laws concluding, that there can be no boon more fraught with mischiet to king and subjectconferred than that prayed for in this peti-Not only, however, the awful experience of past times, but the temper of the present times call upon us to pause, and to listen to the voice of the two great capitals of the empire, and of different counties in the united kingdom against this petition. We know, my lords, what are the feelings and restoraints of this nation with respect to the causes and consequences of the revolution. The memory of it is kept aliye daily by the most solemn acts when men are called to un lertake public and corporate functions. His majesty's subjects on such occasions swear to preserve his supremacy in coolesiastical and civil matters. Does that petition acknowledge that supremacy in coolesiastical matters? No! if then you surrender the power of the state to those who deny that supremacy, you do not maintain that supremacy, you virtually surrelider Far be it from me, my lords, to shackle or to fetter the consciences of any men; but equally far be it from me to pull down by rash innovation any of the venerable pillars of the constitution. All that can be given consistently with reason and conscience I am prompt to give. But the constitution I cannot, dare not, will not give. I must uphold and support, with the last effort of my leature, the establishment in church and state, as the great step by which the house of Brunswick ascended that throne.

Farl Spencer supported the motion of his noble friend, after whose most able and argumentative speech, his lordship did not feel it necessary to trouble the house at much It he thought for a moment that the motion of his noble friend was likely, in the remotest degree, to hijure the church or state, he assured the house that there was not a man in the country who would not • only be more unwilling to support it, but who would more strengously oppose it than himself. If he thought that it wents to invalidate the principles on which the Brunswick family came to and now possessed the throne, the idea would be abhorrent to his the fundamental laws of the constitution,

which his noble friend had opened the speech with which he prefaced it, completely obviated any such apprehension. He perfeetly agreed with his noble friend, and the noble secretary of state who followed him, in the propriety of discussing this important question with perfect moderation and temper; but insisted, that this rule of conduct had been infringed by the noble secretary lumself, who attributed to his noble friend. and to the petition of the catholics of Ireland, sentiments of defiance and menace. which the fact did not justify. The noble lord had endeavoured to prove, that the Ruman catholics of Treland paid allegimee to a foreign power. He wished to ask the noble lord where he discovered this? It was perfeetly new to him He knew that they considered the pope as their spiritual head, but he was pretty well assured that they did not recognise in him a civil governor. The published opinions of some of the most learned men tanong the Roman catholics disclaimed that on which, to give a delusive weight to his argument, the noble secretary dwelt with such emphasis. If the fact were true, however, if it were incompatible with the safety of the church and state to allow to the catholics any civil power, why did not the noble lord vote for the committee, not for the purpose of granting to the catholics additional immunities, but to repeal those which they had already received in the present reign, and which, if the arguments of the noble secretary were good for any thing, teemed with danger to the country. The noble lord asserted, that before any concessions had been made to the catholics of Ireland, they were perfectly quiet, but that concession seemed to be the signal with. them of turbulence. This was not so. It had been unequivocally proved, that the late rebellions arose entirely from political causes, and had nothing whatever to do with religious subjects. " But," says the noble lord, " if you grant this, you will be pressed to grant more." Weli! be it so; if the grounds of any additional grants that might be required were as good as those of the present, they ought to be allowed, and; he could not admit the possibility or what the noble secretary seemed to, wish to insinuate, that the most remote wish would ever be expressed for the establishment of a catholic church, or for allowing the crown to be held by any but a protestant prince. These, indeed, would be infringements on

lord to oppose. The noble lord had laid great stress or the material change which he declared had taken place three 1801, in the consideration of this subject. What had that change been: That the French had again commenced the support of the catholic religion, and consequently that their influence over the professors of it in every nation would be considerable. For his part, he was not disposed to attribute much influence to their intrigues; but allowing them the full force with which the noble lord had clothed them, he would ask, what were the best means to render futile any attempts of the French to avail themselves of this additional power of making an impression in Ireland? The answer was plain: it was by a well timed concession of indisputable right, to conciliate the affections of the people of Ireland, and thus to unite the whole kingdom in the determination to resist to the utmost of their power. the desperate attacks of their common enemy.

Lord Sidmouth professed his inclination to follow the example of the noble baron, and to discuss the important question which was before their lordships, with that temper and moderation that was necessary in Whatever sentiments he considering it. entertained respecting it, he would arow when planty and frankly, and he would hegin by saying, that though he would go as far in whatever regarded toleration as any of their lordships, he was not prepared to go to the extreme extent proposed by the noble baron. He listened to what fell from -the noble baron with all the attention and respect that was due to whatever came from him, he heard him with that pleasure that he always did, but it was a pleasure mingled with assonishment and surprise. When he recollected how greatly that noble lord disringuished himself in combating doctrines which led to all the calamines under which a great portion of the people was actually suffering, and he feared would long suffer, it was not without excessive astonishment that he heard him on this night maintain doctrines, the direct tendency of which was the introduction of all those innovating principles against which he had so manfully and successfully struggled. Before he entered upon the question, he would take the opportunity of declaring, that he entirely concurred with his noble friend, the secretary of state, in giving full credit to the catholics for their doyalty and attachment both to the constitution and the beneficent sovereign

which he would be as eager as the noble at the head of it. He believed their intentions to be upright and sincere; but it was idle and vain to take the sentiments of the great body of the catholics from a few individuals, who could only answer for themselves. Even these could not positively say what their sentiments and conduct might be under different circumstances. They might fairly and honestly, and with the best intentions in the world, pursue a line of conduct that might ultimately be attended with the inost calamitous consequences to the country. He would not, therefore, place them in a situation, where their conduct, though perfectly well intended on then part, might be productive of such baneful effects. To any lengths of toleration no man could be more willing to go then he was; but the great object of the perimon was to procure what was called eather, construpation. That term, however, were approperly applied. There was no slavery here from which they were to be delivered. They had already been relieved from every thing that had any appearance of this nature. The granting of the prayer of the present petition would not satisfy them. This would only interest the mass of the people so far as might serve to pave the way for further concessions, in which they conceived themselves to be more nearly concerned. The effect, therefore, of yielding to the claims now made would be nothing else than exciting an expectation of obtaining more. The noble lord (Grenville) complained of the policy of our ancestors, which had been relaxed within the last twenty years; and he now complained of the rigour and impolicy of parliament, which continued the restriction that still remained, though these were the most important. But the history of the country clearly showed that the measures adopted by our ancestors, though rigorous and revolting in themselves, were detailed and justified by the soundest policy, and the most absolute necessity. The noble loid then took a view of the proceedings under different reigns respecting the Roman catholics. • There was no instance in the history of the country of the protestants and papists agreeing in parliament, and conducting business of government and legislation condially together. Under the reign of Quoen Mary, who, with good intentions, adopted such sanguinary measures, the popish interests gained the ascendancy in spite of the efforts of the protestants. The priests threatened those with excommunication who would not give their votes in favour of the

popish candidates, and by those and other means the queen procured a parliament that seconded her endeavours to establish popery in this country. Under the reign of Elizabeth the massacre of St. Bartholomew took place. A conspiracy took place in Ireland, that ended in the removal of the marquis of Ormsby, and left the melancholy proof that many members of parliament were engaged in promoting the rebellion; and no less than forty-one members were expelled on that account, principally from the house of lords. In the reign of Charles II, the royal word was given, that no attempt should be made to establish popery; but that word was broken, and the high offices of the state were filled with papists; and when the duke of York afterwards succeeded, an avowed Roman catholic, matters were carried so tar, that the family lost the noblest possession that any family could possibly enjoy. After such experience as this of the temper and spirit of the Roman catholics, were not our ancestors justified in the precautions which they adopted against the recurrence of similar scenes? Under the reign of king William, that great friend to the liberties of Europe, as well as of this country, these measures were first adopted After these measures of rigour took place, an interval of calm and tranquillity succeeded in Ireland; which had before been almost constantly in a state of agitation, and continued even when rebellion arose in this country. subsequent orderly conduct of the Irish led to relaxation of those laws under the present reign, as had been correctly stated by the noble lord. He was satisfied that this was a wise policy, as he was disposed to grant every thing to the Roman catholics, except political power.-Under the constitution, as it stood at present, he would ask, what were the inconveniencies felt by the catholics? Were they not as fully protected in their properties, their characters, their liberty, as any other description of his majesty's subjects? He called upon any triend of the catholics to point out a single statute in our legislative code which bore hard upon the catholics. He was persuaded, if any such did exist, that it was only necessary to point it out, to induce its repeal. He acknowledged that many of the arguments which had been formerly urged against extending any indulgence to the catholics, were no longer applicable. He was free to confess, that the existence of a pretender to the throne could no longer be urged as an argument against their chims; and if he

I thought that the effect of conceding the substance of the petition would be to unite the mass of the population of Ireland, perhaps he might have not been disposed to oppose it so decidedly as he found himself under the necessity of doing; but when he saw the catholics almost undisguisedly endeavouring not to make themselves a part of the state, but the state itself, that was an object which he could never be induced to grant them. It was not merely a civil right, but political power, in the most comprehensive signification of the term, which they sought to attain. One consequence of acceding to what they demanded in their petition would be, that her clergy would acquire an authority which they, with the peculiar tenets of their religion, and the tacilities it afforded, it was much to be feared, would convert to a dangerous use. called upon the house to think of two such dangerous powers as those of excommunication and auricular confession, and then to say whether they would open a door to all the dangers that might accrue to the national church from the employment of such engines. The catholic clergy, there was too much reason to apprehend, had never reluquished the hope of becoming the hierarchy of the country. He had the authority of ford Clare for declaring, that there existed consistorial courts in every diocese in Irah land, and that there had been a person residing at Rome charged to watch over the interests of the Itish catholic charch. Nay, more, there was not a dignity in the established church, which had not its counterpart in the catholic church. The ostersible object, and perhaps the real object of the petitioners was plain enough; but was that object the object of the great body of catholics in Ireland? Would not that body be inclined to extend their views a little farther? Would they not naturally look to the exaltation of their clergy, and to divers other privileges, which could not be granted to them without imminent danger to the present constitution in church and state? If the house should manifest a disposition to concedo to them even the limited object they demanded, it could not be done without the certain sacrifice of the act of settlement. What, he would ask, would be the consequences at an election in Ireland, if the catholics should be allowed to become members of the legi-lative body? In this country we had seen the tumult and inconvemences produced by the attachment of a certain description of the people to an in-

be infinitely aggravated in that country, where numbers would be found contending with property. He could not bring himself to concede what was required by the petition. It seemed to him a monstrous and shocking proposition to be called to place the catholics in a different state from the rest of his majesty's subjects, owing only a limited allegiance. He called on the house to preserve their protestant king and their protestant parliament, and to recollect that it was a protestant parliament which rescued the nation from the dangers of a popish king. He exhorted their lordships to follow the example of their protestant deliverer, and to resolve to die in the last dike of the constitution, both in church and state, rather than abandon one principle of other. There were two roads before their lordships; one of them was that old, venerable, and well known way, which had been struck out for them by their ancestors; in pursuing that they could encounter no dangers. The other was a way untrodden and dangerous, leading to innovations, the consequences of which n) human foresight could reach. He was not prepared to rash heedlessly into a path leading to such desperate results, and would consequently oppose the motion for referring the petition to a committee.

Lord Mulgrave said, he differed from the noble viscount who had just say down. With the best attention that he had been able to give the subject, he could not perceive the dangers asserted by his noble triends, nor could be dis over what possible mischief awould be likely to arise from admitting persons of property and education into a share in the legislation. Whenever restrict ous were to he taken off, it was the duty of those who proposed their removal, to see that it was done with as little inconvenience as possible. As a friend the principle of the petition, he lamented that the time for introducing it had not been more properly chosen. The introduction of it at present, he feared, would tend to excite religious distinctions, and ultimately to frustrate the great object of the petition. When those who brought it forward, did it without the least prospect of success, their conduct tended to throw it a greater distance than he, friend as he was to the measure, could wish. When he saw it brought forward improperly, and intemperately, he could not feel much inclination to give it that support that *64 or elfounistances. Up n those principles tion or not.

dividual. Whatever they were, they would | hewas disposed to resist it in the first instance. The principle of the petition was not the demand of the people of Ireland. Where were the petitions in favour of it? There were none. It was brought forward by a particular set of persons, for their sole advantage. If the catholics were to be led into political power, he saw no reason why that privilege should be restricted to the catholics of Ireland clone, whose situation under the constitution was much preferable to that of the English catholic. For these reasons, though a friend to the principle of the measure, he found himself under an obligation to oppose it at present.

Lord Holland said, that so much was been impressed with the importance of the sideject now under discussion, that when he came into the house he felt anxious to state his sentiments upon it; but when he heard the speech of the noble baron who opened the debate, he conceived it would be tirenecessary to trespass on the time of their lordships, as the noble baron seemed not only to have exhausted all the arguments in favour of his motion, but to have anticipated and refated all the objections that could be made. Nevertheless arguments had been used, from the other side of the house, so extraordinary, that it was impossible they could have been anticipated; he therefore felt himself called upon to make some farther remarks on the doctrines of his noble friend, the secretary of state, who spoke first. His noble friend, however, and he, had been so little in the habit of agreeing on political subjects, that he trusted that their difference on this occasion would not, more than former differences, disturb their private sentiments of friendship and esteem. Indeed, if the doctrines laid down by the noble secretary of state and another noble lord were to be sanctioned by the house, they would be pregnant with calamity to Ireland, as there would then be no prospect of the removal of those grievances under which they laboured. He hoped, however, that, the purport of what he meant to state would not be so totally misapprehended by the noble lords opposite, as the object of the petition had been misunderstood. The question was not, in the first instance, whether every thing which the catholics, or any other class of men to whom religious disqualifications applied, should be indulged with all they might claim, but whether the house would take the subject of the existing he would have done under a different situa- laws affecting the catholics, into considera-The arguments, of the noble

secretary of state however, led him to ex- persons admitted to sit in it who did not conpect that they would vote for taking the laws | into consideration for a purpose very different from that which the petitioners wished. They had indulged such panegyries, and passed such encommuns on those severe restraining laws under which the catholics had long groundd, that it seemed as if they must, perceive when he di tinguished between the in consistency, be desirous to re-enact them emorment of civil rights, and the enjoywhen they had been modified. And would ment of positival power, that the one was the noble lords really call the periods when joften nugatory without the other, and that these laws had been enacted and enforced, pera ds of tranquillity? Had they contributed to binish division and disconteat from [tol ration is complete, is detective. Could the country? Was this the state of Ireland which history would justify? On the contrary, did we not see in those laws the cause of perpetual dissentions, and the means by which every discontent was apt to befome rebellion dangerous to the state? The arguments against the motion were divided into two, those against the principle of repealing the restrictions on catholics; and those against the measure, not on its own account, but on account of the time. The noble secretary of state observed that, on a person coming into the house, and become the motion and the arguments in facour of it, he must imagine that it went to the repeal of the whole bill of rights, and act of settlement; and to erect the catholics into a complete a condancy in the empire The noble lord said too, that the repeal of the test act was a minor object compared with the claims of the catholic petition. But, wa it a fair consequence to say, that becan e it was deemed prudent to place the catholics, in point of admission to power, on the same footing with protestant dissenters, it would be of consequence necessary to admit the protestant dissenters to some privileges they do not now enjoy? To do so might or might not be wise and expedient, but it by no means followed as a necessary consequence of granting the catholic claim. Surely it was a strange argument to say that the catholics must be kept under severe Privileges of importance formerly demed. the catholics have nothing in common, should ask comething else. Those then who were for negativing the motion, must either do so as considering the code as to the catholies already what it ought to be, or that this was not the proper time. The noble baron, however, contended that all nations acted on the principle of tests. He forgot, nevertheless, that in this very house the principle of test was not pushed to the ex-

cur in the religious doctrines of the church establishment. These persons might be liable to tests it they accepted offices, but they were not prevented from setting and voting in parliament, which the catholics now were Ben's, did the poble lord not political power was the only scenity for civil rights? Here then his argument, that the noble lord look at the situation of Ireland, and not know that for want of political power to raise them from degradation; that for want of political power to render effectual the indulgences which the law has conceded, many of those includences were vain and uscless, and until they obtained that share of political power; the rest would be merely normal? He (lord Holland) had not of lefe been in a situation to hear much of the grievinces of Ireland, but he could not but conclude that the privation of political power, was of itself a great hardship. One reason why the people of this country made great sacrifices with chearfulness was, that the; loved the constitution in which they slived; but each it be expected that the hish catholics, deprived of thet share, could love the constitution so well, or be so, zealous, to sacrifice every thing in its defence? Resides, was it not perfectly well known that when the urion took place the Itish catholics had the best reason to think that they in particular would be benefited in their political rights by that measure; and if their claims were rejected, by some too who had fostered stage hopes, must they not be filled with indigination? Must they not feel that they had been dehided and abused Must they not feel that they had not acceived that share of the constitution which was promised to them? grievinees, lest some other class with which thad gradually been conceded, but without others those would be fruitless. The catholies were not subjects on the same footing with others. They felt this. For instance, would it make no difference to the catholics to find that they were capable of being sheriffs; and was not the privation of such honours grating to the feelings and degrading to the character of a whole body so disqualified? There was another grievance too which operated not only against the catent for which he argued, for there were tholics, but against the interest of the com-

commands in the army and navy frequently drove men of talents, of courage, to serve in the forces of other nations, sometimes of our enemies. This he had an opportunity of seeing with his own eyes, and could it be doubted that this was a hardship on the catholic body, and a loss to the state? It surely was a most severe injustice that the catholics were deemed incapable of rank and distinction, in professions where rank and distinction were of such value as in the military? Was it no hardship, too, that the catholics of an inferior order were sometimes enlisted into corps, and afterwards not only deprived of the exercises of religion according to their own opinions, but obliged to attend places of protestant worship? Surely these were grievances, and severe ones, weighing down and oppressing every class of the catholics of Ireland. And was the object of the petition then one which it was fit to declare undeserving of consideration? It was surprising to hear the contrariety of objections urged to the measure —One said that the catholics did not complain; another said they complained, too, the inference from whence was, that it would be in vain to attempt to conciliate people, whom it was impossible to please. In corroboration of this, the authority of O'Connor and Dr. Mac Nevin was produced to shew that catholic emancipation would not satisfy the United Irishmen. But was the authority of those persons conclusive with the noble lords in every other part of Irish affairs? Did they believe that granting the wishes of a real part of the people of Ireland would not take out of the hands of those who wanted to separate the two countries, those instruments of misleading the people which they had used with success? It was only when they were brought to think that catholic emancipation and reform could not be obtained in a lawful manner, that many, at last, in despair, plunged from disappointment into treason and rebellion. It was said, that the present system of laws, inchaling the restrictions and disqualifications of the cathelies, was one of the outworks of the constitution, and ought to be maintained. But was not Ireland itself an outwork of this country; an o itwork, too, which, if taken, would leave this country bare? Was it not then pecularly necessary for the defence of this country to strengthen that outwork by conciliating the people by whom it is occupied? Much had been said of the share

munity at large. The disqualification to hold the hatred of the catholic religion, had done to promote the glorious revolution of 1688, But surely if it was merely because James II. was a papist that he was driven from the throne, the pride which the country felt in that event ought to be much diminished Surely, however, it was the arbitrary principles of that monarch, as well as his religious bigotry, which provoked the indignation of this nation, and led to the revolution. It was their civil rights as well as their religious liberties which the nation rose to assert. It was stated, too, that, if the laws against the catholics were repealed, that body would acquire great and dangerous power; nay, it was said, that they would become the state itself. Now, if there was any thing in the noble baron's opening speech more particularly unanswerable, at was that on which he demonstrated that, on the most exaggerated view of the increase of the catholics, it was impossible that, by the circumstance of their restoration to political rights, they could be a majority in that house. In fact, it was exceedingly probable that the number of them in the house would always be exceedingly small. Could there then be any ground for the fears of the insecurity of property, and the resumption of antient forfeitures, which were to result from this visionary future catholic ascendancy? Besides, was it to be expected that the catholics would always act with such steadiness and uniformity in a body, as that none of them would be subject to influence, and to the temptation of a place? At the time of the union with Scotland, dangers to the state were apprehended from the circumstance of the religion of that country being popular in its nature, that the representatives of that country would be apt to fall into the extremes of popular liberty. It had seldom occurred, however, that there had been any pretence to accus be gentlemen from the north, of being inclined to run after theories of popular liberty, or stepping forward as tribunes of the people. In the most troublesome times, in the moments when the revolution of France had the greatest influence on the minds of men, our northern neighbours never distinguished themselves by falling into popular courses. In the same marner there seemed very little reason to apprehend that the catholics, from any supposed tendency of their religious opinions, would ever come to be formidable, either to church or state. What was said too, that if this were granted, something which the preservation of the church, and more would be asked, was an argument that

might be equally applied to every other | fused them, the policy of our ministers is to species of appeal for redress of grievances. But instead of refusing what the catholics till political power was added, the cacholics now ask, it was a policy imposed on us by could never maintain what had been connecessity, to conciliate them by a measure that would unite so large a portion of the empay zealously in its defence. It was stated agents, the peritioners, however, that they with it to be reheved from a test which only bound them to declare they were not traitors Bot, ourely, to call upon people to say so of themselves, was no very great compliment to em. On the contrary, it must be something very painful to their feelings, especially if such a test was imposed on them as a particular class. If air were equally obliged to such a te fait would not be relt as a degradation by the catholics. As to the question of the time, it was stated, that there was no chance of the measure being carried at this moment. I pon what ground the noble lead made that assertion, he was at a loss to I now. It surely could not be torgotten, that the same right hon gent. whohad retired from office, because he goald not carry the catholic question, and who had stated that he never would return to office till he could, was again in power. And it this moment of war and difficulty be unseasonable, was not the moment when Mr. Put left office formedly, because he could not carry this question, equally a time of war and danger? Are not the enemies fleets at sea? Was not Ireland threatened with invasion, and was it not particularly called upon at the present moment, to concliate the inhabitants of that country? If the objection, that now the catholic claims should not be granted because the greatest part of catholic Europe was under the deminion of Bonaparte, were to prevail, there could be no prospect of its being removed during the continuance of the present aunistry. But if it be true that Bonaparte has such an ascendancy over eathores, it would be an argument why we should do every thing in our power to conciliate our catholic tellow-subjects. The policy of king William always bad been to practice toleration, and one of his strongest reasons for lamenting further, he said, he should vote against the the severities to which cutholies were made house comp into the committee moved by liable was, that it tended to augment the the noble had (Grenvilla). When he repower of Louis XIVth, the head of the catholic body. The noble lord here read a Ireland in the year 17.15, and found the passage from Bishop Burnet, illustrating this trait in king William's character. The conduct of our government, however, was directly the reverse. So far from giving the his power, and had givent satisfaction in catholics a real toleration, when it was re- thinking that he reasted it with success, as YOL. IV.

deteat, the toler from allowed by law, and ceded to them, or resembove the degradation in which they had been held. Now was the time to show the catholics that they could expect nothing from catholic powers, so advantageous, so satisfactory, as the liberality and justice of the British legislature could bestow. This would effectually prevent them lending ear to any suggestions which eitholics, the enemies of this country, could propose to them. Besides, it ought not to be foreotten, that the situation of the catholics was the more irksome and disgusting that they were held in interocity by their own countrymen, a satuation that outraged the feelings more than subjection to strangers. It was tame to put an end to this source of jealousy, and by admitting so important a part of the population of the empire to a full participation of the constitution, unite them succeely in the interects of the country. In a word, if the laws against catholics were not repealed, it was impossible that things could continue in their present footing in Ireland. The history of that as well as of every other country, shewed that those who would not concede must coerce; and was it possible that during a struggle like this, while our foreign enemy was so aggrandized, that we could spare one part of the strength of the empire to keep in subjection another? That such was the alternative, every one who looked at the state or Ireland and of Europe must perceive. And was such a wretched aid dangerons course to be preferred to the enlightened policy which would heal all discontents, and lenether bole trength and resources of the empire decisionable against the common energy?

End comion had sen extremely anxious to once than elt to the house, and had attempted it at an eri'er part of the debate, as he stood in a peculiar situation with respeci to the question at present under consideration, upon which, before he proceeded ceived his ineqe-tv's commands to repair to: question of admitting catholics into the legislature of troland, he had thought it his duty to resistant a casme to the numost of

disadvantage, and even danger union between Great Britain and Lellard AGrenville's) speech did not appear to lean. sects as well as to the catholics. His lordship expressed by opinion that such a measure might at the above period have been introduced with advantage, steps having previously been taken to conciliate the feelings of the learned professions, the law and the church: circumstances, however, took place, which induced Lord Camden to be convinced that it would not be expedient, at the time alluded to, to take steps even to ascertain if the measure were practicable, not be introduced with any chance of success. Such was the opinion of those who quitted his Majesty's service in 1801, and till the present moment it has not appeared that any of those persons have felt that the question could be brought forward with pre--priety; he was, therefore, yet to learn what agitate the question, for the sake of those very persons who are represented as desirous of having it brought forward. Although he did not see the danger in granting those privileges to the Catholics which some persons del, yet he was fully persuaded that they could not at the present moment be granted without creating great discoatent amongst * other classes of dissenters as well as the members of the established church. He therefore deprecated the discussion, but if it must proceed, he had made up has mind to oppose going into a committee, not only upon the grounds before stated, but also as objecting to doing so for any of those pur-

he conceived the measure would before the tion represented as below ing to the subject, union have been attended with the greatest, but to which the words of the motion and When the the general character of the noble load's

was happily effected, he confessed he thought! The Bishop of Duchars. I have made he saw a moment when the same objections, more than one attempt to address misself to did not exist, and indeed was of opinion that I your loadshops' nouce at an earlier period of it would have been expedient, at least, to the debate. An advantage will result from consider whether such alterations could not my want of successions, both to your fordships be made in that code of laws which imposes and mysch, that I shall not, at this late disabilities on different classes of his Ma- how, from the length of what I have to ofjesty's subjects, with a view of entering into there, either the problem on your load-some general plan, as might, under proper slaps patience, or exhaust my own strength, provisions, admit every person complying I shall as ad a repetition of those arguments with those provisions into all the privileges, which have already been orged with much enjoyed by his Majesty's subjects in general, (ability by those load) who have delivered without endangering the present church es-lither opinions. If ever there was a subject, tablishment, and he thought that such par- the consideration of which peculiarly and ticipation should have been extended to other; imperiously called for temperate discussion and dignitied moderation, it is that of the petition, which has been preented to this house by certain noblemen and gentlemen of property in Ireland, on behalf of theniselves and others professing the Romin catholic religion. After a period of religious difference and civil discord it is in 'coll of the utmost importance, that, in age, ang a question like the present, we should be influenced by an increased aboxicly to guard against every unfair or untivolvable imand of course that the measure itself could pression from recent injuries, or internal discontents. It is essential that we should resolve to preserve inviolate and sacred the principles of the establishment, and to estend that toleration, forbearance, and Christab charity, which are its distinctive marks, to their utmost practicable limit —Religious toleration, my lords, is the primary princithere was in the present situation of affinist ple and posuliar characteristic of our estawhich could induce their lordships' to con-blished church. By the practice of it, we sider the present an advantageous period for have been habituated to respect and revere such a discussion. He was decidedly of even the criors of the conscientious Chrisopinion that in the present state of the feel- tian, and we have been enabled to preserve ings of the country, it was improdent to humany and good will, not only between protestant seets, but between every denomination of Christians. Under these impression, my lords, I have attentively perused this petition. I have endeavoured to disconer what extension of personal toleration is asked, that can be consistent with our civil and religious establishment; I have not considered what they would have given to us, but what we could with safety give to them, -not what we might in justice have refused, but what we could in kindness have granted, as the offering of affection and good will How far it has been our disposition to shew, not merely toleration, but real and active beneficence to pixes, which the speech introducing the mo- | persons differing from us in articles of faith.

post effen which this country has recently an aded to the French priests, where to rebyous projudices was superadded political danger; and when we had no security against the introduction of spies and enemies, por any reasonable assurance that there might not be individuals among them, desirous of prachising their return on almost any conditions which the usurped power of the French government might think proper to distite. In that instance we had also to e counter religious danger • from the bigot a spart of conversion, which characterizes their religion; from the unfavourable entineers which they had nonrished from their virtuest intency, with respect to English prot tants, and from a peculiar species of domalecting intolerance, other nations. And yet the e-considerations my lords, did not deter us from receiving their with all the warm charity of Cho tans, and the liberality of Englishmen; exhibited not merely by the higher orders in the hour of plent, but by the poor and necessitions it a period of general searcity. If we could do so much, and do it so willingly, for foreigners and cucinies, can it tor a moment be supposed, that we are not prepared to shew every decree of warm and affection to kindness to our friends and fellow subjects in Ireland; can it be imagined that we shall not be ready to forget every difference of opinion, and to endeavour to promote their happiness and improvement, to the utmost of our power? Io looking to the welfare of the great mas, of extend; and whether, after such a conces-Roman catholics in Ireland, I man that useful body of men which in every country must compose the most numerous class of its inhabitants, it will be wise and benevelent so to use the power which the constitution has placed in us, as a part of a protestant legislature, as to do for them individually all that (were the power in their hands) they would be wise in doing for themselves. In this view, my lords, it may be a subject for our consideration, how far we can better provide for the discharge of l their religious duties, and how far we may with propriety assist them in that respect. 'We may inquire how far we can improve their temporal condition, by supplying the means and motives of industry, and by every exertion of kindness, which can promote their domestic comfort, improve their character, and meliorate their condition and we may endeavour to make a more ge-

may have appeared by the reception and preval provision for the education of their children, not interfering with their religious tenets, but attending to their instruction, to making them useful to themselves, and to the community and to giving them the unequivocal advantage of religious and moral habits. Those, my lords, I looked to, as the objects of this per don. But what do I find in it? nothing it which the general mass of the Irish cathelies is concerned: nothing that is connected with personal toleration, nothing that is to promote the social and domestic hibits of the labouring class, or to improve their resources nothing, my lool, that is to have a general operation in bedering the condition of our catholic fellow subjects in Iteland, or that is calculated to do more than to give certain privileges and influence to a very few opuwhich distinguishes the French from all lent aids ideals among them. In short, my lords, this is not a perition for teleration, but a demand of power. It is a complaint, that the present system detaches from property its proportion of political weight and influence, and it asks of the legislature three things—the right of sitting in parliament; of even using corporate offices; and of being subjected to the builthen of acting as sheriffs of counties —The first, comprising the functions of legislation, the second, the privileges of corporate trancluse; and the third, the important delegation of his Majesty's executive power, in every county of Ireland. These, my lords, are powns of no inconsiderable magnitude. But before we grant them, let us at least pause, until we have ascertained how for their effects may sien, we shall, or shall not, be able to obtain toleration for our protestant fellow subjects in Reland. Let us pause, until we have well considered the guards, which the English constitution has placed over our established church, and, while we sedulously grant every reasonable indulgence to the scruples of the conscientious, let us keep inviolate the barriers of our religious and pofitical constitution, and preserve that entire, which can only be preserved by its entirety. In the consideration of this subject, it will be necessary to advert to the superior number of papists in Ireland, to the pecuhar powers which their clergy exercise over the laity; to the general connection of that clergy with a foreign power; and to the degraded and servile dependance of the head of their church, upon a state extremely inimical to this country. 'We must also advert to the irritation of recent hostilities; and

your lordships should consider the consecan refuse to any Trish protestant, a hat you on what ground you can give to the Irish catholies, that which you withhold from the catholics in England; and where, and upon what principle the line is to be drawn. this requires serious and in dure deliberation It must again and again be considered; and every possible effect and consequence weighed with the incest and most attentive accuracy, and with the cost parient conunuance of libour. It fore a change so fundamental and improce leared be adopted. For, I my lords, if the butyerls of our established | church are to put removed, how will the other separated and insulated parts be protected. It while it is cause on Le ameded, it is the object of attack, if we have over state? On these grounds, my lords, I con- the country from a sense of duty to my country.

Lord Redesdale observed that the motion |

not merely to the probable consequences to I house could not suppose that the catholics the Irish protestants, but also the danger to would stop there. No, the catholic hierarthe catholics themselves; and, I may add, Jehy looked for the domains and revenues of to the indelicacy, not to use a harsher term, the established clergy, and those must folof placing increased power in their bands, low the grant of those things for which they circumstanced and connected as they at pre- | now applied. Nay, more, the house would It will also be important that feel it becessary to proceed turther, the 5th article of the union niest be repealed, and quences, as to other sects; whether you the eatholic church established in Ireland, for without this he had no hope that the cagrant to every Irish catholic; and again, tholics would be contented, and tranquillity securely established. Until the hierarchy were in possession of that church property which they naturally and anxiously desired, they would not cease to excite discontent, and if they ever obtained that property, perhaps matters would not end there. It was, indeed, most probable that a total separation from this country would be the next object of pursuit. Apprehending such consequences from the proposed concessions, and he could assure the house that such was the prevailing apprehensions among the nerie intelligent protestants in Iteland, he must depreente the proposition. The comparison made between the case of Scotland and that of Ireland, he felt to be quite unnow to exert ourselves in its decence, and to founded-because the church of the Scotch rally round the citagel, to a courte diager was the establishment of the country, which which threatens it; what hope will remained in quiet obedience to the state of to preserve it, in its broken and metilated | Great Britain, and in perfect harmony with But that any thing like peace ceive this pention to be madmissible, and Hor quiet, or harmony, could exist in Irefeel myself compelled to a pert it, from a land while the cutholics were subject to such sense of duty to the c-tablished charch; a hierarchy as the present, he thought utwhich in my conserned I believe to be the fully hopeless. Another difference arose bost constituted church which the Christ in from this, that Scotland was, even it she were would ever sow; from a sense of duty to ficially to the protestent establishment, by that could forth of government, under which no means equal to heland in point of weight I block Gol, that I was born and be an in the protestent of weight I block Gol, that I was born and be an in the protestent of weight I block Gol, that I was born and be an in the protestent of weight I block Gol, that I was born and be a sense of the protestent of the protestent of weight I block Gol, that I was born and be a sense of the protestent of the I bless God that I was born and bye, an I and importance in the empire. Besides, the comparisons made, alluded only to persons who so ight for places of power and profit in before the house was, in point of form, that ! England, and they, it was to be recollected, the house should resolve into a coromittee, were obliged to take the sacrament to quabut the object of the noble mover evidently. By themselves for such places. The Scotch, and the prayer of the peration expressionals, the office, adverted to by the noble mover, that the extheles should be supported to any became profestants before they were adequal participation of constitue on Unights united to those high offices he had mentionand power on equal terms with the proton- ed. Of course, there was this difference in tants. It this were to be complied with, the two cases—that the presbyterious of the constitution of church and state could "Seculard were not eligible to those high not, in his judgment, keep survive. The offices until they took these tests which the valuables professed also their mixicly to be carbolics, clanbing those offices refused to relieved from all tests. This was instituted take. In the one case there was obviously in the patition, and it was the language puls- on danger while in the other every thing liely hold be the mann rs of that boys in he at prehended. He would put it Ireland. The house should, an considering to the hour whether such a distinction in this question, econect, and deeply reflect income of the catholics would be consistent rpontile structure of ficand of the Me- to the common pole vor justice-to require mand in the periods were account to, the for one class of suspects a test of qualifica-

tion, which another, claiming equal privi- | summons. They accordingly waited on the leges, refused to subscribe. He would ask whether such a proceeding could be reconstated, that there was a very marked distinction. The clergy—they were to be considered in quite different points of view. cising an authority which the law did not sanction, and considering the protestant clergy as usurp is there there were marks of disapprobation from the opposition benches) The noble lord resumed, and repeated his last assertion. In support of this assertion he would appeal to any noble lord who was acquainted with Ireland. The catholic clergy, he knew, denominated the learned prelate on the bench above lam (the Archbishop of Armagh) simply Dr. Stuart. These clergy always called themselves the regular successors of the ancient bishops of the country. They took their titles, used, their msigma, and assumed every thing apportanting to the prelacy that was not prohibited by law. In a petition once presented to the house of commons of Ireland, they put their signatures is regular bishops, and there was enty one man in that assembly (Dr. Duigemany who had the spirit to notice this gross [and insulting violation of the law. Such; was the state of the catholic hierarchy, that I he must deprecate any merca-e of their power. They also thy possessed an authority of great exert—an anthority too, eatorced by the most draidful means-that of excommon atton. This excommunication was of such a native that the poor victim whom it denounced, might staye in the street before any cothone would communicate with or reneve him. He knew, ind.ed, an issuace where a post person, who had been e communicated would have actuilly started, if it had not seen for the benevelouse of a protest of divine, who supplied him with a distance. There was another instance of the exercise of this extrandinary political child come to his knowledge. Two cathelies were married by a prote tant clergyman. This being heard of be the pursh pract, he repeated it to the bishop. The persons who, had been •married were immediately summoned to appear before the catho's view general of the dioce e, and the protestant clergyman, consulting the peace of his parish, and perhaps his own safety, with that of the parties | reformation, the catholic hierarchy still re-

vicar-general, expressed their contrition and readiness to make any submission in their calcable with the principles of policy which power. But no, the vicar-general vers ingoverned our ancestors? Between the Ro- exorable, and this couple was excommunimun catholic clergy and laity, the noble lord | acted for having been married according to law. But this was not all, such as should have any communication with them, were to be excommunicated also The man, The clergy formed a great compact body, however, being a person with whom many standing in open defiance of the law—exer- were in the habit of communicating, it was reported to the hishop, and above 200 persons, men and worden, were from 20 miles distance summoned before the vicar-general. They accordingly obeyed, but somehow the vicar was so much appeased as not to impose the excommendation. He however inflicted a penance, which was, that each person should perform a pilgrimage of 30 miles that is, from what are called Holy Wells, in Ireland, to another, each bearing a label, specifying the cause for which such penance was imposed. Things similar to this frequently happened in Treland, and such was the fear they inspired, that the influence of the clergy was almost unbounded. They, in fact, assumed an anthority much greater than belonged to the catholic elergy in any other country whatcvery for their authority was restrained by no liw. It was quite without controll. Before the reformation, the power of the catholics was not free from legislative resur nois. There was a temedy against the abuse of exclesiastical power. But now what was the remedy? An appeal to Rome; and what kind of rediess was to be obtained from such an appeal? If any persecuted catholics could or would re-oft to it, he would leave it to the house to judge. The catholic clergy dissolved macrises on various grounds, not recognized by our laws. They forbid manages within certain limits of consanguimty, contrary to law. In fact, the legitimacy of children, and of course the succession to property, was made to depend in a great measure on their will. The noble lord proceeded to account for thus by stating, that the reformation had never been perfect in Itel and, and that, consequently, the catholic incrarchy still retained extraordinary power. The imperfeet progress of the reformation he illustrated by referring to the reigns of Elizabeth, James I. and the Protector Until the latter period, the carbobe hishops, &c retained many of the ecclesia-tical domains. Thus, in consequence of the now progress of the summoned, advised them to submit to the turns a pover without law-nay, contrary

this, that catholic elergy in this country studied to promote peace; but those of Ireland found their account in pursuing an opposite course. So much was he persuaded of the justice of the remark, that the hierarchy was interested, and arme to excite disturbance, that he sincerely lamented that Their abolition was necessary to secure peace. Nay more, he was thoroughly satisfied that such an abolition would be highly grateful to the better informed part of the catholics themselves, as a would be for the interest of the whole body. This would be the more **readily** admitted when he stated that peace and harmony was most to be found in the dioceses where there was no bishop, or where the bishop did not much meddle with the parish priests. That bishops were not essential, or desired by the catholic parish priests, was apparent from the case of Canada. There the priests complained of a bishop's having been sent among them, alleging that harmony prevailed among them Funtil such an authority was placed over them. Having had communication with some in-'telligent, conscientious parish priests in Ireland, he had reason to know that the hic-Franchy in its present shape was not agreeable to the people, and was peculiarly disagreeable to the more informed part of the clergy. From those parish priests he learnt that they were afraid to be known to hold any communications with protestants, lest they should incur the censure of their bishops. 'Indeed this statement was confirmed by a circumstance with respect to Dr. Hussey. 'A few catholic servants in a protestant family were in the habit of joining in a certain prayer which involved no difference as to any dectrinal point. The practice, however, being reported to Dr. Hussey, he in-

to law; and they tyrannize over the other jalthough there was nothing intrinsically obeatholies. As long as such a body remain- jectionable in the prayer, "its being read by ed in that country, he felt most forcibly that a beretic was sufficient." And this learned it would be absurd to expect tranquility or and liberal doctor, who was afterwards concontentment. There was nothing more re-inected with that union, which had in view a markable, the noble lord said, than the dif- separation from this country, issued orders ference between the catholics of England to the parish priests of his diceese to guard and Ireland. Having lived in a part of that 'against such a practice in future. With repart of the empire where there were many espect to the character of the higher orders catholics, he was enabled to speak to this of the Irish cutholic laity, they were of two difference. In those parts of England where descriptions—the one possessed of landed eatholics are resident, if one saw a farmer property and old established rank—the other distinguished for temperance, cleanliness, were in possession of property also, but were and industry, it generally turned on that he new men. The former were interested in was a catholic; in Iteland it was directly the permanent peace of the country, and the reverse. What could be the cause of appear; this description of per ons, the most this difference? He had asked an intelligent disarronished perhap, was a noble lead, whose English catholic, and the reason stated was mame was subscribed to the potition on the table (Farl Fingal); that robbe lord was a men of good sense, levalty and extreme moderation, when I ft to hunself. But when he submitted to the advice of the hierarchy, his conduct bore a different appearance. It might be said, that what he had said tends ed to show the propriety of going into the proposed committee. But, no; and for this reason, that the changes he recommended in the state of the catholics was not to be effected by legislation; it must come from the catholics themselves. That change produced, many concessions might be made to the catholic body, that it would, under the present circumstraces, be extremely unrafe ro agree to. Wherever religious establishment should be thought necessary, it was indispensable for its maintenance that political power should attach to it. On the same principle that those who had no property, were excluded from political power, should those who are hostile to the church establi lineant be excluded from the same description of power—namely, that in either case equal power might tempt to the assumption of an unfair claim of an equal division. That the protestant religion was always demeed essential to the maintenance of the constitution, by our ancestors, the noble lord quoted the case of the king of Sardinia, who was excluded from the throne expressly because he was a catholic. Recurring to the difference between the catholies of England and Ireland, arising from the different constitutions of the hierarchy, the noble lord cited the oath, which was, notwithstanding the objection of the apostolical vicar, subscribed by the catholics of England in 1778, while the same oath—namely, a test of allegiance to a protestant succession only, was refused by the catholics of Ireland. stantly forbid it, stating as a reason that, The acceptance of this oath in the one case,

proceeded from the superior information of existed among the mass of the people of the men, and the refusal of it in the other | Ireland, who were such eatholics as he had from the superior influence of the bishops | stated, a general hatted against the English Dr. Hassey wrote a pamphlet against this name; and an Firlish government and outh, arguing, that it would be monstrous tyranny, or an Englishman and an heretic, to call on a catholic to swear, that he would were with them summous terms; so that not be faithful to a British sovereign, if that jif was impossible, consistently with the sovereign should happen to be of the same safety of the protestants of treland, to grant religion with himself, and this argument the prayer of this petition. He would vensucceeded. The noble lord stated the means | ture to say, that if the prayer of this petiby which, in his judgment, the changes desired might be produced in Ireland. If the except in part of the North of Ireland, and bible were translated into Irish, he was perstuded that year good effects would follow -that many extholics would be converted to the established church. The house would recollect the consequences that arose from the translation of the bible into the Welsh language. The protestant service being read in Ireland, in the English language, which numbers did not understand, was a great impediment to the conversion of ca-Tholics. They understood the Latin hungy -much better-having it from early educastion by note. The state of the church in Ireland was, besides, very bad, There were 2,400 parishes in Ireland, the benefices of which were reduced to 1,100, 500 of them only had fixed residences, the remaining 000 had no fixed residences: outof 2,400 parishes there were not many more than 1,000 churches. In many parts of Ireland there were excellent livings, very much sought after, which had neither church nor glebo-house. But it we were to set about ameliorating the condition of Ireland, the only way to do so effectually was to take proper means to propagate the doctrines of the protestant church, and if this were done, of all the coolesiastical revenues of Ireland, . he had very little doubt that Ireland would soon wear a different appearance; provided another thing was also done—that of providing for the safety of such inhabitants as are protestants; for in a very large portion of Ireland there could hardly be sud to exist such a person as a day labouter who was a ling as that of England, and then the hawere catholics It was true he had the sanction of the law for his profession, but [in Ireland the laws were not enforced as

tion were granted, the result would be, that perhaps the capital of that country, no protestant would date to live in it. This he had from information which could not be doubted; for a reverend prelate had told him that he could not keep one protestant servant, and much of this came under his own view. He knew that none of the protestant inhabitants of Dubliu, who were parents, could get their children into the service of any considerable family, so that they were obliged to apprentice them out to handicrafts. Such was was the disposition of the catholics, for whom this extraordinary indulgence was now asked, that none of the protestant children could find employment in the service of any considerable family in Dublin, and this was the case generally all over Ireland. Nor was this all, for no day-labourer could find employment, unless he was a catholic. He considered this proposed measure of what was called relief to the eitholics, as a measure so far from being likely to conciliate the people of Irelan I, that it would have the effect, if agreed to, of driving out of Ireland all the protestants; for until the present hierarchy of Ireland should be in possession it was not to be supposed that they would be contented, and having gone so far in asking, if they were successful, it was not to be supposed that they would not go farther. In earlier days the catholic religion of Ireland might have been put on the same footprotestant; no one person of that persua-j tred to the protestants would not have subanon could expect to be otherwise then bisted as now it does; but they had proceedmiserably treated by all his neighbours who ed on a wrong foundation, and had erred on the system of intolerance in their principles much further than the catholics of Figland had ever done; they could not they ought to be; there were many and de- now, at least on the sudden, be brought plorable defects in Ireland in that particular; back from those errors into which their hieand they were chiefly owing to the power ratchy had led them. We must consider the and influence of the cutholic hierarchy. It Roman cutholics of Ireland as persons who was the interest of that hierarchy (and they | refused to submit to those laws and principles pursued that interest) to create a spirit of of reformation which had transformed this animosity in the people of Ireland against the country from a catholic to a protestant protestants; the consequence was that there country. They were now disposed in Ire-

would continue to do every thing in their power to do so. They must, therefore, be dealt with accordingly, and under such circumstances it would be the greatest madness to put into their hands more political power than they possessed already, He admitted that this was holding out a melancholy prospect, but that he could not help, for it was truth esacted it of him, and although there was much force in the expression, that we ought to pay attention to the feelings of the great body of the people of Ireland who were cathores, yet it did not follow that we were to abandon the interest, and indeed the safety, of the protestants of Ireland, at least until the Roman catholics of Ireland shall put themselves in a different situation from that in which they are at present; until they should know how, like the catholics of England, to ask their priests and teachers, will you permit us to take the same oath as the catholics of England, they could not farly or safely be trusted with that which the catholics of England enjoyed.—When they should be permitted by their priests to take the oaths in like manner as the catholics of England did, they might be put in the same state of independence, they might then be worthy of the benefits they now seek; but as long as they remain slaves to the power to which they are at present slaves, his lordship said, he was of opinion they are not worthy of what is now asked in their behalf. He had a great deal more to say to their lordships upon this subject, but he felt that he had already trespassed too much upon their time, and he should, therefore, say no more upon this occasion.

The Dulle of Norfolk rose to propose an adjournment.

Lord Hunkesbury said, he had no objection, provided it was understood the house should meet again the next day time enough to dispose of this question in the course of the evening; but if the adjournment was not proposed on these terms, he should feel it his duty to oppose it.

The Duke of Norfolk again submitted to the house the propriety of adjourning, without coming to any terms of compronise as to the time the subject should take up in future discussion, or the time when that discussion should be renewed. (Here there was a great cry of go on! go on! go on!)

The Lord Chancellor said, that if the adjournment was carried, their lordships would understand that they should meet at an hour

land to resist the laws in that particular, and sufficiently early to go through with the would continue to do every thing in their whole before twelve at night, or decency power to do so. They must, therefore, be would require another adjournment

Lord Hawkesbury declared, that unless the motion for adjournment specified the hour at which the debate was to be resumed, instead of leaving that point indefinite, he should be under the necessity of

opposing the adjournment.

The Duke of Norfolk thought that the regain way would be to put the question of adjournment generally in the first instance; if that was carried, it would be competent to any noble lord to move that it be resumed at any hour he might think fit.—(A great cry of go on! go on! go on!)—The question was put by the lord Chancellor for the adjournment, and from the voices, the non-contents were declared to have it, and the house was about to proceed to a division, but did not divide. The debate was then resumed, and

The Earl of Limerick rose and spoke as follows —My lords, exhausted by the excessive heat of the house, and by the very late hour to which the debate has been protracted, I own I regret that the proposed adjournment did not take place. Your lordships, however, will derive one advantage from my wearied state of mind and body, that I am totally unable to trespass for any length of time on your patience. I protest, with the utmost sincerity, that I was desirous to reconcile it to my feelings to give my vote on the present question, without addressing your lordships. The subject under consideration is one, to a person who thinks as I do, highly unpleasant to discuss, and to an Inshnan, for many reasons not necessary to allude to now, it is one of peculiar awkwardness; I could not, however, satisfy myself to remain behind the shield of silence, lest my doing so should be construed into timidity or want of decision. — From much of what has fallen from several noble lords who have spo-Len in this debate, I am almost led to imagine that I have passed the greater part of mydrie in a dream; that Ircland, where I was born, and where I resided so many years, was not the kind of country I had considered if to be, and that all that had there passed before my eyes was increly a vision. The noble baron who opened the debate was pleased, in the beginning of hise speech, to state, that the petitioners had suffered from party violence and party prejudice. I own I am at a loss to understand what the noble lord means; does he mean

leace and prejudice from their own parliament, now no more? If he does, I, having been a member of that parliament for many years, .cannot help stating that the noble lord has so far been grossly misinformed: I am persuaded, from his known candour, that he would not have made such an assertion, had he not been strongly assured of its truth. What, my lords, do the Irish catholics mean to say that they have suffered from party violence and party prejudice from their own parliament? Turn over the volumes of the ac's of that parliament since the year 1782, and you will in them find one continued chain of indulgences, relaxations, grants of privileges, and admission of political rights, till at last little indeed was left-to bestow. This assertion of suffering from party, however, explains a circumstance in the late transactions, which, I acknowledge, has considerably puzzled me. I was at a loss to conceive why Englishmen, almost unacquainted with Ireland, were selected by the Irish catholics to present their petition, passing by all their countrymen in both houses of parliament. Were there no two of them on whom they could rely, or from whose party prejudice and violence they had not suffered? - With great respect to the noble lords who have spoken, I cannot help thinking that much of what has fallen from them might well have been omitted. What was the necessity of painting the wretched and degraded state of Ireland during the long and gloomy period it suffered under the lash of the penal and restricting statutes? That time, thank God, has long passed away, and I think it would be more consonant to that temper and moderation which the noble baron who opened the debate made a profession of, and which the noble lord who sits near him appeared to me somewhat to depart from, had this part of the subject not been brought forward.-I will not follow the noble lord through the different objections he stated as likely to be made to his measure, because, I have not heard them made by any noble lord on this side of the house. Who has stated the principles of modern enlightened catholics to be those entertained in the times of the first councils, or in the dark and corrupt ages of the Roman church! - Who has stated the Irish ecatholics to be irreclaimable traitors, and therefore unfit to participate in the privileges and distinctions of the constitution?— I have not heard any thing of the kind fail from the lips of any noble lord; if there Vol. IV.

that the petitioners have suffered such vio- had, I should have been one of the first to contradict it. In truth, my lords, I cannot help thinking, with great respect be it said to the noble lord, that much of his lordship's speech was consumed in conjuring up phantoms for himself to buffet.—I will not enter into the abstract question whether it be safe to remove at once all those guards and barriers which our ancestors thought essentially necessary for the preservation of our constitution in church and state. I do not think it necessary to declare an opinion, that the enlightened catholics of the present day do not entertain those principles of persecution of persons of a different faith, and of want of regard to oaths made to heretics, which had formerly made them objects of distrust and apprehension to protestant states; it would be idle to do so; nobody now entertains any such opinions. — I am well acquainted with many of the subscribers to the catholic petition; and I assert with confidence, that they are not excelled in character, in loyalty to their prince, and in attachment to the constitution, by any the most distinguished of the protestants. The noble lord (the earl of Fingell) whose name stands second on the list, is one of the best and most distinguished characters the united kingdom can boast of.—I mean to confine myself merely to this part of the subject, namely, whether this be the fittest time to bring the petition before parliament? The noble baron asserts it is, and at the same time declares, that he esteems tho moments he presented it and argued on its merits as the happiest of his life. I differ here nom the noble lord; our opinions are far as the poles asunder. What, my lords, this the fittest time to agitate a question which rouses every passion, and calls into action every civil and religious prejudice; this the fittest time, when the united kingdom is assailed on all sides by the most formidable enemies, and when, at the moment that I am speaking, French emissaries are traversing Ireland in every direction, announcing an immediate invasion of that island, and promising to those who shall join them the establishment of their religion, and the property of those lands which they now hold as farmers ?-But the noble lord says, that any evils that may arise will be ascribable to those who reject the petition, not to those who bring it forward; that greater evils would have arisen from refusing to present the petition than any that can flow from agitating the subject; that the catholics called eagerly for its

presentation. differ from the noble baron; I have some knowledge of that country, and, from every information I have been able to obtain, I decidedly assert, that the catholics were not anxious to agitate the subject now; that they did not think the time opportune, or that they were now likely to obtain their objects. If my information is accurate, I believe it will be found that all the eagerness to agitate the subject was on this side of the water, and that the catholics were goaded on by representations from hence to bring forward their petition. I do not accuse the noble lord of being the person that spurred on the catholics. I know his public spirit and character too well to suspect, for an instant, that he would lend his great and di impuished name to so mischievous a He knows that country too well, Michaelle from his former high station, to hazard such a measure at this moment. I have not, however, the same good opinion of whers: I do believe that there are men so de-perate as to value at nought a general convulsion, if they can worry a minister by bringing forward a subject, in the discussion of which they conceive he may be embarrassed by former declarations. Why is our country to be made the arena on which contending parties are to wage war against each other? Oh my unfortimate country! are you never to be at rest? I conjure the agitators of this measure to reflect ere it be too late: stir not a the that is smothered, but not extinguished; the slightest spark may kindle into a blaze. Is it not sufficient that, in the short space of nine years, my poor country has been racked by conspiracies, disgrated by every crime contained in the roll of human wickedness, affrighted by invasions, and shaken to the very centre by civil and religious distractions? Is, it pride as an independent nation, and our importance and influence as individuals; to procure, if possible, for our distracted land, the blessings of peace and security? We embraced an union to protect us from ourselves; make not what we considered and hoped would prove a measure of tofety, make it not, I say, a measure of mischief and disquiet.—But the noble baron

I lament I must again of this measure; that indeed the government made them no promises, but that all of us who supported the union, led them to entertain such hopes. I acknowledge that I did say to my catholic friends, that they would have a better chance of success hereafter from an imperial than from an Irish parliament; that an Irish parliament could never grant with safety what the united parliament might hereafter bestow. But I certainly held out to them no expectation of an early attainment of their wishes. I am not, my lords, one of those who think that in no time, under no change of circumstances, this measure ought to be granted; that the settlement of 1793 should be our ne plus ultra, that here we ought to make our I profess not to understand what stand. a ne plus ultra in politics means. Sure I. am that no such principle is countenanced in the practice of our constitutions; its principle is to change as circumstances and times demand alteration. I trust and hope that a time may arrive when distrusts and animosities may die away, when the two parties may meet half way, and when religious distinctions may no longer disturb the state.—But, says the noble lord, grant the prayer of this petition and you will at once do away all pretext for disturbance, and you will at once become an united and a happy people. I have the misfortune again to differ from the noble lord. I do solemply declare, that I do not think that, by granting the prayer of this petition to its fullest extent, you will advance one single step towards the tranquillisation of Ireland. His lordship will not, Lam sure, confend that it is necessary to bribe the catholic noblemen and gentlemen into loyalty, and as to the common people, I am persuaded it would not gain over a single peasant now tainted with disloyalty, and not enough that we have sacrificed our ready, at a moment, to join a French invader. No, my lords, seats in parliament and admission to the highest offices in the state form no part of the wishes of the Irish peasantry; were you to talk to them on the subject, they would not understand you. If you wish to conciliate those now inclined to join the French, I will tell you what you must do; you are the best judges whether you are willing to pay so ssays, that the milion is no union without high a price for their allegiance. Are you this measure; that without it we shall ready to sacrifice the national church by break faith with the catholics, who were giving up the means by which it is subpectations held out to them of the success revenue, by giving up all taxes upon spi-

rituous liquors? and, last of all, are you made use of the pretext of the catholic ready, to sacrifice the whole protestant and religion, and of fanatical priests, as the respectable catholic property of the country, by the abolition of rents, and the perpetual grant of their farms, to the present occu-pants? Such are the terms, I know, have been lately offered to the Irish peasantry by French emissaries, and if you mean to bid against them with any chance of success, you must not be outdone in the magnificence of your offers.—But the noble baron says, refuse the request of the petitioners, and you give a handle to the · disaffected to work on the passions of the multitude. I agree with the noble baron, it will do so; and this, my lords, is the great objection to the stirring the present subject. If granted, it will not obtain your object, namely, the tranquillity of Ireland; if refused, it may and probably will do much mischief. The bringing forward the petition can do no good; it may do much harm. What is the reason infuriated rabble to pillage, to destruction of bringing forward the petition at this moment? Why did not the noble ford bring it forward in 1801? I give him credit for not doing so; the country was in danger; it was no time to agitate a question that might create divisions and animosities. Why, if essential to the well-being of the state, was it not brought forward during the interval of peace? Will it be answered that the public opinion was then against it? Has that opinion'since changed? I firmly believe it still temains unaltered.— The noble lord says, the rebellion of 1798 was not a catholic rebellion, and therefore no impediment to the concession demanded. I have not heard any one state that rebellion to have been a catholic rebellion; many of its leaders were protestants, or protested to be so. The present general of division in the service of his imperial and royal majesty the emperor of France and king of Italy, was ordained a descon of the established church of Ireland by the father of the individual who has now the honour to address you. Others, like Emmett, were professed protestand, but were real disciples of the modern French school both in religion and in politics. Thad the honour to be one of the secret committee of the house of leads of Ireland, before which those gentler in made their confessions of treason. When asked, whether the establishment of the catholic religion was one of their principal offects, they smiled and said, that such an idea never once intered into their liends; that they certainly

best fire-brands to throw among the people to rouse them to rebellion; that their objects were the establishment of a republic independent of Great-Britain, and connected with, but not dependant upon France. A great proportion of the people in three of the provinces being catholics, of course the rebel ranks were filled with men of that persuasion.—The noble lord is wrong in stating, that where the rebel armies were strongest, it was in counties altogether catholic. The county of Wexford possessed great numbers of protestants, yet it was there the rebel troops were in the greatest force; it was there the greatest enormities were committed; it was there I witnessed catholic priests bearing in their hands the sacred banner of the cross, the emblem of the mildest of religions; it was there I saw them lead the of property, and to the murder of the aged,' the infirm, women, children, in short, what was most distinguished, what was lowest in the community. I will not shock your lordships ears by the disgusting recital. But the noble lord says it arose out of the unhappy circumstances of the country. I profess I do not understand what the noble lord means; but if he wishes to convey the idea that the rebellion was produced by any oppression of the people, I must beg leave, with great respect to him, positively to deny it.—But the noble baron says, that the influence of the pope over the priesthood can be no objection; he asks, do we think the pope is more hostile to us now than in those firmes in which we were at war with the Bourbon Lamily? I certainly do not think he is. On the contrary, he cannot but wish well to any nation that opposes Gallic tyranny. But I think, nay I am certain, that the pope is the miserable puppet of the usurper of the throne of the Bourbons, that he dare not move but by Napoleon's command; and, should he order him to influence the Irish priests to rouse their flocks to rebellion, he could not refuse to obey the despot. I ground this opinion upon his holiness being forced to anoint the usurper of the throne of the eldest sons of the church, from whose family she had derived most of her possessions. I ground this opinion npon the unfortunate old man being obliged to call upon the very respectable French bishops in this country, who had left all

oaths they had sworn to the princes of the Bourbon race, and to take others to support tyranny and usurpation.—But the noble baron says, as long as there is a protestant king on the throne, there is little danger that any danger can accrue from their numbers in this house; but I positively assert, that, in the other house, it is possible that their numbers might be considerable. The present representation of Ireland is almost altogether sent to parliament by popular elections. Since the right of voting has been granted to catholics, the manufacture of freeholders has thriven so rapidly, that there is now scarcely a peasant who does not swear himself possessed of a forty shilling freehold. The numbers of the catholics has been allowed by all sides.—It will be conceded to me, I am persuaded, that the catholics have hitherto, acted in a body: why have they done so? Because they had common The same causes will probably objects. produce the same effects: if catholics get admission to seats in parliament, it is posmible that, in some time hereafter, they may wish to obtain some great catholic What is to prevent their leaders object. from pressing upon the lower orders the necessity of electing catholics only, and, if they succeed to a considerable degree, it is natural to suppose that, having a common object, they will act together in parliament? I will suppose a case that certainly does not exist at present; I will suppose that, at some distant day, a struggle of parties may take place, that the parties may be pretty nearly balanced; what if then the catholic representation, acting in a mass, should offer their assistance to that party which should favour their views? Ministers may wish to cling to their situations; opposition per fas aut nefas to obtain I am apprehensive, as long as human nature remains unchanged, that the | a committee on the petition. resistance to their wishes would not be very strong.—The noble baron (lord Holland) says, that for want of catholic sheriffs. catholics do not in many instances enjoy the benefits of the trial by jury. I never heard that juries failed in doing their duty, without distinction of party, except when assassination.

for conscience sake, to forget the solemn suffer hardships from being excluded from the army. They are only excluded from the commissions of commanders in chief und generals on the staff. His lordship, that if you admit catholics to seats in however, now says that I am mistaken; parliament, their numbers in both houses that when they come into this part of must ever be so small, that no danger can the united kingdom, they are hable to possibly occur to our present establish-penalties for serving. I beg leave to differ ments: I agree with the noble lord, that from his lordship. By the law passed previous to the union, they are allowed to enter into the army, and by the union all acts not then repealed are confirmed and sanctioned. But the noble lord says, that four millions of people neither can, nor will, nor ought, to submit to such restrictions. I entreat the noble lord to pause before he gives the weight of his authority to such an opinion. What, that because they are numerous they ought to resist the law? I am sure on reflection his lordship will not adhere to such an opinion.-I had nearly forgotten a principal point I had intended to press on your lordship's attention. Both sides of the house, in considering this question, seem to me to have forgotten that the catholics are not the only body to be consulted on this occasion. Are the feelings of the Irish protestants wholly to be left out of consideration? I believe they are almost entirely adverse to the concession. Respect, I entreat you, the feelings of that body, ever true to their religion, faithful to their king, and enthusiastically attached to British connexion. Descended from yourselves, in fighting valiantly their own battles, they have served your interests, and have prevented by their exertions that fair and beautiful island from being torn from the British empire. In seeking new friends, whom possibly you may fail to conciliate, neglect not your old ones, but remain firm to those who have in the worst of times remained firm to you.—I beg pardon for having so long detained your lordships, and for having, from excessive fatigue, laid my thoughts before you in a manner less connected than I wished to have done. I shall oppose the motion for going into

Lord Carysfort, considered the question of immense-magnitude and importance. He had a great deal to submit to their lordships upon it, but thought the hour too late for that purpose, and therefore suggested the propriety of an adjournment.

The Marquis of Buckingham was in the they have been deferred by the terrors of same predicament as the noble lord who had That noble lord says they just spoken, having also much to say to

their lordships if there was a seasonable opportunity for that purpose.

Lord Grenville submitted to the house the propriety of not proceeding further the pre-

sent evening.

Earl Darnley wished to address the house also, but thought it too late. He appealed to noble lords opposite to him, whether there was not an understanding before the debate commenced that there was to be an adjournment?

Lord Hawkesbury explained the terms on which he had been willing to adjourn the discussion, but the house would now judge for itself, for he would not urge any thing further on the subject, the hour being so late, and so many noble lords desirous of delivering their sentiments on this most important occasion.

portant occasion.

The Earl of Derby then moved, "that this house do now adjourn to Monday next;" which, after a few words in support of it, was agreed to.—Adjourned at four o'clock on Saturday morning.

House of comm nos. Friday, May 10.

[MINUTES.] A petition of the mayor, aldermen, recorder, freemen, and inhabitants, of the borough of Saint Alban, in the county of Hertford, was presented to the house by Mr. Poyntz, and read; setting forth, "That the petitioners beg leave to congratulate the house, and express their heartfelt satisfaction, at the resolutions which passed on the 8th and 10th of April last, respecting the tenth report of the commissioners of naval enquiry, and pray the house to pursue such measures as they may think just for effectually exposing, and bringing to punishment all public peculators and delinquents, and for securing in future the treasure of the nation from similar depredations: and although the petitioners most sincerely deplore the complicated difficulties of the present conjuncture, yet they confidently rely on the wisdom of parliament for reliet."—Mr. F. Fane moved; that sir William Rawlins and Robert Albion Cox, Esq. should be brought to the bar for the purpose of being reprimanded and discharged. They were accordingly put to the bar; whereupon Mr. Speaker addressed them as follows: " Sir William Rawlins and Robert Albion Cox; your conduct having undergone the severe but just animadversion of this house, followed by a sentence of ignominious imprisonment, it is fit to be understood by

you, and by all men, what this house has considered to be the character of your offence, and upon what grounds you are this day to be liberated. The sum of your offence is this: that you, being the sheriff and returning officer, did, at an election for the county of Middlesex, for the purpose of giving a colourable majority to one candidate in prejudice of another, wilfully, knowingly, and corruptly admit fictitious votes upon the poll; that your inconsistent and contradictory practices afforded the greatest encouragement to perjury; and that you refused to examine the validity of votes by reference to the land-tax assessments, in defiance of the laws of your country. Graver offences than these cannot be laid to the charge of any men holding the high office with which you were then invested; an office to which you were raised by the free choice of your fellow citizens in the metropolis of this empire, and of which office you betrayed the most important duties; violating at once the freedom of elections, the privileges of this house; and the just constitution of parliaments. Upon these charges, established by ample and conclusive evidence, you were committed to his majesty's gaol of Newgate, the common receptacle of malefactors, there to remain prisoners, amongst those over whom you had been magistrates; a signal proof of the power and the justice of this house, an indelible disgrace upon you, and a memorable example to others.—Nevertheless, it appearing now, by your petition, that your minds have been humbled to a due sense of your misconduct, and that your errors may be in some degree imputed to the ignorant or criminal advice under which you unfortunately acted, this house is willing to believe that the ends of justice are at length satisfied; it has therefore consented that you be now, discharged. And you are discharged accordingly; paying your fees."—Ordered, on the motion of Lord Marsham, that Mr. Speaker's reprimand be entered on the jourhals.—Mr. Leycester informed the house, that the house of lords had been waited on with its message, requiring the attendance of lord Harrowby to give evidence before the select committee, to which their lordships replied, they would send an answer by messengers of their own.—The house then proceeded to ballot for a select committee. to enquire into the several papers presented to the house respecting the repairs of the Ronney and La Sensible, whilst under command of six Home Pophani in the Red Seg. -A committee was appointed to examine on whom the ballot had fallen, according to

the lists put in the glasses.

[STATE OF THE NAVY.] Mr. Jeffery said, he rose in pursuance of the notice he had given, to have the honour of submitting to the house a motion for the production of several accounts relating to the naval department of the country, during the administration of earl St. Vincent. Having read the motions on a former night to the house, and being of opinion that some time should be taken to deliberate on their contents, he now rose to submit his motions to the consideration of parliament. He was persuaded when the accounts were laid upon the table, and perused by the members, that he would be borne out in the view which he had taken of the subject, and the intention for which the accounts were brought for-He would not trouble the house with any further observations until he heard whether his motions were opposed, or met with the approbation of the house. He therefore should move, " That there be laid before the house an account, shewing the number of line-of-battle ships and frigates built between the 1st January, 1783, and 31st December, 1792, distinguishing the number of ships launched from the merchants' yards, from those launched from the king's yards.'

The Chancellor of the Exchequer said, he had no objection to the motion now offered; but as those which were to follow were very that he must oppose the production of any papers tending to shew the state and comdition of the ships in 1804, as it might afford improper information to the enemy. He should also object to the production of any correspondence explaining the state of British and foreign timber, as it might disclose the foreign resources of the navy, and thereby perhaps enable, the enemy to em-

barrass them.

Sir John Sinclair entertained great doubts with respect to the propriety of bringing forward the long list of motions which the han gent. stated on a former night, as they embraced almost every branch of the naval service of the country. He submitted if, at this late period of the session, it were adviseable; and he would be glad to know from any gentleman conversant with the osticial business of the board of admiralty, if the e accounts could be prepared without distracting its attention from the other important concerns, in which, at this con-

juncture, they are engaged. He understood. if these motions were agreed to, the friends of earl St. Vincent would move for other papers, Which would still embarrass the public business. He was desirous to be informed, if, at any crisis like the present. the lords of the admiralty could peruse the voluminous accounts moved for by the hon. member, without material injury to the public service in the present state of the country? He was of opinion, that the preparing the accounts would be attended with manifest inconvenience, and would an-

swer no good purpose whatever.

Mr. Jeffery considered the observations of the hon, baronet premature, as he could not know whether the accounts would lead to enquiry or not. He would not contend, if any thing criminal occurred in the administration of lord St. Vincent, it should be passed over, and that an enquiry into his conduct ought not to be instituted. He declared, on his honour, that he did not act through party motives, he was an unconnected individual, not belonging to any man or set of men; his conduct arose from his feelings for the situation of the country, and his knowledge where the faults were imputable, with respect to the administration of earl St. Vincent. The reduced state of the navy he attributed to the noble lord, and if enquiry was to be dispensed with the present session, he knew the difficulty of obtaining enquiry hereafter. He knew he had undertaken an herculean task in the voluminous, he wished to have it understooded first instance, but he had cogent, ample, and sufficient reasons for bringing the measure before the house. He trusted that no member would object to his motions, that parliament might decide whether enquiry was or was not necessary. He moreover trusted that no gentleman would be against the production of the papers, until they knew what they contained. They were neither as voluminous nor as intricate as some members might imagine; and he pledged himself that they could be produced in a week or ten days, without giving any extraordinary trouble to the lords of the admiralty in perusing their contents. He thought them absolutely necessary to be produced, as he would take upon him to prove, that lord St. Vincent had disgraced the British navy, and was the greatest enemy to the country, and the navy of Great-Britain that the country ever knew.

> Mr. Tierney said, he was far from opposing the motion now before the house, especially as the hon, gent, had put it out of his power



gallant earl had disgraced the British navy, and was the greatest enemy to the country gent, said all this from his own suggestion, it was a pity that he was not a lord of the admiralty, by which he might have obtained greater information than he probably now possessed. The lights, however, which he professed to have obtained, and the decisive line of conduct he had adopted, were rather strange in an independent country gentleman, professing himself to be unconnected with any party. It was equally strange too, that no attack whatever had been made against earl St. Vincent until he adopted measures which tended to attack others. The proceedings of this day were certainly a good warning to any man to be very careful how he ventured to attack abuses. If the hon, gent, had indeed been a party man, instead of an unconnected gentleman, as he described himself, he might have been suspected of having taken a very dexterous way to divert the attention of the house from the other enquiries already going forward; but he could not consider it altogether fair in this unconnected man to assert that he could prove earl St. Vincent to have disgraced the navy, and have been an enemy to his country. After he had heard the minister himself object to some of those papers which might turn out to be the most necessary for the noble earl's defence; let the fair and cate a nature to be made public, let the enquiry be a secret one. At the present moment, indeed, the friends of the earl Sti Vincent did not appear to be very humerous in that house; nor were they much wanting to a man who might safely rest his character on the sentiments of a grateful and affectionate people. Whatever that unconnected gentleman might think himself, he l fancied he would not find it an easy task to convince the people of England that the earl St. Vincent was the enemy, of his country: He thought he had a right to expect; that the right hon, gent. (Mr. Pitt) himself would have given some favourable opinion of at least the professional merits of the noble earl; when he heard his character arraigned in such a manner, by this unconnected individual. When he heard so illustrious a character reviled, without any appeal to former friendship, to extend towards it the protection of his own opinion, was no more than

to do so, by declaring that the noble and situation to another. They differed indeed in their opinions, on the best means of naval administration; but he should not have that the country ever knew. If the hon, thought that a reason why he should so abandon him in every other respect. A fair and manly hostility to the noble carl, like that of the unconnected individual, would, in his opinion, be more becoming in the right hon. gent. than the grant of papers, professing to brand the noble earl in the manner expressed by his present adversary. This was not a charge that respected any particular expedition, or partial failure, but a broad accusation, which ought either to be sitted to the bottom, or all documents be refused upon it. When the hon, accuser seemed to doubt whether these papers might in the result, tend to an enquiry, he must have had a most extravagant opinion of his own motion, to think it would be sufficient of itself for condemnation. For his own part, he was of a very different opinion, and wished; in conclusion, distinctly to state on the part of earl St. Vincent, that all his lordship looked for was a full and fair enquiry.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer said, he did not see why the right hon gent, should rest his observations particularly on him, as he, no more than any other member, could judge whether the papers might endage an enquiry, until he could examine the nature of them all. When this motion was first noticed, it seemed to be the general wish, on all sides, that the papers should be full enquiry be granted; and if any of the granted, and he alone wished for a delay, papers required should appear of too deli- which led him, as he stated before, to object two of them, as inconsistent with the public safety; but, he could not forosee the effect of the remainder. If the house exarrined the speech of the right hon, gent; (Mr. Tiemey), they would observe it to consist of alternate sentences: the one tending to court, and the other to suppress en quiry. As to what had been alluded to of protection, he had only to say, that he should be always ready to protect earl St. Vincent; or any other man, against injustice. The remembrance he had of the great professional services of the noble earl would be a sufficient restraint against any hostility on his part towards him; but, he had long since expressed his opinion of his conductin the admiralty, and he had not yet seen any reason to retract it. The past prefessional murits of the noble earl, however great, were not sufficient grounds to defend the faults in his administration. For his own part, he declared that he had no wish for, nor did he what was due from one great man in a high | intend to submit, any enquiry into the conduct of the noble earl; but, when that enquiry was challenged by his friends, he should not be doing his duty either to himself or to the country, were he to take any measures to suppress it.

Mr. Jeffery, declared that his conduct did not spring from any party connection, but, from the impulse of the moment. He had no communication on the subject of his motions with the minister, or any other person; and, when the right hon. gent. (Mr. Tierney) asked him this day in private whether they were to be carried, he candidly answered him that he did not know,

Mr. Grey reprobated, in the most forcible language, the mode in which this subject was brought forward. An hon, member moved for the production of a number of papers, which he said possibly might not authorise enquiry, and yet in the introduction to his motion he made use of the strongest language which could be applied to any case, even after a charge of improper conduct was established upon proof before the house. All that he could understand by the expression of his right hon. friend (Mr. Tierney), by the word " protection" was, that it meant to signify fairness, though in fact, he was sorry the word The fauness he had been used at all. claimed on the part of the earl St. Vincent was, that whatever objections there might be in point of convenience, all the papers should be produced, which might be ne-The hon. gent. cessary for the enquiry. (Mr. Jeffery) seemed surprised, that any influence of years; but from the opportunities gentleman should oppose the papers, with the had of judging, he must declare him to out knowing what they were; but he forgot, that when the papers should be produred, it would be too late to oppose them. He acted, in this respect, like the judge, who was feported to have told a barrister from the bench-" I will not allow you to open-your mouth, until you tell me what you have to say." As to the right hon. gent. (Mr. Pitt), he must do him the justice to say, that he acted consistently, and he had uniformly expressed himself hostile to the naval administration of the earl St. Vincent.

Mr. Tierney explained. He said, what he meant by protection was, that ministers should not, at this late period of the session, suffer such motions to be brought forward, and had no reference in his observation to the particular friends of the noble earl.

Mr. Wilberforce agreed with the right hon. the chancellor of the exchequer, that the gratificate due to earl St. Vincent's professional character, should not be a bar to the consideration of his official conduct. The accounts should comprise the administration of lord Chatham, when the navy was placed in the condition which laid the foundation of its future glory; and even the administration of lord Sandwich, when it had received a great addition. He thought the motion could not be considered as hostile to earl St. Vincent, but rather as directed to obtain intormation highly material to the public interest. He condemned the contemptuous language with which a right hon. gent. (Mr. Tierney) had spoken of individuals connected with no party. He was jealous on this point, as he was, aware he himself would be frequently classed with this description of members. If it appeared that the ships were not so numerous in lord St. Vincent's administration as those before it, and that the short and feverish peace we shad enjoyed was not employed to prepare for a war that must have been easily foreseen, it was fit the blame should be cast where it ought to be; he alluded also to the mischief of interfering with the authority of captains of ships, as the depreciation of the respect paid to them might lead to the alarming state of things in the navy which every one remembered with so much pain. He then pronounced the highest panegyric on the virtues, talents, and other excellent qualifications of lord Barham, the present first lord of the admirality. It was, he understood, the fashion of some gentlemen, to represent his great qualities as chilled by the be, in his opinion, the man of all others, the best qualified for his situation.

Mr. Pytches here called the hon. gent. to order: thinking such high panegyrics on the new first lord of the admiralty, were by no means relevant to the present motion.

Mr. Wilberforce thought himself perfectly in order, when it was considered that lord Barham was comptroller of the navy under two of the administrations to which these motions referred.

Mr. Curwen thought, that after earl St. Vincent had been acquitted of all charges in the last session, when the right hon, gent. (Mr. Pitt) moved for papers to criminate him, it would, at least, have been decent to abstain from any barsh expression towards him, until the papers now moved for should be properly examined. The language used by the hon mover appeared to him much too strong to be lightly applied to so good and exalted a character; and he thought the

necessary in this debate, as not a single reflexion had been cast upon him. He made no doubt, but when the enquiry should be made, the noble earl would appear in as exalted a sphere of character as he had always done before; and, until the trial, he conceived that great character entitled to

every degree of approbation.

Mr. Bastard was of opinion, that the enquiry, which ought rather now to extend itself to the dominion of the sea, ought not to be confined to the limits of earl St. Vincent's administration. Instead of party motions of this kind, it would more become the house to enquire into the disorders in the West Indies, and the circumstance of the dominion of the sea being now in the hands of the French, who dared not shew their faces on the ocean during the administration of the gallant carl St. Vincent. During that vigourous and active administration, the French could presume to shew themselves only to be defeated, and how shameful was now the reverse, when the British squadrons were obliged to fly before them. The hon, gentleman concluded with observing that the great object of our enquiry should at present be, by what means we might be able again to put ourselves into the same situation in which earl St. Vincent, had len us?

Mr. R. Ward said, he must absolutely and distinctly deny that the enemy were now in possession of the dominion of the sea, or were able to cope with our fleets in any part thighly disre-pectful to speak of committees of the world.

Admiral Markham said, if the right hon. gent. (Mr Pitt) already objected to two of the motions while he was willing to grant the remainder, it must also follow, that he should have to object to many others which he should think it right to submit respecting the supply of foreign timber, which would be so necessary to the earl St. Vincent's justification, though he should be sorry, on any other account, to propose the disclosure of any thing which might be mpposed embarrassing to government. When the same right hon, gent, last year moved for an account of the foreign timber imported, he objected to it as a disclosure which he thought at that time improper; •but being now out of office; he was not call pable of judging whether a similar communication would not be rausily injurious at the present time. "All he should think of asking for would be such papers as were barely necessary for the vindication of the YOL. PY.

panegyric on Lord Barham the more un- moble earl, who wanted no other protection but what he could hope to derive from the justice of his country. The gentlemen opposite him would never think on such a subject to content themselves only with such papers as might be sufficient for a vote of one night; for, if the enquiry respecting lord Mclville required to be referred to a committee, though comparatively it lay in a nut-shell, how much more necessary would it be on a subject which embraced the conduct of a whole administration? He must once more observe, that all he should require was, that the case should be fairly, fully, equally, and impartially tried. This he was peculiarly anxious for, because, as he loved his country much more dearly than he did earl St. Vincent, he considered a just and full enquiry to be the best means of securing it. If a committee should be appointed on it, he wished it to consist not of party men, as on the late occasion, but of proper people (order! order!)—He did not mean to say that any set of gentlemen would be actually partial.

> The Chancellor of the Exchequer have inrerrupted the hot, admiral, as being disorderly in anticipating what sort of committee the papers might be referred to, before they

were produced.

The Speaker said, that in fairness he must acquit the hon, admiral of being more out of order, in that instance, than others who preceded him; but, he must at the same time inform the hon, admiral, that it was appointed by the house, as if they were the

nomination of any individual.

Admiral Markham, after apologising, went on to proceed in nearly the same way, when he was again called to order by Mr. Dent. The hon, admiral said, he did not conceive himself irregular in adverting to what he meant to be the objects of his own motions; when, the Speaker said, he must now imperatively interfere, and acquaint the hon, member, that he was not at liberty to pass by the subject of discussion, or refer to his own motions, till the present was disposed of. After some further conversation, the motion was agreed to.

Mr. Jeffery then moved a long string of motions, for "the number of line-of-battle ships and frigates in commission, in 1703, distinguishing their rates, &c. ditto, in 1794, and from thence to the 18th of February, 1801-2-3-4, and 5, distinguishing their rates, and whether in the king's or merchants' docks, also the ships of the same description out of commission; the numbers building during these periods; when launched, or likely to be launched; ships laid down, and meant to be built; the timber in store; the quality of the articles, &c.; all of which were agreed to.

Mr. Dent then, in pursuance of a fermer notice, in order to probe things to the bottom, and draw a comparison between the administration of earl Spencer and the earl St. Vincent, moved, "that there be laid before the house, a list of all persons raised to the rank of lieutenants in the navy, from lieutenants to captains and commanders, and from captains and commanders to post captains, from the 1st of Jan. 1795, to the 1st of Jan. 1804." He said, that if the papers were agreed to, he should follow them up with a specific motion.

Admiral Markham thought it invidious to draw this comparison, as he, together with all the friends of earl St. Vincent, had ever spoken and thought in the highest manner of the purity and zeal of earl Spencer's administration.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer proposed an amendment, for bringing the account to the latest period, which would include the administration of viscount Melville.

Sir J. Sinclair renewed his former objection to the multiplication of enquiries at this late period of the session.

Mr. Kinnaird said, that, to do away the invidious distinction between the administration of earls Spencer and St. Vincent, he should propose as an amendment to the motion, that it should begin at the year 1793, instead of 1795, which would include also the administration of the earl of Chatham.

Admiral Markham said, that, as the object was to-shew that earl St. Vincent employed a greater number of officers than any of his predecessors, it would be right to shew the reason of the promotions which were made at the end of the late war, for the reward of naval services, and to amend the motion, by going back to a comparative estimate with the promotions made under the administration of earl Sandwich, at the close of the American war. However, as he did not wish to crowd the table of the house with too many papers, he should not persist in the amendment.—The amended motion was then agreed to, commencing with the year 1703

Hamilton called the attention of the house to the numerous petitions against the corn law of the last session. He argued that the adequate profit from it, and in this manner

great advance in the price of grain since the introduction of that measure into the house, was in a great degree attributable to it. He domplained particularly that Irish grain, when warehoused here, might be exported as foreign, and he believed that much of this Irish grain had in this manner found its way to enemies ports. He concluded with thoving, that a committee be appointed to enquire into the matter of the petitions.

• Colonel Stanley represented the extent of the complaints against the bill, in the manufacturing parts of Lancashire.

The Secretary at War observed, that the petitioners acted upon misapprehension or misrepresentation, as, in fact, the bill never came into operation. As to the injurious effect upon Scotland, it was to be ascribed to the alteration in the usual average, proposed by the noble lord himself, and to obvidte which, he was now suing for redress.

Mr. Coke (of Norfolk) was against the bill going into a committee, or any further discussion being had upon it. It had produced the most beneficial effects already, by encouraging the importation of wheat, which now throughout England kept down the price of the markets.

Mr. Western was against all discussion of the subject, now when corn was every where falling in price, on account of the great quantities imported, from the contemplation of what might have been the effects of the late act. Its provisions had not yet taken effect; and the ports had never been shut by it, as the prices were not low enough to produce that consequence. Even in Scotland, as well as Ireland, the surplus produce was, this year, so great, that large quantities of wheat were imported from these countries into England. Even in Lanarkshire, the petitions from which were so much rested upon, the average prices were lower than on the corn exchange in London; and, he believed, upon the whole, lower than the average prices of England. When the bill was passed the price of corn was 10s, a quarter dearer than it was now throughout the country. It was a great object to throw off the dependance which Great Britain heretofore was under, for its supplies to foreign countries, which in the present state of Europe, might, without any strain of probability, be, in a short time, converted into enemies. The effect of the continuance of this act would be, that the man who employed his capital in agriculture might safely conclude in deriving an

Sir Robert Peele argued, that the manufacturing interest should be supported against foreign competition, by supplying the necessities of workmen at a reasonable rate. A temporary depression of the farmer's profits ought not to be made the cause of a permanent burthen on the consumer.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer said, he approved of the general principle of the actof last year, and was convinced that it had no share in the high price of grain, which was solely to be attributed to the deficiency of the crops throughout the country. It did much good from the encouragement it gave to importation, and he contended it to be impossible, that the interest of the agriculturist and manufacturer should ever be at variance with each other. If the house was once to discourage the grower for the present interest of the consumer, it must follow that the latter must ever afterwards be a sufferer by it; and, at times, subjected to such aggravated prices as would be most severely and intolerably felt. He deprecated any farther discussion on the subject at present, but did not object to the committee, as he thought it the most effectual mode, in its report, of counteracting all erroneous opinions with regard to it.

Mr. Fuster stated, that he had supported this bill when brought forward last session, and saw no reason why at should now be altered or repealed. No corn had been exported from Great Britain in consequence of it, nor had it prevented any from being imported; it had done no harm; and he, therefore, did not wish any investigation to take place.

Mr. Macdowall observed on the average prices, which, he said, were against Scotland, and thought, if the committee, in this instance, was refused, a bill should be brought.

in specifically to regulate them.

Mr. Francis maintained that when the bill passed, the price of bread was not more than eightpence or ninepence the quartern loaf, and, in about a mouth after, it rose to about sixteen or seventeen pence, for no other reason, but because, the bill was in force. He would, therefore, give his assent to the motion.

Mr. H. Lascelles thought it better to go into the committee, and if it should be found that the bill had no effect, it might easily be altered.

Mr. W. Smith did not think the bill was the cause of the rise of bread, and was sure,

be put upon a fair footing with the manu- if any gentleman would take the trouble of enquiring, he would find that it was the frost which came on in June. He thought it, however, desirable that the house should go into a committee.

> • Mr. Barham spoke in favour of the committee, and thought that, as the people had doubts, it was necessary that an investigation should take place.

> Sir C. Price thought the interference of parliament in these matters had a bad effect on the market.

> Sir J. Newport opposed the motion for a committee, as he thought, whenever this subject was discussed, speculations took place, which were injurious, and, no doubt, would be enlarged, if the present motion were carried. Sir W. Curtis and Alderman Coombe thought the average too high, and agreed that the house should go into a com-Lord Archibald Hamilton conmittee. cluded the debate with asserting his design to be not to alter the bill if it were advantageous, but only to permit the committee to enquire into its merits; so much he considered to be due to the petitioners and to the country. The house then divided:-Ayes 63; Noes 40. Majority for the committee 23.—Adjourned.

HOUSE OF LORDS.

Monday', May 13.

[ROMAN CATHOLIC PETITION.] The order of the day having been read for resum. ing the adjourned debate on the Roman Catholic Petition,

The Earl of Suffolk rose.—The emancipation of the Irish Roman catholics was a measure, his lordship was of opinion, which must sooner or later be adopted, and therefore he wished their lordships at once generously and hobly to grant the prayer of the petition. Objections had been made to this as a proper time for such a measure; but no time, his lordship contended, could be more proper than the present. It was indeed a critical time, a time when they knew not how soon the enemy might land on their shores; but it was only for that reason the Imore necessary that the whole strength and population of the empire should be united. and no means could be more effectual for this purpose, he thought, than to conciliate the Roman catholics of Ireland by a just, a' wise, and moderate policy. The concessions that had already been made them, it had been argued, had only been productive of evil, and had led to the present high de-

3 B 2

the speech of the noble secretary (lord Hawkesbury) on the former night, and vindicated the conduct of the noble baron who was the author of the motion. If ever he had heard a great constitutional question argued with that cool and temperate moderation which became its importance, it was on that occasion by the noble baron. If the noble lord had said that such a measure as that prayed for in the petition would ultimately prevail, he could only mean that reason, and an enlightened policy, would ultimately triumph over those little prejudices that were the greatest obstacles to the measure; a sentiment he thought which could not easily have been misunderstood, and in which he readily and sincerely concurred. The noble earl farther contended that they owed the Roman catholics of Ireland the prayer of their petition, as a matter of justice as well as of expediency, because it had been held out to them as an inducement to their union with this country, and the expectation of which had contributed in so great a degree to the accomplishment of that object, that it was probable that, without such expectation, that event would not have taken place. A noble lord (lord Hawkesbury) had laid it down as a principle that this petition ought never to be granted. ' He thought this a very imprudent declaration, as well as highly degrading to the Irish character, particularly as our army and navy were so much indebted to Ireland for their supply. . The noble load had declared, that he had no confidence in their principles, and was afraid of the bad consequences that would ensue from the hostility of their religion to the civil rights of the country. But he wished noble lords to recollect, that many of our best rights had originated under Roman catholics, and when that religion was the religion of the country; and as a proof that difference in religion was not so Lordships, that even in Roman catholic counetries, protestants were sometimes employged by the government and permitted to hold office of unst. The ablest minister and the greatest soldier that France could ever boast of were both protestants, and no had consequences were found to ensue from that circounstance. He denied that the late rebel- lips of the noble baron could not fail to ex-

mands; but those concessions, on the con- | lions were at all connected with the grounds trary, he contended, had done good, and of the present petition, and urged the adfrom the present, therefore, as necessary to | vantages that might be derived from making complete the good effects of the former, the mugistrates of the country gentlement whose greatest advantages might be expected. His religion being the same as that of the peolordship then made some animadversions on ple would give them greater weight and influence, and reconcile the people to their authority. The noble, earl concluded by urging the propriety of receiving the Roman catholies to a participation of the rights of the constitution, as a measure of both justice and expediency.

The Earl of Oxford supported the petition, and disclaimed all connection with a certain person who had been alluded to on the former night (Arthur O'Connor) since he had heard that he had joined the enemy.

The Earl of Buckinghamshire, perceiving a noble and learned friend near hun (lord) Carleton) anxious to deliver his sentiments. upon the important subject then under their lordships' consideration, was extremely unwilling to prevent the house from hearing the noble lord; but having resided for so many years in Ireland, having during a considerable portion of that time held a high official situation in that country, and having been the individual who introduced the bill of 1793, he was absolutely precluded from giving a silent vote. In offering his sentiments against the motion of the noble baron, however they might militate against the prayer of the petition, he was under no apprehension of being charged with prejudice or intolerance; to such an importation he would answer by a reference with bill of 1793, and it would be unsuccessary for him to desire a more effectual furtheatien than would be found in the provisions of that bill. It had given to the Roman catholics of Ireland the full enjoyment of a perfect equality of civil rights with the rest of his majosty's subjects, with an exemption from certain political obligations which are withheld from all except the protestant dissenters of that country. Under the impression of every thing that had been already conceded, he was never more surprised, than that the noble baron, whilst depregating inflammatory language in others, should himself reincompatible with the duties and relations of sort to so extraordinary a position, as that citizens, he could not help reminding their the refusing to grant what the Roman catholics had sought for in their petition could he justified only upon the principle of their being deemed traitors, and unfit even to bepermitted to take the oath of allegiance, and if that were the case, seven and oppressive as the panal code had been, it ought to be re-endeted.-Such an argument from the

acts in Great Britain; and were it just, it served that they (he meant the catholic must equally, apply. What effect such a committee) employed as their agent Mr. declaration might have upon the persons affected by those acts, he would not undertake to anticipate; but he did not think it consistent with that moderation which the noble lord had so strongly recommended. So far from agreeing with the noble lord, the earl of Buckinghamshire was decidedly of opinion, that considering the tenets of the Roman catholics, the indulgencies they had received from their sovereign and the legislature could only have been the result of a confidence in their loyalty; but so long as they acknowledged a foreign ecclesiastical jurusdiction within the united kingdom, it we impossible to entrust them with any large share of political power. Doctor Troy, a man of ability and unexceptionable character, in his pastoral letter of 1793, expresses himself in the following manner;—
"That catholics are obliged to believe as an article of their faith, that the pope or Bishop of Rome, as successor of St. Peter, is the supreme visible head of the church on earth, and the centre of catholic unity, with a primacy by divine right, of real authority and jurisdiction in the universal church, and that all catholics owe him canonical respect and obedience on that account; and that the supremacy of the pope is one of those points on which all catholics are agreed as an immutable article of their faith." His lordship then put it to the house, whether persons professing such a doctrine could safely be admitted to the higher offices of the united kingdom. The noble lord said, state or to scats in parliament. His fordship then proceeded to state that the noble baron having appealed to those peers who had held official situations in Iteland for their sentiments respecting the loyalty of the catholics, he should declare his without any reserve. He was satisfied it was better for him to answer distinctly to that appeal, lest an interpretation should be put upon his silence which was not conformable to his real opinion. He had no hesitation in saying, that the conduct of the persons of high rank and the gentlemen of the old catholic families had uniformly been distinguished by loyalty to their sovereign and attachment to the government of the country; but he was concerned to be under the necessity of adding, that these persons had ceased to have | The public beron had felt, that whilst Ireany influence diport the catholic body: that land remained a separate kingdom, the ob-

cite considerable astonishment; and more had been vested in other hands; in the especially with those who are disposed to hands of men of whom he was not disposed resist the repeal of the test and corporation to speak with severity—but when he ob-Theobald Wolfe Tone, a man, not even of their own persuasion, who was the founder of the society of united Hishmen, who was at the very time imployed in an attempt to form a traiterous conspiracy for the purpose of effecting a separation between Great Britain and Ireland, and who was engaged in a correspondence with the French government with a view to the procuring their assistance to further his designs, the noble lord would. not say, that connection with such a man was proof of disloyalty, however obliged he might be to admit that it was calculated to excue suspicion. The noble lord then read, from the publication (subsequent to the b'll of 1793) of the proceedings of the Roman catholic committee, the following resolution: "Resolved, that the sum of fifteen hundred pounds, together with a gold medal, of the value of thirty guineas, bearing a suitable inscription, be presented to Theobald Wolfe Tone, esq. agent-to the committee, as a testimony of his services and our gratitude." His fordship then adverted to what had fallen from the noble baron respecting the expectations the catholics might have been induced to enter, in at the time the union was under discussion. The noble haron had fairly admitted that no pledge was given, whatever hopes mught have been encouraged from an assurance that the situation of the catholics would receive an impartial consideration in the parliament of as famos the observation could have reference to his sentiments upon the subject, having been one of the persons called upon to assist in preparing the acticles, he must confirm the declaration of the noble baron, that no pledge had been given; but to what extent the catholics might have been justified in indulging a sauguine expectation from a disgassion of their situation in the imperial par-, liament he could not attempt to form a conjecture. The certainly could not charge himself with having contributed to sheir disappointment. In the house as well as out ... of it he had always stated the principle spon which he accorded to the union; a principle that must produde him from acquiescing in. their being admitted to seats in parliament. the influence formerly, exercised by them [jections to catholics being eligible to state ha

the house of commons were almost insuperable. The earl of Buckinghamshire was of opinion, though not exactly upon the same grounds, that the arguments against their sitting in the imperial parliament were equally forcible. In their (the catholics) situation, however, he could perceive a material difference. From being a majority in a separate, as they would become a minority in the united kingdom, the pride would naturally be less affected at the continuance of the disability. They saight expect a more unbiassed consideration of their interests, when in their opinion local prejudices and partialities are less likely to prevail. The irritation that had been produced from a variety of circumstances, had a direct tendency to lead to such a conclusion, and his lordship saw no prospect of permanent tranquillity in Ireland, except by means of legislative union with Great Britain. With every disposition upon these grounds to give his support to that measure, his lordship expressly declared, that it would have met with his most strenuous opposition, if he could have anticipated the probability of an acquiescence in the prayer of the petition upon the table. It went, in the view he took of it, to the total annihilation of the protestant interest in Ireland. The system of representation, as settled at the union, had been so formed by the abolition of the boroughs, which had been created as a counterpoise to the power of the catholics, and by throwing the whole weight of the representation into the counties, that the share the protestants would be able to retain, after the catholics sat in parliament, would not be sufficient to leave them any influence in the country; and although the noble baron had treated such an idea with no small degree of contempt, saying, that nothing but bigotry could induce any man to entertain it, the noble earl was ready to acknowledge himself a bigot upon that subject; having the most perfect conviction, that if such a step was unfortunately taken as to admit the cathatics into the house of commons, in the course of a very few years there would Excely be a representative from Connaught. incoter, and Munster, that was not of that citizer on. In those provinces the number lordships, to protestants are computed as tries, protest I the freeholders in nearly the ed by the gove. The protestant landlords offices of trust. their estates if they attempt-greatest soldier their catholic tenants; a of were both prits difficult to accomplish, coquences were fe in Ireland of letting the cumstance. He

lands for lives, a great proportion of the peasantry have freehold tenures. His lordship then asked, if any person who was conversunt in the character and disposition of the Irish catholic, would venture to state, that in an electioneering contest between a protestant and a catholic, the influence of the protestant landlord would stand a competition with that, of the popish priest. The arguments deduced from the interests of the popish religion, the possible, or rather certain relief from the prement of tithes, with the variety of inducements which the ingenuity of the priest would suggest, could leave no doubt of his ultimate success. The mischief that must arise during the few years that such a contest would continue, the manner in which it would be conducted, and the animosities that it would create, his lordship said, might be better understood by a recital from the history of Ireland, than by any representation that he could make. He then quoted a passage from Leland, who, in describing the struggle for power which took place in Ireland in the time of James the First, says: " Agents were dispatched from the pale into every province to support the election of their friends, and to entreat the assistance of every man of quality or interest in this time of danger. The clergy preached the cause of religion, and denounced their excommunications on those who should presume to vote against the friends of the holy Roman church." Having endeavoured to convince the house, that, in three provinces out of the four, Connaught, Leinster, and Munster, the representation, with scarcely an exception, would be catholic, his lordship processed to state, that in Ulster the catholic with the protestant dissenters, constitute proportion of the population state said he would leave to the house seriously to consider the effects that such a weight of dissenting interest thrown into the house of commons might have with respect to the security of the church establishment. soon the common object of all the dissenters might be accomplished, must depend upon circumstances; but that that object would he the destruction of the property of the church, no doubt could be entertained. His lordship then adverted to the evidence of Doctor Macnevin, a catholic and a rebel, in his examination before the committee of the Irish house of commons, in 1798. — Q. " Have you seen a resolution of the Leinster provincial committee of the 19th Februaiy, 1798, viz. that they would not be

diverted from their purpose by any thing | church of England upon a similar foundawhich could be done in parliament, as nothing short of the total emancipation of their country would satisfy them ?" A. "I have." -Q. " Do you think the mass of the people in the provinces of Munster, Leinster, and Connaught, care the value of this pen, or the dip of ink which it contains, for parliamentary reform or catholic emancipation?" A. "I am sure they do not—but they wish much to be relieved from the payment of tithes." His lordship then said it was extraordinary to observe how much even the language of the protestant dissenter in England accorded with that of the catholic dissenter in Ireland. In the debate upon a motion for repealing the test and corporation acts in the year 1790, Mr. Burke, in the course of a most able speech opposing that motion, produced a letter written by Mr. Fletcher, a dissenter, stating, that the principles held at a meeting of dissenting ministers, at Bolton in Lancashire, were so violent that he would not stay; it described, that one member, on being aske? what was their object, and whether they meant to seek for any thing more than the repeal of the test and corporation acts, answered in the language of our Saviour, " We know those things which we are not vet able to hear." And, on another member's saying, "give them a little light into what we intend," informed him, " that they did not care the nip of a straw for the repeal of the test and corporation acts, but that they designed to try for the abolition of the tithes and the liturgy."-The noble baion had adverted to the apprehensions entertained from the introduction of forty-five members of the presbyterian church into the house of commons, at the time of the union with Scotland, and had asked how far the predictions of that day had been realized. The noble earl admitted that they had not. But he would ask, whether the day might not yet come, if the prayer of the catholic petition was complied with, when the fate of the church of England might depend upon the Scotch representation—when a question might arise whether the revenues. of the church should not be made applicable to the exigencies of the state? The sentiments of the Irish and English dissenter had been already expressed. The church of Scotland has been emphatically described " as being built upon a rock of poverty;" and when a great object was to be obtained, who would be responsible that the Scotch

tion? The noble lord considered the experiment too desperate to be hazarded, and trusted their lordships would not make it. The principles established at the revolution were the landmarks by which he would advise their lordships to direct their conduct. The blessings they had enjoyed, by the operation of those principles, had not been equalled by the people of any country that . had ever existed. The British constitution had stood firm amount those storms by which Europe had been convulsed during the critical times in which it had been their lordships destiny to live. The interests of the church were so interwoven with those of the state, that the one could not be shaken without endangering the other. No substantial reason for the proposed innovation had been adduced, whilst much cause for remaining as we were was felt by levery man. The people of England, he said, looked to the decisions of their lordships with an anxious expectation, and he trusted they would not be disappointed. Feeling as he did, that the prayer of the petition might be fatal to the title of the house of Brunswick to the throne of the united kingdom, his lordship would not place the noble lord upon the woolsack in the distressing predicament of presenting a bill to his majesty for his assent, which, exclusive of other important considerations, was calculated to destroy the permanent interests of his family. lordship would be no party to such a proposition. By leaving the petition upon the table for so many weeks, the noble baron who introduced it, had given that time for deliberation that was due to the importance of the subject. He was persuaded, it had been fully, impartially, and most seriously considered. The result, in his mind, was a determination to vote against going into the committee.

Lord Carleton said, that the measure proposed would affect the whole empire, but more particularly Ireland; therefore, several of the observations which he meant to make would specially relate to the measure, as it might peculiarly affect that country. The main object of the petition is power. In: his opinion the Roman catholics already have as much power as they ought to possess under a protestant government, and therefore he would oppose the petition.— The concessions in 1793, as to removing penalties, were wise, bus, as to every thing beyond that, doubts might be entertained as members might not be disposed to place the to the wisdom of the measure. However,

it is to be observed, that the parliament of the state, is the reverse. An exclusion from Ireland only gave the right to possess inferior offices, but did not transfer the power of the state. The concessions already made to the Roman catholics have extended their influence, increased their demands, and multiplied their discontents. Nothing hitherto conceded has given them content; what probability is there that any thing short of all will satisfy them? To guard against their being superior power, care must be used to prevent them being edial. The petition claims every thing; refusing to commut it is an answer to the claim of every thing. If there are minor concessions which might be safely granted, when the Roman catholics think fit to ask them distinctly as matters of favour, they may call for a distinct consideration. Catholics are not eo nomine excluded from holding any offices of the state, or from sitting in parliament. The obstacles which stand in their way are certain oaths, a declaration, and the sacrament of the Lord's supper, according to the rites and ceremonies of the church of England, which are to be telen by persons of every persuasion upon taking possession of office, and the same oaths and declaration to be taken on coming into parliament. Roman catholies are less re-trained in Ireland than here is there the Roman catholic oath qualifies for all offices civil and military, save a few, which are specially excepted. Not & Fore, more forcible than the bish, and but Is the Roman catholic to support the table tant succession, whilst the Irish does not agreed entirely with the noble lord who imenlogium which he had pronounced on the character and conduct of the Roman catholic noblemen and gentry of Ireland; they were men of great integrity, honour, and loyalty, and many of them had fought very gallantly in quelling the rebellion of 170s. They had disclaimed the obnoxious doctrines contained in the decree of the fourth council of That disavowal was consistent with morality, social duty, and good sense. He gave credit to their assertion, and he did not rest his opposition to the petition upon those objectionable tenets; other tenets, which greatly influenced his opinion, were such as their religion bound them, as good catholics, to enforce. They were not such as reflected disgrace on the Roman catholics, though they might expose the constitution in church and state to some hazard. To

holding certain situations, deemed necessary to the safety of the state, neither stigmatizes nor enslaves. An equal diffusion of political power amongst all the different sectaries would, in their union against the established religion, denounce its downfall by the systematic, persevering, deliberate, though slow conjunct exertions of religious zeal, political ambition, and mordinate thirst for powef. To bestow such power on Roman catholics, and to with-hold it from other sectaries not belonging to the established religion, would prefer Roman eatholics to some whise motives of action were less objectionable than those of Roman cetholies. There is no toreign ulterior supreme authority which the tenets of other religious band then professors to maintain as superior, for the established legal government of the empire; but it Roman catholics were in possession of political authority, their religious principles would bind them to use it in an endeavour to render the constitutional authority of the empire subservient to a foreign dominion. By statutes passed in both countries in the reigns of Henry the Eighth and Elizabeth, in unison with various more aucient statutes, the king is declared supreme head of the church, and the pope's authority, spiritual and temporal, is, to all legal effect, declared and. The king, in virtue of this authority, convenes, proregues, dissolves, though the English Roman eathore out is regulates and restrains syrods or consocations, appoints or recommends to hishopries and cort, to other ecclesiastical preferments, and has the dernier decision and appellant Judicature over ad ecclesiastical causes. That mediately preceded him, in the well-mented it the authority of the state is not supreme in the countries subjected to its dominion is a most dangerous dectrine; any interposition in these dominions by a foreign judicature, governed by the laws of a foreign prince, laws tending to the subversion of the protestant religion, leads to ruinous consequences. Roman catholics acknowledge and pay obedience to a foreign, final, eccleriastical authority; which can make laws upon spiritoal subjects, and, in violation of the laws of these countries, enforce them (without the aid of any temporal process) by ecclaiastical correion and punishment. That foreign ecclesiastical authority is under the influence or the ruler of France. Before the French revolution, if any potentate had attempted to enslave the pope, all other Roman catholic countries would have interposed in his behalf; such interposition tolerate is wise, to invest with the powers of against France cannot at this day be expect-

its direction. The Roman catholic bishops orth is, " to detail the royalties of St. ment the authority of the see of Rome" sale of shenes in spirite to thus, and that Tiws to picting spiritual affects is vested in the popolisi their church, economicathy tas they think root laws cannot be of them, as to temporal matters insepanably connected with spiritual matters — Then opinic don this subject derives additional weight from their considering Iroland is a fief derived under a grant from the pope. Dr Trey's pastial letter, published only thateen years ago, strongly supports those positions. It distinctly aspatien, and meeds not very decoroids against the legislature for having diclared the kings prefer to diff the church. In effect of excommunication, probabiting all [intercourse with persons excommanicated Their spiritual junsorction draws to it temporal power and influence, it involves dispen ations, becomes to marry, legitimacy, the validity of marriage; pre-contract, former marriage, affinity and consangumity, as incapacities, maintenance and liability to debts as consequences resulting from martheir cognizance, and by an unite eler-the exprenacy of the pope, or, in other cise of that calm of power, they may words, of the ruler of France, must be greatly extend their latter the remposal completely established.—A number of acres Voz IV.

ed. The Influence of the page over Irish Jobjects. Wer. Roman catholics possessed of Roman cachelies is now as creat as it ever femporal power, they must cacting conformwas, and the government of France guides ably with the principles of their sect) use it to legally enforce Romin catholic ecclesiastical decrees; to give increased importance Peter, and to make an, promote, and aug- to their religion, to depress the protestant telicion, to legalize a foreign supremacy, It ambraces the supremary of the pope's pi- that of the pope, with all its claimed temrisdiction over all spiritual matters, and over [por d con e piences; to overthrow the conall temporal consequences they my from that I statutional subtence viole his implesty, with spiritual authority, and calls for uncoasing fall its now enjoye tremp had consequences; efforts to increase the power of the several land to ob-tract the execution or procure the Rome. The Roman catholic enhance are peopled of adour laws which relate to spiribound to unfucing. If persons who are a is trult offers, however extensively affecting der their superintendence to that the faitfill tera weal in its. It would be highly diming their oath. The Edman catholics bold to reas to a ford the nears of futurely weakthat the state cannot had them over to parageners in effect that supremacy of the king which is already openly desired in theory. the sole power of malong and meroped in A participation of equal power must take all pre-emaicine from the established religion; in such case how is the library supremaky to What is to become of be in intained? those acts of parliament which render it penal to deny that supremacy?. Is every attempt to rivede it to be rendered legal? Is a right to be conferred of denvices it without control, and of obstructing it with impunity, and perhaps success? The ulterior views of the Irish Roman catholics may be serts the pope's supremitty, even in protters site of collected from the caution used in of appeal, treats the of the king as on usur- from ing their oath, in the 13th and 14th of George III cap 35 in which they have a topt. Ith expressions, "the succession of the criven in his majesty's family," declin-Ireland, the Roman cathodes hold regular long to alopt the expressions which the Encoclesiostical courts, whose decelors and which they are catholics had no heat tion to completely enforced by coelesistical influ-time's cost of, in the 31st George III, cap. ence, low submission, and the ail-powerful [32], so that succession is limited to the prices Sophia, &c and the heirs of her body, being prote tants." In the one case the oath bands to the support of a protestant succession; in the other case it leaves an opening for the possibility of a Roman caand the right of succession, as dependent on choic succession. Can it be supposed that the Roman catholics, if possessed of considetable political influence and power, would not seek an exemption from contributing to the support of the protestant clergy; a riage, dicorces as dissolving the bond of church establishment for the Roman catholic marrage, and the validity of wills of per-ledergy equally splended, permanent and insonal estate. In all those instances the ex- dependent as that of the protestant elergy, ercise of spiritual authority influences tem- and a recognition of the laws of the Roman poral rights. The extent of that influence catholic church, and of the competence of is hable to be greatly increased, as Roman | the authority of their courts? Thus tithes catholic ecclesiastics claim the right of de-| most be annihilated, or divided between the ciding what are spaintal matters within protestant and Roman catholic clergy, and

was forfeited by the rebellions of Desmond and Tyrone in the reigns of Elizabeth and James I. and by the rebellions in 1641 and * 1689 Most of the protestant titles in that | country are defined under those forteitures, Irish acts of settlement and explanation in [the reign of Charles II and grants by Wilham and Mary, and the English statutes of their reign, and that of Queen Anne Roman eatholies still see a slope estates as if they were in possession of them, to perpetuate, to those who are to succeed them. the idea that those estates had once belonged to their families, and nught be again or josed by their descendance, if the claim to them were persevered in, thus to stund to to ke ping up the claim. In 1011, the corfederate Irish Romin catholics demanded, " that there should be a recesion of all for-Roman catholic propoetors never had offended, that the confiscations were unjust, and that the present possessors held by nourpation, and ought to be dispossessed "Why? affection between the several sectaries in Iteland. Thus no length of time and enjoy-1 ment can senetify title, no concurrence of circumstances can preclude it assumption; attainders, acts of parliament, purchas . settlements, long possession, prescription, are to be inefficient in giving title. The

almost equal to the full contents of Ireland | viction which has, during ages, influenced the conduct of the parliaments of England and Ireland, and has guided the actions of the most emment men of those countries, and of the mass of the people. To what purpose ? To uproot every thin ; which has and grants from Flizabeth and James, the | been deemed solemnly sanctified and settled; to unsettle the church, the influence and property of the protestants, and to clevate the members of the Roman catholic person sion upon the depression of these of the protestant. It is supposed, by some persons, that the length of time, which has clapsed since mading the greats of the forfeited estates, imposés instiperable difficulties in the way of Roman catholics of this hard then titles; and that since the relaxing laws of 1778 and 1781, Bonkn catholics have acquired so much property that i the fittes to the follow destate , that, in maintain of the own rights, the must defend the titles to feitures, &c and grants from the crown from the to tested estates, and that the Forman 1588, (eighty-six yeard) by a five parlia- featbolies have solemnly disclaimed all fule ment "-In 1080, a bill possed the park. It the to kived estates. The repeal of the ment of Ireland to repeal the acts of settle- In he act of settlement and explanation, ment and goddanation. Not many years ago and of the Publish acts of Wallam BH and maps of the Irish forfeited estates were Anne, relating to forfeited estates, would industriously created on the continent, entit all the protestant titles totaled on an industrion that some important object them, and expose the possessors to all the was worked at for attainment, and it is a horard, uncertainty, litigation, and expense was demante to conclude a reign catholic vibid and it be bought upon them by oppotentates, with a view to the roce a posite claims, whether minimated by true of that project. Since the unique is has for by the evidence. Disposes on and min - been openly avoided, " that the resolution would be the consequence to individuals; to was an usurp tion, the exclusion of R man, the public, the result would be unseithing cathelies from sects in pail: meet an excess the property and power of the country. The of the power of the legislature, that the end estates of july prance acquired by Roman catholics since the relaxing laws are as yet inconsiderable. The religious zeal of Roman catholics holding leases under the forfeited titles, would induce them to thank that if Truly to produce unamonity, cordicity, and the inheritances were restored to the families of the ancient proprietors, Roman catholic tenants, assuming the merit of having aided the restitution, might be sure of haying their leases confirmed by lindlords of their own persuasion. Thus neither the inheritance nor the derivative interests would protect the protestant titles against the varimost solemn acts of the legislature, and of our incentives which might combine to de-courts of justice, done whalst the transactions feat them. The present laws, aided by a were recent, and the evidence existing, protestant government and protestant constiwhich have been acted upon and enforced tution, do protect them. Any other prodaring successive ages; titles created under I tection which be meffectual. Of what avail these acts, and infinitely be niched out and [woold the disclaiming of Roman catholics by caversified for most valuable considerations, lit, they acquired power to enforce them are now, when the cyalence is lost a mas- claims, when they have cheady, since their lad, to be presumed unforced a, contrart special disclaunce, though not yet possessto the light of layery and to that con | calof semicrost power to establish then de-

Great-Bruan would, whilst any expect tion was entertained of procuring restaution, be the only means of obtaining an object otherwise unattainable. That expectation maist be repressed by a prompt and decided resistance, demonstrating the determination of the state to direct the whole of its force m opposition to the attempt. It has been l said that " the measure, it adopted, would secure the country against future rebellions, conciliate the Roman catholics, and inseparably unite them and the protestants." To pidge of this reasoning it is necessary to advert to the objects which the rebellion of ed at And here, my lords, I shall brief- distinguished lead in defending the detenly take a review of some leading facts, ders of that day, but such of the rumbers and of the motives which led to the rebel- of the convention as were logal looked only ben of 1708, as they have been disclosed to seek object as were within the reach. by those who best knew them, the teaders of possible attandariat, and sech as were of that incurrection. In 1701 the society straiters had a paration and independence, • of an ted hishmen was established; its ob- not cathelic concessions, in contemplation. jets were evidently rebellious, it aimel at j the entire overthrow, not merely any lina- tants of Belia t to the house of commons of gared improvement, of the existing collistitation. This is demonstrated by a lotter all the penul and restrictive stigutes again a from Tone directed to a person in Relsfist, and containing the resolutions who has were afterwards (with a small variation) adopted in the public declaration of the Dublin society of united Irishmen, in on the 9th of November 1791; in that year and 1792 the foundation of the rebellion of 1798 was laid. In 1792 Tone published in favour of independence, and separation of Ireland from England. In the same year, Edward Byrne, an eminent Roman catholic priest, issued letters to the several parish priests of Ireland, directing that delegates *should be elected by their several parishes to sit in a Roman catholic convention; his letters stated, that "serving on james and the elective franchise were the objects, to be discussed by the convention." The conven-

mands, revived their claims to the forfeited tion accordingly assembled in that year: estates? How have their stating specific there never was any doubt that it sat as a objects, as exclusive of all others, in various 'Roman catholic convention, and it most certransactions of the year 1792, prevented un-, tamby was the organ for expressing the then qualified dem in Is in 1704, and down to the acal objects of the Roman catholics. It represent period? If the Roman catholics splived that "the objects of their pursuit were to obtain restriction of the forferted were merely admission to the bar, county estates, the country would be ruined. If they may stracies, serving on juries, and voting failed as to that their man object, concili- for members of parlament out of freeholds ation would not be the result of concession for 201 per annum." The claims made by This country never can, consistently with the convention extended, in the instances any principle of policy or common serve, of admission to the lor and countringsgive way to the claim of resittation. That stores, be find the objects pointed out by is a great object looked at as the result of Byrne's leaders of summons, but neither power. So nation then of Ireland from his letters nor the resolution of the convention in any respect related to the avoy edor real objects of the conspiracy at that time formed for the rebellion which tool, place in 1705; nor old, they include any claim to the great offices of the state, or parliamentary representation. The equivocal expression of citholic emancipation was not adopted sentat en therein. Those could not have been real ingredients in the plan or insurrection then formed, nor were they in any respect consistent with the nature of that plan, which proposed not merely to alter the constitution, but to form an entirely new one. In 17(2, Sweetman, with some other freiters, 1798, and its off-shoot, that of 1803, look- wis a member of the convention, he took a In the same year a petition from the piliab. Iteland was preferred, praying a repeal of Roas are whether; but a did not state that " the positioners were Roman catholies" Afterwards, in the same year, a petition was presented from the Roman cotholies, praying ; a removal of some of the civil imagacities raider which they laboured, and actionation to some share in the elegane franchise." Before the consecuent brokes up, it appointed a perminent committee. In 1793 petitions from the Roman catholics were presented to the house of commons of heland, referring generally to a variety of serve and oppressive laws inflicting disqualifications. In the same year more was g anted by the parlament of Ireland than the Reman catholic resolutions of 1792 had claimed, and the libbiality of parliament exceeded the fell extent of those claims. In

the government of France, and in the month of April in that year, the traiterous consultation in Newgate took place, an invasion of Ireland was then planned, and the reasons for it, and to induce the French to invade, were digested. Neither catholic representation, nor the capacity to fill the great offices of the state were objects alluded to, though Tone, who was one of the conspirators at that meeting, was an accredited agent of the Roman catholics. nor was the establishment of the poper supremacy. though Di Troy's pistoral letter had been published before that time. In December 1704 an address to a noblem in who speedily afterwards was sworn in chief governor of Ireland was signed by several Roman catholics, and amongst others by Sweetman, M'Nevin, and M'Cornneck, desiring in substance the repeal of all laws which restrained Romanau tholes from the possession of power; thus laying aside the linated claims contained in Byrne's letters of summons, in the resolutions of the convention in 1792, and in the Roman catholic petition of the same-year, and claiming equality in every respect with the protestants. In April 1795, the convention passed its resolution in favour of Icne · Lewing and M'Nevin were at that time members of it. The speeches in the convention (as communicated to the public) breathed separation of Ireland from Great-Britain At the period · convention, marked its proceedings as embracing the real objects of the plan which | had been formed for the destruction of the monarchy however, this observation does not include the Roman catholics in general, nor indeed all of the delegates, Between June 1795 and January 1790 a traiterous correspondence was carried on between the society of united Irishmen and the executive directory of France, to procure an Program l

1794 Tone traitorously corresponded with Jing that event, point out the want of Roman cathotic representation, or of the right to hold every species of caree as practances which the rebellion was calculated to rediess. Thus, at the fast formation of the plan of rebellion, the Boman carbolics had not made the clums which are now brought forward, and at the final execution of that plan the Rom m catholic claims were not in the contemplation of the conspirators, in the intermediate steps they were used merely as prefects in the mouth of August 1708, a report of the committee of the house of lords of Treffind stated, that M'Nevin on his examination said, "It was not intended to have any religious establishment. and that the mass of the prople in Leipster, Munster, and Conneight did not care for reform of catholic representation." Those were the principal Roman catholic provint 👟 On the 23d of July 1803, the last rebellion broke out, at was formed on the same principles as the former rebellion -- Adverting to the before-mentioned chain or first, and to the several reports in alc by the committees of the houses of parliament in Ireland in 1703, 1707, and 1708, it appears, that the real objects which the two rebellions sought to ettain, were the arindi letion of tithes, the lowering of tents, an equal distribution of popolis, the levelling all the ranks and orders of the state, separation of Ircland from Incland, the destruction of the monarchy; and the establishment of a those rebels, who had taken the lead in the idemocracy on the principles of the late Feach republic. The Roman catholic choms were not objects sought by the two rebellions, had those claims been formedly ceded, they would not have prevented those a bellions, were they to be now conceded, every motive which led to those rebellions would still exist to conduce to further rebelieve. Few of those who were embarled in these rebellions had any interest in establishing the Roman-catholic claims A painof Ireland; and upon the 21st of December | philet published by a late respectable Roman 1796 the invasion was attempted by Hoche, cathelic nobleman suggested that the mass On the 12th of May 1797 a report was made of the people could be little benefited by the by the secret committee of the house of lords | adoption of such a measure as that which of Ireland, which states, that " parliamen- is now proposed, they being already capable tary reform and Roman catholic emancipation of every thing which their situations could tion were held out by the united Irishmer, entitle them to, and that probably not more ns a pretence, and to seduce persons not appreced of their intentions." Upon the 23d the house of commons of the united empire, of May 1798, the first rebellion broke out I not more than one in the house of peers, neither the proclamation which had been inor more than forty or fitty be candidates for prepared by the Sheares's to be issued upon joillees of rank, trust, or emolument. Thus the breaking out of the rebellion, nor the the numbers to be gratified by an immediate other transactions preceding or accompany- igit are so few, that the conciliation to be

attained must be equally circumscribed; | z ird. The fluctuation of property in a comthere being few expacitated to be candidates, I mercial country, and of the relative numbers the with-holding the objects claimed is felt | of persons composing the several sects, conby few , there are few to complain of in- nected with the known perceverance of the jury tew to acknowledge and be thank- Roman catholic body, renders it unsafe to a ful for favours conferred. Hence a con- twist to their not being able futurely to do cession productive at this day of small con- injury, because at the prepent day they are conation of the Romen catholics, of great unable, not being present at present of dessatisfaction on the part of the protestant, sufficient political weight and influence." night by a then prion, when the political Their unconting, can in pursue of political in portance of the Roman catholic body beinfluence and authority, their explost subcut to more considerable, for hararding the
interest of the state, and exposing it to the
the exclusion of the state. persecring efforts of unrematted zeal, gui- state, their being all governed by one com-ded by one common principle, and one all- mon principle, and furnly linked together by nding inflace e. It may be imagined by one general rele of action, render it not wise some, that though the mas of the Roman's to intrust them yeth power upon a supposicatholics have no interest in the objects; tion that, because a might at the commenceclaimed, it may be proper to concede them, \{ 🕶 older to win over those persons of rank and property who have the power of inthe great body of the Roman ca-Huere Hobes Une lover orders love been influenced, not by the respect ble and lord members of the same refigious persuasion (who have an abserts in the claims now set up), but by free is demograce of various persuasions, who aimed at rebellion, not at ketorm, or carbolic claim, and would have been equally active in disseminating rebellion, if any conce so a had been made short of annihilating the monarchy. It cannot be expected that the mass of the lower orders of the Roman catholics, should cease to be actuated by those interested motives which have heretofore influenced their conduct, because of a concession being made to some of the higher orders, of privileges not to be emoved by the mass of the people; in which they have no interest, and by which their conduct has not heretofore been guided It has been argued, that the inflaence which the measure under consideration, would confer, at present must be small, probably never can be great, and therefore there cannot be any danger; or if any, it must | be remote. In the reign of James II, both [houses of parliament were protestant, yet! the other branch of the legislature was l near succeeding against them both, in the establishment of popery. Junes II! professed to intend for the Romin cathohes "only an equality of privileges," that equality would have occusioned the downfall of the protestant religion: it was l therefore resisted. The resistance was ultimately successful; but the danger hiving

ment to mean i lerable, it could not hereafter be andered dangerously great. Sixtytour of the Irish members of the house of common, sit for counties, and many of the other thursy-six for open boroughs. The priests must have considerable weight in influencing the elections, as between protestant and Roman cathebo candidates; and were Roman catholics eligible to parliament. probably at a period not very remote the representation on the part of Ireland would be completely Roman catholic. That body of men would enjoy a great part of the Irish patienage. Who can measure the influence of a bedy of men so constituted? who can foretelewhat they might effect by a junction at a critical moment with other bodies of men, standing in need of their assistance, and ready to make them a proportionate return of favour and support? To conclustion and unanimity there must be two partiesthe Roman cachehes, and all the protestants of the effire empire; and their mutual concurrence will scarcely be obtained by holding out to the Roman catholics the possibility that, by unremitting perseverance on their part, the laity may be restored to the forteited by property, their ecclesiastics to the church lands, the supremacy of the pope be established, and a Roman catholic astendancy be substituted in the place of the protestant ascen linev, and by comering to the prote-tants the idea that whatsoever the Romen catholics gain, the profestants must lose. The number of Roman cathores is to be considered in relation to the whole eapire. In ascertaining the class of persons in whom the power of the state is to be vested. property, mak, respectibility, tilera, knowapproached too near, the success of the re- | ledge, judgment, and congenies of prinssistance was attended with difficulty and has equipment the equipment die equipment and are more to

and physical force unaccompanied by political weight and influence, whilst they can be brought into action only in opposition to, and under the controll of the laws, and of the systematic authority of the state, are to ∞ - ! cite apprehension; how much more serious? cause of alarm would there be, if the same physical strength were aided by political weight and influence, moulding and shaping the laws so as to give a free and uncontroulunderstood that political power to unsettle; the state is unattainable, and the dangerous consequences of pursuing projects of innovation in violation of the law, and in opposition to the protestants of the empire, and all the honest and loyal men of the Roman catholic persuasion, will not be risked. The **church** and the state are necessarily connected, each giving apport to the other. Roman catholics hostile to the one, cannot have the same attachment to the other as protestants have, whose tenets are not hos-tile to either. Notwithstanding that the monarch must not only be but must always have been protestant, were his advisers (upon whose judgment he is to act) to possess principles not congenial with those of the state, the constitution must gradually, (and the more dangerously, because perhaps) imperceptibly) be impaired. In this country tests were adopted gradually, cand in succession, with great and progressive caution—first as to corporation offices, then as to offices held under the state, then as to l both hoases of parliament, and then as to the throne, each successive regulation being [adopted from conviction that it was a necessary addition to the then existing requlations. To annul all the e regulations would be a most hazardous experiment Are Roman catholics to be admitted into the English share of representation? If not, Itish share? Are any other secturies to be authority, to be admitted. In Roman cais somewhat despotie to support that there state there can be no such alliance between

be attended to than numbers. If numbers | tholic clergy. Their notions as to the power of legislation are directly opposed to each other, and here the most efficient part of the legislature is popular, and elective, hence a way might be opened by admitting Roman catholics into parliament, and the great offices of the state, towards investing them with a dangerous influence. The possession of inferior offices gives some influence, but does not transfer the sovereignty of the state. It is true that Scotch presbyed course to such conjoin the conjoint of the course to such conjoin the course to such conjoint the course the course to such conjoint the course the cours same oaths, and sign the same declaration as protestants; they give the same tests of fidelity and allegiance, and submission to the supreme authority of the state, as protestants of the established church. As to the Scotch noblemen and gentlemen who in the course of the debate have been described as having held some of the great offices or the state with honour to themselves and benefit to the public, they were protestants, and had taken the usual oaths, signed the det laration, and received the sacrament according to the usage of the church of Eng-The adoption of the innovation now land proposed would new-model the constitution, weald violate the principles of the retormation, the revolution, the act of settlement of the crown, and the two acts of union; might substitute the pope's supremacy in the place of his majesty's, might discontent the local protestants, who have preserved and strengthened the connexion between Great Eritain and Itel and, without contenting the Roman catholics, might unsettle the lay and ecclesiastical property of Ireland. niight revive all those mischiefs which the revolution was calculated to reduces, and might destroy the present establishment in church and state.

Lord Hutchinson.—My lords in the course of this debate, the catholic hierarchy, the priests, the catholic religion, have been treated upon what principle can they be excluded with the most enqualitied existing, and with from thence, if not also excluded from the jan asperity which I little expected to find in this enlightened assembly. It is one of the excluded, though they do not uphold "almisontanes of the cathelic cause, that, comforeign dominion, and nevertheless Irish possing as they do the great mass of the in-Roman catholics, who maintain the su- digent population of Ireland, they are hable preme jurisdiction of a foreign spiritual to every misrepresentation; the crime of the individual becomes the offence of the thone countries the general tenor of the ocer; the highest man in the community is laws is conformable to the Roman catholic made answerable for the conduct of the religion. The power of the government lowest the religion itself is censured for the commission of those very acts which it is a strict alliance between the church and reproduces and condemns — Many allusions have been made to the late rebellion. * protestant government and a Roman ca- Whatever that rebellion might have been, it

wween Great Britain and Ireland, and in 1 not, I besetch you, my lords, behave that *Reador their cause trun plant. They con- mitted in moments of heat, irritation, and community, but one cannot be at all surprised when we reflect that the protestant, though generally of a higher class, and much better educated and informed, was too often the dupe of the earthices, and suffered his mind to be biased, his understanding conwhich have fallen from noble lords in this. debate, without an endeavour to rescue the character of my country and my countrymen from aspersions which, had they been uttered in any other place, I shedd not have hesitated to have called most unfounded calumines. As long as there was a resilent evernors will acquire a spirit of domination, parliament in Ireland, it was the ignoble pohey, the wretched practice of the representatives of the people to libel and malign them constituents. I am sorry to see such conduct mouthed here. It was the strengest argument in favour of the union, that an appeal was made from the passion; and prejudices of a little country to the tripper, the moderation, the cool and deliberate wedom of a great nation. Miscrable indeed is the

certainly was not in its origin a catholic war; relief are her circumstances, if this her fond the principal leaders were not catholics, expectation, her last, her only remaining re-whose object it was to depress one religion, fuge is to be disappointed, if by so many in order to elevate another. On the con-sacrifices she has only obtained the dearly trary, they aimed to destroy every thing that bought permission of displaying her miseries had been established, every thing that had on a more extended theatre, where the erbeen held sacred. They declared them- 1015, the misfortunes, the crimes of Ireland selves to be alike enemies to the purity of are to be re-echoed from one house of parthe protestant worship, and to what they liament to the other, in order to give them called the superstition of the catholic faith; publicity to Europe, and that, in future, no their intention was to extinguish the proposition of the catholic faith; publicity to Europe, and that, in future, no their intention was to extinguish the proposition of the catholic faith; publicity to Europe, and that, in future, no their intention of the catholic faith; publicity to Europe, and that, in future, no their intention of the catholic faith; publicity to Europe, and that, in future, no their intention of the catholic faith; publicity to Europe, and that, in future, no their intention was to extinguish the proposition of the catholic faith; publicity to Europe, and that, in future, no their intention was to extinguish the proposition of the catholic faith; publicity to Europe, and that, in future, no topic faith; publicity to Europe, and that, in future, no topic faith; publicity to Europe, and that, in future, no topic faith; publicity to Europe, and that, in future, no topic faith; publicity to Europe, and that, in future, no topic faith and the control fa their room to erect a republic without a the Irish are a nation of degraded beings, king, a priest, or a noble. The leaders of insensible to the blessings of law, order, and that retallion were certainly too able not to government. There is neither candour nor have taken advantage or any circumstance Justice in estimating the character of a whole which might have led in the most remote people by the standard of the conduct of a degree to weaken the government, and to few atro ious criminals, by excesses comscorently appealed to every bad passion, to civil war. By this mode of reasoning, the every malignat teeling of the human heart. whole of the French nair wwould be made It is impossible that any contest can take answerable for the infurate and sunguinary place in Ireland, into which the bitterness spirit which governed and disgraced for years and poison of religious and sectorian preju- of the revolution the populace of Paris. The decession not enter all men who entertain virtues of the inhabitants of Ireland, and views annucal to the public peace, would ra- I they do possess virtues. It long at least to turelly serve every occasion to increase those [themselves, and are peculially their own: It is to be lamented that the e their faults are the faults of their situation, arts sometimes operate on the mands of the land of the calamities which have too often low, ign state, and evulg a part of the catholic distracted and oppressed their unhappy country. The frequent chin is of property during the seventeenth century, the persecuting code if the conteenth, the marked line of separation between the old inhibitants and the new, have c-tablished distinctions which nor time, nor commistances, nor christian trouled, and his generous feelings warped by "chanty, nor political necessity have yet been the existence of those very prejudices of able to remove. It appeared to be the wish which he so loudly complained.—It is im- j of the legislature to create two distinct and possible to pass, over in silence many thing; [separat@nations, possessing separate and disfinet interests. Unhappily they succeeded but too well-vielence will beget violenceeppression will create resistance. When one part of the community enjoys a free constitution, and the other to m chains, the natural consequences must be, that the goincompatible with every degree of equal hberty; and the governed a spirit of licentrousness and resistance, little reconcileable This has been to law and subordination the state of Ireland: it is not however the ordinance of the Almost ty, but the policy of In a None of those libellers of their country will maint to that it was preordained. that man in he land was to be indolent, ferecores and swage, and in Figlind civilized isituation of Ireland, hopeless and without land industrious. Such for the effects ari-

sing from human conduct, in human causes. **Persecution** may have been driven from the walls of parliament, but it has entered into the privacies of domestic life, poisoning the sources of social enjoyment, diffusing dissension and not union, discord and not charity, widening instead of closing the breach between the protestant who conceded with regret, and the catholic who consequently received without gratitude. The legislature appeared to have advanced in vain, the gublic mind was retrograde. liberality was in the mouths of all, but persecution and rancour were in the hearts of many, the effects of the abominable code of popery laws, survived the code itself; the extent of mischief it had occasioned was not discovered till those laws had ceased in a great measure to exist. What now remains to be granted only insults the catholic without securing the protestant. How the state would be endengered because a Cathone peer might sit in pullument, or a cutholic gentleman enjoy any office, or represent any county in which he had a large property, I am at a loss to imagine, particularly when the peerage who have the choice, the government who have the disposal of office, the property who have the influence in elections, would still continue protestant. The virtues of men, or the effects arising from their virtues, are transitory and perishable, why therefore should their follies and their prejudices be immortal? Why should religious hatred has for ever? It is certainly a created and not a natural cause of difference. He who believes in transubstantiation and he who rejects it, may certainly think alike on other subjects, and be governed by motives equally pure and honourable as men, as citizens, as christians. The protestant may rejoice in the superior purity of his religion, and lament the darkness which overshadows the mind of his catholic brother: but surely it is not necessary that matters of conscience or of speculative belief should interfere with the active conduct of either. Every relaxation of the penal code has been opposed by the same arguments, which have been again and again answered and refuted. I beg pardon—truth and candour oblige me to confess that in the list of charges and accusations, the pretender has lately been omitted; but the power of the pope, whether he be the prisoner of the directory, or the slave of Buonaparté, whether he vegetate at Rome or be in chains at Paris, is still alike termidible and porten-The protestant property is still in

their hands for five generations; the famihes of the original claimants are equerally extinct, or resident in foreign countries and a considerable number of eatholies have purchased and hold their property under the act of settlement and explanation.—A noble viscount has thought it right to assert, that a registry of the forfeited property was in the possession of the families of the aucient proprietors. In all the heat and agitation of debate which has taken place in the Irish parliament on this subject, I never before heard the accusation seriously maintained. If such a record there be, I challenge the noble viscount to produce it-where is it kept? in what families does it exist? The noble viscount also made an implied panegyae on the penal code, when he asserted that during its existence in full force, Ireland enjoyed an uninterrupted state of tranquality and peace At this auspicious and vaunted period all the rights of Treland were mvaded, her commerce could hardly be such to exist, her, principal manufacture was annibilated, her constitution was subscited, her population was reduced to twelve hundred thousand. This might be peace, but it was the repose of desolation, the tranquillity of a jail, undisturbed by any sounds but the ratthing of chains. The protestant was a despot, the catholic was a slave; the protestant surrendered his liberty that he might enjoy his tyranny, the catholic abandoned his country to seck for bread, and found renown in every service of Europe.-I must complain of the want of candour, I must lament the infatuation which prevails on this delicate subject. I am sorry to see the belief of the catholics estimated, not by then own professions and declarations, but by the charges and accusations of their enemies, whom no denial will silence, no test will satisfy. The catholics of the nineteenth century are to be judged and doomed to perpetual exclusion for doctrines and opinious which they renounce, abjure, and abhor, but which were formerly held in remote ages by the bigoted zealots of popery before the dawn of reason, truth, or philosophy had purified religion, and broken through the clouds of superstition. The fate of those who profess the catholic religion in these kingdoms has been rather singular; in the last century, they were proscribed for holding opinions subversive of liberty, and for loving monarchy too much—in this they lave been accused of loving it too little, and of changing their doctrines of high prerogadanger, though that property has been in live, passive obedience, and divine, inde-

feasible, hereditary right, for wild, fantastic. mischievious notions of republican liberty and equality. These contradictory charges against the religion of the most numerous body of the Chastians of Europe are neither wise, liberal, or founded. Catholicity has been the faith of some of the most illustrious nations, and the belief of many individuals who have done honour to the name of man Notwithstanding this acknowledged truth, which bigotry itself will not venture to dense, how often has it been instinuated that they minut be good subjects to a protestant king, because they are not bound by ooths? This mischievous opinion has been sustained with vulgar and nuschievous asperity. If it were true, why are the petitioners at your bar: Nothing but their regard for the sanctity of an oath, nothing but the restraints imposed upon them by conscience, obliges them to submit to the various disabilities of which they complain. I certainly despair of carrying this question now, but at the same time I am convinced, that it will hereafter be found absolutely necessary to comply with the prayer of the pentioners; for believe me there is discontent: danger does exist in Ireland, the amount or extent no man can be tell. Suffer not therefore the majority of the inhabitants to remain longer under any disabilities; take away every pretence for disaffection, and try a system of conciliation and concession. Procrastination is the fool's resource: policy, state necessity, the situation of Ireland, the critical circumstances of the empire, the willing or unwilling submission of the continent of Europe to the power of France, all demand this measure... What must be done sooner or later had better be done now; what will assuredly be granted, had better be given with the best possible grace. I am sure it is necessary to repeal those laws in order to lay the basis of the future tranquillity of Ireland. After so many ages of wretchedness, confusion and blood, of degradation without, and smothered war withm, a final settlement and peace is required; a real, solid, founded, substanrial peace, not an empty, hollow, treacherous truce; a settlement which would give security at home, and respectability abroad, which would be the commencement of a new æra in Ireland, when every man might forget the prejudices of a sectarian, and recollect the duties of a citizen. All the power, all the energy, all the exertion of a happy and united people might be brought to the assistance of your threatened empire. That which constitutes your present weakness, would become your future strength; Vol. IV.

where you are now vulnerable, you would hereafter be invincible. Six centuries have elapsed since the English first appeared in Ireland. Whether that country was or was not conquered, I shall not stop to enquire: to be informed, it is not necessary to open the page of history. All the rugged, shapeless features of conquest are too visible every where. A mile from a great town, every shape and semblattee of ringland vanishes. Religion, language, manners, habits, not only distinct, but on posite; the great charter of liberty suspended; the law moperative; party violence tearing asunder every Christian charity, every endearing connexion; the protestant in his wrath seeking for his lately emancipated slave; and too many of the lower class of men, in their despair, willing to trample on their allegiance: such is the faint outline of a most disgusting picture, such the state of a country inhabited ly a brave, active, intelligent race of men, blessed with some of the choicest gifts of Providence, rising in power, population, wealth, and strength, amidst the bitterness of religious acrimony, popular delusion, and lurking rebellion. No stronger proof can be given of the natural and inherent energies of a country which has still flourished and prospered, notwithstanding the miserable system which has been pursued, and the vicious administration of the government. Ireland is the only country in the world, where it was thought necessary to proscribe by law a great majority of the inhabitants, where the parliament legislated not for the people, but against the people. I voted for the union with a firm conviction, with an assured hope, that it would fortify the connexion between Great Britain and Ireland, heal the wounds of a distracted country, and ensure the future adoption of . the catholic body. I voted with that doubt, hesitation, and reluctance, which every man must experience, who, in the triumph of duties over atjections, ventures to shock the feelings, the passions, the honest prejudices of his country. I know that I adopted a hazardous experiment. I know that I differed from some of the best and wisest men's in Ireland. I am sure, at the time, it was my honest, genuine, unbiassed sentiment. Possibly I might have despaired too soon; oppressed by a sense of present evil, I fondly, perhaps vainly imagined, that nothing would tend so much to stifle jealousies, to allay the restless, ferocious, sanguinary spirit of intolerance, to subdue the fears of the loyal, and the hopes of the disaffected. I may have been mistaken; if such has been

á D

something to atone for; but the act is done; I was one of those who surrendered for ever m the legislative independence of my country. During the discussion of the question, many persons of the best intentions, who had before opposed the claims of the catholics, declared that, after the adoption of that measure, they could see no objection to the repeal of every remaining disability. I therefore entertained a sanguine hope that tardy justice would at length have been rendered to this numerous and deserving body of men, and that the adoption of the catholic body would have consummated and confirmed the union. If, on the contrary, you are only corrupted by our example, and adopt the same prejudices which have so long agitated and distracted Ireland, the union will be no relief to her misfortunes: it may be an union by act of parliament; but it is not an union of interests and affections; it is a settlement which has given dissatisfaction to great bodies of men, without having hitherto anlorded contentment to any. The noble lord concluded by reading several extracts from lord Redesdale's speech of the night before: he contradicted many of the assertions of that noble lord; and called upon the Irish peers to rise in their places and vindicate the Irish nation from aspersions highly injurious to their honour, and which tended to degrade that country from the rank of civilized nations.

Lord Redesdale felt himself so peculiarly called upon, in consequence of some observations which had been made by the noble lord, that he trusted their lordships would indulge him with a hearing for a few moments. He was not induced by what had fallen from the noble lord to retract an assertion which he had made on the former evening of debate. He asserted, in confirmation of what he then stated, that, from the projudices of the catholics in Ireland, it was extremely difficult to put protestant children out to service. (A cry of no! no!) He knew the fact, he said, from his official situation in Ireland, where being a trustee for several charitable institutions he knew that the greatest embarrassment arose from the extreme difficulty which existed of putting out protestant children from those institutions to service. It was also equally frue that the greatest prejudice existed in catholic families against protestant servants, and mat every means were used to render their si-"tuation uncomfortable (A cry of no! no! from some noble lords, and of order! from others.)

(i)

my misfortune, I have much to lament, and of his assertions by any contradiction of that nature; he repeated that his assertions were correct, and referred in still further support of them to Mr. M'Kenna's pamphlet, an authority which he did not think would be disputed. On the former evening he had likewise referred to the catholic hierarchy of Ireland, and he now maintained that that hierarchy existed in defiance of the law of the land, the persons who now held the dignities of that hierarchy being the successors of those whose offices the law had declared should be abolished.

The Earl of Ormond,—My lords; I trust I shall not be contradicted by any man who really knows any thing of Ireland, when I say the noble and learned lord has attited that which is not a fact, at least in the opinion of any man but himself, and therefore I cannot sit silent and hear the country to which I have the honour to belong, so foully traduced, without rising in my place, to contradict such unfounded aspersions upon the national character of Ireland. The noble lord has asserted, that protestants dare not live in the same families with catholic servants; and that the catholic servants, from their hatred to those of the protestant religion, combine against them. My lords, I know not what may be the state of the noble lord's household, I never was in his house, I never wish it, and I never will be in it; but, my lords, I do know that in my own house, in the houses of all the protetant gentlemen around it, intermixed and surrounded by catholics, and in one of the most catholic counties in Ireland (Kilkenny) catholic and protestant servants live together like brothers. The noble lord has stated, that in Dublin a protestant servant cannot get employment in a protestant family, on account of the combination formed against him by catholic servants. In all my intercourse in Dublin, during a very long residence there, much longer indeed than that of the noble ford, I never once heard any such thing. The only complaint I ever recollect to have heard on this point was, that protestant servants enough could not be had to supply protestant families who had a predilection for such servants—that class of the people in Ireland being by much the greater part catholic. From the tenor of the speech spoken by that noble and learned lord on a former night, and the weight with which every statement respecting the country where he presides in a situation so eminent, must fall under the sanction of his grave authority, I own I did expect this motion He was not, he said, to be driven from any would have met, this night, the most viru-

opposite to me. But, unlike the noble lord, nothing has fallen from that quarter but the most calm, decorous, and moderate arguments so truly characteristic of the tolerant spirit and charitable principles of that established religion over which, they so worthily preside. But from the noble lord, instead of a most legal, liberal, enlightened, and argumentative speech, becoming the gravity of his character, what have we heard? A collection of old womens' stories, which I do rily believe not even the most prejudiced protestant in Ireland this day would accredit. I have been in Ireland the greater part of my life. I have repeatedly travelled through, and had intercourse with the protestant gentlemen from every quarter of it, and never, in the course of my life, did I hear such statements as those made by the noble lord; and not one of them which will, I am sure, be avouched by any independent man in this house. I hope when the noble and learned lord returns to that bench, on which he presides over the pub-he justice of Ireland, he will divest himself of that violent antipathy towards one sect of the people, and that obvious partiality for another, which he has so conspicuously evinced in this house. My lords, I most cheerfully support the motion before you, convinced as I am of its sound policy, its wisdom, and its justice.

Lord Boringdon said, he lad no scruple in giving it as his opinion that the existence and full security of the established church was in no way involved in this measure, that whenever it was adopted there could be no possible ground for thinking of repealing any part of the act of settlement, or, as had been men-tioned, the 5th article of the act of union; that he fully approved of the terms of the petition on the table; that he saw with satisfaction the reasonable and moderate principles entertained by those on whose part it had been presented; and that he had no doubt that in due time and at a fit opportumity their claims would have their proper weight with all who valued the principles of the British constitution, and who in consequence wished that its blessings should be perpeniated and extended. He argued that though vulgar outery and popular clamour should never influence the conduct of that house, yet it could not be maintained that their lordships, in their decisions, were to be deaf or insensible to what they believed to be the general sentiment and opinion of the large body of the public. He believed that that sentiment was at present de-

lent opposition from the right revered bench | cidedly hostile to the measure; he did not rely merely upon the adverse petitions upon the table, but upon the notoriety of the fact. he believed that if the motion was adopted, a degree of uneasiness and discontent would arise to which no one could be able to look without apprehension and anxiety as to the consequence. He did not, however, hok to the continued duration of such sentiments, but spoke only as to their present existence. He objected further to the motion of the noble lord, as its object was single and separate ! it went merely to take into consideration the subject matter of the petition, with the avowed view of granting the concessions in question. Those concessions ought at no time to be made without connecting other matters with them. A noble and learned Lord (Redesdale), who had just spoken in explanation, had stated that the Irish Roman catholic hierarchy existed contrary to the law: if that was the case, he inferred that the law not being enforced resulted from prudential considerations, and that it might possibly be found upon a general settlement that the catholics might also have concessions to make. How abourd therefore would it be in their lordships thus immediately and hastily to make these concessions, and thereby limit the means and increase the difficulties of a final arrangement and settlement at a future opportunity? He thought that the Irish Roman catholics publicly and ordinarily calling themselves by titles and appellations which by the law of the land belonged to others was very oftensive to the law. Perhaps, in any attempt tecome to an amicable settlement of existing differences, they might agree to discontinue or moderate such practice; perhaps in such an arrangement they would consent to have their biships nominated by his majesty, a measure in his mind eminently calculated to connect the catholic body with the government; and to give to each a common interest and a common feeling, and to remedy many existing evils. This, however, would be a concession on the part of the catholics, and one perhaps which they might not be disposed to make, if we should thus in the first instance have inconsiderately granted all that they require us to concede to them. He doubted much whether the great body of the Irish Roman catholics had really wished this question now to have been brought on. Mr. M'Kenna, an Irish Roman catholic writer of much celebrity, whose opinions could not but have much weight with his brothren, unequivocally expressed in a recent publication his wish that

that conscientious doubts and difficulties prevalled upon this subject in the mind of the first authority in the state; and had expressed himself with great sensibility upon that point. He would not pretend to say how far such doubts and difficulties night or might not be founded; but if such techniq and such sensibility could pervade the breasts of Irishmen (who were more distant from the seat of government) and catholics, they could not be strange to the middle of Englishmen and protestants; and if their lordships should experience them, they could who divided for the motion of the noble strength; but he hoped that knowledge would not lead them hastily and prematurequestion had received, and particularly the temper and moderation of the reverend bench, would operate as an inducement to such forbearance.

The Archbishop of Canterbury stated that, before their lordships convented to resolve themselves into a committee for the purpose of considering in what manner they could best carry into execution the prayer of the petition, entitled the peution of the Roman catholics whose names were subscribed, it would surely be matter of prudence to enquire, whether the principle upon which the petition rested, was such as their

the matter should have been entirely left to this enquiry, it should appear, that under parliament. He had also intimated an idea | no pessible modification could the principle and substance of the petition be conceded, without darger to the establishment in church and state, their lordships would hardly be disposed to employ their time and tolents in devising the best possible means for the downtal of both. What then was the history, and what the substance of the petition? The archbishop could not help considering the petition as the consequence, aud natural consequence, of a long series of concessions, obtained by the Roman catho, . lies of Ireland, during the present reign: of which series, the subject matter of the petinot but afford an additional inducement for tion, if granted, would assuredly not consti-pausing in the present proceeding. Having tute the ultimate term. His grace begged always felt an ardent desire that this ques- to be distinctly understood as in no degree tion should receive an impartial and a far calling into question the wisdom of those vourable discussion, he could not but lament concessions. Many of them, in his judgment, what he felt to be its premature introduc- were absolutely necessary, most of them extion. . He feared that it might give a check bremely reasonable, and perhapsall of them to opinion, and betray individuely into an in policy expedient. The archibishop, in hasty expression of a hostile sentiment, to adverting to them, wished only to discover which at a future time, for the sake of con- the causes that had led to the petition in its sistency, they might think it necessary to present form. The Roman catholics had adhere. It was a matter of satisfaction to obtained all that belonged to toleration, and him to think that he had been preceded it was not to be wondered at, that they nearly in the same line of opinion and con- should desire, at least, the acquisition of duct by two of his Majesty's ministers (Cams power.—After the 18th of his majesty, den and Mulgrave).—The was aware that which removed from the Roman catholics such a line of conduct might be liable to ob- the restraints that affected the grant and acservation in debate, but he nevertheless ceptance of leases, and provided against the flattered himself that it might not be unat- consequences of the conformity of the son tended with advantage. He thought that it with the established church, so far as those would be a matter of satisfaction to the re- consequences concerned the estates of the spectable body from whom the petition Roman catholic parent; blotting for ever came to know that they had friends on both from the Irish statute book, that corrupt and sides of the division, and that the numbers, unhallowed motive of conversion: after the 22d of his majesty, which enabled the Rolord did not constitute the whole of their man catholic, on taking the oath of allegiance, to purchase and dispose of lands in like manner as his majesty's protestant subly to reintroduce the subject; and that the jects; and on the same terms, fixed the dignified and temperate discussion which the ecclesiastic of that persuasion from the pains and penalties of former acts: after the statute of the same year, authorising Roman catholics to teach schools, and giving new facilities to the guardianship of Roman catholic children: after the 32d of his majesty, which removed disqualifications from lawyers and attornies of that persuasion, sanctioned the intermarriages of protestants with Roman catholics, and repealed laws that prohibited foreign, and embarrassed domestic education: after the 33d of his majesty, which was said to have left the Roman catholic nothing to ask (and well might the assertion be credited); after the 33d of his lordships could with safety admit. If, in majesty, which swept from the Irish statute

description of his majesty's subjects, momissions of the peace to execute offices civil toleration as any one of their lordships. He places of trust and emolument, except such as relate to the established church, and such at: after this long string of statutes, each toleration with equality, much less with of which, in its tian, was supposed to comprehend and redress all that was of grievance among them, followed, and in his grace's! view of the question naturally followed, the petition w.i.ch was then on their lordships' table. It was for their lordships to determine, in their characters of statesmen and legislators, to what extent these concessions could with safety be carried; but it was idle to complain of the eagerness with which they were pursued -The sub-tance of the petition was compressed, for their lordships' use and convenience, into one short, but pregnant sentence: "an equal participation on equal terms of the full benefits of the British laws and constitution." If the archbishop had been at liberty to understand the sentence according to the ordinary acceptation of the words, he might have answered that such participation was already possessed: but the framers of the petition, who were doubtless the best commentators of their own work, would not suffer him so to interpret them. Equal participation on equal terms, in their language, signified, admission to places of power and trust, without giving that security for the due discharge of them, which was demanded and given of their lordships, and every other subject of the realm. The object of the petition, couched in very decent and moderate terms, was, nevertheless, of great size and importance. It was no less than a request on the part of the Roman catholics, to legislate for a protestant country; to dispense the laws, to command the armies and navies, and to take share in the executive councils of a prothe principles of the revolution, and by called into question the justice and policy of timents upon the motion before you, they The act of settlement. Such, in the archhishop's view of it, was the history, and such the substance, of the petition on their lord-

book almost all the disqualifications of that | deep impression upon it; the effect of great talents, profound information, and singular delled the oath of allegiance to the taste and perspicuity; had endeavoured to connect scruples of the Roman catholics, put down and implicate the substance of the petition the oath of abjuration, the declaration, the with the general principles of toleration. sacramental test, and enabled the Roman The archbishop insisted that he was as sincatholics to vote at elections, to hold com- cerely attached to the genuine principles of and military, and to enjoy all manner of considered it as the brightest ornament and fairest grace of that reformed church which is established in this kingdom · but that he as are expressly specified in the body of the could not prevail upon himself to confound power and eventual superiority. It was not a figure of rhetoric, but a plain fact, resting on historical evidence, that toleration is a virtue that grows naturally out of a sense of security, and cannot exist for a moment, where danger is apprehended If their lordships should determine to destroy those fences which the wisdom and experience of their ancestors had, with so much deliberation and care; created around the established church, they would do unintentionally, without doubt, but in the archbishop's judgment effectually, all that was in their power to excite and provoke that bad spirit of animosity and religious intolerance that marked and disgraced the worst pages of their history subsequent to the reformation. On these grounds the archbishop felt it his duty to resist the motion of going into a committee to consider the substance of the petition.

The Earl of Albemarle.—My lords, it is not my purpose to go any great length into argument upon the question before you. I rise principally with a view to offer some observations in answer to a noble and learned lord who holds a high situation in Ireland, and whose speech in this debate, I own, creates in my mind no small share of astonishment. It has been well observed by other noble lords, that whatever comes from his elevated authority on a subject wherein it ought to be presumed, at least, he is well informed, must have much weight in this house. Looking to his grave character, and that liberality of sentiment which I expected would have raised his mind far above the testant kingdom: a request that struck at vulgar prejudices and idle tales that have filled narrow minds upon this topic, I hoped plain, broad, and inevitable, consequence from him, that whatever might be his senwould at least have been delivered with that calmness, moderation, and sound argument, that one would expect to characterise the ships' table.—The noble baron who, on a expanded mind, extensive knowledge, just former night, moved the question, and who i discernment, and strict impartiality benenever rose in that house without making litting his high judicial situation, in a coun-

try where he forms so important a member ! of the government, and presides over the administration of justice. But, my lords, how great was my astomshment to find the noble lord, not only opposing the motion with a degree of heat and acrimony little becoming his gravity, but conjuring up the most aborninable arguments to support his opposition. Where the noble and learned lord has found his authority for such arguments, and so totally opposite to those sentiments by which he has heretofore been actuated, I am at a loss to account. Upon a former occasion, that noble lord manifested the most liberal zeal in favour of the catholics of this country, and used such exertions to obtain a relaxation of the penal laws, in their favour, as to procure for himself the warruest thanks of those whose cause he has espoused. But such seems the strange bias, I will not call it the prejudice, of his mind against the catholics of another country, that, in order to mark still stronger his dispraise towards those of Ireland, he is lawish in his encomiums upon those of England, and tells us, that the only distinctive characteristics' between the protestants and catholics of his acquaintance or neighbourhood in this country, was, that the latter were always the most exemplary, and best conducted men in the parish where they hved what is the noble and learned lord's chief accusation against the catholics of Ireland? Why, that they have bishops, by whose guidance their cenduct is influenced; and that those bishops have gone the length of calling the right reverend prelate of Armagh, plain Dr Stuart. But though the noble and learned lord arraigns with so much stress the contumacy of this circumstance, I hardly think the right reverend prelate himself would lay so much stress upon it, or consider it as any infraction of his archiepiscopal rank or diocesan authority. The noble and learned lord has said, that no protestant dares appear in certain parts of Ireland. This, I am afraid, was too much the case in some parts of that country during the furor of the late rebellion. I have heard much the same thing stated by another gentleman; but he said, it was no matter of what religion a man was, if he was but known to the rebels to ·be a loyal man; for that was the criterion upon which their fury was directed, against men of all sects. This, however, is no argument against the catholics as such, but merely a proof of the barbarism of the lower orders, which I lament, and only blame the government which has presided in the coun-

and civilize them. The noble lord next goes to domestic affairs; and he complains of religious antipathies borne by catholic to protestant servants. Some remedy we are told is necessary; but what does the noble lord propose? Not to re-enact the penal laws; not to accede to the petition, but to abolish the catholic hierarchy! and this is the remedy which the noble and learned lord supposes would strike at the root of the evil. I confess, my lords, the expedient does not carry the same weight in my estimation. But those things, which to the minds of other men appear insurmountable difficulties, to the enlightened understanding, and profound discernment of the noble lord, are no difficulties at all. Three hundred years have elapsed since the reformation, which, aided by all the rigours of the penal code, has yet been so unsuccessful in Ireland, that still above three-fourths of the population continues catholic. The Irish papists, it seems, according to the noble lord, do not understand English; but, from the nature of their education, they are better acquainted with Latin; therefore, says the noble lord, you have nothing to do but translate the bible and church liturgy into Irish, and preach the reformed religion in that language, as has been done in Wales, and you at once convert the whole country into protestants. Here again, I own, that I much doubt the success of the noble lord's project; for, though you might find an Irich congregation to understand, I forey you would find it rather difficult to find professtant clergymen to preach or pray in that tongue. But it is said, if you grant this measure to the catholics, you will have the presbyterians and all the different sects of dissenters claiming similar indulgence. My lords, if it be just and politic to grant the claims of the catholics, I cannot see why you should refuse them, because others may claim something else afterwards. If the catholics are quiet, it is said, give them nothing, they do not ask for any thing; and if they do ask, it is said, give them nothing, for they are turbulent. It is always too soon or too late to hearken to their petitions. The periods of peace or war are equally unpropitious to their hopes. Some noble lords emphatically resist this petition because it is brought forward at this time; who tell us, in the same breath, that they think it proper at no time, and will resist it at any time. But, my lords, I own I am utterly at a loss for arguments to meet such contradictory objections as these. But then comes the try, not having taken more pains to educate grand objection of all:—Not satisfied with

of the catholic body in Ireland, you still question their sincerity. You propose to them test after test, and oath after oath, to prove their loyaly and attachment to the state; and after they have taken those tests, and given the most solemn assurances you could demand, it is then said, they are not to be believed upon their oaths, as it is a fundamental article of their religion, that to faith is to be kept with heretics; and that the pope may absolve them from allogiance to a protestant king. Now, my Tords, if this were really the case, how can we account for the reluctance of the catholics | totally and utterly deny that any such power to take the only oath that stands between them and all they wish? Is it fair to admit its members, individually or collectively, such a charge against them from their ene- pope, cardinal, council, or general assembly, mies, which they have repeatedly, and in to deprive any sovereign power of its temthe most selenar manner disavowed and poral right, possession, government, jurisabjused? But, my lords, I will refer to (diction, or pre-eminence, or subject it to In the authority than the assertions of their any restraints or modifications; and that this enumies; namely, to the authority of the most emment catholic universities in Europe, for their opinions upon those points, obtained at the special instance of a right honolirable gentleman at the head of his majesty's councils in the year 1789. I speak of the universities of the Sorbonne, Louvain, Doway, Alcala, Valladolid, and Salamanca; all of whom solemnly deny such doctrines, and complain of nothing more butterly than the calumnies of their opponents upon this head. My lords, the question propounded for the answers of those several universities, were three, which I shall read to your lordships: —Has the pope or cardinals, or any body of men, or any individuals of the church of Rome, any civil or political authority, power, jurisdiction, or pre-enumence whatsoever, within the realm of England? Can the pope or cardinals, or any body of men, or any individuals of the church of Rome, absolve or dispense with his majesty's subjects from their oath of allegiance, upon any pretext whatsoever? Is there any principle in the catholic faith by which catholics are justified in not keeping faith with heretics, or other persons differing from them in religious opinions, in any transactions of a public or private nature -- My lords, these several. queries have been answered by those several universities at considerable length, and decidedly in the negative.—" The faculty of divinity at Louvain answer, that they are struck with astonishment that such questions should, at the end of this eighteenth century, be proposed to any learned body, by inhabitants of a kingdom that glories in the talents and discernment of its natives." The universities, as to what are or are not the

the loyal conduct and peaceful demeanour | first and second queries they answer unanimously in the negative; and they do not feel it incumbent on them to enter upon the proofs of the opinions which they hold, supported by the Holy Scriptures and the most eminent writers of their religion, ancient and modern, against the doctrines of Bellarmine, Du Perron, and many others, which they deeply lament, were favourably heard by the court of Rome in the dark ages, and even found its way into the councils of kings, to the production of infinite detriment to the church and republic of christianity, and the deluging of Europe with blood; they whatever exists in the catholic church, or opinion they hold, as founded in the doctrine of truth, of the apostles, and of the church, delivered down from the fathers and prelates; and though defaced and obscured by the filth heaped upon it in the middle ages, yet not obliterated. They state that this opinion is not peculiar to themselves, but that there is no society or learned body, nor any one learned man in the whole catholic world, who is not ready to subscribe to it with both hands: and, with respect to the third point, the faculty, after professing equal astonishment that such a question should be propounded, do most positively and unequivocally answer, that there is not. and that there never has been, amongst the catholics, or in the doctrines of the church of Rome, any law, principle, or tenet which makes it dawful for catholics to break their faith with heretics, or others of a different persuasion from themselves in matters of religion, either in public or private concerns; and they quote the authority of an illustrious member of their faculty two centuries ago, that such a doctrine is most impious and pestilential, ascribed to the catholics by those nien who, rather than peace should be made with them, wished to throw every thing into confusion, and thus no harmony, no articles of peace, of equity, or honesty night be received by persons defering from them in . religious matters.—To the same questions the answers of all the other five universities I have named, are, in effect, precisely the same; all sclemnly and utterly denying and abjuring such abominable tenets. Considering, theretore, the authority of those

infinitely better than that of those who ignorantly assert the contrary, unsupported by any authentic proof, I own I cannot feel with those who are for rejecting the claims of the catholics to those eligibilities which, it is even alleged, can gain them nothing. In this advanced stage of the christian religion and of social civilization. I hold it to be highly essential to the happiness, the security, and the prosperity of this united empire, to do away all differences between his majesty's subjects, founded on distinctions in religion; and, not withstanding what has fallen from the right reverend prelate who spoke last, and to whose authority I certainly feel disposed to pay every respect, yet I cannot feel with him that there is any danger to the protestant establishment from the vote I shall this night give, in favour of the motion for going into the com-

The Lord Chancellor rose to discuss the subject, with temperance and moderation. The noble lord who introduced the subject, had said it was one highly fit to be entertamed. If it was so fit to be entertained upon constitutional principles, he sincerely prayed God that it might be entertained; but if its tendency, as had been ably argued, was to subvert those blessings under that" constitution, which not only the protestants of this country, but every other class of his Majesty's subjects in the country enjoy, both civil and religious, he hoped it would not be entertained. To say the measure never shall pass, would be a language not fit for any man to use who was fit to have a seat in that house. But at present, and in his view of the subject, it was a question inconsistent with the principles of that constitution tish constitution was not founded upon the which had been introduced into this country i principle of equal rights to all men indiscriupon protestant principles; and therefore, feeling as he did, that it is a question opposed to what he conceived to be the true principles of that constitution, and the law as it stands, he should feel that he was not doing hise duty if he did not oppose it; and in so doing, he conceived himself acting consistently with that zeal and sense of duty which he hoped would actuate the majority of their the celebrated ford Sommers on the 11th lordships, to transmit to our posterity that constitution in as much purity as we had received it from our ancestors. It had been said that the petition was couched in respectful language. He would admit it was. But the question was not, whether the language of the petition was respectful to the house?

tenets and doctrines of their own religion, It was said also, that the petition was in behalf of four nulli- us of his Majesty's catholic subjects; but it was not the numbers who signed a petition, but the object of the petition itself, and the reasonableness and justice of complying with that object, that should rule the consideration of the house. The noble lord then, at very considerable length, and with his wonted ability, went over the whole ground of principle upon which the subject had been already debated, and contended that every thing which religious toleration demanded, had been alrea - • conceded to the catholics; and that they had now no political griefances whatever to complain of, that do not equally affect most other descriptions of his Majesty's protestant subjects. The constitution demands oaths, tests, and qualifications from those who are to be entired with parhamentary representation or official power: our liberties were sustained by a system of cheeks. The elective franchise was limited; the representative must prove the qualification of him required; the dissenter must contorm to the oaths presented for the protestant eldest sons of the peers of Scotland could not be returned as representatives in parliament for that part of the kingdom; and, in short, no prohibition now remained upon Roman catholics that did not attach equally upon many other descriptions of his Majesty's protestant subjects. Nay, the Roman catholics of Ireland had more license in the oath they were required to take than protestant dissenters in England; for the for mer were only required to swear allegance to the king and his family: but in England the oath was to the king and his famile -being protestants. The basis of the Br. minately; but to all men conforming and complying with the tests which that constitution demanded for its security. The noble and learned lord argued at much length the danger that must arise to the act of settlement and the bill of rights, if a protestant king in this country were to have a catholic cabinet; and he quoted the expressions of and 12th of . William and Mary, containing the coronation oath, that ought or centered renced as the Magna Charta of the British constitution. The noble and learned lord alluded, in the course of his speech, to the observations made by lord Redesdale upon the contumacious conduct of the Irish cur but, whether if was wise, just, or expedient | tholic bishops, in not only assuming, conto comply with the prayer of that petition? I trary to law, the high titular dignities, but

that rank in the established church; and non-laborate, the clore, the enlightened said it would have belonged to the character, like unity or a first shise rate, enjoy no them-and trainess of his noole and learned mend solves to the come of opinion, to adow o the moment he co-covered those men as fall och the right of this highes they please summing the fit dual degrees of the established [i,j] relatives of relative [i,j] and [i,j] in a belief [i,j]episcopacy, or discharging their functions like electric of those temperation, or any in ecclesia and polity, in open rebellion to not speculative to the selection as table, it against the laws, to have directly conveyed a filteric any mays confect or plantial subformal compleme to his Majes y, and to have been or the little which are a helper of

withstanding what his been altered by holde on presone are to, a lords, that he pledge had been half out to the more of the estate in Acade I the call object. But had the penal of the underties a constant, and moles the union to exact, as a condition of that measurement of the real number toody that at least such an unfort many have regard . I then open one under a this vas touchly entertained, and I am there pash a stitution, will, when they are adfore for going into the committee, as a surjey and I to puricipate mail the bles may ci only to investigate the terms upon which proceed in the way, we call our now hystousky the union was regorded, in order to de pray, ender our to ender a unice, for the cover the truth. The noble per on, and a purpose of subserving it, and at exercising in whose administration that have been greatly in the place and remarkable and the other gothered (Mergus Corne due) has refuered degonsta of the Romsh charcle. It may meny signal and important carries to the things of the mean of a color to marchy, British compact, and note 1000 may somethat would be the perpetual toward of admitthen the acquisition of that measure is the registry the value costs to the last energy of a conbeen very elembly mean and, then makes that deducer, in vlab, once athlated, every edition tration, assume a were well out to desperate to an only or even a content the cath her of frenend, from the higher bond comes, and a rest among a latere is and and on a that there that clears head sequence one of the Andree and seemed early a a condition for their acquires early magazine in the property of the bolden has to that measure, for, otherwise the commence of the left confidence of the could not have been carried. The real attraction is the figure of their commenced nest will be to them, the effect, a lotter case, can who have an expect to a methods, but empound they will change train else, and where more than the contribution of the promise and deception, to be a such as because it becomes and deception. of their minds will to doll the minding of the transition of the first tens to the natural to men of any consider, under such spin 1000 con or their of the state of the policy encountainees. A revisend of often is tall-product they bose to that this is, it is the content of the church of the church of the church of the land. In the principle of the church of the land. In the principle of the church of the land. spirit of that principle, therefore, I wish prohim also and would read deserve puyour loadships to get on the present of car in shownt. But the volte we begroed load, sion, and not to persist in a principle of call cluding British subjects from their natural light once of the execute her with the down and political rights, increly on a fount of their religious epimon. It is the busch of Reme which withholds from its volumes the reade in some defines, our constitutes right of exciousing their own independention upon [Phence, stated aboration in the class, to which religious tepies, and to digate to men's j maids the points of tanh; from which it forcian times manely, the state of the proallows no men to hold a different openen. I test at chard a midreland, of which the even in a single tota. But to the energies In the and I amed lend had drawn so deploof our ancestors we owe that reastance to such despotism over men's minds and consciences which produced the reformation, YOL IV.

all the ecclesiastical functions attached to med with a the feedom of religious opicommenced lead proceedings against them flower sactors are also from the arrange-The Duke of Norfol'—My lords, not- echally fit or or a trap with condense what around the brench conducted by noble or promise at reason in a convenience. at the same time that he cotagic role of the progress the reformation had intro in areland, and the movillar ness of police times to I am treels more as lated to send attentions. all a picture. How is it reasonable to expect that protestante, having any sense of then relation would reside in parishes,

that religion in these extenses quarters of countries are subject to arbitrary govern-the country where it is never proched. I mant, and I will venture to say, that no carne thy hope that this roject vallections is not true can be shown of a free state, with vote for going into the committee.

was a fit moment to agitate the question, it, it a fit proment to concede what was aske?

The Fail of Hestmaland - My loids, having been one of his maje is a servants at the time the union was framed, having been; the debate, and the importance of the ineasure, will be my excuse, however ably the grounds of the vote I shall give this night Havary, whilst I had the honour of serving. his majesty in Ireland, twice given his majesty's sanction to unportant tayours to the Roman catholics of that langdom; hwarg becoming thanked by that body, and assured that the period of my administration would ever be remembered with gratitude by the catholics of Ireland, I trust, in giving my decided negative to the motion of the noble lord for a committee, it is unaecessary for me to relieve inviscly of any ausplesons of [bong actuated by superstition or bigotry, or a want of principles of Inserclity or teleration. To toleration in the exercise of his? religion and enjoyment of property, every sabject, except ender nonour nt cheumstances, has a right, be out thus the exereisc of political person is a question not of every state has elemental, which every state will exercise, in detailed of all the new theories, in demonstrate doctrines of the rights of inm, and the He thing example of the French republic — Before I enter into the discussion of this enestion, I will prefree two ob-envation - first, notwithstanding | the new opinions, that in this country the ecclesiastical entablishment is inseparably

above one thousand of which, and many of connected with the state; with it the counthem good brongs, the noble and learned try has grown to greatness, and whatever lord his tited there are in Ireland, where has a tendency to weaken or destroy the there is neither protestant charch nor pro- establi baneut of the church, tends to the testent eleganization, and which pairshes, as destruction of our monarchy, our liberty, he states, are any rously sought to its sur croses and our political existence, secondly, that by profestant elergymen, who is duty it is to fall thee imples that have been produced of preach the Cospel, and to propagate the re- possons of different religions being allowed formed religion? For how can it be expected to serve the state in other countries, in no that Roman catholies are to be convened to ade ace apply to this kingdom, as those occupy the attention of pathennest, and that a tree pathanent, in which persons processsome means will be contrived to rear dy so importaith distinct from that establishment glaring an evil. But, my lords, feeling no have obtained much weight and consequence. apprehension of the light t droper from Ho discussing this question, it should be granting the prayer of the potential stall considered what is asked, how what is asked to to be attained, and what is to be substi-Lord Harmely could not excee that is inted in the place of that you take away -What is taked is catholic enrincipation, a their lord-hips were not proposed to think term redetting for this question, or for this a souldy. Emancipate the catholics! Dx they require the prator's wand to be released from fervitude, to hold property, to be protected in their persons and property? in some destee alluded to in the course of Thry are as tree as any subjects in the world. Do you talk of emmediating copy holders, custom-house officers, excise ofsubject has been debated, for stating the facer. The term, as it was first intended, applies to chancipate Ireland, that is, to separate treland from the government of, and connecton with England. - But what is asked? to abolish all distinctions between pretestant and papist, to place the papist upon an equality with the protestint, whilst any distinction remains, however high or special, the grievince remain. This is a it is asked, as it has been argued. The time purpose you cannot move a step with late to repeal of the test and corporation purs. In the opinion of many, and to the extent to which the arguments lead, you must also repeal the act of supremacy and uniformity. the bill of rights, the act of settlement, the act of umon with Scotland, and alter the king's coronation oath. There perhaps will arise a question between original compact and the supremacy of parliament. Unquesalight but of exposite of a right, which I tionably thir laws are not like those of the Medes and Persians, that after not, unquestionably no parliament has greater power than the succeeding one, but to this only I argue this question, that, considering the sommity attendant upon these laws, it is most unwise to raise doubts, and agitate the minds of men upon points which, even in the opinion of many, strike at the settlement of, and right to the crown itself, without

rugent and pressing necessity, without being | said upon it for the sake of tranquility? convinced, first, that you will do no harm, | First, I look to the year 1782; then all the next, that's ourwill do essential benefit; and, [grievances of the wa on were brought forth listly, that yea have a plan to establish, for by the patriots of that period, various,that you me in to take away. Now, what sample repeal, macponder, parhament, tree are the reasons assigned for this measure? starle; not a word of religious grievances. -that it will tend to the settlement and I proceed to 1780 garages enough, tranquelity of Ireland. Serious as I consi- wrongs enough of a nobac corosis, wrongs doe in by other parts of the subject, if i that will rever be the enther these who thought it would have that effect, I would, wished to take the second on of the counenter into a consideration of it but it is true for the effective parts, nor forgotbecause I am of a diametrically opposite tends those visions which whis absence in a convinced that, at bity and firm as, he transverse that kingthis period, it would plunge that country idem to his service and the connexionation confusion, I am decidedly against it, between the service. The probes in I should be glad to ask, if it is likely to tend 'perhanicit, and the Wing Chib, stating to the tranquality of a country composed of all the griciances, not a word of religious awo de eripiio is of inhabitants, the one pos- guievances. Is it to be believed, that sessed of the property and the magistracy, the great patriots of the day should not few in number, contending and protecting have mentioned religious criciances, if any themselves against the more numerous class, such oppressed the people? I proceed farto open every situation as a scene of contest? ther to the year 1791; relivation was given to the catholics in length of the link catholics make this country a scene of contest. tes on, corruption, and riot, not only for the trish house of commons would not grant paliament, but for magistracy, and sina-the claims; no-they threw the petition of tons in all the towns, as described by the table, twenty-three only objecting -Lucin,

Lethe beque ambitus urbis Annua venali referens certamina campo.

The price at the head of his flock, killing [rejected the experience if the state of the them to every outrage, and religious bigotry have had been in oppression to the councarried to the utnost extent. The power try Wash, then, was the discorry made? of the profestant landloads would have no why as so it as it was discovered that the effect against a religious combination - government of Ingland wished to do every Next, what are the causes of the discontents | that, If it was proper for the carbohes, then in Ireland? high rents, heave tries, fithes, the gravan owas in decent, then the paths property possessed by persons speaking tries become only only and wintering a different language, of different improperty given, the determination was to ask for and habits from the prasantry, a double more so the min we give, the more we clergy, the protestant clergy in affection, 'Shall be sked, till your lordships have nothe catholic in poverty. May I ask, which plans took to strender. May it be asked of the grievances will this act touch? will what ha been the effect of the concessions it lower rents or taxes? will it after the of type? The cutholics were relieved from state of property? will it teach the hundred every law affecting the mass of the people Irish, or the persants English? will it lower! The protession of the law was opened. tithes? will it make the profestant clergy the magistracy, right of vote 3, freedom low, and raise the catholic priest? perhaps of corporations, trades, &c What hap it may, and here is the difficulty. If this operates lightly and gently, as possibly it may, it would not affect the mass of the country; if it operates to affact the mass, it may operate to an extent that may be fatal. to the British connexion. I have long entertained an opinion, that the discontents of Ireland have ansen from other causes, and not from religious disabilities. Let us examine history; I shall not go into the dis-

Now largue set the right or the wrong on that subject; i. ' tais I conford, that the great rathous or that time would not have pened in-mediately? universal i is a rection, devastation, and excelled. May I venture to ask then, it a is probable than these who returned measen for kinduess, and murder for favour, a pon points that deserts effect . ed them, are likely to become mild and grateful sidjects for Evours that others them only distantify and collater file. Apon the point of the against t beg to be disfinetly understood. I do not bear this carded code, except to ask if so much was largingent egant the meson, in it is

in diciding apanathis question by the ord. men that emergens of this reamy cre likely to tranquilline Is, land. We are fold if arress or to be the union; how mas it provided exertimly not. It the cuboics cary the caion becausely not. Was the question tried at the runon's why, it was previously reported by both marhaments Spefore the new a, and at the time of the l muon itself, it was a stringe sort of exportation test what both perhaments refreed before and at the anion, should be f not have it in correct if this proportion that mes are would have opposed the vmonif this had naide a part, considering it as looking to the separation of the countries? " Put it will; bear the poor to of Ireland" Are you to harn that there are two descriptions of persons in that amodom? will it please the protestants of Jalant, those who carried that great new que, those who preserved that country to the ? It seems' as if noble lords had terrotten such people existed; I have not head mention of them from any one of them, a people by whose totalty and course, in a situation unparalleled, that langdom was secured,

right with a view to the catholics of counterince; secondly, the one which sur-Ireland, let it be done; if it is night prized me, and which the noble lord seemwith a view to the catholics of England, if [4] to countenance, that, as its requests did it is night upon general police. Let it be just affect their order, they old not choose does, but he no man's mind be influenced to sign it; that it did not go far enough, and therefore did not deserve their applicaton. To neither of these observations shall I give any weight, but I will give one more fitting the conduct and character of that reverend body, the superior clergy of whom, trans every thing I experienced, I shall always speak with respect. The reason that I concerve why the private did not agn the potition is, that they disapproved of the scasen; that they who knew the state and temper of their own people, the state and ten per of the profestants, knew that the done as soon as the experienced. But is from was unfitting for the discussion, that it not well to the clear the recourse could be ther party had forguen the sufferings and injuries of the late rebellion, and that to had been charged to it? Is it not well revise the consideration of this question known that the most excalors friends of would only be to revive the horrors of the would only be to revive the horrors of the rebellion. They therefore, in which I concur with them, wished to postpone the consideration of their situation to a more fayourable moment; and when, as was ably ob erved, so very few persons have sugged this peution from several parts of Ireland, in may be argued that a large porison of the cathelies common in this opinion with the presthood.—If wing looked at this question as it related to Iroland, let us extend our view to its general effect. What is the state of England, of Scotland? perfectly quiet, no religious jealousy, every man worshipping the Deity according to the form he apwhose conduct was never equilied by any proves. Will the noble lord ensure the condescription of men in any country. Why, tinnance of such a state if this motion then, what must be done? I say, "let is complied with? And here an obserthe emon above." Let that great measure vation should be made; the Irish pathawork, let at week, as it has begun, the prints, taunted as they have been as bigots operations of that country, and let not the and oppressors, in 1793 gave considerable operations of that or, it mecanic be impeded privileges to the catholics, have the English done so to their catholics, whose loy fit made, the range the tool and sport of altry and good conduct has been unimperch-British to 1915 - to considerate, the petis jed, and against whom suspicion never tion several abservations imput he made, broached a whisper in their distavour? The but I shall confine note in note is to two; largument of the dangers attending the meathe first is, an instruction that the catholics sures in Ireland not applying in England, have not the benefit of equal bistice. Now, why did not the noble lords propose relaxafor one, I begin we to observe on the un-stions to the same extent? because the state tarmes of this insimuation, and to defy any and temper of the country would not bear into the relative that equal justice in that country is not do a to every man of whatever land? Why even the laws of 1701 were not tely on or de cription be may be. Secondly, extended to that country. What is to be! that the petition is egged by no priest, argued from this? that those who had the From this the consequences may be made; management of Scotland knew the state and first, that the paiest's disapprove of the te- temper of that country would not bear the nets and decurations contained in the peti- discussion, that it would be injurious to tion, and do not choose to give it their the catholics, injurious to the profestants.

May I then ask, what has happened to induce you to throw this measure wild upon the country? Does any man wish to renew the horrors of the year 1,80? Is any man sure that the cry may not be raised that the church is in danger, and may there not he some ground for this alarm?—It was very ably shown, and I shall not again go go over the ground, that this question might throw the one hundred lish members and the whole power of Ireland into the hand of the catholics. Calculate what the dissenters of this country are; add to these those of no religion, those willing to sacrifice the establishment to f.ees hemselves from titles and taxes, consider the tempting state of the possessions of the charefuls a source of taxation, cortemplate the effects of an union of these bodgs, acting systematically, forming subscriptions; recollect that parties may be in this country, who would go all lengths to attain and maintain power, and nothedy can calculy say very serious attacks might not be reade on the establishment of the church. We are told this is not a time to exclude men from the service of the state for religious opinions. In the first place, in Ireland the catholics are not generally excluded; and secondly, it is not on account of religious opinions, but because they will not acknowledge the supremacy of the king, and come, in a general way of considering the subject, with a the provisions of the 24th of Henry the Eighth. We are blease told, that the leas of the pope and pretender we some by; of the latter certainly, except by arguments there seem not be contemplated without apprehension? in which this question is brought forward. Whoever proposes a change of so important a nature as this is, who ver proposes to after laws, ought to esplain the whole plan and the whole project -It was said that, in the consideration of restrictive laws. all that excluded persons from equal power,

wished for their continuance. Is it so? I know not how the people of England will like to hen that they are to shew cause for the protection of the corporations and their franchises eggins universal suffrage of freeholders against copyholders; but for one, I am ready to take the onus, " What have you gained by the war?" was frequently asked; what was the noble lord's answer? " that I have survived the shock under which other nations have sunk," quod spiro tuum est. Illistened with considerable attention to hen whit was to be proposed. The first noble ford was all general, and scemed to profess only a compliance with the petition in aid of this favoured sect, forgetting all others upon equal claums, though his argument went, to the full extent to them; but no guard, no declaration of what was to be put in the place. I attended with great an acty to the next, in the blue ribbon, fully convinced, by his mature judgment and discretion, that he had some distinct plan to proglice, which, whilst it gave liberty in one instance, would set up some substitute and guard on the other. Not a word.—As to the third noble-lord, from the extent of his arguments, I heard at least nothing in favour of any religious establish-We ought to see the whole plan and the whole project, that we may be sure, when we come into this committee, any two of the proposers may agree upon what they would wish to have done. I shall be glad to sec this new work of Vauban, and to know if I cannot proceed against it by sap or storm with more prospect of success than against some attempts to set up has tale. But the this ancient easile, which has been fortified pope has no power. It is not the power at every point where danger has threatened. of the pope, but the power of these over | - We have I centrold that this proposal is to whem the pope has influence, that is to be perionalised the church establishment, to proconsidered; and it that undo the are person. I duce the tranquillity of Ireland, and secure having dispraced his reverend hand by the settlement of the union; but I must look anomiting an acarper, is a prisoner in his not at the professions of the proposer, but at cap. d, and under his authority has a the tendency of the project; and as I am commendation with Ireland, and spi- convinced that its discussion at this improper artial mosel with civil authority appointing and unfitting period will, instead of strengththe hierarchy of the country, who can ening, shake the establishment of the church; deny this is a colorism in politics, and can instead of tranquillizing, will convulse the kingdom of Ireland, and instead of cement--Part what I most disapprove is the manner ling the union, will risk the separation; T must beg the noble lords not at such a moment to hazard the horrors and the miseries of religious contests

The Bishop of St. Asaph.—My lords, in delivering my sentiments upon this subject, I hope I hall be able to maintain that temper of cool discussion; which a question afthe ones be mon those to show cause who lecting contributions and so respectable a de-

scription of his majesty's subjects, a question | hold of the distinction between toleration so important and momentous in its bearings and admission to political power and authoand consequences, demands.—My lords, if I rity in the state. The object of toleration is should feel it to be my duty to resist the conscientious scruples. I conceive that the prayer of this petition, my vote will not be founded upon any uncharitable sentiments. entertained by me, of that branch of the christian family, which holds communion with the church of Rome. I shall easily find credit with your loadships for this assertion; I shall easily find credit for it with the be, an indulgence of a very different kind country; I shall easily find credit for it with And although I wish the Roman catholics the Roman catholics themselves. every measure that has been brought forward, during the time that I have had a seat lany religious opinions, which may be pecuin this house, for the relief of the Roman liar to them, to no restraint in the use of catholics from the old penal laws, it is well, their own forms of worship among themknown I have been a strenuous supporter; some measures of a contrary tendency, I ! have strenuously and successfully resisted.— My lords, I do not hold, that there is any thing in the Roman cathelic religion at variance with the principles of loyalty. I impute not actual disloyalty, far from it, to the Roman catholics of this kingdom at the piusent day. I do not believe that any Roman catholic of diffis country at the present day, thinks himself at liberty not to keep faith with heretics, nor bound by his oaths to a protestant government, or that the pope can release him from the obligation of his oath of allegiance to his sovereign. The questions upon these points, which were some years since proposed to foreign universities, and to the faculties of divinity abroad, and the answers that were returned, which a noble earl this evening read in his place, were no news to me. I had a perfect knowledge of the questions proposed, and the answers returned; in which these abominable principles were most explicitly and unanimously reprobated by the learned bodies to which the questions were propounded. 'And I am persuaded, that the Roman catholics of this country are sincere in their disavowal and abjuration of those pernicious maxims. hold, that the Roman catholics of this country are dutiful and loyal subjects of his majesty, and I think them as well entitled to every thing that can be properly called toleration, and to every indulgence which can be extended to them with safety to the principles of our constitution, as many of those who do us the honour to call themselves our protestant brethren; the Roman catholics indeed differing less from us, in essential points of doctrine and in church discipline, than many of them - But my mind is so un-

Roman catholics already enjoy a perfect toleralion The statutes, which exclude them from offices of high trust and authority in the state, are not penal. Such exclusions are not penalties, and the relaxation of those statutes would not be toleration It would For of should enjoy toleration in its fall extent, that. they should be suspect to no penaltics for selves; yet I could not, without anxiety and apprehension, see a Roman catholic upon that woolsack, where my noble and learned friend now sits, or on the beach of justice so worthily occupied by a noble an Harned lord at my right hand. My lords, this peti-tion goes this length. It prays, that a Roman catholic may be invested with the capacity of being any thing in the state, but king. Now, if there would be no danger to the constitution, to admit a Roman cathelic to be any thing but king, if this would be a safe thing to do, I confess it is beyond the powers of my mind to imagine upon what principle the act of settlement can be defended.—My lords, my mind is not yet brought to that modern liberality of sentiment, which holds it to be a matter of undifference to the state, of what religion the persons may be, who fill its highest offices. I hold, that there is danger to the state, when persons are admitted to high offices who are not of the religion of the state, be it what it may. And I am ready to argue this very fairly; 1 think in my conscience, that I myself, being a protestant, should have been a very unfit person to have held any high office under the old French government. My lords, the noble secretary of state, in the former night's debate, argued this point of the inexpediency of admitting persons differing in religious persuasion from the state; he argued it from the practice of antiquity; and he argued justly. It certainly was the policy of all the states of antiquity, to require that persons in office in the state, should be of the established religion of the country. I shall argue from the sad experience, which modern times afford, of the mischief of giving way to the contrary principle. Having said that I will argue from modern times, I may seem fashionably constructed that it cannot quit to be going somewhat back, it I mention the

French Hugonots. But they are an instance | well remember, (and I have reason to rein point. I will say, that the Hugonots were very bad subjects of Roman catholic France. They became had subjects in consequence of the extravagaat indulgences, which, for a long series of years, they were permitted to enjoy. They became at last so bad, that the French government was provoked to revoke those indulgences; and the crack persecution took place, which drove them from their country. The persecution was cruel, but it was the natural effect of ampolitic fidifference; and such indulgence may always be expected to terminate in such criterity But I rely chiefly on the events of much Leter tubes,—or our own times. I ask, what was the real beginning and radical cause of that dreadful convulsion, which, at this moment, shakes all Europe? What was the real beginning and first cause of the subversion of the ancient French government, and of the overthrow of the venerable Galliean church? Was it not the placing of Neckar, that protestant republican, at the head of the counsels of monarchical Roman catholic France?—Now, my lords, if there be danger in admitting a protessant to any high part in a Roman catholic government, the danger entainly must be rather greater of admitting a Roman catholic to any high part in a protestant government. And for this reason; that the Roman catholic pledges his obedience, within a certain limit, to a foreign power, which is not the case of the protesting. I say, within a certain limit. For I am aware of the distinction, between the spirited supremacy of the pope, which is all which cur Roman catholics acknowledge, and his authority in civil matters, which they renounce; and I believe them to be perfectly sincere in that renunciation. But, there is such a connexion between authority in specifical matters and in civil, that I approbend some degree of civil authority may indrectly arise out of the spiritual supre $m_{F_{ij}}$, insomuch that the conscientions Roman catholic may sometimes find himself tampered between his acknowledgment and I s renunciation. It is true, however, that t'e Roman catholies of this part of the united kingdom, explicitly renounce even that indirect authority of the pope in civil matters. For the English Roman catholic swears, that " he does not believe, that the , p pe of Rome, or any other foreign prince, prelate, state, or potentiate, hith, or ought to | have, any temporal or civil junisdiction, power, superiority or pre-eminence, directly or indirectly, within this realm?

member it, for I had a great share in that business,) that when the oath to be imposed upon the Romm eath lies was under consideration in this how i, there was some hesitation about the word " indirectly." Some of the thought, that it would be pressing too hard upon do concience of the Roman catholic to sache him abjure that, which mucht seem to be on appendage only of what he was permitted to acknowledge. The word however yes area some debate inserted. It states in the oath, and the English Roman cathone Chares even that induced authority of the pipe in temporal and evil matters. Still I fear, the line of demarcation between spiritual and temperal, it may not always be easy to define. And I must observe, that the Irish oath is not drawn with the same precision. The word "indirectly" is omitted. And there is another important obussion. The Irish Roman catholic does not, so explicitly as the English, bind himself to maintain the protestant succession.—My lords, having mentioned these oaths, I must take occasion, in justice to the Roman catholic elergy of England, to set right a matter, which I think was inaccurately stated by a noble and lenned lord, in the former night's debate. That noble and learned lord seemed to think, that the Roman catholic clergy of this country scrupled to make those abjurations, which their laity have made. And he told your lordships that, when the bill for the relief of the Roman cathones was brought into parliament, the apostolical views put forth an encyclical letter forbidding the people of their communion to take the oath prepared for them. Now, it is very true, that the apostolical vicars forbad the taking of that oath, which stood in the bill originally brought that the house of commons, which actually passed that house. But their objection to the oath was not, that they were unwilling that their people should swear to the maintenance of the protestant succession, or to the renunciation of the pope's indirect as well as direct authority in temporals; but the oath, as it was framed in the lower house, contained some theological dogmata, which they deemed, and in my judgment rightly deemed, impious and heretical. The dog-. mata, to which I allude, amounted to an abjuration of the legitimate authority of the priesthood, in the administration of what we churchmen call the power of the keys. Abjurations, which I, a protestant bishop, I very would not make, and I should impute great

condescend to make them. It was on account of these abgurations, that the posto-Real vicus reprobated the oath as it scool in [the first bilt; and when the oath was amended in that part, as it was in this house, the vicars apostolic made no further objection On the contrary, when the bill had passed, they exhorted their people, clergy as well as laity, to take the oatt, is it now stands, and they have I believe themselves taken it -My lords, at the beginning of this debate, although I never thought of consenting to the prayer of the petition in the eatent to which it goes; yet, I confess, the inclination of my mind was not to oppose the motion of going into a committee. I thought it might best become the gravity of your lordships' proceedings, to consider the subje**ct** in detail, to examine the petition, article by article. For, I hold not with those, who think that because the whole, or any thing like the whole, cannot be granted, nothing be conceded. And it was not till the debate had made a con iderable progress, that my mind was changed. But I must declare, that it is now completely changed, by the representation that has been made to us by very high authority, of the armal state of the Roman catholic hierarchy in Ireland. My lords, I have long understood, that the Roman catholic clergy in Ireland vere mon a different footing from their brethren here. Here the Roman callola clergy appear in the unassumu g character of thele missionaries. There are no direcom bishops, no parish priests. England is divided into four districts, wheel are superintended in spinmals by four-bishops in partibles, a bishop of Centurize, a bishop of Acantino, &c. who take the titles of vicars apostolic, and engicise their spiritual authority with great modesty and decorum, and in a manner perfeetly inoffensive to the established church and to the state. I know that, in Ireland, each province has its titular archbishop, each diocese its titular bishop, and each panish its titular priest. But I had no coaception, till a noble and learned lord informed us of it, that these titular prelates and priests claim to be the rightful possessors of the respective sees and parishes, and treat the prelates and priests of the established church as usurper's and intruders. I had no conception, that the titular archbishop of Armagh would publicly take to himself the stile of Armachens, and designate the lord primate by the simple appellation of Dr. Stuart. The withholding from the lord primate the title which be-

blame to any pracst of mine, who should long; to him, in itself is no great matter. But the claim to jurisdiction, in exclusion of the established prelacy and pricishood, is another thing. A realedule on the opposite bench bis said, in excalpation of them, that these Roman catholic prelates are really "bishops" Most undoubtedly, they are bishops as truly as any here. They are of the episcopal order; and men, I dare say, in their individual character, highly worthy of that pre-chanchee in the church. But, I am side the noble duke knows enough of our ecclesiastical matters, to be approsed at a the distinction between "the power of order" and the " power of jurisdiction," Tho " power of order" these Roman catholic piclates possess. But the " power of jurisdiction" does not of necessity attach upon the "power of order". A man may be a bishop, and yet it follows not of necessity that he is bishop of a diocese. The two powers, that of order and that of jurisdiction, are quite distinct, and of distinct origin, The power of order is properly a capacity of energing the power of juridiction conferred by a competent authority And this power of order is conveyed through the hierarchy itself, and no other authority but that of the hierarchy can give it. The only competent authority to give the power of epi-copat jurediction, in this kingdom, is the crown. It is the, that, in this part of the united lancdorn, that power may seem in come deeped to flow from the hierarchy; becatso we have the form of an election of a person to be a bishop of a vacant see, by the clings of the cathedril. But this is a mere term-the chapter cannot proceed to elect, walout the king's bronce. The king's licerro to elect, is always accompanied with his a miesty's letter an save, recommending a fit person to their choice, and it always so falls out, that the chapter agree with the king in their opinion of the fitness of the person. In substance, therefore, the collation of the diocesan jurisdiction is from the crown. In Ireland, the collation of the power of juradiction is, both in form and in substance, from the crown solely; for the prelates of that part of the kingdom are appointed to their respective sees without any congé d'élire, or any form of an election by letters patent under the great seal. In neither part therefore of this kingdom can there be any legitimate power of jurisdiction, but what is conferred by the crown; and the claim of such a power, independent of the crown, is a most outrageous violation of the very first principles of our ancient constitu-

claim of the Roman catholic prelates in Ireland appears to be, I am still more alarmed by the manner, in which, as we have been informed by the noble and learned lord, they When exercise their spiritual authority. the noble and learned lord entered upon this topic, with a remark, that we here in England have no idea what excommunication is in Ireland—that, it is really a dreadful thing -and seemed to make this the ground of some charge he had to bring against the Roman catholic clergy of Ireland; my mind, I confess, was all puzzle and amazement. I could not imagine, what this might be; and surmises arose the very contrary of that which I now understand to be the case. Excommunication in Ireland a dreadful thing! why, I said to myself, to a christian, to one who really believes, how should excommunication, in the true meaning of the word, in Ireland, or any where else, not be a dreadful thing? Excommunication, in the true meaning of the word, is the separation of a christian, leading a disorderly life, disgracing his profession, from the christian congregation; a banishment of him from the church. And this separation every danger and peril: for as the promises of the Gospel are all made to the church in its corporate capacity, and extend to the individual only as a member of that elect society, (none but fanatics hold the contrary,) to be severed from that society, is to be excluded from all share in the blessings and promises of christianity. This is excommunication, and this is certainly a dreadful thing. Excommunication, as it is practised here in England, I know very well in itself is no dreadful thing. It carries no terror with it, but in its secular consequences. But this is, because what we call excommunication is not really what the word means. And I have always considered the manner, in which it is used among us, as little better than a profanation of a most sacred rite of discipline. It is used with us, merely as an engine to support the authority of the ecclesiastical courts. If a man disobeys a citation, and persists in his neglect of it, excommunication is denounced; though the object of the citation should lie in some of these secular matters, which, by our laws, are submitted to the cognizance of these courts. The sentence is pronounced by a layman, without any thing striking in the inhiner of it; and, if the offender still persists, at the expiration of VOL. IV.

tion.—But, my lords, unwarrantable as this he is committed to prison, by virtue of the writ de excommunicato capiendo, a writ issuing from a secular court, and there he must remain, till in the language of Doctors Commons, he has made "his peace with the church," i. e. till he has made his submission to the court. The person on whom the sentence falls, all the while finds not the burthen of any thing properly to be called a sin upon his conscience. He is not aware, that he has offended the church—for his iniagination cannot identify the ecclesiastical court, in which a layman sits as judge, taking cognizance perhaps of matters of a secular nature, with the church—and he perceives not, that religion has any thing to do in the business. Such excommunication has certainly nothing dreadful in itself, but in the imprisonment only, which follows. Such was not the primitive excommunication. The objects of that dreadful sentence were none but notorious sinners; fornicators, usurers, idolaters, railers, drunkards, extortioners. It was pronounced, with awful solemnity, in the full assembly of the church, by the bishop himself, or some person specially delegated by him. It produced the greatest consternation in the conscience of christian must consider as a state of great the sinner, and generally brought him to a sense of his guilt, and produced a reformation, which nothing short of this severity could have effected. When the noble and learned ord said, that excommunication in Ireland was a dreadful thing, the surmise, that naturally rose in my mind, was, that the excommunications of the Irish prelates were something more resembling the primitive excommunications, than that is which our courts call excommunication; and I wondered how this was to be turned to the reproach of the Roman catholic bishops. But when the noble and learned ford went on. he soon made me understand, that their excommunication is no less a profanation, though in a different way, but no less, if not more a profanation of the rite, than our practice. It is indeed a dreadful thing: but not dreadful simply by the alarm of the excommunicated person's conscience, but by the worldly distress it brings upon him. It is not simply a separation from the body of the faithful, but it is, to all intents and purposes, un interdiction ab aqua et igne. No Roman. catholic dares to administer a crust of dry bread or a cup of cold water to the person under this interdiction. And the offence, which draws down this horrible sentence, is any ffiendly intercourse which a Roman care, certain days, comes indeed a dreadful thing: | tholic may be found to hold with protestants.

power which Christ has placed in the hands of the governors of his church, not to destroy the worldly comforts of men, but for the salvation of their souls. No precedent is to be found for such tyranny in the conduct of the apostles. The first instance of an excommunication upon record took place in a very carly period, in the church of Corinth. A member of that church was leading a most flagitious life; and the process of the excommunication was this. The apostle St. Paul, not being able to attend in person, issues his peremptory mandate to the church of Corinth to assemble; and in full congregation, " in the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, and with the power of our Lord Jesus Christ, to deliver the offender unto Satan," that is, to expel him from the church, by which he would be deprived of those assistances which the church affords to resist Satur, " for the destruction of the flesh"not that the man was to be started—driven from civil society, and reduced to perish with cold, and hunger and thirst; but for the mortification of the carnal appetites; for the flesh here evidently signifies the appetites of the flesh. And this flesh was to be thus destroyed, to this intent and purpose, "that the spirit might be saved in the day of the Lord Jesus." And the spirit in that day will be saved. For the man was brought to repentance; and, upon his repentance, the apostle writes to the church again, to receive the penitent again into their communion, and to "confirm their love to him." And it appears, that offenders under this dreadful sentence were still treated with great charity and commiseration. For thus the same apostle writes to the church of Thessalonics. "We command you, brethren, in the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, that yo withdraw yourselves from every brother that walketh disorderly. And if any man obey not our word by this epistle, note that man, and have no company with him, that he may be ashamed. Yet count him not as an enemy, but admonish him as a brother." Very diffferent this, from the despotism which we are told-is exercised by the 'timlar' bishops' in . Ireland, over persons of their own commun. nion. - My lord in this state of the Roman catholic hierarchy in Ireland, it would be in vain to go into a committee to take this petition into consideration. For certainly nothing of political power and influence can be conceded at the Roman catholics in Ireland.

My lords, this is an abominable abuse of the form, and checked in the monstrous abuse of their spiritual authority. I should hope that neither of these things is 'impracticable; that both may be effected, by the influence of persons of rank of that persuasion with their pastors, concurring with government in mild incasures for the attainment of these ends. But if these ends cannot be attained by the concurrence of the Roman catholics themselves with government. I confess, we stem to be reduced to this dilemma, either this hierarchy must be crushed by the strong arm of power (God forbid the dreadforther cessity should drise), or the Roman catholic church must be the established church of Ireland. My lords, if the thing were res integra, if we had now to form a constitution for Ireland ab initio, I have no heritation in saying, that it might be matter of grave deliberation, which of the two measures should be adopted. But this is not the case. The Irish constitution is settled; settled long since upon the basis of protestantish? and that constitution, so settled, has been recently confirmed by the pacta conventa of the union. When I speak however of crushing the Roman catholic bierarchy in Ireland, I mean not that the Roman catholies of that country should be deprived of the superintendence of bishops; but their bishops should not be allowed to assume diocesan jurisdiction, in exclusion of our own prelacy, or even co-ordinate with it, nor should they be suffered to domineer, in the manner we are fold they do.—My lords, if these ditficulties stood not in the way, I should be ready to go into a committee; still I should oppose the prayer of the petition, in the extent to which it goes, for this among other reasons, that L think a compliance with it would be the worst thing that could betal the Roman catholics, as well as ourselves. The immediate effect of it I think would be, to revive that actostable rancour between protestants and Aleman catholics, which for so many years has been the disgrace of the western church, but is dying away if we only let alone what is well.

Lord Ellenborough said, the anxiety and alarm which, during the long suspend-'ed agilation of this important question, had been excited in his mind as to its probable effect on the peace and tranquillity of the country, thad; from various indications. of the public sentiment respecting it, in a great measure subsided, before the immediate discussion of the question in that house, beyon that they already enjoy, unless their and, from the circumstances immediately athierarchy can be reduced to a less offensive | tending the discussion itself, which (except

during a short and painful interval in the course of that evening) had been uniformly temperate and decorous, and such as became the wisdom and gravity of parliament, his alarm and anxiety had now wholly ceased. That he was convinced that the debates on this momentuous subject would, when known, be as satisfactory in the result to those whose rights and interests were the object of the debates, as they were honourable to those by whom they were conducted. If the question were to be brought forward at all, which he once regretted, but now rejoiced had been the case, he was happy that the claims of the Roman catholics had been put under the protection of the eminent person by whom they had been so ably and strenuon-ly contended for in that house. That the Roman catholics must be convinced that they had a sincere and zealous, as the house was witness that they had a most powerful the noble lord. With a view to the quieting of the question at present, and the preventing its recurrence at any future period. it was well that the defence of the Roman catholic claims had been entrusted upon this occasion to no feebler arm; what had failed now was not likely to be advanced with equal energy and effect, and with better hopes of success, at any future period. He then said that, in the vote he was about to give upon the question then under consideration, and in the reasons he was about to offer to their lordships' for that vote, he trusted that no person would be so uncandid as to suppose that he was either an enemy to the full and free toleration of the religious opinions and worship of his Roman catholic brethren in Ireland in the most extended sense of that word, or averse to those indulagences, in respect of civil rights, which had in a large and liberal measure been afforded them by parliament during the last twenty. or twenty-five years of the present reign; although perhaps he might at the time have. paused a little upon the prudence and expen that had been granted, viz. the elective franchise, and a capacity of being included in the commission of the peace; that he did not repine however at any thing which had been hitherto done in their favour, much less wished that any part should now be rocalled or withdrawn I believe indeed, said his lordship, that no such wish exists in the minds of any of your lordships. I am sure it does not in the minds of my noble friends

was directed in the heat and cagerness of debate on a former night. What our Roman catholic brethren have acquired by the liberal grant of a bestowing and confiding parliament, let them, under the solemu faith of parliament pledged to them for its continuance, still enjoy. I will not anticipate a possibility that a breach of the implied condition which is annexed to ever legislative provision for the benefit of individuals, should draw the expediency of its allowed continuance into question at any, the remotest period of our future history. The question now before us on this petition. is not a question of toleration in the enjoyment and exercise of civil and religious: rights; but of the grant of political power. All that toleration can require in respect to cavil and religious immunity, has been long. ago satisfied in its most enlarged extent. At the commencement of the gracious and beand consummate, advocate in the person of neficent reign of his present Majesty, the Roman catholics of both parts of the united kingdom, especially of Ireland, were incumbered and weighed down by the grievons pressure of many rigorous restraints, penalties, and disabilities. It became the gene-. rosity, it became the wisdom of parliament, (for on such subjects generosity and wisdom are the same,) to emancipate them from these burthens; and by several successive. statutes in the space of about fifteen years they have been accordingly so emancipated. They are in respect of property capable of inheriting and taking by devise for their own benefit, and of alienating and disposing of property in all such ways as it is competent to any other of his Majesty's subjects to take and dispose of the same. The education of their children, and the choice of their marriages, are equally unrestrained to them. The enjoyment of their religious worship is equally free and public. The avenues to emolument and eminence in the practice and profession of the law are equally open to them with their protestant fellow subdiency of granting some of the particulars pear juries; and upon all inquests civil and criminal, is the same to them as to others. The right of voting in counties for members of parliament has been conferred on them; a capacity to become justices of the peace is. capable of being communicated to them by his Majesty's commission of the peace in the same manner as it is to other subjects : that is, of course, under the check and control of a sound discretion to be exercised on the ... part of the person holding the great seal, and against whom an insinuation of this kind to the objects to which it should be granted.

"All military and naval commissions, except | lowed to exercise an authority breaking in those of principal command, and all offices, except a very few of the great offices of state, and the higher judicial offices, are attainable By them. If, in the beginning of the year . \$1778, any person had ventured to predict to them, that such would in the course of a very few years be the condition of a people then labouring under the restraints, penalties, and disabilities, I have alluded to, he would have been regarded as a rash and hardy utterer of a vain prophecy, which had not the remotest chance of ever being accomplished. However, in the compass of fitteen years, by the gradual removal of civil and religious, and of some political restraints, they have attained the accomplishment of wall which, in their relative situation to the establishments of the country, they can consistently ask, or we can, with due regard to our situation as trustees for them and others, Their emancipation consistently bestow. from civil and religious restraint as affecting themselves, and the rights to be enjoyed by them individually, is entire and complete; if it be not so, let it be shewn wherein it is in any instance defective, that the defect may be, if practicable, instantly supplied and remedied. Of the condition of the catholies as his Majesty found it at the commencement of his reign, loaded with the penal restraints and disabilities which the sufferings and the fears of former times had cast upon them, and as he will hand it over to succeeding times, it may be truly said "Lateritiam invenit, marmoream reliquit." Catholicemancipation, as it is improperly called, if that term is meant to denote and designate any slavish subjection as still subsisting on their part either in respect of person, property, or the profession of religious faith, or the exercise of religious worship has been fully attained. The only remaining emancipation which they are capable of receiving must be acquired by an act of their own, by redeeming themselves from the foreign bondage and thraldom under which they and their ancestors have long unworthily grosped, and from which the consistently with the duty of self-preservation which it owes to itself. Every state claiming and exercising independent powers of sovereignty, las incidentally belonging to it, as such, the power of binding its subjects by laws of its own, not only paramount to, but exclusive of any authority or control to be exercised by any other state whatsoeyer. In so, far as any other state or person is al-

upon this exclusive and independent power of legislation and enforcement of authority in one state, to that extent such state so intrenched upon is not sovereign and independent, but admits itself to be subordinate to, and dependent upon the other. The declaration contained in the oath of supremacy, which expresses a denial and renunciation of the existence of any power and authority ir respect of ecclesiastical and spiritual matters in any foreign state, potentate, or person whatsoever, is but the affirmance of a preposition which is logically and politically true as an essential principle of independent sovereignty, applicable not to this government only, but to every other government under the sun which claims to possess and exercise the powers of independent sovereignty. It is not only true as a maxim of government, but essentially necessary to be insisted and acted upon, also, in all cases in obedience may become questionable, in ordef to give the state that assurance and test which it has a right to require and receive from its subjects, of their entire submission and fidelity in all matters to which the power and authority of the state can extend. But, it is said, that what is prayed by this petition is not a matter which impugns the authority of the state in matters to which its authority extends. That the reserve made by our Roman catholic b ethren is only in favour of matters which concern God and their own consciences; matters of mere abstract faith, and mental persuasion. That however is not so; the pope, in virtue of his general spiritual authority, claims anthority in matters, of morals (i.e. of moral conduct, and which extends to all the acts of man) as well as in matters of mere faith: he claims and habitually exercises on some subjects a power of dispensing with oaths, and in that respect of nullifying all human sanctions whatsoever, as far as they affect the conscience through the medium of oaths; he claims and exercises by himself, and delegates to others, an effectual, or supposed effectual, state, as it has peither imposed nor continued power of absolution. What fatal effects it, has no adequate means of relieving them that power, as exercised by the Roman catholic priesthood and applied to a credulous nutitude, it capable of producing upon the civil and political condition of that community in which it is allowed to prevail, let the recent experience of Ireland during the late rebellion attest, where wretches, recking with the blood of their murdered countrymen, have been purified from the guilt of past atrocities, and prepared for the com-

popish absolution; such a power as this over the conscience, engrosses and directs more than half the faculties and energy of the entire man, &c.—But, besides the spiritual power thus capable of being, and thus being in fact abused, the ecclesiastical powerof the church of Rome over its obedient sons is enormous. It establishes and sustains in the instance of Ireland, an hierarchy dependant on the see of Rome as to the original nomination and subsequent control of its bishops and pastors, through the medium of which it enforces an obedience not in matters of faith only, but in temporal acts and concerns immediately connected with the duties and habits of ordinary life; not only in the payment of money for the maintenance of the local ecclesiastical establishment, or for such other purposes connected with their political economy as may be thought fit by the same authority to be enjoined, but in the performance also of rites and ceremonies, particularly that of marriage, from which all civil rites originate, and which they enjoin to be performed by their own ministers exclusively, thereby ousting the law of the land, and endangering or destroying the legitimacy of its subjects, and all rights of descent, inheritance, and representation founded thereon. The power of excommunication is, in the hands of their clergy, a most powerful and dangerous engine, not of spiritual and ecclesiastical only, but of temporal power. It acts at once upon all the comforts of domestic and social life in this world, and upon all the hopes and expectations of happiness in that which is to With what harshness and rigour, and with what daring defiance of the established law of the land, this most operative power of interdiction has been recently applied, not to a few individuals only, but to large multitudes of people, a noble and learned lord detailed to us on a former evening. Why such an enormous conspiracy in the several parties concerned, against the established laws and government of their will grant, if it shall be so required, that country, has, if fully known, been suffered they were superior to all infirmities of this to pass unpunished, I am at a loss to conceive. I can only account for it on a supposition that some insurmountable difficulties may have occurred in the obtaining of witnesses who would venture to come forward and state such facts upon oath in the face of their spiritual directors, or that a distrust of the disposition in local juries to con-

mission of new, by the all-atoning virtues of otherwise be proper for the correction of such crimes. Certainly these or some other adequate reasons must have operated to produce a temporary impunity, in cases where the safety of the state and the protection of its subjects from the enormous excesses of illegal authority seem to-have so much required the application of immediate and exemplary punishment. I am persuaded it could not proceed from a want either of zeal or courage in those whose immediate duty it is to call forth and apply the energies of the law on such important occasions; for I am well assured and know that the public spirit and manliness which heretofore distinguished the profession of the law in that country, has by no means expired in the person of lord Clare. These are a few and but a few of the practical civil inconveniences which might be instanced as derived to the state and its subjects from the authority of the sec of Rome, spiritual and ecclesiastical, as it is exercised over the sons of its church; producing as it does a distracted allegiance in the same person, acknowledging and living under the temporal power of one sovereign, and bound in faith and morals by the authority of another, claiming to be his spirtual guide and governor, his ecclesiastical sovereign, and in effect, in all matters of supreme conscientious concernment, God's vicegerent and representative on earth. It is denied that the effect of this authority was at all mischievously felt during the late troubles in Ireland; and, on the contrary, it is asserted that the rebellion in 1798 was the mere effect of revolutionary principles, fostered, matured, and brought into action by republican leaders, who were not mumbers of the church of Rome.-I admit that the leaders of that rebellion, the Emmetts and O'Connors, were men of elevated views and conceptions, of minds too highly raised above the groveling regards' and credulity of the vulgar, to be subject to the weakness of this, or indeed of any other description of religious faith whatever.—I kind, that they were graduates of the highest class in the schools of republican philosophy, by which I mean " pure, genuine, un-adulterated atheism;" but the ranks of that" army which their treasons brought into the field were not so filled up. The Roman catholic population furnished, as it must, the means, and the priests in many instances, vict under such circumstances has prevented in their own persons, both the inducement" the institution of such prosecutions as would and the example of rebellion, by standing

of battle, and imposing for some time upon their superstitious and enthusiastic followers the most extravagant fables of their own miraculous exemption from the perils of fire and sword. I admit that their atheist leaders, wished at first to give the mischief a republican direction; but the religious frenzy of the multitude and of their immediate directors soon give it another, as some of the sanguinary and ferocious tragedies which were acted at that period too truly and too fatally testified. Any person who will take the trouble of referring to the history of that calamitous period, and will afterwards recur to the history of the rebellions of Tyrone and O'Neil, will find the transactions of these several periods but too genuine counterparts of each other, and too disgusting a resemblance prevailing between them both, as well in cause as effect. Both followed a period of extreme liberality to the catholics, took place in a season of unsuspecting calm and security, and involved the country in more than the miseries and massacre which are usually attendant upon civil war. We were taught to expect that far other consequences would have followed upon the liberal grants I have already alluded to. were to have reposed with confidence upon the eternal gratitude of the whole Roman catholic population of Ireland. Nothing, however, of this kind that I recollect, was exhibited in fact, beyond what appeared in a few public addresses of the day; a small return of mouth honour; but neither the king or parliament which conferred, nor the immediate patrons of their cause, who induced parliament to consent to confer these benefits upon them, were very long or gratefully remembered. Two of their first and most active patrons in 1778, had afterwards the unmerited misfortune to fall in the field by the hands of catholic rebels.—Before we proceed to grant more, if more we could grant without a direct surrender of, all securities of our protestant church and governhow our past liberality and confidence have been requited. But we cannot grant more, particularly the boon which is asked of the admission of catholic members into parliament, without putting in peril the whole protestant church and its rights as by law established. - The act of settlement has provided for the protestant succession to the crown of England; it has made the being a protestant the indispensable condition upon which the crown is to be worn by any prince '

forward as officers amongst them in the day claiming under the limitation in favour of the heirs of the body of princess Sophia, " being protestant-."—It has not only required the king to be a protestent generally, but to be of that class of protestance which joins in communon with the church of England; and it has excluded papists by industrious description: for it provides and enacts (section 2) that all and every person and persons who shall or may take or inherit the said crown by virtue of the limitation of the present act, and is or shall be reconciled to, or shall hold communion with, the or church of Rome, or shall profess the popish religion, or shall marry a papist, shall be subject to such incapacities, as in such case or cases are by the recited act (i.e. of 1 W. and M.) enacted and established.— So peremptory is the tenor of these provisions in exclusion of a popush prince from the throne of these kingdoms, that if (a case which is scarce within the extreme hmits of actual possibility) his majesty himself should become reconciled to the see of Rome, or profess the popular religion, the crown would in that case, by the instantaneous effect and operation of law, fall from his august and revered brows, and he would. stand amongst us a more unprivileged individual, as wholly divested of the rights, functions, name and character of sovereignty, as the meanest peasant of the land: and can it then be supposed that when such industrious pains have been taken by our ancestors to secure to the kingdom a protestant prince, that it should be left at large whether his parliament should be protestant on papist? But this was already provided for by the test acts in the reign of Charles II. which shut the doors of parliament in both houses on persons who do not take the oaths of allegiance and supremacy, and subscribe the declaration against popery.— These restrictions on the parliamentary function and character it is now proposed to repeal; and thus the king may be surrounded, not only by ministers of opposite and con-, meht, it would well become us to consider in flicting religious, but may find in the same persons a divided and distracted allegiance between his rights as their temporal sovereign, and the rights spiritual and ecclesiastical of the Roman poutiff.—It is obvious, to the most careless observer, that the measures of government would be enormously clogged and impeded by the close junction and mutual adherence of the catholic members, amounting in the aggregate to a number in England and Ireland sufficiently large to inforce from a minister a degree of con-

in favour of the Roman catholic religion, by which the protestant religion might be undermined and endangered - But if the Roman catholic members are to have scats in parliament, there must be also vested in them a capacity of becoming, as well as others, the king's ministers. If the king's runisters are to be taken out of the body of catholics, of what avail will it be that we have secured to us, by the act of settlement and the coronation oath, the solitary inclividual protestanism of his majesty? So that at the last the whole substance of the provision made with so much anxiety and solemnity by our protestant ancestors will become entirely futile and elusory; for the test acts which preceded the act of settlement, and were the foundation on which a'one it could practically rest, must of course be done away before the catholic members c, n take their seats in either house; the removal of which tests will certainly on princuple, and by probable if not necessary influence in point of fact, effectuate 3 total subversion of the protestant church, as established by law within these realms. I think, niv lords, it will scarce be denied by any of those, upon the credit of whose assertions your lordships would be disposed to place. rehance, that the real ann and object of the persons who so strennously contend for conferring a representative capacity on Roman catholics, is, through the means of such representatives, to procure for the body at large some further advantages in the way of a recognised church establishment, under the immediate authority of parliament. Upon this head, waving for the present all objections whatever to the religious faith and doctrines of that church, and supposing, what I by no means admit, that the points or faith and doctrine in which our church differs from theirs, are of less essential practical importance as affecting moral conduct than they appear to me to be; allowing them to entertain, and as publicly as they please, to profess a belief in transubstantiation and in purgatory, to practice the invocation of saints, and to believe and inculcate the belief of (what protestants consider as) a legendary chronicle of unauthentic miracles; giving them all facilities of public and private worship and profession of faith on these and every other subject, if there be any yet wanting and required on their behalf; still an establishment for their church, concurrent with that of the united

descension and deference to their demands even the competence of parliament itself. constituted as it is, to bestow. By the 5th article of the umon, it is declared, that " the continuance and preservation of the said united church as the established church of England and Ireland, shall be deemed and taken to be an essential and fundamental part of the uniou." By fundamental is meant, with reference to the subject matter, such an integral part of the compact of union formed between the two kingdoms, as is ab-olutely necessary to the support and sustaining of the whole fabric and superstructure of the union raised and built thereupon: and such as, being removed, would produce the ruin and overthrow of the political union founded upon this article as its immediate basis. The words " the established church" import that there shall be only one church of that description, and which shall alone have the privileges, character, and denomination of an established church annexed to it. These terms necessarily exclude any other co-ordinate and concurrent establishment; every other church which has any thing beyond what we commonly understand by the word toleration allowed to it, may be considered as so far established within the meaning of this article; and the union of course, in virtue of such allowed establishment, not only to a degree impugned and violated, but by the express letter of the precise and peremptory provision referred to, absolutely deprived of its very essence and foundation; in other words, substantially destroyed and subverted. I will hope, therefore, that on further consideration the atter impracts ability of such a project, consistently with the good faith of the two kingdoms solemnly pledged to each other at the period and by the compact of the union, will be so apparent to all who are at present striving for its adoption, us w to dissuade them from the further prosecution of a measure which, as it must commence in a violation of public faith and political rights, must also terminate in disap-"? pointment and dishonour.—I am one of those, my lords, who labour under an unfortunate persuasion that even if this could legally be, and, in fact, were granted to them; that if the Roman catholic, religion were already established in Ireland in some degree of communion and participation of ... privileges with the united church, that even this grant and indulgence, large as it might now appear to us, would be followed by fresh demands and increased importunity. church of England and Ireland, exceeds What assurance is there that they would!

be immediately unfurled, and the exclusive domination of the Romish church in Ireland be authoritatively claimed on the ground of this very concession, and of that majority in the population of that country, which alone confers the right (as they contend) of establishing the protestant religion as the religion in church and state of the state in this country? Compared with the value of this ultimate prize, the objects I the question should be relieved from a great hitherto obtained in the struggle would be deal of extraneous matter with which it had vile and worthless in their estimation.

Jam tenet Italiam, tamen ultra pergere tendit Actum inquit nitul est, msi preno milice portas Frangimus et media vexillum pono suburr'i.

Before it is yet too late, I for one am disposed to rally round the standards, and to preserve the altars, of my country. The palladium of our protestant, and, indeed, of our political security, consists principally in the oath of supremacy, and the tests connected there-Ireland) in the provisions contained in the 5th article of our recent union with that country, against every attempt to weaken these safeguards of the constitution. 1, as long as I live, and am furnished with faculties either of body or mind enabling me to struggle with effect, will ananfully struggle, and, as far as in me lies, will avert the mischief which must result from the admission of persons, (owning and yielding, as they do, an importect and defalcated allegiance to the state,) into the entire and perfect rights of completely affianced subjects.-Not being able, my lords, to feel any material degree of evil in the present state of political restraint, as it is necessarily for their good as well as ours, and in the prevention of common calamities affecting us all, applied to our Roman catholic brethien in Ireland; and reeing a sure prospect of enormous and incalculable mischiefs before me, which must immediately result from a change:—as a subject interested in the safety of the crown and kingdom; as a protestant interested in maintaining the possession of that pure and reformed religion, which having been in times past preserved and sanctified to us by the blood of our ancestors, has been by God's providence long and firmly established in these realms, and which is inseparably knit together in one system, with all our civil rights and liberties, with our best means of happiness here, and our best shopes of happiness hereafter; I feel it my duty, my lords, now and for ever, as long as the catholic religion shall maintain

rest contented with this boon? or would its ecclesiastical and spiritual union with. not the broad banners of papal supremacy and dependence upon the see of Rome, to resist to the utmost of my power this and every other proposition which is calculated to produce the undoing and overthrow of all that our fathers have regarded, and ourselves have felt and know to be most venerable and useful in our, establishments both

The Farl of Moira expressed a wish that been encountered, and that it should be brought to the test of that plun good sense on which he conceived it to rest. He thought the complexion of the present times demanded that every exertion should be made to procure an unanimity of heart and much in the cause of the country. It was very true that to give the catholics the privilege of admission to the few offices from which they were excluded, would be giving them with, and (as more particularly concerns little; but the gift would show a disposition to conciliate and to win their affection, which would be in that point of view important. He was surprised to have heard it said, that the petition tended to throw the torch of discord into the country; on the contrary, he was of opinion that the object of it, it properly attended to, would tend to establish that harmony which was most easential to the country at the prograft moment. At the same tane that he made these onservations, he wished to speak with the u: most respect of the established church, but which he did not think would be endingered or injured by granting the catholic clams He wished, therefore, that the petition should be referred to a committee, for the purpose of considering whether any danger could really arise from conceding those claims, and if it should be deemed not prudent to grant the whole, whether any part of those claims might be safely admitted; as he wished it to be understood, that in the committee he should certainly be desirous of weighing well each object which the catholics had in view, and investigating in what manner it would operate with respect to the church establishment, before he gave his consent to the admission of the claim which it involved.

Earl Darnley .- My lords; I am ready to confess my disposition to assent to the opinion of some noble lords on the other side of the house as to the time in which this question is agitated. Had I been consulted by the catholics of Ireland, I certainly should have recommended to them to abstain from press-

country in general adverse to the proposiis founded in reason and justice, and must therefore, sooner or liter, termately prevail. Since, however, the question is come to be f agitated in preliament, your brokings will Iteles I. The resecond prelate appears to agree with no, that it ould be new open have received from that bearned lend some placed in better hand; and that the principle of lights with respect to the autholic repriety and mode with have been a con-plajion, which have induced him to change spicuous as the ability with whale a fire been introduced by my notice trick? And the political disabilities, according to the here I cannot avoid animaliverture excited uni r and groundles in putations at i we been cast about the introde per of this stoposition by some noble lords who have spoken in the debate, as if it could have been introduced as a party question, or in any respect to answer party purposes. My noble triend who has opened the debate, raod solenally disclaimed such an intention; and is fully entitled to credit for his asserton. But a better proof than the assertion l of any man, is the manufest about buy of such l 1 proposition The party with whom base the honour result, which has been neglesty's subjects, would afford the firmest remotioner benource with the appellation of [Trutton, is the rate, he cobserved courposed or almost ever, the and recounty concessions are made in the same spirit, and to accomble for wealth, bush, and talents, and who certainly enjoy, is a great messire, the confidence and good opin in of put if they are granted (as I trust and am the people of England, which their opponents have forfested. At such a period the intro duction of a measure known to be unpopula: and in opposition to very general, however unfounded, projudices, can aver be fairly stated as intended to promote party views. In adverting to the different arguments which have been used by other lords on the other side of the house, I have some satisfaction in speaking so late, indemach as the task has thereby fallen to others of replying to the speech of the noble and larrned ford (the chancellor of Ireland) which I cannot but consider as one of the most extraordinary speeches that has ever been uttered in parliament. Considering both the arguments used and the person who has used them, it certainly ments all the reprobation which it has received. . I have, however, the greatest satisfaction in congratulatmg the house on the very different tone which has in general provailed, and espe-Von. IV

ing their claims at a period which is gene- | cially in the two last speeches on the other rally known to be peculiarly unfavourable, side (the bishop of St. Asaph and lord Elfor nany reasons; into some of which I do lenborough). The reverend and learned not feel myself at all called upon to enter, | prelate, in particular, has expressed himself but which are very generally known. I in a manner which does him the highest certainly think the present disposition of the honour, and the only ground of surprise is, the circuinstance of the reverend prelate's tion, however I may be convinced that if appearing to be influented by those extraordinary arguments and assertions which have been contradated as unfounded, by noble lords best requanted with the state of he opinion as to the propriety of steratoring player of the petition, which he at test imagined might be granted without endangering the est biished church or the protestant succession. For myself, I can assure the house, that if I could be persuaded either the one or the other would be in any degree affected by the concessions prayed for, I would be the first man in the house to onpose them; but, according to my view of the subject, so far from having this fear, I am firmly persuaded that this measure of conciliation would produce a directly contrary stiect, and, by uniting all the hearts of his securacy to our establishment both in church hand state: not, indeed, if these remaining in the me angracious manner as those which have formerly taken place in Ireland; persuaded they sooner or later will) in the true spirit of conciliation and peace. And this leads me to advert to the history of Ire-Luid with reference to this question, which has been dwelt on with so much self-com-placency, by a noble carr opposite to me (Westmorland) who administered the alfairs of that country at the period of the last concessions to the catholics. The neriod of the revolution has been dwelt on by many who have spoken in the debate with satisfaction; and I am as ready as any man to do ample justice to that great æra of the establishment of the civil and religious liberties which we now enjoy:—the catholics ci Treland must, however, date from thence the extinction of their liberties, and the commencement of those sufficings which they have borne with such exemplary patience, and repaid with such distinguishe! loyalty. Till the auspicious reign of his present majesty, their situation was that o 3 G

the most abject slavery; and they were a proscribed race in their native land, compelled to submit to the most harsh and degrading system of laws that perhaps ever was framed. The policy of this system I do not arraign, severe and cruch as it was: it might, in some degree, perhaps, dave been justified by political necessity; and I mention it only with a view to do justice to the exemplary conduct of the catholics under such circumstances. During the 1cbellion of 1745, when lord Chesterfield was lord lieutenant, their lovalty and attachment were conspicuously manifested in favour of the profestant king on the throne, against the popish successor of him who had been expelled on account of his attachment to their cause. Again, in 1750, when a French armament was ready to invade heland, for the express purpose of restoring the exiled family, and when a partial invasion had actually taken place, their attachment to the establishment, under which they suffered so much, was again in st cor picuous. Under these circumstances, and in consideration of their loyalty and good conduct, as was expressly stated," his present majesty was advised to remove some of their chains. In 1774, the oath of allegiance which they now take was enacted. In 1778, some of the most galling and degrading parts of the code of popers lines was abrogated. 1782, they were admitted to the rights of property, and finally, in 1793, everything that remained, including many important civil rights, was granted, with the exception only of those privileges for which they now Undoubtedly, the catholics of petition. Ireland have received great and important advantages during the present reign; but I cannot agree with those who are so forward to tax them with ingratitude, when I consider the manner in which these concessions have been made, especially the last and most important ones in 1793. Indeed, the concessions have all appeared to proceed rather from the fear of irritation in times of public difficulty, than from any thing like an enlarged and liberal system of policy. the last concessions. Your lordships all recollect the manner in which the French te-Notation had unsettled men's minds, and the wild theories of liberty 'and 'equality' which were generally entertained. The high catholics, as pright reasonably be expected, thought the moment favourable for urging their claubs; and, in consequence, they petitioned the parliament of that country in and although it is true that a majority of

the year 1792, to grant the remaining privileges which they now enjoy. The Irish parliament rejected their application with scorn, by a division of two hundred and twenty-eight to twenty-three." During the next recess, all the protestant gentlemen throughout the country, corporations, and grand juries, backed by the authority of government, pledged themselves to support this vote of parliament; and yet, in the very beginning of the following year, the same parliament and the same government almost unanimously and tamely conceded what they had a few months before so contunichously rejected. No circumstance having intervened, except an increase of public difficulties, increased holdness of the cetholies, and this inconsistent change in the conduct of government, can it be expected that they should feel any very lively scritiments of gratitude for favours so refused and so granted? When the union was in agitation, I stated this instance of maladministration in the government, and profigure* inconsistency in the parliament of Ircland, as sufficient alone to justify that great measure and I now again state it, to prove how little reason the catholics have for very warm gratitude to those who so conferred the favours that have received. Was it to be expected that, under such circumstances, the catholic body should rest contented, or that they should not be terepted to expect that what remained would also be conceded, or, indeed, could not be refused by the frish parliament? This was another argument for the union, for it was truly stated, that whenever the two legislatures were united, the catholic claims might be discussed with every possible advantage; and that they might be safely trusted to the temper and moderation of the imperial legislature. The catholics certainly might reasonably entertain the best hopes that they would be granted; for they must have felt, that the united parliament would be without those prejudices, and that intolerant spirit which they had fatally experienced in the protestants of Ireland. I wish not to But this observation applies particularly to dwell upon the unhappy rebellion of 1708, which has been more than once adverted to in the course of this debate, except to give my most decided opinion, that it ought not to be deemed a catholic rebellion: most of the leaders happened to be protestants it originated in the jacobin principles of the united Irishmen, to whom religion was a very subordinate, if any, consideration;

were catholics, and though the greatest atrocities were undoubtedly perpetrated by some catholic priests, it would be very extraordinary if both these circumstances had not taken place in the country where fournumber of ignorant and bigoted priests, hey, what I will even call the cause of the That there were equal faults on the other side, I am persuaded. That the zeal of the Irish protestants has been productive of consequences as fatal, I cannot doubt; but on civil disabilities on account of religion, and this subject I forbear to dwell, because I know it would sound harsh to some of my heavers, to whose loyalty and merits I am ready to do ample justice. My lords, it has been said that the catholic body in general is not interested in this question, which only regards a few of the higher ranks; but I can never agree, that we whole body is not degraded and insulted by this mark which is set upon them, in excluding any of its members from the chance of ever being highly useful to their country. " But," say their opposers, " we have given every thing clse; we never will concede to their power. They have all the civil advantages under the state; but they shall not become the state itself." Now let us consider to what extent this power would go, supposing it granted to catholics disposed to abuse it. The few scats they could obtain in this house, could never be considered of consequence. Indeed, exclusive of the five or six English peers, who would afterwards have an irresistible claim, as it regarded Ireland only, not one single member, according to the present mode of election, could be admitted, unless nominated by the minister. The argument, with respect to the other house, deserves more consideration. That some catholic members would be elected is unquestionable; but I am inclined to think the number would be very small indeed. Some noble lords who have spoken, have maintained the extravagant supposition of the whole number of one hundred being bits of industry, and respect for the laws. catholics. Others have maintained, with some degree of plausibility, that in those counties where the majority of freeholders were catholics, the members would of necessity be so likewise, grounding the supposition on the assertion that they would all be rather guided by their priests than by their landlords. I profess myself of a contrary opinion, even if you suppose that, after these concessions, the old invidious distinctions of an il and religious animosity com-

those concerned in these sanguinary scenes | bined, will necessarily be kept up. Much will depend on the manner in which the boon is granted. That it will, that it must be granted, somer or later, I am prepared to maintain; for although I am not sanguine enough to expect a majority in favour of fifths of the inhabitants are catholics, and this motion, I never can doubt that what I where there was also necessarily a large consider the course of justice, of sound poprotestant establishment, must and will finally prevail. Remove with a liberal hand, and with an enlarged system of policy, all I am persuaded, that in a very few years, all sects of christians will become equally good subjects; and it will never enter into any man's head to enquire, whether a candidate for parliament or for other is of the established religion or not? But we must not confine our views to the simple adoption of the measures prayed for in the petition before the house, indispensably necessary as I may think them for the welfare of the British empire in general, and of Ireland in particular. The abolition of the catholic hierarchy in Ireland has been called for, as necessary to the tranquillity of that country; but I so totally differ from the noble and Icarned lord, that instead of abolishing it, I would render it the means of reconciling to the state and to the constitution the great' mass of catholic population. I see no reason why the bishops should not be placed under the protection of gove mment, and why they should not be both nominated by the king, and paid by the public. By such means, and not by the absurd proposition of converting the Irish catholics to the established religion, by translating the bible into Irish, may we hope to see them good and loyal subjects, especially if the whole system of policy by which that unhappy country has been governed, should be reversed; and that, instead of keeping the people in 1gnorance and barbarism, a liberal and welldigested system of instruction should be adopted, and encouragement afforded to ha-

Lord Auckland.—My lords; having expressed a decided opinion respecting the petition of the Irish Roman catholics on its first introduction into this house, I have purposely waited to this late period of the debate, that I might learn the sentiments of other noble lords. The discussion is now so exhausted, that I can compress what I have to submit to your lordships within narrower limits than I should have thought right if I had spoken earlier. My noble

that it involves the interests and happiness their properties and persons. of four millions of people:—my noble friend might have said, that it involves the interests and happiness of the whole British empire. In agitating a question of such extent and magnitude, I am desirous to use a guarded and conciliatory language; but I must not be expected to sacrifice truth and fair argument at the shrine of flattery; nor will I be induced to withhold or extenuate any just reasonings that may present themselves to my mind. I see nothing improper or disrespectful in the style and temper of the petition, nor, indeed, was it to be supposed that individuals making a great request, would express themselves in repulsive and offensive terms. I have not, however, adverted to the wording of the petition so much as to its purport and objects; and it is well worthy of remark, that the whole bears-a strong-re-emblance to the memorable déclaration of James the Second, in 1687, for the liberty of conscience. There are in both instruments the same plausible professions of anxiety to conciliate and unite all religious persuasions, the same gracious promiles to respect the property of the established church, the same appeals from the interests of trade, which always vibrate forcibly on a British ear, the same display of a generous carnestness to open every avenue of legalized ambition,—and all this as a prologue to the demand of a full and equal participation of power, and of the means of acquiring power. Your lordships will recollect, that this declaration was soon followed by another, which notified that papists had been appointed to all the principal offices of the state; and recommended to the people to send papist representatives to the new parliament.-From the epoch of that inaus icious precedent in 1687, to the gra of French frateristy and Irish rebellion in 1798, the notions of an equality of political power had been suffered to lie dormant. During the greater part of that long period, the Li-h catholics had been subjected to a system of intolerance and restraints much too severe to be defended, except on ground of a real or mistaken necessity; and even so lately as the 12th of his present majesty, an act was passed " to enable papists to take not above fifty acres of unprofitable bog, with half an agre of anable adjoining, for not above sixty-one years."-The first measure of any extent in favour of the Irish catholics was in 1778, they were then empowered to take long leases, and were re-

friend who presented the petition, has stated, | lieved from various incapacities affecting both The next material act for their benefit was in 1781; when I was chief secretary, and a member of the Irish house of commons. It is well known that I gave no discouragement to that act, which, in addition to various indulgences contained in it, enables papists to purchase and to hold estates, with the exception of advowsons. I have greatly contributed to give to the Irish catholics an interest in the soil, and consequently a more immediate attachment to the welfare of the community; but it never entered into my mind to allow them any slaire of the powers of government and of legislature. The jargon of emancipation was then unknown, the æra of modern illumination was not vet arrived,—that æra when it could be thought safe and practicable to maintain the limited monarchy and established church of England without test-laws, and without any restraint or incapacities affecting any description or sectarists.—The next and last concessions of any importance, were those which took place in 1792 and 1793. My noble friend who opened this debate, has been pleased to say, that all the framers and supporters of those measures must reflect on them with pride and satisfaction. My near relation (lord Buckinghamshire) has expressed a .imilar sentimentathis evening; and certainly it is an amiable and natural weakness in parents to speak with rapture and admiration even of depraved and very ugly clidities. I have always contemplated the abrupt and improvident concessions of 1793 with dissent and regret; I have done so in common with two very respectable friends of mine, the late lord Clare, and the present chancellor of the Irish exchequer. Those concessions placed the protestants of Ireland in a relative situation, which impressed on every observing mind the urgent necessity of a legislative union of the two kingdoms; and yet they tended to increase the difficulties of a measure which thus became essential to the peace and safety of the empire. But great as those concessions were, they only served to stimulate the appetite of the Irish catholies for further claims; and, in 1795, the ford lieutenant (earl Fitzwilliam) showed a strong disposition to gratify them to the full extent of their wishes. Happily he was not supported by the government of that day, though it was composed of the same individuals who now urge the same measure for which they recalled the noble earl from his vice-royalty. The career of concessions

to the catholics was soon afterwards interrupted by that rebellion, over the horrors of which I wish to throw a voil, and afterwards by the discussions and arrangements which eventually accomplished the union of the two kingdoms. In the result, a period of comparative tranquillity has now been attained; and the Roman catholics and their advisers have thought it eligible for the present application -What then is the purport of that application? Nothing less than a full participation of all corporate franchises withm the empire, and of all official, judicial, and legislative powers! In examining the tendency of this gigantic grant (which, in troth, is of small moment to the bulk and general population of the catholics) we must presume that it would be efficient; for if its operation were to be as insignificant as some noble lords seem to expect, there would be either a fallacy in the demand or a dupery in the concession.—Perhaps it would not be difficult to show that such a grant would be an infringement of a fundamental article of the umon with Scotland, and also of the fifth article of the Irish union. But I wish to negative the petition on a breader ground. My poble friend, whose eloquence and argumentative powers have introduced the application with every possible advantage to it, has admitted, that it could not be stated that, soon after the union, there was, apas a Claim of right. Certainly it could not. parently, a sudden change in the opinions qualitying and restricting the possession and exerce e of civil provileges for the benefit of the whole community. It is that power which regulates the qualifications of the electors and of the elected, the rights of succession, minorities, marriages, and all the limitations of property; it pervades the whole system of our laws, a denial of it would tend to individual representation, to an Agrarian distribution, to universal equality, and to general confusion.—Still less can the petition rest itself on the ground of tolera-The petitioners indeed allege, that they are "entitled to a toleration not merely partial, but complete;" and yet they well know that they already possess what they describe, and that, ex vi termini, those who our toleration we do not restrain the exercise of any religious persuasion; But we feel · and know that our reformed religion is most congenial to the spirit of our free constitution; that the protection of the one is "the protection of the other; and, above all

within the pale of our government and legislature, a sect which professes a religion essentially adverse to our own. The tests prescribed by the wisdom of our ancesters for the exclusion of that sect, have nothing to do with toleration; they were framed on the plain and evident presumption of law, that he who receives the sacrament of the church is of the church.—I have been glad to hear it avowed by the noble mover of the question, that the petition cannot rest on any assurances given or compact made at the time of the union. In truth, it was impossible to make such a compact without the concurrence of parliament; and if such a consequence of the union had existed in the mind of any individual employed to frame the articles, it should have been stated at the time, both in good faith to the Irish protestants, and in the honest discharge of duty to the respective parliaments of the two kingdoms. Itswill ever be a consideration of just pride to me, that I have borne no smill share, in adjusting all the details of that transaction; and I do not hesitate to declare, that if the concessions now proposed were in the contemplation of those with whom I acted at that time, their views were industriously concealed from me, and from others of their associates. It is indeed true, Every legislature has the inherent power of of some leading persons respecting the subject now in discussion. I do not impute any blame to that change, or doubt its sincerity, though I must deplore it. Thit change has given an irreparable shock to the confidence of public men in each other; and to it, perhaps, are owing many of the distractions and duficulties under which the empire has since laboured.—It is admitted, that the petition is not grounded on any claim of right, of toleration, or any compact, expressed or implied, at the time of the union, but merely on a question of expediency. In arguing the question, I will not cling with a blind attachment to the acts and systems of former ages, though sanctioned by the settlement in favour of the house of Brunswick, and by the blessings are tolerated cannot share the power of those, resulting from it. I am well aware, that who tolerate. In the benevolent temper of the objects and principles of legislation must change with the times, interests, and exigencies of the day; but no doubt arises in my mind that the exclusion of the Roman catholics from political power, contributed essentially to our free and happy constitution, and ought still to be maintained for things, that it would not be safe to admit its scenrity. Nothing has happened to di-

participation of power, you admit the enemy within your camp. All men have a natural desire to extend the predominance of a religion they believe; nay more, it is the sacred and prescribed duty of the papist, if he be sincere in his creed, to undermine our church; for he believes it to be fatal to the souls of his professors, and must feel that, in demolishing it, he is rendering a service to his fellow-creatures and to God. It is a fundamental principle of the church of Rome to exercise spiritual dominion over the christian world. The titular bishops, at their ordination, swear "to defend, enlarge, and extend the authority of the Roman church, and of their lord the pope." Their metropolitans in Ireland avow the same obligation, and proclaim, at this hour, in their publications, that the spiritual power of the pope is the same as ever. These doctrines are enforced by the priests. Religion is not similar to the ordinances of human institution, and capable of being qualified and restrained in its energies by law. The Roman catholics love their religion; its principles are irreconcileable to other persuasions, and its hierarchy is incessantly and indefatigably active, and subject also to the occasional influence of foreign states.—If this sect should become co-ordinate in power with the reformed religion of the British empire; if we once admit the theoretical solecism of a protestant monarch and papist councils, we shall find ourselves involved in a religious anarchy -The petitioners are pleased to assure us that they " do no sock to encroach upon the revenues of our bishops and clergy." Nothing a so false, in principle or in practice, as the notion of giving much, that nothere more may be asked-

"The crud something unpossess'd, " In trens and poisons all the rest."

And though the dangers thus described are not imminent, still they are not so chimericat as to induce its to abandon the bulwarks we possess. The bars and bolts of a house. may be removed, and yet the house not pillaged; but every prudent man will keep his bars and bolts. It would be a breach of our parliamentary, trust to destroy or abandon the great outwork of that constitution under which woodsave so long enjoyed such unparalleled blessings.—The petitioners, by a some implied menue, have expressed ble truth and teason, and at the same time

minish my anxieties for the stability of that | " their anxious desire to extinguish all momild and true religion, which, by its precepts tives of distanion, and all means of exciting and inducate, is so incorporated with our discontentent." If there be any eventual constitution, that they must stand and fall responsibility in this business, it must fall together. If you admit the catholics to a fon the heads of those who first agitate a question, of which they must have forescen the result, if they had only duly adverted to the known opinions of the several branches of the legislature, of the whole body of the Irish protestants, and of the general mass of the British people. I will be guided, and, I trust, a large majority of your lordships also, by a due estimate of the opposite iesponsibilities.—I cannot mean any distrespect towards the supporters of the petition; 1 know they are as adverse as I can be to the equalizing doctrines that have taken root in the minds of many; but I must pause before I can accede to that levelling liberality which would consider the episcopal protestant church, that of Scotland, that of Rome, and all the sectarists in the empire, as entitled in justice and expediency to the same political privileges, powers, and functions - My lords, as we have seen, within a few years, many awful warnings of Providence in the fall of states and kingdoms, and in the vicissitudes of human affairs; chiefly owing to innovations in civil government and indifference respecting religious establishments, have we not good cause to adhere to a system of which we had a long and beneficial experience? We have more to risk than any nation under heaven. The present long and perilous war is directed against the spirit of innovation, to which so large a part of Europe has fallen a victim. Did it not commence for the safety of our civil and religious constitution? So long as the ancient barriers of that constitution shall be preserved, I am confident that we have nothing essential to fear; and yet I am not blind to the increasing dangers and protracted difficulties which still press upon us. —I will not contest prophecies with some of my noble friends, who are pleased to say, that the day cannot be distant when the demands of the petitioners will be complied with. I see no such probability, even with the assistance (which I will readily transfer to them) of a few noble persons who vote now against the petition, merely because they think "the present is not the proper moment."—On the contrary, I hope and rely that the well-meaning catholics of Ireland will see and be convinced, that the sense of parliament is prenounced against their application, upon grounds of immuta-

with all that good-will and affection which | next, to rebuke the legislature for its want ought to prevail between subjects of the same sovereign?

Lord King lamented that there should be, any serious difference at this crisis between the Fuglish protestants and the Roman catholies in Ireland; he wished all differences to cose, and supported the motion as a measure of wisdom.

Lord Botton having formerly held an high official situation in Ireland (chief secretary to the lord heutenant) felt it his duty to express the opinion on this subject which he had formed upon much reflection. He conceived it extremely dangerous to grant to the catholies political power, except under a control, which was by no means proposed, and which it would be difficult to devise: and he thought such an experiment the more hazardous, as a language of constructive mence had been held by many noble lords of meet weight, in course of this discussion, who had said that, what is asked must be granted, to preserve the country from imimment peril of fatal discord and disunion that must follow m refusal. This was a language of direct intimidation, which could not be listened to for many reasons; for nothing could tend more to remove all liands to future demand until the very supecogity of power might be claimed or asround. The house too was exhorted and wanted to concessions, not merely for the side of interest, but of self-preservation Pet, on the contrary, he feared much more from the concession than the refusal. Some noble lords went so far as to insinuate pretty plandy that the bouse was encouraged to rentiale on rejecting the petition, from a rehance on the loyalty and patience of the demessed and ill-treated catholics. He would not hesitate to acknowledge his own rehance on the continued loyalty of these entholies who had hitherto maintained it; but that reliance would not be increased by increasing to the catholics political power These oppressive restraints no longer exist; and he would so far accredit their good serve, as to think that, with all the drawbacks on their privileges so strongly enumerated, they would yet prefer the station they now hold in the empire to any risk under any change to which they might look from the interference of any foreign power. They could be no friends to the catholics who argued their cause so inconsistently, as at one moment to menace the country. with the privation of all aid from them without submission to their claims: and the catholic petition in parliament, afterwards fell

of implicit relatice on their invariable attachment. Was it wished by those who so urgently argued the necessity of uniting those four millions of eathelies cordially in the national defence, that they should be considered as actuated to such a purpose, at such a crisis, by motives of self-interest only, after all the favours they have received, and all the declarations they have made? But surely a much more generous and persuasive argument would be the nominestation of precedent efforts and exertient from genuine patriotism, instead of condu- al supulations, but nothing could be more clearly necessary than that every species of memace or alarm, of unsteadiness or apprehension, should be completely extinguished on both sides, before an arrangement so important and delicate in its nature could be formed, with a view to its permanence. Outting the course of general reasoning, he adverted to the period of 1783-4, when the Irish capital was in a manner in possession of the self-organised Irish volunteers. The voluntary readiness to take up arms on that oceasion, which was urged particularly by the catholics as a peculiar merit, was followed by an extreme reluctance to lay them down again, after the restoration of peace casional votes of thanks to those volunteers had been moved, and too readily assented to, by the Irish parliament, as, m fact, the orject was to prolong the continuance of an institution net regularly acl:nowledged, which, in a different period, might well become a subject of great political uneasiness. This was accompanied with serious symptoms of internal disgust. But by a decisive vote of ultimate thanks to the volunteers, with a recommendation to disembody and return to the occupations of neace, in which government had the good fortune to be supported by the manly and powerful elegence of a distinguished character (Mr. Grattan); at this moment, perhaps, supporting, with his powerful energies, in another place, the prover of this petition, which many fear, if granted, would be more dangerous to the established constitution than were the unauthorised parades of some catholic corps of volunteers. It was about this time, too,. that the first bill for an Irish militia was brought forward; at the desire of government, by the late Lord Mountjoy, (who since gallantly fell in detending his country against the fury of civil commotion); and who, though the first to bring forward the

stances, as well to develope the real state of the catholic body, and the origination of those indulgences which have since so rapidly succeeded each other, as to mark the objects and designs which have actuated the movements of the different members of that body. It was a great error to suppose that the idea! of complete catholic emancipation from all restrictions was only hinted for the first time in 1788 or 1789. The noble lord (Grenville) who brought forward this petition, had appealed to the experience of those who had resided, in official situations, in Ireland, to speak to the catholic character and conduct. Other noble lords had ably and honourably done so; and he would now add such testimony as occurred to his own experience—The great change effected in the political situation of Ireland in 1782 continued unfortunately to have a lasting effect on the catholics of all descriptions, ever afterward. From these might be dated the! systematic restlessness, disorder, nay, abso-1 adopted measures but ill chosen for success: but they decidedly marked the fast hold! those objects had taken on the catholic mind They formed great expectation of advantage from the new-born independency of Ireland upon England, but shortly after, those hopes! were changed to doubt, and then to despor; mumurs and complaints ensued at their meetings, and demagagues, and priests chosen from the lowest orders, educated abroad, and fraught with seditions principles, laboured to work up the minds of the people. They were taught to rely for every thing on h the superiority of their numbers, and a sewithout labour, were all to arise from this separation; and it soon became a cant word, | and the bond of a dangerous union. White Boys, and other predatory associations arese, which, though soon suppressed by the vigour of government, still left behind them those germs of their principles, that neversince have been quite extinguished. The weight and influence of their higher orders, and particularly of their clergy, were considerably diminished; and have never since been regained. Their lassitude and tardiness. in aiding to suppress the disorders alluded

the first victim of a rebellion, in a great degree | pect that such aid was reserved for condicatholic. The noble lord stated these circum-/tional compensation. In the beginning of 1786, Dr. Butler, the titular archbishop of Cashel, a man of considerable talents and high family connexion, and then considered the great organ of the catholic cause, addressed a remonstrance to government, upon the disappointment telt by the catholics at the lapse of a whole session of parliament, without any mark of favour to them Long sufferings, merits, and expectations were urged, and much mortification and discontent were expressed, especially as partiament was not engaged in the consideration of any foreign war, or other business of difficulty or embarrassment; and after expetiating much on their zeal in the volunteer cause, and their miformly inoffensive and loyal conduct, the remonstrance concluded by saying, they would be satisfied for the present with some introductory privages, such as the professions and henours of the be and army, as preludes to the attainment of every thing else. The answer to this icmonstrance expressed surprise at the matter, Jute disloyalty, in a large portion of the manner, and time of it; and at the same lower orders, and the growing eagerness in time reminded Dr. Butler, and through here, the higher cluses for place and power. Both his community of the internal disorders on t commotions then existing, exchal by and confined to catholics, and most process where catholic influence was the new proertal. It therefore denied the proper that any remenstrance, expectation, or remove tion for tayours, while there was no mark of auxiliary exertion from the higher cattolies to support the tranquility and good order disturbed lately by their own community Several conferences followed, which ended in an offer on the part of government to submit the claims of the catholics to purhament; but not without conditional prefessions of active gratitude. The hazard of paration from England. Relief from titles such a reference was thought much greater and rents, and gain of property and prevision than the probability of its success: but there was an end, for the time, to petation, and remonstrance, and, soen afterwards, to the appearance of open and predatory disaffertion. This was in the administration of the Dirke of Rutland; and the noble lord by no means meant to charge any temptation or feeling of disloyalty in the principal catholies, but, on the contrary, to acknowledge and vindicate their loyal principles, and the many proofs of which they had shown. But he must observe, that their unchangeable views to their great object of final emancipation from all restrictions, and even under to, were observable to government; and their partial and temporary indulgences from there appeared but too much cause to sus- time to time, was an obvious check upon

any zealous co-operation with government. The truth then seems to be, that, allowing them to be good and loyal subjects in their present situation, they have an insatiable thirst for power, and, how that might change their nature, there's the question. " Grant power,

" And then I grant we put a stime into them " That at their will they may do danger with " I cannot therefore, said the noble ford, help doubting at least, whether I should have been disposed, under such impression of still increasing claims upon every accession of favour, to have gone so far in removal of all disabilities as was done in 1793, espeeally in the instance of the elective tranchise. It could not well be doubted by any man, who had a reasonable knowledge of human n sture, and was aware of the position and views of this particular sect, that the concession here stated would be chiefly valued as a step to the right of representation. And who can pretend to be answerable for restrictive moderation even there? Temptation, &c. may be incited by the impulse of seeming means and opportunity, and then, in course, a dormant spirit of uneasy ambition might be roused into dangerous action.

"Then last it may-prevent! " And if the quarrel will bear no colour for the thing, they are,

" Paduon ir thus; that what they are, augmented,

" May run to these and bese extremities, &c.&c." I will not apprehend a mistaken suspicion, from such allusions, that I would entertain an unfriendly, much less an illiberal estimation of the catholic character, or would be found in adverse attack upon their comtorts and immunities. If the limit is drawn, where it is, I would readily agree in the opinion of the noble secretary of state (lord Hawkesbury) that we should regard without regret the concessions already made; and I would cordially rejoice in being able to see a period of safe allowance for the grant of yet more. If I was to speak of individuals, there are many, for whom personal respect would lead me to desire the fullest gratification of their wishes. But I confide not my good thoughts within such a narrow circle: to all who by no prohibited excess of deviation from their loyalty in thought or deed are justly entitled to the rank of fellow-subjects, I would studiously endeavour to mark noble ford (Spencer) has said, that whilst You IV.

the voluntary and disinterested vigour of maining restlessness and complaint. That noble lord has not surely well reflected upon the more than possible danger to arise from admission of this argument as a ground for concession. What is to be a limit or restraint upon this source" of disturbance and a iisk to our own establishment? Incapacities me not unknown to protestants, and cannot be wholly with leavin from catholics without storender of even equality. But Lain really inclined to finik, that sufficient reflection has not been bestowed on the value of what is now in possession or enjoyed by con-nivance. They are not friends to the catholice, who speak of their being driven to despair, and of their groaning under long and endless oppression. Imagination enercreates more sense of sufferial, than does reality of couse; and we are trequently led to suppose ourselves objects of pity or disregard by the instaken of off sicus suggestions of pretended sympethy. If we for a moment appreciate the real case as it is, and as it is pretended it ought to be, we shall and that no penalties or restrictions remove which can be felt by the good mass or that " people, and that not the grant of all which is required, would add a grain to their real wants or wishes; and in respect of the few who might be objects of supposed benefit by the further indulgences, they are excluded only from certain situations by necessary regard for our constitutional establishment, and from which, in fact, they exclude themselves by refusal to aspire to them upon equal conditions with their protestant fellow subjects,—In justice to the liberality of the legislature, let as estimate the value of the list concession, that of the elective franchise! I cannot conceive that a more powerful incentive to habits of industry, and thereby to acquisition of property, could have been conferred; and if must be evident, that the competition was thus made more than equal in favour of the catholics. It ought also to be observed that a protestant legislature, part of it against its own immediate interests and convenience, made this in some degree at least, a sacrible of the comparative superiority and even independence of the protestants, by the necessity imposed upon future candidates for parliament to solicit the favour of even the lower orders of the catholic frecholders. At all events, the token of wish for harmony and good fellowship was mainfested beyond an attentive consideration and concern. A a doubt. It is not imputable to the pretestant logislature in deportation of the real any incapacities remain, there will be re- | boon, that all the bruefit to which it was 3 H

from it by the objects of its participation -Long as there shall exist the uncontrouled dominion of factious demagogues of whatever class, but especially that of the monkish priesthood over the minds of these wretched people, they will not be suffered to exert their resources with uniform and steady attenti in to any pursuit best cilculated for their own advantage, and for the peace and prosperity of the country. And here we may reflect perhaps with regret upon the change (to which I before alluckd) in the influence of the higher over the lower orders of the cathelic community, which not only weakens the constant channel of communication with government, but disables those, who are in reality the most, if not the only petitioners immediately interested on this occasion, from strengthening their pretensions by the pledge of sure responsibility for the political conduct of the whole body. I will not attempt to discuss the question of present relation in point of authority or subordination between the several branches of this carbolic community in abstract matters of religion. This has been largely considered by other noble lords, with sufficient examication of the imperium in imperio, the catholic hierarchy. I would simply of connivance beyond the usual cases of toleration; and I had ventured to regard it as an ever striking proof, admitted by the catholics, of the liberal forbearance of the protestant estat lishment. What may be the degree of danger which in case of an allowance of catholic power, may be incurred from temptation and resources in this quarter, also to assume a more independent condition and unfettered acknowledgment, is a subject worthy of very serious reflection. —I will confuse that I had persuaded myself of a remedy to all causes of uncasiness and alarm in the last great measure of an union of the kingdoms; I did expect from its accomplishment the removal of those baneful hope, of separation, which had sprung from the independence, and were, perhaps, in reality more adverse to the happiness and welfage of those who entertained them, than Escritially dangerous to those against whom they prevailed In whatever light, therefore, of unforoughle apinion, for the sake of all parties. I had from reflection and experience regarded the measure and the effect of independence. I curtainly have altered that opinion in equaldering it as itself the great demonstrative cause by evident ne-

unquestionably conducive, was not derived | cessity of a successful call for the resource of an union.—The confusion and uncertain. ty, the speculations and excesses, in short, the total derangement (I will not use the word disorganization) consequent upon the one could not be, after such a trial, so effectually composed and harmonized by any other intervention than that of the other. It is certainly to be acknowledged, that all the good expected has not yet been experienced from this measure, especially in its effect upon the quict and industrious dememour of the lower catholics. . The peace which ensued was of too short a duration wholly to erase all idle speculation, and to fix a well regulated content; and the recommencement of hostilities may have far cilitated again the approaches of mischievous instigators, to revive the decaying embers or that miserable hope of a fallacious good, in separation from England by the help of foreign aid and maintenance,-Still, however, I venture to encourage the confident expectation, that from the union will ultimately arise a state of order and industrious exertion, which will produce more real and lasting welfare to this people, than all the imaginary promises of every good from the wildest emancipation. Neither time then, or circumstances, are now favourable to remark, that here assuredly is an instance the entertainment of this petition. But it has been exclaimed, what, then! is all hope of more fortunate conjuncture, and of more auspicious situations of affairs to be extinguished and this oppressed people to be driven a confortless despair?—I would answer with conscientious sincerity, that true belief, indeed, would induce me to place the measure of satisfaction best calculated for their real happiness in a supposed extinction of all views beyond the present ample means of comfort and prosperity. Let them be fairly estimated, cherished, and enjoyed; they will produce a harvest of blessings. I dare not at present presume to dream of more, which may be good for them, or safe for us: but God forbid that we should venture to circumscribe the ways of his Divine Providence, which may remove obstacles at present too strong for our attempt to clear away or pass by. There may (and I wish there may) be future reasons, however unexpected now, to prove the security with which the protestants may grant the competency of the catholics to be gutinsted with the fallest participation of rights and privileges: they may, by habits of industry, good order, harmon.ous con-cord, social intercourse, mutual good-will,

and reciprocal good offices, and by zealous patriotism and loyalty (all indeed having tendency to their own immediate benefit, and therefore the most gratifying to the liberal spirit of the legislature) give encouragement for unrestricted confidence. They may find cause unequivocally to withdraw that barrier (insuperable, whilst it remains in force) of divided allegiance, the obligation to papal supremacy, which a noble lord has most forcibly and most eloquently demonstrated to render vain all pretensions to equal rights by the want of equal conditions. will not shut out the wish or the hope for such alteration of circumstances; but with our limited power of searching into future chance and change, we can, I think, retain this possible expectation, as the only prevention to a positive declaration, that here, even here, must Be all and the End all. We are, I am afraid, yet only on our own necessary defence. We are obliged in conscience, honour, and duty to ourselves, and to our constitution in church and state, to throw our shield of self-preservation before us, and on it to exhibit the warning motto of "ne plus ultra."

The Earl of Long ford said a few words' in favour of the motion; after which,

Lord Grenville rose to reply, and spoke but the duty I owe to the question and to myself, would have induced me to trouble your lordships with any observations at this late hour. In the course of what has fallen from noble lords during this ebate, it has been thrown out by way of reproach, that to this petition is affixed none of the names of the catholic clergy of Ireland, and this most unjust and most unwarrantable inference has been drawn, that because they have not put their names to the petition, therefore they are not willing to subscribe to the sentiments of loyalty and attachment expressed in the petition. Now, my lords, I assert it again and again, and am willing to lose all consideration with your lordships if I do not prove, that the contrary is the fact; if I do not prove, that they have signed the declaration themselves, and as an hierarchy have recommended it to others. Thave the means of proving before a committee of your lordships, if you will let me go into that committee, that the catholic elergy of Ireland are not only willing, but anxious and desirous to take the oaths prescribed by law, and that they exert themselves to persuade others to take them. This I can prove by certificates from courts of justice

in Ireland, and other concurrent testimonies. The reason alleged by the catholic clergy for not signing the present petition, and a correct and rational reason it is, is, that lit prays merely for the enjoyment of civil rights. Those, therefole, who are abusing and vilifying this respectable body are not judging from facts, nor can they be wellwishers to the peace and prosperity of Ireland.—My lords, a noble lord has made a distinction between the oath of the catholics of Ireland and the oath of the catholics of England. He says the difference is, that the catholics of Ireland are not willing to take the same oath as the catholics of England, and he argues as if the catholics of England were willing to give some pledge of their loyalty which the catholics of Ireland were not willing to take. My lords, I maintain that the oath is equally binding on the catholic of Ireland as it is on the catholic of England.—I shall now make a few short observations on what fell from a noble and learned lord (Redesdale) who spoke at considerable length the other evening. The noble and learned lord told you, that there was in the catholic church of Ireland, an establishment of bishops. This, my lords, is no information to any person who knows that the church of Ireland is episcopal, and in substance as follows: My lords; nothing that if you put down Roman catholic bishops, you put down the religion itself -But the noble and learned lord asserts, "that they "consider themselves as the only lawful successors of the ancient clergy of Ireland, assuming all the powers, and claiming all the revenues, of that clergy, treating the clergy of the reformed religion as usurpers; refusing obedience to all laws framed to curb the encroachments of the papacy on the sovereign power, and denying to the legislature of the country all power over the ranks, dighities, and authorities, and even the revenues of the church." My lords, I entreat your lordships to go into this committee to see whether this be true. If their own declaration is true, and that it is so I most firmly believe, they declare quite the contrary. I hope on a point of so much importance, your lordships will afford the petitioners some opportunity of proof. The next point, as to excommunication: the noble and learned lord says, " the authority of the. Roman catholic clergy over their flock is enforced by the most dreadful of all means; by the power of excommunication, a power very different from that possessed by the established church." . I appeal to the right reverend beach opposite, whether it be pos-

sible that any church can subsist, unless it possess the power of shutting those out of it who break the rules of the church, and act contrary to them.—The noble man catholic clergy of Ireland, "as an unauthorised hierarchy, lifting themselves up in defiance of the law, and of all constitutional authority." He says, that " reigning encontroled, their ecclesissical courts govern the whole people; that they dissolve marriages for causes not allowed by law-procontract consanguinity, or attivity, in degrees beyond those prescribed by the legislature; that they license marriages within those degrees; that the evidence of marriage is wholly in their power; and that the legitimacy of children, and the succession to property, is under their control." My lords, let the noble and learned lord bring forth his proofs. If he can produce them, sure I am, that every person who bears me will cordially and anxiously join with him in finding the remedy. And if, in the course of your enquiry, your should discover tour or five igporant parish priests who have exceeded the limits of their duty, I will say then also, ap-Thy the remedy, go into the committee, and do not impute that to a whole body of men, which proceeds only from a few ignorant individuals. My lords, that such expressions should go forth to the world as proceeding from such a quarter is, in my mind, the greatest evil that can possibly arise from the Tresent discussion. I will venture to say, that if you will turn your attention to the means of rendering the condition of Ireland more conducive to the happiness of the people, instead of vilifying and degracing the hierarchy, you must begin by respecting that hierarchy, and to make that useful and respeciable class of men respected by those over whom they are to exercise their authority, you must secure to it an influence over those whom by law you cannot change, but to whom they will look, as their spiritual dinectors. Those who endeavour to effect this are practically the greatest benefactors to the empire, of which Ireland now forms so essential a part.—To the rest of the noble and should go out to the world, that a person holding such opinions still continues in a situition of such reat importance to the peace an I tranguidity of the united empire!—The noble and learned lord has also said, and in

that if the claims of this petition are granted something further must also be done. I was toldonaformernight, that I had left something unexplained; that there was something more and learned lord has also stigmatized the Ro- in my mind than what is expressed in the prayer of the petition. My lords, there is something more in my mind. I was not so weak as to suppose, that the placing a few persons in offices would have the effect of calming the minds of the people of Ireland I well know that much must be done; and my opinion is, that many provisions and regulations must necessarily accompany the granting the prayer of your petitioners. But, I know of no manner in which it can be done so effectually, as by making this conmittee the ground work of those proceedings. One noble lord told us, that there had been no county meetings, nothing to convince us that the measure proposed is loudly called by the majority of the people. Surely my lords, it is much to the credit of the petitioners, that there has been no clamour on this oceasion. The pride of the petitioners has been, that they have exerted themselves to prevent that clamour, and to bring their petition before your lordships in that accent and respectful manner, which I conceive they have done.—Another objection, 15, that it has been brought forward in a time of war My lords, if there be danger, let us look that danger in the face. If this measure is ultimately right to be adopted, what 'one can be more proper than the present moneral, when are encompassed by dangers on every side, and when you are obliged to give up a part of your militia for the rake of increasing your disposeable force? I, ther fore, call upon your lordships to lay aside all vain pretence, and if it be a good thing to do, do it in that moment when, of all others, it will be most serviceable to the country, and most welcome to your petitioners.—To those who think that the measure is so radically bad, that it never can be adopted, I would just say a few words. They talk of the bul of rights, the reformation, the title of the crown, and all that. But does any man living believe, that if we were to adopt the proposal of suffering Irish catholics to sit in learned lord's speech, I must decline offering parliament, it would in any shape whatever any reply. Sorry I am to have heard that | tend to destroy the reformed religion of this speech in this house; but more sorry, that it country? Swely, there must be great want of argument, when you are obliged to resort to such sophisms as this against the proposed measure! No blessing can light upon counsels conducted upon such principles,-Now, my lords, I come to the question, is it sate which sentiment I perfectly agree with him, to give the catholics that which they ask?

And, what is the danger that could possibly | dominions a set of men more since ely atarise from so doing? We are told that the catholies differ from all other sects. You may endure dissenters, you may endure men ef no religion, but you may not endure the eatholics, because they do not acknowledge the king's supremacy. Great stress has been Lid upon the objection on the part of the pethioners to take this oath; and this very circumstance, did no other consideration appir, would abundantly and clearly expose the falsity, inconsistency, and absurdity of the assertion, that the petitioners hold as an article of their creed, "that no faith is to be kept with heretics." Nothing now, it has been observed, in the course of this debate, remains to exclude the catholics from a full participation of the henefits of the constitution, but their sanctimonious regard for the dictates of an oath! And yet, up to this very hour, we have been told, that catholics consider themselves as not obliged to keep faith with heretics, and, consequently, pay no regatd to the oaths they take with them.. But this is not all. Let your lord hips consider what this much talked of oath is in reality and in fact. Terhaps, many who talk so loudly of it, are far from understanding it. In point of fact, the oath of supremacy, as it now stands is not positive but negative. It does not assert that the king is the supreme head of the church, but that no foreign prince is to to be considered. It has been repeatedly argue! and demonstrated, that the scuse in which the liminal calliblies regard, the pope as sopreme he si of the cherch; a theological, not a political consideration.—I shall not detain your lord-hips at this late hour lives in defence of their country, and in dewith any further observations. If no other service is derived from the agitation of this question, this good will undoubtedly grise from a: that after all the letters and painphlets which have been written against the catholics, it will be clear to the conviction of y air lordships, that, from all their professions and all their declarations, doctrines have been insisted upon, which were never enterbeen attributed. The catholics will go from your bar acquitted by the most enlightened assembly. The question of actual attach-ent I will never enter into. But this I must say, that with very little execution, there has been an almost unanimous agreement, as to the loyalty and attachment of that body. The argument of one noble lord, who spoke early in the debate of this night (the earl of Buckinghamshire) is inconsistent and absu d in the extreme. The noble

tached, or better affected to his person and: government, than the polylemen and gentlemen who represent the catholic body in Ireland; but," added the noble garl, " these noblemen and gentlemen have long ceased to have any influence over the great mass of the Roman catholics in he land" Why then, I would ask, should we havitate to grant the prayer of the petition, which goes to affect a comparatively small number of persons, and who are described as loyal and taitl.ful_subjects, and yet grant to the lower orders of the great body of the Irish cuthones, reputedly a contaminated mass, every thing they can reasonably enjoy under the constitution? The argument of the noble earl involves this farther inconsistency. He entertains fears that greateratholic proprietors would soon exclusively be returned for the country, by a great majority of the catholic for holders; and yet, almost in the same-breath, the asserts, that persons of that description have censed to have any influence once the great body of the Roman catholics! Yet, entertaining so high an opinion of the e nollemen and gentlemen, these in the you viborn and on whom alone, you are descous of placing these restraint. To producter ans you give a full participation in the blessings of your constitution. Fix a denay on withhold nothing. Yet, from the call the noblemen and gentlemen of Lebard you withhold every thing. My lords, how can this be reconciled with any principle of reason or of justice? How can you watcheld these I blessings from men who have risked their fence of those laws in which they carnestly pray to be made joint partakers? You owe nuch#o the zerd, the loyalty, no i the active exertions of the protestants of Itelan I, but to. no set of men do you owe more than to the catholic noblemen and gentry from whom I hope I you withhold these privileges. have proved, that you have nothing to fear from gianting the prayer of this petition. If trined by the persons to whom they have I you have any thing to fear, the way is to give up those distinctions which at present exist. The true way to meet that danger is by doing away those distinctions, and firmly uniting those two bodies in o one. I trust, I have also proved, that there is throughout your empire, no outport to vulnerable as Ireland. It is an old caudel, whose superstructure you yourselves have demolished; but as for shelter, at present it silords you none! N'y lords, I have no more to say. I am ashamed at this late hour to have trespassed so long earl said, that "his Majest, had not in his upon your time. I do entertain a belier,

sun, is breaking in upon us, and that the day is not far distant, when she will triumph over all prejudices, and will produce a decision favourable to the cause of your petitioners. I am persuaded, that the agitation of this question will be of service, that an impression will be made on the minds of the catholics that there is a growing desire to examine their case, and that whatever degree of prejudice may still prevail amongst us, there is nevertheless, so much liberality, that the catholics will retire from your bar confident of ultimate success.

Lord Sidmouth rose to say a few words by way of explanation. It was his idea, as well as his wish, that all remaining restrictions on the relgion of the catholics of Ireland, if any did remain, should be removed. He would also allow them a full community of civil rights with the rest of his majesty's subjects; but never would he agree to put into their hands powers sufficient to subvert the constitution.

The house then divided, when the numbers were,

Contents 37 For the motion. ... 12. Proxies

Contents 133 Against the motion. Proxies 45 178

Majority against the motion

129

At six o'clock on Tuesday morning the house adjourned.

HOUSE OF COMMONS. Monday, May 13.

[MINUTUS]—A petition of several persons - interested in British ships and vessels frequenting and trading to the port of London, was presented to the house, and read; taking notice of the bill, to repeal two acts, passed in the 6th and 32d years of his late inajesty, for the regulation of lastage and ballastage in the river Thames, and to make of the whole house, upon the bill for making more effectual regulations relating thereto; and setting forth, that the same contains several clauses and provisions which, if passed into a law, would be very injurious to the petitioners; and therefore praying, that they may be heard, by counsel, against so much of the said bill as affects them, and that the same may not pass into a law as, it now stands. Ordered, that the said petition be referred to the consideration of the committee to whom the said bill is committed; and licensed in Ireland, be now read: and the

that the force of reason, like the rays of the | that the petitioners be heard, by their counsel, before the said committee, upon their petition, if they think fit. Ordered, that it be an instruction to the said committee, that they do admit counsel to be heard, at the same time, in favour of the said bill, against the said petition.—On the motion of Mr. Rose, the house, in a committee, went through the bill for regulating the office of paymaster of the forces. The report was brought up, and ordered to be taken into consideration on Monday.—Mr. Western proposed that all gentlemen upon the corn committee should have votes, which was agreed to.-Admiral Markham gave notice, that on Wednesday he should move for the naval papers, of which he had given a previous intimation. - Ordered, that the order of the day, for the house to resclive itself into a committee of the whole house, to consider of the report which was made from the committee to whom the petition of the master, wardens, freemen and commonalty, of the mystery of vintners of the city of London, under their common seal, was referred, be now read: and the same being read; it was resolved, that this house will, to-morrow, resolve itself into the said committee.—Ordered, that the order of the day, for the house to resolve itself into a committee of the whole house, upon the bill for repealing so fouch of an act, made in the 34th year of his present majesty, as exempts slate, the value whereof shall not exceed twenty shillings per ton, brought coastwise within Great Britain, from the duty thereby granted, be now read: and the same being read; the house resolved itself into the said committee; and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the chair; and Mr. Alexander reported from the committee, that they had gone through the bill, and made several amendments thereunto, which they had directed him to report, when the house will please to receive the same. Ordered, that the report be received to-morrow.—The order of the day being read, for the house to resolve itself into a committee » more effectual provision for the supplying of cities and market towns in Ireland with water; resolved, that this house will, to-more row, resolve itself into the said committee? -Ordered, that the order of the day, for the second reading of the bill for granting to his majesty certain additional stamp duties, for amending the laws relating to the stamp duties, and for indemnifying persons who have acted as notaries public, without being duly

same coing read; the said bill was read a secould time, and committed to a committee of the whele house, for to-morrow.—A petition of Sir T. S. M. Stanley, bart. was presented to the hoose, and read; taking notice of the bill for improving the passage between the town of Liverpool and the county of Chester, at the Rock Ferry, on the river Mersey, and for levying tolls on vessels using the same; and sotting forth, that the petitioner and his ancestors have for time irnmemorial been seised of an ancient and very valuable terry on the Cheshire side of the said in cr Mersey, called Eastham Ferry; and in case the piers, slips, and quays, in the said bill mentioned, are made and extended in the manner thereby proposed, the petitioner may be greatly prejudiced, and the profits of the said ferry considerably diminished; and therefore praying, that he may be heard, by his counsel, or agents, against the said bill, and that the same may not pass into a law Ordered, that the said petition do he upon the table, until the report be received from the committee to whom the said bill is committed; and that the petitioner be then heard, by his counsel, or agents, against the said bill, upon his petition, if he thinks fit.

Prtition from Cornwall respecting THE TENTH NAVAL REPORT.—A petition of table. the gentlemen, clergy, freeholders, and inhabitants, of the county of Cornwall, was presented to the house, and read; setting forth, " that the abuses in the expenditure of the public money, which have been lately brought to light by the commissioners of naval enquiry, have filled the minds of the petationers with alarm and indignation, and they call upon the house for the speedy remedy of such abuses, and for the exemplary punishment of the offenders; and that abuses in the expenditure of the public money (at all times matter of grievance) are pecultarly telt at this time, when so great a weight of taxation falls upon all ranks of people; and that a defence has been attempted of the guilt of the offenders, by the assertion that no actual mischief has been sustained by these gross breaches of trust and offences against law, as if the direct violation of a statute were not a high crime and misdemeanour without the aggravation, that the violation of which the petitioners, complain was systematic, and committed by a confi dential servant of the crown, himself the framer of the act which he has broken, and enjoying an increased salary under that very emjoyed by former treasurers; and that such gentlemen, clergy, and freeholders, of the

a defence naturally increases the fear that peculation in the expenditure of the public money is not comined to the naval department; and that the petitioners have long submitted to severe privations, in the persuasion that they were necessary to the welfare and safety of the country, and they claim, as their right, that the mone which is liberally granted shall be legally applied; and that the petitioners look with a confident hope to the house, after their votes of the 8th and 10th days of April last, that, as constitutional guardians of their liberty and property, they will neither be deterred nor diverted from a full and impartial enquiry into all abuses, in whatever department they may exist; and that the enquiry now begun will not cease, until all abuses in every public board be remedied, since so only will the minds of the petitioners be satisfied, the credit of the government be upheld, and that free constitution be preserved inviolate, which is the sacred birthright of Englishmen; and that lord viscount Melville, having been declared guilty of a high misdemeanour, by the vote of the house of the 8th of April last, a civil action is inadequate to the end proposed, and in itself incapable of satisfying the demands of public justice." Ordered to lie upon the

[PETITION FROM COVENTRY RESPECTING THE TENTH NAVAL REPORT]-A petition of the principal inhabitants of the city and county of the city of Coventry, was presented to the house, and read; setting forth, "that it appears to the petitioners, from the tenth report of the commissioners of naval enquiry, and by the votes of the house of the 8th and 10th days of April last, decisions which have excited the highest veneration and gratitude in their breasts, that there has been the most gross and spindalous misapplication of the public money in the office of lord viscount Melville, with his privity and connivance; and the petitioners deeming it highly essential to the detection of further mal-practices, that every department of government should undergo a strict and impartial scruting; and that every delinquent may be brought to exemplary punishment, humbly intreat that the powers so wisely and judiciously by the house intrusted to the said commissioners, and by them so ably, uprightly, and indefatigably employed, may be continued, or, if thought necessary, finther extended." Ordered to be upon the table.

[PETITION FROM ESSEX RESPECTING THE act. in full satisfaction of all wages and fees, TESTH NAVAL REPORT, A petition of the and other profits and emoluments theretofore noblemen, and the lumble petition of the

riff, at Chelinstoid, the 25th day of May, 1805, was presented to the house, and read; setting forth, "that the petitioners hambly feel, that it is no less their duty than their carnest wish and desire, to offer their warmsured, the gross violation of law, and breach. of public duty, committed by local viscoust Melville whilst he held the other of treasurer of his majesty's navy, and the petitioners further beg leave to express the satistaction they feel in witnessing, by subsequent votes of the house, their determinawhich may have easted in any other department of the public expenditure; and that, in the present situation of the empire, engaged in a war of unparalleled expense and difficulty, the petitioners feel, that it is essentially necessary to insure the strictest application of the reitional resources to the great and unportant objects for which they are called forth, that they entertain the fullest persuaso n, and it is their earnest wish and prayer, that the house will exercise that control over the name generation the public money which in their wisdom may appear necessary; that they will persevere in those measur's which they have already begun; and that they will establish a system of just and prudent economy in every branch of the public receipt and expenditure." * Ordered t the upon the table.

[LQED MELVILLE.]—A message was delivered from the lords stating, " that the lords do give leave to the lord Harrowby to come to the select committee of the house! of commons, to whom the tenth report of the commissioners of naval enquiry (respecting the office of the treasurer of his majesty's navy) is referred, to enquire into the application of any monies issued to the treasurer of the navy for naval services to purposes not naval, and whether any, and what representations were made to the lords commissioners of his majesty's treasury, or the chancellor of the exchequer, respecting the bers of their lordship's house, on the reque t withdrawing from the bank any sums of 'money so issued since the passing of the act of 25 Geo. 111 31; and also into the proceedings had for the recovery of the debt due to the crown by the late Adam Jellicoe; in order to be examined at that committee, if his lordship thinks fit;" and also, " that the lords do desire a present conference with

house, in the painted chamber, upon free dithem to acquaint the house of com-

county of Fsees, convened by the high she- the subject matter of their message to the lords, on Friday, the 3d day of this instant May, desiring that their lordships will give leave to lord viscount Melville to come to the select committee of this house, to whom the tenth report of the commissioners of est thanks to the house for their decisions and enquity (respecting the office of the on the 8th and 10th of April last, whereby treasurer of his myesty's navy) stands rethey so clearly demonstrated, and justly cen- ferred, in order to be examined at that committee." And then the messengers withdrew. Resolved, that this house doth agree to a conference with the lords, as is desired by their lordships. And the ir ssengers were again called in ; and Mr. Speaker acquainted them therewith. And then they again withdrew.—Ordered, that a committee be aption of prosecuting enquiries into all other pointed to manage the said conference. And violations of law and abuses of public trust, (a committee was appointed accordingly. Then the names of the managers were called over; and they went to the conference," and being returned; Mr. Loycester reported, that the managers had met the lords at the conference, which was managed on the part of the lords by the Duke of Norfolk; and that the conference was to acquaint this house; that the lords, always desiroes that a good intelligence and right understandars should be maintained betwixt the two houses, and persuaded that nothing can tend more effectually thereunto than a close adherence to the ancient and regular methods of proceeding between the two houses, have desired this conference upon the subject maner of the message sgut by the house of commons for leave for the lord viscount Meballe to attend the select committee of that house in order to be examined, to communicate to the house of commons;—that it appears undemably, by an uniform series of precedeals down to the present times that the course adopted by the lords, respecting the giving leave to the members of their lordship's house to go down to the house of commons, has been to permit the members of their lordship's house, on their own request, to defend themselves in the house of commons, if they think fit, on any points on which that house has not previously passed any accusatory or criminating resolutions against them; and also, to permit the menof the house of commons, to give evidence, if they think, fit, before that house, or any committee thereof, on those points only on which no matter of accusation or charge is at that time in any manner depending against them before that house, whether the same shall then have been resolved by the house of commons or not.—That the lore's had also dis-

tain their own, have given leave to loyd. Mr. For tose and spoke as follows. Sir, or the havy for maral services to purposes not no al, and whether any and what representations were made to the lords commissioners of his majesty's freasing, or the chancellor of the cachequer, respecting the withdrawang trom the bink any stans of money so issued since the passing of the act of 25 Geo III e 31; and also, into the proceedings had for the recovery of the debt due to the crown by the late Adam Jellicoe, in order to be examined at that committee, if he hall so think at, his lo dship, nevertheless, conforming him eli in all respects

to the course directed to be a ominumicated.

to the commons as above." [PUTPHON FROM ONFORD RESPECTING] THE FILLS OF THE ROMAN CAPLOLICS built's, and commonally, of the city of O_{x-1} precented to the house, and read, setting | barrassing the discussion of a man, he to forth, "that the petitioners having seen, I some per in , his at I as this advictore, by the votes of the house, that a petition has I that it wasts entirely on place less of peacial been introduced there from certain Roman , affection and good will, come of ed with your catholics of Ireland, on behalf of themselves, which every man much approve, and no and others, professing the Roman catholic man can condema. The questy of er, that religion, complaining of divers restraints and I have the honour of bondary but to you, in apacities to which they are subject, by and I do feel it a er a heart to have I en thest tutes now in force against them, and desired to liver it becomes equal to be relieved therefrom, the petition a position agas land unless by any twoners pray the house, that the several very great number of perseas, but clabre in , statutes constituting and establishing those and I take a at the lowest calculation, when restraints and incapacities, of which the said 1 cy, crestith of his majesty a subjects. petition complains, may be processed in Noy, further, I believe I to direct be meetviolate, in simuchous those statutes appear to feel of state them at one-for the off schole have been devised, by the wisdom of our of his majests a subject in force. My amesters, as the best and surest means of duty, therefore, calls, pointing to ple dothe giving permanency and security to the pro-cause of 3 c. 1 m basis of the people of testant is previoued to of the country in church streams, will cut reference to the proportion and state, and as, in the firm benet of the they beer to the population of the port of petitioners, the same, or equally as strong, I'm comme, let x h h must be abowed to rea ors now exist for the communica of continuitie greater, to exist of the catholic those efforted as when they were envited " subjects of his in 1986 - a proper constraint--Ordered, that the said rebuondolic open my to near a worth thin a fifth of the the tible

(ROMAN CARBOLIC PLILION) Ver IV.

mons, that then lordships, relying with the order of the day being read for teking into most perfect confidence that the house of consideration the Petition of the Roman Cacommon care at all times as desirous to pre- cholics of fitchand, and the petition atself (ee-

viscount Melville (who had also previously at the same time that i compet help feeling made it his own unqualified request) to go a considerable degree of an activat Leing down to the select committee of the house about to being before the non-y a subject of commons, to whom the tenth report of which, according to my enception of it, the commissioners of naval enquity (respect-) seems, in its probable control of edges, some ing the office of the treasurer of his majesty some and some in the binoire, to be of the may) is referred, to enquire into the apple | very highest importance; ver, Tectiess, f cation of any momes issued to the treasurer | feel infinitely less agrated than upon in any other subjects on which I have lately had occision to address y to. It is certainly a sert of recreation, if I may be allowed so to express inveli, after he my been obliged to perform the heaving dates or accession, after having promote l'enquaces into encumstances, certainly not note benowable to the country at large than to the individual concerned in them, a'et i living had my mind so has used find occupied, to feel that I am not now the mover of accession, but that Lamphadum the ceuse or my follow stageets, and that I am crocavouring to add to the strength of the country, without takmy from the credit, power, or authoray of and living men in the employ. I cannot Lelp hore, sen ble of the contrast between or that we have a petition of the mayor, the date stately imposed you me, and that of aftemption to draw the afterstion of the tord, in common council assembled, was house to a stayer, which, however coawhile population of the empire The parentially friundly that when I am

to the consideration of this house, I am not pressing them as adverse or hostile to the power or pre-emmence, much less the liberty, or privileges, of the subjects of any other part of the country. If I could persuade the house to do justice to the catholice, I should persuade them to render a most important service indeed, perhaps the most essential that remains to be done, or that ever was done, for the security, the greatness, and general weal of the empire, whether with regard to its internal policy, or external relations.-It may be somewhat difficult for me to choose on what port of the subject it is most proper to begin. The plain and simple statement of the question, and the first argument in support of it, would naterally be drawn from matter of fact, concerning which no controversy or difference of opinion ever did or can exist; I me in the number of persons who are affected by the question. If I had not heard that different opinions were entertained with respect to the policy and expediency of granting the prayer of this petition, I should hardly think if could be a question, whether a postion of his majesty's subjects, so considerable as nearly one-tamble, should be on a footing with the remainder, or should i have the enjoyment of equal laws, privileges, or advent g s. a. t the full participation and benefit of the constitution and government of the country? Against the principle so generally stated, cause may be shown, suppositions may be used, and tacts may be referred to, with a view to show that this, as well as any other general principle, may be liable to error. I will not detain the house long up on this point; but it is necessary I should call its attention to a topic, which may be considered more an object of theory than any thing else. I shall trouble the house but shortly, and only explain my opinion, that, whatever difference of sentiment and teeling may exist, that difference is purely theoretical—the question, in point of practical application, is precisely the What some call rights, and what others call indulgences, are precisely and exactly the same. The differences are rather differences between words than things.-There are two modes of considering this question; 1st, as it regards the rights of the subject; and 2dly, as it affects the rights of the crown That which was most in fashion. at different periods of the last century, was the latter mode of viewing it. For my own part, I do consider tife rights of the people is any essential difference, when, in fact, it

pressing the claims of the catholics of Ireland | governed to be the prominent rights. I consider, that those who compose the society of a state have a complete and unquestionable right to equality of law; but I do at the same time admit, that this principle is not to be taken generally. I adout the force of the other general maxim, that salus po-*pelesuprema est lex, and ought with propriety to be considered as an exception. Not only very able men, but men of prectical knowledge, have in their closets considered it in that light. Λ most respectable modern water of our own country, now living, (Dr. Paley) has stated, that the general right of government \$5 to do whatever may be necessary for the advantage of the people but he, and every man of sense, will tell you, that although this is undoubtedly the general right, yet whenever it is exercised by restrictions with regard to one elass of the people, such exercise become an abuse; or, in other words, the people have a right not to be restricted in any thing that is not adverse to the safety of the country. The people have a right to be exempted generally from unequal restriction; but when the safety of the country demands it, and history shows us that such instances are numerous, they are exceptions to the rule. and have always been so considered. - In the way in which different persons consider this subject, a difference of opinion has been produced, but the conclusion is the same. Some say they would give the catholics what they require, as a matter of favour, and a matter of policy, but not as a matter of right. Now, I say, I would give ir to them as a matter of right, but we, however, shall not differ, if the practical consequence of our reasoning come to the same thing. I would give it as a right, because it is the general right of the people, and because there is no exception which ought to operate against the catholics of Ireland. Though government has a right to impose restrictions; yet, if there be no necessity for them, their comes the right of the people to enjoy the benefit of every law, provided such enjoyment is not mischievous in its consequences to the country. It was therefore, sir, I wished to say these few words, because it is so important a part of the subject, and one which, from the nature of it, cannot be a question to-day, but may recur and become a question for future consideration. I should wish that all should understand each other, and particularly that it should not be supposed there

spect to the two theories, it is evident they lead to the same practical consequences To apply this to the Roman catholics of Ireland, I do not lay down a principle too ng'st of the catholics, as well as of the protest cits, to be on an equal footing, to have equal laws, privileges, and immunities, in all cases where they are not prejudicial to the welfere of the state. The only differences that could arise would be with regard to the degree in which they should enjoy those rights. Coses unight be put where persons might say nothing could justify a departure from the rule of right, but expeliency Some might say, political advantages, connected with external relations, would justify at Jothers would require such a degree of expediency as would amount to a necessity. They would require that not only the greatness of the country, but the security of the country, should be concerned. I thater my self we shall not go on such* near shades. The Roman catholics of Ireland have undoubtedly a right to equal laws, but the government has thought fit to cared that right, and to put them on a footing disadvantagious to them -To enter into the the cathours were originally politic, og. rasay, whether the policy which dictated them was of such a nature as to render that just ! which was not within the general rule of justice, would be a discussion exceedingly nineces ary at this moment. At the same time, it will be necessary to attend to the particular period of history in which these restrictions were principally imposed. I think I need not state what will be the mgunicat in reply. No man's mind, I hope, is so framed as to imagine that the restrictions can be justified on account of the length of time they have been allowed to continue. Such an opinion would be a soheism in political reasoning, it would do away the original principle on which such laws were founded, to contend, that though they might be unnecessary at the time they were adopted, yet that," by a long lapse of time, they have acquired a prescriptive light. If a restrictive law is made on account of peculiar circumstances of a political nature, the moment those circumstances cease, the restriction ceases to be politic, and tons quently ceases to be just. I cannot concerve

is a difference of words rather than of prin- that, where the circumstances on which a Whatever differences exist with re- law is founded have ceased, the justice of con'moing that law can be a matter for fair reasoning. It may so happen, though I think it has not o happened in this case. but it has many b ppened, that the fact of large, when I state that it is the general long restrictions may got if date it afterwards to restore the objects of them to that situation in which they would have been if the restrictions had never been imposed. I think one may generally sixty, that all the restrictions of the catholics were find, not on their refigiers and Correlation opinions. At the time they were nade, I have doubts wheth i many of those who con used in them did not disapprove of the principle; and I have doubts also, whether others did not mix sentiments of persecution and rancour with those restrictions. I would not wish to go to antient times; but in the vally period of the reigns of Queen Elizaboth and James 1, no one can supposent was any particular relenous bigotry that led to the respections with regard to the eatholics. As in as one con learn of the character of Queen Elizabeth, her forth was not so repagnam to the eatholic religion, as that of puny protestant minust is, who were principally concerned in the restrictions. She managed the question with a degree of question, whether the laws for restraining prudence which proced her one of the most consummate princes of the age. She seemed ther, whether they were post, that is to to be enoughed in a general war with several ereat catholic powers, and particularly with the King of Spini. From the connexion which the King of Spain had with the catholies by the league with France, she was necessarily involved in disputes with France, as well as other powers of the continent; therefore they were political circumstances which soccasioned those hards and severe laws against the eatholics which passed in her reign. Whatever wher pretences might have been resorted to, it is plun the catholics were not considered as the loyal subjects of Queen Elizabeth. But I am speaking of old times, and the circumstances of them do not clate to the present. Loren in the reigns that followed, very few restrictions by penal raw were enacted, very few restrictions of disabilities tool place till a much later period. This may be accounted for from the encumstance that there was no superion of the entholos; but afterwards, in the time of the Studies, and Charles I. and II, suspenies had taken possession of the nimds of the people of this country, which made these, restrictions incressary, how any man can be justified in supposing I many of which have, been done away, and

kingdom of Ireland antilegs there were a halfeel to en occasions of such public and

some are now under consideration. When thought that more lenient measures were we come to the revolution, it is impossible likely to be more successful. The effect not to see that all the laws of the catholics proved that the measures adopted not only were political laws. It was not a catholic, failed, but they were of a nature which but a jacobic, you wi hed to restrain When rendered their success absolutely impossible. King Jones was driven from the country, They were laws which, though nominally when his enormous tyranny became so against the catholics were substantially against mised with bigotry, that many persons prosethe jucclates. In the two next reigns the fe sed to be able to unrayel his conduct, and seme laws continued, because the same spitell what to advibate to rengion, what to lit was supposed to exist, and the same bigoty, and what to tyraray, it was easy doneer to be apprehended from it. In the to suppose that the eathories should be new rebellions which followed, the conduct of tuated by an attachment for a keep who had the catholics in remaining quict, give them lost his throne in a requence of his par- a just claim to the indule recording home, tights for thea forth. Ireland of the time yet no min who consuces the grounds of was the enter cold war. Undoebied, it those rebellions, will think that any degree was natural, about that was was retited by for trust could have been repoled in the conquest, to mexcut the conquered from cathelies—We come now to the period or enjoying the parties of the conquerors his present majesty's reign, a period at It was not against the religious faith of those, which all danger of a pretender, and the who ado ed the Virgan Mary, or believed in acturn of the Smart family to the throne, the decreace of transubstantiation - King was extinguished. I should certainly say, William was unquestionably a great man; that all dinger of that rature had vanished I may say the greatest that ever filled the in the latter end of the regnet George II, throne of this or any other country; but and that there was no longer any dispute as whoever would wish to raise his character, to the succession to his majesty's crown by representing him as a per centor of he- From that period no further danger exitted. resy and adolatry, materially mistake the During the lord-heutenines of the duke of character of that proces. I am persuaded, ! Bedford, at the time of his majesto's acthat he most relactantly constanted to harsh decision, the system of relaxation towards measures enamed the catheles of Ireland, the body of the embolics was adopted. There and only did act because it was represented was a tymarkable encounstance at the period to him b, he maisters, that they were ab- to which I am referring, that proves to me solutely necessary. That hong William more clearly than any thing else, that the would have acced when, it he had made causes of these retrictions were at an end those restrictions I is harsh, it is not now, So far was the lord heutemant of Jielend, our besness to decider. Long Will can in during the American war, and the war with core angluse in to the opinion of others, Trance, from preceding that there was non the ground of the difference any danger is he apprehended, that upon of operior among the Roman catholics as to an alarm on the coast of Cork, aims, though the right of succession to the crown, and in contrary to laws were put into the hands conforming to that advice which his munisters of those against whom the restrictions is gave him. The pars that followed the re- manyd, on account of the report suspivolution were need of them years of war; terms that they were not worthy of being and those that were not years of war, were, "trusted. Then undoubtedly there was a good with reference to the catholics, years of a deal of difference of opinion; for although suspicious naure. Endoavours were made there was not much doubt in this house, to higher about a religious war, in which it yet gentlemen must know that the cathowas impossible for the enemy not to have hes of Ireland were the subject of much looked with confidence to the assistance of consideration. I need only refer to the Ireland, and therefore the cath, has were letters pubushed by the late Mr. Burke redisarried. It might have been wise so to lative to the consensations in those days do. That there were befored motive, actually some I will not attempt to deny—there were many persons in this, as well as those Mr. Burke returned being mentioned in a conversation in this there were many persons in this, as well as those. It became a topic of discussion during the many persons in this, as well as the conversation in this conversation. that country, who were of opinion, that by | rang the period of the American war, when these paracoutions the should convert to pure politics run high, and when a cast the protestants the property of the whole left varia, as undoubtedly they count to

portance. The opinion then was, that it tholics of Ireland, but also of the landed was a desirable thing to liberate the c tholics from the disqualifications which attached to them; and I rather believe that the real grounds of the motion, and between the cachones and the protestants, of the bill, moved and seconded by two celebrated names, sir George Savine and thiologure now powered of a great deal of Mr Dunning, were not so much to relieve that property which was taken from their the catholics of Ireland. I did conceive, lancesfor. I mention this, because one of that to but a man of his right on account of the apprehen less voider spect to the cathohis religious opinions, was tyranny, that bes was, that the class preserved memoirs of the maxim of salus populi never could ap- the aucient state of project, and that, on a ply, because the sefety of the people could | favourable opportugity, they were to claim not operate as a ground for preventing a of the protestants oil deproperty that beman from enjoying his religious opinion longed to their acceters. This objection A great disposition was shown to follow up has been complitely done away; for at this the system of relaxation. It was thought moment, it you were to reverse the act of that what had been done might lead to a settlement, and restore the property of those relaxation of all the laws against the citho-who possessed before Cromwell's time, I behis All that scattered men's minds at the lieve the catholics would be as great suffertrue visitins,—an apprehension of the pope ers as the protestants. And what catholics? or pertender. There might have been in Why, the catholics who are now rich and son, person, entiments of respect and com-, powerful, viz. the only enholics to whom passion, and an others an inclination to tount the would give an addition of power or in ult, but there was not one person the time of the equisition of property by who had any degree of fear or terior, as the eatholies, I have never been able to conone single ingredient in forming his opinion—coive on what principle their demands were It was said, that the restrictions in fieldind, not conceded to thom; least of all, why the ferocious manners of those who were particular restrictions should have been kept profestints, and the insides sustained by the up, when others were abandoned. What catholics, had produced, a. Mr. Burke says; are the restrictions now existing? The gea degree of desperation in that unhappy need restrictions may be comprised under people, which made it doubtful how fir these two heads, one, the arapacity under they were to be trusted. The effect of the which the cathodes lie with regard to the ensystem had been that of changing, by despoyment of ce (an offices, car) and mulitary; grees, the whole property of Ireland, and the other, the meapacity of sitting in either that country was brought into a state highly chouse of parhament. Centlemed who have to be lamented. I do not mean to make attended to all this history of the restrictions any comparison between the treatment of of the eathories (sorry I am to siv, a large the black slaves on the coast of Africa, and chapter in the history of Great Battam,) that of the people of Ireland. I mean only need not be told, that it has been useless to state, that it was a circumstance likely to with reference to the code proposed, and corproduce the general distillation of the tamly odrous to those who have been affectpeople, that the whole of the property was ed by it. I believe it is not considered by in the hands of the protestant ascendancy, foreigners as that part of our constitution while the mass of the population was catholic. Which is most deserving of admiration. The Even among those whose forms of leovern-jetwo heads of restrictions are quite distract. ment are less free than ours, the property and huppose. I proceed to consider, firm, that power should go hand-in-han I, and there with respect to offices, the restrictions should be no other distinction except that of under this head go either to limit the prethe proprietor and the servent. We began progetive of the crown or the choice of the Ly enabling the catholics to acquire property What has been the consequence? The power connected with the free trade and constitution we gave to Ireland in 1782, has prodiced an increase of property beyond all proportion greater than that enjoyed By the protestants. There has been not only an incrosse of mercantile property among the ca-

property. This has been attended with the happiest eff of It has produced the effect of softenin; and correcting those distinctions which were found so oppressive. The capeople We restrain the privocative of the crown in appointing the catholics to certain let us examme on what ground. offices Originally the test act was for the purpose of excluding the callobes from the service of Charles, II., to prevent catholics being appointed by Charles II to executive officere and here a very whimsical but strong was that of Jimes II., who was a professed toan 1 000 000l.". He says, he is content

observation occurs. One of the most po- jup the restraint. You have given it up with pular arguments in favour of the test, with a regard to all subordinate offices in the army view to the restraint on the prerogative, and may, and in the profession of the law, and I have heard it frequently used, was, but you refuse it with respect to the higher that it was necessary to make the constitue | Affects. Then you say to the cutholics, "we tion agreeable to analogy; and that when have kept nothing from you as a body; it was insisted that the king should be of von do not all expect to be chancellors, gethe church of England, it was necessary merals, staff officers, admirals, or other all his officers should be of the same persua- | great officers: therefore, as you do not all sion. What beautiful uniformity there is expect to arrive at these distinctions, there in this, I own I cannot see | I apprehend can be no form in forbidding any of you to that our ancestors reasoned in a very dif-bottom them !" Do you wish the Roman ferent manner—I apprehend it was not because we forced the king to be a protestant, that we found it necessary to have his officers of the same religion, but because we doubted whether the king was in reality a made mistrust of them, they will be executed and mistrust of them, they will be executed protestant or not, and because we suspect- with that remissness and disregard of the ed him of a design to overturn the consti- public service which such miserist is colcutation of the country, as in the case of (leted to inspire. Suppose I send to a gen-James II. If we suspected him of being a theman of the law, and I say to him, it is catholic, it was right we should not suffer true you may possess talents, but do you any officers to be near him who might assist think there is any probability of your loan; think there is any probability of your loan; but it is the most strange reasoning I ever sever, that there was not, but is there not a heard, that because the king being a protestant, and therefore not liable to suspicion, sibility and bar put to the advencement of a you are to prevent him from having the as- man to the honours of his profession? sist are of his catholic subjects. This test | Suppose a person is engaged in trade, and passed in the reign of Charles II, and with he can gain a bare living, or perhaps save the approbation of a very great man (Mr. about twenty pounds a year - I say to him, Locke), who observed, that it might have " you may go on, and be as industrious as been a necessary measure. The next reign yes please, but you shall never make more catholic. If there was any virtue in other ed. Well, but does any one thank that this divs-God knows there was little enough in 'country could have arrived at the height it Ins: if he had repealed the test act, it would thus, it there had been such a restriction on have been for the purpose of obtaining the the evertions of industry? It is not bemeans of atting against the liberty of the couse a man's quality is low, that he is subject. Then how came the laws to be prevented by the exercise of his faculties continued. The continuation of the test from becoming wealthy; but if you limit laws after the revolution, was because the lins endervoins, you destroy the spirit of dissenters being included in the test act, it enterprise and evertion which impels him. was the object of the high church party to and, by such a system finally prevent his hold the dissenters to a law who hother had succees. Do you not think it would be the favoured. It was a kind of compapiese, on emest destructive blow to the enterprise, in enacting it against the Roman catholics, to duetry, and energy of the country, and say, we will retain it against you. In this undermine the principal source of our relies, control of the parliament, it ought to be to put a restraint on the exercise of a man's observed how the question stands. The test genius and industry? Do we not often he a does not prevent the king from appointing of a person, not of consequence either trem a catholic to any office, civil or military; birth or fertune, say, "I live, thank God, it only makes it necessary, after a certain in a country, were, by industry and tab nts, time, for the person appointed to do a linary arrive at the fortune of the greatest certain act. With respect to the catholic duke in the land." Is not this cheering dissenters, you have given it up in a great. Is not the unlimited power of gain the event. number of points, and you have maintained principle on which industry, entergo e, and it in others. We come now to the distinc- | commerce exist? What should we say it menot tion of those cases in which you have given | particular descriptions were to be restricted in

circumscribed to the limit of their possible gain. Apply the principle to the professions—to the law particularly, the one perhaps in which it operates the most. I would ask those who are conversant with the profession, whether it would not damp the ardour of a young man, if he were to be told that he might obtain some pecuniary advantage, but that he could never rise to any office of dignity. I am not supporting the propriety of including sanguine hopes, but certainly one of the greatest incentives in the breast of a parent to give his son a good education, is the hope of one day seeing him fill the situation of chancellor, or some other splended office. Take that hope away, and you destroy the greatest incentive to an aspiring mind. But when you apply the argument to a inilitary life, how much stronger is it! Is not the very essence of the profession ambition, and a thir t of glory? What can you expect of a beutenant or captam, who, after exerting luniself in the service of the country, comes here, and, reflecting upon the dangers he has shared, admires the skill and ability of his commander, or perhaps thinks somethins might have been done betterwho must be his feelings, if he is obliged to add, "But I can never expect to command an army; all my thoughts are useless; * peers did really occur? Here I quote M. ego, ral on the staff, that I can never be! I the votes of the catholic peers, I think, progo to my et dion, because I am a nom of honoon, but can I do n with the same eagermess as if, after I have escaped the danger, may a world was to be proportioned?" Does not such a consideration as this lay an extingeider en naluary enterprise? Is it not desmable that every man should look, for the perpose of exciting his activity and real, to future rewards of the highest sort? But put it in another way. Is it not of importance that every men cutrusted with the con erns of others should teel the necessity of gaining a great character for ability and insegrity? It is not only satisfactory but necessary. But if you ey, there is a ne plus ultra, beyond which you cannot go you are to think only of filling your coffers, quocunque modo rem, how different must be the situation, of him who feels he can never rise in his profession, though endued with the most splendid talents, compared with the man whose exertions are excited by the prospect of future honours! Do you think these men, the catholics, do not believe themselves to be a marked people, separated from the rest of the community, not on account of their

their fair pursuits? They stand marked and religious opinons, but the political opinions connected with them? In all great concerns, the extent of the justice or injustice is of considerable importance Who is it you are thus stigmatizing and degrading? Is it a few people of a particular way of thinking? No; it is three-fourths of the people of Ireland, and one-fourth of all his 'majesty's subjects in Europe. Would you think, that, under. these circumstances, such a thing could be, so far as to the part that relates to the control of the king's prerogative? I ought, however, first to mention the exclusion from being sheriffs; but that is more connected with the jurisdiction I shall have to mention hereafter. Cananybody suppose, that government would be likely to put improper persons into the office of sheriff in Ireland? Would they nominate catholic sheriffs, to raise disturbances? I say, it is one of the occasions in which it is least possible to suspect an abuse of the kings prerogative, and where it ought not to be controlled. Now with respect to parliament, the votes of the peers in parliament subsisted during the reigns of Elizabeth, James I. Charles I. and II. till somewhere about the period of 1698. I would ask the most zealous historian that took the side against the Stöuris, whether any mischief by the votes of the cathol c I may be a colonel, perhaps a general, but a Locke, who says—" and with respect o yided the test act is preceived, they are ft and benencial 'Valien did they cease ! In 1098, upon the decovery of the popish plot, suppose it to be true or false, when the country was thrown into a paroxysm of tefor, when it was believed that the catholi s were going to me soile the protestant, when it was expected they were to have the assistance of the king of Spain, and when the ridicalous story of the silver bullets was set on foot. It was at such a moment of popular fury this measure passed. No man the ught of expelling the cetholics from perliament till the people had been put into a paroxysm of tage and terror. Who distaley do this? Because there was nothing else to be done against them: "it was for no other reason they passed that intolerable law, which put an end to their sitting in the house of commons—You come new to that part of the case which does not ancet to diminish the power of the king, but to cortrol the rights of the people. You go to the electors of Jieland: and you say to them, you shall not elect reatholic. Upon what principle is it you conceive, that if a Roman

that there were four-fifths catholic and learned professions, are all duly represented; that you have the landed country gentlemen, statesmen and politicians, soldiers, sailers, merchants, lawyers—in fact, deny it: you have not the representayou are atraid to have—you ought to desire what they pray for—you ought to have that complete virtual representation they offer you. I have been speaking for the public catholics. You say to the people of Birmingham, Sheffield, and Manchester, it is

catholic has a mischievous project in his | ment who are connected with the commerce head, it can be deteated by keeping him out and manufactures of those places. It is true; of parliament? It has always been the ob- but still it is my wish to have a more direct jection to the test act, that two descriptions representation. The fact is, the virtual reof protestants are in the house of commons, presentation is undoubtedly a vital principle We know the dissenters do sit, and have be- | in 'the constitution of the country II any come the most meritorious of any of its mem- particular class of men are excluded, you bers. What is the objection to the catholics? have not a real virtual representation, in the That they cannot wish well to the church of sense the word representation ought to be England: Why, that is your ergument understood, implying a sympathy and felagainst the dissenters. You do not deny low feeling between the representative and the dissenters the privilege of sitting in particle persons represented. The very substance liament, though you say they do not ap- of representation is, that the members of prove the church establishment. But the pullament should not be able to tax their practice is every thing. What would be the constituents without taking themselves practical effect of the catholics having a seat Now 1 say that there is no feeling of this in the house of commons? Does any man kind with respect to the catholics. Upon believe, that if there were a total repeal of the same principle you deprive the electors these restrictive laws, there would be twen- of Ireland from electing Roman catholicsty catholic members returned from Ireland you deny the corporations the right of to this house? But I would take it according choosing them, for they cannot be at the to the population of the country, and say, head of any corporation. I want to know If, upon what principle it is that corporations contrary to all the principles that govern are to be denied the privilege of appointing elections, the mere population were the only catholics to the office of mayor, or other suthing to be considered, this would, perhaps, perior offices? Corporations being composed give about 80 members. Now the house consists of 65% members. Supposing it possible that 80 catholics were to be returned out of that number, though I do not think being admitted. Is not this one of those adthere would be more than twenty, could ditional instances in which you keep the they be dangerous to the establishment of stigma without any practical advantages? this country? If the doctrine of virtual re- You fix an unnecessary stigma on the capresentation be well founded, would it not tholics; and an unnecessary stigma is, of all add to the true virtual representation of this modes of punishment, that which is most country, if three-fourths of the representa- I grating to the people, and destructive of the tives were catholics? When people put the unanimity and concord necessary for the argument to extremes, and say, that this safety of the state. I shall say a very few place is not represented, and that place is words as to certain objections to the matter not represented, but that you have those in of this petition. I think the objections to the house of commons who represent the the jacobites are given up; but it is said. whole community; that the triding and that there is something in the nature of the commercial interests, and the military, naval, Roman catholics that makes it dangerous to grant them the same privileges as protestants. Some have stated, that there is a general impropriety and incongruity in persons of different religious principles acting together. that you have a kind of virtual representa- 1 should like to know the theory on which tion of all the people of the contury,—I | this argument rests. I am speaking now of religious differences; -why two men sitting tion of the Roman catholics—you want what in council together should, instead of inquiring how the forces of the country ought to be disposed of, and where the fleets ought to be sent, whether to Jamaica or any other part of the West Liches, fall to a dicussion benefit—I now speak for the benefit of the about transubstantiation, and dispute because one adores the Viron Mary and the other adores the saints? Is it to be supported tine, you send no members to the house of that justices on the beach, when they try commons, but you have members of parha- criminal or civil points, will quit their dut;

in order to commence idle controversies on inflaence of the pope, with respect to this religious points. There are countries where country, had coased. His power became the law and religion are one and the same afterwards absolutely insignificant; and duthan; where consequently, there would be I ring the whole of the question between the an impropriety in separating them: Isn' I houses of Stem, and Brunswick, it was nowant to know, upon what principle it is that | torious that the pope could not stir one Romen may not act together, who entertain strong differences on religious creeds. This that the persons principally concerned in stands upon theory only, for the practice is i against it Is there in Europe one state or ! country that does not employ persons of difforent religious per uasions in the highest odices? In former times even this was the while he was an a sout of serviced and lapractice, when there was more heat and militation? Did the pive, while he lighted animosity. When bigotry was at its height to this country as almost he only support. in France, when it led Henry the Fourth to wish to overtiern our go comment, and prerenounce the protostant and embrace the cathelic religion, in order to obtain the throne \ of that kingdom, did it ever occur to my fear of the inflaence of the paper, when be one to segreat, that the Duke de Sally, his memoter, who was a protestant, could not l advise with him about public affairs? Was he ever accused of being a bad minister, because he was a profestant? No one ever objected to M. Nockar, the minister of the Life king of France, because he was a protestant. Does not the emperor of Germany cupley protestant, in the various important adans of his dominions? The government of Vienna is intrusted to prince Ferdinand of Wintemberg, a protestant. It is true, the bugony of Frederick the Great could not induce him to employ protestimis as his minot is or officers, but perhaps it was because he could not find any that were fit for his service. What is the case with Russia? The first employment in the service of the emperor of Russa is filled by prince Sarto riski, whose religion is that of the Greek church. With regard to the Swiss cantons, the employment of protestants has been, perhaps, less than in other places, but they have frequently filled offices of government jointly with the catholics. In the democratic canton of Uri, and some others, the catholies were more numerous; a proof that they may take an active part in the administration of a popular government, without any evil consequences resulting from the opinions they profess. In the canton of Appenzel the catholics and protestants are half and half.—The protender being gone, and all other questions or radical difficulty removed as to him, we now come to another person-the pope. I wish to know whether, during the last 200 years, the pope his been a person to be forred? If he has, it can only have been in one way, by his oppre-sion of the catholics. Long before the period of the revolution, all the political for the care that none but Roman cotten Vol. IV.

man catholic in Irehard. But it is stated the rebellion of 1703 vere from a catholics. There no to but the the earth has had their share in that readhers, but very they in-stign . Thy the rope . What he the pege, vail on the Linh either site follow New rs O'Connor, Lamactt, and Ministrate The has no power to do us home, and when he cannot do to good, even an ag'i he to check it is perfectly absurd. It is an aliam which eabe accounted for on no rational principle Has the recollection of the proconsuls, sent by the Cæsais is govern this country, left such an impression upon us, as to make w dread every thing that comes from Rome ! But it is said, Buon, parté le is obtained an influence over the pope, the pope governs the Irish puests, and thus Buop mais will be able to attach to him the catholics of he land Without canvassing the question of the in clination of the pope to serve the views of Buovaparté, I shall admit that the French government will willingly employ his influence so far as they can obtain it. That the great energy of this country would be very willing to make use of such an engine to serve his purposes in Ireland, I have no doubt. But how will be use his influence? If you will repeal these laws, you will have nothing to fear from that quarter; but if on the contrary, you persevere in your restrictions, the way in which the influence or much dreaded may be exercised can only b. this: The Irish catholics will be told, A: equal participation of rights was held out to you; but, instead of granting you per claims, instead of affording you the relie and protection you were promised, you are still stigmatized as outcasts. You have therefore, now only to look to a catholic ctr. peror for assistance, and through bire von may expect the error parion which has been denied you. It's is the language which may be u shifty notice demand c persist in your pray " system; let, in to other alternative, what bulldeness in the paptance? Suppose he were to direct the pros-

members were chosen for Ireland; and suppose this influence were so far to succeed as to bring a considerable proportion of Roman catholics into this house among the representatives from Ireland, is it likely that Buonaparté would find many friends among these Roman catholic members? If there were eighty members Roman catholics, it would be an extravagant supposition indeed to say that even three of them would be so dead to all sense of honour and duty, so blind to the interests and happiness of their country, as to become the instruments of Buonaparté. Of the induence to be used in this way by the pope, surely no reasonable person can entertain any serious apprehension. Is it possible to look forward to any future circumstances under which that influence can become dangerous? Great men, it is said, have long views; but some views are so, long, that my eight, I must contess, cannot reach them. It has been caid of our system of government, esto perpetua: but I should desire no better security for the power and the constitution of this country leating for ever, than that they should continue until either a pope or a Buonaparté could obtem a majority in this house. -1 must now turn to another view of the question. It has always been maintained that the defferences between the Roman catholics and the protestants are not merely religious, but political. It is on this ground the oaths the tarmer are required to take are defended. The oath is framed against the authority of a foreign priest, though that audiority is merely spiritual. But if it be any objection to the Roman catholics, that they deny the king's supremacy, what do you say to the opinions of the people of Scotland? The presbyterian religion, which is established in Scotland, does not admit the king to be the head of the church; and surely the presbyterian doctrine and discipline of it are at least as repugnant to the established religion of this country, as tho opinions of the Roman catholics are! Yet Scotland, with this presbyterian church, forms a part of the united kingdom. But do not the Roman catholics swear, that no the doctring they hold on the question of supremovey? They do swear, and yet it is said we cannot believe them. What! are they not to be believed on oath, because they are Roman catholics? To make such a declaration, is to display to my mind either

vernment of this country, it would be an avowal of wickedness beyond any thing I can conceive. Would you say, that you proposed and passed acts of parliament to persuade them to swear that which you would not believe when sworn? Would you own that you wished to seduce them into perjury? The moment you find that a man attends mass, he is therefore a Roman catholic, and therefore no longer to be believed. To add to the absurdity, you frame another oath, to keep out of parliament those very persons of whom it is said you must not believe that which they swear. This is really at once insulting to the understanding and the feelings of mankind more than a generous and ingenuous mind can be expected patiently to bear —I shall not pretend to enter into controversial acquments on the question of doctrine. Indeed, that is a subject respecting which I own [have neither sufficient learning not patient e to fit me for the discussion; but if I had as much of both as the lord chancellor of Ireland, I am sure his example would deter me from undertaking so ardnous a task. When I consider the state of religion in Europe, of which perhaps three-fourths of the inhabitants are Roman catholic, I am astonished that such opinions respective that religion can be maintrined. Is it possible that any man can be found bold enough to say of three-fourths of the inhabitants of civil-zed Europe, that they are not to be believed upon oath? Such an assertion implies, that Roman catholic pations are not only meapable of the relations of peace and amity, but unfit for any of the relations of society whatever. The existence of any such maxim supposes gross ignorance and batharism in the people among whom it prevails. Every enlightened mind, every man who wishes well to his country, must treat it with scorn and indignation. When a bill was some time ago introduced respecting the army, I objected to the oaths it contained. on the ground that it was not fit to ask any man to take them; but it will be extraordinary indeed, if those who insisted upon prescribing these oaths should now turn round, temporal consequences whatever follow from and declare, that they will not believe them when taken. When the petition I had the honour to bring into this house was first read, the clear and temperate statement of the case which it contains appeared to make a deep impression. I think I could see gentlemen say to then selves, this great malignancy of heart, or an extraordi- is not the way I used to think of particle new of understanding: but if the Roman catholics. No, certainly not. declaration were made on the part of the go- It is not the way in which many used to

pressions from persons who perhaps had an interest in misleading their judgment. But it has since been whispered, that the language of the petition signifies nothing, because it is subscribed only by laymen. can assure the house, however, that there is no ground of any suspicion on this account." The reason why there are no names of priests in the petition is, because it relates only to civil rights; on this account only, clerical persons thought it would be improper in them to subscribe it. The oath, however, has been taken by all-the archbishops, bishops, and most of the priests of Ireland; and if it be thought necessary that it should be taken over again, it will be taken. however, have always regarded the administration of the oath as improper, and I recollect having some difference of opinion with a late noble friend of mine on this subject, I mean lord Petre, from whom, had he sat in the house of loids, the established religion of this country would have had nothing to fear, for he would have only obtained more frequent opportunities of displaying his sincere attachment to the constiturion His lordship defended the oath, because it afforded the Roman catholics an opportunity of publicly contradicting the calummes reported against the... I said, that that might be an object with him, but it was none with me, and that I did not wish such a law to remain on our statute-book Having stated that I entirely disapprove of this oath. I must, however, inform the bouse, that I have at this moment, in my pocket, a letter from several of the archbishops and bishops, declaring that they have taken and signed the oath. They also declare, that it contains nothing contrary to the doctrines or faith of the Roman catholic religion, and that it is to be taken equally by the clergy and the laity; but foreseeing that the fact of the oath being taken might be questioned, certificates have been sent from the courts before which it was administered. It is in these courts, therefore, a matter of record, and the authority of the fact is completed. It is said, that since the Roman eatholies have already got so much, they ought not to ask for more. My principle, however, is directly the reverse. It is natural that men in a state of servitude should wish to recover their rights; that they should desire to assimilate their rights with those of their fellow-citizens, in order that they may acquire a greater degree of similinity with them. It is their ambidion to be

think, because they had received false im- | no longer slaves, but to become men. They ask this; and until they obtain all they want, they have comparatively gained nothing. It would be to shut your eyes to all the evidence of history, to suppose that you could impose upon men an obligation not to look forward to the complete acquirement of their rights; from the moment they began to enjoy any of them, they must aspire to be on a parity with the rest of their fellow-citizens The better argument is, that having already . conceded so much, what remains is nothing to you to give. Nothing can be more absund than the conduct which is adopted towards the Roman catholics. You admit the lower orders into the army and may, and you prevent the higher from 115mg to that rank they might expect to attain. You put arms into the hands of men, who, if the French were to land, might be, from their want of knowledge, influenced to do you mischief; and yet you will not trust lord Fingal, or his brother, with a command. You rely, however, it appears, with confidence, on the loyalty of the ignorant and the prejudiced, and you intro t them with arms. Of which class of Roman catholics are you afraid; the higher, or the lower? You do not trust those whose property gives them an interest in the country, and whose superior knowledge and information teach them to prefer the government of their country to every other; but you rely on the ignorant and uninformed. You place in the hands of the latter the means of insuragetion, and you take from the former the power they would have, by their influence, to repress commotions. But though you have little to give, what they have to ask is to them immense. You have left them much power to do you mischief, and have afforded them little means of doing you good... Though they require only qualification, coporation, parliament, and offices under government, the object is of great magnitude to them., It is founded on the great principle of requiring to be placed on a footing of equality with their fellow-subjects. Equality of rights is one of the principles which is dearest to the human heart, and it is one which the laws of Great-Britain, to their immortal honour, sanction. In whatever country that principle prevails, it produces the greatest of blessings. That country is truly happy, where, in the language of a great modern poet,

[&]quot;Though poor of e prasant's hut, his feasts though smalls

[&]quot; Hereis handa 'et the lot of all,

" Sees no contiguous palace rear-its head, To shame the meanness of his humble shed" If a people are placed in a state of humility ! and degradation, can it be said, that to get out of that situation is to them nothing? But the confusion which prevails on this occasion has arisen from mixing politics and religion, two things which it has always been the wish of the wisest philosophers and statesmen to keep distinct and separate. It is with great concern I have heard, that some enument members of the established church are hostile to the proposition I have to make; but I have some consolution in reflecting, that I have with me a person who enjoys as high a reputation! as any member of the church, and for i whose character I have the highest venera- (measure certainly cannot be defended at all, tion and respects-1 one v. Dr Piley observes. " it has indeed been asserted, that ! discordancy of icligions, even supprising each [religion to be tree from my crices that affect ! the safety or the conduct of government, is enough to render men until to act together m public databs. But upon what argument, e up at v ber experience, is this asrestan implicate I percent no reason why mental and rest of pious permissions may not sary make to reach, deliberre in the same council of the status same ranks, a as well as a created to associate opinions? open and control of natural phi- Church for the most part adhere to the inteloso, pv., hasters as a caldes? Dr. Paley contide spectrum cells just hable on account of pretender to the crown of these kingdoms political opinious, which may affect the safeis of government. In endeavouring to state the case of ciclusion, he says-" After all, such dangerous prejudices, government i haor direct has test against the political principles themselves, which he wishes to exclass, tather thin encounter them virough the medaunt of religious tenets, the only of jacobitism; an equivocal, indeed, and fal crime and the only danger of which consist in their preserved alliance with the former? Why, for exact 15, should a man be required a to renounce transubstantiation before he be ' admitted to an office in the state, when it . might seem to be sufficient that be abjure justification of those severe and jealous law the pretender? There are but two answers! that can be given to the objection which this | fessors of that religion, was accidental in it question contains: first, that it is not opinions which the laws fear so much as inclinations, and that political inclinations are not so easily detected by the affirmation or detail of any abstract proposition in politics, as by the discovery of the religious creed with they are wont to be visited. and bridge that when men renounce their mage in the y commonly quit all con-

nexion with the members of the church which they have left, that church no longer expecting assistance or friendship from them; whereas particular persons might insinuate themselves into offices of trust and authority, by slibscribing political assertions, and yet retain their preddection for the interests of the religious sect to which they continued to belong. By which means government would sometimes find, though it could not accuse the individual, whom it had received into its service, of disaffection to the civil e tablishment, yet that, through him, it had communicated the aid and influence of a powerful station to a party who were hostile to the constitution. These answers, hewever, we propose rather than defend. The except where the sa pected union between certain obnovious panciples in politics, and oction tenets in remains, is nearly universal in which case it makes little difference to the subscriber whether the test be religious or political; and the state is somewhat better secured by the one than the other." I shall only take up the time of the house a few moments in reading another passage, in which it is clearly stated, that restrictions should not be continued after the encumstances in which they have originated have corred . Thus, if the members of the Romes! rests, or maintain the right, of a foreign and it there he no way of distance slanthose who do from these who do not need. it may be asked, why should not the logie- well a manted in fenong out the vl. a sect from situations of trust and power. The even in this example it is not to popery too the laws object, but to popery as the much lacious mark, but the best, and perhaps the only one that can be devised. But then a should be remembered, that as the connex ion between popery and jacobitism, which is the sole cause of suspicion, and the sole which have been enacted against the proorigin, so probably it will be temporary usits duration; and that these restriction ought not to continue one day longer that some visible, danger renders them no cessary to the preservation of public tranquillity." Whatever then may be the opinions of certain members of the esta blishment, I am happy to have the op portunity of quoting one authority, which

tue, and sound morals, must respect.—With regard to the time when these restrictions ought to have been removed, if there could be one time more proper than another, it was when the union was carried. To that measure I certainly was hostile, and have seen nothing since which could induce meto alter my opinion; but whether that opimon be right or wrong, is nothing to my present argument. The period at which the introduction of this measure would have been most proper, doubtless, was the moment when the expectations of the Roman entholics were raised, when hopes were held out to them, or when they themselves at least conceived that the hour of their emancipation was arrived, and that they were to he placed on an equal footing with their fellow citizens. It has been said, however, that on this subject an argument may be crawn from practice which is sufficient to Alchee all reasoning. No one is a greater friend to the opposition of practice to theory than I am, when that opposition is justly applied. In the present case it is observed, that when the severe laws existed against the Roman catholics in Ireland, all was tranquality, even during the rebellions of the years 1715 and 1745; but that, after the concessions had been granted, the rebellion et 1765 broke out, in which the Roman catholes joined for the purpose of subvertrig the morarchy and the constitution. If this argument were true, it would go only to this, that restrictions are good for keepand markend in a state of tranquillity; and, therefore, you ought never to release them. from severe laws, never restore them to their rights. This argument goes against every principle of liberty, and is only calculas d to support the cruellest tyranny and that disappointment; for it was not until. 1) st degrading slavery. Its present object after the recall of Lord Fitzwilliam, that a is to deprive of their rights one fourth of connection began to be forthed between Irehis pagesty's rubjects, and to place them in a state which must greatly embarrass the power and resources of the empire. Surely if there be a malady in our situation, this is it. But were there no circumstances besides the concessions, which rendered the situation of the Irish catholics very different in the year 1798 from what that situation was in the reign of George 11? Is it supposed that the operation of the French revolution had no influence on their minds, as well as on the minds of men in other parts by the same per-ons, that, whad these of Fanope? The circumstances of that revolution may family be allowed to have tend- | that they must have given up all hope of

all who love profound learning, exalted vir- giance, not as catholics, but as subjects. Is there not also some allowance to be made for the connexion formed between the Roman catholics and the protestants of the north of Ireland, a people of enlightened minds, powerful from their talents and their industry? But the people of that part of Ireland, who are well known not to be much attached to the established church, considered the catholics to be like themselves, persecuted. The year 1798 opened new views, and to the union which was then formed between the protestants and the catholics ought the activity of the latter in the rebellion to be in some degree ascribed. There is also another little circumstance which ought not to be passed over, when it is attempted to be argued that nothing intervened between the concessions in the year 1793 and the rebellion. Did nothing happen during Lord Fitzwilliam's administration? Did that noble lord not cohreive that he was acting the best for the peace of Ireland, by holding out to the catholics the bope of what they called their emancipation? Doubts have been entertained whether he was authorised by government to encourage such hopes: but that has nothing to do with the present question; that the expectation did exist, is a fact of the greatest importance. When that noble lord was recalled, when a motion was made on the subject in parliament, and negatived, the Roman catholics saw with grief the cup they had looked at with so much eagerness, suddealy dashed from their lips, at the moment they at last expected to enjoy it. Would not any man say, that if he were a catholic, this would have been to him a great cause of despondency? The lifstory of the country" showed the melancholy consequences of land and France: and there is every appearance that the disappointment then experienced by the Roman catholics, drove some of them into this connexion. We have been told, that it appears from cortain inquiries made by the Irish parliament, that catholic emercipation and rejoin were not considered by the people in some parts of Ireland as of more value than a bit of paper or a drep of ink. I believe this may be the fact; but was it not also stated measures been granted, they were awars ed to make them swave from their alle | doing what deep call good, but which we

call mischief? All those who wished to revolutionize Ireland were greatly alarmed during Lord Fitzwilliam's administration, and were perfectly convinced, that, if the measures to be proposed were carried, their intentions would be completely defeated. I have been told, that at the finit of the amion no distinct promise of redress was made to the Roman catholics, and I believe it. No minister could promise that which depended upon the determination of parliament. The right hon, gent, opposite to me could have done nothing more than promise, to recommend their claims: but did not the catholics believe that through the measure of the union they would obtain complete redress? Did they not rely on the promised support of the right hon, gent.? It was on that ground they gave all their weight to the proposition of the union; and I know some who have felt less kindness to the catholics on that account. The persuasion was certainly general, that the catholic claims would be fully granted after the union, and a learned gentleman (Dr. Duigenan) now hostile to these claims appears to have promoted this persuasion. In a letter written by that learned gent, to an hon, friend of mine, whom I am happy to see a member of this house (Mr. Grattan), there is a paragraph to this purport; " if we were one people with the British nation, the preponderance of the protestant interest in the whole state would then be so great, that it is would not be any longer necessary to curb the Roman catholics by any restraints what-Now when the Roman catholics they not have expected that the passing of the union was to be the signal for the redress of their grievances? In a printed? speech, too, (printed in a way which might entitle it to be referred to as some authority) of a noble lord who once filled the chair of this house (lord Sidmouth), this passage, of the lemined gent,'s letter is referred to in support of the opinion, that no restraints would be necessary after the union. If, then, that noble lord drew this inference, what conclusion was it to be expected the Roman catholics themselves should form? At that: time, then, it appeared to be thought that the repeal of these laws would be a measure of safety to the British empire; and yet not this as any reproach to the right hon.

catholics think, when they find that those who most favoured the union, and who, ou account of the measures then in contemplation, held up that event as eminently calculated to promote the well-being and security of the British empire, opposed their hopes? What the circumstances were, which prevented this question being then brought forward, I shall not attempt to discuss, because I do not pretend to know them; but I must observe, that its delay might have led to the very worst consequences. The catholics, however, have shown by their conduct that they are guided by principles which merit the highest encommun. Their disappointment has not made them resort to popular clamour or tunult. They have brought forward their claims in the most constitutional manner, and they rely with confidence and respect on the justice of this house. The presenting of the present petition is a pledge of the propriety of their conduct; and though my motion should not this night be acceded to, they will still have gained something, by having an opport inity afforded them of stating their opinions. A great and respectable part of the people of the empire are now in favour of their claims. The people of England will soon be completely convinced of the propriety of grantfing them all they demand; and antiquated prejudices, which it is my lot to expose in 1805, and which were doubtful in 1009, will be completely dono away.—Hitherto I have said nothing of a kind of mysterious objection which has been lately started. I have been asked-" Why do you bring on this found the opinion stated by the learned question when success is impossible c. Angent., who had been through the whole of jother tells me, " I like the measure as well his life against granting them redress, must as you; but why press it when there is no chance of success?" Why, I know of no circumstance that should render it impossible to carry this question in this house; and there would at least be a little better chance of success, if all those gentlemen who are in favour of the measure would favour us with their votes. I have been told that the repeal of these laws is conceived to be contrary to his majesty's coronation oath. Now, sir, were I to propose any thing which would be a violation of his majesty's coronation oath, I should not only think my-elf a disloyal subject, but a dishonest man. But how mabsurd would it be to suppose that parliament, who made that oath for the king to take, should understand it to bind they remain in the same situation. I state him to refuse his assent to future acts which they might present to him! The gent, opposite to me, but what must the outh, as framed by parliament, was administered to king William, and statutes now | cannot be silent when I see interested perproposed to be repealed were passed after he had taken the oath. Now, if it could be maintained that the oath has any reference at all to legislative measures, still I would ask, how can it affect acts passed after it was framed? Such a doctrine appears to me calculated to produce the greatest confusion, and completely to overturn the constitution. If it were true, the government of this country would no longer be a mixed monarchy, but we should be in a mixed state of anarchy and confusion. But it is supposed that the coronation oath would be violated, because the effect of the measure now proposed would, it is said, be to overturn the church establishment of this country. These laws were, however, made against dissenters of all descriptions; and yet the church was not overturned by our union with the presby-Was the coronation terians of Scotland. oath made to bend in the one case, and not in the other? According to this new doctime, Queen Anne must have broken her coronation oath when she consented to the umon with Scotland, and his present majesty mast already have violated his coronation oath more than once, when he sanctioned the acts passed in his reign for the rehef of the Roman catholics. His majesty did not refuse his assent to these acts; on the contrary, he did what I am sure he always will do; he followed the advice of partiament, exercising at the same time his own judgment. While I glory in the name of an Englishman, I never can say that any thing which parliament thinks fit to be done cannot be done. It it had been the practice that nothing was to be moved in this house, but such questions as gentlemen had a reasonable hope of carrying, the country would have been deprived of most of the laws which now constitute its greatest pride and boast; for the best measures have in general been at first strongly resisted, and have at last been rendered ultimately successful by the perseverance of those who introduced But I never can believe that any branch of

sons endeavouring to extend that influence beyond its due bounds. It would be a great and incalculable evil, were it to be established as a maxim in this house, that no person. must move any measure, however great its benefits might be, if it were once whispered. about, that it could not be successful, because another branch of the constitution was hostile to it. I could wish to see any sgcritice made for the gratification of the crown, except the sacrifice of the welfare and security of the country. The man who countenances such a sacrifice is not a loyal subject; is not one who loves his king, but one who flatters him in order to betray him. -Having now troubled the house at so much length, I shall only briefly state a few of the minor points which the subject pre-There may be some persons who would not wish to repeal the whole of the restraints upon the Roman catholics, but * who would wish to do away a part: I should therefore expect, that all who view the question in this way will concur with me in voting to refer the petition to a committee, in order to discover what part of the laws it may be fit to repeal. Among these minor points will also fall to be considered the situation of the army. A catholic may serve in the king's army in Ireland; he may arrive to the rank of a general, but not a general on the staff! If, however, he comes to England, he is liable to pains and penalties on account of his religion. Surely those who would resist the question in the whole, must at least allow that this is a case in which some relief ought to be given. I am also assured that the common soldiers are restrained from the exercise of their religion sometimes in Ireland; but almost always in England. Some alteration is also necessary in the law of marriage. 1 mention these circumstances as forming parts of the question which ought to induce such, persons as think them worthy of tedress, to go into a committee, whatever their objecthem, and the good sense of parliament. tions to the general question may be. I have stated, that the disabilities under which our constitution will forget its duty; and I the catholics suffer are of two sorts; namely, am sorry that the report of an opinion having those which consist of restrictions on the been given on this subject, should be cir- king's prerogative, and those which restrain culated—said to be given, too, by one who the choice of the people. I think that Rohas a legislative voice, but who has no right man catholics ought, like all the other subto pronounce any opinion on matters pend- jects of his majesty, to be enabled to hold ing in this Louse. His majesty's lawful au- places under the crown, and to sit in parthority is one of the corner stones of the 'liament; but I understand there are some constitution; but while I shall always exert, who would consent to a proposition for ren-phyself to support that lawful authority, I dering them accessible to offices, but who

Tiament. Those who entertain this opinion, surely cannot refuse to go into the committee. I understand there are others who, on the contrary, think it advisable that Roman catholics should be excluded from offices in the executive part of government; but that, on the ground of virtual representation, which I have stated, they ought to be admitted to seats in the house of commons. I own that I think this opinion the most rational of the two; and surely those who enterfain it cannot object to the motion I am about to make.—I have now stated most of the general grounds on which I think the repeal of the laws complained of is advisable; and I shall now very briefly mention a few of the advantages which may be expected to result from such a measure. A great proportion of the last and of the present session has been consumed in considering of the best means of recruicing the army, and of increasing our local and disposable force. Now, without disparaging the modes recommended by my right hon, friend (Mr. Windhum) on this bench, or the right hon, gent, opposite, for attaining this desirable object, I will venture to say, that no scheme whatever of parish reteering, can equal the effect of this measure All these schemes are tardy and tribing, compared to the prompt and large supply which would be afforded by Ireland, were the laws against the Roman catholics repealed. You now receive into your army Irish Roman catholies; but what might not loyalty of the Roman catholies of Leinnd, be expected from the zeal and gratitude of a nation funed for warmth of temper and generosity, fondly exulting in a triumph obtained ofer illiberality and prejudice? All your other supplies would be little rivulets, compared to this great ocean of military resource. But you are not merely to consider the number, but also the nature of the circumstance, under which you would obtain the recruits. Look at the situation of France, for her finances, her naval power, her commerce, or any other resource except her to adopt a measure which would afford us so powerful a reinforcement : In this age foreign conquests have been less valued than they were in former times; but if conquests

amount not agree to give them seats in par-1 conquest ever could equal either the true glory or solid advantage of re-acquiring onefourth of your population? What prospect can be more consolatory than that of thus adding to your strength that which cannot now be called a part of your strength, but may rather be named a part of your weakness? The protestant ascendancy has been compared to a garrison in Ireland. It is not in our power to add to the strength of this garrison, but I would convert the besiegers themselves into the garrison. How can you suppose that these four millions, of menshould feel themselves in the situation of the other twelve millions, which form the population of the British empire? They know that they furnish you with recruits, from whom you may with reluctance choose serjeants: they send you officers, but "they know they can never rise to the rank of generals. They supply you with sailors, who never can advance to any eminence in their profession. How different would our policy be, how different our situation in a military point of view, were the means I propose adopted! There would be no differences. no discontents; but all the subjects of the empire, enjoying equal rights, would join with one heart and one mind in its detence. cruiting, hanted service, or militia volun- I am sanguine in believing, that these equal rights and lews will be granted to the Roman catholics. I am even sengtime enough to believe, that many bad conseq ences which might be expected to result from a refusal of them, will not follow the rejection of this petition. I rely on the affection and but I would not press them too far, I would not thraw the cord too tight. It is surely too much to expect that they will alway: fight for a constitution in the benefits of which they are not permitted to participate No permanent advantage can arise from my measure, except that which shall restore them to the full enjoyment of equal rights with their fellow citizens. In the present simution of Europe, and when the designs our formidable enemy; is she formidable of the enemy are considered, Ireland is a place where the active exertions of this country may be required; and this is one population? It is from the disproportion of of the grounds on which I am anxious that our population to hers, that we can have any the motion I am about to propose should thing to apprehend. We are weak only in be acceded to. Whatever he the fate of our population. Why then do we hesitate | the question, I'am happy in having had this opportunity of bringing it under the consideration of the house; and I shall detain you no longer, but to move, " that the petition be referred to the consideration of a deserved to be ever so much esteemed, what | conneittee of the whole house,"

Doctor Duigenan then rose, and spoke as follows: Sir.; I have read the petition now before the house, and list of names subscribed to it; they amount in the whole to ninety-one persons: of these, six are peers, three are baronets, the rest untitled commoners. Of the six peers, one is an. English as well as an drish peer, who has no property in Ireland, and is an Englishman both by birth and residence. The petition is thus entitled: " A petition of the Roman catholics of Ireland, whose names are thereunto subscribed, in behalf of themselves and of others his majesty's subjects professing the Roman catholic religion." With what propriety this English peer can be styled a Roman catholic of Ireland I shall not take upon me to determine. Of the remaining five peers three may be said to have been created during his present majesty's reign. Lord Kenmare claimed a peerage under a patent of King James II. dated after the abdication of that unfortunate prince, at a time when he was no longer a king, and could not create nobility: his present majesty has been pleased to create him a peer: the ancestors of two others, the Lords Fingall and Gormanstown, were attainted for high treason and outlawries; which attainders continued for four generations. His present majesty was graciously pleased to direct his attorney general in Ireland to confess error in these outlawries, on which confession the outlawries have been reversed, and these noblemen have been restored to the rank of their *ancestors. Lord Southwell's ancestors were protestants; his father some time since went to France, and there became a Roman catholic, and educated his son in that profession. Thus it appears, that the whole Roman Catholic nobility of Ireland, a few years back, did not exceed one or two at the most. I speak not this ont of any disrespect to the noble personages thus mentioned, but to shew what little cause of complaint there is for the alleged degradation of the Roman catholic nobility of Ireland. Of the three baronets subscribed to the petition two have been created by his present majesty. Ireland is divided into thirty-two counties: out of nineteen of these counties there is not one subscriber, and out of four of the remaining thirteen counties there is but one each. There is not the name of one Romish ecclesiastic subscribed that these petitioners are commissioned by Vol. IV.

behalf, or to express their political or moral principles? It is much to be suspected that they are self-commissioned, as well by what is already observed, as by the following circumstance, five of the subscribers have set themselves forth as delegated by the rest to procure the presentment of this petition to the two houses of parliament, and solicit its success: of these, one is Mr. Denys Scully, barrister at law, a gentleman with whose person I am totally unacquainted, but not so with his writings. He published in the year 1803; a pamphlet in Dublin, entitled, " An Irish Catholic's Advice to his Brethren how to estimate their present Situation, and repel French Invasion, civil Wars, and Slavery." This pamphlet advises the Irish romanists, in the event of an invasion by the French, rather to join the King's standard than that of Bonaparte, solely on the ground of such conduct being more for their advantage, and not at all on the ground of their allegiance due to their sovereign, and notwithstanding the specious title of the work, the whole tenour of it is, in my opinion, by the suggestions of ideal grievances, in the most horrid forms, to excite the Romish populace to the most funious acts of insurrection and revenge against their governors; so that the advice to resist B maparte seems to be merely an artifice to protect the author from the legal punishment due to so malignant, vindictive, and atrocious an attack on the conduct of the protestant government of Ireland for two centuries past. The pamphlet overflows with gall: it will be sufficient to read a passage or two out of it to give the house a just idea of its real purpose and tendency: " It is one hundred and twelve years since the capitulation of Limerick to William III ? it was the last place in Ireland or England that surrendered to him; and never was any place more gallantly and obstinately defended than Limerick had been by our loyal ancestors, who with Sarsfield at their head fought for their hereditary King James against a Dutch invader and his hired battalions."—Page 12, Dublin edition,-Writing of the gallant army which went to Ireland to punish the Irish rebels and murderers of 1641, he has the following passage: "You see what misery that army caused her: their tailors, tinkers, smiths, coblers, drummers, and trumpeters, after the slaughter of one hunto this petition. How then does it appear dred thousand persons, obtained various estates and lands amongst us." It is to be the Roman catholics of Ireland, or those of remarked, that the superior officers of this England and Scotland, to petition on their gallant army thus reviled by Mr. Scully, were 3 K

their descend his at this day compose of most eac combine part of the Iri hambility and centry—In atother place Mr. Scully calls the fre happing and a club, and the place of their meeting their club-houre. In oath, are to his majest; 's scruples on the score of that oath, respecting the derivands pande by the Irish romanists, he undertakes to apolo live for his majesty, and to express his hop that the king will change the openion which it is generally understood he has entertained. "It is not," says he, " to be imig'ned that a quibbling crotchet in an oath will circumscribe the justice of the benesicent father of his people."—Can it therefore be imagined that Mr. Scully is a person specially commissioned by the Roman catholics of Ireland to selicit the success of a per tion to the representative body of the equal footing, in respect to political optiviwhat the petitioners allege to be their political, moral, and religious principles, and openly asserts that such principles are not only conformable to their opinions and habits, but are expressly inculeated by the religion they prote s; yet this petition is not subscribed even by one ecclesisstic of that profesion. I shall state to this house what I conceive to be the reason that the Romish ecclesiastics have declined to subscribe it. There are two oaths prescribed by the Irish statutes to be administered to romanists; one, by an act of the Irish parliament in the Irish parliament of the year 1793. Such romanists as decline to take the oath of the year 1773, which is nearly the same with the oath prescribed to be administered to the English romanist by the English act of the year 1791, are not entitled to the benefit of the several Irish laws repealing what is styled the popery code in Ireland; it is therefore in-

were the clock instructor its in restoring fre-1 benefit a such repeal; but they are not unand to the name land rangland, and that does an equal necessity of taking the oath of 1703, for it is morely a qualification-oath, who by if they of turn places under governin pr. or tender their votes at elections for members of parliament, the law requires they should take, but not otherwise.—The mater of the person he styles the first rive isking; it contains an engagement to disclose gestrat . "That he may be liable, like the fell tenterous con piracies, abjutes the premaster of a family, to fits of anger me capiled then ker, and the doctage that no faith is to and regulace; that he may naturally be at the kept with heretics, and that princes extimes obstituate, ill-humoured, improvident prenuminicated by the pope may be deposed or even intatuated upon some particular sub- for murdered by their subjects; renounces And adverting to the coroustion the temporal jurisdiction of the pope, and declares that it is taken without equivocation, in the ordinary sense of the words.—The oath of 1703 goes much further, and renounces the infallibility of the pope; the power of the priest to give absolution anconditionally; the intention of subverting the present church establishment, and sobstituting a Romish establishment in its st. d: of overturning the present arrangement of property in Iroland, and of using the power and privileges doman led by the romanists to weaken the protestant religion and protest int government in Ireland; and, so far as it relates morely to religious principles, is the nation, chaming a right to be put upon an some oath which was drawn up and prepare I by a committee of English ronumsts leges, with all other his majosty's subjects, I in the year 1,00, to be entered to the then and demanding each boon on the score of English main sry as a proper outh to be their alleged I gulty and attachment to the tendered to all English ionianists; and the state i—The petition contains a long state of I taking thereof to be anethoned by an act of This oath three out of the parliament four apostel's vicars, the special agents and emissaries of the pope in England, teptebated and anothernatized by an encyclical letter, dated London, Jan. 12, 1791, and commanded all the English romanists to reject this oath, and stated in their letter that they thus acted with the approbation of the apostolic see, and of all the romish bishops in Scotland and in Ireland. These apostohe vicars had so much influence with the English ministry in 1791, that they prevailed upon them to omit from the oath by year 1793; the other, by an act of the statute prescribed to be taken by the English romanists, the abjuration of the pope's infallibility, and of the doctrine of unconditional power of absolution in the priest. It is also to be remarked, that all the professors and members of the college of Manooth in Ireland are by an Irish statute exempted from the obligation of taking the oath of 1793. This is a college founded by governcumbent on all Irish romanists to take the ment for the education of Romish priests. eath of 1773, to entitle themselves to the From what I have mentioned, I conceive

that the petition's containing a declaration practice of their church for ages. It will, against the pope's infallibility, and the doctime of unconditional power of absolution in the romsh priesthood, is the region why no romish ecclesiastic has subscribed it — The petition prays that all statutes now in to the occupation of seats in the two houses requiring them to table tests as qualifications | for offices and seats in parhament) may be repealed, and they may be restored to the full enjoyment of the benefits of the British constitution, equally and in common with their fellow-subjects throughout the British empire —this they claim as matter of justice and public utility. It is very remarkable, that this measure thus demanded upon l the ground of justice and public utility, is! terapted to force upon the nation, and for which attempt he forfeited the crown handed down to him by a long and illustrations line of a reestors; and that the reasons given ! by him for his attempt are the very same casons pleaded by the positioner and their advocates for the justice of their demand; a brotherhood of affections and a concollision of religious differences;"-" to j render the nation happy at home, and formalable to foreign nations."-See his decla. ration for general liberty of conscience, the letter left upon his table previous to his flight to Prance, and his speech to his pretend A popular parament in Ireland after his . I do tion. In his attempt was just, and i the measure for the public benefit, it folloss if the was enjustly dethroned, and the direct consequence of that is, that his percent majesty's title to the throne is an origist one. Let this house determine whether such doctrine is or is not consistent with loyalty.-- I shall oppose the motion for submitting the consideration of this petition to a committee; and in doing so, I shall enter fully into a discussion of the broad and general question before the house, whether the prayer of this petition should be granted or rejected; as I think it is a question of the greatest magnitude which has been debated in this house since the revolution—The petitioners have particularly stated that the principles, religious, moral, and political, set forth in their polition, are · expressly inculcated by the religion they profess: it will be therefore incumbent upon me specially to examine the principles taught. by then religion, as they are laid down by their own writers ancient and medern, and .. the the variated by the arentering tod!

however, be fast a cessary to examine how and in what in more they are disqualihed from ergoving offices and occupying seats in parliament.—The only obstacle at present force against romanists (that is, all statutes) of parliament by the members of this sect, arises from the laws enjoying the taking of the oath of supremacy, and resease g and signing the declaration against transubstantiation, &c. by all members of the two horses of parliament, previous to their taking their seats and voting in other house, with which injunction if romanists compiled, they would be as capable of occupying seats in parliament as profe-facts. This injunction they obstinately refuse to obey, and the very measure which King James II, at | thereby exclude themselves —Ir indeed they would cair to take the orth of semenary, there might be some plausible arphients. addiced for the repeal of the parts of the o acts which enjoin the repersion and setscription of the declaration against transubstantiation, &c.; because that is a declaration against certain doctrined points held by to wit, "that is would cause and promote from anota, which do not indicated send to a disasowal of the sourcing a thority of the state, so far as to constenance a cemmind a resistance to the earth migratate, and is a matter of opinion only, uncomed add with the government. Last their rejection of the oath of suprencey is an open moreal, that they do not admit the state to have any just power to compet their submission to its laws, in any point of temporal government, intimately and in eparably connected with the administration of the supreme power in spiritual matters, that is, in other words, they refuse and reject an oath of allegiance to the state, and invist that there is an extraneous power paramount to that of the state, to which their allegance is due in all spiritual matters, or in all matters which that power shall deem spiritual; and in all temporal matters which are inseparably connected with such spiritual supremacy, which amount to nearly one half of the whole temporal power of a state; and may indeed swallow up the whole, which it has attempted in many countries: because the determination of what portion of dominion, in temporal matters, is within the vortex of spiritual supremacy, is left to a foreign coclesiastic, and his vassals the roratsh priests, within this cropire. The pepe never did claim any tanaparak power, save under the protest, that it was inseparably innexed to the superior spin and power, and a consequent et it. What is the tempual

1 1. . .

within this realm, under such pretence, let our histories and statutes declare; the fourth the authority over temporal princes and their dominions claimed by the pope.—The qualifying subjects, who hold so anarchical a doctrine as a point of faith, to become part of the supreme power, by admitting them to a share of the supreme legislative authority in a protestant state; and that too a state, the met powerful part of whose supremacy is lodged in a popular assembly, is in its own nature an absurdity, and must, if effected, be attended with the most rainous consequences to the constitution.-Before I proceed farther, it will be necessary to put gentlemen in mind of the oath of supremacy (which we have all taken) by repeating it: "I -—— do swear, that I do from my heart abhor, detest, and abjure, as impious and heretical, that daminable doctrine and position, that princes excommunicated or deprived by the pope, or any authority of the see of Rome, may be deposed or murdered by their subjects, or by any other person whatsoever; and I do declare, that no foreign prince, prelate, state, or potentate, hath or ought to have any jurisdiction, power, superiority, preeminence, or authority, ecclesiastical or spiritual, within this realm. So help me God."—The oath of supremacy was originally fra n n the reign of King Henry VIII. merely as an oath of allegiance; the usurpations of the pope then becoming intolerable in England, he claiming and exercising a power over the subjects, under the mask of spiritual power, or as inseparably annexed to it, equal to the power of the crown at least, and in many cases paramount to it, and subversive of it. See preambles to the statutes of the 24th Hen. VIII. ch. 12, 25; 25th Hen. VIII. ch. 21, 20th Hen. VIII. ch. 1; 32d Hen. VIII. ch. 38; and the Irish statutes of the 28th Hen. VIII. ch. 13; 2d Eliz. ch. 2.—By this oath, in its original form, the king was declared to be the only supreme head on earth of the church of England and Ireland. This clause was objected against, as an acknowledgment of a sacerdotal power in the king; to obviate this, he took cure to declare publicly, that he claimed only a civil supremacy; that he made no pretensions to any sacerdotal power; that his supremacy was not that purely spiritual power which is iodged in the church, but a temporal supremier over all the spiritual power of it within his own do-

power he claimed, and in fact exercised | minions.—All the great officers of the state, bishops, and nobility, within his realm (two excepted,-Sir Thomas More, and Lateran general council shows the extent of | Fisher, bishop of Rochester), took this oath. Romanists were then better subjects than they are at present !—The objection, however, being still urged by the partizans of the court of Rome, queen Elizabeth, at the commencement of her reign, changed that clause in the outh, and inserted in its room, "that the king (or queen) is the only supreme governor of this realm, as well in spiritual or ecclesiastical things or causes, as temporal; and that no foreign prince, state, or potentate, hath or ought to have," &c .--To guard against any perverse interpretation of this oath, she published injunctions, wherein she declared, "that she pretended to no priestly power; that she challenged no authority, but what was of ancient time due to the imperial crown of England; that is. under God, to have the sovereignty and rule over all manner of persons born within her dominions, of what estate, whether ecclesiastical or temporal, soever they be, so as no doreign power shall or ought to have any superiority over them." The romanists, however, by the anathemas of the pope, were so changed for the worse, and their obligation of fidelity to their prince and country so loosed since the reign of Henry, that they universally rejected this oath, though simply an oath of allegiance to their natural sovereign.—On the accession of James II. a bigoted papist, he, finding himself invested by this oath with the supreme governance of the established church, was induced by his bigotry, in direct breach of his coronation oath, to use this authority for the subversion of the established church; and this danger to the church, from the monarch's being invested with such power, was noticed by the sagacious patriots who conducted the revolution; and on that glorious event they determined to rescue the church from such peril, and expunged from the oath of supremacy the clause " that the king is the only supreme governor of this realm, as well in spiritual or ecclesiastical things or causes, as temporal;" so that the subject is now only bound to swear, by the oath of supremacy, to the independence of the empire on any foreign power; and it is merely and simply an oath of allegionce to the state: in fact it was always so, and such as no subject, who is not a traitor, can conscientiously refuse; it is, as it now stands, completely purged of all reasonable, or even plausible objection; it never was an oath.

of traitors; it is absolutely, strictly, and literally conformable to the ancient and acknowledged common law of the realm. That ancient common law is acknowledged, repeated, and recognised, in the preamble of the statute of præmunire, enacted in the 16th of Richard II. at the time this kingdom was in communion with the Romish crown of England hath ever been free, God; and the laws and statutes of this realm ought not to be submitted to the bishop of Rome, to be defeated at his pleasure, to the destruction of the king, his crown, and his regalia, and of all the realm, which God defend." This was the voice of the people in open parliament at that time. See the statute, Carte's Ormond, vol. I. from page 36 to 43. See also Davis's Reports, case of præmunira—The romanists of this day complain of the laws which enjoin the taking of this oath, declaring that they cannot in conscience take it, that it is to them an exclusive oath, as they cannot sit in Parliament without taking it; and they and their abettors (among whom in this point they muster all the jacobins in the country) desire to have these laws repealed. They plead thus: we are from conscience traitors to our country, we maintain that our country is subject to a foreign power; we are always ready to support the authority of that foreign power in every possible way, by arms or otherwise, and to bow down our country to its authority: we therefore demand the repeal of the laws which oblige us to swear allegiance to the constitutional governing powers of our country; which repeal will be a national acknowledgment of the dependance of our country on, and its subjection to, a foreign tribunal. It will enable us to procure scats in the great supreme council of the nation; and confer power on us to berray the independence of our country. The pope and all our divines assure us, that we are bound in conscience to do so, when we shall procure Dr. Troy, our archbishop of power. Dublin, an eminent dignitary of our church, in his pastoral letter, published in 1793, has told us, " that it is a fundamental article of the Roman catholic faith, that the pape or bishop of Rome is successor to St. Peter, prince of the apostles, in that see: that he enjoys by divine right, a spiritual and ecclesiaitical primacy, not only of honour and rank, but of real jurisdiction and authority in the uni-

of exclusion, or even of restriction, unless versal church; that catholics cannot conscientiously abjure the ecclesiastical authority of the bishop of Rome: that Henry VIII. of England was the first christian prince that assumed ecclesiastical supremacy, and commanded an enslaved parliament to enact it as a law of the state, and that the catholics consider it as an usurpation." Pursuant to this doctrine, we, the catholics, will endeachurch: that statute recites, "that the vour, by every means in our power, to free ourselves from that usurpation; and pray, and subject to none, but immediately unto good protestant usurpers, assist us in doing so! put us into a capacity of effecting it! that is, of betraying our common country to dependance and slavery.-The patrons of this measure argue that the sentiments of romanists are changed for the better from what they were formerly. It is already shown that if they have suffered any change since the reign of Henry VIII. the change has been for the worse, and that they now avow greater hostility to the constitutional independence of the nation (justifying that hostility upon principle) than they did at that period.—But to give a clear and explicit refutation of this argument, it will be necessary to expose the avowed principles, of the Romish religion in respect to temporal governments; to inquire whether they have been ever disavowed, and whether any material change has been effected in them at any, and what period — And first, it is necessary to state, that all Romish bishops, and among the rest his majesty's subjects now resident in the British dominions, under the denomination of titular archbishops and bishops (who, in direct defiance of the laws, assume the titles of most reverend and right reverend, being the titles of real archbishops and bishops of this realm), at their respective consecrations swear an oath of allegiance to the pope, which is utterly inconsistent with their duty to his majesty and the state. Among other clauses (all exceptionable) are the following: " that they will from that hour forward be faithful and obedient to St. Peter, and to the holy church of Rome, and to their lord the pope, and his successors, canonically entering: that the papacy of Rome, the rules of the holy fathers, and the regality of St. Peter, they will keep, maintain, and defend against all men. The rights, privileges, and authorities of the church of Rome, and of the pope, and his successors, they will cause to be conserved. defended, augmented and promoted." Another clause in the oath is, " that heretics, schismatics, and rebels to the holy father and his successors, they will resist and

ever is contrary thereto, and all heresies condefined, rejected, and anothematized by the church, I equally condemn, reject, and anothematize. Seventhly, this true catholic faith, out of which there is no selvation, which at present I freely profess and sincerely hold, I do promise, yow, and swear that I will most constantly retain and conserve, inviolate, with God's help, unto the last breath of my life; and that, as far as in me lieth, I will be careful that it be held by, taught and preached to my subjects, [All Romish priests call their parishioners subjects, in latin subditi.] " or those the care of whom shall belong to me in my function. So help me God."-The next evidence of the principles of the Romish religion, in respect to temporal governments, which it is proper to adduce, is the de-crees of the fourth general Lateran council, held under pope Innocent III. in 1215, in its third chapter. This council consisted of four hundred bishops, and eight hundred other fathers. These decrees assert the power of the church (that is, of the pope) of disposing of the dominions of kings and princès, commanding temporal lords to purge their dominions of heresy under pain [of excommunication, absolving their subjects from their allegiance, and exposing their dominions to the invasion of catholics;

persecute to their power." This clause Dr. | torture and death; declaring that no faith Troy states to be now omitted in the oath is to be kept with heretics, nor conventions of Romish bishops, in countries not in nor agreements made with them; or it communion with the Romish church, at the made, that they were nullities in theminstauce of the late Empress of Russia, who selves, and that no communication of any made that a condition of her permitting a kind is to be held with them — the coun-Romish bi-hop to reside within her domi- cil of Constance in 1415, the subsequent nions. If such be the case, the oath is council of Basil, and the famous council of sufficiently hostile to a protestant govern- Trent, of later years in the sixteenth cenment without it.- I shall next repeat an tury, all confirmed the decrees of the Laextract from the oath taken by all Romish teran council, particularly in respect to priests at their ordination. " Futhly, the heresy. That of Constance, in conformity holy catholic, apostolic, and Roman church, with the decrees of the Lateran council, I acknowledge to be the mother and mistress compelled Sigismund, king of the Romans, of all churches; and to the Roman portiff, to break his faith with John Huss, and desuccessor of the blessed Peter, prince of the liver him up to the council contrary to his apostles, vicar of Jesus Christ, I promise faith and safe-conduct, declaring " that no and swear true obedience. Sixthly, all doc- safe-conduct given to a heretic under what trines delivered, defined, and declared by covenant soever, by any emperors, largs, the sacred canons and by the general or other secular princes, ought to exempt councils, and especially by the most holy such heretic from the judgment of his comcouncil of Trent, without the smallest petent ecclesiastical judge, who may punish doubt I receive and profess; and whatso- him, though he come to the place of judgment; counding in that safe-conduct, without which-lie would not have come;" and John Huss was condemned for heresy by that council in its twelfth session, and burned alive in its fifteenth—In conformity with the decrees of the council of Lateran, Pope Pius V. excommunicated Queen Elisabeth, and absolved her subjects from their allegamee: his bull for that purpose was afterwards renewed and confirmed by his successor Gregory XIII Pope Clement IX. in conformity with the same decrees, issued his bull, enjoining the Luglish romanists to do their utmost to keep out the Scottish heretic (so he styled Eing James 1.), that he might not in any wise be admitted to the kingdom of kngland, unless be voild reconcile himself to Rome, and hold his crown of the pope, and conform him ell and all his subjects to the religion of the Roman church. [See Carte's Ormond, vol i. p. 33.]—It is to be remarked, that all these bulls were issued, not from the private ambition of the popes and court of Rome, but in direct conformity with the injunction. and decisions of the general councils of the Romish church.—Charles VI. Emperor of Germany, executed the treaty of Alt' Radstadt, and thereby granted certain privileges to some of his protestant subjects, and entered into some covenants with the prodenouncing against Anngs, rulers, and sub- testant princes of the empire. Pope Clejects, guilty; or even suspected of heresy, ment XI. in a letter to that emperor, dated or inactivity on detecting and punishing he- June 4,1712, writes thus: "We, by these prenetics, the most terrible temporal punish- sents, denoun w to your majesty, and at the ments, said as confiscation, banishment, same time by the authority committed to a by

the most omnipotent God, declare the abovementioned edenants of the treaty of Alt' Radstadt, and every thing contained in it which are any wise obstructive of, or hurtful to, or which may be said, esteemed, pretended, or understood to occasion or to bring, or to have brought the least prejudice to, or any ways to hart or to have hurt the catholic faith, devine worship, the salvation of souls, the authority, jurisduction, or any rites of the clinich whatsover, together with all and singular matters which have tollowed, or may at any time hereafter follow from them, to be and to have been, and perpetually to remain beceafter de pare, null, vain, involvi, unjust, reprobated, and even ited of all force from the beginning and that no person is bound to the observation of them or any of them, olthough the same have been repeatedly ratified or secured by an each; and that they neither could nor ought to have been, nor can nor ought to be observed by any person "Latvoever" - The pope's legate at Britisels, in the yen 1708, when an oath was in contemptation in parliament to be taken by the Roman catholics of Ireland, writes to Ire-I and in the to lowing manner respecting that outh —'I hat the abhorrence and detestation of the doct are, that faith is not to be kept with heieters, and that princes deprived by the pope may be deposed or murdered by their subjects, as expressed in that proposed outh, are absolutely intolerable, because, as he states, those doctrines are detended and contended for by most catholic nations, and the holy see has frequently followed there in practice. On the whole he dates, that as the oath is in its whole exent unlawful, so in its nature it is invalid, null, and of no effect, insomuch that at can be no means bind or oblige consciences —It is now time to come to authorities still in its modern in this point, and those of men of the Romish persuasion in high credit with our ministers. Doctor Troy, the Romish arcabishop of Dublin (who at this day openly assumes and uses the arms of that archbishopric, surmounted with a cardinal's cap, from whence I presume him to be a cardinal), in a treatise which he entities a pastoral letter, published by him in London and Dublin so late as the year 1793, asserts, that all Roman catholics con- sider the express decisions of their general councils, as infallable authority in point of doctrine." And Dr. Hussey, who informs as that he is Romish bishop of Waterford, appointed by the pope, in a pamphlet

styled a pastoral letter, published by him in London and Dublin in 1797, not only holds the same doctrine, but forbids all romanists, under pain of excommunication, to permit any of their children, under any pretence, to resort to a protestant school: in the same pamphlet he addresses the Romish soldiery, and exhibits them by no means to obey their officers in any orders relating to spiritual concerns, without particularly specifying what he means by spiritual concerns, but reserving the interpretation to hunself and the Rounish priesthood; and stating, that if any officer should enforce obedience to his orders relating to spiritual concerns, such officer might feel the effects of such conduct in the day of battle; that is, the Romish soldier in the then turn upon, and assissing to him, or desert to the enemy ——It is very proper to remark here, that Doctor Hussey was, within these very few years, sent over to Heland by the British ministry, under the protection of the English so retary of state in Ir land; and was in de president of a most magnificent college, (infinitely more grand, and of more er persive foundation, thin any college in his majesty's dominions) then founded and endowed for the exclusive education of Romish priests in Ireland by the government, and by express directions of the British mimstry; that is, for the perpetuation of popery and disaffection in so great a limb of the British empire! and that Doctor Troy, during the lieutenancy of the Marquis Cornwallis in-Arcland, was in great credit at the Irish court. An English Romsh priest of the name of Milaer, who as I understand is one of the four apostolic vicurs in England, in a very recent publication has taken some prins to hiform los sovereign or future sovereigns how for he or they may be bound by the coronation oath; and states, " that every human law and every promise or other engagement, however confirmed by oath, must necessarily torn upon the cardinal virtue of prodence," which implies that it depends as to the orligation of Indilling it in such and such circumstances on the question of expediency. I believe the protestant subjects of this empire have no great occasion to apprehend that his present majesty will consult this casuistical Romish diving on cases of conscience —After this exposure of the present Romish religious principles. so radically hostile to the temporal government and established constitution of the Brirish empire in church and state, of such antiquity, of such indisputable authority,

of the present measure inform the house, at what period, and by what public authority did the Romish church or its votaries renounce or disavow these principles, or any and which of them.—It is notorious they supremacy, simply an oath of allegiance avowing the independence of the state, their anxiety to have the law enjoining the taking of it repealed, amount to a full confession and avowal of the remanists, that they have not in any shape changed those principles. — It has been frequently and confidently asserted by romanists and their abettors, that the doctrines held by them respecting the supreme jurisdiction in spiritual matters, and its residence in a foreign tribunal, can never affect the temporal authority and jurisdiction in this, or in any other country.—What has been already advanced is sufficient to refute this assertion; but it is proper to add, that the supreme jurisdiction in spiritual matters does draw into its vortex a very large share of temporal power, as inseparably annexed to it, and incorporated with it, even though we exclude a vast portion of temporal jurisdiction claimed by the romanists as adhering to the supreme jurisdiction in spirituals, which in fact does not belong to it, nor is incorporated with it. In proof of this, let the preambles of the several statutes heretofore enacted in this kingdom, for abolushing the pope's usurped jurisdiction in spirituals, be referred to; they particularise the vast portion of temporal authority inseparably annexed to the exercise of supreme jurisdiction in spirituals. (See the preambles of the acts of the 16th Richard II., 24th, Henry VIII. chaps. 12, 25; 25th Henry VIII. chaps. 20, 21; 26th Henry VIII, chap. 1; 32d Henry VIII. chap. 38; Irish acts of 28th Henry VIII. chaps. 13, 19; 2d Elizabeth, chap. 1.) These preambles recite the great mischief done to this empire, "by appeals made to the see of Rome in causes testamentary; causes of matrimony and divorces; right of tithes, oblations, and obventions; by intolerable exactions for bulls, delegacies, and rescripts, in causes of contention; as well as for dispensations, licenses, and faculties, in an infinite number of cases.' —Matrimony is held by the Roman catholies to be a sacrament, and the cognizance of it to belong to the spiritual jurisdiction. This, in many cases, would confer a jurisdiction on the pope as surpreme head of the church, of deciding whether a man was the lawful

and of such recent avowal, let the supporters | heir of his father, and entitled to his real and personal estate; and whether a woman was entitled to dower; with several other temporal concomitants. Excommunication is a matter of spiritual jurisdiction; and the tyranny exercised over the property and other never did; their rejection of the oath of temporal concerns of the laity by the Romish priesthood in Ireland is terrible almost beyound description. Auricular contession and absolution, by giving them the dominion over the consciences of men, confer a nughty power in temporal matters upon them; and Buonaparté, though a fierce unprincipled tyrant and usurper, was so well convinced that the supremacy in spirituals would essentially contribute to the support and establishment of his temporal power, that he procured himself to be crowned by the pope as supreme head of the church.—In favour of the measure of investing romanists with political power in the Bucish empire, it has been urged, that the doctrine of the supremacy of the pope in spirituals is not attended with any ill consequences at present, and affords no subject of complaint to the temporal power, in any state in Europe, popish or protestant; though in all popish states, and in many protestant, romanists are admitted into places of power and trust.—The plain answer to this is, that all the popish states in Europe, previous to the anarchical progress of the recent French revolution, were either despotic monarchies, or equally despotic oligarchies, and that the people at large had no political power in them.. The despot, or aristocratic rulers, insignificant in number when compared with the population of the state, possessed the whole political power, and no person, professing a different religion factor that of the state, was permitted to enjoy any political power. The great mass of the people, being excluded from all manner of power or influence in the state, were, what Englishmen term, slaves: and every question which could arise from the claim of papal supremacy in such states, was decided by the despots and the court of Rome.—As these despots were themselves of the Romish persitasion, no controversy could happen between the temporal and spiritual jurisdictions, on the score of heresy or difference in religious opinions; the spiritualty could never have occasion to put in execution the tyrannical decrees of the lateran council against the despots themselves, and these despots actually became the executioners of the judgments of the spiritualty, on such of their enslaved subjects as presumed to question any tenet of the Romish superstition:

but of the late imperative necessary. In the for reducing it may per it or rectifetive law; Vol. IV.

or they permiged the spiritualty themselves | British empire the supreme legislative power to execute them in respect to any other rests in the long, lords, and commons; the points of temporal jurisdiction claimed by commons being the representatives of the the spiritualty, as annexed to the supreme "great mass of the people, or the democracy; purisdiction in spiritual, a became the interlythe peers the representatives of the richest rest of the despots to acquiesce in part in and most digratica part of the people, or of them, and thereby to attach the interest of the aristociacy. In the e two assemblics, the clery to their own domination, well but particularly in the litue of commons, knowing that superstition can give strong visitly the most powerful or the two, is vested support to depotic peace, by archaing the the greatest and us a cleant part of the people to sebuch to sharer, and the tempost sovereign power of the source and to admit and enclessive in powers found a their the avowed encines of the continuous in mutual macro cto unite themselves, to encled the additional state, to include a part of the source and source sure and confincte the submission of the people of the end of the end of a some midestivent ple —In protectant despote states in is not about they in politics, the telescopic activities of great moment to the de pot, what religious ha mea me should be spice this near of opinions are left by a parcof mashbots, ability peck on the inserted to the people the proposer in the store, and the constitution—As it is a consortion it is of afthe consequence to the dependence of once openly and one of an 'year of by an thor the near he coupled as his servants are also member out to be one if stores, that of one to specis persons on the other, they the eacher and the near is the other services being merely his executives, and communicately his executives, and communicately his executives, and communicately his executives. able at risple son. But in protest after es, left their name at relits, or is the surface to in which the people, or their electric representatives, have some levelent the erveinst tracines subset them there takes, by their research ment, it in is well a stiple become the proton or the outh of a precisely, an eath brueful proves of the French revolution, commed to be taken, not by them alway, fremall shores, our of porces. The Swiss [but by all members of the Lones of fords confidence, composed of a league of small and commons, not to be ables of a mayire proteent and those bears to their manifold on their each ear, but are each of alice and a strength, a period this in comments the state, a purpose of forcem prethe protest at a time and the control of a control of and the time of or of the ceth mitted the extraor the sense, or even to concert to near a local or a truly near any plans or the executive centre tree people to this to be a live all executive as a substitute of the took present to the desire the residence of the effective problem by mish or necessive a respect deep mesons. In fact of the partition of the end of the common the Unit of Processors, a content and as a second of that of the areas is a second of the late by page described from the of a discrepance of think refer to also be the postual rately, we observe our terms the process of the requires of the professor on the case of the control of the rest of the choose can be a seed on the conserve of was not there exists. • problems on the state of the respective local ment to be over the representations and these thetre combered to be accepted to the problems of the representation of the respective problems of the respective of the respective page 271). Remainst were the content of the respective state general - In the refer the P. Chenner of to care the sensor of the fee that eppro is to be not exceed by the process of nones are not ob, etc. of I very but perions every popular protestint deces in Lagore 1930s. But there are it construct the parroman to bold not only one of all one one openions are not repetly the objects of all places of toest, and powers, the moon off significant and or domestically a visitions over trustions which would invest them with any lace, are not the a seminance of openions share of political powers, and alone all from the attentions and hos de to the constitution, and seats in the separate to defect sending, acrops to preclying peo, to such opi-the bowes of the fermioning -- But the mons, every me, but the charts of penul very constrainon or the reason to be ren- and remedice lives in the British enque? don't exclusion of non-noise nome of in Anomaly keep desires to posons in his the level accordance assorble and only expense; closely warded by a possible of noise the noise to the level of the sound to the

nious, but also on those who may be reason- | doctaine, that opinions without overt acts ably susperted of holding certain opinions lare not the objects of legislation, because pirliament desable I from voting at elections professors of this cruel and unrelentof members of parl ament, and by the place long superstition, for the purpose of subjectbill, certain classes of placemen and pension- | 10% the British empire to a foreign juris licers are disquibiled from sating in pulsa- I tion, since the commencement of the reign ment; persons, not personal of 3001 per annum of landed estate, are disqualified from representing a corough, and those not possessed of 6001 per annum from representing a county, in parliament on what ground is it that so many persons are currailed of their priviloges? is a not on the suspictor, that their perticular interests will inspire them with opinions adverse to the interests of the state, and that they will vote in conformity with such hostile opinions, it admitted to the enjoyment of such publicees? Romanists, whose opinions are operly and avowedly hostile to the state, are in a better condition than the already mentioned respectable classes of society, by taking the oaths administered to all the members of the legislavery nature is a gregatious annual, there is no instance in nature of savage solicary money the control more of the form in race, otherefore no right can be peoperly termed a natural right, which is not also a cocial right: or, in other words, the rights of society are natural rights. If therefore gary man, or class of i en, chien pavileges as magral rights, until a consistent with the wellbut g, and the resistence of the society, or political state, of which he is a member, i such claims in the lee witerly gerected, as not bring propeds a claim of a near tright

but if he publicly vends or administers lists to seats in both houses of parliament as them, the laws restrain and punish him. It their natural right!—It is her fit to remark, is also a fact, that preventive laws in the Bri- that romanists cannot derive any support to tish empire, the wisest of all laws, do make their exertions and those of their abettors. opinions their objects, and fix disqualifica- for the repeal of the acts enjoining the tions, not only on the maintainers of opi- talling of the oath of supremacy, from this For instance, revenue-officers be by act of the overt acts of our gwn countrymen, and of queen Flizabeth, have been too frequent, flagrant, and notorious to be denied. Witness the bulls of Pope Pius V, and les successors, for the dethi menibet and assassination of that queen and Jangard: the conspineries of her own Maish subjects, in conformity with these bells, for her assassination, and that of her sacces or, the projected Spanish Amazion, the guapowder plot, the despirite Romish rebell it and horrible massacre of the protestants of Tre-Land in the reign of Charles I, the obstinate and destructive relellen of the light romanists in the room of William III , the recent atropious rebellion in ricland, airl the improvoked muider of thousands of Trish protestants, men, women, and chiltive body, they may sit in parliament not [dien, in cold blood in extensition and exso with the classes mentioned, they are ab-feetse of which telection and massacre, all solutely and irrevocably excluded —'This the and evelone and talsched tell the whole doctrine of natural rights, besides what is al- I repulsion and parabolical, I renchified a faction ready mentioned, may receive the following for isingland, have been ever since enablesed, full and decoive answer. Man from his portion program is stances with a permenous and esen a tatal effect - In justification of the present proposed meaning, ple soft ment in society is necessary for his pie creation, and the mode in face of the rish subjects have been granted; and first, that the class conducted their chies percently and brally ever successive to a water, though under the pres ore of its, cons, impressed lang, and disquilifying statutes, and a great minister of state, (the pre ent chance for of the exchemical has been so far debuted by the to repre tu ations and falschoods of Roy ish advocates and emissine, as to declare himself a petion of the rearms, on the secre of let parence in Enberrance for a century or if of a natural right in a scattery state of Jost. Secondly, it has been asserted, that nature (such a state being suppored to extro, the Iri-h roma lests I cartly concurred in the certainly not a claim of right to be admitted measure of union of the two nations (though by the society of which he is a member, it was apposed by the Irish protestants), and because it tends aneed; to the destination by their power, influence, and interest, not of the society, and alt claim of presence contributed to the success of that great right rau tyield to the paramount claim of the above, but were the objet instruments of real social right, the faces wor,on of the it -The truth of these two pleas I will sepastate. Away then with the claim of roman- rately examine, and reply to them both.

conduct of the immanists of the empire since in church and state, under the conducts. patronage, and influence of the bigoted monaich who then swayed the Briash sceptre, was completely defeated by the spirit of the { nation (raised and directed by the renowned William III), but through the medium of a bloody civil war, from the obstinate resistance of the Irish commists, the policical power and consequence of the romanists in . Great British were annihilated, and in Ire-1 B. Jan their destinsh number, compried with the sor intic midticule of protestant, in 1 oth king doms, their reiterated conspiracies and rebellions induced the state to guard against their acquisition of new strength after their fall. Antaus-like hence spring the system of what are called popery laws in both kingdoms, enacted since the revolution These laws, by popular writers, and the abet tors of popery throu hout the empire, are represented as most oppressive and unjust, and as the causes of the notorious disadicet on of the romanists to the state, and of then con practes, masseres, and rebellions, but this is a gross mistepresentation, for that part of the code particularly complained of as an ast and oppressive, was enacted in the reigns of William and Anne, after their con piractes, massacres, and rebellions, had been pletted and executed, and therefore v is the effect, and not the cause, of their recorous disaffection and treasons these laws could not have been the causes of the last rebellion and massacre in Ireland, for they were all repealed prior to that rebellion and massacre. The poperly code was nearly the same in both kinggoms—These wife laws (for wise and provident they were, notwithstanding the talse and chimorous declamations of remainsts and their abettors against them) prelibited romanists from the acquisation of landed projectly, political influence, and power, in both countries; and thereby disabled them in a great measure from disturbing the state, in comornia-

The first is a blea of loyal and peaceable ty with their avowed principles. Whilst these laws remained unicocaled the romanthe revolution. When the Romish scheme lists were more peaceable inbi-cts than they of subversion of the protestant establishment (now are, because it was not in their power to be otherwise; the manue in a straight waistcoat, or the figer in a cage, can do no mischief, yet deserve no commendation for their gentleness. The B itish ministry, inflaenced by active and able Romish agents (particularly the late M. Felmund-Burke), and impo ed upon by the grossest falsehoods and misrepres nitations (which have had a powerful effect on them, from their own absolute ignorance of the tive state of he-I led reduced to a very low ebb. In Great [land], have, for these twenty years last past and upwards, exerted all the a influence in the liish perhanant to proce othe repeal of en wed de poblics i impotence of the set, these laws, se ion after session, and have but the case was different in fiel and "the ro- in a great measure succeeded, though they mainsts exceeded the object subjects in name have not yet produced the repeal of the poble in that country (though not in the may party code in England in the same degice, in this respect they treated the link pation counts), which prevented their sinking into the way that condemiced maletactes have to be a large of the respect to the first pation. political enjodence. Yet their decisive a subject to somethings the ited, on whom dan erous fe it at the tiperiod reduced them to great proceed energies to physic and surgery have lit cal imbeculty—there h flagen to the carth | been tried, before their general application is sanctioned to the public. The English ministry, not content, in the year 1793, with procuring a bill to pass in the Irish parhament, for repealing all the laws which disabled form of its to vote at elections of members of parliament, prefaced the UII with an assertion I cannot ident, to wit, that the conduct of the naminists had been loyal. Whoever will take he freshloof turning to the Irish acts or the 19th of Sea. II. of the 26th of Geo II and to alt the acts passed in Traland for two ty years preecding the year 1795, for the sequession of the jetty relicitions of the Wince Poys and Defen ers, all romainsts who leve from time to time infested and deserve a several. parts of Beland, commetting the rie tatrocious acts of ireasen, will be clearly convinced that the Irish remanists have not good claim to the character of loyal inffects, from the time of the revolution to facer 1 of their late rebellion. But stationing a were admitted, for argument's sale, that ich auists have been generally peaceable subjects, from the revelation till a few years before the breaking out of the late refellion (loyal it cannot be pretended that they were, their arowed principle of the selection of the nation to a foreign yelke being deloyal), they can derive no merit non such possed le desmeanone, the popery code researed their sulmi-sien to the lows a matter of pecessity; they were peaceable because they were

their evowed hostility to the constitution by body or Irish romanists, from the continuous of the popery code, and nothing mencend in of the rough of Queen Llizamore clearly demensivetes, the truth of this both to the present time, has directed all its conds on then this fact, which cannot be exertions to the separation of Ireland from deniel, that they have advanced in their Lingland. Such separation was and is the manch of solition and trea or at the same point to which firsh tomainsts have unitate of progression, as the linglish nametry formly directed all the a conspiracies, all proceeded in Iroland with the report of the line massacres, all their massacres, all their contribution, all their popery code, and the back country open point at views are measures. The firsh Tebellien, and or no need as a search the profestants, on the coveres, were during prote that's of party levery shortly after a himbly started to via consern, at levery a prove cours of flower at very short variety at member that each there could be a construction of the track to west to said, and he delete Gree Brown to prove our course they were advantage or one prove of course there and strains a track to the provide as with prove the section of the provide at the provi folly of repediment, that it acted control great for the relegation with the consequence of that is real past means and made of the time the relegation of the consequence of the real past means and made of the time to be consequence of the real past means of more at the representatives a parameter of means of rome. on the specificar considerable decreptioned the cross of proceed as as duer constitution of Locality containly in mornishment of query Anny, which they also had the shiftest elements, then the season with a concountable averse's equality may be a contained. To have been also been the first the history peaceable come to their claim as also all processes, so have as the veri 1700, problams four follow ever I, and where it has any fed that had a for the measure. In the four laties, he is the sthem how to the mass spins, of that year, the spresent are o foundation, in a tite of them not to the manifest of that year, the appearances of thirds of the tite, but a continuous not the place of the very second law, the real deep of the very second law, their place is the very second law, their place is the very second law, their place is the very second law, the replace is the very second law, the place is the common of the late of the Contraction of the late of the Taken operation could expectly, have then from the thirt in, we can red by a loope, however the course of the leading and popular reasons a greater effect. To sever, I have a to your The assembly entried imministration in consideration, whether notice, further can be all tarters and to mentille a solutions be done, culturely not it is on nothermore it was armost it is assembly, that an effectively exemper of these in beam, to see to most love on Good Banara in the link as cure this in heart. If there exists notice that in the contemplation of go exposer, papiers, whose over the econstruction is therefore the hours had been non-oundeeve pate, is there a met a male a content, and one of the maga non-securitions end county did not be have two and largers. Also the tellowing of Reselved, then we The restrain. - The event placed even appele our elegation of a rely entradabled of ion a late, to vote that they appeared by to reme every on own enumery in a in local date at the remainder of the two kines, and by their exections teams of an acquire recent the fill he reflected at the sound of topical can in fact, a containment with team of the fill he rest the termor. It by one, who has the life teams of an acquire recent that the late is as the termor. It by one, who has the life teams of the sound of the same as well of the same at the file teams. quality acquainting with the last of of sisting or the first hound from a

disable I, in a great piezsure, from exciting I reland, must acknowledge, that the whole

presentatives at all the ron musts of Ireland, on the point should induce all loyal subjects, these resolutions, to other with abstracts of the speeches of the principal demagogues its whitey to the state, to re-examine the among them, were published in most of the grounds of their opinion, and the authentifactions papers, both in Greet Britain and length of the information on which they form-Ireland. Here then is post positive of coat. It is not to be remarked, that the first the hosele scatar, his of the whole mais of , deer we step of the Trench revolutionists in tish romanist, not or any partial body their ever of anarchy, was the subversion of them, to them Sure of anthromposing union of the transfer of a factors of the transfer of t all programmes as there the made 17 6 25 8 17 (17) po.ed prime of the officer of a converse of a converse to that frish commissionics that the second to the second to the second to the second rive, any essistance to disherence to the second rive, any essistance to of this careful this would be taken to be to be and it is a configuration of the property to make a known matter of sample which the could had a new that he less, who has, that a great me only of the factions part of the ball had not defend both parts on woods to very love agreed. The course has a country of the property of the country to do the long of fitting, though the it is not as laid held to given, or had it he do the forth romain is to an open everbeen a period, that the present measure mas proced me, to it, the policial rails of would be at complete, after an union had taken sample on their distriction for the part of covernment in confacting the The last them is a male either of promot. The messet the union in the Pash boase of is a constructing dominant or vet the lin-fromandis to actly this fact, if they can, for word to with it opined in condense a to proceed settings of the one set the with the second of the go-A DE STELLER, OF HOME, OF WEEDES ABOUTED STELLER HOLES appeared to the property of the second stellers to see a part of the second stellers. "His very my victo case and to province subscribers, and radius is a name op pearly as the names of relativities to an address, though persons of such proposed denote within the place to any who b The address of were stated to have been int re short, without the century and integer of government, no concludible body of was mas throughout the lancdem could the procured probact, to acove their approbatron of the measure. It is admitted, that in any honest mea, a. I mood subjects at this sale of the votes (but it) ignored of the state of bolin ly and of bish attins) have been duped by the grobest falseloods and meso proceed thoms, retoon approbation of the in eisure now in debate, and that there is a afference of o, then money men of that desergion respectar, et, but amole, demagoogle, propublicans, and cardely, there is no dolor nee of opinion on it. They are unaamore in it, appliet, and their manimity

who have been deluded into an opinion of Lof the echtoch establishment, which led imthe art by to the arbien ion of thee civil forwardment. The correquence of the proposed in a matter empac, it therefore meets the rest he are in ton of all the Loobins in it. Trace possed in the computer of contamination of an incorporation amon, it is The crabe whole cor, particularly after the large. I call on the prisons corrected on The common in belond conde conded my own put, I can tails over, that, instead of word, supporting the measure of an ution in the tesh commons, I would have opposed to the utilises of ray power, had I suggested that such a measure is the contract that such a measure ent of the super of partiament, in the event of an merchanical countries of the and I know many to their of the Irish commons, supporter of the train, who would have decided coppyed ", had they are suspecion of the present measure being ope of as confequences in short, a great negerity of the Lish commers would have done so the gray palargument made use of by al the recits of covernment to the Irish mem beis to induce them to agree to an union vas, that all hosomy of the British cabriet to lish protestings, and all forther encourage incut and support of light tonomers, would for ever cease, on an union between the two countries taking place, because all andicement to such a species of policy would then for ever cease. Could any Briash sub [ject ever suspect that in the rough of a princ of the house of Brunswick, a measure would be proposed in a Pritish parliament, the at tempting of which cost the unhappi Jame II. his crown, espatiented him and his pos terity, and car sed a breach in the hereditar succession of our lings, always a serior

monarch' is a protestant, a single or sol and bo nd by his oath, and as strongly by his principles, to maint in the protestant religion as by law estat lished. The measure directly tends to the sopping of his tide, for if iteis just and advintageous to the state, now to invest romanics with equal political privileges with protestants, it was equally so in the reign of king James II. Such a measure, now that an union between Great Britain and Ireland has then place, is more mis hierors to the Branch enque, then to could have been in the regreted dances, be cause in his ic in few terminants could be tain is tom the lamble promisent, as their sect wis not then, not is now. Very nutmercus in Great Britain. But Lish 10tain cuts in the imperial parliament, and in the course of a few ve rs tas will be presently such an above eithry remainsts, cat of the land hish commoners, will change eats rethe in period parliament -a strong band, in less blubby ka tategether, who will certainly be also to every parto gravepublican , every b and or discentions, in coord cope it in to government, unless they shall be granted to the atmost extent or thin wishes, by the unce subsersen of the constitutes in the ech end state. Can any decorreasing will answer in the affirmative right of the amplet outry lit in factions shre for the elevation and aggrandisement Legis of the Renn hachelben in Ireland, and the herrible mass are of the Irish protestants in the corn e of it, coupled with the aimost general pardon of the principal traibe adopted, must, by every reasonable man, be considered as a public reward conferred; on Irish remanists for their relicition and cruck murder of their protestant fellow subjects in cold blood; and that too a reward cl the utmost magnitude and value: it is al-

evil in an hereditary monarchy? Asto-prendy proved, that it cannot be esteemed a nishing, that what our kings could not even beward or the omerits, for meres they have attempt with impanity, should be, after a home. The fivourers of this measure allapse of one contury, duringly attempted, Juge, that it is calculated to produce anoniand that too under the reign of a prince, firstly among the European subjects of the whose sole (a'C to the crown rests on a pan- | British cin, no. now more necessary than ciple, directly adopt e and opposite to the photecotore to the prespective and independprinciple of this measure! This tale is a pro-fence of the state, form the overgrown power testant title, and, thanks to heaven! on of brance, and (occome the words of James II in support of the second error) that it with render the subjects of the Bottish coppie, happy and translate as home, and formulable obres. But it is demonstrable that the sad prior, or it will produce the care to or bry edect, and will arm the romanists will a fine office poset, which they will incollably excess for the destruction of the state. The rest was teners of romainsts in the them accompled the characteristic to be protected that they must cease to her remains a before their hearby to such a mare can be extinguable they held, as meaning decernes, the georges of the fourth there is connected. they took as a point of forth, the supremacy of the population hold them exes bound mahists, if this incasure succeeds, will on- by all the obligations of releach, to propagate the endecemes by every means of yersuccentain and source. In conformity with such doctiones they hold, that all people, eithering from them in matters of leith, are bereties deemed to cremal peraision they hold that no faith is to be kept with heretics they held that no gaths of a decrere to an heretical periode or government are binding Can the members of such a sect be admitted to share in the povernment of a protestant state? I concerve that no be jest man in his trine make none to the purpose, and de-I propostert state in Europe, in the government of which the people at large had a an one empire. Can cay meas remove orrectly tend to the safes a parent car constivoices before the late revolution, ever admatter a basis of the metabolic mutted a romanist, or any person who did totion in charch and it is, and the introduction initied a romanist, or any person who did tion of energy, democracy, and untild lity? I not profess the religion of the state, to any It may be justly remarked, that this near portion of political power. No arguments in favour of the measure can be deduced of poper, fathering so inneconately on the from the employment of romenists in some departments of the state by protestant despotic princes, because in their states the people at Tirge have no political power, and the ministers must implicitly obey the orders ters and numberers, actors in it, if it should for the prince, yet even in such despotie states, the instances of the elevation of romanists to great employments are very few, if any. It may be objected, that remanists declare themselves ready to swear allegiance in temporal matters to a protestant government; and assert, that they hold no such

catholics consider the express decisions of of it to a vote. Newly and some other their general councies, as a tailed and one towns one pot-well-oping boronches so that ray in points of dectrice?" that the rejection of the outer of suprement by Romanises, of the council by election of the outer of suprement by Romanises, of the council of the counci title the rate great providers, is no proof or voting reversion of the towns or bothat their consider there oner bound by roughs excited to represent tion in parliahereocal quermaent, or to heredes in ge- | position only, and remainst, by the aforeneral soccaste the orth of supremacy con-psaid hish her or 1700, are entaled to become tains an alguation of the supremary of the members of corporations. The Irish roand such an oath then relia on will not per- to one to the Inship one terms an general; " that love an entholics cannot conscion- thou among the other closes of seriety. The thousive a peres the ecolesace of authority of the field estates in Ireland, in the percession the rish plot Rane, the supreme pure description of the properties and the proposition of the properties at the Point half the Proposition of any to ecoles to the Proposition of the Point Rane of the Point Rane of the Proposition of the Point Rane of the Proposition of the Propo (in this enthants of the time of parts of trees, of termine, the protestent and ords do time) tal them to a no fath at all a said alian a face all a considering to meet of win heretas, and that alphabes a fatality of political sector like were fer given to heleti s, by on his, one helicity , e of the metry of the held it see, value absolutely national veril, it is equently are produced that we are a stakings retain innot binding, and ough to a to observe !. The country is to . Took is Chantry so that they may take each orths, and brook process, a difference of the new concerns. Little them at their pleasure, is a few rice millions, that they can give the according of in them elves, and such has been the con-littona in the converge with the converge of the constant and arowed practice of the cherch - them to act to their good appearment at It is now time to give a general edulogic of the grand leader, who haves succeeded the momentum alternations in the banksh the year 1, 3. One conferment of large constitution in church and state, which will landed projectly, at lear presentative of a be the mevitable consequences or this measure of its holl take places business however beauty, informs lime, that he and his colstraint its holl take places business however beauty had every reconcile expectation, first necessary to make a few preliminary ob- I from the neglect landed interest in the counservations -By the union the number of ty, of being retianed members for it withhish representatives at the commons are re- out any contest or expense; but a gentle-

doctrine, as that faith is not to be kept with of these, sixty-four are members for counheretics; and to shew that they look on ties, and the remaining thirty-six for cities ouths to hereties to be building, they argue, and one, towns. All close bo oughs, with that if they did not think themselves bound the event on of one, as I recollect, and the by su't oaths, they would not refuse to teles most out and encoler to a feet out for, are acquired the cath of supernary, the taking of which of the provider at content representatives to would quality them to enjoy the principes of the art to be an it of not not pulliament they are now so ardeady in pursuit of To in the year 17 to 60 to 1 by the intrigues this it is an wood, that the accreamed doc-fand inflicence of the back handistry, and trine is contained in the deciments of the Laspissed in orposition to the opinions of the term council, any very general one, and so best informed men in fieldid, consulsts beheld to be by an is a uses. that the pine- came entired to vote at the elections of tice of the court or ferms and of all its vas-, members of pulliment. Most of the cities s b and vocaties, has been always strictly and great towns, which return the privilege conformable to such doctime—that the re-cent publications of the most celebrated di-vines of that pressurion, and among others, ford, Cork, Tamerack, Calway, Dairy, Carof Doctor- Troy and Hussey, one an arch- (riel tergus, and Droghela, are counted withbishop, and this bishop, and both sub- in themselves, and a freshold or forty shill- jects of this empire, state, "That Poman times as and value entitle the per on seised notwithstanding their olding it would on- tone most and purely position. The right oitles, by which they phold their tuch to in I riear is counted to the members or the corpost, until the tide of a foreign prelow, paints are methy; oportion of around two met them to take. Doctor Toy, among and the propertion among the recommy of others of their emment decines, tells than, Ireland is give decommon was of the romanete, miner of Sur of duced from three hundred to one handred; man of very magnificant, landed projectly in

the county, unexpectedly declared himself a delahty at least out of the hundred hish reprecandidate, and went round to all the Romish [sentatives will be remanded; and it is fair to chapels, soliciting the votes of the romanists in the county, and promising, if elected, to support all their pretensions in pathament. On this occasion the Romish tenants of the gentleman who gave me the information, made freeholders by himself, universally ment, and some Romish peers will sit in the deserted him, and promised their votes to supper house. The romanists will certainly the new condidate; and the Remish prests fact in parliament as one body; then union, through the county so efficiently bestirred [comented by rengious panelple, and the inthemselves with their votanes in support of terest of their sect, will mother every seed the new candidate, that my friend and his of discussion among them cell allurements colleague were obliged to give a large sum of of individual interest will fail of officet, when money to this adventures to induce him to put in competit in with the interests of their abardon the canvass, and thereby to swell address, their beote, will had them togethemselves from the fatigue, and expense of the can administrate bonds hard what their a contested election. Certain it is, that the conduct in the supreme legislative council of whole body of the Romish peasantry, who the complex will be, it is not hard to de me —

by the folly and credulity of the relative idea The first reason it will the attenue usly pre
have been made freeholders since the year pose, and without yetting citorist on the and

1703, will do effection into est on every support, will be the repeal of so much of the election, when told by their priests that it is his act of 1700, contouring on them the for the interest of their religion that they should do co. In the last hish a believe, the of rememsts without telling the onlies, to fill pep h peasantry pursued their Indiords (most of them Very indule int to their tonaut and from their afterheant to reserve ists entitled men of Aberahy) with the wmost fury and rancour, mis accome them and their tanch is viction in jex, when the fell into their hards. Such has been the trenzy of the projection Lad! has of Ireland, and their an business of a special backers in county into esc, that they have made the most the whole mass of the papers persons ry forty-shaling necholders ance the sail 1793; so that the Rope to Sechet see, or that description exceed in a most the grotestant frecholders of every description, throughout three parts in jour of Jude at and if this me save shall take effect, reprin-Asts will be not mad mend as of probances out lick nd and the paner is to repare the tion in the British constitution, the property should be the basis of representation, will be completers to seed a design band the base there willben imbers, not property -- Buteven!

conjecture, that twenty or more romanists will obtain seats in the imperial commons for Figlish boroughs, as the whole Romish faction throughout the empire will exert their powers to strengthen then party in parliaelective franchise, a continues the disability about thirty or forty of the great oili es of the state, in the department, of which is lodged the executive power of the govern-B, the meessant intropies in Ireland of the English maistry, the test and corporation sets by I been repealed at that port of the elimine previous to the man, and the coception of the above-neuron beloat offices of the state, the rone the offices of Ind. heaten up, of lead ob meetler, of the twelve judges, of the commander in chief of the arme, of the king's coon el, of sheads, and a the others. If the minister of the dia, at a name of the light is, noted appose, or decorps will immediately join the opposition, and the opposition, to secure the a sistance of such more encountaries, will heartly for most of the couples at laste, denotes at fraternize with them. In many cases of natowns, and pot-wallopping bereight three had tronal distress and daniculting the bolest minester, though adver o to their claims, will be unable to stem the torrent, and the part or the test and corporation acts, yet in force in Ireland, so data stand of the minission of commissis into param of for many sessions. in respect of property, the influence of poperty. When the capability of a mainsts of filling will be daily advancing in Ireland, because, these great offices in Ireland is once estain a commercial country, land is as often at blished, will it be producible to exclude market, and clanges hands, as personal pro- ither inform occupying them? Certainly it perty; and traded as well as person lip is wallnow. The isdesatisfaction as such exclusion perty will, he a close but cert un promes on, soon a half be give ter three at their former meep to that class of the population which disciplification. The given my may of the is the most numerous. From the force of the hope sentators in the unit of the plant. observations at is a roll to mell some that in a liment being remainded, anded by the langer h very short time, if the measure is effected, from mists, and undersolubly connected, would

tronage in Ireland, and secure all the offices there for their own sect: in fact, the civil establishment in Ireland would become completely Romish.—Would the Romish representatives in parliament then be contented? Would their clergy in Ireland, and the mass of their persuasion, acquiesce without murmur in the enjoyment of the ecclesiastical re caues in lands and tithes by the protestant clergy? Would they not immediately grasp at them? If the whole executive power of the state there be committed to 10manists; • if the lord heutenant, the lord chancellor, the judges, sheriffs, and all the administrators of the law in that nation should be romanists; who would warrant the enjoys end at the ecclesiastical revenues there to the protestant clergy, or maintain their possession of them? The minister himself would not be able to scoure the laws entitling the protestant clergy to these emoluments from alteration and repeal, if the great body of the Irish representation in parliament demanded such a sacrifice, which it would not fail to do. The utter subversion in Ireland of the church establishment would follow on the heels of the subversion of the civil, and Ireland would immediately become a popish country —But would the anibitton of the Romish faction in the imperial parliament stop here? Certainly it would not. The tenets of popery enjoin continual exertions for its prepagation, support, and aggrandizement; and every romanist would beside have the additional spur of particular interest and ambition, to stimulate him to further exertions on behalf of the sect. The test and corporation acts, being in full force in England, would oppose effectual barriers against the attainment of offices of profit and power in England by romanists. They would observe, that Scotchmen, by the weight of Scottish representation in the imperial parliament, much lighter than that of Irish and Romish representation, had obtained such offices in England, though they had almost engrossed all places of emolument or power in Scotland: they would also observe, that British and Irish protestants were capable of enjoying offices in Ireland; but that British and Irish romanists were excluded from all offices of emolument or power in England by the test and corporation acts: they would then loudly complain of this inequality of condition with their fellow-citizens in the British empire, and state that they were excluded from such offices in fourfifth parts of the British empire, and admitted only to the full privileges of citizens in and flourished; and which has descended as Vor IV

wring from the minister the whole civil pa- | the one-fifth, without having any exclusive ' purilege even in this one-fitth. If the minister of the day stood in need of their assistance in partiament, as he often would, he must listen to such complaints, and listen with attention and favour, circumstances might compel him to join them in their efforts to repeal the test and corporation acts; and if he should determine to support these remarking balwa's crithe constitution. they would join the whole herd of republicans, who have so often reprobated these statutes, and attempted their repeal. Two attempts of this is true, one in 1789, the otherm 1,700, anist be in the recollection of many members of this house, it required all the abilities of the able manister, who at that time principally conducted the business of the nation, to defeat them. If the party which made these attempts shall be reinforced by above one hunared nambers, steady and determined in their best lity to these two statutes, who concuswer for their conthumbee as post of the law of the empire for any length of time. They will undoubtedly yield to the meessant mining and contimed same of a determined, vigilant, and inst hous enemy, constantly recruited by the venonious off-pring of intideity, 1epublicancia, and jacobinism, the constitution, in cherch and state, will infall bly sink under the combined pre-sure of such a chaotic mass of desolating innovation.—The attempt to obtude this measure on the nation, is, in fact, but the reliensal of the first act of the Gallie tragedy on the British stage. The introduction of members of all sects into the Gailic national assembly was followed immediately by the subversion, or rather extinction, or then national religion; and that by the complete subversion of their government, and the substitution of the mostbarbarous despotism which ever ravaged and deformed any region of the civilized world. Principiis obsta: let us firmly resist all approaches of the ferocious monster, Gallic anarchy! - Britons, it is necessary to call to your recollection, and set before your eyes, the statutes, the repeal of which must precede, or inevitably follow, the adoption of this measure; because such display will convince you, that this measure and its consequences directly tend to the complete subversion of your constitution, which has been improving from the commencement of the reign of the glorious Elizabeth, till its attainment of its present unrivalled excellence! under which you and. your ancestors for two centuries have lived

an inheritance, during that period, in succession from father to son!—The statute of the first of Elizabeth, enacting that all public officers shall take the oath of supremacy that of the first of William and Mary, or the bill of rights, new-modelling the oath of supremacy, and extending the sphere of administration of that oath: the acts of the thirtieth of Charles II. ch 2, and the first of George I. ch. 13, enacting, that no member shall sit or vote in either house of parment, till he hath, in the presence of the house, taken the oaths of allegiance, supremacy, and abjuration, and repeated and subscribed the declaration; the act of the thirteenth of Charles II called the test act requiring all public officers to take the above oaths, repeat and subscribe the decharation, and receive the sacrament of the for ever be observed as fundamental and Lord's Supper according to the usage of essential condition of the union. On the chief Furdind, and the act of the those conditions of the treaty of union twenty-till of Chiefes II is chief 2, called the judge Blackstone makes these observations: corp ration cet, incapacitating all per ons " that whatever else may be deemed fundafrom being elected edicers of any city or mental and essential conditions, the presercorporation, wathern their having within 'a verten, of the two churches of England and twelf enough pievious to their election, recoiled the Saciament of the Lode Sap- the time of the union, is expressly declared per according to England; and also requiring them to take in the constitutions of either of these churches, the alloye-meationed outs :-- all these acis must be repealed! all the fortifications, mental and essential conditions." The fifth erected for the safety and prescription of our constitution in church and state for two centuries must be levelled with the dust .--Judge Blackstone, an able and constitutional lawyer of moleculdays, states, that the acts of Charles II. and George I. requiring all members of both houses to take the oaths, and repeat and subscribe the declaration, were enacted to prevent crude innovations in religion and government. The test and *corporation acts he styles the bulwarks of the constitution, and states, that they were enacted to secure the established charch against perils from non-conformists of all denominations, among whom he particularly enumerates papists. (See Blackstone's Conimentarity, 1 1 p. 158 and vol. iv. p. 57. and edit.)—It is now time to advert to the conditions of union between England and Scotland, and between Great Britain and Ireland, which relate to the church establishment; and to enquire whether the present measure can be be adopted consistently with the obligation of these conditions, and the preservation of the public faith.—In the act of union of England and Scotland, the fifth of Ann, ch. 8, two acts of the respective parliaments of England and Scotland,

for the unalterable security of their respective church establishments, are recited: that of England being for effectually and unalterably securing the true protestant religion, professed and established by law in the church of England, and the doctrine, worship, discipline, and government thereof. The English acts of uniformity of Elizabeth and Charles II. and all other acts then in force (among the rest, the thirtieth of Charles II. before-mentioned), for the preservation of the church of England are declared perpetual: and it is enacted, that every subsequent king and queen shall take an oath inviolably to maintain the same within England, Ireland, Wales, and the town of Berwick upon Tweed. And it is further enacted, that these two acts shall Scotland, in the sime state they were in at we of the church of so to be; and that therefore any alterations would be an intringement of these tundaarticle of the union of Great-Britain and Ireland is in the following terms: " that it be the fifth article of union, that the churches of Fugland and Ireland, as now by law established, be united into one protestant episcopal church, to be called the United Church of England and Ireland; and that the doctrine, worship, discipline, and governmen of the said united church shall be and shall remain in full force for ever, as the same are now by law established for the church of bagland; and that the continuance and preservation of the said united church, a the established church of England and Ire land, shall be deemed and taken to be an es sential and fundamental part of the union and that in like manner the doctrine, wor ship, discipline, and government of the church of Scetland shall remain, and b preserved, as the same are now established by law, and by the act of the union of the two kingdoms of England and Scotland."-It is clear that every measure tending to th breach of these fundamental and essentia conditions of the two unions ought to b rejected by this house with indignation: th very proposal of such a measure to thi house is an insult to it; as it must be found

ed on the presumption, that this house is ments therein-recited, shall be perpetual;" capable of violating the public faith reci- and in both of these recited acts, an addiprocally plighted by the nations composing | tion is made to the coronation oath; and it the British empire to each other, on their is enacted, "that the succeeding kings of consolidation into one body. If this mea- Great-Britain shall, at their coronations, sure hould be adopted, the act of the Both swear to maintain and preserve inviolably of Charles II. requiring all members of both, the settlement of the church of England, houses to take the oath of supremacy, and as specified in that statute, for the unalterrepeat and subscribe the declaration, will be able security of the church of England, and repealed by its adoption, as well as the bill the doctrine, worship, discipline, and goof rights and the test and corporation acts, vernment thereof, as in that act specified, these are made perpetual by the conditions within the kingdoms of Laglan 1 and Ireof the union of England and Scotland, being Land, the dominion of Wales, and the town enacted for the preservation and continuance of Berwick upon Tweed, and the territories of the church of England; and, as Judge thereunto belonging." A similar oath is Blackstone expresses it, for the prevention prescribed to be taken at the coronation by of crude innovations in religion and govern-, all succeeding kings, for the involable presure, and its notorious consequences, are, the subversion of the established church in Great-Britain and Ireland, in violation of the public faith plighted on the completion of two incorporating unions; the introduc-tion of infidelity and atheism; by the anmililation of all the bonds of society springing from an established religion: and the consequent introduction of anarchy and democracy — the true reason (however disguised under the mask of liberality) why this desolating, faithless, Gallie measure has met the approbation, and acquired the patrounge and support of all the jacobins in the British empire.—It is some consolation to reflect that the subjects of this empire, attached to the constitution, have yet one barrier left to resist that inundation of impiety, democracy, and barbarity, with which this measure is calculated to overwhelm it: that is, his majesty's coronation oath; fend us, during the precious life at least, of the pious and conscientious prince who now sways the British sceptre; a barrier raised by the wisdom, picty, and patriotism of our forefathers. The oath enjoined by the statute of the first of William and Mary, has the following clause: " I will to the utmost of my power maintain, the laws of God, the true profession of the Gospel, and the protestant reformed religion established by law." By the act of Anne ratifying the union of England and Scotland, as is already stated, it is enacted, " that two acts of the respective parliaments of England and Scotland, for the unalterable security of their respective church establish-

ment. Exclusive of this direct breach of servation of the then established religion in the conditions of the union, it is already Scotland. The coronation oath not only shown, that the whole tendency of the mea- binds the king to refuse his assent to any alteration in the religions of England, Ireland, and Scotland, as they were established at the time of the union of England and Scotland, but he is equally bound to refuse his assent to any measure, directly tending to the subversion of the religion then established; and also to discountenance, as far as in him lies, all attempts of that nature.— The patrons of this measure, sensible of this impediment, have not been wanting in their endeavours, by sophistical arguments, casuistical distinctions, n.isrepresentations of some facts, suppression of others, and suggestion of falschoods, in pamphlets and newspapers, to sap the foundation of this barrier, which they were unable to surmount. One payroblet of this nature deserves particular notice, masmuch as it is an epitome of all the arguments against the obligation of the corduction oath; and is launched into the world under the name of a barrier, I am convinced, sufficient to de- | a gentleman, whom I understand to be a person of some reputation, as a lawyer or conveyances, and of the Roman catholic persuasion. It is entitled, "A Letter to a Nobleman on the proposed Repeal of the penal Laws which now remain in force, against the Itish Roman Cathalica, from to be taken by the king at his coronation, Charles Barrer, usq. of Emrolu's Inn, Author of the Notes and Annotations on Coke on Littleton." This pamphlet, after stating, that the author is less acquainted with the Irish popery laws than with the English (which is indeed safficiently manifested by its contents), proceeds to give what it styles an outline of them; but in truth it is an odious, monstrous, and detertable caricatura of the provisions and effects of the Irish popery acts of the 2d and 8th of Queen Anne.

3 M 2

Irish romanists in the course of the war; it should at the same time have stated, as the truth is, that the other four were desperate jacobins and infidels, with which protestants, men, women, and children, who fell into their hands; giving no other reason for their barbanty, than that the victims were protestants and heretics. It appears from some passages in the pamphlet, that it was written and published since the year 1798. In that year burst forth the last rebeliion of the Irish rominists, and the barbarous massacre of their protestant countrymen. The reports of the committees of the English and I ish houses of commons have been some years published: the conspiracy for rebellion, and the dreadfal and dangerous muting of the Irish romanists in the British | fleet, are fully exposed in these reports; I yet the author of the pamphlet has the confidence to praise the loyalty of the Irish romanists, and to state, that in the late invasions of Ireland, none were more active in repelling the invaders, and that among the men of influence and property, who were engaged the entition three catholics cannot be mentioned. There was but one invasion of Ireland during the last war, and conducted by Humbert, at the head of one thousand French troops. He landed in a part of Ireland, in which the bulk of the inhabitams were romanists, who joined him in a mass on his landing; at his first encounter with the king's troops, the greater part of a regiment of Iroh militia, all romanists, deserted to him; all the romenists

The whole scope of these two wise and pro- adjacent to his quarters, joined him. What vident statutes was to prevent romanists then could induce the author to praise the from acquiring landed property in Ireland, loyalty of the Irish romanists? He states, their antecedent rebellions and barbarous that if the Irish romanists did not flock to massacres of the motestants of treated bay- the standard of Britain, frightful indeed ing rendered such a prohibition at that time would be the solitude of her camps and her not only expedient, b tabsolutely necessary. Reets. Poor Britain! Irish romanists, ac-The pamphlet admits, that these acts are cording to this pamphlet, are your only denow repeated, but the caricatura is inserted | fenders! The author means to insimuate, by way of ornament to the subsequent at- that all the Irish serving in the British fleets gument against the obligation of the corona- and armies are ionianists, whereas not one tion eath, the main difficult the pamphlet. half of them are so. And it is certain, that The pampalet then praises the loyalty of the the protestants of Great Britain and Ireland would furnish sufficient armies and fleets, it and states that five of the directors of the romanists were entirely excluded. Since united Irish only one was a romanist; but the mutiny in the fleet, the recruiting officers for some time refused to enlist any Irish romanists for the marine service. The degree of merit of a Romsh bommour solspecies of people the Irish rebels had closely dier, serving under protestant officers in an connected themselves; and that nearly the largey, nine-tenths of the common soldiers whole mass of Irish truiton, which broke out; of which are protestants, in countries reinto open rebellion in the year 1798 were mote from his native land, removed from romaniats, not one in five hundred of them (the itaneful influence of his priests, and subbeing of any other religious persuasion; and ject to military discipline, is so minute, that that they massacred in cold blood all the ats value is not easily appreciated. He enlists for the bounty; he is paid for, and obliged to perform his allotted service. The author of the pamphlet either did or did not know of the already mentioned rebellion alid mutiny, when he published his praises of the localty of the Irish romanists: in the first case, he seems to be deficient in candour; in the other, in information. The pamphlet then states, that the Roman catholics acknowledge the spiritual supremacy of the pope, but to a man deny his right to temporal power. It can be hardly supposed, that the author, if he be a lawyer, can be ignorant, that the wisest and most sagacious statesmen and legislators cannot separate a vast portion of temporal influence, authority, and power, from the supremacy in spirituals; their adhesion is indissoluble, they must for ever accompany each other.— It is now time to advert to the principal argument in the pamphlet, that the king is not by his coronation oath bound to resist the adoption of the present measure: this the author rests on the clause in that outh, which binds his mnesty " to govern the people according to the statutes in parliament agreed on, and the laws and customs of the realm." . The author then gives a mutilated extract from the next clause, to wit, that his majesty swears "to maintain the protestant reformed religion established by law;" [for coronation oath, as settled by of influence or property within the districts the act of 1st William and Mary, see Black-

page 228, 240]; and adverts only to the William and Mary, without at all adverting to the additions made to that oath by 5th of Anne, or the act of union between England an I Scotland. He then draws the following conclusion from these garbled premises, that the list clause can only mean the protestant reformed religion, as from time to time, under the legislation of parliament, it should be the church establishment of the country. precludes his majesty from concurring with? both houses of parliament in any legislative act whit bever; and even it it did preclude; him from such a concurrence, it would be no objection to his repealing the laws remaining in force against the Irish romanists, as the repeal of them will not interfere with the legal establishment of the church, with any part of the hierarchy, or with any of its temporal or spiritual rights and privileges. I have already remarked the disingenuity of the author of the pampblet in respect to his gurbled quotations of the coronation oath, and his total omission of the additions made to it, by the act of union of England and Scotland, by which the king is onliged to swear at his coronation, to maintain and preserve inviolably the church of England, the act of uniformity, and all acts in force at the time of that union, for the perpetual preservation of the church of England in its doctrine, worship, discipline, and government (the act already mentioned of the 30th of Charles II. among the rest, whereby all members of both houses of parliament are bound to take the oaths previous to their sitting or voting in the houses), as it stood at the time of the union of Fugland and Scotland, and consequently not to make or consent to the making any alteration in its doctrine, worship, discipline, and government, as it then stood. But the first deduction of the author from the clauses in the coronation oath, as partially quoted by him, that they can only mean, the protestant reformed religion, as from time to time, under the legislation of parliament, it should be the church establishment of the country, is founded on a sophism, to wit, the fraudulent assumption, that balls_depending in parliament, and which have, perhaps, passed the two houses, are acts of H. was chased from his throne for attempt

stone's Commentaries, octavo edit. Ist vol. coronation oath, is bound to govern his people according to the statutes in parliament coronation oath as settled by the 1st of agreed on (that is, agreed on by king, lords, and commons, the ling in his legislative capacity being an integral part of the parliameat), but not according to bills depending in parliament, and which may perhaps have been agreed on by a majority of the members of the two houses of parlament; for such bills are not laws nor statutes; nor in any manner binding on pance or people, till they have been agreed to by the mothat, as to the constitutional interpretation match, and received the royal assent, withof the clause, it would be absurd in the ex- out which they become waste paper.—It treme, unconstitutional, and perhaps even is sincerely to be hoped, that there will be the sonable, to contend that the last clause (such harmony always subsisting between the king and both houses of parliament, that no bill will be ever offered to his majesty for his assent, which he shall doem it expedient to reject; especially such bills, as he is bound by his coronation oath, and by the espress conditions of the two unions consolidating the Butish empire, to reject. But I cannot agree with the doctrine of some bold innovators on the British constitution, who have asserted, that the king is bound to assent to every bill which has passed through the two houses of parliament. Such doctrine is, in my opinion (to use the words of the author of the pamphlet), absurd in the extreme, unconstitutional, and perhaps even treasonable; as it teaches, that the king in his legislative capacity is a mere e; pher. If (which God forbid!) the two houses of parliament should pass a bill containing clauses in direct contradiction to his mejesty's coronation oath, and in violation of the articles of the two incorporating unions of England and Scotland, and of Great Britain and Ireland, and tender such a bill to his majesty for the royal assent, who will as ert that his majesty is bound to give that assent in violation of his coronation oath, and the public faith? Such a crisis I have the firmest hope will never happen; if it should, I have no difficulty in asserting, that the king is bound by every principle of religion, and by a organic principle of the constitution, to refuse his assent; though by such assertion, I incur the guilt of treason in the opinion of the Annotator on Coke on Lattleton! Lacknowledge no power, in either or both houses of parliament, of dispensing with the obligation of lawful, positive, solearn ooths. I will not admit, that any man. or boly of men, on the face of the earth. is invested with such a power. King James parliament or statutes. The king, by his | ing to ci pense with the laws of the land:

what punishment is too great for those who would attempt to dispense with the laws of God? Leave such doctrine to romanists and the court of Rome! it is not a protestant doctrine '—It may not be improper to observe here, that in the purest and of the constitution in the reign of William III the royal assent to bills which had passed both houses of parliament has been more than once refuse by the sovereign. In 1693, that king refused his assent to a hill to render all members of the house of commons incapable of places of trust, and profit: the commons, in their resolution on that occasion, state that the royal assent had been refused to several public bills, and by that king in particular. [Harris's Life of William III. page 308 — William refused his assent, in 1635, to another bill for the further regulation of elections of members to serve in parliament, Ihid. p. 437.—See also Commons' Journals.]-The author of the painphlet asserts, that the repeal of all the laws complained of by romanists, would not interfere with the church establishment, or with any of its temporal rights and privileges. I trust it has been already proved, that though the present measure, if adopted, would not be immediately attended by the subversion or the present church establishment, yet the subversion of that, as well as of the civil establishment, would be the certain, and not very remote consequence of such adoption.—The author of the pamplilet then puts the following query: " What system of cusuistry made it lawful for his majesty to assent to the repeal of the large proportion of penal laws, repealed by the acts of 1782, 1788, and 1793, and now makes it unlawful for him to assent to the repeal of the small proportion of those laws yet remaining unrepealed; or, that made it lawful for him to sanction a partial repeal of the test act in 1782, and makes it unlawful for him to sanction a total repeal of it in 1801?" To this question it is answered, that the repeal of the parts of the popery code (which the pamphlet styles penal laws, but which are, in fact, remedial laws only) at the periods mentioned, does not conter any very considerable portion of political power on the Romish sect even in Ireland; and the repeal cannot be followed by con-, sequences subversive of the constitution in church and state; and therefore his majesty might give the royal assent to such repeal consistently with the obligations of his coronation oath. The partial repeal of the test act exempts remanists in Ireland from the

necessity of taking the oath of supremacy, and receiving the sacrament, on their appointment to places, and becoming members of corporations; but all places in the department of which the executive power and adthority of the state are lodged, and all offices in corporations, are yet reserved and excepted from their grasp, unless they perform the usual requisites of all others his majesty's subjects on their attainment of such places and offices. The author of the pamphlet is desirous that these reservations and exceptions should be repealed, and styles them a small proportion of the popery code yet remaining: it may be admitted, that they are small in bulk, indeed, very great, they in importance. On the continuance and perpetuity of them depend the capturnance and perpetuity of the constitution in church and state. Are these matters of trifling moment? What commandant of a strong and important fortress, the chief defence of a kingdom, would be justified in the surrender of it to a cruel, merciless, and unrelenting enemy, because it was deemed advisable, for the better defence of the place, to slight some weak and unimportant outwork, and permit the foe to possess themselves of it?— The author, after the preceding train of reasoning, seems to abandon it all for the purpose of introducing one conclusive argument. against the obligation of the coronation oath, which he deems, irrefragable. "All this discussion," says he, " is superfluous; the coronation oath was fixed in Ireland by the first of William and Mary; at that time Roman catholic peers had their seats, and voted in the house of lords; Roman catholic commoners were eligible to the house of commons; and all civil and military offices were open to Roman catholics: they were deprived of these rights by the acts of the 3d and 4th of William and Mary, and the 1st and 2d of queen Anne. Now the coronation oath can only refer to the system of law which was in force when the act which prescribed it was passed; but the Irish laws meant to be repealed are subsequent to that act; to these laws therefore, or to any similar laws, the coronation oath cannot be referred."-Before I expose the absolute errors in fact in the premises from which the author deduces his conclusion, I will examine the justice of the conclusion, supposing the premises to be true. The coronation oath of the 1st of William and Mary binds the king " to the utmost of his power to maintain the laws of God, the true profesion of the Gospel, and the protestant reformed religion established by law." Shortly after the accession of William and Mary, it was deemed nece-sary to add further fortifications to the established religion by statute in Ireland. The test and corporation acts passed in England in the reign of Charles II and in the 30th year of the same king's reign, the act passed enjoining the taking the oath of supremacy, and repeating and subscribing the declaration, by all members of both houses of parliament, previous to their sit-ting or voting in either house: by the coronation oath, as settled by the 1st of William and Mary, the king swears, that he will to the utmost of his power maintain the protestant reformed religion established by law; the obligation of this oath extends to Ireland so that he is bound to the utmost of his power to maintain it in Ireland, as well as in England, as then established in England by law; and all the barriers for its support erected in England previous to the 1st of William and Mary. The English parliament in the 3d and 4th of William and Mary passed an act enjoining all members of both houses of parliament in Ireland to take the oath of supremacy, and repeat and subscribe the declaration, the parliament of England at that time exercising the power of binding Ireland by its acts; not for the purpose of making any addition to, or alteration in the protestant religion established by law in that kingdom, but to give that establishment an additional security. How then does it follow from the premises laid down by the author of the pemphlet, that his present majesty or any future king of Great Britain and Ireland, having taken the aforesaid coronation oath of the 1st of William and Mary, can, consistently with that oath, consent to the repeal of the aforesaid English statute of the 30th of Charles II. or the above-mentioned statute of the 3d and 4th of William and Mary? His present majesty swore to maintain to the utmost of his power the church established by law in England and Ireland, as he found it established by law, at the time of his accession, and not as it was established by law in the 1st of William and Mary; though in fact it is the very same church, which was established by law in England and Ireland at that time, and which has received an additional barrier in Ireland since, by the enaction of the said English statute of the 3d and 4th of William and Mary for that country. I apprehend I have taken up too much time in refuting this absurd argument, and shall only further observe, that it is not cre- | and 4th of William and Mary, or to that

ditable to an Annotator on Coke on Littleton to support the cause of his party by the quibbling of special pleading.—It is necessary however to make a few remarks on what the author has adduced as facts to support his argument. He states that the coronation oath was fixed in Ireland by the 1st of William and Mary; true it is, it was fixed as well for England as Ireland, by the English statute of the 1st of William and Mary; but the author has totally omitted to state the additions to the coronation oath introduced by the act of union of England and Scotland, the 5th of Anne. By this act the king is obliged at his coronation to " swear (as is already noticed) to maintain and preserve invollably the settlement of the church of England, as specified in that statute, for the unalterable security of that church, and the doctrine, worship, discipline, and government thereof, as in that statute specified, within the kingdoms of England and Ireland, and the town of Ber-wick upon Tweed." The statute particularly specifies, that the act of uniformity, and all other acts for the perpetual preservation of the church of England (among which are the aforesaid English act of the 30th of Charles II. enacted for Ireland in the 3d and 4th of William and Mary, and the test and corporation acts), shall be unalterable and perpetual. The author was either ignorant of these additions to the coronation oath, or designedly omitted them: if inserted, they would have complerely overturned his quibbling argument, that the king is not bound by his coronation oath, to resist the repeal of any law for the support of the established church, which was not a law at the time the coronation oath was fixed; for the aforesaid additions were made to the coronation oath by the 5th of Anne, many years subsequent to the 3d and 4th of Wm and Mary, and also subsequent to the 1st and 2d of Anne; by which acts, he states, that romanists were deprived of their rights to seats and votes in the houses of lords and commons in Iroland. He admits also, that the coronation oath refers to that system of law which was in force, when the acts which prescribed it were passed; that is, that the king cannot conscientiously consent to the repeal of any of the acts for the perpetual security of the established church which had passed previously to the fixing of the coronation oath: that oath was ultimately fixed by the 5th of Anne; and therefore of the author's own shewing, the king cannot conscientiously consent to the repeal of the 3d

of the 1st and 2d of Anne, so far as they enjoin the taking of the oath of supremacy and the repetition and subscription of the declaration by all members previous to their sitting and voting in either of the houses.— The author states, that at the accession of William and Mary, Roman catholic peers had their scats, and voted in the house of lords; Roman catholic commoners were eligible to the house of commons; and all civil and military offices were open to Roman catholics. In respect to Roman catholic peers, I am not sufficiently conversant in the journals of the Irish house of lords to ascertain, whether Romish peers were, or were not, excluded from seats or votes in that house, unless they took the outh of supremacy, previous to the 3d and 4th of William and Mary; they certainly were not so excluded by any Irish statute: but very few such Irish peers could have sat in parliament in Ireland, from the restoration to the 3d and 4th of William and Mary (excepting in the Romish mob assembled in **Dublin** by King James II. after his abdication, and by him and themselves styled a parliament); for the Romish peerage in Ireland was not numerous previous to the year 1641; and almost the whole of them were attainted as traitors, having joined in that wicked Romish rebellion, and massacre of the Irish protestants, which broke out and commenced in the year 1641; and the remainder for their rebellion in 1689, 1690, and 1691. The author displays much artifice in his assertion respecting Romish commoners: he states that previous to the accession of William and Mary, and till the 3d and 4th years of their reign, they were eligible to seats in parliament; they certainly were so, and are so still, and may occupy these seats, and vote in the house of commons, provided the will take the oaths prescribed to be taken, not by them particularly, but by all his majesty's subjects sitting and voting in the house of commons : but the idea the author means to convey to his readers is, that remanists, antecedent to the 1st of William and Mary, were capable of occupying seats in the Irish house of commons, and did sit therein, without taking any oaths whatsoever, particularly the oath of supremacy. This is a gross mistatement. By a resulution of the Irish house of commons in the year 1642, [see the Journals of the Irish house of commons, vol. i. page,434, page 568. Vol. ii. page 443.] all the members were obliged to take the oath of supremacy, or to vacate their seats.

By another resolution of the Irish house of commons in the year 1001, all the members were obliged to take the oath of supremacy and the oath of allegiance of the 3d of James I. and receive the sacrament according to the usage of the church established, or to vacate their seats. The commons in the first parliament assembled in Ireland after the accession of William and Mary, in the 3d and 4th year of their reign, immediately on their meeting, and before they proceeded to any business whatsoever, took the oaths of supremacy, allegiance, and repeated and subscribed the declaration, deeming the resolutions of the commons beforementioned, and the English act of the 30th of Charles II. imperative upon them: so that the idea that any member could sit in the hish house of commons at all thempurevious to the accession of William and Mary, or, to the third and fourth years of their reign, without taking the oath of supremacy; or that Irish romanists were, for the first time; abridged of that alleged right, by the English alst of the 3d and 4th of Wilham and Mary, is erroneous; they were, long before that period, abridged of it by the resolutions of the house of commons, warranted by the law of parliament, part of the law of the land, under which that house has claimed and exercised the power of judging of the qualifications of its own members. -The author's assertion, that all civil and military offices in Igeland were open to Roman catholics, previous to the accession of William and Mary, smells of the same artifice with his former assertion respecting the eligibility of romanists to be members of . the house of commons: it is true that such offices were then open to romanists (as they now are), if they performed the acts required to be performed by all his majesty's subjects appointed to such offices; but what he means to insimuate is, that romanists, till the accession of William and Mary, and till the third and fourth years of their reign, might enjoy all such offices in Ireland, without taking the oaths, &c.; this assertion, in such sense is as groundless as any other in the pamphlet; for no person in Ireland could enjoy any such offices, without taking the oath of supremacy, as enjoined to be taken by the Irish act of the second of Elizabeth; by the universal rejection of which oath romanists disable themselves to hold or enjoy such offices. The cautious, artificial manner in which the pamphlet attempts to convey to the reader, the periods of Romish exclusion

from the houses of parliament, induces a judicial to the British government there, yet belief, that the author, at the time of writing the pamphlet, was not ignorant of the resolutions of the Irish house of commons just mentioned, nor of the Irish act of the 2d of Elizabeth; and if he was not, what opinion must the public entertain of his candour!—The author cannot resort to the unlawful and riotous assembly convoked at Dublin, in the year 1689, by King James II. after his abdication, and by him honoured with the title of a parliament, in proof of his assertions; it consisted almost entirely of romanists, unlawfully elected, after he had destroyed all the protestant corporations, and driven out of the country, or into the protestant armies, almost the whole of the protestant nobility and gentry; and after he has beinself ceased to be a king, and had therefore no power to convoke a parliament. By act of parliament in the reign of William and Mary, this mock parliament was declared to be an unlawful assembly, and all its acts and proceedings were condemned to the flames, and were publicly burned and destroyed accordingly.—I trust I have demonstrated to the house, that the doctrines, political, moral, and religious, contained in the petition, and stated to be the principles inculcated by the Roman catholic religion, are diametrically opposite to the principles taught and inculcated by the canons, decrees of general councils, by all writers, lay and cleric, of the greatest authority amongst the romanists, and adopted by the universal practice of their church from the date of the council of Lateran to the present day; and that their modern writers, such as Dr. Troy and Mr. Plowden assert, "that the religious principles of Roman catholics being unchangeable, they are applicable to all times; and that if any one says, or pretends to insinuate, that the modern Roman catholics differ in one jota from their ancestors, he either deceives himself or wishes to deceive others; and that semper eadem is emphatically descriptive of their religion. It has been urged in this debate, that the establishment by the British government of the Roman catholic religion in Canada, furnishes a reason for establishing it in the remainder of the British empire, because it has not been productive of any bad effects even supposing that the effects of such estab-VOL. IV.

the establishment of it in Canada was a matter of necessity and not of choice; for Cra nada surrendered to the British arms upon express stipulated conditions; one of which was, that the Roman catholic religion, which was professed by that country before the conquest by the British arms, should be for ever preserved inviolate; and Britain ever faithful to her treaties, was thus obliged to establish the Roman catholic religion in that province. As to the fidelity of the Canadians during the American war, it may be accounted for also by necessity on their side: their communication with Europe is by the river St. Lawrence, which is open to navigation for six months in the year only; for the other six months it is blockaded by ice. Britain, in case of rebellion of the Canadians, could cut off all communication with Europe by a few ships stationed in the river St. Lawrence; and the Canadians cannot at present subsist without European commodities, and with these they could not be furnished from the United States without great difficulty and intolerable expense, besides infinite risk and hazard.—The hon... member who has introduced this motion, has argued in favour of the motion from the number of romanists in Ireland; and, to strengthen his argument, he has represented them, in the course of his speech, some times to amount to four millions, sometimes • to three millions; but in the whole course of his reasoning he has nevet mentioned the Irish protestants, but has endeavoured to impress on the members of this house, unacquainted with Ireland, that all its inhabitants, with a few tritling exceptions, are romanists. To expose the errors of the hon, gentleman in this particus, lar, it is necessary to state, that a calculation of the number of the inhabitants of Ireland was made in the year 1692, after the revolution war, and that they then amounted to one million two hundred thousand only. Another calculation was made in the year. 1731, as Dr. Burney nomish titular bishop of Ossory, has informed us, in his Hibernia Dominicana'; and he states, that there were then found to be in Ireland seven hundred thousand four hundred and fifty-three protestants, and one million three hundred and nine thousand seven hundred and sixty-eight there. It is rather premature to form any romanists; so that in 1731 the romanists decided opinion of what effects may here- of Ireland did not exceed the protestants in after flow from such establishment; but the proportion of two to one. DesBurke published his book in 1762, and he makes, listment may hereafter be found to be pre- bitter complaints, that the proportion of

millions; and it may be fairly deduced, that one million two hundred thousand of these are protestants, the persons so much contemned by the hon, mover, that when speaking of the inhabitants of Ireland, he does not even condescend to mention them; and if all the inhabitants of the British islands amount to sixteen millions, then the romanists do not exceed one-eighth part of that population. It is notorious, that the Romish inhabitants of Areland do not possess one-fortieth part of The real and personal property of that couptry, nor one-thousandth part of the property of the united kingdom: in fact they compose the mob and the beggary of Ireland, and are not of consequence enough, either in numbers, wealth, or power, to demand (as this petition does) the subversion of the constitution in church and state, and the destruction of the protestants of Ireland, for their gratification.—There is an argument advanced in the petition for the grant of the representative franchise to romanists, from the elective franchise being conceded to them in Ireland. I trust that I have already shewn to this house, that the grant of the representative franchise to romanists would be attended by the subversion of the constitution in church and . state; and that therefore their enjoyment of the elective franchise is so far from being an argument for conceding to them the representative frauchise, that their demand of the representative franchise, grounded upon their enjoyment of the elective franchise, is a strong and powerful argument for depriving them of the elective franchise: because, by their mode of argument, the enjoyment of the elective franchise entitles them to a privilege which would be subversive of the constitution in charch and state.—It is arguments made use of on that occasio much safer for a Romish government, even a popular one, to admit protestants into places of trust and power, than for a protestant government to admit romanists; because protestants hold no doctrine as a point of faith heatile to the independence of the made use of on that occasion, can be use

the inhabitants of Ireland had, increased increasely to reconcile a considerable share greatly on the protestant side in the interval of our population to the government is abbetween 1731 and 1762: it may from hence surd; for people who maintain, as a point be fairly deduced, that the romanists of of faith, that the state is subject to a fo-Ireland are not, at this day, in the propor- reign jurisdiction, and is not independent, tion of two to one to the protestants of canenever be reconciled to the state till they Treland. From the best calculations lately renounce so anarchical and degrading a temade, it appears that the whole inhabi- net; they must be always enemies to it; trants of Ireland do not now exceed three and giving them political power, is furnishing them with the means of overturning the constitution.—The mob, and the indigent part of the population of a state (as the romanists of Ireland), ought not to be gratified at the expense of the ruin of the loyal, opulent, and respectable part of the state. If the contrary conduct shall be pursued, agrarian laws and the system of equality must be adopted in every state; because the indigent in every state to impose the bulk of the population, and are desirous of degrading and plundering the great and rich in every state, as well as in Ireland.— To sum up all the arguments against this measure, the laws enjoining the taking the oath of supremacy are not restrictive nor exclusive laws, in respect to any class of people in the community except to traitors, because it is merely an oath of allegiance to the state. No subject, refusing it, should be admitted to the functions of a legislator, er to any place of trust and power in the state. To use the words of a great minister of state, (Mr. Pitt) in the debate in 1790, on the attempt to repeal the test and corporation acts, " persons professing modes of belief which endanger the welfare of the society of which they are members, should be excluded from possessing the authority of the state; and here such line of exclu sion should be drawn." The romanists in the British empire (but particularly in Ire land) enjoy a complete toleration and libert of conscience. To use the words of th same great minister again on the same oc casion; "Toleration consists in a fre exercise of religion according to the tenet of the professors of that religion, and in th enjoyment of the protection of the law, not, in a communication of an equality c political power." And in combating th he used the following expressions: " eve papists, acknowledging the supremacy of foreign ecclesiastical prince, must, by suc arguments, be admitted to offices of power and trust." Indeed every argument i state a with signal strength and effect against the massive of the plea, that the measure is present measure.—The present measure, with signal strength and effect against tl

[913

adopted, would directly lead to the subversion of the constitution in church and state, and let in an universal delage of atheism, infidelity, democracy, and anarchy. The repeal of the laws enjoining the taking the oath of supremacy, will be a constructive admission of the justice of the claim of the supremacy of the pope.—The adoption of this measure would be a violation of the conditions of the two unions of England and Scotland, and of Great-Britain and Ireland, and a notorious breach of the public faith.—The adoption of the measure would tend to a violation, of the coronation oath; it cannot therefore be supposed that his majesty will ever agree to it: the tendering a bill to him for the royal assent, to carry this measure into effect, would be an insult it him.—Forgall these reasons I shall give my hearty negative to the motion.

Mr. Grattan rose and speke as follows: Sir, in offering to the house my sentiments upon this most important subject, I shall endeavour to avoid the example setume by the learned member who has just sat down. shall deprecate all animosity on the one side or on the other. As the causes have ceased, I think all animosity arising out of those causes should also cease; and instead, therefore, of calumniating either party, I rise to defend both. I do not wish to revive in detail the memory of those rebellions to which the learned member has alluded.—The past troubles of Ireland, the rebellion of 1641, and the wars which followed, (said the hon. gent.) I do not wholly forget; but I only remember them to deprecate the example, and renounce the animosity. The penal code which went before, and followed those times, I remember also, but only enough to know that the cause and reasons for that code have totally expired; and as on one side the protestant should relinquish his animosity on account of the rebellion, so the catholics should relinquish their animosity on account of the laws. The question is not stated by the member: it is not whether you will keep in a state of disqualification a few Irish catholics, but whether you will keep in a state of langour and neutrality a fifth of your empire. Before you impose such a sentence on yourself, you will require better arguments than those which the member has advanced. He has substantially told you that the Irish catholic church, which is more independent than the catholic church here, is the worst in Europe; that the Irish catholics, our own kindred, conforming to our own terms, are the worst of papists; that the

distinction, a distinction made by the law, propounded by ourselves, and essential to the state, between temporal and spiritual power, is a vain discrimination, that the Irish people, to be good catholics, must be bad subjects; and finally he has emphatically said, " that at Itish catholic never is, never was, or will be, a faithful subject to a British protestant king — they hate all protestants and all Englishmen." Thus has he pronounced against his country three curses: eternal war with one another, eternal war with England, and eternal peace with France; so strongly does he inculcate this, that if a catholic printer were in the time of hivasion to publish his speech, that printer might be indicted for treason as the publisher of a composition administering to the catholics a stimulative to rise, and advancing the authority of their religion for rebellion. His speech consists of four parts, 1st, invective uttered against the religion of the catholics; 2dly, invective uttered against the present generation; 3dly, invective against the past, and 4thly, invective against the future: here the limits of creation interposed, and stopped the member.. It is to defend those different generations and their religions, I rise; to rescue the catholics from his attack, and the protestants from his defence.—The civil interference of the pope, his assumed power of deposition, together with the supposed doctrine that no faith was to be kept with heretics, were the great objections to the claims of the catholics; to convict them the learned doctor has gone forth with a sinister zeal to collect his rueful materials; and behold! he returns laden with much comment, much doubtful text, much of executive decrees, and of such things as are become obsolete, because useless, and are little attended to, because very dull and very uninteresting, and wherein the learned gent, may for that reason take many little liberties in the way of misquotation, or the way of suppression. All these, the fruits of his unprofitable industry, he lays before you: very kindly and liberally he does it; but of this huge and tremendous collection you must reject a meipal part, as having nothing to say to the question, namely, at that matter which belongs to the court or Rome, as distinct from the church; 2dly, of the remnant after that objection you must remove every thing that belongs to the church of Rome which is nor doctrinal, and which is not confined to doctrine, Tegarding faith and moral, exclusive of, and

ever. After this correction you will have reduced this gentleman of the 15th century to two miserable canons, the only rewards of his labour, and result of his toil, both centuries before the reformation, and there-"fore not bearing on the protestants or the reformers. The first is a canon excommunicating persons who do not abide by a profession of faith contained in a preceding canon, which notably concludes with the following observation, that virgins and married women may make themselves agreeable "to God. Now I cannot think such a canon can excite any grave impression or alarm in this house; passed 600 years ago, 300 years before the birth of the reformation, made lay princes as well as ecclesiastics, and never acknowledged or noticed in these islands even in times of their popery. The other canon, that of Constance, goes to deny the force of a free passport or safeconduct to heretics, given by temporal princes in bar of the proceedings of the church. Without going farther into that canon, it is sufficient to say that it is positively affirmed by the catholics, that this does not go farther than to assert the power of the church to enquire into heresy, notwithstanding any impediments from lay princes; and, farther, there is an authority for that interpretation, and in contradiction to the member's interpretation, not merely above his authority, by any that it is in his studies to produce; I mean that of Grotius, who mentions that the imputation cast on the catholics on account of that canon is unfounded. Here I stop, and submit that the member is in the state of a plaintiff, who cannot make out his case, not withstanding his two canons: 'that he has failed most egregiously, and has no right to throw the other party on their defence: however, the catholics have gone, as far as relates to him, gratuitously into their case, and have not opedaints; and they have been enabled to

namixed with, any temporal matter what- | views objected to them. They have gone further, they have desired the protestants to name their own terms of abjuration; the protestants have done so, and here is the instrument of their compact; it is an oath framed by a protestant parliament, principally manufactured by the hon, member himself, in which the Irish catholics not only abjure the imputed doctrine, but are sworn to the state, and to the present establishment of the protestant church in Ireland, and to the present state of protestant property. This oath has been universally taken, and by this oath both parties are concluded, the catholics from resorting to the abjured doctrines, and the protestants from resorting to the abjured charge. Therefore, when the member imputes, as he has done, to the cathtile the principles hereby abjured, it is not the catholic who breaks faith with him, but it is he who breaks faith with the catholic. He acts in violation of the instrument he himself-formed, and is put down by his own authority. But the catholics have not only thus obtained a special acquittal from the charges made against them in this debate, they have obtained a general acquittal also. The most powerful of their opponents, the late earl of Clare, writes as follows: they who adhere to the church of Rome are good catholics, they who adhere to the court of Rome are traitors;" and he then duotes Lord Somers as his authority, in which he entirely acquiesces; and acknowledges their innocence in their adherence to the church of Rome as distinct from the court - A fest; such as I have already mentioned, is formed, abjuring the doctrine of the court of Rome, and reducing their religion to the church of Rome." This test, together with a number of other articles, is reduced to an oath, and this oath is introduced into an act of parliament, and this oath is taken universally. Here again are availed themselves of the imbecility of their the apppnents to the catholics concluded by their own concessions. By tendering an oath produce on the subject of the above tharges, to eatholics, they allow outh to be a test of the opinion of six universities, to whom singerity; by framing that outh under the to eatholics, they allow outh to be a test of the opinion of six universities, they make it a test of pure those charges, in the shape of queries, clickmistances, they make it a test of pure have been submitted. Paris, Louvaine, catholicism, and by their own arguments, balamanca, Douay, valiadolid, Alexia. The they pronounce fure tatholicism to be innoversities have all answered; and have in little further than pronouncing the innother answers not only disclaimed the innomination of the catholicis he has pronounced puted doctrines, but disclaimed them with eepoe of the catholics, he has pronounced ashorrence. The catholics have not stop-ped here there have drawn up a declaration of nine articles, renouncing the imputed doctation, together with other doctrines or never will be faithful to a British protestant

king; he does not say every catholic, for then he would include the English catholics and those of Ganada; nor does he say every Irishman must hate the king, for then he would include every protestant in Ire-The cause of the hatred is not land. then in the religion nor in the soil - it mut be then in the laws, in something which the protestant does not experience in Ireland, nor the catholics in any country but in Ireland; that is to say, in the penal code. That code then, according to him, has made the catholics enemies to the king: thus has he acquitted the catholics, and convicted the laws. This is not extraordinary, it is the natural progress of a blind and a great polemic. Such characters begin with a fatal candour, and then precipitate to a fatal extravagance, and are at once undermined by their candour, and exposed by their extravagance: so with the member, he hurries on he knows not where, utters he cares not what, and is equally negligent, of the grounds of his assertions, and their necessary inferences. Thus when he thinks he is establishing his errors, unconsciously and unintentionally he promulgates truth; or rather, in the very tempest of his speech, Providence seems to govern his lips, so that they shall prove false to his purposes, and bear witness to his refutations. Interpret the gentleman literally, what blasphemies it follows then, according to the learned doctor, that the christian religion is in general a curse. He has added, that his own countrymen are not only depraved by religion, but rendered perverse by nativity; that is to say, according to him, blasted by their Creator, and damned by their Redeemer. In order, therefore, to restore the member to nownce him as an advocate, and acknowledge that he has acquitted the catholics which he meant to condemn, and convicted the laws which he meant to defend.—But though the truth may be evigorated from the whole of the member's statement, it is not to he discerned in the particular parts; and therefore it is not sufficient to refute his arguments, it is necessary to controvert his facts. The catholics of Ireland, he says, hate the protestants, hate the English, and hate the

king. I must protest against the truth of this position; the laws, virulent as they were, and mitigated as for the last seventeen years they have been, the people better than the laws, never could have produced that mischief; against such a position I appeal to the conscious persuasion of every Irishman. We will put it to an issue: the present chief governor of Ireland is both an Englishman and the representative of English government; I will ask the hon, gentleman whether the Irish hate him? If I could believe this position, what could I think of the protestant ascendancy, and what must I think of the British connection and government, who have been for six hundred years in possession of the country with no other effect, according to this logic, than to make its inhabitants abhor you and your generation? But this position contains something more than a departure from fact; it says, "strike France; strike, Spain; the great body of the Irish are with you:" it does much more, it attempts to give them a provocation; it teaches you to hate them, and them to think so; and thus falsehood takes its chance of generating into a fatal and treasonable truth. The hon, gentleman having misrepresented the present generation, mistates the conduct of their ancestors, and sets forth the past rebellions as proceeding entirely from religion. will follow him to those rebellions, and has he uttered? He has said that the catholic shew, beyond his power of contradiction, religion, abstracted as it is at present in Ire-that, religion was not, and that proscription land from popery, and reduced as it is to was, the leading cause of those rebellions, mere catholicism, is so inconsistent with the The rebellion of 1641, or let me be controduties of morelity and allegiance, as to be a verted by any historian of authority, did not very great evil. Now, that religion is the proceed from religion; it did proceed from christianity of two thirds of all Christendom; the extermination of the inhabitants of eight counties in Ulster, and from the foreign and bigotes education of the catholic clergy, and not from religion. The rebellion of the rele (for it was totally distinct in period or cause from the other) did not proceed from religion; loss of the graces; they resembled your petition of right, except that they embraced articles for the security of property; the character of a christian, we must re- disarmament of the catholics, expulsion of them in that distinged state from Dublin many other causes,—order for the execution of certain priests. You will not forget there was an order to banish their priests in James the First's time, and to shut up their cliabels in Charles the First's. These were the causes. There was another cause: you were in rebellion, Scotland was in rebellion! There was another cause, the Irish government was in rebellion; they had taken their part with the republicans, and wished to

and one of these carres was the abdicatine i king at the head of the call class, and an ther cause was the Audian preservation carried on against the catholic to the opposite and then provading parts. The come is are ngy no more; or and the months say that. is now an abdicating process or now a pepishplot, or now a pretender? There are c. asos, most certainly, sufficient to slarm you, 'bur very different, and such as con only be combated by a conviction that, as desimes are now disposed of, it is not the power of the catholics which can destroy, or the exclusion of the catholics which can save you. The cortclusion I draw from the history above alluded to, is very different from that drawn by the member, and (at more healing; conclusions to show the critis arising from foreign connections on one side, and from domestic proscription on the other. If all the blood shed on these occasions; if the many fights in the first and the signal battles in the second period, and the consequences of those battles to the defeated and the trumphant, to the slave that fied, and the slave that followed, shall teach our country the wir lem of conclusion, I conservature her on those deluges of blood if not, I submit, and I meent her late, and depicte her understanding, which would render notionly the like and of Providence, but its testations fruitles, and transmit what was the cur is of our fathers as the inherit needs our children. -The lemoed gentlemax proceeds to reastate a period of 100 years, namely, the century that followed the revolution, and this he makes a period of open or concealed rebellions. The sources of his darkness and misinformation are to be found in history and revelation. Of his charges against that period he brings no proof; none of those on the same side with lent can bring any. They heard from such a one, who heard from such a one. I wither believe care hor such a one, and I do be so may generations may nor be convicted on explone that would not

draw into treason the Irish freeholders, that 'that against evidence by which that valent with the forfeith of another's reboil on peaks, will be acquitted, egales, the authothey might supply their own. I go back enty or too acts of parliament, the act of with concern to those times. I see much 1778, which declares their logally for a long blood, no glory; but I have the consolation sens, of years, that of 1782, that of 1, 02, to find that the causes are not lodged in the rand that of 1753, and taith a about the religion or the soil, and that all of them but | declared sense of government, who, in the the proscriptive cause have vanished [1,15]. I year 1703, proposed to take near canada relow the member to another rebellion, the famous, occurs the Catholics had proved which should properly be called a civil war, I their adopting, and against the authorize not a rebellion; it precorded from a const- of the then It is primate, who supported bination of courses which exist no longer, that the range, and in his peach or that subgert angles as his coson, that, may be ye rural of Mr Memay's piper, bettone op-peared, ganst the first catallas of an connected whatsoerer with the worldoor w that people. The minds provide the achellion of 1708, and this he character catholics. On Laguage her character is 1844-210 the committee of the Iron book to be conmons in 1797, in which it lets eath the is bel muster, containing 99,000 nord on rolled in rebellion, and all the morth in counties organised. At the time in when the committee of the house of commen states the rebellion of the north, the our patches of government acknowledged the ellegiance of the south. To those dispatch a Lappeal, written at the time of Hoche's prejected invasion, and applieding the attachment and legalty of the southern counties, and their exertions to assist the arange on its march to Cak to oppose the landing of the French If you ask how the rebellion spread, and involved the catholics, I will ausser and tell you, that as long as the proscriptive system continues, there will be in our country a stimin (we'll ness, rendering the distentpas to which courty is obnexion, not only dimercus, but deadly. Frery epidemic discase will bring the chrome and unger into action. It is the grapestone in the band of doub, which strikes with the force of a thunderbelt. If you have any apprehension on this account, the error is to be found in yourselves, in human policy, not in religion, in the fallibility of man, not of God. It you wish to strip rebellion of its hopes, France of her expectations, reform that pehey; you will gain a victory over the enemy when you gain a conquest over yourselves. But I will for a moment accede to the member's statement against facts and history what is his inference? during one hundred years of the proscriptive system, this state has been in immuent danger, therefore, adds he, continue the system, here is the regimen under which you have declinedbe admitted up not the vilest saids, and personer. But the member proceeds to observe, that you cannot hope to reconcile fractors from a court press; so that the averwhom you cannot hope to sately, and he won of the first government stood in the instances the repeal of the penal code. If the et di no shortion by law, and the hosdeny the risk moes - the repeal in 1778 and they cothe listenimised succeeded to the 1782 did recopcile and did satisfy; and ac- host lity of starter. The catholics, core of cordingly you will find that the Irish carlot, them Uknow, thou I too, and there are a ma-Tes in 1779, 1780, 1781, and 1782, were ac- themen now in pai much to whom they tive and unantitious to repel the invasions communicated their sentiments, that they thicatened at that twice, when the French would precent hen situation before the repeal rode in the Changel, and Ireland was left to of 1793, to the situation which tellowed; the care of 0000 leg day, and was only defen led frem myas on by the spart and loyalty of the catholics, in harmony and in arms with their protestant brethjen. The repeal of a principal part of the penal code, in 1703, did not recoveile, and did not satisfy: at we because the brish government of that time is an enemy to the reped and to the radions and presented the good colors or that facause. That government, in the summer of 1702, had sent instructions (1 I now the fact to be so) to the grand juries, to enter into residetion, against the claims of the catholic. Then leading numster op-posed him elf at one of the county meetmos, and took a menosable post of hostility and publicity. When the popular of the catholics was recommended in the lang's speech in 1793, the Irish minister answered the long, and with unmersued severity at tacked the petitioners. When the bill mto breed in consequence or his majesty's recommencement was in progress, the same now ter, with as immersized severity, at tacked the ball, and repeated his security against the catholics. Welfen the same bill of reconciliation, in consequence of the recommandation and reference or the pention, was on its passage, the Irish government attempted to hang the leading men among the petitioners, and secondingly Mr. Bird and Mr. Hannil were by these orders indicied for a capital offence. I think it was detendensin, and so little ground was there for the charge that those men were triumphantly arquitted, and the watureses of the crown so flagrantly perfored, that the index, Thave heard, recommended a prosecution These were the causes why the repeal of 1793 did not satisfy: and in-addition to these, because the fish government took * care that the catholics should receive no benefit; therefore opposing there with their known partisms and dependents in the cor position of Doblin, where they sought for the freedom of the city, sold on giving any office (there are very few costances in which they got any) in consequence of the act of parliament, and always attacking their cha-

masmuch as they experienced in the then Tirsh government a more deally and more active enemy than before they had expericheed in the law. Trefer to the speeches delivered and published at the time by the ministers and servants of the Irish government, and persisted in and delivered since. There, ou will see in artack on all the proeces mass of the Irish from the time of their addressing free to de, such as were glorious as well as those that were intemperate; without ar crammation or moderation: there you will be the less nomistry engaged in a wretened squarble with the catholic commivee, and that eath his committee replying on that ministry, and degrading it more than it had acgraded itself; and you will further perceive the members of that ministiv urging their charges against the members of that committee, to disquality other cathohes who were not of the committee, but opposed it: so that by their measures against the one part of the catholics, and their invecuse again to the other, they take care to clienate, as the as in them lay, the whole body. The tact is, the project of conciliation in 1793, recommended in the speech from the throne, was defined by the Insh calquet, which was at that tand on that subpet in opposition, and being incensed at the British* abject for the countenance afforded to the eath mes, punished the latter, and sowed these seeds which afterwards, in conjunction with other causes, produced the rebellion -I have the member, and proceed to discuss the differences now remaining that discommade his majesty's subjects of the protestant and cutholic persuasion. Before we consider how far we differ, it is necessary to examine how far we agree. We acknowled to the same God, the same Redoemer, the same consequences of redemption, the same Bible, and the some Testament Agreeing in this, we cannot, as far as respects religion, quarrel about the remainder. because their merits as christians must in our opinion outweight their demerits as catholics and reduce our religious distinctions to adif ference about the encharist, the mass, and

difference of opinion, but not a division of interests.—The infidel under these circumstances would consider us as the same religionists, just as the French would consider you, and cut you down, as the same community. See whether we are not agreed a Jittle farther, and united by statute, as well as religion. The preambles of three acts declare the catholics to be loyal subjects; the act of 1778 declares that they have been so for a series of years; the same act declares that they should be admitted into the blessings of the constitution; the act of 1793 goes farther, and admits them into a participation of those blessings. Thus is the principle of identification established by the law of the land, and thus are the catholies by that law proclaimed to be innocent, and the calumniators of the catholics guilty. Let us consider their situation under these laws; professedly and in principle admitted to everything except seats in parliament, and certain offices of state; they are, in fact, excluded from every thing under the circumstances of paying for every thing; the few places they enjoy make no exception; they pay their proportion to the navy, and contribute onethird to its numbers, and have not a commission: they contribute to the expenses of the army, and to one-third of its numbers, and have not a commission: and shall I now be asked how are the catholics affected by this, or be told that the catholic body would nowbe served by the removal of this? How would the protestant body be affected, if only removed from the state, the parliament, the navy, and the army? In addition to this I am to add the many minor injuries done to the catholics in ways that must be felt, and cannot be calculated; the inestimable injury done to the catholic mind by precluding it from the objects of ambition, and to the catholic spirit by exposing it to the taunts and insults, (you cannot be at a loss for an instance), such as are uttered by the vilest of the protestants against the first of the catholics. I am to add the mischief done to the morals of the country by setting up a false standard of merit, by which men without religion, moral or public-integrity, shall obtain, by an abhorrence of their fellow subjects, credit and consequence, and acquire an impunity for selling the whole community, because they detest a part of it. You see it is impossible for any one part of the society to afflict the without paying the penaity, and feel-

the Virgin Mary, matters which may form a ling the consequences of its own policy in the re-action of its own bad passions on itself I am to add the mischief done to the peace of the country, when the spirit of religious discord descends to the lower order of people, and the holiday becomes a riot: and when the petty magistrate turns chapman and dealer in politics, turns theologian and robber, makes for himself a situation in the country formed out of the monstrous lies he tells of his catholic neighbours, fabricates false panies of insurrection and invasion, then walks forth the man of blood, his creditors tremble, the French do not; and atrocities, which he dare not commit in his own name, perpetrates for the bonour of his king, and in the name of his Maker. I have heard of the incivilisation of Irgland: too much has been said on that subject. I deny the fact; a country exporting above five millions, even at your official value, near about half a million of corn, three millions of linen, paying eight millions to the state, cannot be barbarous; a nation connected with you for six hundred years, what do you say? cannot be barbarous. If France should say so, you would contradict her, because it is not on Ireland, but on you, the reflection must fall. But if any thing, however, delays the perfect and extensive civilization of Ireland, it is principally her religious animosity. Examine all the causes of human misery, the tragic machinery of the globe, and the instruments of civil rage and domestic murder, and you find no demon is like it, because it privileges all the rest, and amalgamates with infidelity as well as murder, and conscience, which restrains other vices, becomes a prompter here: To restrain this waste, and this conquest exercised over your understanding, your morals, and your fortune, my hon, friend makes his motion The present lord lieutenant of Ireland has done much to reconcile, but his mild integrity and good sense must be aided by parliament. Come, let us hear the objectors. The catholics, they say, should not have political power. Why, they have it already: they got it when you gave them landed property, and they got it when you gave them the elective. franchise: "Be it enacted, that the catholies shall be capable of holding all offices, civil and military, except," (and then the act excludes a certain numeration) This is the act of 1793, and is not this political power allowed by act of parliament? so that the objection goes not so much against the petition, as against the law, and the

give for objecting to the law are, first, that the catholics do not acknowledge the king to be the head of their church. To require a person of the catholic faith to acknowledge going very far; but to make the withment, may sit in parliament. So that here! he. is ; the reason alleged is, that he who allows his majesty to be the head of his church, has more allegiance, because he According to this, the Turk has more allegiance than either, for he acknowledges the grand seignior in all capacities; and the Englishman has less allegiance than any other subject in Europe, because, whereas other European subjects acknowledge their Ling in a legislative, as well as an executive capacity, the English acknowledge their king in the latter capacity only. But such then know not how to estimate allegiance, vou allow, but by the privileges which you keep; thus your allegiance is of an higher order, because it is rendered for the proud circumstances belonging to an Englishman; to the peer who has his rank, the commoner who has his privileges, and the peasant who has magna charta; the catholic too, he has an interest in his allegiance; increase that interest, that is, increase this privilege, you increase the force of the obligation, and with it your own security. But here, again, the objector interposes, and alleges that the catholic does not only acknowledge the king to be the head of their church, but acknowledges a foreign power. Whom? I cannot find him; there was, indeed, a power which you set up in the last war, and Is that the guarded with your troops. memory at which gentlemen tremble? a sort of president or chair, in whose name the business of the catholic church is conaucted; for whom no catholic would fight, and against whom the Irish catholic would fight, if he came into their country at the head of an invading army—they have said Vol IV.

law is the answer to it. The reasons they so. You will recollect how little you yourselves feared that name, when you encompassed and preserved it at the very time of the Irish rebellion; and now do gentlemen set it up, and bring it back' again into the a person of another religion, who makes no world as a principle to influence the action very encouraging declarations towards them, of the Irish? But then I have received an to be the head of the catholic church, is answer to this; and that Buonaparté has gotten possession of the power and person holding such acknowledgment the test of of the pope. What power? He had no disaffection is much farther, farther than power before his captivity, and therefore he reason, and farther than the law, which does became a captive; he has not found his not require such test, but is satisfied with power in his captivity. Or will you say that a negative oath: and therefore, the pres- he could now disband an Austrian army, or byterian, who makes no such acknowledge- an Irish army; or that, if he were to issue out his excommunications, your seamen or the objector is answered again by the law, soldiers would desert? Such the power of and the reason he gives in opposition to the the pope-such your fear of it, and such liw shaws, that the legislature is wiser than is the force of their argument: what is the policy of it? Buonaparté has gotten the pope; give him the catholics. But here the objector interposes agoin, and tells us it acknowledges the king in more capacities, is in vain to look for harmony with the catholics, inasmuch as they deliver the protestants to damnation. Gravely they say this, soberly they say this in a morning; and, according to this, you must not only repeal your laws of teleration, but you must disband part of your army and your navy, and disqualify your electors. The catholic who hears this, produces a protestant creed which does the same thing, and damns his sect likewise. The infidel who listens which is not measured by the powers which fagrees with both, and triumphs; and suggests that it were better not to cast off your people, but to shake off your religion. So Volney makes all sects contend and all conquer, and religion the common victim. The truth is, exclusive salvation was the common frenzy of all sects, and is the religion of none; and is now not only rejected by all, but laughed at : so burning one another, as well as damning one another. You can produce instances—they can produce instances: it was the habit of the early christians to anathematise all sects but their own. No religion can stand, if men, without regard to their God, and with regard only to controversy, shall rake out of the rubbish of antiquity, the obsolete and quaint follies of the sectarians, and affront the majesty of the Almighty with the impudent catalogue of their devices; and it is a strong argument against the proscriptive system, that it helps to continue this shocking : contest—theologian against theologian—polemic against polemic, until the two made men defame 'their common parent, and expose their common religion. With argu-

the laws were in error which gave the catholic political power; and it is further added, that he will use that political power to destroy the church. I do not think they have now said he will destroy the present state of property; bigotry has retired from that part, and has found out at last that the catholics cannot repeal the act of settlement in Ireland, by which the property of the rountly was ascertained, until they become the parliament; nor become the parliament tell they get the landed property of the country; and that when they get that oproperty, that they will not pass an act to set aside their titles. Further, it is now understood, that the protest int title is by time, that the c are few old catholic propactor-a multitude of new ones; that the catholic tenantry hold under protestant titles, and therefore that there is, in support of the present state of property in Ireland, not only the strength of the protestant interest, but the physical force of the catholics; therefore the objectorshave judiciously retired from that ground, and now object to catholic power as certain to despoy the protestant church—how? They must do it by act of legislation, or by act of force: by act of legislation they cannot, and by force they will not. They will not by act of force, because the measures proposed, which denot go to increase that force, do go decisively to romove the animosity. Or will you say, when you give them every temporal motive to allegiance, they will become rebels? that when indeed they had rights of religion, rights of pro-perty, rights of election, the were loyal; but when you gratified their minbition likewise, they then became di-affected? and ready to sacrifice all their temporel rights and political gratifications—in order to do what? To get a larger income for their clergy; that is, that their bishops should drink more claret, wear finer clothes, and with whose assistance should they do this? With the aid of the French, who starve their clergy. The ordinary principles of action, the human motives that direct other men, according to these reasoners, me not to be found in the catholic. Nature is in him reversed: he is not influenced by the love of family, of property, of privileges, of power, or any human passions, according to his antagonists, no more than his antagonists are influenced by human reason, and therefore it is the preasoners deal mostly in the pro-phetic artin; with a prophet's fury and his

031]

ments such as those, it is urged that the I for thus introducing the church as an obsticle to the advantages of the state? Is it political, or is it moral, to deprive the catholic of the franchises of the constitution, because they contribute to the church, lest, on obtaining those franchises, they should pase laws with-holding that contribution? as if you had any right to make that supposition, or any right to insist on that perilous monopoly, which should exclude them at once from church and state, that they might pay for both without compensation. The great preachers of our capit, I have not said so. Mr Dunn, that meek spirit of the Gospel, he has not said so. Mr. Douglas, in his strain of piety, movals, and eloquence -he has not said so. Nor the great luminary himself-he who has wrong from his own breast, as it were, near 60,600l. by preaching for public charities, and has stopped the mouth of hunger with its own bread—he has not said so. I ask not what politicians may instil and may whisper; but what have the labouring clergymen preached and practised —But the revolution, it seems, is an eternal bar: they find the principles of slavery in the revolution, as they have found those of darkness in the revelation. If they mean to measure the privileges of the carpire by the model existing at the revolution, they must impose on Ireland eternal proscription, for at that time she was deprived of the rights of trade and constitution, and the catholics of all rights whatsoever; and they must impose on the empire two opposite principles of action, the free system for England, and the proscriptive principle for the rest. They are then to make Ireland fight for British liberty and Irish exclusion. Their argument is therefore not only a wicked wish, but a vain one. Nor is this the practice of other countries; those countries do not require the religion of the public officer to be the religion of the state; their practice has been notoriously otherwise they who said the contrary labour under a glaring error. Nor will you be able to encounter France and the other nations of Europe, if they should avail themselves of the talents of all their people, and you will oppose them by only a part of yours, and while you deprive yourself of the full strength of those talents, expose yourself to their animosity. It follows then, whether you look to the principles of liberty or empire, that you cannot make the proscriptive system existing at the revolution the measure of the other parts of your empire; you must then blindness—with much zeal, and no religion. make the principles of the revolution that I would ask then, what authority have they measure. What are those principles? Givil

time in full force for you, they existed as seminal principles for us, they were extended to the protestant part of Ireland a century after, they remain now to be extended to the catholics. Then will your revolution be completed, not overthrown; then will you extend the principles of your empire on those of your constitution, and have secured an uniformity of action by creating an identity of interest; thus will you have simplified the imperial and constitutional motions to the one and the same principle of action, moving you in your home and in your imperial orbit, informing the body of your laws, and vivifying the mass of your empire—The petition of the county of Oxford states the catholics have been ever enemies to freedom, just as the controverwialists have said the catholics must be enemies to the king. The revolution, from whose benefits you are to exclude the catholics, was founded on a model formed and moulded by catholics, the declaration of right being almost entirely declaratory of rights and privileges secured by your catholic ancestors. One of your great merits at the revolution was not to have exceeded that model, but on the contrary you restrained popular victory, and restored establishments, and with them kindled a modest spirit, which has outlasted the French conflagration, a vital heat, which then cheered you, which now should cheer the catholic, and giving light and life to both, I hope will be eternal.—The great objects, church, state, and property, I adopt with the controversialist, and beg to rescue them from his wisdom, and to give them for their support the physical force of the catholic body; inasinuch as our danger does not arise from the possible abuse of his constitutional power, but from the possible abuse of his physical thoughts to obtain that constitutional power. In all this debate you will observe we argue as if we had but one enemy, the catholic, and we forget the French: and here what I said to the Irish parliament, on the catholic question, I will repeat to you. I said to them, " the post you take is unfavourable,—independency of the British parliament, exclusion of the Irish catholics, a post to be kept against the power of one country, and the freedom of the other." now say to you, the post you would take is unfavourable, a position that would keep France in check and Ireland in thraldom, to be held against the power of one country

and religious liberty. They existed at that three systems for Ireland; one such as primate Boulton has disclosed, a system to set the people at variance on account of religion, that the government might be strong and the country weak; a system, such an one as prevailed when I broke her chain, which made the minister too grong for the constitution and the country, too weak for the enemy; a system which one of its advocates. has described, when he said the protestants of Ireland were a garason in an enemy's country, and which another geutleman has described when he considered Ireland as a caput mortuum. This system has fuled; it ought to have failed, it was a party governament and a party god -There is another, extermination, that will not do-the extermination of three millions of men would be no easy task in execution, no very charitable The justices of measure in conception. 1641 had dreamed of it, Cromwell had attempted, Harrington had talked of it. I hold the extermination of the people, and even of their hierarchy, to be such an experiment as will not be proposed by any gentleman who is perfectly in his senses. Extermination, then, will not do; what is left? The partial adoption of the catholics has failed, the cradication of the catholics cannot be attempted, the absolute incorpuration remains alone; there is no other; or "did you think it necessary to unite with the Irish parliament, and do hesitate to identify with the people? See whether you can conduct your empire on any other principle. The better to illustrate this, and in order to ascertain the cinciples of your empire, survey its comprehension, computing your West Indies, and your eastern dominions. England has now, with all deference to her moderation, a very great proportion of the globe. On what principle will she govern that proportion? On the principles on which Providence governs that and the remainder. When you make your dominions commensurate with a great portion of her works, you should make your laws analogous to As there is no such her dispensations. thing as exclusive Providence, so reither, considering the extent of your empire, should there be such a thing as an exclusive empire, but such an one as accommodates to peculiar habits, religious prejudices, prepossessions, &c. &c. You do not, in your dispatches to your generals, send the thirtynine articles: you know the bigot and conqueror are incompatible; Lewis XIV. found it so. You know that no nation is long inand the freedom of the other. There are dulged in the exercise of the two qualities-

bigotry to proscribe at home, ambition to the ancient renown of your island. disturb abroad. Such was your opinion when you established popery in Canada; I do not speak of Corsica—such your opinion when you recruited for the foot in Ireland. It was in the American war this practice began: then you found that the principle of exclusive empire would not answer, and that her test was not who should say her prayers, but who should fight her battles. On the same principle the Irish militia, which must be in a great proportion catholic, stands; and on the same principle the Irish yeomanry, who must be in a considerable proportion catholic, stands; and on the same principle you have recruited for the navy in Ireland, and have committed your sea thunder to catholic hands. Suppose in Egypt the general had ordered the catholics to go out of the ranks; or if, in one of your sea-fights, the admiral had ordered all the catholics on shore, what had been the consequence? It is an argument against the proscriptive system, that if adopted practically, in navy or army, the navy and army and empire would evaporate. And shall we now proclaim these men, or hold such language as the member, language which, if he held on the day of battle, he must be shot; language for which, if a catholic, he must be hanged; such as you despised in the case of Corsica and of Canada, in the choice of your allies, in the recruiting your army and your navy, whenever your convenience, whenever your ambition, whenever your interest required. Or let us turn from the magnitude of your impire to the magnitude of its danger, and you will observe, that whereas Europe was heretofore divided in many small nations of various religions making part of their civil policy; and with alliances influenced in some degree and directed by those religious distinctions, where civil and religious freedom were supposed to be drawn up on one side, and on the other popery and arbitrary power, so now the globe has been divided anew. England and France, you have taken a first situation among mankind; you are, of course, excluded from a second: Austria may have a second situation, Prussia may have a second, but England seems to have linked her being to her glory, and when she ceases to be of the first she is nothing. According to this supposition, and it is a supposition which I do not frame, but find in the country; the day may not be very remote when you will have to fight for being, and what you value more than being,

You have said it yourselves, and you have added, that Ireland is your vulnerable part: why vulnerable? Vulnerable because you have misgoverned her. It may then happen that on Irish ground, and by an Irish hand, the destinies of this ancient monarchy called Great Britain, may be decided. Accordingly, you have voted your army, but you have forgot to vote your people; you must vote their passions likewise. Their horrors at the French proceedings will do much, but it is miserable to rely on the crimes of your enemies always, on your own wisdom never. Besides, those horrors did not prevent Prussia from leaving your alliance, nor Austria from making peace, nor the united Irishmen from making war. Loyalty will do much, but you require more—patience under taxes, such as are increased far beyond what we have been accustomed to, from one million and a half to 8,000,000; nor patience only, but ardour—the strong qualities, not such as the scolding dialect of certain gentlemen would excite—the fire, a spirit that in the case of an invasion will not sit as a spy on the doubt of the day and calculate, but, though the first battle should be unsuccessful, would come out with a desperate fidelity, and embody with the destinies of England. It is a wretched thing to ask, how would they act in such a case? What, after a connexion of alk hundred years, to thank your admiral for your safety, or the wind, or any thing but your own wisdom! and therefore the question is not whether the catholics shall get so many seats, but whether you shall get so many millions; in such a case you live all people. What is it that constitutes the strength and health of England but this sort of vitality, that ther privileges, like her money, circulate every where, and center no where? This it was which equality would have given, but did not give, France; this it was which the plain sense of your ancestors, without equality, did give the English; a something which limited her kings, drove her enemies, and made a handful of men fill the world with their name.-Will you, in your union with Ireland, with-hold the regimen which has made you strong, and continue the regimen which has made her feeble? You will further recollect, that you have invited her to your patrimony, and hitherto you have given her taxes and additional debt, I believe it is of 26,000,000. The other part of your patrimony, I should be glad to see that. Talk plainly and honestly to the Irish

-'tis true your taxes are increased, and land barriers against the catholic, instead of your debts multiplied, but here are our privileges, great burthens and great privileges: this is the patrimony of England, and with this does she assess, recruit, inspire, consolidate. But the protestant ascendancy, it is said, alone can keep the country, namely, the gentry, clergy, and nobility, against the French, and without the people. It may be so. But, in •1641, above ten thousand troops were sent from England to assist that party; in 1789, twenty-three regiments were raised in England to assist them; in 1798, the English militia were sent over to assist them. What can be done by spirit will be done by them; but would the city of London, on such assurance, risk a guinea? The parliament of Ireland did risk every thing, and are now nothing; and in their extinction left this instruction, not to their posterity, for they have none, but to you, who come in the place of their posterity, not to depend on a sect of religion, nor trust the final issue of your fortunes to any thing less than the whole of your people.—The parliament of Ireland—of that assembly I have a parental recollection. I sat by her cradle, I followed her hearse. In fourteen years she acquired for Ireland what you did not acquire for England in a century—freedom of trade, independency of the legislature, independency of the judges, restoration of the final judicature, repeal of a perpetual mutiny bill, habeas corpus act, nullum tempus act. A great work! you will exceed it, and I shall rejoice. I call my countrymen to witness if, in that business, I compromised the claims of my country, or temporised with the power of England. But there was one thing which baffled the effort of the patriot, and defeated the wisdom of the senate; it was the folly of the theologian. When the parliament of Ireland rejected the catholic petition, and assented to the calumnies then uttered against the catholic body, on that day she voted the union. If you should adopt a similar conduct, on that day you will vote the separation. Many good and pious reasons you may give; many good and pious reasons she gave, and she lies there with her many good, and her pious reasons. That the parliament of Ireland should have entertained prejudices, I am not astonished; but that you—that you who have as individuals and as conquerors visited a great part of the globe, and have seen men in all their modifications, and Providence in all her ways—that you, now at this time of the day, should throw dykes against the pope

uniting with that catholic to throw up barriers against the French—this surprises: and, in addition to this, that you should have set up the pope in Italy to tremble at him in Ireland; and further, that you should have professed to have placed yourself at the head of a christian not a protestant league, to defend the civil and religious liberty of Europe. and should deprive of their civil liberty one fifth of yourselves, on account of their religion—this surprises me; and also, that you should prefer to buy allies by subsidies rather than fellow subjects by privileges; and that you should now stand, drawn out as it were in battalion, 16,000,000 against 36,000,000, and should at the same time paralize a fifth of your own numbers, by excluding them from some of the principal benefits of your constitution, at the very time you say all your numbers are inadequate, unless inspired by those very privileges. As I recommended to you to give the privileges, so I should recommend the catholics to wait cheerfully and dutifully. The temper with which they bear the privation of power and privilege is evidence of their qualification. They will recollect the strength of their case, which sets them above impatience; they will recollect the growth of their case, from the time it was first agitated to the present moment, and in that growth perceive the perishable nature of the objections, and the immortal quality of the principle they contend for; they will further recollect what they have gotten already, rights of religion, rights of practy, and, above all, the elec-tive franchis, which is in itself, the seminal principle of every thing else. With a vessel so laden they will be too wise to leave the harbour, and trust the fallacy of any wind. Nothing can prevent the ultimate success of the catholics but intemperance; for this they will be too wise. The charges uttered against them they will answer by their allegiance. So should I speak to the catholics, To the protestant I would say-You have gotten the land and powers of the country, and it now remains to make those acquisitions eternal. "Do not you see, according to the present state and temper of England and France, that your country must ultimately be the seat of war; do not you see that your children must stand in the front of the battle, with uncertainty and treachery in the rear of it? If then, by ten or twelve seats in parliament, giten to catholics, you could prevent such a day, would not the compromise be every thing? What is your wretch-

the memory of your past power, compared to the safety of your families, the security of your estates, and the solid peace and repose of your island? Besides, you have an account to settle with the enipire. Might not the empire accost you thus? " For one hundred years you have been in possession of the country, and very loyally have you taken to yourselves the power and profit thereof. I am now to receive at your hands the fruits of all this, and the unanimous support of the people; where is it, now when Lam beset with enemies, and in my day of trial?" Let the protestant ascendancy answer that question, for I cannot. Above twenty millions have been wasted on your shocking contest, and a great proportion of troops of the line locked up in your island, that you may enjoy the ascendancy of the country and the empire, not receive the strength of it. Such a system cannot last; your elestinies must be changed and exalted. The catholic no longer your inferior, nor you inferior to every one, save only the catholic; both must be free, and both must fight—the enemy, and not one another. Thus the sects of religion, renouncing, the one all foreign connection, and the other all domestic proscription, shall form a strong country; and thus the two islands, renouncing all national prejudices, shall form a strong empire, a phalanx in the west, to check, perhaps ultimately to confound, the ambition of the enemy. I know the ground on which I stand, and the truths which I utter; and I appeal to the objections you urge against me, which I constitute my judges, to the spirit of your own religion, and to the genius of your own woolution; and I consent to have the principle which I maintain tried by any test; and equally sound, I contend, it will be found, whether you apply it to constitution where it is freedom, or to empire where it is strength, or to religion where it is light .-Turn to the opposite principle, proscription and discord. It has made in Ireland not only war but even peace calamitous: with ness the one that followed the victories of King William, to the catholics a sad servitude, to the protestants a drunken triumph, and to both a peace without trade and without constitution. You have seen, in 1798, rebellion break out again, the enemy masking her expeditions in consequence of the state of a state, twenty millions lest, one farthing which did not tell, in empire and blood because, boylshly, and most ingloriously expended! These things are in enemy, and march.—But I am exhausted,

ed monopoly, the shadow of your present, your recollection. One of the causes of these things, whether efficient or instrumental, as aggravating the proscriptive system, I mean you may now remove. It is a great work. Or has ambition not enlarged your mind, or only enlarged the sphere of its action? What the best men in Ireland wished to do, but could not do, the patriot courtier and the patriot oppositionist, you may accomplish: what Mr. Gardiner, Mr. Langrishe, men who had no views of popularity or interest, or any but the public good; what Mr. Daly, Mr. Burgh, men whom I shall not pronounce to be dead if their genius live in this measure; what Mr. Forbes, every man that loved Ireland; what Lord Puy, the wisest man in Ireland ever produced; what Mr. Hutchinson, an able, accomplished, and enlightened servant of the crown; what Lord Charlemont, superior to his early prejudices, bending under years, and experience, and public affection; what that dying nobleman, what our Burke, what the most profound divines, Doctor Newcome, for instance, our late primate, his mitre stood in the front of that measure; what these men supported, and against whom? Against men who had no opinion at that time on the subject, except that which the minister ordered, or men whose opinions were so extravagant, that even bigotry must blush for them; and yet those men had not before them considerations which should make you wise-that the pope has evaporated, and that France has covered the best part of Europe. 'That terrible sight is now before you: it is a gulph that has swallowed up a great portion of your treasure; it yawns for your being. Were it not wise, therefore; to come to a good understanding with the Irish now? It will be miserable, if any thing untoward should happen hereafter, to say, we did not foresee this danger; against other dangers, against the pope we were impregnable. But if, instead of guarding against dangers which are not, we should provide against dangers which are, the remody is in your hands,—the franchises of the constitution. Your ancestors were nursed in that cradle; the ancestors of the petitioners were less fortunate; the posterity of both, born to new and strange dangers; let them agree to renounce jealousies and proscriptions, in order to oppose what, without that agreement, will overpower both, Half Europe is in battalion against us, and we are damning one another on account of mysteries, when we should form against the

to concur in any measure, which has for its object the consolidation of the strength and interest of the empire, as either of the two hon, gentlemen who have supported this motion; but the proposition of the hon. member who opened this debate does not appear to me in any way calculated to meet that end. The hon, gentleman has stated the abstract question of right, with his usual precision, but certainly not so strong as he might have done, nor can I agree with him in the inferences he has endeavoured to de-The hon, mover of the dace from it. question has argued, that no danger is to be apprehended at present in admitting cathohas to the representative privilege. Possibly not. Great numbers may not come in at first, but parliament is to look prospectively to the effect of the measure, and the probable I'm of conduct that would be pursued by the catholics when they shall obtain a share in the representation. I do not suppose that they would endeavour to recall and replace upon the throne a branch of a family which had been formally excluded. I do not suppose that they would endeavour to take away all the tithes from the protestant clergy for the purpose of giving them to their own; but if a proposition were made to take away part of the tithes from the protestant clergy, for the purpose of conferring them on the catholic clergy, I am not sure that many worthy men may not be found in this house to entertain it; and, in the event of a division, I am sure the catholic representation would be as a dead weight in the scale. shall not take up the time of the house in considering all the objections to which, in that particular respect, the motion is liable. I will content myself with proving that it is repugnant to a solemn stipulation between Ireland and Great Britain, and in doing that, I shall turnish, I trust, sufficient matter for rejecting it. The stipulation to which I alhide is that contained in the fifth article of the act of union, which expressly mentions, that the protestant church is to be the established church of the state. It is said that the measure would put an end to all disaffertion, and yet, in the very same breath, gentlemen assert that none exists, 1 would agree with them in the praises which they have bestowed upon the loyalty of the catholics, and admit that the rebellion in Ireland was not a rebellion of catholics; and that no greater number of that persuasion were to be found in it than might be expected in a country whose population was in so

'The Attorney General.—I am as anxious | great a proportion catholic. The conciliation of Ireland is the ostensible reason for bringing forward the measure; but if that be really the question, gentlemen will do well to consider the effect of it, not only upon the catholics, but upon the protestants also. They should consider what would be the alienating operation of the repeal of the fitth article of the umou, upon the protestant population of Ireland. I fear the effect of it would be to destroy that tranquility which the honourable mover of the question seems so anxious to maintain. Even that morality. and conscientions regard of their oaths. which is said to be so strongly inculcated by the tenets of the catholic religion, should convince gentlemen, that in a regular, orderly way, they would omit no opportunities of procuring for their religion all possible advantages. It is true that the petitioners have abjured any intention to subvert the protestant religion for the purpose of introducing their own. but do they profess for the whole catholic body? do they profess for the clergy as well as the laity; or do they only profess for themselves? I have looked at the petition, and I cannot find the hand of a single clergyman of the catholic persuasion affixed to it, and the reason assigned, as I understand, is, that it is a petition for civil rights, in which they could not participate. The catholic clergy have not abjured the expectation of being restored to all the dignities which were possessed by them previous to the reformation; and if they bad, I should not have thought so well of them as I do. Have they not their bishoprics, their deaneries, and all the gradations which are to be found in the established church? knowing this, who can say that they have relinquished all hopes of enjoying the emóluments appertaining to those dignities ?-One of their tenets is, and of which any member who goes into a bookseller's shop may convince himself, that they are bound to pay tithes only to their lawful pastors. Nay, some persons have carried the principle much further. A Mr. M'Kenna, a very able man I will acknowledge, has proposed, in a treatise of much learning and ingenuity, that thirty or forty acres of land should be purchased in every parish in Ireland, and a house should be built on it for the catholic clergyman. Is not this a plain indication of the extent of their hopes and prospects? No man can entertain a doubt that it is their inclination to propagate their religion by every, means in their power. This is a principle? inseparable from the character of every reli-

gion. Were I in a catholic country, profes- | shall have once obtained, will only tend to sing the religion I do, I should feel an inclination to advance that religion; and so it is natural to expect the catholics would do, whenever they had an opportunity. I am not so sanguine as the hon. mover, in his expectations of the advantages that are to result from the measure proposed. I no not think it would produce conciliation in Ireland, or give that satisfaction to the catholic body that is asserted. On the contrary, the effect of it, in my opinion, would be, to bring the two sects nearer to each other, and consequently to increase that spirit of rivalship and jealousy which has unfortunately subsisted between them. (Murmurs of impatience.) I claim the indulgence of the house for a few moments longer. I see there is no great disposition to listen to me; and after the manner in which the attention of the house has been gratified by the eloquent and able member who has just addressed it for the first time, I am aware that any thing which falls from me must appear flat and uninteresting. I think that no al. ternative can exist between keeping the establishment we have, and putting a Roman catholic establishment in its place. If gentlemen can make up their minds to that, they may conciliate Ireland, but not otherwise; or perhaps they may enter into a treaty with Buonaparté to allow the pope to grant them another concordat. This appears to me to be the only true way of stating the question. The immunities which have been already granted to the catholics, I think, are sufficient; and there is one of them, I mean the elective franchise, which, had I been a member of the legislature, I should have felt an inclination to oppose, and also the Roman catholic college. What privilege is there which the catholics do not enjoy, with the exception of sitting in parhament, and the capacity of being appointed to a few great offices, in as full and complete a manner as those who profess the established religion? They have nothing to desire on the score of toleration, that they and every other dissenter from the established church do not enjoy as fully as they could wish. Anxious as I am to conciliate so important a member of the empire, I cannot bring myself to approve of the measure proposed by the hon, mover. If the demands of the petitioners were conceded to their numbers, and their majority, no possibility would remain of refusing to comply with any future demands they may think proper to make. What their numbers and majority and that public necessity justified a general

stimulate them to fresh demands, until nothing remains for them to require, and they become not merely a prevailing party in the state, but exclusively the state for itself. For those reasons I will vote against the motion for referring this petition to a committee.

Mr. Alexander.—Sir, unwilling as I am at all times to obtrude myself upon the attention of the house, yet I feel too deeply interested upon the present occasion to be awed from expressing my sentiments. When the right hon. gent. who has just sat down feels so much embarrassed under the impression created by the very eloquent speeches of both the hon, members who have preceded him in support of the petition, I cannot deny that I too have my feelings under that impression; but I should ill perform the duty I owe to my conscience, to the crown and my country, if I gave way to them. I own my national pride is certainly gratified by the attention paid to the talents of the hon, gent, who spoke last but one; but I can never forget, that I have witnessed those talents employed successfully in beating down the laws and constitution of his country: (Loud cries of no! no!) I do not accuse the hon, gent, of design; but he has amplified so much on the strength of the physical numbers of what he has called the Irish people, has asserted and painted their imaginary grievances in such high colouring, that there grew in the minds of an influenced and infatuated peasantry, a conviction that they had just motives for rebellion, and strength to accomplish their object. Whatadmits of no doubt, and which I cannot forget, is, that the honourable member's conduct and sentiments prevented his taking any share in putting down that rebellion; prevented him from manifesting active loyalty, or exposing himself with other gentlemen to common exertions, common inconveniences, and common dangers. With such recollections I feel it a duty to withstand all impressions made upon my mind by the talents and reputation of the honourable member, and to recall the attention of the house to the arguments of the gentleman That hon, who has opened the debate. gent. has stated truly from archdeacon Paley, that tests were introduced when religious sentiments and religious interests were so universally connected and diffused through certain classes in the community, as to be a proof of a determined purpose of action,

the Roman cathores were in general so! the exclusion of Bonan catholics from pohard power fre ha debated much upon l that subject, and has justified our ancestors. grounds of reason than those upon which the reformation that home gent has relied, to justify parliament in the rejection of the present catholic classes. If attachment to the house of Stuart has justified suspicion, and restrictions from power, how much more strongly does the general aversion of the lower order of catholics and of their priests, to Britain and British connexion, justify all our precaution! I do not hesitate to assert, that with the maddling and lower orders of Romin catholics, and the generality of their clergy, under every change of governors or government, proposed or attempted, separation from Figland has been the object invariably aimed at. Every passion, religious and temporal, all then traditions, all their prejudices, unite to excite such feelings, and to render this scatiment predominant in their minds; and this house is decrived mest fatally, if it suffer itself to be persuaded that they have changed those sentiments. The best historians agree that the Irish catholics of King James's day wed him but as an instrument of separation; they rendered him desperate with England to ensure success to their design—a separation—by forcmg him to confiscate all the protestant and British property in Ireland. The hon, gent. has disclaimed, with great levity, all knowledge of councils, and of the former opinions of the catholics, and has entered into abstract discussions of rights, and first principles, for his parposes—in my mind with great judgment; but an application of men's minds to the situation of the day, and to existing circumstances; would prove fatal to his arguments, and to his object? Without a knowledge of the catholic doctrines, and of the influence of those doctrines, the question cannot be fairly understood, and that wiltul or assumed ignorance of their opinions is unjustifiable in a gentleman agitating such a question. I repeat, that the influence of the Roman catholic clergy in Ireland is now most formidably great; that in that country, an hierarchy exists unconnected with the hitherto, to fiel no apparent interest in op-Vol. IV,

law of exclusion, sacrificing the pretension forown, but immediately dependant upon the at the lew, who might feel anierently from pope; that there exists in Ireland at this the mass of their own sect, to public tran- day a most numerous body of Roman cathoquillity and ecosity. He has admitted that Hic clergy devoted to the doctrinal opinions of the church or Rome, and maintaining the stron, ly attached to the house of Stuart, as spiritual and coolesiastical parisdiction of to have justified the legislature in enforcing [that court | In text, the paperty is in many the outly of superbacy, and other tests, to points of view more formed big in the piesent state or Include, from the objects on which it attaches, and the persons it influences, and produce a more uncontrolable to their paraution. And I ask no other power over their people, then even before The objects of the first reformers were two-fold; the remedying the avance, voluptuousness, and power of the clergy; the abolition of their separate courts of jurishetion, and all those privilegge, exemptions, and distinction, they claimed or proposed, and which enabled the clergy to cope with princes, and to opposs and moult the people. Princes and people had feelings in common, that led them inpidly to concur in those points with the reformers; and countries the most catholic, and the most devoted to the see of Rome, limited and custailed its power and possessions, and wrested from it the supremacy in temporal matters. But netter of doctrino being blended with matters of faith, and being admitted to be above the comprehension of the nower and middling classes of the people, not being equally apparent upon the qualities of the mind, and the ostensible conduct of those who governed or were governed by them, were not an object of jealoust to princes, or of painful and degrading contrast to the people. The catholic clergy, unequal, therefore, to combat the feelings excited by their luxury and power, seldom attempted to punish, or indeed to bring into question, offences against their temporal interests, but accused their antagonists of offences against the fundamental articles of faith, and, with consent of prince and people, inflicted punishments to any extent to which their passions and vengeance led them. The Roman catholic church, now, in Ireland, has all those sources of deetrinal influence over the faith of a bigoted people; their clergy frequently (as I admit), in the transactions between man and man, exercise. them for good purpose;; but they also have; the power of giving a direction to the popular mind, with an effect which is inconsist. ent with the general safety; and, in corroboration of my opinion, I appeal to their, conduct in the late and in former rebellions, In Ireland the British government seems,

his family, and renders him hateful to it; | constitution! drives him from his little tenement, nov, precludes him from earning his livelihood, if defendent upon his labour; a power possably greater than any passe sed by the state, from its general diffusion, unsuspected influence, and extensive consequences add to these considerations, the recollection of confileated property, the long series of injuries | alleged to have been committed by the Eng- ! which has been constantly kept alive by tra- and the fifterested exertions of their cleres. of boreday, so assien, as, by the Brehon British convexion, who hoever has, and still of the most fatal consequences. division centianes to influence the lower and more - action, meconcaleable to British connexion at pre-ent, under such circumstatices, thorigh we cannot anticipate what growing wealth and more diffused intercourse may hereafter effect, we must still be on our guard. For these reasons I consider a know-I dge of the doctrines of the see of Rome, and their actual influence upon the Irish clergy and the Irish catholics, as a most serious subject of consideration upon this occasion. As long as these projudices and habits continue to influence their people, I am conveuced no good effect can result from concessions. I am equally convinced that the better informed, the nobility and gentry, present situation, not to act with the utmost loviler, and many, I admit, have already distinguished themselves by their condust But it would be reasoning like novelsis, and not like statesmen, to make tinguiched by the wisdom of their pre-

posing the power and encroachments of the stitution like that of this mighty empire, Roman catholic clergy; the people are left bend so far, as to sacrifice its bulwarks in totally in their hands; and from that inat- exchange for the strength expected to be tention, their great, and, in this delate, derived from the gratification of the feelings much-boasted influence has arisen, the Ro- of a few individuals; those new doctrines man catholic clergy are now interwoven which teach a man to forget all he owes to with the people in all their common trans- the laws, the constitution, and the king, actions of life, true it is, they cannot purish fall that he owes to his family, his property, commads; but criminals bear no proportion and his honour, if checked or controled in to the number in any state. But I call upon the pursuit of objects which he party be gentlemen to consider, what is the effect of taught to over-value; and I lament that excommunication among the lower orders such loyalty is represented as only to be ci Trish papists?—It excludes a man from retained and secured by the sacrifice of our The numbers of the Irish catholics have been stated with a double object, to describe them as a source of strength, if conciliated, and of terror, it not gratified in their demands. If the higher classes of the catholics have influence, and have not hitherto exerted it, they can have no claims upon the confidence or gratitude of the legislature, but it (as I believe the case to be) they possess no power when oplish against the Trish, the remembrance of posed to the passions of the 1 to the passion dition, and by receat exaggerated state- [I consider the yielding to their claims, a mights, aphtho-well-known historical fact, sacrifice of the constitution for a most that claure to property cannot fail for want a trivial consideration. On more general grounds, the introduction into parameter law, it exists not in ardividuals, but in the and the cabinet of a certain number of the name and Sept ; and the house will see the catholics, heading and acting as the organ resens and motives for that disblie to of the catholic people, might be probletive might arise among the protestant, and a numerous part of the catholi s of Ireland, a imisguided monarch might attempt to obtain peasantry directed by a clergy generally ig- powers incompatible with the safety of car norant in every point but their school-divi- church and state, by the aid end apport of nity, all inflerenced by common motives of that catholic party or interest. Such views were imputed to Charles the Inst; such conduct was certainly adopted by James the Second; and of that mispuded effort we only escaped the fatal con equences, by placing the present royal family on the throne. The concessions now sought might also prove fatal to the catholics themselves, and tempt them (as it has hitherto done) into struggles for ascendancy, which might prove fatal to the privileges they now enjoy Their advocates boast much of their wealth, fairly and honourably acquired under our mild and equal laws; honours have been conferred by the moven, or restored to their most distinguished families, the absence of feel took invadiantages resulting from their jealousy, and the consciousness of our superior constitutional strength, pustified our monarch in conferring these farours, and the protestant people in rejoicing at their attrinment. But great states are disthe great inclamental principles of a con-legationary measures, the wisdom of Bri-

the crown from temptation, and to guard the conduct of the monarch against the effects of his passions and his wishes, by with-holding the instruments by which our constitution in church and state might be assailed and subverted. - Should a British monarch entertain such views, the manuficturing and preparin; instruments would alarm the jealousy, and enable the wealth and independence of the empire to rise in difference of its civil and religious liberties. As far as the feelings of the crown itself may be concerned, we must consider how eral it would be to render the exclusion of such a description of subjects from his councils and the ligher offices of state a matter of per-onal objection, and consequently of personal calium against the mowitch himself—The catholics sarely expect that the capability which they now wish to obtain should be productive of its efficient At present no individual can feel, in his exclusion from power, a personal degradation; he must attribute it to existing laws, and to the existing constitution. Give them capability, and then do not confer upon a great proportion that share in the cabinet and the councils of the crown which they tancy their boasted numbers and wealth cutitle them to, and will you conciliate a! single individual? Will not discontent be more formulable than it is at present? On tise other hand, what would be the sentitabuts and the sensation of the people of this empire, were they to see a protestant 120narch, whose tenure to his throng depends upon his fidelity to his religion, surrounded by catholic counsellors?. Could any circumstances reconcile them to such a choice in the monarch himself? And should the strength of parties (as it is sometimes supposed to have done) force such ministers, and such counsellors upon the throne, how miserable, how degraded must be the situation of our monarch, and how precarious the state of our religion and of our the inevitable evils of concession to the present chims; and if we are to be reduced to a choice of evils, and must consider eatholic numbers (as the hon, members have held out) a source of intimidation, our ancestors have held Ireland in times infinitely more unfavourable, and against numbers infinitely more disproportioned; and I entertain rol

tish policy has led its councils to protect and loyalty of the protestants of ficlind; let her be convinced, they feel their properties, their honours, and their his, dependent upon Pain h connexion but if England unfortantely forcets what is due to, as brave and as ze loss allies as any nation over passessed, her protestant selfacts of Ireland, she will have the most fatal reasons to in and his require cones in the r and her own destruction. Let England be firm in her adherence to her leave and constitution. No earlier can be appresed by the laws in Irchard, he proverty and his person are protected by the time code and juries that protect the part that it, notwithstanding these adva two, a covorthem should appeal to numbers, and be nightly tempted into rebeliion, arms and the law must punish the robels! With such impressions upon my mind, I should have felt myself unworthy of being the representative of my protestant countrymen, had I not borne testimony to their real and their leyalty, but had shrunk from the wee bt and talents of the hon, gent. I trust in God, and in the compressor the nation, that we are able to defend our laws, religion, and property, to maintain our faith, and to uphold the throne.

> Mr. William Smith proposed that the debate be adjourned. The was perfectly prepared to deliver his sentiments at the moment, if the house were willing to hear hen, and other gentlemen who might wish to speak on the important, question before them. It would, however, be unbecoming the character of the house, and the importance of the subject, if they came to any hasty decision,-The quation of adjournment being loudly called for,

The Charcellor of the Fuchequer expressed a wish that the discussion could have been terminated at that sitting. As, however, it seemed to be the wish of other gentlemen to deliver their sentiments, he thought it would be better to adjoe in then to state them at a more advance i hear civil liberties! Such appear to me to be The debate was accordingly adjoined till the following day. The other orders of the day were also postponed, and, at 3 o'clock on Tuesday morning, the house adjourned

HOUSE OF COMMONS. Tuesday, Bluy 14.

[Mineres]-On the metion of sar John doubt that we should do so again. Let Anderson the house were into a a montree England but understand her true interest; on the report of the vinth is position. let her justly appreciate the spirit, zeal, The report was oldered to be not be the

to morrow -Mr. Johnson, from the office think it much to be regretted if the unthe Port of Lowlon, he referred to a con-Sorry, however, sn. as I shall be if this mittee. Undered — Accounts of the definition should be negatived. I should be ferent soms presented to be mised by grand yet more deeply conformed if that determinines of the several counties in Ireland, mation should be formed on such principles. from 1.4 January 1775, to 1st January 1805, 'as were last night maintained bill for ren lering more effectual the protect, that spirit of harshness, almost of bigotry. tion of paissies against the losses sustained, which pervaded the declamation of an honby their from the defeleations of taxes.— and learned gent. (Dr. Dugenan) which, Sir J Stewart moved, "that it be an instruction to the committee to whom the papers the chancellor of the exchequer will think it relative to the repairs of the Romney and his duty to answer and expose. The speech Sensible had been referred, to examine delivered on the former part of this debate into the purchase and expenditure of stores, by the three hon, gentlemen adverse to the for the said ships, and also to enquire into imeasure, may, I think, be thus generally the loss of certain vouchers," &c.

order of the day being read for resuming the debate on the motion for referring the Irish Catholic Petition to the consideration of a committee of the whole house,

Mr. Will'am Smith rose and spoke as follows: S.r; as in opinion may prevail the supposition, that if all were granted that we now resume this debate in circum- | which the petitioners now ask, they would stances less favourable to the question than not even then be satisfied. To these gentlethose in which it stood last night, I beginen I would reply, in common, that they shortly to clear myself from all imputation assume the authorities and facts to be almost on that account, by declaring that, for every exclusively on their side of the question; personal reason, it would have been far but that, to their authorities, we claim to more agreeable to me to have proceeded oppose many of at least equal weight; and even at that late hour, except, indeed, from that facts, nakedly taken, divested of all the consciousness of a greater disadvantage | concountant circum-tances, are not only in proportion as the comparison was more insufficient grounds of argument, but often near and infin do the between mystilf and an | lead to the most erroneous conclusions :

morrow-On the motion of Dr. Duigenan | every one must have admired, and whose the Irish first fruits bill was read a third presence in this house is at least one advantime and passed. — Mr. Alexander moved tage conferred by the union on this country. the further consideration of the report of I know not, however, sir, whether the the committee on the Irish land partition event to which I have alluded ought not The amendments were agreed to, rather to stimulate the friends of this meaand the bid bedered to be read a third time surgeto greater exertion. I contess I should of that secretary of Ireland, presented favourable decision of another assembly copies of the proceedings in the hish parliament relative to the attender of Corne-packend that a sentiment adverse to their line Grogan, eq. Ordered to be printed, wisher generally prevails. That decision, —Mr Alexander brought up the report of undeed, diminashes their prospect of importance and the control of the the committee on the state bill; which was mediate success:-but this house is an inagreed to, and the bill ordered to be read a dependent branch of the legi lature, we third time to-morrow. - Mr. Raskes n are bound to think and determine for ourgave notice of a motion on Thursday next, series, and should we resolve to go into the for appointing a commutee to enquire into commutee, let us hepe that, from the inthe expediency of allowing coals to be brought | vestigation which may there take place, arto Lordon by the Paddington Crisil.—Sir goments may mise, by which the other W. Cartis moved, that the petition of the house of park ment may be led to agree in 3d or March, relative to improvements in the result to which we may finally come. were ordered to be bid before the house .- reject this petition? at least let it be dis-Mr Western obeined leave to bring in a missed with temper and moderation, not in characterised:—the first learned gentleman, [ROMAN (ATHOLIC PETITION.] - The though entering largely into every part of the subject, dwelt most on the nature of the Roman catholic religion; the second gentleman (Mr. Alexander) chiefly animaliverted on the evils to be feared from the influence of their clergy; and the hon, attorneygeneral drew his principal objection from hen, cent. Lair. Grattinf, whose eloquence they me like those productions of art, which,

true point of view, and in proper lights: and many of these supposed facts, if brought into clear day, and shewn, anshadowed by the mists which passion and prejudice have thrown around them, would, I strongly suspect, appear under aspects very different from those in which they are now presented of oppression: to our view.

-" Tufles light as air Are to the palous confirmations strong As proofs from holy writ."

It was a fact, that Cassio was in possession of Desdemona's ban lkerchief; and though the inference which passion drew was false, yet the consequence was fatal. Such a state of things as has existed in Ireland may be seen too near, as well as from too great a distance. Men, whose persons and families have been exposed to all the mileries of civil discord and insuraction, though of the best abilities, and with the bet intentions, possessing, too, every advantage of local inform tion, and so far qualified to form the most accurate opinions, can scartely claim that other indispensable qualification of a judge, impartiality their having been interested speciators of the scene, perhaps actors in it, incapacitates them in a great degree for determining as correctly as we may not unreasonably hope to do, with fewer opportunities of observation.—But to apply [myself more directly to the argument of the first gentleman I have alluded to, I would remark that it puts an eternal veto on the proposition before us. While catholics remain such, they must, according to him, necessarily be kept in this state of suspicion and degradation! — and why? — because "the religion is unsafe, and its principles are immutable." It is unsafe, because it does not permit complete allegiance to a protestant sovereign, and destroys all the validity of those tests by which confidence is secured, and truth ascertained among These allegations, however, the catholics deny; they deny that they hold all the antiquated and absurd notions, which from the musty records of ages long since past, and councils almost forgotten, the hon. gentleman would fix upon them, for no better reason than the pretension of the Romish church to be not only universal, but immutable. — I know, sir, as well as that gentleman, that she has preferred this claim; I, too, have histories of councils. and collections of decrees; but I also know that to be unchangeable belongs not to man, nor to his best modelled institutions, far less

to be justly estimated, must be seen in their I to those which vainly attempt to contravene the laws of nature. Nothing sublunary is permanent but those impressions stamped by the Creator on the human heart, which teach us to expect gratitude and love as the general consequences of benefits conferred, and discontent as the equally certain result

> " If this fail, The pillar'd furnament is rottenness, "And earth's base built on stubble:"

but it cannot fail; nor can those reasonings be just, which assume that theoretical dogmas will, in the mind of man, for ever predominate over just and generous sentiments, over every feeling of integrity and trith. And this, sir, naturally leads me to that point in which the catholics appear to have met with treatment peculiarly via mand and severe. Objections on the subject of oaths are taken against them, if not contradictory, at least inconsistent. If, from a regard to conscience, they refuse to renounce opinions which appear to them purely religious, they are instantly loaded with the imputation of disloyalty; from which, on the other hand, no protestations are allowed to relieve them, because they are aftirmed to be men whom " oaths counct bind." this be the case, sir, let the legislature be consistent; if catholics be thus incapable of being bound by moral obligations, if they be unsusceptible of any other restraints but those imposed by violence, let the penal statutes be re-enacted, or at least, let us consider whether it be worth while to keep in forced subjection such an irreclaimable race. But is this character justly ascribed to them? on the contrary, do they not protest against these imputations, and has not their conduct justified their denial? Have not thousands since the revolution endured heavy restraints and severe penalties, rather than take oaths which their principles tosbad? Why, in our own days, have such multitudes of priests relinquished their property and their homes to avoid oath, which, if it-were innocent to have evaded by a dispensation, it must have been even meritorious to have done so, when the interests of their church had probably been essentially served by their remaining at their posts ? Do not such facts under our own eyes speak louder than a thousand arguments drawn from theory and hypothesis? But this is not all: our government has shewn that it entertains far different ideas. The majority of the inhabitants of Canada being, like those of Ireland, of the catholic persuasion.

it was fleemed the most natural and certain prommittee to examine how far the requests way of securing their alle iance, to infringe sas little as possible on then laws and man-ners, and to allow to their religious operationlies might safely be admitted into the a degree of establishment and what has that granting the capacity of enjoying all been the consequence? Has retailling or offices, does not confer the possession of among them? No, surely, under every lafterwards entirely depend on the pleasure that the causes of disaffection should be sought for elsewhere than in the diffenext, the entire subversion of the establishment, civil and ercle-iastical, of the united kingdom! Could a more extravagant idea! have been engendered by the most dis-Sterupered imagination? Not to mention the libel it implies on these supposed Irish members, or rather conspirators, and on the English government; could any minister five hundred and fifty-eight protestant memdestruction might be revealed? If hishruch could be found foolish and desperate enough would be gradually softened down to make such an attempt, can it be imagined that a minister could have been chosen by a protestant king, or tolerated by a protestant parliament, who should he state for an instant to discover and punish it? But it is puere waste of words to contend against such a chinera; much of what I have already said affords also a strong presumption against the existence of such a priestly influence over the mass of the people as is likely to produce any considerable mischief. Neither is this the present question, but whether any objection is to be thence deduced against secoling to the motion now before us,

of the petitioners may prudently be granted. mions, not merely unlimited toler it in, but great effices of state, it is perfectly obvious even uncasmess, theen ever once heard of one; their actual admission into office would circumstance they have proved affection- and discretion of the executive government. ately loyal. And the comparison of this But, again, if this be too large a boon, is case with that of Ireland almost demonstrates | the evil apprehended from the influence of the clergy so enormous, as to render the admixture of a small proportion of catholic rence of religion, and that catholics will be members in the in polial parliament an good subjects to a protestant government if | experiment too dangerous to be attempted ? regarded with confidence, and treated with Why they terrify ourselves with shidows, liberality.—Another argument of the same and sacrifice justice at the shrine of an irgentleman was, indeed, most wonderful, lational fear? But, " grant-all the petiwe were told that catholics cannot safely be 'tioners ask," says the hon attorney general, admitted to the capacity of sitting in pu- " and they will not then be satisfied, why liament, because, in that case, all the hish then rick my thing unless you are determenbers would instantly be catholic, that finding themselves unable from the com- ing their church and charge?" Perhaps, parative parenty of their numbers to carry sir, to grant even this in some degree, any point openly, they would directly form a might not be unwise; it certainly would a comparted printo, and compel the British pot be inconsistent, since a college has alminister to comply with all their demands; ready been endowed for their education to give them, first, all the patronege of their at any rate, I should think that the addition if own country, by which they would be power they would gain by our accoling to enabled to turn the whole strength of it to their present request, would not afford their their own nefarious purposes, and obtain the slightest chance of forcing from us what faither we might think it in the to grant, while, in the mean-time, they would a suredly be better satisfied, more analysmated into the same mass, more disposed cordially to co-operate in every measure for the common defence, in every thing tending to promote the general welfare. In a word, by such a compliance with their wishes, be weak enough so to comply, while he had love and gratitude would be substituted for aversion and fear. By introducing some bers remaining, to whom these schemes of Trish catholics into this house, mise inceptions would be mutually removed, asperities should come to know each other better, and might expect to reap, at a much carlier period, the advantages of a substantial union, intimate and complete —In maintaining this cause, sir, I cannot be supposed to be astriated by any predilection for catholics or catholicism as such: Lara a protestant dissenter, and possibly at the very farthest dutance from them, in religious sentiment, both as to discipline and docume: but, firmly persuaded that every in, it has a right to enjoy and profess his respective opinions, without being therefore subjected either to represely or disabilities. I undoubtedly must lich, let it be remeinbered, as only for a synapathic with them. Beyond these I feeling, as for persons much misrepresented. Lind being done away by this measure, acand unjustly calumniated. My personal ac- forded a chance that the same objections quantance among their body has not been would not be made to the catholics having extensive, but in those I have known, never should I have been able to detect their relogion by any immorality in their practice beyond the common frailties of man; and catholics; and, having carefully attended in candour I would desire of their most to all the debates which took place at that strenuous adversaries to say, if they have time, I could not help observing, that there found then catholic acquaintance deficient, was no gentleman in this house who made α in the performance of the relative duties, faithless in the duly intercourses of life, men not to, be to tell on their words, or even their oaths. Who, sir, will disgrace him elt by throwing out such an acculation? Gesigning, and recollect his having answer-—If then, ar, the objections which have ed, that he did so in consequence of being been alleged lave no noire force than I irranging them to possess; if the spectres to him essential to the public welthe, dewhich have been conjucted up to affright us claring at the same time, that he owed too have no real existence; if the petitioners much to his royal matter to press the subbe men of like pissions and affections with jeet on him, and that he should think it ourselves; if retasal will embitter, and his duty to expose it, if it cause from any compliance will soften and attach their other quarter; and this was, I think fair mands, and if at the present moment it be of peculiar importance faching to us by every () am from principle determined to support of every hand and every beent, on which ou quet, on pro-perion, and perhaps our very existence may depend.

Mr Lee. - The question now under consideration appears, sit, to me, to be the gravest and most important that ever was flation, the eatholics of his order composes debated within the walls of pulliane int. It naturally acises out of the act of union, and should have been maturely considered before that incisure was adopted. I will not agert, that while that plin was in agitation, this concession to the catholics was expressly stipulated; but I never heard it demed, that there was at least an understanding on the subject. Not having the same opinion of the benefits of that project, 2 many others seemed to entertain. I had it. In their private conversation, they do some hopes that it might have been averted, I not affect to think that their wishes will be till I observed that these expectations were immediately complied with. They say, that held out by the right hon gent, who pro- in time it will work its way, by the force of posed this measure, in a speech so replete reason, but that they cannot expect the pro-with reasoning and elequence, as would testants at once to throw away those bulhave done honour to the originest æras of works which they so carefully raised against Greece or Rome. The right hon, gent them. The effect of it is sore, however then said, that the disproportion which he-'slewly it may operate. I remember myfore existed between the persons protesting | self, that when a bill was brought into the

trust, that I have for them a more liberal the catholic and protestant religion in Irea tull participation in the British constitution. That speech, many of us knew, made a very deep impression on the minds of the Irish stand upon that point, or endeavoured to obtain a pled_be either way upon it. I was also present in this house, when the right hon. gent, was questioned as to be reasons for mable to carry a measure which appeared pathanoutary gracial. As present, though tie so large a mass or our tellow subjects; the motion since it has been submitted, I let us ende wour to electione what may re- hard now declar, that I think it wrong in main of propultion in our-cives or in others, the Roman cathelies to bring at α -ward at and, by proceeding to the further considering moment. I should even support the deration of this most responsible subject with proposal of the fest to the desenters, if that a temperate and prudent liberality, do our were now the question, though, undoubtutric to seeme to the empire that finion celly, the argument of numbers does not apply to them in the same manner as it does to the Roman catheli s of Ireland, who in point of rights, must be acknowledged to be one short or it or due proportion. When you consider, that, on the lowest calcuthree nulliers out at ave of the inhabitants of that country, and are aspidly increasing in wealth and consequence, you cannot shut your eyes to their sauction, and your own sense must make up your rand to grant at some time what is impossible to be avoided. I freely confess, that I have not the least idea of the measure succeeding at present, and am very well convinced that the Roman cathglies themselves do not expect

to favour the petition, and an alteration for the intended speech was transmitted to Irethe catholics. The change of opinion that took place was equally violent and sudden. There very grand juries in the different counties who had assembled, and agreed in resolutions against the claims of the catholics, in a short time after were themselves the first who voted in their favour :and thus the grand juries and the parliament were disgraced. I remember when I was laughed at for saying the catholic claims must be granted, by many of those persons who in a very short time afterwards voted; in their favour. The Irish parliament, in my opinion, acted on that occasion with great prudence, in not granting them the whole of what they asked for at once; and so much inclined am I to this gradual extension of privileges, that, should the house go into a committee on the petition, I should be averse to granting all their demands, though I would agree to members or that religiou sitting in both houses. No man, who values the constitution, can approve of three millions of his fellow subjects being unrepresented in the parliament; but it was very well argued by the hon, mover of this question, that the catholic body is not even virtually represented, though the menbers of it are allowed to possess the elective franchise. I will even

Irish parliament, for the purpose of indul- stituents were of that persuasion, he could gence to the catholics, there were only be considered as the organ of the catholics? thirteen members in the house who voted in My hon, friend may be a very good protes-favour of it; and yet such was the general tant; but certainly no very it representaagitation, and such the strong semations two for the catholics. All the evils appreexcited by its agitation, that the govern- bended from giving them seats in parliament was soon convinced that something ment, are now no more than fanciful and must be done upon it. The country at that chimerical. It was formerly said, if you time was not well governed; and, towards give the catholics the elective franchise, the the approach of the ensuing session of par- consequence will be that they will vote for hamont, when it was expected the measure no member without putting him to a test would again be brought forward, emissaries that he will be obedient to their purpose... were sent down by the administration to But they have since obtained the funchise, procure resolutions and petitions from the and no such tests or other consequences have different county grand juries against it; been known to happen. It turned out to and they succeeded. But the catholics see- - be no more than a phantom of distant daning no hope of success when the hish go-ger, which vanished as you approached it. veriment was against them, came over to. All the dancer that can happen has alread ⋅ England and petitioned the throne. Every been incurred. You have given suffrages thing was in readiness for opening the ses- to, and put arms into the hands of, persion of the Irish parliament. The speech from sons but slightly educated, and arost lable the throne was prepared, and every re- to entertain the propular es you are so much sistance to the catholic claims determined afraid of; and refuse privileges to the higher on. But his majesty was graciously pleased orders, whose minds are enlightened, whose principles are more sound, and who possess the greatest stakes in the country.--My land, with a recommendation in favour of hon, friend has at all times opposed the catholic clams, not as a que tion of policy, but as a question of religion, and in support of his opinions he goes back to musty records and obsolete councils, and the ages of ignorance and bigotry. But will any man in his senses seriously compare the epimons of the catholics of the eleventh with those of the nineteenth century? Have not the protestants thenselves changed then tenets and opinions with the revolutions of time: Are there not new sects of dissenters springing upsevery day? In this age of rapid and progressive improvement and cultivation of the intellect, are we to be gravely told, that the catholic mind alone stands still, and that the people of this day are to be convicted because their ancestors 000 years ago were bigots? If so, let me ask again. who is the man amongst us who might not be equally condemned upon the same principle? While arts, seicnees, and manufactures improve, it would be hard if the humon mind alone, and peculiarly the catholic mind, remained where it was, and that men in this age should be tried by a few foolish resolutions passed in the council of Lateran. It has been said, that if the catholics were once admitted to an equal participation of rights, their first step would be, to overthrow the protestant government. put it to the learned and hone gent, near I, however, am so far of a contrary opinion, me Dr. Duigenan), whether, it his con- that I can never conceive the union of the

two countries, or British connexion, safe, littlest instrument for making proselytes. In while three millions of our fellow-subjects are held in political bondage. The strongest s ecurity you can give to the protestant establishment is to reconcile to it three millions of your fellow-subjects, who conceive that they are unfairly treated. No. thing appears to me so evil, so extravagant, and so unreasonable, as to suppose you can keep such multifudes always quiet, unless you are determined to redress them. Nor was there ever any thing more difficult for you to do, than to legislate for those whom you refuse to reconcile, and to whom, according to the speech of the hon, member, never under any circumstances can further concessions be made, nor any change be effected on the protestant mind in their favour. Many persons have expressed their surprise, that although the reformation extended itself so rapiday in England, it made so little and such slow progress in Ireland; but a little reflection will soon resolve this problem. It is allowed that the same ineans have not been used in one country as in the other, for making the reformation take We have records and testimonies in abundance, to show that in times comparatively remote, Ireland was conspicuous for its envilization and literature; but the reign of Henry VIII., when the reformation commenced, was one of the darkest ages of that country. There was another cause which did not less operate against the progress of reform. When the monarch already mentioned first aftempted to extend it in Ireland, it appeared, from the letter adressed to him on that occasion by the Irish master of the rolls, that the sovereign's government did not extend beyond twenty miles from Dublin, and of course his influence was proportionably contracted. It was bad policy to attempt at the same time the conquest and the reformation of the country; and yet the reformer travelled with the sword in one hand and the retorn in the other. It is therefore manifest that the regular order was inverted; for the king should have conquered the country first, and endeavoured to convert the inhabitants afterwards. Here the reformation was propagated by argument and reason. The reformers preached to the people in their own language, they listened to the voice of reason, and were in time convinced. In Ireland the reformed religion was preached in a language not understood by the natives. The method taken was, to propagate it by the sword, which has seldom proved the notible project to remedy the defect; In Vol. IV.

England the king had no competitor, and easily diffused his reformation amongst the people; in Ircland he possessed but a very limited authority, and the doctrines he wished to enforce were considered as coming from an enemy at open war with the people, their habits, customs, and prejudices, and therefore were opposed and resisted by them. The impelitic oppression exercised in Ireland was a further obstacle to its progress. Henry passed a law prohibiting the English settlers from intermarrying or fostering with the natives. As this word fostering has an application in Ireland tifferent from what it has here, it may be right to explain that it refers to the poorer sort of the females suckling the children of the rich, which, in that country, is productive of a kind of intimate intercourse and connexion. It is also to be observed, that it was the same parliament of Herry that promulgited the reformation, which also passed this prohibiting act, which violated all the manners and customs of the people. In every respect the proceedings in the two countries were so extremely different, that the reformation was not allowed the same play in the one that it was in the other. When the king thought proper to shut up the increasteries, and destroy the monastic livings in England, he bestowed them, by grant, upon great landed men of considerable property, who commanded the respec-of the people. In Ireland, on the contrary he bestowed them on English settlers, and needy adventurers, whose interests were constantly at variance with those of the people -Though the territory of the government, as I observed before, extended no further than twee y miles from Dablin yet bishops and other clargy were sent over who never thought & residing on their benefices; and instead of propagating their doctrines, had, in fact, no connection what ever with the inhabitants. If the religior then adopted had been at that early period taught in Ireland, it would, no deabt, have had pretty flearly the same effect that it has here; but, in that country, it doe; not appear that any one ever attempted to teach it; no was the protestant religion ever tendered the people, except in the form of an age o parliament. But if Henry failed in th mode which he adopted for propagating the reformation amongst a people who, coulnot understand a word of the English lan guage, Queen Elizabeth hit upon a'mo-

their own, and could not understand English, she ordered the Bible to be translated for them into Latin, and the church service to be performed in that language. It was well said by lord Clare, that any attempt to force men's consciences only made them hypocrites; and we find that force, instead of argument, was the instau-A law was ment employed in Irgland. passed, by which the eldest son of a catholic, who had a landed estate, might, by turning What protestant, dispossess his father. could be more detestable than this law, which was so well calculated to revolt the feelings of the people, by an unnatural power given to a son to shake off the dominion of his parent? This bribe, however, was not confined only to the eldest; but the youngest, or any other son, was also, by his conversion, afforded the bribe of scizing on his father's estates, and letting at gavel between him and his eldest brother. In this way it will be allowed, that both the king and his parliament took a most irreligious method or extending their religion, and prepared their converts for Lemp good protestants, by first making them bad men. The tree teners of the protestart religion are of that lend that will always entend themselves with the progress of on lization, but you took the very worst mode of effecting this in heland. By prohibiting the echaation of Bonian ortholies at home, and excluding them from the university of Dablin, you have compelled the parents to send their children abroad, to be educated in foreign countries, where they were, of course, brought up in all the prejudices of the cachelle religion, or, in other words, of popery. There were also several other acts which had a smillar, and, perhaps, an equal tendency, but I shall not detain the house by reasoning on them at present. One great and leading objection which I have heard stated against the admission of catholics into parliament is, that the pope is allowed to have more power in Ireland than he is possessed of in other catholic countries; and by appointing the cathotic hishops, he maintains that supremacy in the church which of legal right belongs only to his Majesty. I confess this objection has much weight with me-and I can see no reason why the catholics should not come prepared to concede some of their prejudices, when they call upon is to concede ours This, in fact, seems to be the grand obstacle to the concessions they wish for, and I am not without sanguine hopes that it may be re-

as the native Irish spoke no language but moved. Upon this subject I made it my susiness to converse with some catholic gentlemen of no small authority, and asked hem whether they would have any objeccion to the bishops of their persuasion being nominated by his Majesty instead of the popt? And they all agreed that they could have no objection to it. What I should propose would be, that bereafter, when ver a see became vacant, the other bichops should assemble, and choose two or more candidates, whom they would recommend to that appointment, and leave the choice of the person to be determined by the king indeed I have good reason to betwee, that, in the prayer of the petition was granded, the Roman catholics would cheerfully give up that point (A cry of hear! hear! the 1 Mr. Fox and those members who surroun 'edhim), as well as make whatever other reasonable sacrifices the encumstances of the case may be thought to require : for I must maintain, that they can have no to be to seats in parlyment, while they continue to take their bishops from the hands of any loreign power. I camot, towever, but to the that the catholics would be very well satisbed with the arrangement, and I make but balk doubt that many or he opposite of their grown option would iclas to a someon, and consent to agree to it, upon this contation . To speak plandy, indeed, I should gish to add of the hon, gent (Mr Fos), who must be in the considerce of these gentlemen, by their selecting ben to present their petition, to what extent the cathelies would go in this respect, and what are the points which they would have no objection to give up? I hope the house will bear in mind, that when these Roman catholic laws were passed, they were not directed against the catholics as such, but were laws enacted against popery and clavery; for James II. who was the source of them all, was himself a tyrant and a bigot. The laws, therefore, wore a double aspect, as extending to protect the subjects of these realins against both. As to the prospects generally attributed to Roman catholics, for the subversion of property in Ireland, I am, in my own mind, perfectly convinced that they do not entertain the most distant idea of ever restoring the estates that were confiscated from their ancestors. The best proof, perhaps, that could be given of this is, that since the Roman carholics of Ireland have been allowed the liberty of purchasing `ands, they have almost invariably been soheitous of purchasing those that formerly be-

longed to their forefathers, which they cer-! and the moderation and sound argument distainly would not do if they cherished the played by its advocates, will not ful to have hope of ever receiving them back in any their due weight, and to conquer in due other manuer. I once very strictly inquired time here, as they have before done in of a lawyer in Ireland, a Mr Sankey, who Ireland, the prejudices existing against a was very much employed in making these measure, which, I am thoroughly convinced, purchases of forfeited estates, whether he would consolidate the strength, unite the knew any instance of the descendants mak- attachments, and render impregnable the ing any objection to the tenues by which security of these realins such forfeited estates were held? His reply was, that he never once knew a single in- minary observations, spoke in substance as stance of it. It is a vulgar error into which follows: -The bon mover of this question the learned gentleman (Dr. Duigenan) has has affected to distinguish between the civil fallen, when he told us that the catholics of and religious institutions of the country, as Ireland had maps, by which they could if they were expuble of complete separation: trace the boundaries of the estates once pos- the practice, however, of all civilized states, sessed by their ancestors, in the expectation has: tully demonstrated that they are so lands is kept by the auditor in the castle of pher (Mr. Burke) entertained ideas directly in the courts, to accertain disputes re-pect. England to their religious national establishtaken away by king James H and carried essential to their state; not as a thing heinto France, where an accredited agent from [terogeneous and separable; something adthis government (General Vallancy) was ded for accommodition, what they may some time since sent to procure a copy of it: either keep up or lay uside, according to it is comprised in twenty-four folio volumes: their temporary ideas of convenience. They and from this it will be seen, how idle the consider it as the foundation of their whole story is, that eatholics are at the trouble of constitution, with which, and with every Reeping maps privately, with smister views, part of which, it holds an indissoluble who applies for it. The great point then, I rable in their minds, and scar edy is the one sir, which I have in view, is to show, that, ever mentioned without the other. They as the reformation, now in existence nearly larg so fin joined, that the idea of the ofe-300 years, has hitherto made such slow pro- almost necessarily impresses upon us the regress in Ireland, (for there are still three collection of the other, and church and millions of catholics in that country uncon-state so imperceptably flow into each other, you have adopted has failed of its effects, speech, is perfectly annular. This frater-that it must of course be wrong, and that it is and relation is not a novelty in our history, high time to change it. The surest method it grew up in the most early periods of it, by treading back the steps of our ancestors, when the liberties of this nation were efand by undoing much of what they have feetually secured. After our sacred instidone. If then we are to measure back the steps of three hundred years, we cannot be surprised if much time shall be required in advancing. One thing, however, is clear; that if we expect to convert three nillions of people into good protestants, it must be done by argument, and not by force. This great question has now for the first time come before us, and I trust the full discussion it has undergoue,

Sir William Switt, after some prelimithat they would, on some future day, have untimately united, that to attempt to sever an opportunity of reclaiming them. The them would be in reality to destroy them. fact is, sir, that the map of all these forfeited. A luminous and gloquent political philoso-Dublin, is accessible to every man who opposed to such a doctrine. "This princhooses to inspect it, and is daily produced ciple" (that of attachment in the people of ing boundaries. The history of this map is ment) " runs through the whole system of pretty well known. It was drawn by Sir their polity. They do not consider their William Petty, after the old one had been church establishment as conventent, but as when the real one is accessible to any one | union. | Church and state or indeas insepaverted,) hence it is obvious that the system that the connexion, even to the organ of we can take to advance the reformation is, and was furnly combined in those times tution had endured many desperate assaults, it rose with renewed strength from the conflict, and we were destined to emply the blessings not only of a free but of a protestant constitution. In the same charter in which the sovereign appointment was given, he which the rights of the sub, cr are declared, it was said, this king lone shall be for ever protestant. And "este-perpetur" is the carnest prayer I shall affer

"p

for the 'safe'y and happiness of my country. But the principles now advanced are calculated not to preserve, but to impurthe constitution we have received from our ancestors, and to sacrifice to experiment the invaluable privileges by which we have been hitherto distinguished. By what provisions is this constitution to be secured? By the fundamental laws of the country. What are these laws? The king must be a protestant. He can marry none but a protestant. Was this to lull to repose the conscience of the sovereign? Was it for his personal comfort in this life, or his happiness hereafter, that these restrictions on his very thoughts were ordained? Certainly with no such design; it was for the protection of these realms from the dangerous consequences of catholic innovation. It was, in such a country as this, guarded by such legislative precautions, with regard to the opinions of the prince, that if no distinct provisions had been made, the general maxims resulting from established law, would be, that all the great officer's of state assisting the monarch in the dischânge of his high functions should be protestants. It was required, that the supreme magistrate should be of that persuasion; and were not the representatives of his august power to entertain the same religious sentiments? In order to preserve the system inviolable, it is not only expedient, but necessary: whatever may be the situation or the policy of other states in this particular, in England it is prudent, from peculiar circumstances, to preserve this restraint; because, from the nature of our fimited morarchy, the incumbent of the throne may be in the exercise of a very small portion of power; almost the whole actual authority, and the responsibility, may be delegated to his ministers; and what would be the perhs that might await us, if they were the slaves of the catholic superstition? It is on such grounds that I consider it not a matter of doubt, but of conviction and certainty, that to permit these privileges to be extended to persons of the romish faith, would be to infringe the fundamental maxims of our glorious constitu-A protestant king, surrounded by catholic ministers, would be a solecism in fact, as well as in law; for their must be a perpetual contradiction between the duties of the one and the other. It is an importent function of the great officers of state to attend with zeil and vigilance to the pro-

how could this obligation be discharged by those who deem it to be absurd, permeious, profane, and fanatical? It is true, I am not enabled, as many others are, from intimate and local knowledge, to speak to the present question; but if the premises I have assumed are at all correct, the objection to the motion before the house is paramount to all the inferior circumstances of accident and locality. The hon, gent. (Mr. Fox), in his introductory address, told the house, that from some unfavourable events, the grants already made had produced the effect, that might have been naturally expected, and this disappointment he used as an argument for new concessions. In my mind, it operates in a way precisely the reverse, if what has been already given has not been beneficial to the persons to whom the donation was extended, there is little expectation that by them any future advantage from the proposition will be derived. An hon, member, who is an eloquent advocate of the cause he asserts, (Mr. Grattan), has intimated there would be danger of separation between the sister islands, if this motion were rejected. such a declaration he may have said much for the courage, but, very little in favour of the loyalty, of the people of Ireland. I had hoped we should rather have seen some proofs of their gratitude and attachment for what has been conceded, than any indications of disgust and alienation for what is with-held. If I may make a comparative observation on the feelings of the catholics of the two countries, I should consider the disposition manifested by the English papists as much the more honourable. although the laws now complained of are more onerous to the English than to the Irish of that profession. The proportion of gentlemen of distinguished families. who are catholics, is much greater among the former than among the latter; and lience the laws which restrict them from the executive and legislative situations are to them peculiarly severe. It has been said, that the subjects of that persuasion are deprived of their civil rights. True it is that one of the princes of the house of Stuart has been driven from the throne for misconduct; but upon what principle were his successors excluded? It was because they were attached to the popish religion; the protestant faith has become a necessary part of our constitution, and we could not be governed by those who tection of our churck establishment; but were inimical to it. The house has heard

pretended the catholics of Ireland are not represented; but nothing is more manifest than that they are admitted to the complete exercise of the elective franchise: and in this respect, at least, they enjoy every privilege possessed by protestants. I have understood that the hon, mover of this question is preparing the history of a very important and eventful period in the annals of this country. The favourite chapter to which I should direct his attention with peculiar pleasure, would be that in which so enlightened an author must contemplate the benign effects of the protestant religion, as conducive to the peace, order, and happiness of the community, and to the integrity and glory of the British constitution. The true question now is, if the privilèges granted to catholics are to be ex-The parliament of Ireland has acted with great wisdom in regard to this enquity, and has granted to them all that was either necessary or discrect. But the hon, gent., on the contrary, says, because we have given so much; we ought liberally to make them a present of the rest. The converse of this I shall rather maintain, beas a just motive for future produgality.-However, if more should be fit to be conceded, a reason less inconvenient might be easily discovered for the donation. The discretion of our ancestors has elected a strong barrier to protect the constitution; but we are now required to admit the catholies, and for this purpose to hurl down this stupendous monument of their inquistry and wisdom, to which I can never agree.

Mr. Grattan explained that he had not intimated the probability of any separation of the two countries, if this motion were rejected.

Sir W Scott said, he certainly so understood the hon, member.

Mr. Grattan. - I said, if the parliament assented to the calumny propagated, that the catholics were traitors to their king and country, it would lay the foundation of such a separation. It was not the rejection of the petition, but the adoption of the calumny, to which I adverted.

Doctor Laurence.—It is with regret, sir, that I differ on any subject from my right hon, and learned friend, who last addressed you But whatever satisfaction I should feel in agreeing with him on other occasions, I should indeed be sorry if I did not duffer mental laws of the state must operate equally

much of virtual representation, and it is from him on the question of this night. For I could not look with the same pleasure to our happy constitution, which is justly the pride of this country and the envy of the world, if I could so poose it compatible with its principles, that so great a proportion of my fellow-subjects should be put under the ban of the empire, and eternally excluded from the most valuable of its privileges. It appears to me a most glaring inconsistency on the face of the proposition itself, that an equality of rights and of protection should be, for ever, demed to the catholics, at the same time that an equality of duty and allegiance is demanded from them. My right hon, and learned friend has drawn arguments in support of his opinion from the principles of our constitution, as laid down in the settlement which followed the glorious revolution. But here he has not exercised his usual fairness and candour. He has rested all his reasoning on a single, indefinite, and equivocal word, of negative description, which seems to favour life position, while he has passed over all that part of what he calls the charter of the revolution, which would at once have shewn the fallacy of the whole argument. Accause he cannot consider former generosity | cording to him, the fundamental principle of our constitution, as then established, requires merely that the king should be a protestant, and marry a protestant; and thence is deduced the conclusion, that all the great officers of state to whom the exercise of the royal functions is delegated, and every member of every branch of the legislature must be also protestants. But is not the position with which my right hon, and learned friend sets out, a plain sophism? It contains indeed the truth, but not the whole truth. Lutherans, Zuinghans and Calvinists, Baptists and Anabaptists, Quakers and Mehonites, Muggletonians, Swedenburgians, and I know not how many sects beside, are all of them protestants: but does my right hon. and learned friend mean to say, that a monarch, who should profess himself to belong to any of those protestant sects, could lawfully hold the crown of this realing? I am sure, he does not. By the coronation oath, by the act which settled the sucression in his majesty's august house, the king is as much bound to be a member of the church of England as established by law, to the exclusion of all other protestant churches, as he is forbidden to hold communion with the see or church of Rome. The consequences therefore, which follow from those funda-

another, against protestant and papist at ke. He is too sincere an admice and too diliauthority of the greatest weight in support directing your adention to that quarter. For objections to the present measure of relief; a measure which the great authority himself, to whom he appeals, never ceased from his first entrance into public life to the moment of his death, to recommend and to urge as the surest means of improving and consolidating the prosperity and strength of the empire Nearly half a volume in the colwith the discussion of the very subject, on house were first shut against them

against one description of dissenters as against | and learned friend must have known this. My righthon, and learned friend must push his gent a render of the compositions of his deargument of proscription to the same length | ceased friend (for such he was) to have against both (and he has not attempted it; overlooked so large a portion of his labours. he could not attempt it), or the argument | But in truth, su, he has only in indested his is good for nothing against either.—As an laccustomed judgement and discretion in not of his position, my right hon, and learned there we will find the whole speech of my friend has had recourse to a celebrated work right hor and lenned friend, anticipated, of an illustrious friend of mine, now no and will be allow me to say? triumplimitly more; whose memory will ever be checisted confued, there, while it is again admitted to next my heart; whose viriues as well as one of fundamen of the constitution, that talents will ever live in my fondest regard, the charch of bargland should be united and and most reverential admiration; a pouried even identified with it, yet a just and solid philosopher, no less enlightened than cine distinction is drawn between fundamental quent, as my right hon, and learned friend liws themselves, and laws of regulation has truly described him, a statesman, whose only, made from time to time for the suploss his country has every day more and peri of what is fundamental, there, the ramore reason to deplore, as a public calamity, and defect of the argument which repre-In these feelings I know that my right hon souts the king as simply a protestant, instead and learned friend fully participates. He of a communicant of the church of England, assents to the observation. I would, sir, is largely examined, detected, and exposed; that I could have had the gratification of there in short, the errors, into which my tracing what he now avows, in the use, lightdion and learned friend appears to me which he has just made, of the beautiful to have fallen, are attributed to a confusion passage extracted from "The Reflexions on of reasoning most alien to minds like his, the French Revolution." Forhow's it applied and belonging only " to the metaphysicians in the context, where it stands? In any of our times, who are the most toolish of way, that books upon the present question? men, and who, dealing in universals and No, sir; not in the most remote degree, estences, see no duffrence between more It merely asserts the close and intimate and less." Indeed, sir, after all, I very connexion of a religious national establish-a much fear, that my right hon, and learned ment with the coul constitution of the friend has only been dressing up in more state, in the system of polity derived to decent apparel a set of idle vagabond fallaus from our ancestors; holding up the ex- cies which have been already more than ample of this country in contrast to the con- once whipped through the town as public duct of the demagagues in the national chefts and impostors. I cannot however assembly of France, who were systemate ally dismiss this topic without one word of plundering, detraiding, vilifying, degrading, serious complaint, painful as it is to me to and persecuting the ministers of the very re- address it where I must, on behalf of him, ligion, which they at the same time pro- whose voice is now for ever mute in the fessed to acknowledge as the national church, grave; I cannot retrum from declaring at It has no immediate reference to the pe-once my surprise and my affliction, that culiar and distinctive character of our re- from one, whom he esteemed, and who ligious establi-liments. But my right hon, esteemed him, he should now experience and learned friend has violently torn it from the treatment, which he was too much used its place, to make it the found tion of his to receive during his lite from the least respectable of his adversaries; that his opinions should be imperfectly and partially quoted, to hold him up to the world, as inconsistent with himself -But let us, now, sir, look a little into history: let us see at what period those incapacitating statutes, from which the catholics most wish to be relieved, had their origin: let us more lection of Mr. Burke's work is occupied especially enquire when the doors of this which we are now engaged. My right hon. their exclusion from parliament coeval with

the reformation itself? Did it take place in authority. But, sir, it goes much further, consequence of any solemn and enhightened revision of the constitution. No, sir; it] was the very reverse of time; and my right hen, and learned friend knows, that it was so It was not till after the lapse of nearly a century and a list from the suprise between trust. The ler t-lieutenant, not a stranger Itemry the VIIIth, and the pope; it wis not sut the latter end of the reign of Charles the Hd, when serious danger was approhended to out civil as well as religious liberties from the expected succession of James to the crown, it was not fill the ferment excited by the publication of what is called * Oates's plot (which ever; non of sense and learning now knows to have been a most infamous and barbarous forgered had let loose a notions spirit which overcame the icason of some of the best and ablest men in the nation; that, in a moment of insane rage and term, an act was passed to exclude all catholic strem parliament, because the commons could not then obtain, what by the acvolution they did obtain, the exclusion of a catholic from the throne. Yes even then the meisure was not extended to the cithelies of Ireland; to those, be it remembered, whose descendents are the actual petitioners now before the house. And why was it not? We have, sir, the most! indisputable testimony on this point. • It comes from the lord-heutenant limiself, the duke of Ormond, who informed the earl of Arian, that he did not clause to assemble the parliament of that kingdom, " chiefly on account of the severity of two bills transmitted against the papists, the one taking l away the votes of peers, while they are papists, and the other inflicting death upon accertain sort of the papist clergy if tound in Ireland, the one seeming unjust, the other cruel, and neither necessary." [Carte's Life of Ormond, Vol. 11 p 535.] And here, sir, suffer me for one immute to fir your attention on this invaluable document under the hand of a witness so much above all exception. In the first place, it establishes the fact, that catholics did then sit in parliament; otherwise how would it have been unjust to deprive them of that which they aid not enjoy? And thus it sweeps away at once all the rubbish of violent and illegal resolutions which the learned meigher who addic-sed you last night, raked together from the journals of the Itish house or common; in times of intestine confusion, which, if those aujustifiable regulations had even been carried into effect (though they do not appear to have been) would have vinated all their

It establish the more important fact, that the catholics of Ireland, who down to the evolution held seats in both houses of parhament; aye, are, and high places in the state too, had been found faithful to their sent among strangers, bife a man, who during forty years had taken a leading part in the government of that his native kind, and who had binself been a conspicuous actor in the suppression of that formidable rebellion and the estillation of that pretended ma lacre, which at this distance of time some men aftert to consider as an excuse for every mode of legislative persecution; the duke of Ormond, a lord-heutement so qualified is I have described him, pronounced " the severity of the law, which first excluded the catholics from parlament, to be contrary to justice, and warranted by no political necessity." Such then was the condition of the catholics in this essential respect at the epoch of the revolution. What then followed? Did the charter of the revolution touch the members of that church in Ireland. It was not till the third year of William, that they were excluded from the preliament of that kingdom; and it is notonous that in measures of penal restriction and prohibition against his catholic subjects, that great and wise prince and not obey his own jadgment, but yields dreluctantly to the temper of the times. For his own proper sentiments we must look to his own proper acts. In the articles of Lemerick, signed by his favourite general and the lords justices a little before the law which I have mersioned passed here, and in the ratification of those articles by himself, notwithtanding that law, we read the price dictates of his own policy. He there engages himself to put the catholics in regard to their religion, on the feeting on which they stood in the reign of Charles the Ild; and to endeavour, whenever he could sumpion a parliament in Ireland, to procure new provisions for their greater security. Nor is the sort of relief, which he designed for them, left dubious. There is an express stipulation, that no oath should be administered to them, save the oath of allegiancealone. Let me not be told that the parliament of England remonstrated against the articles of Limerick; let me not be told that the purliament of Ireland some years after defended the purpose of them, by superadding the condition of the other outher which earlights could not conscien-

make it a simple renunciation of 'obedience' to the pope.—You have already seen, sir, how little importance William the IIId attached to this oath, when in the projected settlement of Ireland on the capitulation of Lienerick, he would have exempted his catholic subjects from that test. But Providence has preserved to us a much more perfect and authentic monument of the full scope of his benevolent disposition towards them, that any which has yet been named It is a paper drawn up from the verbal com-

975

ciously take. I know it; perhaps I may grant | by lord Somers, and approved by the united the abstract right of both parliaments to do wisdom of the great statesmen, who were what they did: but in them I ree the ope- his colleagues. It was prepared with the ration of popular prejudices; in the con- view of being laid before the congress of duct of the glorious champion of the pro- camsters from all the principal courts of testant cause, and of the emment statesman Europe assembled at Ryswick; and it is who advised him on these occasions, I see worthy of its authors, worthy of the dig-a deliberate system of wise and generous po- nity of the occasion for which it was designlicy—We will now, sir, with your per- ed, worthy of being had in remembrance mission, turn to the other main branch of and veneration in all time to come, as conthe argument; it cannot detain us long. - taming the best, the clearest, the soundest, I mean, sir, the exclusion of catholics from the most accurate, the most convincing the principal offices of state. This was exposition, that ever has been written, of early done by the oath of supremacy enacted the fundamental principles of our happy rein the first year of Elizabeth. But do we volution. Other parts of it, if I mistake discover there the slightest vestige of the not, I have formerly brought to the notice high and solemn principle, which my right, of the house; but my present business is hon, and learned friend supposed to be re- with that only which relates to the subject cognized in it? Is that oath declared to of this night's debate. Hear then, sir, the be required, because in our lamited mo- leading maxim, which, in this respect, was narchy, almost the whole actual authorize the guide of William's counsels. "The of the king, and the "entire responsibility, may be delegated to his ministers?" Alas! that it was his fixed principle, that men's sir, in that statute, justices and mayors are consciences ought not to be forced in matthought of sufficient importance to be par-, ters of religion; but that these ought to be ticularized, but not a word is there of the left to God. He has always acted pursuant great orficers of state: they are huddled to this rule; because he thinks it just in among the monial servants of the palace, itself, and that it is a wise measure of 90in the central description of " persons har vernment." Yet all this, it may be said, ving the king's few or wages." The oath, is no more than general profession. Be it however, at its original formation, was con-sol. Lasten then to his sentiments on the sistent with its professed object. It had a very- identical case now before you. He positive as well as a negative claime. It; had been consulted by the late king condirectly asserted the supremacy of the dema, coming the repeal of the laws against the as well as a pured the supremacy of the pope. Catholics, and what was his answer? He tells It was calculated to exclude the protestant us that "he declared his thoughts very freely that the supremacy of the declared his thoughts very freely that the supremacy of the declared his thoughts very freely that the supremacy of the declared his thoughts very freely that the supremacy of the declared his thoughts very freely that the supremacy of the declared his thoughts very freely that the supremacy of the declared his thoughts very freely the supremacy of the declared his thoughts very freely that the supremacy of the pope. as well as the papist dissenter. But at the He liked the motion of repealing them, which sertlement of the revolution, in the charter, might have satisfied all the e of that comwhence me right hon, and learned keend munion, as it did the most moderate of concludes that all these immediate repre- them. He did not indeed, think it adsentatives of majesty as well as all the viscoble to repeal those other laws, that members of every branch of the legislature excluded them from sitting in parliament, ought to be of the same religious persuasion and from offices of trust. This proposition, with the sovereign on the throne, this very if closed with, would have made the Roouth was new modelled in compliance with man catholic subjects safe and easy;" and the wishes of the protestant dissenters, to in his estimation, ought to have made their protestant fellow-subjects also safe and easy. [Lord Somers's Tracts, 1st collection, vol.*1. page 401, &c] Even with the despotic and bigoted James on the throne, he would have deemed our civil and religious liberties sufficiently secured against the catholics by the two principal of the restrictions (for there still are many more) under which they at this day labour. He would immediately have advanced them to a better condition in society, than that, in which the benignity and justice munications of the king himself, revised of his present majesty, and the more en-

lightened and more generous policy of the fold test onlis! But if so, we are accompresent times, have at length tardily placed them, after more than a century of oppression, more especially severe in Ireland under a code devised with wicked ability to extinguish an ancient nobility and gentry, to sow discord among all the relations of civil l society, to poison all the domestic charities, to be gear and Barbause the great mass | of the population; a code, of which a faint resemblance can only be found in the persecution, which was begun against the Christian religion by its most subtle and dangerous enemy, Julian the Apostate. But would the liberal elemency of William and his immisters have stopped there? No; for he adds, " if they had behaved themselves so well upon such a favour, as to put an end to the jealousies of the nation, they might after that have pretended to jurther degrees of confidence with a Letter grase." To what further degrees of confidence? Nove would have remained to bestow, but to have admitted them into parlament, and the great ortices of state; none, but to have removed what my right hon, and learned friend, considers as strong barriers erected i by our ancestors to protect the constitution, as stependous monuments of their industry and wisdom never to, be moken down, never to be weakened and impaired: yet this would William and his amnisters Here then is an inchipable have done answer, to all the objections drawn from the principles of the revolution We, who support the motion, have with us the esphen authority of those who planned, "who conducted, who settled the revolution; and as my deceased friend once said that he did not wish to be thought a better Whig than lord Somers, so do I now say that I shall never affect to understand the principles of the recolution better than King William, lord Somers, and that band of enginent state-men his colleagues, whose counsels; or freedom and happiness at home. - In popinion, why oces he not actavion it? VOL. IV.

places of their treason; for, in compliance with their ser tyle, we have remodeled those . ouths and substituted others, which are consider as satisfactory pledges of then loyelty, except where we chose to retain the old eaths in two or three particular cases, not as tests of their allegiance, but as the means of their exclusion. Much to cur ho our. we gave them the opportunity of publicly disclaiming the principles, *dimperous to liberty and civil society, which had been attributed to them so long; and they availed themselves of it. They cock, then charge as well as lany took, the orth which we proposed. We bore fresh testimony to their good conduct by granting new faceurs, leat accompanied by new and more complete tests; which, the house will be sounded, were not carelessly or ignorantly tached, nor worded with too partial an install see to the catholics, when I mention, this the bill was introduced here by the present load chancellor of Ireland. They contained to this additional demand upon them. They did not shrink from these one med and onlarged tests. Whitever we hald forth to them on terms which did not trach my point of their telemons faith, thry have ever chearfully accepted; and on it to his morely spiritual, Para one, the har will never subscribe to the parapheoral may recors consciences. If then the citable have attisfied us by every proof, was how have sought to obtain from their wife a specie." dence of being station, that they are attached, as we are to the large courttution of our common courty, is not that a powerful argument is received them. a more valuable integ on that constantion? Wall you not for that a north nice them espekte of bony admitted corner or later to the full pur wearion or all the malita and privileges of Bratish subjects? No: says my hon, and learned friend, the atlaid the time foundation of all which we have torney-general; never. Too much his been since enjoyed of power and glory abroad, conceded to them already. If that he los truth, all the topics taken from the distin- Why does he not move tor the repeal of guishing character of our religious catablish- all the measures for relieving the catholics ment, as applicable to the present question, from disabilities, and for the re-enactment come much too late. This house, the other of all the restrictive statutes? In consistency house of parliament, his imposty, all have he is bound to do so; for the argument, he long since and in repeated instance, d - uses against the present claims of the cithocided against them. A learned member, lies, will equally apply in support of a motion who last night had the opposition to the for renewing all the former disqualifications. motion of my honomable trund, represented the is not different to make the experi-sented the eatholies as guilty of a serief ment. He is well aware that the good sense treason, because they refused to take the of the least and the country wil not go 3 1

with bon down to the present moment, whatever rigorous measures have from time to time been adopted against the catholics, none of them have been considered as fixed, permanent, immutable, fundamental laws, but as temporary securities against come immediate danger of the crisis either real or supposed. Now a practicable breach is made in all of them. Not one is entire Some part of each has been taken away; and the last act of liberality, which the catholics on the recommendation of the lordlieutenant obtained from the parhament of Ireland, acknowledged their general right to every thing; whitever was not actually granted, it with-held only as an exception, from the same supposed motive, which has been the declared ground of every relaxation. that of political especiency alone. - My right hon, and learned friend, however, not tensing altogether to his high doctrines of the constitution, endeavours to excite a persond rechag for the situation, in which a compliance with the petition might place his majesty. He tells us, that we should soon witness, what he denominates a solegism in fact as well as in liw, a profestant king surrounded by catholic counsellor- I conte s I am at a less to discover the justice. or the validity of such an argument. When we restence the royal prerogative, does it follow that we torce upon his majesty catholics for his ministers and counsellors? Does it follow, that by extending the sphere of his majory's choice, in the selection of his considential ministers, or the appointment to places of power and trust, to so numerous a class of our fellow-subjects? we force any description of persons upon the throne? There is an ther class of dissenters, to which I had supposed that my right hon, and learned friend feels the same objection, I mean the presbyterians. Them, I had imeg ned, he looks upon as at least equally dangerous with the catholics, if dangerons they be. Now, sir, all the test laws in Ireland, which affected them, have been long since repealed. Yet while that island had an independent parliament and government of her own, how many of the great offices of state were filled by protestant dissenters? The noble lord (lord Castlereagh) whom I see near my right hon. and learned friend, can inform him how few: and if one or two have found the way into his majesty's councils, my right hon, and learned friend, while atting there, is too polit, whatever I may be, ressay that any

From the reign of Elizabeth | mighty mischief has been in consequence sustained. Nevertheless, sir, the first rude shock which the protestant monarchy and church of England had to encounter, came from that description of protestants right hon, and learned friend has been pleased to anticipate the entertainment which he and all or us may hereafter receive from an imaginary chapter in the history which is believed to employ the pen of my hon, friend who made this motion, but I am a little surprised that he did not rather point out to our notice an important chapter in every history of England. which he soldom overlooks: I mean the reign of Charles the 1st In consequence of the sad experience of those times, the test laws, in the beginning of his son's reign, were directly opposed to the protestant dissenters. The catholics had a breathing time. They were considered as inclined to the royal cause. But towards the and of the Long Parliament, palousies and fears, not unwise, if they had not been carried beyond the bounds of reason and justice, were entertained of the carnolies, and the protesrant disanters were zealous and active in the defence of civil and religious liberty. New regulations, therefore, of disabilities and a capacities were introduced to strip the former of the power of doing evil; and the latters when success had crowned their exertions, were relieved and favoured. From whatever quarter the danger menaced, to that our ancestors turned. And certainly to our mixed constitution there are procedure and characteristic dangers from each of the opposite description of disenters. The catholics," from the scheme of their ecclesiastical discipline and their habits of obedience to those who are set over them, incline more to attach themselves to the monarchy; the protestant dissenters from their mode of discipline, and their corresponding habits, are more ready and strenuous in the maintenance of popular rights and privileges, yet I am sure that they may be equally secviceable, and each upon occasion emmently so, if their proper and well-balanced proportions of political weight and influence in this house, and in the state.—An invidious distinction, however, has been taken to the prejudice of the petitioners, because the catholics of this country have not preferred any claims. 'The situation of the catholics in Ireland is widely different from that of the members of the same communion in this country; and, even if it were not, will it be contended, that if the catholics or

claims, their brethen in Ireland should for ever abandon their equitable rights and just | pretensions; or that these, in preferring their claims with moderation and submission to the legislature, should be suspected j for improper motives, and charged with extravagance in their demands, because another body of catholics had not come forward with a similar application? Permit me, sir, most earnestly to caution you and the house against giving any sanction to the out of practical dilemma, in which centlemen are are too upt to place great bodies of men, silent? Do they leave their case wholly to parhament without petitioning? Oh! then there is the old true maxim, not to distmb what is quiet. There can be no real grievance, or the sufferers would complain. Do they complain? Do they address us in the natural language of men who feel an injury or an indignity? Oh! then they are disalected, they are factions, they are seditious, they are traitors; they mean by their numbers to overawe the legislature. Our own honour will not permit us to hear them. Oh! su, there is nothing which so irritates men, which so embitters a refusal, is this sort of treatment, which leaves them no conduct to pursue with a hope of deserving redress. In the present instance, ! there are enough to shew, that there is among! them a sense of the grievances, which i they state, and enough to be objects of our voluntary liberality, always the most gracious, for in public, as in private life,

" Sweet is the love that comes with willingness." However if my right hou, and learned friend is desirous of having petitions from the cathebes of England, let him move to adjourn the debate for that purpose, and I doubt not your table will soon be covered with them. —I proceed now to the set of objections, which my right hon, and learned friend, table, "I admit that in such a case an was too well-informed, too candid; and oath would not be obligatery"] too judicious, to touch upon; I allude must tell the hon, and learned gent that to what, for the sake of distinction, may this is not a case of my own imagin tion, be called the polemical objections. These but the dentical case which De Milner has have been left altogether, I think, to proposed, by way of illustrating his deciring the impression made by the speech of the concerning the prudence to be adopted in. learned member, who first opposed the considering the obligations of oaths; to motion. And here I cannot help first taking notice of what fell from him, in commenting on a passage from a laté públication. It is the more necessary to animalize upon this part of the learned gentleman's speech, because his observations were calculated to cast a reflexion on the character and moral royal mind was first impressed upon it;

this country should never bring forward any principles of a very respectable member of the carbolic body now living, whom I name to honour, the Rev Dr Milner; and the charge of that learned gent, is wholly unwarranted either by the letter or spirit of the passage of the publication upon which he commented. I perfectly concur in the position there laid down, " that every human law and every promise or other engagement, however commined by eath, must necessarily turn upon the cardinal virtue of prudence, which implies that it depends as to the obligation of it litting it, in such and such circumstances, upon the for the sake of a turn in debate. Are they question of expediency " but this prudence, in the acceptation of Di Milner, and of ethical writers in general, is not a selfish principle which employs uself in weighing interest against duty, but a virtuous principle which weighs one duty with enother when they seem to be opposite, and decide; which of them, in this place and at this tune, is to be fulfilled: a principle not variable with the caprice or interest of a sect, or of The individual, but unchangeably founded upon the eternal basis of truth and justice. The false and wicked deductions drawn by the revolutionary jacobies of France, from the maxim of considering " the manutable laws of nature, and of God as paramount, to all subsequent obligations, 'form no argument against the maxim itself; as in fact the conscientions obligation of every human law must rest upon this elemal in 1 minutes table law of nature and of God, or or can rest upon no principle at all. Suppose, for instance, in the case of a num having hound Immself by eath to deliver a sword or other destructive wear in to his are ad, he should, at the moment when he is about to presect it, pradently judge that his friend intends to make a fatal use it, either for his sown destruction or that of some other innocent person. The attorney-general across the proposed, by way of illustrating his dectrine

^{* &}quot; The Case of Consience solved, ir Catholic Emancipation proved to be compatible with the Coronation Oath:" published about four years since, when the difficulty which is understood to aguate the

any of these exceptions? If he will, I doctrine and not to her discipline in of position to some of the brightest lumino es of our church. For hishop dewell is not the only one who has written huge volunces on that beench of negral science colact casaistry, then clock, in my judgepient, no part of ethies is more importint as none is more delicate, though perhops he is the best man who, where his own good touth and his own interests are concerned, is deast ready to have recourse to distinctions in his own favour, and most really to allow them in estimating the condure of others towards hunself. At present, however, we are to consider the rules themselves and not the application of them; and the propriety of the general rules on which ! the excepts us proceed. I do not believe that the learned member himself will controver. What thea pught he not to feel of shame and confusion for the unfounded slander, which he conveyed to the apprehension of a great majority of the honer, by a false emphasis laid upon one equivocal word, a marked prince after another of the same kind to fix it more deep in the memory of his hearers, and a suppression of all the most meterial explanation, which the authorcautiously added to proclude the possibility. of rustake? What, let me also ack, of displeasure and indiguation ought not to be felt against the learned gent, by those, who were betrayed by these unworthy tricks of denate, into acclumations, and exics of assent to the calumity of his misconstruction; and how granted sught they not to be in

which so much objection has been made there nodded a marked assent to the position] This is the expediency (it is his own word [If I do not misinterpretsome indications which in sorting the case) of which Pr Milner I perceive, I flatter myself, sa: I have fully speaks. The mustepresentation, however, succeeded in satisfying the house that the dues not rost here. There follows in the learned gent, took an unfair agreeninge of peniphlet a researche to a passage, where their confidence in his character; and that the received but or before hid regularly (the passing, when considered with the condiscussed the whole doctrine of promissory fext, means only, what no moralist can only; and accurately studed the four cases in deny, that any obligation of a mere human which come is dear their veloity. And minute, is not binding when contrary to the what tre ties? Why, when the object of fixed and immutable laws of God.—The the octher culawful — when the object ob- learned gentleman, sir, has also used the structs any poolecidently greater;—when it same measure of condour and impartiality is unpossible to be obtained —and, lastly, in his quotations from the writings of other which it is become ridealous idle thing living characters, Dr. Troy and Mr. Plowvision (alls neither to the honour of God | den, whose assertions, in their respective nor to the benefit of man. Now, will the productions concerning the unchangeable have i member dispute the principle of hature of their church, apply merely to her and forevern him that he will find limited truth, which is the subject of doctrines, is ever immutable; whereas rules for mon's conduct in certain situations, which are the subject of discipline, must vary with such situations and circumstances. But he was eager to get, and therefore he little regarded how he get, to his old and favourite topics, the fourth council of Lateran, and the council of Constance. For more than a century, the council of Lateran and the council of C. n-tance have been the backnewed burthen of the song to all the political and potentical disputants, who have argued with the same passion and prejudice on the same side with the tenned member. At the mention of the council of Interm and the council of Constance, we are espected, it orbits, at once to statender car leason, us to some magical plane. The Cternal biazon of the council of Larcon still the council of Constance is to throw as into a

paroxyam of pretical terror,
"To harrow un con scale; freeze our winnelshoel; Make our two eyes, like dars, that from then phaces, Our knotty and combined locks to put,

And each particular four to stand on end Like quilts upon the freshal poscupine,

It is to diffuse public and universal discour; " And hight our isle from its propriety."

Yet really, sir, if we could but calmit look around us for one moment, we should discover that we may very quietly pursue our own course as we will, without fear of harm either from the council of Lateran or the council of Genstance. With regard to the former it neight be sufficient to remark, flist it took place some centuries before the future, that they may not again suffer their reformation; and consequently, that its rejudgments to be ad naticy on these subjects gulations, which were almost exclusively by garoled and partial extrects from engineering from the discipline of the church in partial exercits from engineered for the discipline of the church in famililets or works whenever [Mr. Littleso days cannot afford any authority on a

words, any thing which catholics consider as to may apprehension involves city position i capable of becoming "dangerous to society and civil liberty;" not a syllable about one-poung kines, or not keeping tath with heretics —However, sir, if upon this slight and distant tiew, youth we a little recovered your natural courage, and can venture to commit yourself to my gaidance, we will; approach a little nearer, it you please, and endersour to take a more distinct survey of the features of this hideous goblin. Be asstated, we shall find the decent horrors with like the battlements of fire, and menacing 1 recode and venish, when you advance upon them with resolution, and sometimes et ange of Mises, as well as of the law of Christ" prote tantism even of the learned member unchangeable. You have heard already, lenself. It then proceeds to the beginn- Mr. Speaker, how large a portion of the tion, death, burial, refugrection, and asconsion, mingling up all with expositions; intended, as every part of this creed was! intended, to meet the heresies then supposed to pravail; it takes occasion disstimuore, and, in a parenthosis, declares the Virgin Mary to have been always a virgin. Still, sir, I am persuaded, that, however ranch there may be in some of these doc- the head of that jurisdiction in his nativo tranes for our divines to controvert, you will not have perceived any thing, that can serve as an excuse for depriving a single catholic or the meanest civil right. To do so would be to act in that very spirit of persecution, with which the learned gentleman charges the church of Rome. As to the position with which this canon concludes, the house Amelricus, Almaricus, and Americus; by has already pronounced judgment upon it Dapin, Amagura

question, respecting religious differences in the reception which it met, when it was which had not any existence then, nor till clinical at in the speech of an hon, friend so long a time after that period: two casens | of mine, whose presence among us I have only out of seventy (I believe that is the nun - | I are anxiously desired, and who was heard her) contain any point of doctrine, or in other plast hight for the first time within these walls, the charater of whose eloquence I of a fixed and ureformable nature, and in chall leave to the impression which it vithose two there is not a single sentence that, sibly made on his auctione; for to praise him with justice would require cloquence like bis own; and there are very few men indeed in this house, who are entitled to undertake that tok In my off it world be presumption to attempt it I can only state more plainly, what he sent out of court with at length by a lively allusion. The clause in question, sir, merely defines, that "sinners may be pardoned, it truly penitent, and married persons, no less than those who are unmarried, may hope to go to Heaven " The pope was taose good-natured which the grisly apparation is graced, to be to human frailty, than were the sectaties called Cathuri, or puritans of that day, and damons, of which we read in romances, that, in this respect the church of England agrees with the pope. This canon is followed by another, which condeans the suddenly to seenes of alturement and delight. opinions of two theological writers, the —The profession of taith, with which the abbot Joachim of Calabria, and a learned council of Lateran sets out, keeps in view; student of the faculty of dividity at Paris the model of the Nicene creed, though whose name can hardly be collected with with considerable additions in a style of certainty, though I believe it, was Amaury,* discrimination perhaps a little too prata- and whose works have so totally perished, playsical for a standard of popular behef, that the object of the causa with regard to It legins by acknowledging in effect, to one him, can only be picked out with difficulty only God, the Crearor of evil as well as of from cotemporary historius and annalists. good spirits; the Miller of our bodies as And here, so, terrinates all, for which well as our souls; the Giver of the law Dr. Troy and Air Plowden, were dragged before this house as witnesses to prove that the burely, so far there is nothing to startle the doctrines of their churcheste unchanged and canons sinctioned by this council was occupied in points of mere describe. They are, many of them, stanling authorities in our own church; indeed, baving beeh confirmed afterwards in a provincial conetitinctly to assert the doctrine of transib-| tation of Stephen Langton, archbishop of Canterbury, they are at this moment a part of the law of the land. The learned memher, as an ecclesiassical judge (for he is at country) must be intimately arquainted with them. They enter into the matter of his professional duty, and may be a ground of his judicial decrees almost every day in the

^{*} He is called by different authorities

cealing the knowledge of them. He ap- in Europe, either in person or by their am-pears perfectly to understand 1/8 own sort bassadors. They consented to the proceedof prudence and expediency. For he was mass; they alone gave validity to them, aware that if he ltad ingenuously informed wherever here was a question of temporal us what they contained, there would have penalities and forfeitures, and so it was ended at once all his hopes of welling our understood even in that age. It did-not alarms to his purposes. Had be told us, concern them to maintain in his possessions that they forbade the institution of new a great feudatory lord, who resisted their orders of fruits and nuns, and the veneration populous by force of arms; but they took of new relies, promoted learning by order-yeare that the rights of the superior, that is, ing grammar schools to be every where their own rights, should be saved entire kept for the instruction of poor scholars, out | Certainly the popes did on various occasions of the revenues of the churches, and pro- assume a power of excommunicating and tected k uping by threatening with punish- | deposing princes; but it was always acment all bishops who should ordain igno- garded by many of the most rigid cubolics rant priests; provided for the parity of clee- has an evident usurpation of temporal authotions by chapters and other ecclesisstical rity; and it has no foundationan the teerth bodies; discountenanced vexations appeals council of Lateran. The emperors and infrom the inferior spanual courts; prohibi- | dependent princes who assisted at that on the temporal purisdictions, and directed, dominion against and over themselves, pass, till after admonition in the presence dressed, not to keep horses and hounds; not to go bunting and hawking; not to play at } games of chance, not to frequent theatres! or taverns, nor to engage in lany secular the attorney-general craed hear! hear!) and Enally that the decrees of this coencil of Laterna are a notice support of our caron law of this day against a plurality of benefices; someof the gentlemen who sit pear him, and who now will probably vote with him, instead of being seared by thisterrible council of Lateran would have called aloud for it, and have gladly embraced it at the risk of all the broke fulminations against hereics with which it may blaze. It is not | my wish however, to dissemble, that there! is one canon of great and excessive severity, to which alone all the obloquy which met, but was attended by the emperors against them not as bad Christians, but as

week. I cannot therefore but pay a com- both of the East and the Wet, as well as pliment to his dextrous management in con- by the kings of all the principal kingdoms ted the spiritual judges from encroaching council were too wise to establish such a that no sentence of excommunication should | though some of them individually might have recourse to the pope for their ewn of witnesses, and for a public and reason-able cause; above all, had he suffered it to escape him, that they strictly enjoined Mahometan, if he could have produced the the clergy to be always gravely and plainly and of an army of Moors from Spain. As inst whom too was this canon pointed? A regist the Albigenses, whose tenets have been the subject of much controversy space the reformation. Their pastors and leaders of trade or employment. (Alr Wilberforce and its proved to my convection at lens) beld tenets not very remote from our own Let with them, and oubtedly were nameled many hot-headed and sour-tempered finalties of furious and impracticable zeal, and a number of Manichees, who having been formerly driven from the East by the Greek emperors, had taken refuge in that country, which had long been, in a great measure, independent of the Roman Sec. All were confounded together, and the litter in all probability not unwithingly, by their compon adversaries : just as in our own country, the sober-minded and reasoning disciples of Wicklist, though maocent, were the learned gentleman has levelled at the involved in the mented consure of John whole seventy in a mass, is, I believe, Ball, who on Blackheath, by his seditious intended to apply. But, before we can de- | and atrocious preaching, meded the insurcide upon it, we must see by what authority; gents of Kent to the marder of every man besides that of the pope, and for what of rank and eminence in church or state, purpose it was made. That council, in just as in later times the anabaptists of consequence of Constantinople being then Germany might have cast a stain on the in the hands of the crusaders; was not whole protestant cause, had not Luther only perhaps the largest assembly of pre-lates and dignitaries of the church that ever bouring princes and cities to draw the sword rebels, unsafe neighbours, enemies of all house of commons than any connected with civil society, and disturbers of the public peace "Council after council for more than half a ecotory had thundered against the Albegenses, always attributing to them the errors of the Manichecs Ekcommunicătions, fines, and confiscations had been tlenonneed against them. But they were profected by a powerful confederacy of the himms, carls, and princes of the South of Figure and the neighbouring kingdom of Arregore. They maintained public conterences and disputations with the prelates of the Roman church; as usual, each perty retired with increased animosity and endattered disgust. Civil wars ensued. A crusade was preached against them. The country was desolated with mutual slaughter. Upon one side the king of Arragon was killed; upon the other the count of Montford, general of the crusaders, fell in battle. Raymond, count of Thoulouse, the great pation and defender of the Albigenses had been forced to submit, had broken again with the pope, and in spite of his gallant strangles been stripped of his dominions. Massacres produced a desire of revenge, and roulist on gave both to cruckles which no on both sides. In this state of long concurred pre-crying his trith to his subjects for homself and his family out of his linds. But the question is not at present, and in tors place, whether this canon was too severe, still less whether it was or was not altogether unjust; it is merely, whether it contains a solemn, deliberate, systematic principle, which catholics respect as a standing and obligatory rule of conduct, or rather, whether it is so evidently such, that we! cannot believe them, when they disclaim! and abjure it—Sir, it is a most ridiculous to state this; for, never did any measure carry on the surface of it so plainly the form and pressure of the times. And what have we, six hundred years after, to do with Raymond, count of Thoulouse, who, by the way, subsequently recovered his territories at the point or the sword and left them to his son?—Yet, sir, called as this council was for the settlement of Europe as well a- the regulation of the clarch, there was one subject agreated in it, which might have

the affairs of Raymond, count of Thouleuse; and this, Mr Spinker, was nothing less than the validity of the GREAT CHARTER. In that same year had this first and most important fundamental law of our rights and liberties been extorted from the reluctant band of John by the great barons leagued together for this purpose, and guided to this eleject by the temperate, but firm counsels of Steplen Lington, architishop of Canterbury; for to that excellent prelate do we principally owe this corner-stone of our free constitution. He it was, who observing, that the tumultous contentions which were perpetually breaking out b tween shearsurpations of an ill-defined monarchy on the one side, and the irregular pretensions of a highminded aristocracy on the other, only acd to alternations of anarchy and tyraway, equally lawless and destructions, conceived and proposed to the confederate lords the project of tising with precision for ever in a solemu instrument, the franchises, privilegis, and immunities of all the estates of the people of the techn. But the way of his sear was hardly cold, when the princhest and traprincipled king and to implove the interprovocat, in could justify or pullate. The position of the poper, to it less but from worst presions of numan active were let loose; the obligation of fulfilling 1 is duty, and irritation it was that the violent measure, nocent the HIII, to whom John La I before which it seems is tower to be for rotten or so rendered his king bin, issued his built, forgiven, was smellered by the fourth declaring the charteryold, as derog tery to conneil of Lateran. Yet even ben, at that his rights in his new character of 1rd paravery council, Raymond obtained a provision means. Ho apposited begates to publish it here. But the proceeding the book and been elevated to the see of Canterbury inmediately by the pontiff him xie, held on his own coirse in a matter which, he was conscious, no way belonged to the papal tribunal. He encorraged the borons to resist. For this he was him ell suspended from the exercise of his functions by the legites. He was formally accused before the council of Lateran. His scorned to deny the charge: the suspension was communist; the are bishop of Curterbury suspended, and the barons of the realm exceminum cated by the council of Lateran for hiving obtained the GREAT CHARTER! and yet, sit, I perceive no cry, no look, no gesture of consternation around me. Not a man among us appears to think the GREAT CHARTER in one tittle less secure for the violent attempt made to crush it at the council of Lateran. Not a mm, Lwill venture to say, will suggest to his majesty, that his been amught more interesting to an English imperial crown if in the slightest danger

Innocent the IIId as lord paramount. Why then, in the name of common sense, should we trouble ourselves about the severe measures pursued, the excommunications and loss of possessions denounced against Raymond, count of Thoulouse, his confederates, and subjects? But there is one striking lesson to be collected from the passage of our history which I have just related. We have there a most illustrious example, that a catholic clergy can distinguish their civil from their religious duties, and be among the foremost to defend the liberties of their country from papal ambition and usurpation. Permit me also to remark, sir, it it do not seem too researched, that we find there a signal instance of the beneficent care of Providence in converting what was designed for destruction, into the means of safety and support. That very council, which aimed to annihilate for ever the rising liberties of this country, did incidentally contribute much to consolidate their strongest bulwark, the TRIAL BY JURY. One of its canons strictly enjoined the priests to refuse the aid of their loinistry, which was indispensably necessary, to the absurd and superstitious trials by fire and water: and the removal of those barbarous usages made way for the establishment of the trial by jury, one of the most important provisions of the GREAT CHARTER. - And now, sir, as I trust all our public fears of mischief from this gunpowder council of Lateran are pretty well over by this time, I shall employ one or two sentences in offering a little private consolation to the learned member. The council in condemning the opinions of abbot Joachim, declared hun to be a good sort of a well-meaning man, though a little wrong-headed, and as the ecclesiastical body over which he had presided, conducted themselves unexceptionably, no disturbance was to be given to them. So, if the petitioners should succeed; if they should return a hundred members to this house, as the learned gentleman anticipates; and by these hundred members carry all things before them, as he apprehends; they will, I hope, remember mercy in that day of their tramph, and pass no harsher judgment on him and on the profession, of which he is now the head. Yet if they should go the uncharitable length of the censule on poor Ameury, I doubt not, that warned gentleman will bear it with the and chearfulness in so good a Gen though another general council

from the claims of the pope, derived from of Lateran should say, as the former said; —" the father of hes has so confounded his understanding, that his errors have more absurdity than heresy in them." During the two following centuries there were councilin plenty; but the learned member can find norte to his taste, till at the end of that interval he comes to that which assembled at Constance. But in what copy of their proceedings does he read the execrable doctrine alloged, that it is lawful to break faith with heretics? oh! he deduces it from the condemnation of Huss, notwithstanding that he had come with a safe-conduct. * But from whom? From the council? No, but from the emperor Sigismund, who, as they thought, (and as far as I understand the constitution of those councils, I do think with them) had no right or authority to bind them or prejudice their jurisdiction. And there is, in corroboration of this, a pretty strong fact which the learned gentlem in could undoubtedly have told us if he would. The very pape who convened the council or Constance, and who was afterwards deposed by it, though furnished, by the same emperor Sigismund, with a passport of the same tenor with that in the possession of John Huse, nevertheless thought it necessary to have recourse to flight for the security of his person when he stood in the chafactor of an impeached man; being conscious that it could not avail him in case of conviction, notwithstandining that the crimes of which he was accused were very different from that of heresy. What, however, will you say, sir, if I assert that the council actually did, though indirectly, decree faith to be kept, instead of broken, with hereties? Indeed I so understand them For they declare the person who has engaged his faith, to be only acquitted of the obligation, when he has done all that depended upon himself. The council of Band and the council of Trent, if examined, world turn ont as unfavourable to the learned member, as the council of Lateran or the council of Constance; but since he has only-named them as confirming the courcil of Lateran, I shall pass them over in silence. I have been induced to advert particularly to these points in the hon, and learned member's speech, because from the nature of my professional pursuits, I

^{*} The words are—nec se promittentem, cum fecerit qual in ipso est, ex hoc m sliquo esse obligatum.

had occasion to attend to such subjects par- | different persuasion; or that the pope may ticularly, and because it is not likely that gentlemen should be familiar with them. I have done so for the purpose of exposing to the house, the line of argument that has been pursued and so much dwelt upon; and also as a warning to gentlemen in future, to be cautious how they deal thus parrially in abstruse learning with the hope of escaping detection; or quote scraps of pamphlets for the purpose of making them the grounds of unfounded charges against bodies or individuals. But, sii, quitting those remote ages, let-us come down to our own times, and recollect that we are not legislating for catholics in the tweltth or fifteenth centuries, whatever might have been their opimons in those times, but for catholics in the present day; and therefore let us judge of them as they really are. Have they not pledged themselves to their loyalty and attachment to your government and constitution, by every test the legislature has proposed to them; by every solenn assection that can bind the veracity of man? Have they not as solemnly disclaimed and abjured all those abominable and unsocial principles so repeatedly charged against them, in spite of their oaths and protestations? Have we not virtually acquitted them of those o nous charges, by all the successive acts that have passed for their relief; and formally pronounced that acquittal in the preambles to some of those acts—and especially in that of the Irish act of 1793 -Have we not the experience of the good tholics from the disabilities under which they laboured, to guide our judgment on this great question? (Lave not the catholics proved by their loyalty and good conduct, that they justly merited the alleviations they received; and shall we be told, that their conduct will or can be different, if you remove every remaining disqualification, and make them participators in all the blessings of the constitution? The position is monstrous, and as such I trust will meet no countenance from this house. But, sir, if it be possible that anyl rational doubt can still remain upon the sincerity of the catholics in the solemn tests they have given of their principles, in direct opposition to the unfounded charges against them, upon the pretended authority of ancient councils: if any man can still suppose they hold the monstrous doctrines, that no faith

Vol. IV.

absolve catholic subjects from their allegiance to protestant princes---I can refer to the authority of councils too, that are infinitely better authority on these points than any arguments, assertions, or garbled quotations, that have been unged in the course of this debate, to maintain that the principles of the catholics bely their oaths; or that in taking those onlis they have exaggerated all their other crimes by the addition of perjury. I can refer, sir, to the answers given by the first catholic universities in Europe on these points, to the queries put in 1789, at the particular instance of a right hon, gent. on that side of the house, then and now at the head of his majesty's courcils. I mean, sir, the universities of the Sorbonne at Paris, and those of Louvaine, Douay, Salamanca, and Valladolid, some of which express the highest astomshment that such opinions should in this erlightened age and country be conceived to be in interned by the catholic church, and all which deny in the most solenin manner that any sach opinions ever were at any time, aream or modern, any part of the principles of that church.—In the course which I have taken, you. Mr. Specker, and the house will have observed, that I have confined my elf to those objections alone, which, in the language of tay right from and learned triend, are paramount to all the interior circum tances of accident and locality; and I have endeavoated to demonstrate fully (a may be thought, I feer, too fully) that in and beneficial effects of the measures la-the first place, there is nothing in the therto adopted for the relief of the ca-principles of the constitution, as moduled by the reformation or as cettled at the revolution, which is incompatible with the unrestrained admission of the catholics to every civil right enjoyed by their protestant fellow-subjects, and that, secondly, theris no foundation for imputing to their religion any principle dangerous to civil society. If in these points I have sudiciently effected, what I proposed to myself, I have done all that appears to me necessary to the supports of the present motion. For the question is not now, whether we shall grant the prayer of the petition in its full extent, or indeed in any extent, bu whether we shall discuss with the freedom only to be had in a committee, the expediency of granting the whole or any, or at the present moment, no part of the desired reliet, on a detailed view, of the principles recognised in the several acts formerly passed is to be kept with heretics, or pasons of a both by the best in and Irish parliaments

3 S

and perhaps too that of their adversaries, of the actual juncture of our affairs, and in short of all the considerations, by which political action is usually influenced and determined. All who think that any thing should be granted, and even all, who merely on motives of present expediency think that although nothing should be for ever denied, nothing should be now granted, ought to agree in going into a committee for the sake of that discussion; they only can consistently vote against the motion of my hon, friend, who are fixed and resolute to oppose now and for ever against the wishes, affections, and loyalty of their catholic fellow-subjects an impassable bar, an eternal system of exclusion, an irreversible decree of political excommunication and interdict, on account of religion alone; and against the catholics of Ireland in particular, to draw a line of everlasting separation in the outset of that union, which they had been among the most zealous to accomplish, and from which they were encouraged to entertain farther hopes and expectations. When we go into that committee, sir, as I trust we shall, let it be recollected always, that the burthen of proof lies upon those who have to maintain, what stands upon the statute-book itself as a declared exception to a general principle, and is moreover an exception, which, as I believe myself to have shewn, no way corresponds with, but actually extends beyond or falls short of, every principle attempted to be deduced from the letter or spirit of any fundamental law of our constitution. In the main branch of the argument too, they will have to prove the position, the absurdity of which was pointed out in the opening speech of the hon mover, with his peculiar force, and felicity of rhetorical ridicule; —that because, when the king was suspected of being a catholic and of having formed a design in connexion with catholics to overturn the constitution of the country, it may have been a wise precaution to remove 'all of that persuasion from situations which would have enabled them to assist him; therefore, when the king is a protestant and liable to no suspicion, he is to be precided from having the services of his catholic subjects.* When this is attempted, I shall listen with becoming attention; but hitherto no man has been hardy enough to undertake it. Let me further counsel the hon, and learned member, who last night ran over an historical catalogue of rebel- England, 1602.

in favour of the catholics, of their conduct, lions, and assumed that they all arose out of the catholic religion, to come a little better prepared on that subject to the committee, which will be the proper place for those details. For, if he vhooses to go back to that of the earl of Desmond in 1576, I warn him that I shall bring no less a witness than Elisabeth herself, who with expressions of deep sorrow and contrition confessed, that she was in fault, for having committed her flock to the care of wolves, instead of shepherds. Will he select that of the earl of Tyrone towards the end of the same reign? Camden, the cotemporary historian of that reign shall answer him, that it had its origin in private animosities and ambition; and the lord deputy Mountjoy himself, shall inform him, that it was continued, because some of the protestant gentlemen had acquired a relish for plunder and free quarters in preference to a quiet harvest; and the catholics, all over the kingdom, feared a persecution for religion, not to mention various other grievances, "the least of which alone," he justly observes, " have been many times sufficient motives to drive the best and most quiet states into sudden confusion." * Beaten out of these, will be have recourse to his favourite massacre of 1641? I will there undertake to show by irrefragible evidence that, although there was much more than enough of cruelty and wanton slaughter on both sides, yet there was no preconceived, preconceited massacre in the breaking out of that civil war, as is weakly or wickedly asserted. In the meantime, I shall only give the learned gent, notice of one of my witnesses, the royal martyrhimself, who will tell him, wise men believed that rebellion to have been kindled "by preposterous rigour, and unseasonable severity, despair being added to the former discontents, and the fears of utter extirpation to the former oppressions of the country.". From the same authority also he may learn that "the rebels were exasperated to the most desperate resolutions and actions," by the avowed menaces of over-zealous protestants, who threatened " to destroy root and branch, men, women, and children; without any regard to those usual pleas for mercy, which conquerors, not wholly barbarous, are wont to hear from their own breasts:" but there is a kind of zeal, as Charles subsequently remarks, which

Approximation and the state of * Letter to the lords of the council in

The zeal of the learned member and of sake of themselves as well as of the comothers, like him, I do not suspect of being munity, yet so much has been already conindividually, to be tempting objects of con- is in itself, so perfectly untenable; that I \cdot of political power so studiously taken, as \mid that in which the catholics are never to participate, I do rather suspect, that the be proper to consider in the committee. zeal, which blazes so furiously, is a little intlamed with a desire of monopolizing that political power, and the profits and emoluments, which follow in its train.—On the subject of the civil war that grew out of the ravolution, the capitulation of Limereck which I have already quoted will be sufficient, if not, I comure all good Orangemen in the interval to read and digest as they can, the previous declarations of Wilham and his generals, and the complaints which some or them contain of the disorders and robberies committed by their own soldiers. But then comes last, and I am happy to say, least, the recent rebellion. we shall not have to go far in search of The striking fact, which was evidence. noticed by my hon, friend who rose after the learned member last night, must be decisive to all who are acquainted with the political topography of Ireland. The secret committee of the Irish house of commons reported, but a short time before the rebellion burst forth, that the five northern counties only were organised, as it was called, and in those very counties are the smallest num ber of catholics, and the greatest number of protestants in Ireland; they used to be regarded as the strong holds of the protestant ascendancy. However, if living witnesses should be demanded, I must, in addition to what the learned gent, so elegantly and decently denominated the whole republican, jacobinical, and frenchified faction, call to the bar all his majesty's present and late ministers whom I have heard during their separation and since their coalition, those who are favourable and those who are inimical to the proposed measure, concur in declaring that rebellion not to have been in its essential character a catholic rebellion. -With these impressions of the whole sub-

would " rather be counted cruel than cold, | ject befere you, few words, sir, will amply and is not seldom more greedy to kill the convey the result of my sentiments. I am bear for his skin than for any harm he hath in general a friend rather to the gradual done; the confiscation of men's estates than to the sudden admission of great bodies being more beneficial, than the charity of of men to political rights from which they saving their fives or reforming their errors." have been long restricted, and that for the exactly of that description. The eatholics | ceded, and in a manner so tot ily unlikely of Ireland have been ground down to po- to obtain the good effects of concession, so verty; under the system of relaxation, and hittle remains to give, and the position, in relief they have not yet accumulated enough which we are called apon to make a stand. fiscation; but, when I find the distinction | shall be ready at once to go the whole length of the petition; with what cheeks and guards, if any shall appear to be necessary, it will But above all things, I am most anxious that we should take some step, however inconsiderable may be our progress, that our catholic fellow-subjects, may be checred and animated by the hope of continuing to acquire more, if they deserve more, till they shall ultimately attain to the full enjoyment, which they pray, of all the benefits of the British constitution. momentous crisis of the empire, surely the wisdom of the house will not refuse to stimulate' their most active and ardent cooperation in the common cause by gratitude and hope, two of the most powerful incentives to good minds; though I am contented to rely on gratitude alone. Indeed if we proceed more minutely to examine the several points of which the petitioners complain, I am confident, that some of them (two especially to which my hon, friend alluded in his ocening speech) will be found so peculiarly oppressive, that this house will never agree to their perpetuation. When I recollect, sir, that two hundred thousand brave Irish catholics defend our empire, and aid in the extension of its power and glory, by sea and land, and who, nevertheless, are the only description of his majesty's subjects that are not now free to serve. God according to the dictates of their own consciences; nay, who are comstrained by the dread of corporal punishment to practise another kind of worship repugnant to their opinions and their habits, surely there is no serious Christian of the present age who will approve the existence of such religious intolerance, nor any wise politician who will wish for the continuance of so pregnant a source of discontent in our navies and armies. The catholics of this country, too, labour under grievances, extremely oppressive, in consequence of their 3 S 2

worthy of its liberality, and honourable to arguments support them? country

led to imagine, that they are still in force the fact is otherwise, and I will tell gentlemen the real situation of the Roman catholies of Ireland at this day. They are as free of every species; they can purchase land, settle their estates, and enjoy all the profits of commercial industry equally with him; they possess every benefit of civil liberty as fully as any other subjects. What, then, is the object of their petition? Political power only: -this is all that remains for you to give, or for them to demand, and every goatleman who less supported the petition acknowledges it (a cry of hear! I ter of their country for its love of military

religious opinions on one hand, and the state | brought to the true point. The grant of of the laws on the other with respect to the political power is the avowed object for us important article of marriage: this, in their to discuss; of every thing else they are in system, is an awful sacrament, which there- | complete possession (a cry of no, no, from fore requires to be performed with pecu-the opposition benches). If I am thought har ceremonies, and by the nunisters of in error, or to have made too strong an their own religion. On the other hand, the assertion, I can go through the whole catamarriage act does not recognize the legal logue of civil rights, and every article of validity of marriages so performed, however restraint which the laws did impose, and willing and desirous the catholics are to enter shew that the catholic is fully and cominto the spirit of that act, by observing all the pletely free; but I see there is no occasion. conditions that have been or may be enjoin- | -Political power is then the sole demand, ed to give due publicity to them, or how- and what are the inducements held out to ever in fact they may actually observe them. I us for granting it? The gratlenich tell you This they must feel in a more sensible man- it will conciliate Ireland; that it will inner, in as much as there is an express corporate four-fifths of the people there, clause in the marriage act, for exempting and one-fifth of all your population, at Jews and Quakers, who have peculiar this perilous moment, when you have an ceremonies of their own, from its ope-lenemy to contend with consisting of thirtyration. The mischief, however, of this six millions of people, and you have only state of things, it is obvious, does not rest fifteen millions to oppose to him; that, by with the catholics, but is a matter of high not granting this petition, you deprive yourconcern to the general cause of morality; selves of one-fitth of your national vigour, in a word, it constantly occasions much and of your physical strength. Such are the private misery, and frequently much public arguments of the hon, mover, and of the other On these grounds, sir, I shall hon gent. (Mr Graffan) who spoke yestermost conscientiously vote for the motion of day on the same side; and that four-fifths my hon, friend, and I trust that the de- of the Irish nation were thus rendered of cision of the house will be such as will be no use to the empire. But how do their They forget the character of the parliament and of this thar, almost in the same breath, when they mitry wish to prove the satety of the measure, Mr. Foster —I teel myself bound, sir, by they tell you, an I tell you truly, how much the importance of the subject, as well as by the empire is inclebed to the Irish; that the part I took in another place upon if the Irish composed a great and illustrious former occasion, to claim the indulgence part of that gallant army which conquered of the house for the few minutes during Egypt; that they supply a large proporwhich I shall call upon their attention, tion of the British fleet with solors; and From the manner in which the hon, meni- that to their courage and to their ardour, ber near m: (Mr Lee) has gone through lord Nelson was indebted for his glorious the whole detail of the penal laws against victory at the Nile. They tell you too, that papists in Ireland, I fear the house may be half your armies in every war, half the militia, and a large portion of the Irish yeomanry which overprovered the late rebellion, and swed Ireland to the empire, were tatholics of Ireland. I agree with them as the protestant, in the acquisition, in the in the whole to the bonour of my countryemoyment, and in the disposal of property, men: and what follows? That we have now, of their own shewing, the full physical force, the full national vigour, which they inconsistently bid'us acquire by this unnecessary measure; and I will add more, that if the armies want increase, if the navy requires additional hands, this measure will not add one argument to the recruit, and Irishmen will come forward with the same ardonr, and with the same abority, which has distinguished the charachear!). I am glad to see the entject is now | glory, whether you grant the petition or

loyal, or that they would qualify their attachment to the empire by resting it on bargained for; but if I could believe it, it would form with me an insurmountable bar to giving them that political power which is the acknowleded object of their peution; and it ought to decide us all unanimously and instantly to reject a demand so made. This argument of conciliation, therefore, so fir as respects the loyalty or accession of the lower orders to the national strength;

not -But if conciliation is to follow, we men who offer it have themselves urged: ought to know whom we are bid to con- and the upper orders cannot adout it withciliate; is it the lower and middling ranks out acknowleding a qualified and temporisof the catholic people? I will assert, in pre- ing loyalty only, which their conduct dissence of the many gentlemen from Ireland, avows. But to proceed with this favourite who can contradict me if I am wrong, that argument of conciliation, and it is almost the mass of that people there do not know the only one officed. If it is still urged what this claim means. Ask them what that this measure will give content, and that eatholic emancipation is, and so totally ig- the catholics will rest-satisfied, you are tonorant are they about it, that some say it is tally mistaken. Let us judge of their future an exemption from tithes; others attatalencer by looking at their past connect. In 1778, rents; others, that it will save taxes. In the Irish perhappent removed some of the short, all who have heard of it (for many, then existing restraints; content was to be neither know nor care about it) will give the consequence; but they were not sayou their own conjectures of whate of claim, "Islied. In 1782 greater indulgence was debt, or demand, public or private, they granted, in 1792 they petitioned for further wish to be freed from scorce any believe favours; and, in 1793, much more was offices of political influence, or seats in parliment, which the lower ranks cannot political wisdom justified our going so far; enjoy, and teel no interest ip. These lower but a contrary opinion prevailed; the mearapiles do not, and cannot, urge what they sure was carried, and I wish it to be at rest neither want nor comprehend. It is not, for ever. I feared at the time that it would therefore, they who call for this menane, lead to new and further madmissible de-And now let us see how the argument stands mands, and that fear was too well founded; as to the superior orders of the catholic — for two years did not clapse until, in 1795. Do the gentlemen tell you the measure is they arom came forward with the same obnecessary to seeme their loyalty, or to pro- ject as they do now: and do you think that cure their trength in this bour of peril. They if you now acquiesce, they will rest here? pay them a bad compliment indeed, if they I am sorry to say the nation of man will not represent this boon, or any boon, as neves- allow us to include such a hope, while his say to make or keep, them loyal; a com- postur is power. No, they will not stop planeat which I should be a shamed to off r, on this conces on. We all know they look and which I could not concur in with truth, with jealousy to their title be at point to the for I know personally many of those gentle-protestant to a hold his church. The inmen who have signed this petition, and I tere ted feelings of their elergy, whose inthink I can answer for them they would thence ever the minds of their flock is pespure such an idea. Those of them whom cultarly powerful in the catholic worship, I have either the honour of pleasure of being will urge them to continual exertion for a able to speak of from personal acquaintance, restoration of these tithes. Possessed of are as loyal men, as good subjects, and have them, they would not rest; equality in reacted with as much zeal and energy to up- light a would not satisfy; they would look hold the state against the rebel and against to the weight of numbers, which their adthe invader, as any individuals in the king-vocates so often dwell on, that the religion dom —I will not then believe that the upper of the greater number ought to be the reliorders of the catholics are only conditionally | gion of the state. In short, they would look ur the end to raise the catholic church in Ireland on the ruins of the profestant. Such claims to be conceded, or stipulations to be will be the natural result of giving them political power, and they would laugh hereafter at our folly, were we to make the concession. Power is and has been so prevalent in their views, that, they have connected it in every attempt with every other object. Reform and emancipation have gone together in the whole of their progress. These two watchwords of discontent were coupled together in all their proceedings, is confuted by the facts which the gentle- until the union accomplished one of them.

have pursued for ages without effect. know that I now tread on very delicate ground; but I trust to the liberality of the house, that if I use any unguarded expression they will correct it, and allow me to explain.—Suppose then, for a moment, that they acquire a power of sitting in parliament by the vote of this night, would it be a strange conjecture that they would soon feel that their small number, if it were fitty or sixty, or even the whole hundred, would be of little avail among six hundred and fifty-eight; that the union had accomplished the reform they wished for by the destruction of boroughs; and that two hundred seats, all belonging to protestants (for protestants only received the compensation), had been annihilated? Possessed, then, of this reform, and of their power of sitting, it might be natural for them to look to a restoration of the Irish legislature. They would see the barriers, which the wisdom of ages had erected against their having political power, broken down by this night's Their exertions would rise in decision. proportion to their hopes of success, and it would require only a revival of the Irish parliament to give them the consequence and superiority they long for. The hou. mover's doctrine, that seats in parliament are their right, qualified by him, I acknowledge, with the exception of the salus populi suprema lex, would not weaken their endeavours of their prospects. They would consider it a right existing, but with-held from them at the time the union was discussed, and upon it they would endeavour at a dissolution of that measure. would call for three hundred members to resume their functions in an Irish parliament; and the two hundred seats added in the room of the one hundred pretestant boroughs, which we have demolished, would all be filled by popular elections, where numbers, in which their strength consists, would decide. What would not a majority, so constituted, look to? They would see their own aggrandisement, the maintenance and dignity of their clergy, and the consequent superiority or their church, all within their view. I will look no further into so tremendous a prospect. This result may be slow, and I firmly believe the day of its accomplishment would be distant; but is it the less to be guarded against? To me the reasoning sections so strong, that I cannot which resist attend or attempt, and the confer on them in Britain the right of vot-

the reform, which otherwise they might miseries which must follow it. The seeds of separation would be sown, and Ireland might be torn from her connexion with Britain, without which she is and must be incapable of enjoying wealth, tranquillity, happiness, or any of the blessings of hum in life.—But when you talk of concurating Ireland you have forgot to tell us where the discentent is. I know the country well, and I do not see it any where. If any gentleman has seen it (and there are Irish representatives present from all parts), I wish he would get up and state it. No, Ireland is content, if you will not agitate her with ill-timed discussions; and I will venture to say, that the rejection of this demand tonight will not cause a discontented thought, except in those very few, whose ambition has been buoyed up by the vain and selfish hopes of power and personal influence -Farther, if by conciliation is meant the giving satisfaction, why do you look to the eatholic only, and forget that there is a million of protestants? Will they be satisfied by your breaking down the braviers which secure their protection? Remember that you have settled us in Ireland under the faith of that protection, that on that faith we claim as our inheritance all the biessings of that glorious constitution which our ancestors and yours have fought and bled forthe Hanover succession, the illustrious house of Brunswick on the throne, a protestant king with protestant counsellors, protestant lords, and protestant commons. This is what I call protestant ascendancy in the true sense of the phrase; and while I can utter my voice in this house I will ever demand it for my country.-- If then by granting this petition you endanger or even alarm the protestant, dissatisfaction, and not satisfaction, must be the resuit of the measure; and among whom? Among those who are and ever have been loyal both to church and state, and who swear allegiance to both, which those whom you are desired to admit as legislators decline.—I might rest here, having shewn you the fugility of the only argument they dwell on; but I will go further; and when you are called on to give them political power, you are not to consider Ireland only; you must look to the situation of England, where the catholics do not enjoy the same freedom as their brethren in Ireland do. Are they less Certainly not; and before meritorious? you give further privilege to the Irish, you shut my senses to it, nor to all the mischiefs must put them on the same footing, and

centained in the act of 1793. You must, in the next place, if you accede to this petition for the Irish, make the English catholic admissable also into the highest offices, and to a self in parliament; and then the catholics of England, Ireland and Scotland may sit indiscriminately for every place throughout the whole empire into which they can find access by any means they may think most likely to obtain for them the favomable opinion of the electors. Will you not pause a little here, and reflect before you proceed? Reflect that you have a protestant church, and how it would tremble under such a change; that men who profess the catholic faith, and acknowledge a foreign potentate to have spiritual authority within the realm, cannot be entrusted with framing laws for that protestant church, and the protection of the protestant establishment. On what foundation does that church stand? Is it not on your laws? Do not its rites, its worship, its possessions, its hierarchy, its pre-emmerce, all depend upon the laws of the realm? And are you ready to fill your legislative assemblies with catholics, with persons attached and bound to another church? Do you forget the necessary alliance between church and state, that if you endanger the one you destroy the foundations of the other? And can you be so infatuated as to entertain for a moment the idea of calling on catholic members to make the laws on which both church and state depend, and on catholic counsellors to execute them? I will give the catholics every merit which men can claim, and still the feelings which are incident to human nature would debar them from being able to make such laws as those who profess the established religion of this country are bound to do. —But a curious argument has been urged by every gent, on the opposite side who has spoke, that what the petitioners desire is little for us to give, and much for them to receive. I say the reverse is the true statement. They have little indeed to receive, compared with the much which we are called upon to give. They are to receive access to a few official situations in the state, and a power of sitting in parliament. We are to give up that on which the vetal liberties of our country rest; that, which gives energy to our armies, and superiority to our navies; that, which supports us whole and unimpaired amidst the crash of surrounding naeminence which so happily and honourably been acquainted with the great abilities of

1005]

ing for members, and all the other favours | distinguishes the British name,—the glorious constitution of our country. Little for us to give! do you say? Call you the surrender of the bill of 11ghts, little? a demolition of our church establishment, little? the protestant succession, little? What more have you to give, or what will remain to you worth preserving when you have given it? The hon, gent, tells you there is no danger in a state's having its counsellors or ministers of a different religion, and he instances Sully and Neckar in France; but they were protestants, and they did not acknowledge the authority of a foreign power within the realm, which a catholic does: nor had they the larger portion in the community of their own persuasion, to support them in any innovations which their religious tenets might urge them to attempt. A popish state may safely trust a protestant at the helm, for he acknowledges its supremacy; but the protestant nation cannot with the same security take a catholic who denies it.—The same hon, gent. (Mr. Fox) has adduced Venice as an instance, where both religious equally managed the state. Surely he cannot be serious in offering us such a model. Boes he quote her as an example? Venice, where is she now? No longer a nation, but sunk and lost to the world, after all her pride for centuries! and her fall perhaps accelerated by that very mixture of religious power which he recommends. [Some members smiling, Mr. Foster continued.] I see the gent. is not serious, but if he can laugh so carelessly when the happiness of a country is at stake, I would advise him to spend a few months in Ireland: he would then learn what the feelings of the honest and the loyal protestant are on seeing his rights made a matter of doubt; and he would probably abstain from sporting with his happiness and tranquillity, by such impolitic and unprovoked discussions. I shall conclude by reminding the house of an old maxim; principiis obsta. It is a wise one, and bids you oppose this first attempt to break down the barriers which are drawn round the constitution. A strong opinion has been firmly expressed by a great and decided number in another place against this petition; and let us too, with equal manimess and wisdom, declare our determination this night, by such a clear and conclusive majority as shall put the matter to rest, and discourage all future attempts to disturb the public repose, and endanger the national security. ..

right hon gent. himself, for I think I can is to consist I am at a loss to discover gentleman's speech has incontrovertibly answered the other. He has told us of the victories of lord Nelson and lord Hutchinson in [Egypt, gained by the efforts and assistance of Irishmen, from which he dows a conclusive proof of the loyalty of the lower orders of the catholics; and being also convinced of the loyalty of the higher orders of that body, he is determined to reward it. by -refusing the prayer of their petition. He has also informed us what is the protestant | constitution—that it is a protestant king, profestant lords, and profestant commonsand has most emphatically and feelingly pointed out to us the danger of a protestant king surrounded by catholic counsellors But pray, sir, who is to effect that? The answer is obvious. This very protestant king himself. It is his Majesty, who, of his own free will, is to choose those counsellors, who are to introduce the paramount authority of the pope ' Why, sir, if his Majesty should unfortunately be surrounded by counsellors of such a description, and they should endeavour to intrigue for such a parpose, would it not be the very first act of his wicked advisers?—The right hon, gent. seems to be in fear for the safety of the Hanoverian succession. Who, sir, is to compel any prince of the house of H mover to surround himself with catholic adviser, and a catholic council? It must be sumself alone who can do this-and it even a prince could be found, who would so far attempt to destroy the high trust reposed in him, by ! choosing advisers who should endeavour to sabvert the constitution, or to change the state. I believe there can be no doubt but in this house there would be found many who would take a pride in moving to punish such advisers. 'The right hon, gent, says, that if you grant the prayer of this petition, they will not be contented; in proof of which he adduces the various concessions heretofore made to the catholics; he says, the assertion made by an honograble gentleman, that was little for us to grant, but much

the right hon, gentleman who has just sat we have, which is now but little, with that down, I might, perhaps, despan of encoun- Indle they will overturn the constitution and tering him successfully, if I had not prove the government in church and state. The ously received the assistance of one who is just hon gent, tells us, that if fifty or sixty altogether as able as the right horr gent, and catholics obtain seats in parliament, there whom I shall always highly respect—it is the will be much danger. In what that danger clearly show that one half of the right hoa. Show are these fifty or sixty to obtain seats in parliament? What is to become of the prote (ant gentry? What is to become of their tenantry? Who are the persons that are to return those catholics? The fear of it is most made; for my own part, I really believe there would not be ten catholics returned in as many years. The right from gent allows, however, that if even one hundred catholics should obtain seats in this house, their efforts would be nugatory, or of very little avail against the other five bundred and fifty-eight But, finding they have no weight or whichee equal to what they expected, they become discontented here too—and what do they do? The right hon, gent by a peculiar kind of logic, shows that they will dissorte the union. After having used their taleats, their unanimity, and acherence to each other, without any avail, they contrive to dissolve the union in spin of the two hartdred and fifty-eight, and send themselves back to Ireland, there to form a pept hip iliament. There is something indiculous-I beg pardon, sir, for using that word--I mean not the slightest disrespect to any gentleman, more especially the right honegent. Majesty to dismiss from his counsels such to whose argument I am particularly allud ing, and for whose personal character I ear tertain the highest a spect and est our but I cannot help saying there is something not only ridiculous, but contemptible, to hear gentlemen argue that there is my actual danger to the conditation or the government from admerting a few catholies to have seats in parliament. I have, so, however, heard arguments used in this house which have mide on Ly mind a most deep impression, and from which one would be led to think nature of the government in church and that some men were sent here only to circalate calumnies against, and to draw the most odious pictures of the character of our common country. I have he ud it said, sir, that the mass of the Irish people are so blood-thusty, ignorant, and ferocious, and this is applied to the lower orders in particular, that ito protestant would be safe in living amought them. I have heard as much said in another place, but I did not feel it with so much pain and indignation there as for them to receive, ought to be inverted; I did with shame here. I cannot but feel and he tells us also, that it we give them all sorry to hear such a character given to a

wantages as they have had to, contend with, are, in my opinion, the very reverse, in every respect, of what they have been thus falsely described. There never was so foul a misrepresentation of the Irish character; and 1 think one of the strongest proofs of this is, that those who have given this character! have before and will again return to Ireland, and walk in the most perfect security in every part of it; and I dety any p ison livpeople who have been thus degradingly traduced have ever expressed the least personal resonment, or inflieted any personal venthat if he had been in his Majesty's councils at the time, he would have objected to the therive from big being granted to the catholies, and also to the establishment of the college of Maynooth. This latter objection, I own, struck me most forcibly. What would the learned gentleman do wish the l catholics? Would be have them brought up j in the gassest ignorance? Would be permit them no place of education, by which they oright be reselved useful members of society, and good and loval subjects? or and to whose power he thinks it necessary to [oppose such strong and formidable barriers? learned gent did not form a part of his Mijesty's councils at the period when those sabitary measures took place, and I sincerely and devoutly hope he never will be consulted on any future occasion of a similar kind. —So much having been said, sir, of the denger of a protestant king being surrounded with catholic advisers, I would wish to suppose an instance which may, perhaps, place the subject in a somewhat different point of view. I will suppose there should be a gentlemin born and educated as a catholic, who should be possessed of very superior talents and endowments; that he was an excellent scholar; a good historian; a great financier; an accomplished gentleman; and a complett statesman; and that a protestant king, understanding all this, should choose to employ him-would it not be an act of folly or madness, or both, in this man, after the king had thus taken him into his confidence, ! if he should advice his sovereign to adopt pope ement give them dispensation from any me isure that might tend to overturn the that ellerance; but they are bound to figh constitution of the tate? It would most un- for and project then fing and its govern Vol. 11.

body of people, who, under so many disad- | questionably; and such an adviser could not possibly escape being brought to conden punishment for his attempt. It would be the same if there were more catholics in the council; and it is reliculous to suppose that they would forfer the confidence of their king, and draw of their heads the hand of vengerace and prinshment, more than protestants would. But it seems, sir, in the opinions of some hen gentlemen, that catholics are unlike all other men; that they ong to prove a single instance in which the fare not to be believed on their onlys. Other dissenters of various classes may be believed on their oaths, but a carholic never; like the lover, fif he swears, he'll certa alo degenner on them. A right hon and lemned beive. The right hon, gent, who spoke geat (the attorney-general) said yesterday, [Incl. allows that many of those was have signed the printied, he knows to be them of worth. Yet the idea of a catholic not bring to be believed on his oath, can surely form no part in the character of a man of worth; nor can any man ever be entitled to that character, of whom such an idea can be seriously entertained. An objection has been urged against this petition, on the ground of its not being signed by any of the catholic clergy. I believe the true reason to be this—the catholics wished to have this measure enderstood, as a is really meant, would be have them sent out of the country a respectful petition for a civil right, unconto be educated in the seminaries of that pape, needed with their religious tenets; and thereof whose principles he has so great a dread, forcit was not sizned by the clergy, because it was considered as an act relating solely to the Lity of that persuasion. I have a book I am heartily glad, sir, the right hon, and in my pocket, sir, out of which I will beg leave of the hotee to read a rew short extracts. I am aware that it is not the most agreeable thing to tre pass on the patience of the house, by reading books to them, but there have been so mant gross misrepresentations circulated against the tenets of the catholics, in order to raise prejudices again t their case, that I mug intreat your undelgence. It is, sir, a Roman catholic prayer book, which the clergy put into the hancs of their flock, and out of which they perform their devotions. (Here the hon gent read a renunciation of the catholics, which went to show that they do not think the pope infallible, or that they are or can be dispensed by any one, for any accor crimaality, or breach of the laws of morality. Also, an outh, which says, in express terms, that they do not believe in the infidulality of the pope, and that they owe allegiance to the king. under whose government they live; that the

pope himself should enter the kingdom at the head of an savading army.) Mr. Ponsonby continued-If these, sir, are not sato this country to attend their duty in parthem, leave their property, their children, and even in some cases their wives, under the care and protection of catholic servants; and if these were the wretches which some persons describe the lower orders of the Irizh catholics to be, we should be the most unmost dear to human nature, to trust them in the care and custody of those who are under the immediate influence of their priests, and these men not to be believed on their oaths! But, sir, I will be bold to say, never was there so foul a misrepresentation, and so gross a column , as this against the Irish catholics. There never was a race of men in Europe who would preserve so much of what is good under so much oppression. I know that whatever there is of good in them, they them, they owe to you. Yes, sir, Levill say, it is owing entirely to your bad government. I have many friends and near connections here for whom I feel the highest respect, and most affectionate regard. I love this counpower to serve it; but I will not flatter it. of what has been to garly called "a hore."

ment against all enemies, even though the | cantile world were imbued with the notion, that the poorer you could make other countnes, the richer would be your own. England unfortunately imbibed this notion. At tisfactory renunciation, and abjunctions of the time of the revolution there was a disall those about denets which have been at-1 pute between two families which should postributed to these people, then. I think, no | see, the government of this country, and Iresuch can be framed. I believe there is not bland became most unfortunately involved in a parish priest in Ireland who has not taken the contest. I do not mention this, sir, this oath, and God forbid they should think | with any intention of throwing the smallest they were not bound to perform and strictly degree of blame or censure on your ancesadhere to it! But, sir, if this dreadful charl tors. I merely adduce it as a matter of hisracter of the catholics were true. I think the torical fact, to show how the Irish have been protestants in Ireland must be the strangest | treated for so long a senes of years. From set of beings that ever were formed. There those who are mere men, you cannot exare, sir, at this very moment, according to peet the actions of superior beings. You the articles of the union, a certain number (cannot expect the virtues of freemen from of noblemen and gentlemen who come over slives; and when I reflect on this, instead of being astonished at the situation of the liament; there are, perhaps, somewhere hish catholics, I am rather surprised that about one hundred, and these, most, of they have been able to conduct themselves so well as they have done. I am not surprised, however, that they now petition; but I am very much surprised that a petition has not been presented long before. 1 own I am surprised the petitioners were catholies, because I think the protestants feeling and careless guardians of all that is should have voluntarily brought it forward That would have produced the happiest effects, and have shown a confidence highly honourable to them. Power, in itself, is at all times dangerous; but when you suffer one sect to load it over another, you cannot wonder if the feelings become warm and aremated, and if discontents and jealousies are the consequence. Let us now, for a moment, sir, consider the policy of France. Ras est et ab hoste doceri. Bonaparté his their well; and I know, at the same time, formed in alliance with the pope, who has been at Paris, and officiated in placing the owe to the uselves,—whatever there is bad in crown of the empire on that emperor's head. The Romm catholic is the established religion of France, and yet protestants are there admissible to all offices of honour, trust, and profit, as well as catholics. If we were to land can army to-morrow in France, does try, sir, and would do every thing in my any man imagine the protestants of that country would join them? Some persons at-You have governed Ireland badly. That feet to think, and do not scruple to say, they country has long appeared to you in the light, can put more faith in protestants than in catholics. Let us see how far this is reonso-You have viewed it as a cast-off, not worthy mant with reason, and justified by the text of your notice or regard, and so ministers got experience, so far as relates to ourselves. rid of the trouble of it, they did not care Prussia and Austria, in the last war, were both how, or in what way. I believe, sir, I can our allies. The king of Prussia, a protestant trice the origin of this misgovernment of prince, took our subsidy, and cheated usof our Ireland to aggient times, and that its rise is money, by withdrawing himself from our ty be attributed to commercial jealousy. In I alliance and the war; the latter, a catholic days of the who consposed the mer- prince, bravely and honourably stood by us

war, sir, France lost almost all her Americon or West India possessions; but the rulers of that country, like wise politicians, in order to make themselves amends, turned all their attention to making themselves atrong in Europe They therefore added hon, gent, has argued it upon that ground; Holland, Flanders, Italy, and Switzerland to not that I mean to infer that the hon, gent, their former territors; and when a peace has abandoned the opinion he held upon took place, the greatest part of what we had that subject, but that in the application of taken from them in the East and West the principles which have governed his con-Indies was restored to them. Boa parté duct, he has thought proper lo discuss the well knows now that whoever is strong in question upon the ground of expediency, burope roust altimately have the For and That is the ground open which I feel the West Indies. It is that which forms the measure ought alone to be discusted; for I strength and power of the political trees-at, cannot show, that at any time, under any is that which gives the long head and may chownstances, or under any possible simanincent tolage, and which enables it 's tion of affairs, it ought to be discussed or spread its branches to the most distant quire entertained as a claim or question of right. called megna mater virum; and as our have ever held that the term conmercation energy has turned so rough to his attention is, in the smallest degree, applicable to the to the consolidation of his power in Europe, repeal of the few remaining penal statutes we ought to follow so wise a policy and do to which the catholics are still hable. But, the same. Above all, sir, we ought as much possibly, in my view of the grounds of exas possible to consolidate our strength, by pediency I may think it to be much more uniting the affections of all ranks and de- contradistinguished from the question of scriptions of persons among ourselves. And right than the hon, gent does. He scenis unless you think you will or can overturn to consider that there is only a shade of diflaws.

The Chancellor of the Evchequer rose and grounds upon which that difference of opiinstance, the very great satisfaction I feel at at large into those general principles and the circumstances coinfected with it, whe-

till he could fight no longer. In the last grounds which, when the question was discussed before, I felt mysel' compelled to do. I observe with pleasure, that the application made by the petitio ers has not been advanced as a claim of right, but of expediency. I observe also, with equal pleasure, that the Europe may traiv be it, sir, have never been one of those who the constitution, by admitting a few catho- fer nee between the expediency and the lies to sit in parliament, you will do a most right, whereas my view of the difference is politic act by granting the prayer of this pe- broad, evident, and fraid mental. I contation, and thereby uniting in affection and sider right, sir, as independent of circumpolitical harmony every description of his stances, and paramount to them, whilst expolitical harmony every description of his stances, and paramount to them, whilst expolitical harmony every description of his stances, and paramount to them, whilst expolitical harmony every description of his stances, and paramount to them, whilst expolition harmony every description of his stances, and paramount to them, whilst expolition harmony every description of his stances, and paramount to them, whilst expolition harmony every description of his stances, and paramount to them, whilst expolition harmony every description of his stances, and paramount to them, whilst expolition harmony every description of his stances, and paramount to them. heart and hand, and lay down their lives to- and, in a great measure, dependent upon gether, should it be necessary, in defence of them. With record to the admission of the that constitution and government under cubolics to franchees, to the elective franwhich they all enjoy the benefit of equal chise, or to any of those poses and offices which have been alloded to. I view all these points as distinctions to be given, not for spoke as tollows:-Deflering, sir, as I do, the sake of the person and the individual from the hon, gent, who proposed this mo- who is to possess them, but for the sake of tion, and differing also in many respects the public, for whose benefit they were from several of those who have opposed it, created, and for whose advantage they are to I feel it necessary to state shortly, but dis- be exercised. In all times, therefore, sir, tinetly, the views, the motives, and the and upon every occasion, whether relating to the Roman catholic or the protestant disnion is founded. But in doing this, sir, I senter, to the people of Ireland, or to the cannot refrain from expressing, in the first people of England, I have always, from a due regard to the constitution, been of opithe temper and the moderation with which mion, that we are bound to consider, not the motion was introduced, and with which, merely what is desired by a part, but what for so many reasons, I am particularly desirous is best and most advantageous for the whole. that the discussion should be conducted. And, therefore it is, sir, that I think it not Happy, sir, am I also, that the manner in sufficient to shew, that what is demanded is which the subject has been introduced has not likely to be prejudicial, but that it is relieved me troin the necessity of entering proper to take a comprehensive view of all

was in contemplation I did state, as the hongene says, that the measure would make a material deference in my opinion, but he not make a distinct pledge. On the contrary. Theh we the line of argument I took was, that is it should be thought right to! give what the catholics required, it might be given after the union with more safety to the suppre, and it were thought proper to refuse group it, that it might then be tefused without producing those disastrous con squences which might have been apprehended before the union. I come then, sw, to the present discussion perfectly free and unfetiered. I certainly was of opinion, that nodes a united parhament those privileges might be granted under proper guards and generious, so as not to produce any danger to the established church or the prot-stant constitution. And I remain this day of that opinion, and I still think, if, from other circumstances, there was no objection to complying with the demands of the catholics, and if by a wish they could be carried into effect, I own, sir, I see hone of those dangers which have been urged by some gentlemen, nor do I think that the introduction of a certain proportion of catholics into the inperial parlian ent would be likely to be productive of any influence or enect detrimental or injurious to the welfare of the state, or the safety and security

ther they relate to the time at which the to shut my eyes no this conviction, that a measure is proposed, the magner in which | catholic, however honourable his intentions it is unscussed, or the effect that is likely to may be, must feel anxious to advance the follow from the discussion. That, sir, is interests of his religion; it is in the very naray view of contemplating the propriety of lene of man; he may disclaim and ren since acceding to the wishes of the catholics, or of this wish for a time, but theje is no man returning them? It was upon that principle who is at all acquainted with the operations that I felt satisfaction in the repeal of the e of the human heart who does not know that laws against the catholics which have been the catholic must feel that anxiety whenabolished, and from the abolition of which ever the power and the opportunity may be I certainly ain not one who infers that dan- favourable to lain. But, if these guards ger to the country with which some gentle- and conditions to which I have alluded had men seem to be so deeply impressed. But, been applied, and why h, could my wishes su, decay as I felt that suisteener, I also that a been accomplished, it would have felt that in no possible case previous to the been my endeveur to have applied, I in nly union could the privileges now deneated believe no danger would have existed, and be given, consistently with a due regard to no marry could have been apprehended. I the protescent interest in freland, to the in- thought so in grounds different from these ternal tranquility of that kingdom, the which have been stated by others not beframe and structure of our constitution, or cause as catholic, they had been engaged in the probability of the permanent connexion any of the scenes preceding the rebeliion: of helm i with this country. It is true, I do not mean, however, to say, that the sir, that ther the union I siw the subject (catholics were not energed in it in energic in a different light; but whilst that event numbers for the recons that have been stated.—I go durther, abough Jacobin prinuples were the foundation of the rebellion, yet I'do not mean to deny, that the influence has Neo stilled, what is very true, that I did | of the priests, themselves tainted with probin principles, might not have agreement the evil, though they were not the caese of it. My idea, sir, was not to apply test to the religious tenets of the catholics, but it is applicable to what was the source and foundation of the evil, to render the priests, instead of making them the instruments of po, soning the minds of the people, dependent in some sort upon the government, and thus links, as it were, between the government and the people That, sir, would have been a wise and a comprehensive system; that would have been the system which I should have felt it to be my wish, and thought it to have been my duty, to have proposed. I never thought that it would have been wise or prudent to have thrown down rudely or abruptly the guards and fences of the constitution; but I did thank, that if the system I have alluded to had been deemed proper to be adopted, it ought to have been accompanied with those checks and gnords, and with every regulation that could have given additional respect and influence to the established church, to the support and profection of the profestant interests, and to the encouragement of every measure that could tend to propagate and spread the example of the protestant religion. of the constitution. But, fir, in telivoring These were the general views and intentions this frank opinion, Edu not mean wilfully I entertained. And if, sir, it had been pos-

sible to have found that general concurrence | the attention of parliament to this, subject, which I so anxiously desired; if I could Have carried them into effect in the manner I have stated; if persons of more ability and experience than myself would have digested them, I am still inclined to think, that instead of being attended with those dangerous consequences which some gentlemen apprehend, they would have afforded increased security to the church, and have been favourable to the welfare of the state, to the stability of the constitution, and to the ge- forward this question, and which led to the neral strength and interest of the empire -But when I state this, sir, I must also remind the house, that I considered the period of the union as the period favourable for the adoption of such a measure; not because any pledge had been given, but because there was a greater likelihood that the measure might be adopted after the union than before it. The period was favourable also on mother account; favourable from the recent impressions that might be expected to be made on men's maids, of the probabi-July of increased security from the union; from being amalgamated and incorporated with the imperial legislature, remote from the dangerous influence that might at times b supposed to operate upon, and overawe the local legislature of Irgland. Sir, 1 repear, that it under the recent impression of these circumstances I could have brought conward the measure as the first truits of the union, I should have hoped there might have been a duposition to have received it without rekindling those religious animosities, or reviving those contending interests, between catholic and protestant, which, whenever they do exist. are most adverse to the weltare, the prosperity, and the happiness of the state. This, sir, was the view in which I considered this most important subject; these were the objects which I weshed to attain; but circumstances, unfortunate circumstances in my opinion, rendered it at that period impossible to bring forward the measure in the way in which I then hoped it might be practicable to bring think it sught at any time to be brought forward—in the only way in which it could be brought forward, with advantage to the claims of those whose petition is now under consideration, or with any hope of reconciling all differences, of burying all animosities, and of producing that perfect union, in the advantages of which gentlemen on all sides so entirely concur. What the circumstances were to which I allude, as lave

in the manner and with the prospects which I wished, it is not now necessary for me to All the explanation which I thought state it my duty to give, I gave at that timemore I do not teel my elf now called upon to give; and nothing shall induce me to enter into further details upon this subject. I shall therefore now content myself with stating, that the circumstances which made me feel that it was then improper to bring resignation of the then administration, have made sodeep, so lasting an impression upon my mind, that so long as those circumstances continue to operate, I shall feel it a fluty imposed upon me not only not to bring forward, but not in any manner to be a party in bringing forward or in agitating this ques-Having said thus much, sir, upon the opinions I then entertained, and upon the principles which then, and I trust always will, govern my conduct, I think it right to add, that the whole of the plan which I had formed, the whole essence of the system which I meant to have proposed, was a measure of peace, of union of conciliationa measure which I did hope would have had the effect of softening down all religious, differences, of extinguishing all mimosities, and of uniting all men of both religious in one common zeal for the preservation of the constitution, and for the general happiness and prosperity of the empire. But, sii, desirchis as I then was of proposing this measure, and sanguine as I was in my hopes of its success, nothing could be further from my intention than to bring it forward if there did not appear a rational prospect of its being carried, (not with unanimity, for upon such an important subject that I knew was impossible), but with general concurrence, because I knew, that if it were brought forward under other circumstances, instead of producing the effect I wished, it would only tend to revive those animosities which I wished to extinguish, to aggravate those difficulties which I wished finally to remove. it forward-in the only way in which I Not being able, from the circumstances to which I have alluded, to propose the measure which I thought lil aly to be productive. of such beneficial effects, I did then form the determination not to press it at any period, unless I thought it could be done with that prospect of success, and with that general concurrence, without which it can never be beneficial. When I use the term general concurrence. I am sure I shall not be supposed ever to have been so visionary as ing at that time prevented me from calling to suppose that a question of such immensa

or exciting the jealousy of the other. Whatever gentlemen may think of the abstract rights of the petitioners, or of the expediency, of complying with the prayer of their petition, I am sure they will agree with guishing all those animosities which have unfortunately prevailed, and of producing that perfect union which we all wish, must depend upon the combination of circummy opinion upon this subject, regarding it at the present moment I think I see little chance, I should rather say I see no chance, that way which I meant, and in which way only I think it can be productive of real advantage to the petitioners, or of benefit to the state, I mean as a measure of peace and conciliation.—If then, sir, the question is not now to, be carried, I think that to agitate it. under such circumstances, will only tend to revive those dissentions which we wish to extinguish, to awaken all that warmth and acrimony of discussion which has heretofore prevailed, and to excite those hopes, which, if they are to be disappointed, may be productive of the greatest mischief. As to the chance of carrying the question at present with general concurrence, of gratifying the catholics without offending the protestants, of confirming the affections of the one without raising the suspicions and exciting the fears of the other, not only in Iteland but in England, I confess there appears to me to be none. I lament it as much as any man can do. I lament that the impression which now prevails has taken place; many circumstances have combined to produce that impression, all of which are to be deplored. I ask any gentleman whether he does not believe, looking to the opinious of the members of the established church, of the pobility, of the men of property, of the middling and respectable classes of society—I ask him, whether he does not believe, looking at the scutiments of the mass of the protestants of this country, and of Ireland, that there is the greatest repregnance to this measure, and that

importance, and upop which men's feelings | even if it could now be carried, so far from and passions are so strongly excited, could producing conciliation and union, it would ever be carried with partect unanimity, but | tend, on the contrary, to disappoint all the I mean with that general concurrence which prospects of advantage which under other would have enabled us to gratify the wishes circumstances would be derived from it. of one party, without avakening the fears, Even those gentlemen who have argued the most strongly in favor of this measure have candidly confessed, that in the present state of men's minds, 't is not likely to be carried. I am sure I shall not be contradicted when I say, that ever since the union this subject me in thinking, that the chance of extin- has in a very considerable degree attracted public attention; and that of late, not withstanding the other events which have occupied the public mind, it has been the subject of much conversation both in public and stances under which the measure is brought private, particularly since the catholic peforward.—Not having in any degree changed, tition has been presented, and since the hon, gent, has given notice of his present motion; in the same point of view I did then, and I should disguise my real sentiments if retaining the same feelings, I must say that I did not say, that at present the prevailing sentiment is strongly against this measure; what circumstances may occur to overcome of its being carried at all, certainly not in that sentiment it is not for me to predict or epnjecture. In speaking of the probability of carrying this question at this time, I cannot but advert to what fell from the hon. gent, who opened the debate this day, respecting the decision which took place last night in another place. I know perfectly well, that no man can mention the decision of another branch of the legislature for the purpose of influencing, much less or controlling, the decision of this house. I know there are many instances where differences of opinion have prevailed between this and the other house of parliament, in which the sentiments of this house, in concurrence with the public opinion, properly expressed, have ultimately prevailed. I am as far as any man, sir, from wishing not to hold high the undoubted privileges of this house; but if I am right in my general view of this subject, I think the determination to which I am alluding ought not to be laid out of our consideration, because it goes to the very essence of the measure itself. I mean as far as relates to the practical advantages that are to be derived from it. Supposing then that we were all agreed as to the propriety of granting the prayer of this petition, is it not our duty to consider what bad effects might be produced by the marked difference which would then subsist between this house and the other branch of the legislature upon this subject? If carried at all, it ought, as I have already stated, to be carried with general concurrence, and when an endeavour is made to carry a measure, the object of

shock the feelings of a much larger class of the community, Under such circumstances, when such an opinion has been given by another branch of the legislature, we are bound to take it into our consideration in deciding upon the line of conduct we ought to adopt, because this is a subject in which no hopes that have been raised, I trust they have noun can act wisely or prodently who acts entarely from his own views for his own feelings. It is his duty to his country, to the possible causes of a religious feeling having catholics, and to the community, to look at it in a combined point of yjew, to consider all the probable effects of carrying it (if it were practicable) with such a strong sentiment prevailing against, or of failing to carry it, may produce. Upon this part of the subject there is one point on which I wish to say a few words. It has been urged by some gentlemen, that we ought to go into a committee, whatever we may resolve to do at last; and some of the minor grievances under which the catholics are said to labour have been pointed out, upon which it is said there can be no difference of opinion on the propriety of granting them relief-such as the circumstance of catholics engaged in a military life coming over to this country, and who are thereby exposed to the operation of the test act, which they are not at home. Another circumstance which has been mentioned is, that the catholics in the army are not only to be allowed to have mass performed, but they are compelled to attend protestant worship. Sir, I contend that these points are much too unimportant to induce us to go into a committee upon a petition which embraces the whole of this important subject, and which excites the hopes and fears of all the subjects of the united kingdom.—I again repeat, that I do lament that this subject has now been brought forward; I lament for the sake of the catholics themselves; I lament for the general interest of the country, that gentlemen have thought proper to agitate this subject at this moment. That gentlemen have a perfect right to exercise their judgement upon this subject I do not deny; I do not complain of their conduct; I only lament that they have felt | it their duty to bring it forward at this period, and under the present circumstances; when, if they were to succeed, the consequences would not be such as we all desire; and if they fail, they may be such as we must all regret. And now, sir, let me ask the hon, gent, who has brought forward the

which is to conciliate one part of his majes- his object is that everything should be conty's subjects, care must be taken not to ceded to the catholics; let me ask the hon. gent. (Mr. Grattan) who supported the mo-tion last night with fire a splendour of elo-quence, what effectithis is likely to produce upon the catholics hemselves. When the hon, member, of the hon, mover of the question, talk of the effect of disappointing over-rated and exaggerated it. But one of these gentlemen did state, that amongst the mixed and operated in the late rebellion, might be enumerated the hope held out by lord Fitzwilliam, that the claims of the catholics would be taken into consideration. They allege the disappointment of that hope as one of the causes that might have tended to produce the rebellion. If that be their conviction, what must they think who wish to go into a committee upon the 1 ctition, and yet are of opinion that they still reserve to themselves the freedom of reject+ ing it altogether, or of rejecting it in its most important parts? I submit this to the consideration of the house shortly, but distinetly; it rests upon grounds so abvious and so strong, that it will be taking up your time unnecessarily, to debate upon them. I submit this with a wish that the measure will be brought forward and carried with any thing like a general concurrence. But, the circumstances which rendered it impossible for me to uige and press it then, make it impossible for me to urge and press it now; feeling as I do, that to press it, and to feel, or to press it and even carry it with such a strong opposition, are alternatives, both of them so mischevious, that it will be difficult to decide between them. Seeing, sir, what are The opinions of the times, what is the situation of men's minds, and the sentiments of all descriptions and classes—of the other branch of the legislature, and even the prevailing opinion of this house, I feel that I should act contrary to a sense of my duty, and even inconsistenly with the original ground upon which I thought the measure ought to be brought forward, if I counteranced it under the present careamstances, or if I hesitated in giving ung the vided negative. to the house going into a committee

Mr. Windham rose and spoke in substance as follows: Sir, I consider the question now before the house, as one naturally and immediately the consequence of the legislative union established between Great Britain and Ireland, and one to which the carbolics present motion, and who fairly avows that of Ireland were certainly taught to look for-

in favour of that mediure, both in aid out of parliament. M. Wink, and have long thought, it is that include by which alone the great union of protestant and catholic can be brought about. When the proposition for the union was first brought forward, I had strong objections to the measure; and I was only reconciled to it upon the idea, that all disabilities attaching on the catholics were to be removed, and that the whole population would be united in interests and affections. Believing this to be the case, sir, and finding that impediments were started to this measure much stronger than I was prepared to apprehend, I relinquished the administration, because I thought the measure indispensable to the safety of this empire; and I have seen nothing since to change my opinion on that point. The right hon, gent. has avowed that his opinion was then the same; and surely if it was expedient in 1801; if the circumstances of the country then imperiously called for its adoption; surely it is still more loudly called for by the circumstances of the present moment; and I know of fio alteration that has taken place in the circumstances of the empire that can be truly said to render it less expedient now....The right hon, gent., in every thing which he has offered as argument against the question itself, has referred to times past; but how those arguments can apply to the present day he has not stated, The right hon, gent, has said that many persons are averse to the measure, that the clergy and the nobility are opposed to it, and that the public mind is not unanimous in its favour. Why, sir, if the catholics are to be told they must wait until all the objections which passion, or prejudice, or ignorance, or caprice may suggest, are per-

in or out of parliament opposed to their wishes, I am afraid their hopes of success

must be postponed to a very distant day indeed: but, sir, I am not aware of this very

general sentiment of the leading clergy, the

nobility, or the public at large, against this

measure; unless we take the speeches uttered in this or another house of parliament,

opposed by other speeches, at least equally

strong and independent, for that general sentiment; or unless we consider the decla-

rations of a few individuals, in different

quarters of the kingdom, or a few newspa-

ward in the course offull the arguments urged | insisted on; if no measure is ever to pass in parliament which has not the manimous sense of the country in its fatour, prejudice and passion may for ever triumph over reason and sound policy But, sir, as long as a catholic remains in these countries, such objections will exist. They are founded upon the very essence of opinions, which you can never remove from those minds, on the very first principles, of which they are rooted. And so long as they exist, there never will be wanting an outery against the claims of the catholics. I should be glad to know what public question that ever came forward in this house has had in its favour such unanimity, that there could be no objection to it? While we have to encounter prejudice and oppose confederacy, how is it possible that truth and reason can be victorious with unanimity? But to say that this house is to be deterted by popular clamour or prejudiced objections from exercising its fair judgment, is tantamount to a declaration that no disorders can be removed, no abuses corrested, to tyranny subdued. I therefore must resist and deprecate such arguments coming from the righ hon, gent, against this motion, as unparliamentary, unconstitutional, and dangerous But, sir, I know of no reason why that measure which his majesty's minister is of opinion was expedient, and ought to have been done four years ago, and may be done hereafter, ought not to be done now: and as to any danger that can arise from bringing forward the question now, as is alledged without the chance of success, the only mischief I can apprehend is from the refusal, which as st recoil upon ministers themselves, as the cause of &. The whole of the right hon, member's speech upon this subject is indefinite, full of mystery, and, to me at least, not clearly intelligible. The fectly silent; and that no man is to be found! right hon, gent, has talked of expediency as distinct from right. But the claim of the catholics is not set up upon what is termed a fantasical claim of right, but a plain and common right to an equal share and participation in the benefits of the constitution under which they live. I am myself disposed to rest, the principal part of the claim upon expediency, without excluding right. But the right hon, gent, will hear only of capediency. But this sort of attack upon principles of right cannot be maintained. Rights, in the strictest sense of the word, as employed by the right hon, gent., no where exist: per publications from prejudiced anthors, as but even on the ground of right as a claim expressive of that general sentiment. But of mure, the catholic polition, I say, is if arguments drawn from such sources are founded in justice. They state that what they ask is founded on political expediency; | vinists, and Lutherans; many of the Greek and the policy and expediency, of acceding to their petition, is only rebuited by alleging, that to grant their claims would be attended with the greatest danger to our protestant establishments in church and state. What this danger is, from the best consideration I have been able to give to the subject of secured the full expend diverge against a secured the full expend diverged diverged against a secured the full expend diverged d ation I have been able to give to the subject, secured the fullest and irrest exercise of re-I am utterly at a loss to discover, the onus ligious faith, worship and emeation; orof proof hes upon those who plead that dan- dained that churches and chape's should be But, looking to all the dangers; as well these which those who oppose this motion plead, as those which there may be any reasonable ground to apprehend, I think that to grint now the chim, of the ortholics is by much the less dangerous policy to pursue. For the pre ent, however, I shall not trespass on the attenuou of the house by arguing the question further, I shall content muself with entering my solemn protest against the species of argument urged by his majesty's monisters against'this petition, and declaring in / from resolution to persevere in this object, when I consider as best calcul. to 1 for the safety of that very profestant estab' shment to which it is said to be ixuni. car; and I have the strongest hope, anxiety, and confidence, that the period is not far remote when this house will see the justice and sound policy of conceding this salutary, wise, and beneficent measure.

Sir John New on t. Sar, though I naturally feel solicitous (feeling and thinking as I now and always have done upon this subject) to assign my reasons for the vote I shall this night give on a question of such vital importance to the empire in general, and Ireland in particular; yet even under this impression, the lateness of the hour will prevent me from trespassing more than a few short minutes on the attention of the house, nor should I now have risen but for the purpose of viewing this subject upon the untrodden ground of an example, so precisely opposite in all its circumstances, and bearing so directly on the temperate requests of the petitioners, as to call forcibly for your notice.—It is the result of an experiment fairly tried upon a great nation, possessing above seven millions of inhabitants, varying most widely in their religious tenets, convulsed by the difference of those tenets, and the restrictions founded upon them during many centuries; yet at length. procuring internal peace and tranquillity, and external strength and respect, by the The nation, sacrifice of those restrictions. sir, was Hungary; of her seven millions of not, as I have stated, bear directly on the inhabitants one half were protestants, Cal- case of the catholics of Iresand? Has a

You. IV.

built for all sects without description; that the protesians of both confessions should depend on their own spiritual superiors lalone, freed from swearing by the usual oaths, namely-" by the hely virgin Mary, the saints, and chosen of God" And then, sir, came the great and leading clause, granting, in the fullest extent, every point which is in the utmost contemplation of the present petitioners to this house :- "The public offices and honours, whether high " or low, great or small, shall be given to natural-born Hungarians, who have deserved well of their country, and posseses " the other requisite qualifications, without " any respect to their religion." This, sir, was the policy pursued in an Musigarian diet, consisting of nearly 400 members, in a state whose form of government approaches more nearly to our own than almost any other in Europe, with a Roman catholic establishment of great opulence; adopted, too, at a period when it was to be subjected to the severest trial as to its social as political effects. It has passed that fiery ordeal: it has undergone a trial of fourteen revolutionary years, equal, in fact, to the trial of a century less disturbed and agitated: and what have been its effects. When the French advanced in their course like a torrent, within a few days march of Vienna, the Hungarians, before so divided, and so disaffected to each other, rose en masse, as it is termed, '' in the sacred insurrection,' to preserve their sovereign, their rights and liberties: and the apprehension of their approach dictated to the reluctant Buonapartó the immediate signature of the treaty of Leoben. Such, sir, have been the effects of such a measure in Hungary. The Romish hierarchy in Hungary exists, in all its . former splendour and opulence. Never has an attempt been made to dimunish it; and there, almost alone in civilized Europe, at least in that quarter of it, have revolutionary principles failed of making the smallest successful inroad. Does this case, or does it

٠, ٠,

it can be This, sic, affinds a danct refutions. The assetion made in the petition humines; that they could not be true, and Down with of India, (seep 218) which that the Insh were not of the sanguinary that the Raman calcules a coat pre- temper which to been musicpresented, was the coap are for my or postical pow- proved by the non- and learned doctor's that the good lover gother descrittes from the transfel region a an other street and a standard of I am, sit, of the and the self of the second the and the disconstitution bul assent the second of the second number who so Sas echte

. Alt nell (I night of Fig. er's t the conjection upon his some the est question was, that if **1**1 and certain, he would are 1. ค.ค. ออก อีกกฎ ซ้อยร c ภายรื่ L that is the port only he was a vertical of the house reason of the supported this mea-: 11

tree by the rest of the should not ofter into the proposed to the house of commons of Irei' ! ald, the opinion on which he should predebated; it was defeated; for, though it The abstraction to adject to come opinions (was make a curie) through the house by the tently, he come seed he must descent. In this 1 - Should are deveryabing which could itthat the cat' rise; it was ufficient for him did the cathelies at? It was an occasion so their proteons. It mever we all thought in dear not to it, and need that an opinion technics, which he denther wished nor was one hay or the other, but they kept about

If an a catholic potentate, not the least atthe least to his resigner in Europe, set you
such an example's aid given you decided
proper it as great and happy effects, by such
a to be A id do you, a professant legislato a respectively. A idto have the additional of the opinion of a
fearn digentleman opposite (Air Ponsonby)
who, in answer to what he called the calimitudes of the hon and gerned doctor's
speech yesterday, had said that the hon dociterated to his resigner to the speech yesterday, had said that the hon dociterated to his resigner in Europe, set you
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be glad
to have the additional of the should not be gla speech yesterd by that said that the hone doe-tor himself was fa controlletion of those cawalking the streets of this metropolis in saleto Alexand he would not call such an opi-I money this a hort, for a was in truth, very breadly expressed, nor was it necessary to notice it, farther than by siving, it reio aded han or a familiar and indicrossatory of the quaker and the mad dog - "I will not be it thee nor kick thee, but I will turn thee out and give thee all all name? He was sure, however, that the learned doctor would still continue to walk the streets in safety. He and he could not a sex with the hone gent. Alto anirodoced, the specition stand who had represented the catholics as having given Support to the unity, and as having for that the mechanism to sat in the reason are his to chain the object of their and their and give Li vote [period He said, on whatever ground their There is a compact, with the collapsemble to be to indeed, they could not be a so in the collapse present tounded on this, for it was well known the support they give the unenwas a very poor one in was a very poor one in was a very poor one. It would tell the house what it was. It would appear in three una mee, which he would appear in three una mee, which he would mention without one remark first, on of the country para- however, wishing the horse to recorded, a objective from the raind in the public states of the catholics had a subdout calcument which then used for timy years to be conducted to conduct points the catholics of the a select committee, confisting of gratle- of his hoold only be calculated party most distinguished in the cadolic control of the catholic states and taken the catholics are catholics. or race men the falcicitors, nody for their property, principles and taby such foul calumny and vira- nost annuls and respectable nobleman. Who was at the head of it row, he did not said, as the subject was so know. He said, when the union was first 't other court men had given, and from accidental majority of a single voice, this y convery respectfully, and, indeed, reluctives was defeat sufficient to induce the prodence of government to postpone it to the next seasion of pullathetit. On this occasion how if his concerning did him to appose to portant that they could not have been in-

and kept silence, which night be interpreted concession gradual; Jecause, all which a either way; they said negligible, and they did right, cannot always be done at once. He nothing, and does was the first instance of said, the embarrassment of the question was such support al they gave to the union. The imion, however, was still going on; but while it was uncertain which way it would go, the catholis at last broke through then silence, and one of their select committee came with a message to some of the gentlemen who conducted the opposition to the umon, which message in substance, and he believed in words, was this? " if you, gentlemen, will now join us iff insisting on our emancipation, we will jo y you in opposite the union. Those gentlemen thought it best to decline this overtime, and this is the second instance of the support which the catholics give to the umon. But the next session of parliament was now appropriatelying, and this great measure of the union had now been so my hiconsidered, that addresses in its fivour came from every county in the kingdom. Among the se fatures of the protestants to these addresses, appeared the signatures of many cathelies, catholic noblemen, and catholic clerge, and some catholic individual sent aldress tom themselves But, was there any address in favour of this mersure from the catholic body? No! Was there are additions from the select committee of No! Was there any summons, nouse, or advita emercial any public inceting under any denomination, where the influence of respectable catholics, fighty dually or collectively, might have been of use in promoting the union? No such thing! In spite of this, however, the muon passed into a law maparhament, and this was the in rd and the last instance of that support which is bursted to have been given by the eatholies to the quion. How far, on this ground? then claims could | be entitled to success, he would leave the house to pulge - He said, there were other i grounds, on which at another time, those l claims might appear more probable to succee 1. for, recollecting the concessions which I were made to them in the year 1793, and at He said that the parties in the house of comthe express recommendation of government, mions were fit only to contend with each in which he had concurred with many gentlemen then present; recollecting that they had pledged themselves in an address almost unammous to the crown, that they would consider on such measures as should tend to unite in sentiment all descriptions of his majesty's subjects; recollecting, that to carry this purpose into effect, how much there was still to do, he thought the eathelies had good cause to expect that more would be

not what more was to l'e done, but that at present we could on nothing; that in this point of view, the athone had a good couse bully conducted. A cause which the protestants had contributed to make good, and which the catholics had conducted badly. By this he did not mean that the catholics were bad subjects, for he believed them to be loval, nor that they were had men, for he believed them as good as the protestains; nor that this was a ball petition, for it was very well and respectfully worlded, nor yet that their cause was badly conducted by being placed in the hands of the honourable rentleman opposite. He said, that when the catholics we conce determined to apply to the house at that time which was all the beloes of conduct which he meant to mention, and when the king's minister had dechied to interfere, there certainly was no interference more powerful or proper for their purpose than that which they had alicited from the hon gentlears, on to, he said, was so much distinguished every ish We as a friend to religious and civil Phorty, whose temper invited confidence and good will from every body, and whose talents corrmanded every body to respect him. What he thought bid in conducting this petition was, that it should be introduced at this time lawany interacrence or from any motive; he Prilought it had to tok the unportance of the precedent, for though in such a case the precedent could not be appeared often enough to become a hibit, still it was bel to rimiharize the people of England to the creamstings of the house of commons putting a negative on any request from the cathode body of Iroland. He and, waves still worse to risk the importance, or a gordomen close, of the misrepresentation of as being said, that the callohe body was exhibited in the house of commons, as the aby of a party other, that the catholic body should look to neither of them, and neather of them should look to the cathoac body. The from gent, said, that for such reasons as these and for others which might be inentioned, wall out entering into a religious or political disquisition of the question, he should concer with the right-hon, gent, on the floor in declining to refer is to a committee.

The hon. Heavy Augustus Dillon prodone. He said it might be wise to make fessed his determined support to the petition

ļ.,

and although he had hitherto generally sup- which I shall briefly and plainly advance i ported the measures of the right hon, gent. opposite, yet as he had determined to resist this petition he could no longer augur favourably of his intentions towards Ireland, and in conscience and in honour could no longer give him his support. He considered the stories which some gentlemen had been pleased to tell that house, as gross calumnies, meant to mislead them. He disclaimed the charge urged in the course of the debate, that this was a party measure. It was a measure involving the rights and liberties of several millions of his majesty's loyal subjects; and it would be wantonly to sport with their feelings, that this measure should be considered merely as a measure of party. It was a claim that closely att ched to the safety of Ireland. Martial law it had been thought necessary to proclaim in Ireland, and the habeas corpus act was there suspended. The hearts of the Irish people had been alienated by severities and oppressions; carry on it measures but by strength and coercion, af this measure vas allowed | to president experients would ce se to be any longer necessary, and the mass of that brave and grateful people would present a firm, an iron bulwark for the protection of this country against the designs of this ene-

Mr. Shaw (member for Dublin) spoke as follows: Although sir, I wish I had not to address you on the subject before the house, yet I cannot reconcile to my sense of public duty to give a silent vote upon the occasion; nor, though that duty be painful, will I shrink from its open and manly performance. Intimately connected as I am with the pros-perity of my native land, it must be expected that upon boy question connected therewith, I shall give a sincere voter. Those who know me will believe that I shall do so this night, in honest accordance with my conscience, my judgment, and what I conceive to be the sense of my constituents. In common cases, profession of principles and independence would only appear superfluous; but, where prejudices are to be combated, and popularity is perhaps divided, I feel it not unnecessary to assert my complete independence, alike of ministerial influence and of popular clamour, should the one be supposed adverse, or the other rayourable to the prayer of the petition.— Having thus far trespassed on the house to disclaim, immy vote, all sinister influence, I now beg its indulgence to a few reasons,

support of that vete.—It is impossible that I, in common with every keember of this house, must not feel affected by the torrent of eloquence pourced forth by the hon. 11.0ver and supporters of the motion; but I have not been convinced; and while I admired, I was awakened to a sense of the necessity of reculring to those obligations which I accepted with the great and honourable trust reposed in me by my constituents; and their sentiments on the subject now before us have been too recently and too strongly expressed in the petition on your table, for me to affect to misunderstand, or with propriety oppose ineither can I be hisensible to the paramount duty pressing upon me to support the constitution, as it has begn extrusted to my care; and unless instructed to the contrary by the express will of my constituents. I shall endeavour, at the appointed season, to yield up my trust as unimpaned as can depend upon my humand government deemed it impossible to the but zeadous exertions - I know, sir, that the doctrine has often been advanced, that a member of pailiament is not to be limiled in his duty by local attachment; that he is the representative not of a part but of the whole. This I reject; and were I to admit it generally, I should feel my own case-2 strong and overruling exception.—A great capital possesses pecuriar and commanding influence over the representative; and to attempt to despise its sense, or divert its interests, is that bold and perilous kind of enterprise which I confess I dare not undertake. I feel embarked in one bottom with the city of Jublin; and I never shall set my opinion above that of its electors, nor wilfully act in contradiction to their wishes. But, sir, while I profess to obey implicitly what I conceive or know to be the will of my constituents, I deprecate the remotest idea that I entertain a feeling hostile to my catholic countrymen, or that I am not as sincerely attached to their real interest as any gent, who this night supports the petition on your table. Sir, I know that if those interests had been honestly and truly consulted, that petition would not now be under discussion; a subject of such importance, involving such a variety of interests, and exciting such warmth of feeling, would never have been brought forward at such a time as this, nor have been made an instrument to embarrass the executive power, when the completest unanimity within and without these doors is necessary to oppose the most formidable and malignant enemy that ever

threatened our political existence.—The petitioners support their claims by the assertion of their loyalty. If that loyalty is questioned, it is not by me. No man has greater confidence in the loyalty of the Irish catholic, when left to the genuine influence of his own heact; but I must remark, that the petition of your table holds put an indirect threat to parliament, and by asking you to do away those distinctions which make a toreign enemy rely upon the aid of disaffeetion, it in some degree admits, that should you not accede, such aid may be given, should the occasion offer. In the event of such a trial, I know the superior strength of Isish loyalty, and that my country was never better prepared to meet and to crush a foreign or domestic foe. But, sir, I am willing for my own part distinctly to acquit the petitioners of any such meaning; and I wish the Irish catholic better than that he should derive from our fears what our prudence and inclination would not grant him. I feel an anxiety for his honour as well as his, interest; and I trust, that whatever he may receive on a future day from this house, shall be the result of cool, mature, and impartial deliberation, and be given to him in a manner consonant to that dignified weight which I wish every class of my countrymen to maintain in the scale of public opinion. Should the day come when every civil distinction shall be removed, I wish the boon unaccompanied by reluctance and distrust; I wish it to be when the Irish catholic is relieved from the odium and suspicion derived from his foreign connexions and influences, and when the directing head of his church shall not be the instrument and slave of that sanguinary despot, who is the implacable foe of the constitution and liberties of this empire. But, sir, is the house prepared to entertain this petition without going farther? What is to become of the English catholic and English dissenter? Sir, I should be ashamed to look the latter or either in the face, if I committed such flagrant injustice as to exclude them alone from the privileges now required of us. Without intending any invidious comparison, I know that his majesty does not possess a more loyal, sincerely attached, and valuable subject than the Irish dissenter; and the English dissenter may well be supposed not less melitorious. If there are tests to which those professing certain creeds cannot subscribe, are they alone to be bound by the influence of conscience,

our liberties and our laws? No, sir, and until some reconciling mean can be adopted, let us remain as we ase, and all unite in maintaining against the comon enemy that constitution so superior to all others, and which is the sole refuge of civil liberty in this quarter of the world.—I ask pardon for having occupied the time of the house so long; but having the honour to represent the capital of that country, whose interests are so vitally involved in the present discussion, I felt it imperative upon me to lay before the house my reasons for voting against the present motion.

Mr. Hiley Addington.—I rise, sir, merely to give an explanation on one point, in which I am sure the liberality of the house will go with me; it is too on a point in which I think the hon, gent who opened the debate will not be disinclined to be set right. In the course of yesterday's debate one of, his arguments was, the hope that was held out at the time of the union, that catholic emancipation was likely to follow that great measure, and he was pleased to quote a speech from a noble friend of mine (lord Sidmouth) in which he amoted part, of a letter written from an hon and learned gent. (Dr. Duigenan) to an hon. gent. (Mr. Grattan) now a member of this house. The hon, and learned gent, did explain that passage perfectly correct. Now, sir, I will only add one word more. If the hon, gent, had gone further he would have found that what my noble relation did say, was this; " that if he was put to the necessity of chusing between the total emancipation of the catholics, or the re-enactment of the penal laws, in such an alternative he would prefer the latter as the lesser evil. He hoped, however, that the legislative union would remove the necessity of such an alternatives: I trust I shall be pardoned for making this thort explanation. I agree with all that has been said as to the loyalty of the catholics; but, believing that granting the prayer of the petition would occasion a revolution in the laws of the land, and lead to the repeal of some of the wisest of them, I shall certainly give my vote against it.

jesty does not possess a more loyal, sincerely attached, and valuable subject than the Irish dissenter; and the English dissenter may well be supposed not less meritorious. If there are tests to which those professing certain creeds cannot subscribe, are they alone to be bound by the influence of conscience, and its dominion denied where it should most prevail, and where it is the bond of stitution; zealous supporters of the protestimates and the Irish dissenter may very respectable part of my constituents; men for whose sentiments I shall ever entertain the greatest respect. The corporation of the city of Dublin, who have petitioned against the claims of the catholics, have ever been loyal to their king and constitution; zealous supporters of the protestimates and the English dissenter may very respectable part of my constituents; men for whose sentiments I shall ever entertain the greatest respect. The corporation of the city of Dublin, who have petitioned against the claims of the catholics, have ever been loyal to their king and constitution; zealous supporters of the protest.

the most violent opposers of the collidles eould urge against them, hat there exists in a part of that body of men a decided animo-, sity to the British constitution, and a viole at desire to effect its rain; gunting, for the sake of argument, that such is the disposition, such is the object of men amon st the catholics, the means of effecting their purpose and accomplishing their withes would he notelly destroyed by the ancisure proposed this night. Will the Lynsg consider what are the means by which the disaffected would endeavour to obtain their object? It has been already clearly proved, that admitting eatholies to seats in parliament Sould never, in the opinion of any manight this house, give them sufficient weight in it to carry measures destructive to the constitution. It cannot be supposed that the number of Irish catholics, whether 20, 40, or even 100, that would be returned, could ever prevail on the remaining 558 English and Scotch members to unite with them in the destruction of a constantion they all veneraie: which has raised their country to the height it how is placed in; for which their ancestors fought and bled; and for whose defence I trust, if called upon, we are all ready to risk our lives. No, sit, it never could be by parliament that they could hope to overturn parliament itself; but, possessing as they do a population of nearly four millions, would it not be by that physical force, aided and assisted by a foreign power, that they could alone hope for a probability of accomplishing their objects of overturning the constitution and separating the two countries? It is by arraying this population against you that they could alone be formidable: but by adopting this measure you will remove for ever the remotest possibility

tant establishment: and did I conceive that the blessings and enjoyments of the constigoing into the computice would endanger tution to this population, you will have them that establishment, where is no one who ranged not against you but tox you. But would be more ready to give a negative to white distinctions, and inequalities, exist; the motion of my hou, friend, but so far while you permit an appeal to their passions from thinking that the me, are proposed and perhaps to their reason, that though they would weaken that continuous. I am conjugately contribute their property with their vinced it would not only tend to committee their property with their property with their property but also strengthen the four-spent and are daily spending their blood in dations upon which te is the second of the land are daily spending though by their empire. The advantages to be derived from executions they have added to the laurels and the adoption of this motion love occur and contributed to the safety of the empire; that proved to be considerable in number and (usingly they have patiently and cheerfully great in benefit, and, in my openda, it has I shared with the protest int equal dangers in not been proved to this house, that any dan if time only ar, yet they are not allowed to share ger is likely to ensue from it. Grading, for Jepu Ladvantages in the hour of peace, such a moment, what I do not allow, but what lab appeal must have some weight upon the spend, and though it would not separate thise who have still many reasons to be atto hel to the constitution; yet, by destroying the possibility of its being made, you weaken the efforts of your encines. It is these distinctions that have given tise to a spirit of party, that has been the mistortime of Iceland; that has constantly and uniformdy elecked its progress towards improveagat in time of peace, and I am sure increased its dangers in time of war By removing the conviction in one man's mind that he postesses superior advantage, in the other that he labours under disabilities and restrailts, by taking away the double conviction you will give a death. blow to purty spirit, for it is by this policy alone that the viole it of both parties have been able to agrtate and irritate: I should almost have said exagnitate the minds of the people against each other, even a times when the situation of the country and the danger of the state imperiously demanded harmony and un memity. This subject has been so ably argued on this side of the house, and so feebly, in my opinion, on the other, that I feel it is but pressing on the patience of the house to urge any thing more in favour of the motion But * cannot avoid stating how much will be gained by the destruction of all party spirit. Consider Ireland with a liberal mind, you will kament the disunion of her people; but look at the situation of Europe, and the contest in which we are engaged, you will not only look at it with sorrow, but you will see the necessity of endeavouring to harmomize and unite. We may hope to defend Ireland by having the command of the seas, by blockading the fleets of our enemies; this mode of defence has failed already, and may again fail; but goe to Ireland, to all its peoof their doing so; by giving an equality in ple, an equal interest in the defence of the

constitution, equal enjoyments of its bles- the business was opened by the hou, gent. sings, you will then, have a defence invul- (Mr. Fox). Why bring in lerd Meiville nerable by your enemy, which I doubt it upon this occurrence what had lord Melthe enemy would Vare to encounter; but ville to do with this debate? In truth, it which should be attempt, I have not the led him to fear, that when the house divided,

he had risenvery early in the debate with every other proposition of the present admismuch ansacty, to deliver his sentiments on austration -The noise lord stoke in harsh this important question at some length; he because agents the non-une, is calculated to had nevertheless given way, with great sets beginned our highest innocests, and asserted faction, to the hon, member, (Mr. Gastrer.) that the position could not new, nor at any from the display of whose splendid talerasso of other man is a section of, without manifest much expectation had been justly form disclosures to the constitution both in church As he may saw the bouse, at that late home, in 1 tota — Moso rate on hear, and after little disposed to prolong the debite, be such in ample discussion, he should study would trespiss on their patience posturber to compress the little he hid to ay in the than to stire two fiets of considerable interly a liest possible compass. He would not rest, and he would have gentlemen to drive of this moment, enterints the precise merits their own conclusions from them. The first of the day, to, how far in pauleuce, or in was the constitution of Coisier, as ratified policy, the collams could be allowed; all by I is majesty, and which supplied that the hie should contend for was, that it made a Roman catholic relie on, in all the evengelical reducil change in the censile to m. And purity (which were the words of the cet), see be entlanced who were not satisfied with should be the only reconsil religion of Cor? The consideration we have, would do well to sica, and ill others tolerated; and that the vote for the petition. The see knyw, preparliament should concile the discharge of figures, he less ted, it they are, less them acow the functions of the bishops with the sec of Rome. The other fact was, that a Remin catholic prost, of the name of Millionnel, had been comanies sound by his magsty n the year 1791, as chaplain to a catholic ien-Oblin general raced in Great Britain. The hon but sad, he would leave these who rested so much or the presumed restrictions. which appeared to them to grow out of the coronation oath, to form their own estra ate how far these gracious are swere we or oldble to their interpretation of it, or whether his mape ty was not at liberty thus to gravity the expectations of that description of his subjects, without trenching on the principles or the constitution As the house was so impatient for the question, he would say no

Lord D. Blaguiere rose in the midst of much clamour for the question. He said he could not have believed that in a question, wherein the vital interests of Ireland, one in which even her existence might be at stake, that the gentlemen of this country would have shown so much impatience. He hoped it would turn out to be frie as gintlemen asserted, that this was ho party question-that public good, and public good alone, was the motive. He could not harbear however, to angur all of the proceeding, when he recollected the manner in which

smallest doubt that the result would be de- we might, p radverture, see the very same tent to him and scennty to us. The continuent walk out in a bedy who had um-Sir John Core Hipperley Sand, that though torinly voted author the Defence Bill and it, to thrown down by one a base-size the main polar and support of the first pin ciples of the reformation, purchased by the blood, and equanced in the violes, of our ancest as And are they decaledly ready, to prilips, than their very or tener? -- The, however, he did not wish to press, all he wheel, and all he wanted, was decision, Tene pet that All-lated country, aid the noble load, in stepense, growing between these data three. In we not this question suspected over these leader iron, as a scource for sure v hield the may not have committed. The controlly, he admitted, we evidently great. Give the cathohes what they ask, and you will not satisfy the pot stants. Refuse the catholics what they desire, and as it has been repeatedly asserted, you drive that body to desper vion. Whichever way you open, took 1-al salisfaction was impossible. That discollies are transcendant; but there is no conselso had as that, which, he feared, the house seemed disposed to take that nught, namely, a determination to do nothing at all, for he did in his conficience believe, that so long as that question should be kept affort, there would be no tranquility, no peace in Ireland. He was happy, however, that the que tion had undergone this long and minute investig possible.

induced him to offer a few words. ney-general) had said, that instead of grantpenal code! Such sentiments from such a Mr. Scully (an Irish delegate) which were so ill received by the house, that we could not even collect their tenour. (Notwith-tanding which the hon, colonel preceded. He said he would not be indecently prevented front delivering his sentiments as an independant member of parhament. At gestlemen were fatigued, let them move an adjournment. Did he not think that he should be disgraced if he were not to other his sentiments, nothing should have induced him to offer himself to the house. He said the catholics were contending, not for equal power but equal privileges. The hon. colonel animadverted with much severity on the conduct of the noble lord (Castlereagh) | measure of a legislative union. He called upon that noble lord to fulfil the pledge that was at that period held out to the catholics. He did hear him say, that a fair system of policy was to be adopted. He new called upon ministers to fulfil the pledge given to the people of Ireland, unless it was their intention

- "To palter with them in a double sense,
- " To keep the word of promise to their ear,
- " But break it to their hope."

The Attorney General felt it necessary to explain. What he had said was, that if the house expected the catholics would be conciliated, by acceding to their petition, they would be mistaken, as he believed they would want something more. The other point was, that he had been represented to floody and cruel code. But he had said, cussion. The hon, mover of the petition

gation, is it would show to the catholics, that it he had been consulted he should have among whom, there were many loyal and opposed granting them the elective franchise, good people, that what they asked was im- and the establishment of a college at May-

Colonel Hiley Hutchinson said, that at Mr Hawthorn said, that at that late hour that late hour; and after the display of talent the would not include upon the exhausted pa-Mr Hawthorn said, that at that late hour on one side, he should have thought it pre- tience of the house by entering at large into sumption in him to have thoubled the house, the argument; but that he was unwilling to but a wish to refute the libels and scandal give a silent vote upon so important a questwhich had been thrown on the petitioners, tion. He freely admitted that it had been It his wish that this measure should not have had been said that they were disaffected. It been brought forward, or discussed, unless was for those who knew them not to use under the reasonable prospect of success, such language. A learned gent. (the attor- which in his mind did not exist at present; but those who were so much and so pecuing indulgences to the Irish catholics, it harly interested in the result having judged might be deemed expedient to recur to the otherwise, and the discussion having taken place, he had no hesitation in declaring, quarter bore with them their own commen- that he considered the complying with the tary. The hon, colonel here proceeded to prayer of the petition to be essentially neread some extracts from the pamphlet of cessary to the peace and repose of Ireland, Mr. Scully (an Irish delegate) which were the stability of the union between the two countries, and the safety and security of the empire at large; and therefore gave his deorded support to the motion.

• Sir/George Hill.—Sir, I shall not now further trespass on the patience of the house, than to contradict some unfounded assertions made by the hon, mover and a distinguished supporter of this petition (Mr. Grattan); but first I must notice, from the time and circumstatices under which this measure has been brought forward, that I very much question the sincerity of the agitators of it, and for various considerations do pronounce it a party trick. (Acry of order! order!) Iteel, sir, my words are not strictly in order, and who was instrumental in bringing about the I shall change them. I pronounce then, measure of a legislative union. He called sir, that this question has been brought forward at this time to obtain thereby the weight and supports of the influence of the catholic body to serve party purposes; this, it is not disorderly for me as a member of this house to state, and it is the truth. The mover of this petition knew full well that obstacles insurmountable stood in the way of its success; that the minister, after consulting the highest authorities, and the judgments of the most experienced men around him, deemed it expedient to decline bringing it forward himself, and advised that it should not now be offered to parliament. The leading and best disposed catholics themselves are believed to have held the same sentiments as to the propriety of withholding their claims for the present; but I charge that opposition, aided by the demohold out the threat of reviving the penal cratic part of the catholics, over-ruled this catholics. This was a mistake; he thought it a determination, and forced forward this dis-

of thereby discharging themselves from an no icing, as Iproposed/some mis-statements, obligation to the catholics, and of fixing and disproving them staly at this late hour, against the charister the forfeiture of an al- by contradicting them without much de-Toged pledget he had given to that body; tail.—The hon mover has alleged that the from this, however, the chancellor of the ferocious manners of the protestants of Ireexchequer has been excupated, aithough land towards the catholics has rendered the he does still hold opinions favourable to latter description burbarous, if true it is that their claims—I have myself been constantly they are barbarous, or unfit to enjoy civil in the habits (I speak it with due humility) liberty in its full extent; and yet both he of giving for many years my best political and one of his friends (Grattan) deprecate support; but I cannot, on the present oc- any allusion to violences heretofore comcasion, consider him altogether blameless, mitted. So do I, but if such assertions feeling as I do that no individual possessed are made, truth must be told. Too many of great authority, both from his character proofs exist up to the present hour of the and situation, ought to announce his opi- aggressions and savage bigotry of the cathonion and his desire to make such an innova- lies; the history of centuries just proves it; tion in the constitution, without in some modern times prove it, the white-boy transdegree giving the protestants a detail of those guards and securities (which he alludes to merely in general terms) for their liberties and for their estates.—Previous to the possibility of admitting catholics to sit and vote in parliament with safety to the constitution, there are many alterations which their church and people dught to admit. I shall not now detail them, because I should still have my doubts; but sure I am, the catholics themselves ought (if they are anxious to be believed sincere in their professions) to make every change in their church government and descipline which profestant security can require, and which the essentials of Roman catholic faith will permit, such reform would afford more substantial security than reiterated promises, and professions, and tests.—There is one preliminary indispensable; I mean a sufficient establishment, cestund from whence to pay their clergy. The Irish parhament has given them a seminary for the education of their candidate clergy, has endowed it magnificently, induced to do so from the policy of placing over the ignorant lower orders in Ireland, a well-educated englightened set of gentlemen. Having done so, it appears to me a necessary consequence that a provision should be made for that Great-Britain and Iteland -The king's reclergy by the state, than that they should be covery and discomforture of opposition proleft dependent on a savage multitude for duced hose unconstitutional appeals of the their means of life, and be reduced to the necessity of flattering the propensities and cal force of the country. Too well for the passions of that multitude, and conniving peace of Ireland did he instruct shem to at, if not encouraging their crimes. You reflect and rely upon that force; he and must therefore, in order, to rescue them his friends, with this doctrine in their from such a thraldom, and render them either good or safe members of the community, give them a moderate indepen- voted to constitututional liberty) by prodence, and place them above such neces- mising them pallamentary reform; the Ver. IV.

and his friends were impelled by the hope sity.—I shall now, sir, content myself with actions in the south twenty years before the rebellion prove it; the events of the rebellion prove it; Wexford fatally and lamentably proves it; Dr. M'Nevin proves it, who tells you of their antipathy to profestants and Englishmen, whom they consider to be the ⊀ame; and that the catholic body could at any time be brought forward to rebellion by the agency_of their priests, whom the leaders of the united Irishmen knew they could set in motion at any moment when requisite, and therefore it was that these leaders first directed their efforts to associate the protestants of the north of Ireland by throwing out the lure of parlimentary reform But I revolt from these Albedous as much as opposition, whose unfounded assertions render them necessary.— I deny unterly the colouring and statement of the seconder of this motion (Gratten) of the events and or currences, and their causes, of the list twenty years, but more particu-Tuly • applicable to our unfortunate disturbmees I do shortly allege that the party occasioned by the enomplous proceedings of perliament in 1740, during the regency, laid the groun work of the united Irish union, of the commotions, the rebellion, and correquent I goldive union between hon, seconder and his triends to the physimouths, bid for and misled the protestants of the north, (a gailant race of mon dé-3 X

same centleman bid for the catholics, by promising hem emandipation. Accordingly the united Irish association was formed in 1701-2, and a catholic convention was held in Dublin, and a protestant convention at Dungaimon, in furtherance of these objects, emancipation and reform. I shall note on ment on these events; they were ender our ed to be cuiled by lord Clare's convention act, made subsequently with that view. In) asserts that his recal and the breach of promise at this time to the catholics produced the rebelion of 1798 In making this assertion, he pronounces on his friend (Grattan) the most bitter, heart-rending judgement, that could have talkn from the lips of a friend; for he thereby charges him with being the author of that rebellion — I do positively in proof of this assert and defy contradiction that lord Pitzwilliam was sent to hel and with an instruction from the cabinet of England to keep back the cathor he clams, and further assert, that no autorised promise was made to them. But what did the worth recorder (Gratten) do? Having been in London with lord Fitzwilliam, to coysult and advise with him or his future government of Iteland, he preceded him to that country, and instantly on his arrival set every political engine at work, every channel of influence and flattery he possessed, to urge forward the cathelics with their claims from all parts, insomuch that shortly after lord Fitzwilliam did arrive the whole mischief was completed The cabinet felt he had disobeyed their orders, and he was recalled; the catholics were not gratified. The hon mover says rebellion was the consequence; if so, the house will estimate the obligation of his friend (Mr Grattan) to him for his allusion to the fact -Arer this period the catholics were advised by public address not to postpone their clasus England wes in ; emphatic language described to be their! tionate natabers of Protestants and catholics, doubt of the result.

they are unapproved, and are made only for intunidation.—I must also de uy the hour. mover's decrine of the prigriple of the British constitution as applied to the claims of power or franchise. I altere the principle to be equal protection to all, and rights of power or frauchi e to qualified persons. The guards of this constitution in the exercise of power or franchise, are to is and the possession of property - I must also no-1705 lord Fitzwilliam came lord-lieutenant tice a very leose proof he offers to us, that to Ireland, and the mover of this petition the catholics will not, it in power, meddle with property—the gentleman lumself does not profes to approve or the act of settlement, but the catholics would not, he says, disturb it, because some of them have larely acquired property secured by it. At best this is no more than an appeal to their forbearance, and quintum of interest unit ---I shall, now lastly, make an observation on the property in Irelan! It exceeds, I am confident, in the hands of the protestants that which is in the hands of the catholics by a proportion which for out-tips the population of the letter beyon! the terminer. I date say it is more than as two nty to one for this we have an undoubted right to have a sufficient security. In five or six northern Irish counties there is not one catholic gentleman qualified from estate to be a metal or of parliament, or indeed that you ever meet in society from dathis not from any everity of exclusion, but it illy that they do exist amongst us. If then eitholics were eligible to parliament, and that the lower orders there are, as alleged, three to one, we should either be represented by strangers or by unlettered books; for most unquestionably if the freeholders were catholic the priests would carry them for the catholic candidate. Properly is the criterion of polifical power more than the physical force The protesof the self-willed multitude tants possess this superiority, and love the constitutional liberty which accompanies it: they have defended and fought for both in 1688 and 1768. I deny that the rebellion enemy; that she must be pressed by them; of 17:8 was put down by other means than in time of war, the catholics must put post the postestant exertions of Ireland; it was pone their claims to a moment of peace—| overcome and reduced before one English thy must be listened to in a time of dis- militia regiment landed in that country (I tress and pressure to Ergland.—Is it for feel at the same time every gratitude for the this reason they are brought terward now? zeal and succour intended by that force;) I have my suspicions. The rebellion of and if ever so direful a necessity should 1798, and the union of the two parlements occur again, Troin other invasion or refinish the period on which the two honour-bellion. I trust to the mutual support of able triends has a mostly dwelt - Ione w beg the army and volunteers of both countries, leave to deny their assessions to the proport, and, in despate of all forebodings, have no

Sir II'. Alvam Dolben - I rise, sir, under great adminity of body to give my need in to the present motion. I am was no to nomut the the Assence of the stand regime to is an a void or the potent. We have been amb specific and second argunont map I be princy le on the other. As to the consequences that may aftend the refacal of the Commune, I do not deny the the paid in is soliched in decent, proper terr - that Phofe pathemen in ver will dis-Cosser point under a preliension. Let use sea seecenoorpon le question. After have heard arg ments I have heard, I om $\tilde{n} > \tilde{i}_{i} > \tilde{i}_{i}$ of the \tilde{i}_{i} the property of the property of the property of the \tilde{i}_{i} French Contract and to to show - 1 son, a ray a real law where it might be most against objections to the perition, on a large mark to rail they the chancome of the election has you as very jections in them elves, and then, as coming good a marets or not thinken, it world from him, and, first of ell, in point of de it if for the see brought on the, with regard to invest - I have no 25 . . The pear will be nor obtained in a lattice to make -for I say. Ice my conduct hill is well as less cusion a let us not be bringing the question torward be attend-

st acres to the first leaves rate are subject of the bear a purty question, ago, and ply a fact appropriate Learning Section in the first opinion was to the objections of their bear made by the followed, and we were to conside every these who has a probable form I had there is a feperty trick," as he is placed the horms to subanctorate l'use. I feel to call it, been esse defent expect that it myster une soudy of heed to submit a few would be at in ed with sincess, we should words in a went to a upht hore gent that the constitution of this country some. H Admin, n) on the subject of the speech lighth spreadar, and cert by the whole or a neble escount, (Side early) rithe cances tenour at my life would have been conof a named dibate. If I have not been tray to the opinion of that hor gent. must precented. I believe it will be found, With respect to thestone, I say, I should that what I strictly said, was not that the lat any time have presented this pention, for noble lere said that catholic emancipation. I always considered that every man had a would be the effect of the union, but that right to the free enjoyment of his religious the union was a pledge of cathebe en in-liberty, subject to what may arise from concipation. I stated, that the noble viscount, sider it is of pulses safety. As I do not in his speech, said there were three thangs, believe there ever has been any possible into be considered, one, with a view to the juny to the poolin safety, by extending forme, laws against the catholies, another, religions liberty to those who ask it, I with a view to catholic eminoprison, of must of necessity think it right to extend both which he dis pproved; but would, it to the catholics. As to the time, with of the two exils, priter if e enactment of the respect to those who have signed the penal less, and the floid, with reference p tenon, the objection founded on their to a legislative union, which he said, world oraiting to have it brought forward before, not be productive of my of the disadvirtages is most extraordinary; for it is admitted, of either of the other, measures. Having that in the way the measure of union was stated this as one of the things which did argued and detended, the catholics hid,

neasure proposed in their favour would not reobje to make of tem bleves ount - With re and to the expectable against my metion, I shall speak to those a fich apply in point of time it st, for though they came last, they are first in pand of order; and, first of al, let me mile a remark on the objections whi he ame from a right hon gent. opposite. Considering the general weight of his abilities, and his experience, considering some additional weight which he derives from the office he holds, I comot but remark that the objections be has made come single from him; no one who preceded, no one who followed him. has might any objections of a scalar nature. He stands. is far as this delyte goes, perfectly singlethen for the construction of the first the solution of the solution of the construction of the constructio So to be a light to got a mostly timen from an for North (Sr G Hill) on that I exist rate one subject of the bear a party question, give hopes to the catholics, I thought the without a positive pledge, some reasonable

in which it is admitted they can be granted [without danger? I should, therefore, have supposed, that all who thought the union the most certain means of preventing the denger, would have conceived the completion of that union the time peculiarly proper for the catholics to submit, and a n.cmber of parliament to recommend, a measure which the friends of it considered right, what then? You say to them, " help us?

ground to hope that their petition, or the pression on the minds of the catholics in matter of their petition, would be granted. consequence of this? They must have This is not all. Those who were most thought that the right hon, gent, during averse to the catholic claims argued it in a the two years he was out of office, would way not like the right hon, gent opposite, have directed his attention to the subject, who appeared to me to think that the and that he was a little negligent of their union would pive the way for the grant of concerns, if he did not take quite so much the catholic claims, that it took away the pains as he ought to have done. But it only difficulty which belonged to the dis- was natural for the catholics, when they cussion of the question, and that, when the Isaw the right hon, gent, returning to office; union was completed, it would be, in the when they recollected they had heard him view of many, more safe to grant their say, that, entertaining the opinion be did claims, or less dangerous to resist them. It lef the catholics, he could neither bring I am told, that the establishment of the their claims forward with satcity, or conmeasure of the union will produce a time time in his office with propriety. I repeat, when the claims can be brought forward it was natural for them to say, that, with propriety, and cannot be refused with- " although we did not consider your out danger, I desire to know whether that conduct as a please on gen part, or a is not precisely the period, when men who claim on ours, yet when you declared wish well to their country would naturally lyou could not bring the subject forbe inclined to bring them under discussion. [ward with hopes of success, nor con-What time can be more proper for discussing time in office, unless you could do so, the claims of any class of subjects than that I we had a right to depend on your support, whenever the opportunity was attorded you of granting it. Thus, when we now see you returning to otice, we may reasonably conclude you are in a situation in which you may support, encourage, and promote those claims, of which you approved ". This was the natural time for the catholics to apply to the right hon gent, for support, and for him to grant it. I thank it is imjust, and equitable, to be adopted. But possible that I can misrepresent what the right hon, gent, said four years ago, in the in this union; give us that assistance which course of a debate on the state of the nation, is necessary to us." Many of the catholics and I think that all he has said to-night is do so; and then your friends, and the a proof that I understood him rightly. He friends of the union, tell them they have said, that considering the turn the question great hopes their claims may be granted, had taken, considering the infinite impor-tance of the subject to the empire at large, relly be expected it would come, after such the thought he could not move it with all the an assurance; and in return for the assistance advantages necessary to its success, or at given by the catholics, it is proposed to say, least to that species of success, as he ex-do not discuss the question at all " It pressed it, which would be productive of may be said, "why did not the catholics the result ultimately to be desired; that, come immediately after the union?" I do under such circumstances, he felt not only not wish to enter into an altercation on that he could not move it himself, but that that subject; it is most probable that the it it was moved, he should feel it is duty cause of their not coming sooner was, that to resist it. If it is true that he told us so, the right hone gent,'s resignation, accompanied with the reasons he gave for that ation of the catholics to suppose, that when resignation, induced them to adopt the opi- he returned to office, he would attend to pion, that they could not with propriety this circumstance. It seems to me, that bring their claims forward at that time, this night he has gone a good way in sta-But the right hon, gent, in a letter written ting the singularity of his own conduct, by him, gives the catholics a justifiable. He said, that the question could not advanground of hope, that it would be a part of tageonsly he brought forward, unless with his future plan to smooth the way, by prethe general concurrence of every branch of paring the public to receive the catholic the legislature. Such was the reason, he petition. What must have been the im I tells you, that he did not bring it on. This

I admit is perfectly consistent with his for- to be excommunicated in our political camer professions yet I think, that in the year 1801, as well as if he was to do so in 1305, he did take a further measure of no smill importance to his reput titon, and the welfare of the country, by putting an end to his own administration. He has stated all he did at that period. He stated his sentiments they, as he has done now; and I cannot but remark that, as giving an account of his conduct, there is a material afteration and difference in his conduct in 1805, with reference to what it was in 1801. Yet, he has so conacted himself, that it was impossible the Roman catholics of Leland could know that such a | those who eppose ministers, or who bring difference of opinion existed, or that his opinions and semanents were not similar to what they had been. They must have for party and as hostile to those printiples concluded, from the very circumstance of to which our free government owes its his ocing in office, that it was his intention existence, and the country its prosperity, either to move, or to support the question importance, and pre-eminence among na-I believe that idea was so firmly impressed tions. The catholics came to me, because on the minus of the catholics, that he could ja better chance of success did not present not imagine the fact was otherwise. Many likely to their hopes. They came to me, persons undoubtedly thought that there because they conceived, and I hope truly, inght be some circum tines which night that I would do justice log their cause, and make it proper to defer the consideration of because they thought I would do my utmost the subject to another session; if from to be instrumental in bringing it to a sue-prodential motives it had been recommended cossful is we. Is it to be said, because to them to defer the consideration of the we are not suignme in our hopes of sucsubject to affeture period, I have no do of less, that therefore we ought not to prothat, with the opinions they entertained, mote enquity and investigation upon any and the impressions they were actuated by, subject? Is no man to be justified in they would have readily acquasced. But product a question of public concern and when they found that the right hon a majortage, much because he does not could neither now bring their claims to - remeeve it will be carried? I beg leave ward, that the objections against them would to say, that I can decidedly of a difjustly they might, that he had completely sue a question or this kind in spite of every changed his mind. It was under that circumstance, and the impression it excited, they came to me; and now, because they have come to me, is it to be said, that they have made themselves the ames of a puty I wish to know what will become of this house, and eventually of the government, and the constitution of the country, if those, who are refused redress by ministers, and appeal to men who, for good reasons, oppose immisters are to be stigmatised with adhering to a party. Are those who oppose administration to be incapacitated, merely for so doing, as independent members of parliament? Are we, the tree, uncontrolled, and independent members of this house, and the representatives of the people of England, be productive of the utmost benefit, because

picity, because we are, in the pertonance of a duty adverse to the sentiments of those muniters whose conduct we condemn? We talk of the excommingations of the pope, but can his anothern is be more anjust than those which stigmaise those as the allies of a party, who apply to us for the establishment of their undoubted rights, privileges, and civil and reagious mamanities, dealed to them by imisters, who ought To be foremost in genting them? All I can say is, that I have attentively read the Instory of the country, but I have formed a very in perfect notion of its constitution, if forward niecscres which should originate in them, are to be branded as the instruments equally lay at any given time, and thit, terent opinion I think the house will when he continued in office, contrary to his judge as members of a British parliament own example in 1801, they concluded, as jou, ht to judge, that it is their duty to purtemporary obstacle. Lam of opinion, that whatever may be, or may have been in another place, the decision upon this question, the discussion will be productive of the greatest good to the country. The complete relutation of the number of false facts which have been advanced, must, and will be attended with the best effects. *1 am confident that the arguments week ve heard, whatever effect they may have upon this house, will. have their due weight with the public, and that every man of common sense will see on which side the weight of the argument lies. I am chaddent, up in another ground, which may be wated as a ground of policy, expediency, and justice, that this discussion will

I am convinced, that if I had refused to every prove that at was closed for ever? No. present the petition of the catholics, and the Cin the contrary, with a twoler angalis after impression had gone over to field oil, that the question was said to have been closed there was not a display to be found in the box vir, it was festively only a majority of British house of commons willing to present that house, which is believed the question their petition, it would have produced a forever, did your the echology in confithe state of despondency and despar in the vehicles, than in the year 1702 the comind of the people of that country, which this buddle ught it were are to all, and would have been tatal to the best interests; in so doing they did in the for it you look of the whole empre. They would regitive back to the Lisance of these terror, you will but fatally, as to the probable consequences, and, that, in annot every notice, what have judged that there was not only may has been refused to the familiar power of party, but no addividual in Fagland, to any class of subjects, who have considered whom they could look up with a costilery themselves aggreed. Las been groupe ithope of redress. Is it, can it be necessed to the scale phone of the s fourths of the population of Lelind should be ser claims were refused in 17.2. Let be judified in the disacral reflection, that in not be accased of mences, who is before there is not a man in low land who same at table consideration of this house, where it pathres with their smilings, or who is at doil cent persons of the history or this inclined to exect him elf in order to obtain tream, with reference to us var a decorathe regress of them? Although such a re-friences, spacement has not, or said the flection roay be turned to the exacting designation, found that the best race and at advanting of the empte, I do test that the ing problemes, or, to spid to the open in the people of helinders! I do test that the ing problemes, or, to spid to the open in wheath is read in the people of helinders! I have not been all the design of the open in the interest have no fixed activities and the spine of the all the dependences in a time of the open in th we must be he elsewhere. The the state of the head he of the consequentions the constant has been, when such an index of matter or climate, the tribe has been consequent. has a been stilled with more proposed at the following the following agreement of perhaps at the present in morns. If he is not to seek a three leading on the following control of the season and the season of the Said. " les mittes n'ille question d'accesses de le la lettre de camers in lace, I When, I would also we set the construction to the form of the form is in race, I when, I would also we set the construction to the we construct the form of the form of the form of the form of the part of the pa the pulliment of their own country -refer took map out or time, for it here would to the period of the year 1791? That was object, was to concent to their who we cona period when no member of pathanent hosale to this measure, not will extende could be found to present a pet tion in their to time, but principle, his objections, in fayour. In the year 1792, their petition my opereds, have not be a very successful. was presented, and it was reject d b. a very I do not indeed conceive, that the right hon. large majority; the in normy consistings as gent, has argod the arg, near in our favour nearly as I can recollect, of no more than with any other than honourable views, but fourteen or fitteen members. It was then after all the injections larguage we have said the question was closed for ever. I heard; after all the illiberal arguments date say the gentlemen who stated that, which have been advanced, all the ignorance thought the revival of the question would which has been ultered, all the aspersions overturn the protestant government, and which have been thrown out, and all the the established constitution of the country, dangerous principles which have been re-They undoubtedly thought that the time for commended, and attempted to be main-agitating the question was improper and tained, for the purpose of rejecting this dangerous, and therefore it was that they | question for ever; I say, that although I said the question was, and ought to be closed campot help lamenting we could not have for ever. Was it closed for ever? Did the the benefit of his vote, yet I rejoice that we

have the advantage of his discountenancing fone-bith of its arbitraris are deprived of what, her unity feel, reflects as much her bore payable or they push to emot, and nour car his principles four years and, as without which, to think the ecountry is the rice now. This vote undoubtedly vicility hold not been the country of the been of adv. stage to the country, but we are unstable of a characteristic and arction he speech is of multi-more advantage. It is not nearly the vote of the right by a gent that would be important, but it is of danger is to be operchered it on man ? I conseque e, that not not and, Ireland, and every put of the Entish empire, it should be known, that the opinion of men in passer, or bloby to be in power, or whose authorago interest is looked up to with con-.ticle see, is favourable to the cause to which the vote of the right hon gent is adverse I wish we could have had his vote, but I thank him for his argument, and this brings me to another part of his conduct. The right hon gont says, that he finds not only that it is more described to the public, than now, but that three or four years past, the even to the speaker. They say the exentlepublic opinion was centrary to pune. If he men, I nicon the Bornia enhance, are had brought forward this question when he Hard, I only & Leve they are so, pay, I was out of office, he might have stated some the analytic that if you rate of their chimis, many grounds which would have made in less in their zeel, public part, and legaler, wall dingerous to be encouraged than at the prest go to beyond what the control by be called that moment. If he had steted that fact, upon for, but our I expert contain it on and the public had seen that most of the the generality of the current seems of the considerable men in parliament were of one say, that our country being reader the freest opinion, though his opinion would have considerable men in parliament were of one say, that our country being reader the freest opinion, though his opinion would have constitution in the world, the spectral experiences done much, yet the a giment would have the greatest do react cost cally areal in done more, and the probe opinion would be to terms thin here by no enterpresents. not, perhaps, have taken the term fie tells count if the work collis decived from the us it has, whether it has taken the term, I are, and the word point all from the or not, I doubt; I say I see no symptom Greek. The we not cape the most maof it. There are unquestionably very 10-10 tool processor and notion in turope? speciable holds of near some of which West as it we shall cave to take exhause given their oparity contray to 12 cm provide the creary, that the ordinary forms all protess but that there is a respect to take a year of all a rygoverns a discussion of the reputational and the solution of the following the following the solution of the following the following the following the solution of the following the solution of the solutio present them elves on the one hand, and those liberties and shared minima ones which the diagrees which mer accon the other, can be other country under I chempose occs the be everlooked. The claims of the ratholics | identity, 28 of fielding for 11, or, such are are not only consistent with the point quest the grounds on visible, under God, we trust of the constitution, but consensat to its so note to for our success, do they not afaction.

tual advantage of the co.] as regar, while of necessity, to confess, you have no other

The embolies of a fixen is ded them they would be keyd, out they they were, what would enswer, " give to them, it en, what they claim, as the reward of their levalty." Are we to argue without reference to the general principles of luman Little? The proper year to weigh the justice of an argument is by the scale of coamoun sense, and the techniza of mankind a pon the subject; but if the argument drawn from the loyalty of the Roman cathelies is to be used ag inst them, to their propulece, I can only say, stial spirit, and I hope on I trust the paths ply with equal force to another country, or opinion will ultimately be led by reas noto traiter another part of our own country? that point, to when, it is not 'el, i and And do you not suppose, that these who sorry to say, we shad not leve the feet on I had the prester provides, will ever themeffective force, and physical stouched has believe to be than these procedum the sense who medeprived united engine. It everalless was a take of the civil and pelits all advant gestingsed when it was necessary we should be a use by their follow edizons? If the same exer me evertion, it is the present. This is entions cannot be expected by the sychologic a period when all our energies are called into depriced of the provide to which they are entitled was to do we given by the double ac-But who can say the country has the cities in the adaption in which you are compelled

true state of the case with regard to the Roman catholics of Ireland? I will not urge further than I did, when I opened this subject, the argument, that the privileges bestowed upon the higher orders of people are, therefore. I must take it as a principle admitted. No one has attempted to contradict the opinion that the lower orders are use leges bestowed on their superiors. Those who recollect the debates two years ago, mry furnish their minds with as strong an illustration on this subject as any argument can possibly produce. It was two years since an hon member, then secretary at war, brought in a bill for raising an army en masse. After having explained the details of the bill, as it applied to Great Britain, he did conclude with a short sentence, which every body well understood, and with regard necessary. The sentence was to the effect, that it was not thought expedient to apply the bill to Ireland. It would certainly have been indiscretion, in the true sense of the word, either to have applied it to Ireland, or to have commented on the reason for not applying it. Why? Because it was well known that the mass of the people of Ireland were not like the mass of the people of England; because they consisted of two divided parties, in the lower of which you could not have the same confidence as in the higher; and therefore it was that in England the levy en masse, which constituted the best security of the country, was in Ireland looked to as its greatest source of danger I will refer goutlemen to the bill, for promoting our military force and national defence. I remember, in the course of one day's discussion, relative to the force in Ireland at the time of the debate, compared with the period of the treaty of Amiens, that a statement was made of so much eavalry, so much infantry, so much artillery, and so many fencibles. It was then admitted on both sides, that with regard to such and such regiments, there was a circumstance that made them more particularly useful to the country; that circum-tance was, that there were no Irish among them It was stated and admitted, that for the reason I have mentioned, there were two or three regiments as available as four or five. Apply this to England, or to any other

expectation than that of comparative exer- | country that is well governed, would any tion. I ask you, whether that is not the body say that our military force was strong, because it consisted of foreigners, or that it was weak, because it was coreposed of Englishmen? Would you not digue, that so much the more would be expected from men, who were fighting for their own counin point of fact, enjoyed by the lower. No try, their homes, their fortunes, and all that answer has been given to the argument, and was dear to them? Why is the argument different with respect to Ireland? Why do you wish to have the regiments in Ireland with as few Itish as possible? The argufluenced by the advantages and the privi-inent is this, and you may reduce it to a syllogism, of which the major is, every man is most to be depended upon in proportion to his interest in the constitution mmor is, Englishmen are most interested in their constitution, ergo, the conclusion is, Englishmen are most to be depended upon. Apply this, on the other hand, to Ireland, and, altering the terms of the syllogism, tho conclusion will be the reverse; the minor will be, that the Irish catholics are the least interested in the constitution, and therefore to which no one thought any comment was they are the feast to be relied on to detend it. It is on this principle you would have your regiments in Lingland composed of Englishmen, and in Lel and not composed of Who are so little interested in Dishmen Ireland as the Irish Roman catholics? None. Yet such is the state of that country, in which you say nothing is to be obtained by guning over the hearts and energies of three-touths of the population It is said, are not those noblemen and gentlemen, who compose the higher class of the people of Ireland, loyal? It they are, why would you give them any thing to make them more so? I would give them the same interest in the constitution of the country which others have, and then I may reasonably expect similar exertions from them. We say it is little for them to gain, and much for us to give. They say it is much for them to gain, and little for ustogive. What is it we give? All we give away is political power! To whom do we give that power? To the catholics. Who are the catholics? Our fellow subjects. -I come now to the objection as to the particular form. It is objected to giving hopes to the catholics, because it is said, how can I desire the house to go into a committee, if I do not know that the committee will support mean all the points in favour of the catholics? Has not this objection been answered, even by what has been said on less important points? . Supposing two distinct questions, standing on different grounds; surply no one will say, that we ought not to

stånding, they me above narrow refigious. futh, they are not more liberal or telerant. than the Roman catholics. The Roman car tholics are charged with saying, there is no silvation for heretics, and the Scots link says, if is blasplainy to assert that any conbe said who are not of their faith. One of these forty-me members, not more than three or tory could be persuided to decide with its in factor of the repeal of the test et this aid, how guitte employ persons in above who are not of the established relizion. To Treland they are acceptuole, because there is no test act. If it is and that we want to put the extholics in a better sitration than the desenters, let it be recolbeted that we are talking of freland. But i it appead that the test act is the many of as a more that every man shall be a member of the church of keep and? Do we not know, that in the reign of queen Anne, bills of occasional conformity were passed; and the in the reign of George Lamany of the dis inters only took the sacrament to show that disposition in throur of the estathater in sch, I may call my friend . The ed religion, yet pay obedience to the optim-VUL IV.

go into a committee to see whether we can principle flower of his 'eloquence consisted not give enher because we cannot give both in the repetition of the word "must". He There are two very different points in this seemed to think, that the fundamental laws question. Gentlemen speak as if they thought of the church of England "must" be remove but members of the church of Eng- peaked by granting the prayer of the catholand were capable of sitting in palmount likes. The exclusion of the catholics from But do not dissenters sit in this horise? seats in parliament, and the existence of the However, in point of doctrine, the clauch test acts, are the props, according to the of England differs from the catholics, yet it right hon, and levened cent, which support does not differ mole than from the dissenters. The church of Ingliad What, then, was With regard to the maintenance and esta- the state of the clovely of England in the ble liment of the church of England, there forgits of Enzabe he of James the first, and cannot be more difference between the co- Charles the first? Were the e-princes not thomes, than there is between the accenters the health or the charch as effectively as his and the churchmen. We have forty-five present majesty; may, would it not be merabers in this house, who are of a pro- | deemed the grossest all minition to d'ubt, forsed establishment deficient from our own, leven, that Charles the first foil a nitrity to and they are not members of the most tole- the church of England? Det, it roughout raid soil. It is time, the from the bas of the reigns of these princes, Roman catholics then elevation, from their intellectual at- sat in parliament, and the test act had no tunments, from the improvement of their texistence. Granting the thaty nine articles rounds, and from their enlightened under- of the church of England to be not repugmust to the five principles of the consumprojudices; yet from the protes son of their bon as established in the reign of king Wilhan; yet the bonidies which follow are by many stated to be an absolute condemnation of the very thing which took place at the time of the revolution. Nay, did not Sacheverel openly attach, and upon the authority of these hoandles, stigmatise that great proceeding as impious, and utterly destructive of the church of England? - Now, with regard to those learned places which, from a repository for the eventual doctrines of religion, I mean the universities, in one of which (the university of Oxford) I had the honour to receive part of my early edacation, it I was to produce the decree of that university of 1635, against limited goverliment, describing it as one of those things which lead to atheiste, what would be said of it? Some of the Best of non have come from that university. None mole so than the right less and learned gent.; but I do beg, to use a plain bornely phrase, that they will not throw stones whose wifedows are made of glass. I do not a least the high church party to look so nerrowly into blished church, however they might not the history of the cardolics, and into all the agree as to parts of the fitting? Will any violence of their decrees, in order to disbody say that taking the accument proves a quality them from being amalganated and man to be a supporter of the church of reconciled to the constitution of this country. It has been said by an hon, and learned close nt, and yet consider the hturgy of the Egent., that the Roman catholics wish to over-Church of England as the most consummate from the established religion of the country. bigotis. This leads me to another part of To this I answer, that there are good subsubject, which was stated by a right hon, jeets of all seets and persuasions, in all and harned pent. (Sir W. Scott), who, I countries, who dissenting from the establish-

should have been said by an hon and learned gent., (the attorney general) that if he was a catholic in a country where the protestant church was established, and he had the power, he would exercise it to weaken the established religious government. I have too good an opinion to think so of him. If every matiras to conceive himself at liberty, because he differed from the established religion of a country, to attempt to overturn would be to destroy all peace in the world. I do not believe any good catholic would so act; I am sure no good subject, who loves his country, ought so to act. The question is this . Here are persons who apply to you, not for exclusive privileges, but simply to be placed on a footing with all the other of his majesty's subjects. It is a claim of justice. If you refuse it, the burthen of proof lies on you, to shew the inconvenience or danger of granting their claim. Nothing of the sort has been proved; you have argued it only by referring to old times, differing from the present. The question comes to this, whether, in the state in which we are, it can be the conduct of a wise and prudent government to separate from itself so large a proportion of the population of the country as the people of Ireland? No statesman, no man who can judge of the affairs of the world, will think so. I should hope that those who wish well to the country, will support my motion. If it should however unfortunately fail, we shall all have done our duty in arguing the question, with a view to induce those to adopt our opinion, who are at present under a fatal delusion with regard to this momentous subject. I should notice one thing; it is, that you have raised this question, and not the petition. The petition has nothing of the seeds of turbulence in it. You will, I trust, draw the hopes of Ireland to this country, make the people of Ireland look to us as their best reliance, and prevent their recurring to any criminal measures.—I should now sit down, but for the observation of an hon, baronet (sir W. Dolben). He says, why should you give all this to the catholics of Ireland, and not grant the same to the catholics of Eng-1 m orning.

ion of the majority. I am surprised it land? In the first place, the catholics of England have not petitioned. I have no doubt as to the propriety of putting the catholics of England on the same tooting. I have no doubt they would finally obtain the same privileges. Those who know the catholics of England, who know the character of the lower ranks of the people, are sensible how little danger would result from the catholic peers sitting in the house of lords, or catholic members in the house of it, the general tendency of such a principle commons. Every man must perceive that it would be beneficial to the country, particularly at a time when every man, is called upon to show his zeak in the service, and in the general cause of the empire. I have only to add, in answer to an hon, gent, opposite, that I was in Iteland a great while ago; but it did not appear to me that the condition of the country was calculated to reconcile gentlemen who visited it, to its general laws. The gentlemen of Ireland ought to be listened to with very considerable attention. From what I have seen in the course of this debate, I think I shall find, on the division, that I shall have the honour of dividing with more of the gentlemen of that country, than ever I had on any former occasion. I believe it will be long before the speeches we have heard from them will be forgotten. The question is important in the highest degree. The only way of putting an end to the hopes of the people of Ireland will be by creating despair, and if ever I hear that they are deprived of those hopes they ought to entertain, I shall despair of those blessings, of that mutual good-will and reciprocal symp :thy, without which England can never 1 ly on the effectual and sincere co-operation and assistance of Ireland against the common

> The house then divided, when there appeared

For Mr.	F	ox	'n	motion				124
Against	it					•		330

Majority against the motion

Adjourned at five o'clock on Wednesday

Since our Report of the Speeches of Lord REDESDALE and the Barl of Sufficient he House of Lords, on the 10th and 13th of May, upon Lord Grenville's Motion for a Committee oil the ROMAN CATHOLIC PETITION (see pp 711 and 742) was put to press, we have I am favoured with the following correct Copies of their Lordships Speeches.

before the house was in point of form, "that the house should resolve itself into a committee to consider of the petition on the table;" and the noble baron who had made the motion had intimated, that in the committee it would be open to any lord to suggest any partial measure: but it was evident that the noble lord himself conceived that nothing short of the entire object of the petition could be suggested; and the petitioner, had themselves clearly stated that object to be "an equal participation, upon equal terms with their fellow subjects, of the full benefits of the British laws and constitution." Of that constitution the maintenance of the protestant religion, as the established religion of the government, and the exclusion of munion with that see, also thought fit, not the Roman catholic religion from the admerestrution of that government, had become a point for which they might allege religious fundamental principles, long deemed essen- scruples, but likewise to refuse, and those tial to the preservation of the liberty, both, who now profess to hold communion with religious and political, of the country; and the see of Rome still refuse, to acknowledge by those laws, of the benefit of which the petitioners, sought an equal participation, the 'ers and revenues of the church-establishment strongest provisions, were made for the support of the protestant, religion, and the exclusion of the Roman catholic from important political power. When, therefore, the the ancient law it had been subject) to the petitioners called upon their lordships to give them an equal participation of the British laws and constitution, they either proposed principle of the constitution, the subjection to the house to be guilty of a gross fallacy, or they called upon their lordships to alter those laws, and to change that constitution; for, consistently with the existing laws and constitution, the equal participation sought by the petition could not be had. The equal participation claimed by the partition was clearly an equal participation in all powers, as well as in all benefits: an equal participation in whatever might form the constitution of the country in church and state. That such was their object was manifest; not only from the language of the petition, but from the state in which the Roman catholic church was zealously maintained in Ireland, importantly different from the condition of the Roman catholic church in England, or in any other country in Europe, where the protestant was the established religion of the country. For when the legislature of Ire-

Lord Redesdale observed that the motion | land thought fit to reform what it deemed to be corruption and abuse in the christian church; to abolish the usurped authority of the court of Rome, from which it conceived the corruption and abuse had sprung; and to require the clergy of Ireland, claiming the benefits of the ecclesiastical establishment of the country, to yield obedience to the sovereign power of the state, and to abandon the powers assumed contrary to the ancient laws, and paramount that sovereignty; and. when, to inforce obedience to its laws, it required all its subjects to withdraw from communion with the see of Rome, as inconsistent with the reformation thus attempted: such of the people of Ireland as thought fit, notwithstanding, to persist in holding comonly to refuse obedience to the legislature in the validity or those laws by which the powwere transferred to such of the clergy as submitted to the change, and by which all coclesiastical jurisdiction was made subject (as by control and coercion of the sovereign power of the state; denying therefore one essential of the ecclesia-tical to the civil power. Accordingly, the Roman catholics of Ireland have ever since maintained, and still maintain, a complete hierarchy, in direct and manifest opposition, not merely to the positive law, but to this essential and fundamental principle of the constitution; representing that hierarchy as the only lawful successors of the ancient clergy of Ireland, assuming all the powers, and claiming all the revenues, of that clergy, treating the clergy of the reformed religion, placed in the various offices of the church by the laws and in conformity to the principles of the constitution, as usurpers; and refusing obedience to all laws framed to curb the encroachments of the papacy on the sovereign power before as well as since the reformation. Denying, therefore, to the legislature of the country all power over the ranks, dignities, and authori-

ties, and even the revenues of the church, and thus denying one of the most important principles of the constitution, as asserted at all times, even in the darkest ages. To yield to the claims of the petitioners, the house must not only submit to abandon this important principle, which their ancestors had at all times zealously maintained, but must also consessate break the whenn compact of recently entered into by the independent legislatures of Great Initain and Ireland, the freisin their acquisition, whilst Ireland recompact by virtue of which then lordships manned united to Great Britain. Separation were enabled, in that house, to coasider the l petition before them; they must repeal the fitth article of the union of Great Britain and Jicland, by which the protestant churches of England and Izeland were united, and made . for ever the established church of England and Ireland; and by which the maintenance and preservation of that church, as the esta- of Treland, consistently with a just observblished church of England and Ireland was above of the solemn pledge given by the comsolemnly stipulated as a fundamental article pact of union, cr consistently wan an obof the union itself. They must therefore servance of the faith so frequently, at vishazad the continuance of that union, by a rious tares, and in various ways, pledged to direct breach of what has been this solering the protestants of Ireland; or constently ly declared a fundamental article of the com-f with the principles on which the British conpact by which it was made. But they must stitution as it now stands, connected with the do more. The claim by the petition, and title of the family on the throne, can alone the arguments founded upon it, extended in principle, to the whole empire; and their lordships must also repeal that article of the treaty of union with Scotland by which a similiar provision was made for the maintenance and preservation of the presbyteman form of church government in Scotland Even if the petitioners had not so broadly and openly stated their claims, it roust be evident that their pretensions went to this extent: and it would be absurd to suppose possession as usurpers, would ever be cont at with less than the possession of the powers and revenues, which they thus claimed as their right, and the ejection of those whom they deemed to be usurpers of that right. To suppose otherwise were to sufexperience of all ages has demonstrated it to be; and it was absurd to suppose that whilst the powers and revenues of the church were claimed by the Roman catholic elergy, those powers and revenues were not objects of their desires; and that whilst those desires ! were nourished by hope, and the gratification of the desires was denied, they would

laity. It was absurd, therefore, to suppose that Ireland could be at rest until the Poman catholic clergy had attained those objects of their desires, unless all hopes of attaining these objects were utterly extinguished, just the done to attain had fallen with the hope by which 'it had been nourished It was true that the Roman catholic clergy of Ireland could searcely hope fully to attain those objects, or, leaving done so, permanently to trom Great Britain must therefore be in their view, at least as a probable event, so long, as they should flatter themselves with the hope of accomplishing their wishes. If the Roman catholic religion had remained the established religion of Ireland; or if it could be now made the established religion be supported; perhaps (though this may well be doubted) helynd is a Roman cathohe country might remain united with Great butam. * But it is too late to copilder what might have been done under such encoursstances; it is too late to competitive whether Tick and as a country wholly flora, a cathohe, could probably remain united with the protestant povernident of Great Britain - By solemn supulation, which their lendships could not be perkuded to violate, the prothat the Roman catholic clergy in Ireland, I tescant must ever be the established religion claiming to be the lawful successors of the of Ireland, whilst treland should remain a ancient chargy, and considering those now in | part of the united empire : and the Roman catholic could by law become the established religion, only by the most daring breach of faith, and by a sharmful abandonment of the principles on which the British constitution stands. The Roman cubolic can theretere tweer be made the established religion pose that the nature of man is not what the for Ireland by a law of the united empire, saw tiened by a prince of the tanaly now on the throne. It can therefore only become the established religion of Ireland by a separation of Ircland from Great Britain, and tho extirpation or expulsion of the protestants of Ireland. Condicing, therefore, the claims of the petitopers as utterly inconsistent with the established lays and constitution of the never to content themselves, or ever cease empire; as requiring a complete change, or to decite discontent in the minds of the rather a by ration of that constitution in a

fundamental part; convinced that between served by the members of both churches in the two religious there could not, in the na- imantaining the articles in favour of each. have ascendency; and that the ascendency | had provided amply against the danger thus the Roman catholic (an intolerant reli- suggested; for it must be recollected that gion) must finally produce the destruction or for all offices in England, and consequently expulsion of the protestants, and the separa- for all the most important offices of the state, tion of Ireland from Great Biltuin, inless, the Scotch must qualify themselves accordthat dreadful conclusion should be prevent- ing to the law of Angland, and finist there- ed by the strength of the protestants of Ire- fore conform to the church established land, and the superior torce of Great Bri- there, to obtain any considerable influence tain, in the i sac of a bloody and horrible e-vin the executive government of the country; vil war; he must deprecate the yielding any and the extent and power of Scotland, and degree of attention to the proposition hade the number of its inhabitints, considered as to the house — The arguments in favour of a part of the whole empire, bore no compathat proposition attempted to be drawn from a rison with the extent, the power, or the the establishment of the presbytecan refer population of England, or with the extent, gion in Scotland, by the stipulation for that power, or population of Ireland, of which purpose in the treaty of muon with that the influence court be strongly telt by the country, and the comparison attempted to protestant government, if not only the parbe made between the situation in England liament, but all officers of the state (the acof the pre-byterians of Scotland, and the situition in the united empire, and in Ireland particularly, of the Roman catholics of heland, if the objects of the petition were obtained, appeared to him laterly unformed. The presbyteman church of Scotland was the c tablished church of the country; it possessed all it could possess in Scotland: it had l nothing to claim there; in Lindand it had nothing to hope. By the fresty of union between Eggland and Scotland, its possessing an establishment was solemnly supulated tor, and secured. It had nothing to few bra from a breach of that supulation; and its best security for a performance of that stipulation was its forcearence to interfere with the establishment in England. It therefore remained in quiet obedicace to the laws clergy were a great and complict body, a speof the united kingdom, and in perfect birman; with the episcopal church established pradations of a distinct and firm governin angland, with which it had no ground of woul; connected by no tie with the governcontest, and which a regard for its own preservation taught it rather to protect and defend. It was true, that in the heat of argument, during the agitation of the whon with Scotland, appreliensions were suggested of danger to the episcopal church of England by the introduction of members of the presbyte the church of Scotland into the British parliament: and it was true that those apprehensions had proved "ill-founded. They were ill-founded, because it must be manifestly the interest of the presbyterian church of Scotland to attempt nothing which could endanger the stipulation in favour of that church, which must depend, whilst the members of the episcopal church remain the majority, on the good taith to be ob- represented themselves as the only lawful

ture of things, exist equality; that one must But the terms of the treaty of union itself cess to which was refused to the presbytemans of Scotland at the time of the union with that country), should be thrown open to the Roman catholics of all perts of the empire —That any thing like peace or harmony could subsist in Ireland between the Roman catholics and protestants of that country, if they were placed on an equal tooting in political power, whilst the hierarchy of the Rom in catholic church there, should remain as it stood, must be utterly hopeless. The Roman estholic clergy of Ireland he viewed ina light very distinct from the laity. The latter he considered as judgaduals, dissenting" in religious tanta from the established church; and, except as connected with their clergy, merely as individual; so dissenting. But the cies of corporation, with all the forms and ment of the country, and utterly incapable of being so connected; standing in open defrance, of the law; exercising an authority which the law expressly forbade, and repre-. senting those whom the law had placed in possession of the powers, the dignities, and the emoluments of the national church, as usurpers of those powers, those dignities, and those emplumen's -Noble kirds affect. ed to doubt the fact. he would renture to reassort it, and to appeal to the most revdrend prelate on the bench above him (the primate of Ireland) to whom, amongst themselves, and frequently elsewhere, the Roman catholic clergy would give no other appellation than that of, Dr. Stewart. They

and required their flocks to consider them as the lawful owners of the ecclesiastical revenues; teaching them, even in their catechisms, that by the commandments of their church, which they boldly represent as equal to the commandments of God, the people were bound to pay their titles to their lawful pastors, which pastors they represented themselves to be. Accordingly, their parochial clergy were formally instituted rectors or vicars of the several parishes, under the authority of their respective diocesans, according to the titles of rector or vicar, as they, stood before the reformation. They had preserved the deans and chapters, and the dioceses and the provinces of the several bishops and archbishops, as they existed before that event, with the difference only of some unions since made by the authority of the pope. Every archbishop and bishop, every inferior dignitary, and every parish priest of the established church, met therefore in his place a rival clergyman, ready and anxious to seize his benefice, his powers, his dignities, his revenues, whenever the opportanity should offer. The powers of the Roman catholic clergy over their flocks were fully equal to their pretensions; and they exercised those powers without that control of the law of the land to which they were subject before the reformation. Their au-*thority was enforced by the most dreadful or all means—by the power of excommunication, a power very different from that possessed by the established church. Their sentence of excommunication had all the consequences which made it most dreadful in the darkest ages. The wretche't victim against whom it was denounced might starve If not relieved by the charity of protestants. No Roman catholic dared to have any communication with him. A recent instance had been stated to him, on authority which he could not doubt; in which the law of the land, and the character of the established church, had been grossly insulted. Roman catholic couples bad been married by the protestant clergyman of their panish, after the usual publication of banns, a duty which by law the clergyman was bound to perform. The Roman catholic parish-priest thought fit to denounce these unfortunate people to his bishop, before whose vicar-general they were summoned to appear to answer for their crime. The protestant clergyman character at this ontrage, and consult-ing the law of his parish, the danger of the authorithms immediately concerned, and

successors of the ancient clergy of Ireland, | perhaps his own personal safety, rather than his duty to the laws of his country, advised the parties to make every submission, and endeavour to prevent any further proceed- . ings. They accordingly waited on the car-general, expressed their contritten for their offence, and their readiness to make any submission in their power. The vicargeneral was inevorable, and the offenders were excommunicated for the crime imputed to them, of having been murried according to the la of the land. The consequence of the sentence was, that all who should have any communication with these fuffortunate victims of a power thus assumed in definice of the law, were liable to the same censura; and the situations in which the men happened to be, making it difficult for their neighbours to avoid all intercourse with them, near two hundred men and women were summoned before the vicar-general, at the distance of twenty miles from their habitations, to answer for this offence. They appeared before him; and by their submission avoided the dreadful sentence of excommunication; but were condemned, as a penance, to a pilgrinage, proceeding from one holy well, or stone, to another, a circuit of thuty miles, and as so great an assemblage of people, passing in a body through the country, and performing ceremonie, of devotion at the appointed places of their pilgrimage, must xcite attention, they were ordered to declare to all who should meet them, that they were septenced to this penance for having dired to hold communication with persons excommunicated for having been married by The fear which a protestant clergyman. such proceedings must inspire, and the impossibility of obtaining any redress, however oppressive and tyrannical those proceedings may be, was the true source of the extravagant power which their clergy maintained over the Roman catholics of Ireland, a power much greater than was possessed by the clergy in any state in Europe, where the Roman catholic was the established religion of the country; a power restrained by no law, subject to no control, and utterly inconsistent with the peace, order, and good government of any country: a power which our ancestors, in times of the greatest higotry, had dared to restrain by various legislative provisions, and history had applauded their spirit and firmness, and the enlightened minds which had directed their measures. But modern liberality (with an inconsistency which has no example, but in the extravagance of modern liberality) has, at the same

time, joined in that applause, and yet stig- | been made in England; and for removing matised as bigotry every attempt to restrain such of the clergy as should refuse to subthe monstrous proceedings of the Roman catholic clergy of Ireland-an unauthorised Merarchy, lifting themselves up in defiance of the law, and of all constitutional authority. Before the reformation, whilst the clergy established by law were yet don-idered by the same law as under the spirited control of the pope, the 'oppressions of their ecclesiastical courts were in some degree curbed and restrained by law. But low, when the law has rendered the established clergy independent of the see of Rome, and restored to the crown the sovereign authority over the clergy as well as the laity, the supreme power over all persons and in all causes, ecclesiastical as well as civil, the only remedy which the Roman catholics of Ireland, who have unfortunately submitted themselves to the papal power in derogation of the lawful sovereignty of the crown, an have against oppressions which their Roman catholic ancestors successfully resisted by the law of the land, is an appeal to Rome; and what sort of redress could to obtained by such an appeal, he would leave it to the house to judge. Thus reigning uncontroled, the eccl siastical courts governable whole people. They dissolve mariages for causes not allowed by law; precentiact, consanguinity, or affinity, in degrees beyond those prescubed by the legislature; and the secense marriages wit in those degrees. The evidence of marriage is wholly in their power; and the legitimacy of children, and the succession to property, is under their control.—This extraordmary situation of the Roman catholic clergy of Ireland, the noble hard observed, has proceeded from the same cause which has produced many other evils in that unfortunate country. At the time of the reformation, and long after, a great part of Ireland was not reduced, and perhaps at this moment a great part of Ireland can scarcely be said to be reduced, to due obedience to law. Until some years, after the accession of James I. a very large portion of the country for some time in Ireland, the difference fordid not in any degree acknowledge the king's cibly struck his mind. In the parts of Eng-Henry VIII. and of his son Edward and daughter Elizabeth, when the reformation was established in England, the authority of the legislature extended with effect over a very small district of Ireland; and therefore although the legislature of Ireland passed laws for effecting the reform of the papal corruptions and abuses in Ireland, as had rectly the reverse. What could be the cause

mit to the reform, the laws were very imperfectly obeyed, and the more powerful government finally established by James, though its authority was generally acknowledged, in a greater or less degree, over the whole island, was yet unable, in many parts, effectually to enforce obedience to any law. When the government of Charles I. was disturbed in England and Scotland, his au-Thority in Ireland became so reduced that at length the Roman catholic clergy assumed absolute control over a large portion of the country, quieted the protestant elergy, seized on the ecclesiastical revenues, and established their hierarchy in the full possession of the powers and emoluments of the ancient church. They were reduced to submission by Cromwell, and their new assumption of authority and revenue was in a considerable degree repressed; but the ejected clergy were not restored. That clergy regained its authority, and a considerable portion of revenue, at the restoration of Charles II. but his government never pursued any effectual measures for their support, and they were again ejected by the popish parliament of James II. which repealed the act of settlement, attainted the principal proestant proprietors, and gave to posterity an example of the treatment which the protestants of Ireland may expect from catholie ascendancy. Until, therefore, the victories of king William had dec. Jed the fate of Ireland by completing the revolution, and fully establishing the protestant religion, the protestant clergy had held only a divided and disturbed possession, openly insulted and violated by the Roman catholic clergy.-These circumstances had produced the marked difference between the Raman catholics of England and those of Ireland. Having occasionally resided in a part of England where there happened to be a larger proportion of Roman catholic inhabitants than in most parts of the country, and having now resided authority, and in most parts the submission land to which he alluded, if a Roman cathoto law was very imperfect. In the reigns of [lie farmer or labourer was to be distinguished by his general conduct and character from protestants of, the same rank in life, it was because he was more temperate, more orderly, more generally submissive to the law. He would leave it to such noble lords as had had the opportunity of knowing the fact to say, whether the case in Ireland was not di-

swer of an intelligent lenghah catholic —'I he Roman catholic clergy in England studiously endeavour to make the people under their care temperate; orderly, and submissive to law; well knowing that in England they could hope for indulgence from good conduct along. But in Ireland the Roman catholic clergy unfortunately conceived that their power, their emoluments, and still more the final accomplishment of their spirit of disobedience to law. Instead of restraining, therefore, they indulge the passions of their people: they neglect morals, mere observances. He was so strongly impressed with the truth of these observations, that he was convinced that as long as the peace and tranquillity in Ireland: that the abolition of that hierarchy was essential to the interests of the country; essential to the true interests of the Roman catholics of Ireland; and he had reason to think that some of the better informed amongst the Roman catholic laity, and especially in some parts of the country, were nearly of the same opinion. He did not conceive that the existence of such a hierarchy could be deemed essential to the profession of the Roman catholic religion: it did not exist in of Europe; where the protestant was the established religion of the country, yet the thing to which they could object. other countries to: which he had alluded, ! were equally in communion with the church of Rome, In Canada, where the Roman the character of the protestant clergy seemcatholic religion had been made by stipula- ed to compel them to adopt. Dr. Flussey tion on the surfender of the country, in a forbude attendance at this family duty, and considerable degree an established feligion, and where the clergy, were in the undisturbed possession of the ancient ecclesiastical revenues, the province had remained, for a considerable time, without a Roman catholic bishop; and he had the authority. of a very learned and very respectable person, who had resided in the country in. a considerable official station, and had had opportunities of information, for saying; that the laity of Canada in general, pay, yet the person who thus ser a whole that many of their most respectable paro-

of this difference? He would give the an- without check of any kind. They conceived they could have had the full enjoyment of their religion without the interference of such an authority, and with less danger of d'sopreement with their protestant low-subjects. The result, he was authorised to ray, justified those who had formbd this opinion.—He had meason to think that nearly of the parish priests in Ireland were disposed to live in harmony with their protest me tellow-subjects, if permitted so hopes, depended on their keeping alive a ro do by these who exercised authority over them: but they were not permitted to indulg their inclination to peace. The late Dr. Hus ty, in particular, had, in many inand make religion principally depend on istances, by the interposition of his authority, destroyed that harmony which had long subsisted between the Roman catholic parachial clergy, and the protestant clergy Roman catholic hierarchy should remain as | and laity of the district over which he asit then stood, it was in vain to look for sunved episcopal jurisdiction. One instance he could assert on the authority of persons of high consideration in the house. domestic selvants in that part of the country were very generally, if not universally, Roman catholics, even the protestant clergy were compelled to have such servants. In discharging the duty of family prayer, they they had generally thought it right to require the attendance of the Roman catholie servents: but to avoid all ground of offence, a form of prayer had; been very generally used for the purpose, which was England; it did not exist in any other part well known to the Roman catholic clerge, and acknowledged by them to contain no-Roman catholics of England, and of the parochial clergy and Roman catholic servants had therefore chearfully submitted to a practice, preise worthy in itself, and which persisted, in spite of the remonstrances of many of his parish priests, of the entreatles of the protestant clergy, and of the earnest solicitations of the servants on their parts. The officition was not to the prover, but to any prayer used by an hereric. With such a reinper among the superior Roman catholic clengy, was it possible that there should be harmony between the Roman catholics and protestraits of Ireland? And country in a flame by forhidding servants that clergy, were by no means desirous of to hear a prayer, to the contents of which there are resident amongst them, of he could not object, read by their master, pleased in the authority which the bishop, is said to have been deeply engaged in whom and more especially as that authority the court of flower in its state of devices necessary without controul, and almost gradation and subjection, has been com-

vernment, to preserve a remnant of papal power in France, in abject subserviency to that government.—The Roman catholic laity "in considered in a very different light from their clergy; and different parts of that laity he considered as standing in very different points of view materially affecting the question before the house. The laity of the higher ranks in life were of two descriptions. Men of ancient estates, and of acknowproperty, generally inferior in education and manners, as well as in birth, to the former. The first generally felt themselves deeply interested in the permanent peace of the country, and their conduct was generally influenced by a just consideration of their situation. Amongst these, the most distinguished, perhaps, was one whose name was suscribed to the petition on the table (the earl of Fingal) a nobleman of ancient family, of approved loyalty, and of great moderation when left to the operations of his own mind. But of the men of newly acquired property, not a few had unfortunately adopted very different sentiments from those of that nobleman. They had imagined (as men of newly sequired property were too apt to imagine) that their rank and consequence in the country were not equal to their pretensions; and they were therefore discontented. Unfortunately, too, men of this description had of late gained the lead amongst the Irish Roman catholics, and the influence of the men of ancient rank and ancient possessions had been nearly destroyed. They were put forward to the public view when it answered a purpose to do so; but they had little direction or control of the measures which they were called upon to countenance and support. Many of those who had thus superseded the influence of the ancient nobility and gentry of the country, were supposed to have imbibed principles not very friendly to any church government; but they might still wish to use the power which their clergy assumed for the purposes which they had in view. The laity of the inferior orders were much more numerous than those of the two former descriptions, in proportion to the general Roman catholic population. They had nothing to gain in the avowed objects of the petition. They suffered nothing, individually, from the restrictions of which the others complained; and they were therefore wholly indifferent (when left to the iu-Vol. IV.

pelled to conclude with the French go- fluence of their own feelings and judgment) to the removal or continuance of those restrictions, and their indifference was so well known, that it was absurd to talk of the removal of those restrictions, as tending, in any degree, to conciliate them. They were taught to be discontented on other grounds; and so far were they from being anxious to restore to the Roman catholic hierarchy the revenues of the church. that the abolition of tithes was a principal ledged condition and consequence in the object of their wishes. This was so strongly country; and men of recently acquired felt by the Roman catholic clergy, that any property, generally inferior in education proposition for a commutation of tithes (in which, as the law stands, they have apparently no interest) has constantly been treated by them with the strongest terms of reprobation; evincing by their eagerness to condemn any such measure, that they still consider the tithes as their property, and any proposal for a commutation as affecting their rights. The forfeited estates, including the property of all the English settlers in the remotest times, including the property of many Roman catholics, were made a great source of discontent with those of the lower orders, who were, or imagined themselves to be, of the ancient septs or tribes, and considered themselves as labouring for others in those fields which had belonged to their ancestors, and to which they conceived they had still an hereditary, indefeasible right. This source of discontent, injurious even to many Roman-catholic families of English blood, was industriously kept alive in the memories of the lower orders of Irish descent, and constantly urged by their priests, of whom the greater part were of ancient Irish families or names; and the claim to the forfeited lands was artfully placed by the side of the Claim to the ecolesiastical revenues; both represented as in the hands of foreign invaders, usurpers of the ancient rights of the Roman catholic clergy and laity. This ground of discontent would long ago have been forgotten, and lost in the minds of the lower orders, If it had not been industriously kept alive by the arts and interests of the clergy. If the formidable hierarchy thus established in Ireland could be abolished, if all hopes of possessing the revenues, the rank, and the powers of the established church; could be extinguished in the minds of the Roman catholic priests, perhaps concessions might be made to the Roman catholic laity with some degree of safety, at least comparatively with safety. But whilst that hierarchy remained, nothing could be safely yielded. It might 3 Z

might be suggested to effect this purpose, if a ought to be effected. But the change must be effected, not by any legislative provision, but by the Roman catholics themselves: they must place themselves in a situation which may render concessions safe are adverse to the establishment, cumor, consistently with its security, be admitted to full participation of political power with those who are friendly to it. They have, therefore, no right to complain that they are not admitted to full participation of sach political power. In this country, where the establishment of the protestant religion, and a reservation of it by the protestant su ree-sion to the crown, are become essential and fundamental articles of the constitution; where both are also essential and fundamental articles of the treaties of union between the three countries now forming one i apire; those who are adverse to both have 102 more right to complain of exclusion from political power, than those who are attaced, if any such now remain, to the ended family, maintaining the hereditary indefeasible right to the throne, and there-The refusing alluginace to the reigning fapaily, and giving their allegiance to another. 'the ground on which persons without proare excluded from an equal share of with me power with persons of property, in all ages, has found to be se-For the preservation of any governapplies directly to the subject. As s is without property must ever be the species number in any state, if they had an e pul share of political power with persons of property, it would be to expect from tiem .. force trance which the nature of man forbids us to expect, if it were lroped that, their numbers, and an equality of political who in every other respect must, as a body,

be said, that this was an argument for go-y ment to remain exclusively with others. ing into the committee, where measures | Upont this principle the preservation of the protestant religion, by the exclusion from political power of those who were hostile to it, had been long deemed essential to the preservation of our constitution both in church and state; and those who effected the revalution in 1688, and who pre-It must be their own act. Whatever may served the linerties then asserted by fixing be the religious establishment of a country, the succession to the crash exclusively in the political power of that country must be protestants, had acted on this principle. in union with that establishment, for it They had excluded from the throne, not cannot be long preserved, and those who only James, the Second, and his descendints, but also all the princes of the royal family to whom the right to the succession' would otherwise have belonged in preference to the House of Hanover; imputing to them no objection, as the ground of their exclusion, but that they were Roman Our ancestors their conceived catholics. that difference in religious opinion was a just ground of exclusion from political power; and they judged, from recent experience, that the liberties of the country, civil as we'll as religious, could not effecthally be preserved without sigh exclusion. -Add here he could not but recur to a marked difference between the Roman catholics of England and the Roman catholics of Ireland, as it materially affected the security of the protestant Succession to the crown. The Roman catholics of England swore to the maintenance of that succession. The Roman catholics of Ireland had refused to give the same cest of their allegiance, and now desired to be relieved from any test. The oath taken by the English Roman-catholics to the protestant succession differing, most importantly in this point, from the oath taken in Ireland, and from the oath first taken by the English Roman catholics, had been the subject of much discussion in 1790 and 1791; and it had fallen to him to bear no small part in that discussion. The Roman catholics of Ireland, had many years before, proposed to testify their loyalty by an oath of allegiance, and the laity were generally disposed to mahaving the physical power in their hands by, nifest their allegiance; not merely to the protestant prince on the throne, but to the power, and having therefore superior powers law of the land, confining, the succession 16.4 bedy, they would not endeavour to to protestants. But their superior clergy possess the property in the hands of those prevented, their giving this testimony of their submission to the law, exclaiming, be their interiors. So if equal political "Are we to be called upon to exclude from power were conceded to the Roman catho- succession to the crown, a prince to whom lies of Itoland, it would be to expect from it would hereditarily belong, only because them a forber mee, uttarly inconsistent be has embraced the Roman catholic religion with the Patters of wan, who project hat they only because he has rendered himself more would quetly suffer the church establish- worthy of that succession?" And this

exclamation, urged with violence, and supported by prejudices nearly allied to then own, prevailed - The oath proposed was therefore altered, and the Roman catholics of England swore to maintain the succes sion in the king and his family. The Ro man catholics of England following this example, first took a similar oath; but wishing to obtain further concessions, and conscious of the objections which might other, in more extensive terms in many respects, and particularly swearing to maintain the succession as limited by law, to the princess Sophia, and the heirs of her body, being protescents. A declaration, containing the effect of the oath proposed, was signed by almost all the principal Eaglish Comm catholics, clerse as well as loty; but the court of Romeobjected to it, and the apostolical years were ordered, not only to withdraw then countenance, but to pronounce the strongest ecclesiastical censures against it. These censures were accordingly promulged in form; and although conceived in very geueral terms, as probably it was not thought prudent to use, in England, the very strong language which had been used in Ireland, yet it was minifest that the engagement to maintain the projestant succession form d a principal objection to the oath. For the apostolical vicars proposed to the English catholics, either that the oath before taken by them should not be changed; or that they should substitute, either the oath taken by the Irish catholics, or a new form of oath, framed under the direction of the apostolical vicars, and which they offered to the consideration of their people. On none of these forms the maintenance of the protestant succession formed a part. In all, the obligation of allegiance was to the king and his family. The greater part of the English Roman catholics were, however, steady to their purpose of giving the full test of their submission to the law by which the crown had been placed on the head of the prince on the throne; considering it as folly, or mockery, or something worse, to swear obedience to the prince, and to refuse obedience to the law by which he became prince. At length the apostolical vicars, feating, as they acknowledged, a schisin which night have been fatal to the general interests of the see of Rome in England, submitted to so much of the proposed oath, obtaining a change in other matters; and they have themseves taken that oath which

the Irish hierarchy thought it monstrous to propose. Nothing could more strongly mark the different tempers and characters of the English and Irish catholics; a difference, orincipally springing from the unbounded inthority exercised over the latter by that hierarchy to which hiprincipally attributed the distracted state of the country. But this was not important only as it marked the different tempers and characters of the cabe made to this oath, they proposed an- tholies in the two countries, for this ex-Faordinary consequence might follow. If t should ever be the misfortane of the empire that the prince to who is the succession would otherwise belong should exclude 'musch' from a lawful title to that saccesson by embracing the Roman catholic retigion, the protestants of the whole empire, and the Roman catholics of England would be bound by their oaths to oppose his succession, and the Roman catholics of Ireland would be bound by their oaths to maintain y. A dreadful state of things, which we soust aid ntly pray may never hoopen, but which we should, at the same time anxiously endeavour to prevent -It must be manifest from this circumstance, if no other appeared of similar ten lency, that the Roman cashole hierarchy in Ireland still cherish the. hope that by some means the Roman catholic religion may again become the established religion of Iteland; a hope which the English cutholics have long abandoned with respect to England, and then first became quiet, orderly, and loyal subjects of the profestant government. To prevent the accomplishment of the hope thus sanguinely entertained in Ireland, the protestant ascentancy must be auxiously preserved. There can be no equality between the two religions; one must have the ascendancy, and there could be no doubt to which, consistently with the law and constitution of the empire, and the peace and happiness of Ireland, the ascendancy in Ireland ought to he given. The far greater proportion of the empire had embraced the protestant persuasion; the government was essentially protestau; the protestant church was tolerant in its principles, bearing with every denomination of christians: the Roman catholic church was intolerant; and the Irish catholic was taught to concede the name of christian to none but those in obedience to the see of Rome. It had been the folly of the time to depress the tolerant, to raise the intolerant religion, and to call the folly toleration. It had been the folly of the time to encourage the hopes of the Roman catho-

almost a foretaste of the dignities and revenues which they were anxious to seize, who had been guilty of this folly. It was absurd to expect that there could be peace in Ireland whilst hope should remain with the Roman catholics of subverting the protestant and establishing the Roman catholic religion; and particularly whilst any hope should remain with the Roman catholic priests of possessing the dignities and ref. venues of the protestant clergy. The pel tition, indeed, contained a declaration, " that the petitioners do not seek, or wish, in the remotest degree to injure or encroach upon the rights, privileges, immunities, possessions, or revenues appertaining to the bishops and clergy of the protestant religion as established by law, or the churches committed to their charge, or any of them," Giving credit for sincerity in this declaration to those who have signed the petition, it had been signed by few, even of the laity; and by none of the clergy; and the insertion of this declaration, utterly discordant not only with their protensions, but with their practice, seemed a more probable cause for the ckery (without exception) declining to sign the petition, than that which had been suggested by a noble lord, which was not founded in truth, but if true, ought of itself to raise no small alarm, as marking the strong distinction which the Roman catholic clergy of Ireland think fat, on all occasions to draw between the rights of elergymen, though unconnected with their cierical character, and the rights of laymen. The disclaimer on the part of the laity might have credit to a certain extent; but it could not be believed that the Roman catholic clergy neither sought nor wished for the rights, immunities, posses-sions, or revenues of the established church. To bring them to the state of ruled which would lead them to forbear seeking to dutain those rights, immunities, possessions, and revenues, whatever their wishes hight be, such advantages would be utterly and would only bring destruction on these who should engage in it. To produce that feeling in the minds of the Roman natholic clergy, the protestant church must be much more firmly established than if flow is in Ireland—It must be put beyond the charce of Nanger: Unfortunately, little pains and deplorable state had been deemed the most been taken to spread the reformation in that | desirable—a parish without a glebe-house,

lie hierarchy in Ireland, and to give them Lie priests had retained the possession of the parochial churches as long as the buildings remained in a state to be used as places of and Ireland had much to attribute to those worship, and quitted them only when in utter ruin Many churches have since been demolished in times of disturbance, or had fallen into decay from neglect. Not a few had been destroyed by violence, or had suffered considerably for want of repair, even before the reformation. "When churches were in better condition, and the service of the feformed church had been constantly performed, it had been read only in the English tongue, and was therefore unintelligible to the greater part of the inhabitants. In Wales the reformation had been completely established, though the inhabitants were generally ignorant of the English tongue; and this had been often attributed, and perhaps justly, to the obligation on the clergy to read the liturgy in the Welch tongue, and to the dispersion amongst the people, and the interior clergy, of a Welch translation of the scriptures. But in Ireland, although propositions had been made for adopting the same policy, and individuals had exerted themselves to effect this purpose, a contrary policy had prevailed, and it was generally understood that the superior influence of the Roman catholic clergy, in many parts of Ireland, was considerably promoted by their acquaigtance with that language in which alone communication could be had with many of the people. The English language having become more generally diffused, much perhaps might be done by a clergy tyracquainted with the Irish language. The state of the church in Ireland was truly deplorable. There were about 2,400 parishes, which had been thrown, by unions (many of them very improper, and some very recently made) into about 1,100 benefices, some of which extended over vast tracts of country. Many of the parishes had no church; and this was the case of a parish in Dublin, said to contain 20,000 inhabitants. Many of the benesces had no glebe, the ancient glebe havthey must kel that any attempt to obtain in the confounded with, and lost in the lands of lay-proprietors, so that it had become impossible to recover it for the use of the incumbent. Many more of the benefices had no glebe house, so that the clergy, men had no means of residence within his parish, at least, without building a glebehouse; unfortunately too, benefices in this spuntry. In many parts, the Roman catho: without a church, and (an almost necessary

ground to hope means might be found by degrees to provide the remedy. But above all, it was necessary to make it safe for a protestant to reside in every part of Ireland. There were many districts in which a protestant, unless a man of fortune, or under peculiar circumstances of protection, could not venture to fix his residence. In consequence, it had been observed, and particularly by a distinguished Roman catholic writer, that in many parts of Ireland a protestant daylabourer was not to be found. There were handicraftsmen in towns, were they might be in some degree protected, and might protect each other; but in many parts of the country not a protestant of the lower order could be found. This principally arose from the influence of the Roman catholic clergy, and the hatred which they excited in the minds of their people against the protestants, as Englishmen and heretics, for both of which description they used, in the Irish language, the same word. In consequence, a strong spirit of persecution prevailed; and, strange as it might seem to many of their lordships, he could venture to aver, that the protestant was in truth the persecuted religion in Ireland. And to such a degree was this intolerance carried, that, except in the north, few domestic servants of the protestant persuasion could be found. Even in protestant families, where there was a desire to have protestant Servants, it had been found almost impossible to procure them, or to retain them if procured unless all or nearly all the servants of the family were protestants. Where the Roman catholic servants from the information of others, he had of Ireland with Great Britain, .

The Earl of Suffolk rose and spoke as follows: I rise, my lords, to state the sentiments which occur to me, on the best consideration I have been able to give this important question; and in support of the vote which I this night mean to give. My lords, if I rightly understand the petition on your table, it goes to the claim of measures great body of his majesty's catholic subjects as a boon I should still say to your lordships

consequence) without a protestant inhabitant. I means of knowing the truth of this asserThis called loudly for remody, and there was tion; and he could particularly state that the applications for the Bonefit of charities in Dublin, established for patting poor children apprentices, were astonishingly numerous; and the reason assigned by those who applied was, that they could not get employment for their children as domestic servants, or labourers, and were compelled to bring tilem up to handicraft trades.—Viewing the state of Ireland as he did, he could not but repeat his conviction, that it was necessary, though the recessity was much to be deplored, to keep with anxious care, the remaining restrictions on the Roman catholics of that country. In his opinion not only the security of the church establishment, but the properties and even the lives of the protestants, and the connexion of Ireland with Great Britain, depended on the preservation of those restrictions, until a great and important change should be made, in the temper and conduct of the Roman catholics, and their priesthood should be put on a very different footing. To conciliation he had ever been, and ever should be, a warm friend, but the terms of conciliation must be very different from those proposed by the petition. He could not be deluded by pretence of conciliation to increase the power and means of offence of that hierarchy which tyrannised over those of their own persuasion, which set all law at defiance, and threatened at every moment the extirpation of the protestants of heland. The abolition of that hierarchy was in his opinion the first step to that conciliation which he believed could alone produce peace to Ireland: and the Roman catholic laity. desiring a full participation of the benefits of had once gained the superfority, or where the British law and constitution, one of the upper servants were of that religion, the which, and not the least important, is freeprotestants were soon compelled to quit their dom from ecclesiastical tyranny; must first service, unless protected by extraordinary throw off the yoke of their own priesthood: exertions of the family, or under some very which, whilst it exists in all its force, renneculiar circumstances. The poorer protes- ders the participation which they require tants had therefore great difficulties in put- dangerous to themselves, and atterly incomting out their children. As officially a trus- patible with the peace of the country, the tee of several charities in Dublin, as well as safety of the protestants, and the connexion

in Ireland, from certain restrictions and disabilities under which they still labour on account of their religion. And, any lords, I consider that claim not as of a boon, but as of a right which every. British subject in this united kingdom should enjoy as his birthright, who is not disentified thereto by any thing exceptionable in his principles, his which become necessary to the relief of a character or his loyalty! If I considered in

said with respect to the expediency or inexmenaced on all sides by a vigilant, during, implacable, and adventurous enemy. His fleets, we know, are this moment at sea, with the design of some desperate and hostile attack against the British dominionsand we know not the moment when, or the place where, he may effect a landing on some of our coasts; or, whether in Ir-land or the West-Indies. At such a moment, my lords, four millions of his majesty's ca-, tholic subjects are suppliants at your bar, for a full participation in those constitutional | " magna est w ritas et prevalebit." The norights, in which it is our glory and their anxious desire to participate, and which they will then be thus forcibly attached to defend and join in the common cause for but the noble baron so infinediately contraour common safety. Is it, or is, it not, then, wise to secure their attachments, to unite choir hearts and hands with our own against the common foe, and to maintain inviolable our common country? It has been said, by many noble lords who have spoken on the other side of this question, that enough has lords. I beg to know, then, when were been already granted to the catholics, that those terms held out? for certainly there you cannot grain more with safety to the was a strong expectation universally enterconstitution; and that you ought to make tained upon the subject, which must have your stand here. I do not agree with those had strong grounds somewhere; and if it noble lords; for, in my mind, if you do was not for the implicit acquiescence of the lies, for a series of years, has been only a pre- of the Latholies to that union? What other

-grant it to them—liberally and generously most del recable censequences from the renow, rather than at a future period, when fusal — My lords, I now proceed to ansthe justice of the claim and the policy of wer some arguments which felt from the no-acceding to it, will force itself upon your ble se retary of state in the course of last. lordships wisdom; and therefore, let it ra- night's discussion. My noble friend (lord ther be granted now, and with the appear- | Greaville), who introduced this subject, very ance of liberal concession, than at another properly, m, my mind, deprecated every period, when it will have that of being ex- species of warmth and intemperance, on torted from you. Much, thy lords, his been discussing this question, and a speech more moderate, more cool and dispassionate than pediency of the time for conceding those his own, I never heard within these walls. claims. In my opinion, my lords, this say But the noble secretary of state commenced the best possible time. We are, this speech with a degree of hear and vehement, and for some time past, have been mence, which from him I, should not have expected, and which was but ill calculated to procure attention, or give weight to his reasoning. But I appeal to the house, if, in the course of his speech, he stated any one argument which the noble baron did not anticipate and refute. A speech so fraught with justice, with truth, with sound argument, as that of the noble baron, must, I think, have carried conviction to the minds of your lordships, and would, I should hope, induce you to accede to his proposition, ble secretary of state accused the noble baron with using threats to intimidate this house into compliance with the measure; dicted the assertion, as to nake it unnecessary for me to say anything on that head.— My lords, the noble secretary has said, that there was no pledge in terms held out to the Roman catholics, at the time of the union, that this measure should pass. My not grant to the full extent, you do nothing, Irish catholics, upon the ground of such an to secure the affections, and the cordial at- understanding, you could not have carried tachment of the catholics. The whole the union. And, I ask, if this was not the course of your measures toward the catho- measure held out to secure the acquiescence lude to their final and complete emancipa-boon has been granted to the people of Iretion. If it is not to be granted now, you land since the union? None! that I know disappoint the arixiety and the hopes of the of, but additional taxes, and sending abroad catholic mind. You have taught them to a great part of the army that was for their expect it by the whole course of your poli- defence. A noble lord, whom I do not cy, and by your successive relaxations of the now see in his place, has said the measure penal code, in their favour. They have could never be granted concistently with the looked the with earnest expectation to the safety of the constitution; and other noble event they have polished their education, lords thought that some future period would they live enlarged their understandings: be more applicable. My lords, I think the and that is now withheld, he knows little of properest time is now, and that there should the Ruman mind that cannot anticipate the the mo longer delay, because, if you refuse

Irishmen—much the greater part of whom are tholic bishops being named by the pope, I catholics: upon this ground then, I am ex- am at a loss to conceive. It has been said tremely sorry to hear such arguments offered by his majesty's munister, or those who lies; for it must go to depress all ranks and lant king, at the head of a protestant state, classes of that people in the sister country, would chuse, as the keeper of his conby holding them, in so much lower estimation | science, a Roman catholic chancellor; or than any other class of their fellow subjects, I that he would exclude protestant judges and, than, I am sure, any other member of from the bench, for the sake of preferring this house entertains. I would ask the noble Roman catholics? As well might it be lord, when he talked of the security of our said, that he would deprive of their revenue's glorious constitution, and glorious it certain- protestant bishops, in order to confer them is: Was it to protestants we are indebted on those of the catholic religion; and I am for that invaluable jewel? I answer, no!! confident, that reverend bench opposite me for you obtained that constitution, magna have no such apprehensions, even if this charta, and all those inestimable rights that measure were now passed. With respect to form the chief bulwarks of British liberty (commands in the army, supposing, as I do, -at a time when the catholic religion was the catholics, who would be likely to obtain the faith of this country. What danger, them, are not only men of tried loyalty, but therefore, can be apprehended from catho- high honour and talents; I see no reason lies or their religious principles to that con-stitution which owes its origin to themselves? may not be as competent to render impor-With respect to what has fallen from the tant services to a protestant king, as a proroyal duke, I' reverence his respect to that's testant general or counsellor to a catholic religion, and those principles which intro-| monarch. My lords, was not the great duced his illustrious family to the throne Sully, first minister of the catholic prince of these realms; and under whose auspices this country has continued to enjoy so many signal advantages. But I always conceived that the Roman catholic prince, to whom his family succeeded, was driven from the throne, not for his religion, but his arbitrary principles, and the despotism he attempted to introduce. But, if a Roman catholic king, upon the throne of these realms, with all the power and influence he possessed, was unable to change the religion, or subvert the constitution of this country, and was hurled from the throne for the attempt; how is it possible that, under a protestant prince of the House of Brunswick, and a protestant legislature, such events have the most distant. probability of risk, from any indulgence that now remains to be extended to his majesty's catholic subjects in Ireland? My lords, it has been said the Ruman catholics of Ireland admit their allegiance to a foreign jurisdiction. I deny the fact; and I appeal to the petition on your table, which disclaims

the measure now, what is to be said of the concerns, in as great an extent as can be future strength of your navies and armies- required. And what danger can arise to the more than a third of which are manned by country, from the circumstance of the cathey want to secure and monopolize for themselves all the great offices of power support him, and that this measure is never, and of the state, and not only command to be granted: and the point on which I your armies and ficets, but to be ford chanfelt most sorrow at his declaration, was, cellors, judges, and privy counsellors. Why, where he said he had no confidence in the my lords, I appeal to the good sense of this principles or professions of the Irish catho- house, whether it is probable that a protes-Henry IV. a strict protestant, and was any incompetence or infidelity to his royal master imputed to him on that account? Was not marshal Turenne, one of the bravest and ablest generals ever the eatholic government had in its service, a strict protestant? and were his services on that account less brilliant? I might name many other instances equally illustrious, where the liberality of wise governments has risen superior to the low suspicions of bigotry, and scorned to hold that any man's religious opinions should lead him to violate an high, sacred and honourable trust. Are there not in the empire of Germany many independent states, wherein no difference is made with respect to religion in conferring places on employments? nay, are there not many towns and cities, where the catholics and protestants occupy the same churches, to celebrate their public worship, the catholics one half of the day, the protestants the other? Is it not the case throughout the United States of America, that every man and abjures any such jurisdiction in temporal is left to the religion he chuses to profess,

respect to the apprehensions expressed, that if this measure passes, the protestants of Ireland will be ousted from the parliament, and all the seats filled by catholics, I ask, has it not, been alleged even by the enemies of this measure, that nineteen-twentieths of the landed property of Ireland is in the hands of protestants, and must always command a proportionate share of electionech ing influence? ' How is this property to get out of their hands? But so long as things remain in this state, I have no apprehension of violation to the constitution of Ireland from the admission of catholics to seats in either house of parliament. There were some allusions made to the causes of the late rebellion in Ireland; and it was attempted to be shown that it was a catholic rebellion. Now I do fully agree with the moble baron near me, (lord Holland) that religion had nothing to do in the causes of rebellion; that its leaders were many of them protestants, and men of all sects were engaged in it, though the majority were necessarily catholics, as that is the religion of five to one of the whole population of Ireland: It has been objected, that by placing

and no idea of preference, or incapacity for catholics in the offices of sheriffs and under employments in the state attached to one sheriffs, you would give them an inordinate religion more than another? And with power and influence, which they would use to the subversion of protestant interest. To this opinion I cannot agree. I have been at some pains to obtain information upon the subject, and had some conversation with a réspectable gentleman, a Mr. Gregory, who possesses a considerable estate in the catholic county of Galway; and he told me that county was of late very quiet, much much quiet than usual, and the cause to which he attributed this repose was, that many catholic gentlemen had of date been appointed magistrates; and exerted their influence to quiet the county; a proof that catholics are not disposed to use the power placed in their hands to promote insurrection or excite commotion. In a word, my lords, I am convinced the prayer of that petition ought to be complied with. We owe it to the people of Ireland—we owe it to those to whom it was held out as a condition of acquiescence to the union, and to whom, as I said before, no boon has been given since the establishment of that measure, but an increase of taxes. If I were an Irishman, I would say to the legislature of this country, I am not that abject slave you take me for;

A.PPENDIX.

TO THE

PARLIAMENTARY DEBATES.

VOL/ IV.

PARLIAMENTARY PAPERS.

THE ELEVENTH REPORT OF THE COMMIS-SIQUEES OF NAVAL ENQUIRY, APPOINT-ED BY ACT 43 GEO. 111.—ORDERED TO BE PRINTED APRIL, 1805.

ISSUE OF NAVY BILLS FOR THE PURPOSE OF HAISING MONEY.

The Eleventh Report of the Commissioners appointed by an Act of the 43d Year of the Reign of his present Majesty, intituled, " An Act for appointing Commissioners " to inquire and examine into any Irre-" gularities, Frauds, or Abuses, which are " or have been practised by Persons em-" ploved in the several Naval Depart-" ments therein mentioned, and in the " Business of Prize Agency, and to re-" port such Observations as shall occur " to them for preventing such Irregula-" rities, Frauds, and Abuses, and for the " better conducting and managing the " Business of the said Departments, and " of Prize Agency in Inture."

I AVING understood that, during the late war, bills to a very considerable amount had been issued by the navy board, for the purpose of raising money; and this transaction appearing to us irregular, we deemed it a fit object for our inquiry. We at the same time made an investigation, in some degree connected with the subject, into a practice which had prevailed with the navy and transport boards, of making the bills issued by them at ninety days date, payable on the 89th day from the date of the bills, although interest for 30 days was allowed to the parties .- In the course of our inquiries anthese subjects, we discovered that sums had been advanced by the nary board, by way of impress, in hursuance of directions from the Mr. Cornwall Smalley; but he disapproving Vol. IV. Appendix.

lords commissioners of the treasury, for the performance of secret naval services.— The present report we shall confine to the consideration of these matters, in the order in which they have been mentioned.

ISSUE OF MANY BILLS FOR THE PURPOSE OF RAISING MONEY.

· Previously to the adoption of this measure, (Appendix, No. 1.) the lords commission, ers of the treasury directed the pavy board to cause it to be notified to the persons having ninety-day navy bills then due hop. No. 2.) "and wishing to have a renewal of the same, instead of receiving the amount in eash, that they might be accommodated with new bills bearing the same interest," which accommodation was discrete to be continued till further notice; but this mode not fully answering the purpose intended, (App. No. 1.) the expedient of raising money by the issue of navy bills was resorted to. This transaction appears to have arisen from a conversation between the comptroller of the navy and Mr. George Glenny, a merchant having large concerns with the victualling department, upon the difficulties which were occasionally experienced by delays in the issues of money from the exchequer for the service of the navy. In that conversation Mr. Glenny communicated the manner in which he had procured money on the wictualling bills, made out to him in payment ander his contracts, through the agency of Messrs. Goldsmids. This produced an interview the next day between the comptroller of the navy, Messrs, Goldanids, and Mr. Blenny, and the plantof drawing navy bills for the purpose of raising money was thereupon concerted.—The first set of these bills for 100,0001, was drawn on the

were destroyed, and dehors for the same amount were made out in the name of Mr George Glenny, (App. No. 3.) It wa afterwards thought right to make such bills payable to Messrs. Donaldson and Glenny, the firm of the house to which Mr. Glenny belonged.—The total amount of these bills, issued between the 24th of October, 1800, and the 5th of May, 1802, (App. No. 4) when the practice of drawing such bills was discontinued, was 4,300,000L, besides ning. ty days interest; which was added to give them the semblance of regular bills.—There was, however, this essential difference between them; the regular bills stated the particular kind of stores, or services, for which they were given in payment; these bills, expressed only, that they were for "sun-" dry naval services." (App. No. 5.)—Not being aware of any power in the navy board to draw bills of this description for the purpose above-mentioned, we sought information from the comptroller of the navy on the subject, (App. No. 1.) but he declined to answer the question put to him, under that clause of the act of parliament by which we are appointed, which provides, that no person shall be obliged to answer any question which may tend to criminate him, or expose him to pains or penalties; referring us for the authority under which he and the navy board had acted, to his majesty's order in council in June, 1796, for regulating the duties of the several members of the navy board, and to the patent by which they are appointed.—From these documents no such authority is derived, nor do we conceive the navy board can, without the express authority of parliament, issue bills to raise money to be applied to the service of the navy, or any other service.—It is not meant by the observations which our duty requires us to make on this transaction, to intimate, that it was undertaken with any indirect view, or to charge any abuse in the execution of the plan, after it was resolved upon; it is the measure itself which we have to notice.-It is proper likewise to state, that these bills were issued under the directions of the lords commissioners of the treasury, signified at the time of each issue by letters from their secretary to the navy board, which were inclosed confidentially to the comptroller, and the produce of the bills was paid to the treasurer of the navy; the letters entered in the Appendix show how this business was conducted. (App. Nos. 6, 7, and 8.) - We to have arisen from their having advanced

of his name being made use of, these bills money for the service of the navy, stated to have existed in the years 1800, 1801, and 1802, arose from any unusual deficiencies in the navy estimates for those years; the comptroller could not speak to the estimates, (App. No. 1.) but informed us, that the difficulties which led to the adoption of this plan were owing sometimes to a deficiency of money in the treasury, and sometimes to the naval supplies being exhausted, which he believed to have been the case in Oct. 1800. The paymaster of the navy stated (App. No. 9.) the general occurrence of official difficulties in applications for money, and that such difficulties might have occurred at the period when these bills were issued; although he did not recollect any correspondence of the treasurer of the navy with the treasury or navy board, which led-or related to the issue of these bills.—It was certainly proper that the public credit should be supported, but we cannot admit that this measure was indispensably necessary for that purpose pror can we allow the validity of the reason given for it by the comptroller, (App. No. 1.) namely, that no funds were exclusively set apart for the payment of ninety-day bills, the amount being uncertain; it is in the nature of the thing that an estimate should be uncertain. All the supplies for the navy are annually voted by parliament on estimate, and the amount paid by ninety-day bills, forming no inconsiderable part of the navy expenditure, must of course be included in the general provision for navy services.—We were led by the examination (Apr. Nos. 1 and 5.) of the comptroller and chief clerk in the office for bills and accounts, to imagine that the advantage which might accrue by the interest on the hills, from the time of their date to the time of their being negotiated, was to be the remuneration to the brokers for their trouble; (App. No. 10.) but by an account afterwards laid before us, we found, that they had made the usual charge of one-eighth per cent. commission, and had given credit to government for the interest on the days elapsed before the bills were negotiated. The amount of Messrs. Goldsmids' commission on the negotiation of these bills was 5,375l. -On examining Messrs. Goldsmids' account, it appeared in the first entry, that they had not given credit to the public for the full amount of the produce of the bills at the time of negotiating them. But this is stated by Messrs. Goldsmids (App. No. 11.) inquired whether the difficulties in obtaining the money before the bills were actually

negotiated.—This circumstance could not be It the suggestion of the officers of the navy discovered from any thing on the face of the hoard, that the rule now again adopted in account. We are of opinion, that all accounts rendered to the public board, should be made out with such particularities as may be necessary to a clear exhibit of each item, which will greatly facilitate the examination they understood these bills were, as nearly as of the accounts.

LOSS ARISING FROM THE MODE OF PAYING THE INTEREST ON NAVY AND TRANSPORT

By an act of the 34th of his present majesty, cap. 21, it is enacted, that after the 5th day of April, 1794, all navy and victualling bills shall be made payable on a certain day, not later than fifteen months from the date of each bill; and that the interest on such bills as shall carry interest, shall commence from the day on which the said bills shall be registered.—And by a subsequent act of the 37th year of his present majesty, cap. 26, it is directed, that all navy, victualling, and transport bills, shall be payable on a certain day, to be expressed in each bill, which day shall anot be later than three calendar months from the day of the date thereof; and that every such bill shall carry an interest after the rate of 31d per cent. per diem, to commence from the day of the date of each bill.— Upon the passing of the last act, the commissioners of the victualling transmitted to the navy board an account of victualling bills payable in course, which had been registered in the month of Jan. 1797, noting them to become due in ninety days from the day of the date, which day was not included -The navy board, on receipt of the account, stated their objections to this method of computation in a letter to the victualling board, (App. No. 12.) in which they observed, that all bills made out under the same act, should be uniform in all their terms; that on all occasions dependent on time, it was customary to include both the first and last day; that this mode of computing interest on the navy bills had prevailed during the late act, was followed in reasons offered in favour of the existing the transport office, and was in conformity practice, the committee refer to the considewith the present act.—The commissioners ration of the board, whether it might be of the victualling, in reply, (App. No. 13.) proper at that time "to depart from the of the victualling, in reply, (App. No. 13.) forcibly controvert these positions. They states, that it had always been the custom of their office not to include the first and last days in the calculation of interest, until that rule had been departed from in reckoning and the custom of merchants in discountthe interest on the bills issued under the 34th ing bills."-In consequence of this miof his present majesty, and which was done; nure the navy board, upon the 18th of the

the victualling office was consistent with that universally observed in respect to bills of exchange; to which, except in the instance of the three days grace tisualy taken, possible, to be rendered similar: that they conceived their mode to be conformable to the act of parliament, and that it had not occasioned the slightest remonstrance from uny persons receiving or holding their bills. To mis letter no answer appears to have been returned.—In pursuing different lines of conduct on the same occasion, both the boards could not be right; and, as the interest of the public was committed in the question, it might have been expected that a reference would have been made to the superior board for a decision, particularly by that board whose conduct, if erroneous, subjected the public to a loss.—It does not, however, appear (App. No. 14.) that this difference produced either reference or inquiry; and the rule adopted by the navy board, of making the ninety-day bills payable on the eighty-ninth day from the date, continued in practice in that and the transport office until the month of Dec. 1991.— On the 12th of that month a minute (App. No. 15.) from the committee of accounts (one of the committees into which the navy board is divided) stating, that doubts had been suggested of the propriety of the mode in which ninety-day bills issued from that office had been made out, was referred to the board at large.—In this minute the committee set forth the propriety of their present mode of proceeding, grounded on the established practice of their orlice, and the necessity of keeping faith with the merchants, who had been used to this mode of computing the interest on their bills. They also express their doubles whether any saving would accrue to the public from the day's interest in question, on a presumption that the contractors reckon upon it in the terms of their contracts; yet, not withstanding these ancient usage of the office, and make an alteration in the compatation of the time the finety-day bills are made out to run, in conformity with the victualling office,

same month, came to afresolution, that the victualling board they were before fully acnavy bills issued in future should not bear quainfed with, and had rejected. It is interest for the day on which they are dated, certainly the rule, in the paying of wages, agreeably to the practice with regard to ex- salaries, &c. as observed by the navy board, chequer bills, and bills made out at the victualling office, and direct this change to commence on the following day.—Previously to our having any knowledge of the above minute and resolution, we had desired information of the navy board as to the causes of the rejection, and subsequent adop- days; but by the navy board's mode of tion, of the mode in use at the victualling making the ninety days interest payable on office, of excluding the day of the date in the eighty-ninth day, a person might obtain reckoning the interest on the ninety-day The rejection of that mode is no otherwise accounted for (App. No. 16.) than by observing that they did not see reason, on receiving the letter from the commissioners of the victualling, to change the constant practice of their office since the first establishment of navy interest bills, and which extended to all payments of salary, pensions, full or half-pay, and all other allowances issued from that department. The reasons assigned for the subsequent alteration, are, that an uniformity might pervade the several public boards from which bills of a similar description are issued; and that when the time arrived at the conclusion of the late war, that many contracts were put up, and many more were intended to be so, it was thought a good opportunity for making an alteration in the mode of paying the interest on navy bills, which could not, without imputation of departing from an universally understood custom, be altered whilst the contracts were pending. It is likewise intimated to be immaterial whether the bills were paid one day sooner or later, the sum paid for the interest being the same in one case as the other. We shall now make some remarks on the above proceedings of the navy board, and afterwards observe on the bills drawn by the commissioners of transports, -Although the navy board might have been uninformed of the general practice of *computing the discount on bills of exchange, and the mode of calculating interest on exchequer bills, yet, when the victualling . board so strongly pointed out to them that they were in error, and that error injurious to the public, we think they should have instituted an inquiry had they done so, they must have come to the same conclusion then which they did afterwards and on the same grounds; for the method of competing interest on exchequer bills, was the same in

to include both days, but nothing is gained by this to the person receiving such payment, as his time is afterwards reckoned from the day next to that included in the former account, so that in the course of the year he is only paid for three hundred and sixty-five three hundred and sixty-nine days interest in the course of the year; or, by following up that mode, a day's interest might be gained every time individuals changed their security, provided the money was again lent on the day of its being received. * The faith to be preserved with persons whose contracts were pending, is a circumstance much insisted upon in the navy hoard's letter to us, and in the minute of the conimittee of accounts. In order to judge what influence that consideration could have had upon the resolution of the board at the time it was carried into execution, we called for an account, shewing what standing contracts had determined between the 1st of October and 19th of December, 1801. (the day on which the alteration took place) and an account of such as were then in force, and continued to be so for twelve months. By the return made to our precept, (App. No. 17.) it must appear how little the consideration of keeping faith with the contractors could have influenced the decision of the navy board, as only two contracts, of small consequence, had terminated within the first-mentioned period; and one hundred and twenty-three contracts were remaining in force a year after the change, beyond which time we thought it useless to carry our inquiries; and the change being ordered to take effect the day after the resolution, operated immediately upon all these contracts, contrary to what was alleged to be the common understanding of the parties.—It further appeared, by the examination of the secretary to the navy board, that although the contractors were not consulted on the alteration, they did not object to or complain of it, confirming in this respect what has been observed in the letter of the victualling board. The commissioners of transports, (App. No. 18) in answer to our application to them on the subject, informed us, that they had allowed 1797 as in 4601, and the practice of the interest both for the day on which the bills

were dated, and that on which they became prence of opinion should be laid before the payable, because the persons to whom pay- flords commissionels of the admiralty, for ments were made by ninety-day bills were, in strictness, entitled to their money upon the Hifferent lines of conduct must tend to procompletion of the services performed; but that an interval of several days almost invariably occurred whilst their accounts were under investigation, and the bills preparing; | MONEY IMPRESTED BY THE NAVAY BOARD, and because, according to their construction of the act of parliament, they concrived it to have been intended that the hills should be so paid.—If the transport board thought themselves justified in their beeff advanced, by way of imprest, during practice by their construction of the act, they should not have departed from it without legal advice, or proper authority. If a compensation, in proportion to the delay, was looked for by the contractors, one day's interest was not sufficient. It would seem that this board followed the course taken by the navy board, and altered their practice when they found that the navy board had resolved upon a change. - We rather think the contractors did not look for any anticipation of payment, as a compensation for the loss of time whilst their accounts were passing, some delay in which must necessarily occur, and that it had not then, nor has since had, any weight with them in regulating the prices at which they made their tenders to government.—The following is the amount of ninety-day bills, issued between the 20th of Dec. 1790, and the 10th of Dec. 1801, at an interest of three-pence halfpenny per cent. per day, allowed both for the day of the date and the day of the payment of the bills:

Issued by the navy board

(App. Nos 19 & 20) £19,747,835 11 11 Transport board - - 0,305,976 11 7

220,058,812 3 6

Loss of one day's interest

on the above sum - - £3,799 10 3 Although the loss of between 7 and 800l. per annum adds little to the amount of the national expenditure, yet it is because the possible care should be taken to avoid any improper increase of it, such as we think this to have been. To the public, one day's interest on ninety, operating on so many

their decision thereon, as the pursuing of duce dissatistaction, and will in general be found to be injurious to the public interest.

FOR SECKUT NAVAL SERVICES.

On examining the book containing the registry of bills issued by the navy board, we discovered that considerable sums had the late war, for the performance of secret naval services.—The imprest against one of these parties, amounting to 100,000l. having been taken off, and the account closed in the books of the navy office in a manner which appeared to us irregular, we inquired into the circumstances of the transaction. - From the examination of the comptroller of the navy, (App. No. 1.) we learned that this sum had been advanced by the navy board, in consequence of directions given by the lords commissioners of the treasury to the comptroller, which were marked "most secret;" that 5,000l, had been repaid into the hands of the treasurer of the navy, and that the remaining imprest of 95,000l. had been cleared, or taken off, by the direction of the lords commissioners of the treasury, signified by their secretary's letter to the navy lunral (App. No 21.) dated the 1st of May, 1804. -By his Majesty's order in council for regulating the navy office, dated the 8th of June, 1796, the comptroller of the navy is authorized to execute such secret naval services as may be directed by the first lord of the admiralty for the time being; and, after the services are performed, the comptroller is required to communicate his orders, and his proceedings thereon, to the navy board for their concurrence. In case a majority should disapprove of any part of his conduct, they are to submit the whole, with the reasons for such disapprobation, to the first lord of the admiralty, necessary expenditure is so great, that all whose decision thereon is to be considered as find.—Upon this order in council, instructions were drawn up by the lords commissioners of the admirally for the conduct of the navy board, and they were millions, was a consideration, to each in- directed to conform thereto by their lorddividual it was not. We think it right to ships order of the 17th of Aug. 1,706, in observe, whenever a difference of opinion which there is an omission that we think may arise between the naval boards on the it right to notice.- It is not stated in that construction of an act of parliament, or any order, under whose directions or authority other general regulation, that such diffe- the comptroller is to perform the secre

thorized to execute such duty." Whereas in the order in council, after stating that contracts of every kind are to be made by the board at large, those for secret services excepted, which are to be entrusted to the comptroller, it is expressed, "That the lord of the admiralty for the time being." This omission should be rectified, as we are of opinion, it is of considerable importance that the several subordinate boards should have precise information not only of the extent of the authority vested in them, but of the authority under which they are to act. -The navy board; by their patent of appointment, are required to follow such orders and instructions as they may from time to time receive from the lord high, advaral, or the commissioners for executing the office of lord high admiral for the time being and it does not appear that they are authorised to follow directions which may be given to them by any other depart-ment of government. It is, however, stated by the comptroller and the secretary, (App. Nos 1 and 14) that the board have beca in the constant practice of receiving and following directions from the Jords commissioners of his Majesty's treasury, in certain cases, which they have enume-It also appears, by the account entered in the Appendix, No. 4 that 16,000l. have been advanced by the navy board to Messra Hammersley and company for the performanca tria secret naval service, which was directed by one of his Majesty's principal secretaries of state. If it be judged expedient that the pary board should follow the directions of any other department of government than that under which they are placed exclusively by their patent, we think they should be furnished with specific authority to that effect; and that they should these services are not yet ferminated, we innocusedepart from their instructions with- can only recommend, that as soon as they out the sanction of the lards commissioners | are completed, the communication, directed of the admiralty. It is stated by the comptroller, that the services for which the sum in question of 100,000l. was advanced, were not performed under his orders, but under the directions of the lords commissioners of the treasury, by whose authority the imprest was cleared, without any account of the expenditure of the money being renderel to the navy board. It is most probable that a communication of the circum-

services therein-mentioned; the order runs stances of this transaction was made to the thus: "All contracts are to be made by the first lord of the admiralty at the time of board at large, those for secret services advancing the first part of this money; it only excepted, which are to be entrusted does not however appear, from his lordto the comptroller, who is hereby au-ship's examination, that he directed the measure; .(App. No. 22.) and the first lord of the admiralty, at the time the last advance was made, and when the imprest was taken off, (App. No. 23.) was altogether uninformed of the transaction,-Notwithstanding the time which has elapsed secret services above-mentioned should be since the advance of the money, and the performed under the authority of the first injunctions of the order in council, we find that the comptroller has not communicated to the navy board his proceedings in this business, or even made them acquainted with the nature of the service performed. The reason given by the comperoller for this departure from his instructions is, that the service is of so delicate a nature, that he does not think it prudent to make the circumstance public.—After this declaration we forebore to inquire into the purposes to which this money had been applied, and, of course, have no evidence of the nature of the services performed; yet we have reason to believe, that they were of such a nature as to come within the description of naval services. There are circumstances, connected with the public interest, which have induced us to withhold the names of the parties to whom the money was advanced, and the nature of the service to which we apprehendeit had been applied; but, as the only security which the public have for the propriety of the disbursements of public money by the comptroller of the navy, which may be considered of a secret nature, is the ultimate investigation of the naty board, to which all transactions of this nature are directed by the order in council to be submitted, upon the completion of the services, we think so salutary a regulation ought not to be departed from. -By the account entered in the App. No. 4, it will be seen that other sums have been advanced by the navy board for the performance of secret naval services; but as by his Majesty's order in council, be made to the navy board.

(Signed) Cir. M. Por.E. (<u>1</u>8) EWAN LAW. (1..s)

John Forn. (L.S.) " HENRY NICHOLLS." (L.S)

WM. MACKWORTH PRAED. (L.S.)

Office of Naval Enquiry, No 24, Great George Street, 4th March, 1805.

APPENDIX: No. I. The Examination of discount of near filteen per cent. which was SirA. S. Hamond, Bt. Comptroller of the Navy; taken upon Oath, the 6th of June, 1804.-Q. What was the amount of the bills issued linereased. The great object, therefore, was to Messrs. Donaldson and Glenny, Mr. George Glenny, and to _____ on account of sundry naval services? A. To Messrs. Donaldson and Glenny, between October 1800 and May 1802, the sum of four millions three hundred thousand pounds; and to _____ at three different periods, between October 1799 and March 1801, one hundred thousand pounds. — Q. Did these bills differ in form from the bills usually issued at ninety days? A. In no other way than in stating, that they were for general naval service; the other, bills particularising the services for which they were issued.—Q. Had there been any stores delivered, or services performed by those persons, at the time of issuing those bills, or were they under orders to perform any service, or to purchase any stores for the use of the navy? A. There were stavices performed by ———— but by the others none. These bills were issued for the purpose of the amount being paid into the hands of the treasurer of the navy to discharge ninetyday bills then become due, by order of the lords commissioners of the treasury. will be here necessary for me to state, in order to give the commissioners a clear idea of that transaction, that an act of parliament took place in 1796, for discharging all navy bills in ninety days. The treasury were occasionally apprized of the time the bills became due. — In • September 1800, money not being then in the treasury sufficient to discharge the ninety-day bills then become due, their lordships gave orders that such persons as thought proper might be accommodated with new ninety-day bills in discharge of those due, until farther order. But more bills becoming due to persons who were desirous of receiving their money, their lordships directed an issue of bills to be put into the hands of the broker employed by them to dispose of exchequer bills, who undertook, upon receiving the bills, to pay the amount into the hands of the 43d Geo. III, cap. 16.—Q. In what manner treasurer of the navy, for the purpose is money supplied to the treasurer of the above-mentioned. The treasurer was informed by the navy board of the circumstance, and directed to receive the money for the purpose of discharging the bills as they became due. — The mode of paying navy bills at ninety days was an immense saving to the public, as, previous to the act

borne by the public, and had it not been for this timely remedy, would certainly have to keep up the credit of the ninety-day bills, and as no fund was exclusively set apart for the payment of them, the amount being perfectly uncertain, the expedient of issuing new bills to pay those becoming due was absolutely necessary to keep up their credit; the sums so issued were regularly paid into the hands of the treasurer of the navy, and the public suffered no loss thereby beyond the common interest.—Q. Were any persons accommodated with these bills, other than the holders of ninety-day bills then due? A. No.—Q. Was the amount of the bills imprested, or charged against the persons to whom they were issued, or any other person? A. No. they were not issued by way of imprest; the brokers who were employed by the treasury for the sale of exchequer bills, Messrs. Benjamin and Abraham Goklsmids, and Messrs. Antrobus and Wood, had the bills made out to such persons as they thought proper; they undertaking to be security for the payment, and producing the parties of the navy board, and frequently paying the money into the hands. of the treasurer before the bills could be made out.—Q. Had bills for the purpose of raising money ever been issued previous to October, 1800? A. Not that I know of, there does not appear to have been any occasion. - Q. Have the navy board, or comptroller of the navy, by act of parliament or patent, power to draw bills otherwise than for services performed, or stores supplied, or by way of imprest for services to be performed, or stores to be supplied? A. I have an objection to answer that question. You, gentlemen, have before you the navy patent, and order in council, on which the board is to act. You also know the comptroller has an authority to execute any secret services entrusted to him by the government,—Q. Upon what grounds do you object to answer the above question? A. Upon the fifth section of the act of the navy, for the services of that department? A. By the commissioners of the navy authorising the treasurer of the havy to solicit the lords commissioners of the treasury, and which had been done in the cases before recited, previous to receiving any order for issuing the navy bills above-mentioned, of parliament taking place, bills were at a Q. Were any of the bills issued to Messrs. Do-

those persons? A. To they were, but them? A. None. Q. By whose direction not to the others; the order from the trea- were the brokers made to account to the oury directing the amount to be placed as an I treasurer of the navy for the produce of such prest, and those issued to the other persons nut so charged against them? A. Because the former were to defray the expense of secret services performed by him, and the treasurer to receive such amount.—Q. Have latter paid the amount of the bills they re- the persons to whom the bills were issued ceived immediately into the hands of the duly accounted for the same? A. They treasurer of the navy. -Q. Was the whole amount of the four millions three hundred thousand pounds, for which bills were made out to Messrs. Donaldson and Glenny, paid over to the treasurer of the navy? A. Yes. -Q. What was the nature of the directions given by the lords commissioners of the treasury, for issuing the bills? A. Their lordships directions were given by their secretary's letters to the navy board, and enclosed confidentially to the comptroller. Q. Was the amount of the bills to be issued at different times specifically directed, or was it left to your discretion, or the discretion of the way board? A. The amount was specifically directed.—Q. What means were taken to discharge these bills when they became due? A. The same means as were used for the paying of other ninety-day bills when they became due, and of which they became a part.—Q. Were all these bills paid off when they became due, or were other bills issued in lieu of them? A. They were paid off when they became due. -Q. What interest or advantage did the persons in whose favour the bills were drawn derive from them? A. Not the least; they only permitted their names to be inserted by the desire of the brokers who undertook to pay the amount -Q. Were these bills payable at ninety days, and the interest for that time added to the principal sum of the bill? A. They were exactly upon the footing of all other bills, and bore an interest from the navy for the principle and interest of the bills? A. They regularly accounted with the treasurer of the navy for the prinapal, who certified the receipt with other moneys that had come into his hands. The payments being made so soon after the issue

naldson and Glenny, Mr Gen. Glenny, or to for the benefit of the brokers.—Q. What -, charged as an interest against any of allowince had the persons for negotiating by the navy board to pay the amount into the hands of the treasurer of the navy, and a letter was at the same time written to the have.—Q. When were the bills in favour of issued? A. At different times, from the 4th October 1799 to 14th March 1801.—Q. When, and by what order, was the imprest against - taken off? A. had paid five thousand pounds of it into the hands of the treasurer of the navy some time back, and the remaining improst of ninery-live thousand pounds was taken off within these two months, by an order from the lords of the treasury.—Q. What was the reason given for removing the imprest? A. That their lordships were satisfied that the naval services for which the money had been issued had been actually performed.-Q. Did the may board ever pay money, or issue bills on account of se-when that service has not been naval.—Q. Are such secret services performed under the orders of the navy board, or are they made acquainted with the services? A. If the order from government is directed to the comptroller " secret and confidential," he communicates only with the committee of accounts till the service is executed, and then lays the whole transaction, with his proceedings thereon, before the board, for their judgment and decision.—Q. Have you laid before the navy board all the circumstances of the transaction respecting the one hundred thousand pounds issued tothe day they were issued.—Q. For what for their judgment and decision? A. The purpose was interest added? A. The in-letter from the treasury directing the clearfor their judgment and decision? A. The terest was added agreeably to act of parlia-ing bill to has been read to the ment. Q. Did the persons employed to ne-board; but the service is of so delicate a nagotiate the bills account to the treasurer of ture, that, although I am acquainted with it, I still think it prudent not to make the circumstance public.—Q. Was the service performed by ____ under the orders of the comptroller? A. No. -Q. Under whose directions were those secret naval services performed, for which the imprest of one of the hills very little interest could occur hundred thousand pounds was made to

knowledge and approbation of the first lord months in the office, and undergoing the of the admiralty.—Q. Have ———— ac-necessary examination, a ninety-day navy counted with the navy board for the expen-tibil was delivered to the party for the diture of the money imprested against them amount, specifying only the general heads A. No -Q. Have monies for secret service of the service performed. -Q. Why was ever been issued by the navy board to any the former practice departed from? A. I other persons that _____ of the expendature of _____ have given the reason in my former answer. diture of which the navy board were not ultimately made acquainted? A. No, if the the particulars of the articles in the hills. services have been terminated.—Q. Had more especially as the increase of business previous to the imprest being removed, to might appear superfluous.—Q Has it been account for the produce of the bills issued to them? I. No, it was not a service that the navy board have been acquair ted with.-Q As it appears that several bills were made out on the 23d of October 1800, to Mr. Cornwall Smalley for sundry naval services, the navy board to pay? A. Which were forwarded to him, and returned on the following day, cancelled and burned, you are desired to explain the nature of this transaction? A. The bills that were made out to Mr. Glenny were originally made out to Mr. Smalley, but Mr. Smalley states and of the properties of the navy, about the time of irruing the lift, to the properties of the navy, about the time of irruing the lift, to the properties of the navy, about the time of irruing the lift, to the properties of the navy, about the time of irruing the lift. ting an objection, they were cancelled, and the time of issuing the bills to the persons Mr. Glenny was brought by Mr. Goldsmid before-named, regularly supplied with the the next day.—Q. What was the nature of money he was desired by the naver board to. the objection stated by Mr. Smalley? A. As apply for? A. No.—Q. Did any difficulties he had other concerns with the board, he seemed to think it might interfere with them -Q. Was any minute made on the proceedings of the board respecting the is- was adopted; but there would have been a suing or negotiating of the bills to Messrs, very serious inconvenience, if some mea-Donaldson and Glenny, Mr. George Glenny, sure of that sort had not been resorted to, and _____? A. I do not think there to prevent the navy bills falling into disrewas; it was considered as a confidential ser- pute, and frequently for want of ready movic -Q. In the account of out-standing new called for on a sudden to send down to bills laid before parliament, as forming a the ports to pay ship's companies.—Q. At part of the debt of the navy, were these the time of issuing the hills in question, bills distinguished from those issued for services performed, or stores supplied? A. were there any, and what bills, which the
vices performed, or stores supplied? A. I do not remember the paydays first issued? A. I think about January
ment of any bills having been deferred.—Q.
1797, the bill passed the 30th December

Did the lords commissioners of the admiral-1796 -Q. Previous to that time did the bills; ty give any directions to you or to the navy issued so specify the nature, quantity, and quent conversations with the first lord of price of the articles for which they are issued in payment? A. Previous to the passing of the act, the bills for stores were miralty on these points.—Q. Was it officinade out at the yards, according to the ally communicated to the admiralty, that was exacted, therefore the yards were di- Commissioners]' Non. IV. Appendix.

? A. Under the direction of the lords rected to send up certificates of the stores commissioners of the treasury, and with the they had received, which, after lying three and it was not thought necessary to detail - been called upon by the navy board, rendered it necessary to abridge whatever the practice of the navy board to draw bills on the treasurer of the navy, or to pay money in compliance with directions from the lords of the treasury? A Yes -Q. What is the nature of the services for which the warrants and prices; but as the new mode the navy board were about to issue, or that of payment was thought to be more bene- they had issued such bills? A No. ficial to the merchants; three months credit (Signed by A. S. Hamond, and by the

or the purpose of raising money than in irmer years? A. I do not recollect; the mount was always uncertain.—Q. Are the otes of money for the service of the navy sade on estimates laid before parliament by ie navy board, of the probable expenses in ne ensuing years? A. The estimates votd by pathament are according to the numer of men intended to be employed, at the ate of 71, a man per month; but the ordiary and extraordinary of the navy are voted eparately on estimates laid before parlianent.-Q. Did the expenses of the navy ince the establishment of the ninety-day sills, in the years in which isoney was raised by the bills issued as before described, exceed the estimates in a greater degree han in the years preceding, in which this measure was not had recourse to? A. I must refer to the expense of the navy for those years for an answer to that question. -Q: Did the necessity of raising money by issning ninety-day wills for that purpose, arise from a deticiency in the votes for naval services? . A. Sometimes I conceive the necossity arose from a deficiency of money in the treasury, at others from the naval supplies being exhaused, as I believe was the case in October 1500, when the measure was first resorted to .- [Signed by Sir Andrew Harnond and the Commissioners]

No. H. Mr. Long to the Commissioners of the Navy, dated Treasury Chambers, 7th Sept. 1800 - Gentlemen, I am commanded by the lords commissioners of his Majesty's treesary to desire that you will signify to the treasurer of the navy, the desire of this board, that he notify to the persons having ninety-day pavy bills now due, and wishing to have a renewal of the same, instead of receiving the amount in cash, that they may be accommodated with new bills, bearing the same interest; and that he would continue the same accommodation of the parties till further notice from this board. I am, gentlemen, your most humble seryant, Chas Long.

No. III. The Examination of George Glewry, Fry tal n upon Oath, the 22d June, 1804.-Q. Is applying that bills to the amount of one million sterling were drawn in your favour in the years 1800 and 3501, by money, withat circurstances led to the use frame, the result was, that there bulls were

The further Examination of Sir Andrew of your name, and what advantage did you name Hamond, Bart.; taken upon Oath, herive com that transaction? A. The circumstances which led to my name being count of the ninety-day bills more uncer- used, arose in the first instance from an acin in the years when the bills were drawn reidental conversation which took place between Sir Andrew Hamond and myself; when he inquired of me, if I did not meet with considerable difficulty in procuring money for the very large sums of victualling bills which necessarily pass through my hands. I answered, that I never met with eany difficulty. He asked me through what channel I obtained the money; I informed him, that I had been in the habit for several years of giving to Messrs. Goldsmids all the bills as I received them, on the condition that they would supply me with any sum of money, not exceeding the value of the bills, whenever I had occasion for it, without any previous notice. He continued his unquiry, and asked, to what extent Messis. Goldsreids could at any time furnish me with money without previous notice; I told him, that I never had had occasion to try to what extent they could go, but that I had understood from them they could raise from the extent of five-hundred thousand pounds in a day, if it were necessary. He inquired what cousideration I paid them; Linformed him, that the consideration varied according to the time the bills had to run when I received the money; from one quarter to one-eighth per cent. He asked me, how they could take that to avoid usury; I informed him, that they never discounted the bills themselves; they advanced money, and kept them until some person who had money to spare applied for such bills, charging five per cent, for the time the loan had been made, and the quarter or eighth per cent, as a brokerage. He mformed me, that their office was very often under great difficulties for want of ready noncy, when the treasury could not issue it, and asked, if I thought any means could be devised whereby they might obtain occasional assistance through the medium of such bills, and the Goldsmids; I informed him, that I would communicate with them, and let him know, I accordingly had an interview with Messrs: Goldsmids on the subject, and we waited the next morning on Sir Andrew Hamond together, when Mr. Goldsmid informed him, if such bills could be made out, that they could farnish the office with my sum they might require upon a day's notice. The only distiguity then was, how to issue the bills, and, after some consideration, I the navy board, for the purpose of raising agreed that the bills should be made payable

made out, sometimes one hundred thousand signed by three members of the board, paytor one hundred and fifty thousand pounds at a time, and I endorsed them and handed them over to Messis. Goldsmids, first having acknowledged the receipt of the bills to the board by letter. Here the transaction ended, as far as regarded me, and I derived no advantage or emolument, directly or indirectly, in contemplation or otherwise, from this transaction — Q. It appearing also that bills for a similar purpose, to the amount of three millions three hundred thousand pounds, were drawn in favour of Messrs, Donaldson and Glenny in 1801 and 1802, what circumstances led to the use of the firm of your house in preference to your name, and what advantage did you, or the house to which you belonged, derive from that transaction? A. The circumstance that led to these bills being made payable to Donaldson and Glenny was, that as they had considerable dealings with the public, it was conceived that the change might the better conceal from the public eye the temporary necessity which occasioned the issue of these bills; and neither Alexander, Donaldson por myself received, directly or indirectly, any advantage or emolument whatever, in coutemplation or otherwise, from this transaction.—Q. Havanywallowance been made, or is any allow ance to be made, to Messrs. Goldsimily tor negotiating such bills? A. I understand there either has, or will be, some consideration made to Messrs. Goldsnuds; but what the quantum is I do not know. [Signed by George Glenny, and by the Commissioners]

No. IV. Exhibits a detailed enumeration of these bills, specifying their amount, their daws, xc. &c.

The Examination of William No. V Faster, Esq. Chief Clerk in the Office for Bills and Accounts, in the Navy Office; taken upon Outh, the 31st May, 1804 .-sent situation, and what are the duties at- | " stores, or for the particular services."of the office for bills and accounts Q was charged against excludinge is then made out to the parties, issued to -

able at ninety day; the interest on which is added to the sum of the hill; and the nature of the services for which the bills are issued is stated on the bills.—Q. Have there been any bills made out for which neither stores have been delivered, nor services performed? A. I know of none, but these made out to Monaldson and Glenny, to -- and to Mr. George Glenny.—Q. What was the object of the bills issued to those persons? A. I apprehend as a tempolary assistance to government. (a) -Q. What was the nature of the directions you received of marking out such bills, and from whom? A. Papers requiring me at different periods to cause bills to be made out to Messrs. Donaldson and Glenny, to ----- or Mr. George Clenny, to certain amounts, signed in general by the comptroller of the navy singly.—Q. What orders were given to you for making out bills, for stores delivered, or services performed? A. I receive no orders; the bills are made out of course on the receipt of the certificate of the delivery of the stores at the yards, if found correct.—Q. To what amount do you apprehend bills to have been made one to the persons whom you have stated? A. About four millions four hundred thousand pounds, as follows:

To Mr. George Glenny - - 1,100,00) To Messes, Donaldson and Glenny 3,200,000 10-

£4,400,000

Q. Between what periods were such hills made out? A. Between October 1800, and May 1802.—Q. Did such bills differ in their form from those issued for stores delivered, or services performed? A. The wording of these bills was "On account of sundry naval services." The wording of Q. How long have you been in your pre- the others, " On account of the particular tached to it? A. I have been in my pre- Q. What was the nature of the charge or sent situation since Dec. 1801, and my imprest placed against the persons to whom duty is to superintend the whole business such bills, were issued? 2. An imprest What is the process of making out bills for amount of the bills issued to him, which was intores delivered, or services performed? A. cleared about a week or ten days ago, by an Upon the certificates of the delivery of the order from the lords commissioners of the stores at the yards being received at the of- treasury. There was no imprest charged tice, they are examined with respect to the against the other parous; they were not prices, to see that they are agreeable to the imprest bills, but regular bills, and consecontracts and warrants, and the computations quently no imprest could be charged .- Q. are checked, and if found correct, a bill of Do you know why the amount of the bills - was charged us

them? A. I'do not.—Q To whom were employed in negotiating the bills were although the bills delivered? A. They were in gellowed the usual commission, of one-eighth meral sent to Mr. Glenny, or delivered to per cent —(e) The accounts have been de-Mr. Goldsmid. Q. You have said, that livered in to the treasurer of the navy; but you apprehend the bills were issued as a temporary assistance to government; in what manner were they rendered effectual to that purpose? A. I conceive they were disposed of, and the produce appropriated for the use of government. (b)—Q. In what manner was the produce of such bills accounted for ? A. I do not know. (c) -Q. What allowances have been made to the persons employed in negotiating and discounting such bills? A. I do not know. (d)—Q. Have the accounts of the persons to whom the bills were issued been finally settled, and when? A. I do not know that they have any account. (e)-Q. In the register of bills for the year 1800, there appears to have been issued on the 23d October, to Cornwall Smalley, Esquire, sixtynine bills, on account of sundry naval services, which appear by a notation in the book to have been returned on the following day, cancelled, and burned; state.what you know relating to this circumstance? A. It was not in my time, and I do not know any thing relating to the circumstance.-Q. When was the present mode of paying the contractors by bills at ninety days first adopted? A. In December, 1796.—Q. Previous to that time did the bills specify the nature, quantity, and price of the articles, for which they were issued in payment? A. Yes, the account made out at the yard of the stores delivered, was the bill, afterhaving been registered in the navy office, and signed by the board; since that time the bills have only specified the nature of the stores.—Q. What was the reason for departing from the practice of making, out the bills in the former mode? A. It was done by direction from the lords commissioners of the treasury.—[Signed by W. Foster, and by the Commissioners.

the question, " What was the object of the bills issued to those persons?". I now answor, they were issued to them for the service of the navy.—(b) The bills were displied of by Messts. Benjamin and Abraham Goldsmids, and Messrs. Antrobus and Wood, and accounted for by them to the treasurer of the navy.—(e) The amount of the bills

an imprest against him, and those issued to was accounted for, as I have before said, to the other persons not so charged against the treasurer of the navy.—(d) The persons whether they are finally settled or not, I cannot say. [Signed as before]

Further Interrogatories put to William Foster, Esq. this 1st Day of June, 1804. -Q. How do you know that the bills issued to Messrs. Donaldson and Glenny, and Mr. George Glenny, were for the service of the navy? A. From accounts having been rendered by Messrs. Goldsmids, and Messrs. Antrobus and Wood, the brokers to the treasurer of the navy, of the produce of such bills -Q. Is it the usual practice of the navy office to charge all persons with imprests for money advanced to them on account of stores to be purchased, or services to be performed? A. Yes.—Q. When the ninety-day bills were first issued, how were the days of koned for which the interest was computed? A. The ninety days were reckoned from the day of the registry to the day of payment, both days inclusive.—Q. Has that mode of reckoning the days on which the interest has been computed been altered, and in what manner? A. It has, the day of registry is not now included — Q. When did such alteration take place? A. I believe about twelve months ago ---Q. Did the other offices reckon the ninety days for the interest in the same manner as the navy office formerly did? A. The victualling office did not; the day of registry was not included.—Q. Was the practice of the victualling office long known at the navy office before it was altered? A. I cannot answer that question with any degree of accuracy. [Signed as before.]

The further Examination of William Foster, Esq.; taken upon Oath, the 19th of June, 1804.—Q. What reason have you to believe that the persons employed to negotiate the bills issued by the navy board for the purpose of raising money, were allowed a com-Alterations and Additions made to the mission of one-eighth per cent. A. I have foregoing Examination, by Desire of this none. In my evidence of the 1st of June, -Examinant, the 1st June, 1804.—(a) To I made a mistake; the commission I alluded to was on the sale of exchequer bills -Q. Have you any reason to believe that the persons employed to negotiate those bills are to have any allowance made them? 1. I have not. [Signed as before.]

> No. VI. Mr. Long to the Commissioners of the Navy, dated Treasury Chambers, Oct. 22d, 1800. Gentlemen, the public cervice

requiring an issue to the amount of 500,000l. | I believe difficulties may have occurred durfor the purpose of paying off minety-day bills, I am commanded by the lords commissioners of the treasury to direct that you will issue, from time to time, ninety-day bills to Trotter, Esq.; taken upon Outh, the 11th that amount, for payment of the said bills. I am, gentlemen, your faithful humble servant, Charles Long.

No. VII. Nady Office, 24th Oct. 1800. -Sir, We have your letter of this date, informing us of your having received the sum of 100,000l. on our account, and we desire you will pay the same into the hands of the treasurer of his majesty's navy, at his office in Somerset House. We are, sir, your humble servants, A. S. Hamond, C. Hope, Geo. Rogers. [Addressed to George

Glenny, Esq] ..

No. VIII, Navy Office, 24th Oct. 1800. —Sir, Mr. George Glenny having received the sum of 100,000l, on account of this board, we have directed him to pay the same into your hands, and we desire you will please to receive it, and apply it towards the payment of navy, victualling, and transport nmety-day bills, as they become due. We are, sir, your very humble servants, A. S. Hamond, C. Hope, Geo. Rogers. [Addressed to the right hon. Dudley Ryder.]

No IX. The Examination of Alexander. Trotter, Esq. Paymaster of the Navy, taken upon Oath, the 15th June, 1801.—Q. By whom was the produce of the navy bills issued for the purpose of raising money for the service of the navy, between October, 1500, and May, 1802, received? A. Money was received at the bank on account of the treasurer of the navy, which I believe to have been the produce of bills issued under the circumstances alladed to in the question, and I also believe to have been the whole of the money raised by bills under this description.-Q. Has any allowance b en made to the persons in whose favour such bills were drawn, or to the persons 1. I employed to negotiate such bills? pass between the treasurer of the navy and produce of the bills remain in your hands the treasury, or the navy board, which led any length of time? A. Never any length recollect any correspondence upon the sub- required. [Signed by Abraham Goldsmid, ject.—Q. Did the treasurer of the navy, between, October, 1800, and May, 1802, frement applications made for money, and ment? A. It is not; the day of payment .

ing that period: Signed by Mr.. Trotter

and by the Commissioners.]

The further Education of Alexander December, 1801.- A It appears that several of the bills issued by the navy board for the purpose of raising money, were delivered to you and Mr. Thomas Wilson? were any of such bills discounted by you, or for your use? A. They were not. [Signed as before]

No. X. Consists of Goldsmid's detailed account of the sales of the bills.

No XI. The Evamination of Atraham Goldsmid, Esq.; taken upon Oath, the 25th June, 1804.—Q. It appearing that in the years 1800, 1801, and 1802, bills to the amount of 4,300,000l. were drawn by the navy board in favour of Mr George Glenny, and Messrs. Donaldson and Glenny," for the purpose of raising money, was you employed to negotiate such bills, and by whom? A. I was; Mr. Long, the secretary of the treasury, asked me if I could discount such bills; and I afterwards received them from the navy office. -Q. Has any allowance been neade to you for your trouble in negotiating the bills?

A. The account is not settled; the sum of the bills, the interest which had run on them, and the difference between the three-pence halfpenny per cent. per day, and five per cent, at which rate they were discounted, were carried to the account of government, and I am to have one-eighth per cent. brokerage, which exceeds the interest by the sum of forty-six pounds sixteen shillings and nine-pence, upon the sum of 4,300,000f. the amount of the bills.-Q. In what manner did you dispose of the bills? A. We get them decounted.—Q. To whom, and when, did you pay over the produce of such bills : A. Whenever it was required by the paymaster of the navy, the money was paid into the bank on account of the treasurer of the nahave no knowledge of the transaction, or of vy?—Q. Did you pay over the produce of the negotiation. Q. Did any correspondence the bills as you discounted them, or did the to the issue of these bills? A. I do not of time; the money was paid over as it was and by the Commissioners.

The further Examination of Abraham meet with any difficulties in obtaining from Goldsmid, Esq. taken whon Oath, the 9th the treasury the sums applied for by him, July, 1804.—Q. Is it customary, in calcuby directions of the navy board? A Of- lating interest on bills, to include the day of ficial dithculties will always occur in the the date of the bills, and the day of pay-

the bill.—Q. Was you aware that the ninety days interest on the bills drawn by the navy board, and negotiated by you previous to men, your humble servants, H. Harmood. the 19th of December, 1801, included Geo. Rogers. S. Gambjer. [Addressed to the day of the date of the bills, and the day of payment? A. No.—Q. It appearing from the account furnished by you to the 1707. Gentlemen, We received your letnavy board, of the produce of the bills drawn by that board in favour of Mr. George Glanny, that bills to the amount of issued by us in the course of the last month, 100,000l. dated the 24th October, 1800, as specified in the account transmitted to were negotiated by you on the following day, and that the sum with which you have credited the public beyond the principal bills of the same description as issued by sum of the bills, is 30l. 1s. 5d and that you, and the commissioners for conducting on the 5th of May, 1802, bills to the same the transport service, on the same day. In amount were issued and negotiated by you on that day, and that the sum carried to the credit of government beyond the principal sum of the bills, is b3l. 24. 1d.; how do you account for the latter sum being greater than the former?—A. It was owing, in the first instance, to the money being provided be fore the bills were issued, some delay having occurred owing to a difficulty in whose name the bills were to be made out.—The second sum is right according to a calcula-· tion- reads from a discount book, by which book we always make our calculations of interest,—[Signed as before.] .

No. XII. Navy Office, 7th Feb. 1797. Gentlemen, We have received your letter of the 1st instant, transmitting an account of the victualling bills payable in course, which have been registered in last month; and we conclude there are none outstanding previously thereto, although that circumstance is not mentioned in your letter. As we think that all the bills part under the same act of parliament ought to be uniform in all their terms, we are concerned to find that the days of your bills becoming due, as noted in this account, differ from those made out at this office, and at the Transport office, viz. that your's, registered on the 2d Jan. are noted to become due on the 2d April, and so on, forward; whereas at this office and at the Transport office, they are made to become due on the 1st April (when 90 days for their running on interest expire) according to the custom which has been constantly adopted of including the first and last days on all occa-tsions, dependent in length of time or nunber of days; and amongst the rost, in the mode of computing interest on the navy bills passed under the act of parliament in force ingrediately preceding the present one, and

is included, but not the day of the date of likewise in conformity to the present act, which directs such interest to commence from the dates of the bills. We are, gentlethe Commissioners of the Victualling Board.]

No. XIII! Victualling Office, 8th Feb. ter of the 7th instant on the subject of the bills payable in course, which have been you in our letter of the 1st instant, being noted as becoming due a day later than the reply to which we beg deave to acquaint you, that the bills in course, which since the beginning of last month have been made out in this department, are payable ninety days after date (instead of three months) conformably to the plan adopted by you. In ascertaining therefore the particular periods, on which these bills seve-'r illy become due, it appeared to us obvious, beyond the possibility of doubt, that the days on which they were respectively dated could not be included in the computation of the time they have to run, and of course the bills, issued by us on the 2d January last (which were the first we made out) have been marked payable on the 2d April next, being according to the number of days in each month, the ninetieth day after the said This rule is likewise 2d of January consistent with that universally observed in respect to bills of exchange, to which, except in the in tance of the three days grace uso. By taken, we understood it was the intention that these bills should as near as possible be rendered similar, and to which also we believe the custom you mention " of in hilling the first and last days on all occasions dependant on length of time or number of days," has never applied; and we have the satisfaction to state, that the mode we have hitherto pursued has not occasioned the slightest remonstrance from any person receiving or holding such bills. of their having been made payable a day later than accuracy requires. The custom above referred to, which you are pleased to represent as having been constandly adopted on all oceasions, has, we believe, been confined solely to the compuration of the interest on the navy bills past under the act of parliament in force proceding the present one, and was-then

adopted from the suggestion of your officers. forests; payments for slaps detained before But before that period, is was the invaria-ble practice of this office not to include both the first and list days in the calculation countries the interest; and upon referring to the present acts of purhament, it expressly of Ne Q Did the administry during enacts, that the interest shall commence the late war, direct the navy board to for-" from" (not on) the dates of the bias — inish them with copies of sech orders as they We beg leave to add, that in the formation | might receive from the treasur, ? A No. of the plan we have this pursued, we were [-Q]. Are there any regulations by which not conscious of differing from the practice the navy board, or any particular member of other departments, nor hid we any other thereof, is required or authorized to follow object in view than a desire of acting con- the directions of the loads commissioners really -- We are, gent, your most humble for the treasury? I None that I know servants, G. Cherry, G. F. Towry, F. Ste- of -Q. Do the orders given by the trea-

No. XIV. The Prameation of Reliard princy, specify the particular sains to be A Nelson. Esq. Secretion—the Nacy pail, and the services for which the payBearan taken upon Oath, the sone on 1804, ments are to be made? A Toperwees -Q Was the report of the lords contains- are places up affect; sometime the rate, a cioners of the admiralty, respecting the? ar ingenient of the navy board into commatters, and the duties of its several members, of on which the order or his Nage ty in council, dated the 8th of junc. 1796, was grounded, or a copy short of true-nut-ted to the navy bond was the admitsty order of the 17th Aug 179b? A. It was est. -Q Has that report, or a copy floreof, been since transmitted to the new board? A It has not -Q Have any and what regulations been established once that period, for regulating the official duties of the navy board, or any patientler member thereof? 1 Nove-Q. It not being specified in and their consent thereto required previous the remations of the admiralty of the 17th or ust, 1790, under what authority the bound above-ment's ned? I do not the compto lier was to perform the secret recollect that there was -- Q. Have any reserve therein ellipsed to, under what authoristics or complaints been made by the At. The compared is authorized by the Q. Is there any minute on the proceedings order of 17th August 1790, to execute screet of the many board, shewing, previous services, and for any secret services which the resolution above-monthibled, an intention he has latherto performed, and which have of altering their mode of computing the arterwara, been laid before the board, he time when the ninety-day bills became payhis produced the orders of the first lord able, either at the approach of peace or any
of the admirals — Q. Is the navy board other period? A. None that I know of—
in the practice of following the directions Q. Do you know or any enquiry having been always been the practice since I have been in the crice; on looking over the books I by the commission is of victualling, in their have seen old olders from the treasury addiesed to the navy bond.— Q. Upon what abjects are such directions usually given? A Head morey is the principal; whether any inquiry has or has not been too make for timber fold in the King's

Licens, R. S. Moody, J. Hunt, W. Bo cawen | surv to the navy board for the payment of ____ and construct the sont. [Signed by R. A. Nelson, and to the Commissoners.

The further Examination of Richard Mounder Notion, Esq. Secretary to the A wy Bound; taken upon O th, the 12th Jan. 1805 -- Q It appears that outsite 12th Dec. 1801, a nomite of it compatite of accounts was laid before the Lavy board, proposing an alteration in the prode of paging the muety-day bills, which was arrived by that board on the 18th of that month, and ordered to take effect on the following day; was any general notice given to persons holding connacts, under the many board, to carring into execution the resolution of the street of the research bound understand the contractors to the board, in consequence of a compaction was to perform such services? This alteration? If None that I remember, of the lords commissioners of the trea arry? made, by order of the navy board, into the A. Yes, in many instances.— Q. How mode of computing the time of paying bills long has this practice provailed? If has of exchange, or exchange bills, in conses; quence of the representation finds to them. letter of February 1706, or of any enquiry made by the may board at any other time upon the subject? 4. I do not know made, hat no public enquity has taken places. by examination before the board. [Signed usage of the office, and make an alteration as before]

Pla. XV. Committee of Accounts, 12th Dec. 1801.—Doubts having been suggested of the propriety of the mode in which the ninety-day bills issued from this office are made out, as to the expression of the time for which interest is to be paid on them, the committee, after investigating the business, find that the mode of computation used in this office was adopted in conformity to the custom which had constantly prevailed, the practice with regard to exchequer bills, and stell prevails on all occasions dependent, and bills made out at the victualling office. on length of time and number of days, and To commence on the 19th inst.—Board am gig the rest in computing the interest on ever nary bill paid since their first establishment, to include in the computation the day on which the bill is issue has well as that on which It is paid, and this mode of computing the interest being that to which the contractors with this office Rad been accustomed, it was considered as only keeping faith with them to continue the calculation of interest the time, and the late Mr. Davies (the preceding chief clerk) decring it to be in conformery not only ko, the ancient practice of the office of all occasions, but rise to the present se, which directs such interest to conhoency from the dates of the bills (they, according to official interpretation, coneciving the word "from" to mean inclusively, as in the comparation of all broken time, whether for the payment of pension, silary, day-pay, interest, &c.) it has always ben, and now is, the invariable practice to melude under the expression of " from such | " a time to such a time," both the day on which the salary, pension, day-pay, interest, &c. commenced, as well as that on which it terminated. The marty-day bills issued by the victualing office are made out differently (though on the inspection of salary bills made out by that office, it appears that in their computation of broken time from such a day to such a day, they included both the first and the last day, constraing the word " from" to be inclusive) in the manetyday bills the first day is thrown out in the by that office falls due one day later than one drawn on the same day by the navy office; but it may be considered whether this day's interest is really any saving to the public, as if rany be presumed that the contractors red on upon it accordingly.—Under these circumstances, the committee refer to the

in the computation of the time the nmetyday bills are made out to run, in conferenty with the victualling office and the custom of merchants in discounting bills—18th December, 1801. The whole of the preceding circumstances being this day taken into consideration, the board determined, that the ninety-day bills to be a sued in future, should not bear any interest for the day on which they are dated, agreeable to what is

Nevy Office, 22d August, No. XVI 1804.—Gentlemen, We have received your letter of the 1st instant, desiring to be intormed what induced us to cominge the practice of including the day of the dale in reckoning the interest of ninety-day falls, until the 19th of December 1801, and what afterwards led to our adopting the practice of the commissioners of the victorian z, pointed on the same footing; and the chief clerk at lout in the deletter of the 6th Fel runy 1797; and we request to inform you, that we did not, upprecenting the letter from the comnussioners of the ictualing, in answer to the one we had addressed to theor ca the subject, see sufficient reason from what was uiged by them, for altering a mode of computation which had constantly prevailed in this office since the first establishment of navy interest bills, of including he dayson which the bill is dated, as well as that ca which it is paid; a mode of computation which extends to all payments for salary, pension, full or half pay, and for all other allowances usued from this department — In whatever light the consideration may have been held, in respect to, whether a bill be paid one day sooner or liter (the sum paid for the interest being the same in one case as in the other) it was of course calculated upon by the confractors when they made their contracts, and we could not deviate from it while the contracts were pending, a thout subjecting ourselves to the imputation of having departed from the universally understood mode of rendering payments at this computation, by which means, a bill drawn office; but when the time arrived, at the conclusion of the late war, that many contracts were put up, and many more were intended to be so, we thought it a good opportunity for altering the day of paying the ningly-day bills, in order that an uniformity in this respect might pervade the different public boards where bills of a similar deboard's consideration, whether it may be scription were isseed—We have the hoproper at this time to depart from the ancient nour to be, &c. W. Palmer, Seedings

bier. F. I Hutwell. [Addressed to the information of the lords commissioners of *Com *of Naval Enquiry*]

No XVII. Nany Office, 20th Nov 1801. Gentlemen — Yoù having by your precept of the 1st instant, required that there be laid. before you'an account, shewing what standing contracts with the navy board had determined between 1st October and 19th Docember 1801, and an account of the standing contracts in force at the latter date, and which continued so for twelve months afterwards; also, a copy of the minutes or direct tions for altering the practice of including the day of the date in reckoning the interest on ninety-day bills: -- We acquire you, that the contract for tin machines of 0th November, for Pot'smouth and Plymouth, and the contract for leather liquored for Portsmouth, are the only standing contracts that determined between 1st October and 19th December 1801. We inclose, an account of the contracts in force at the latter due, with a copy of the minute required; and have the honour to be,—gentlemen, &c. W. Palmer S. Sambier. F. I. Hartwell —Ad-

dressed to the com, of nayl inquity. Here follows a detailed account of the contracts.]

No. XVIII. Transport Office, 12th Oct.
1804—Gentlemen, in return to your precept of the 5th instant, requiring an account of the amount of the hills of this office, payable at muety days, issued in each year, upon which the interest of the day of the date, and the day of payment was included; and a statement of the circumstances which led to the adoption and discontinuance of that mode of calculating the interest; we enclose to you the said account, and have to acquaint you, that the persons to whom payin strictness, entitled to their money upon sury being satisfied that disbursements for the completion of the several services performed, but an interval of several days having almost invariably occurred while their accounts have been under investigation, and the bills were preparing; it was parliament, that the interest was allowed by us both for the day on which the bills were dated, and that on which they became payable. This mode has, however, been altered ever since the month of December, 1801, from which time the interest has not commenced till the following day, understanding the like alteration was to be made by the commissioners of the navy, with whose office list our daily accounts of bills issued are constantly incorporated, for the the 9th October 1802, and the remainder of Vol. IV .- Appendix.

his majesty's tighsury—We are, &c. &c. Rupt. George, Ambrose Serle, Thos. Hamilton, E. Borderic. [Addressed to the Commrs of Naval Inquiry.]

No XIX. I Navy Office, 16th Oct. 1804. -\(\)n account, shewing the amount of the ninety-day bills issult from the office in each year, during the time interest was allowed both on the day of the date of the bills, and the day of payment.

Commenting 'Ending. Amount. 25 Dec. 31 Dec. 1796° 90,534 14 11 1 Jan. 31 1797 3,537,291 8 31 17:08 2:011:026 17 2) 31 1 1709 3,010,555 2 1800 4,061,788 2 31 1801 5,826,739 6 19

Total £ 19,747,835 11 11

Transport Office, 12th Oct. No. XX. 1504.—An account of the amount of the bills payable at ninety days date, issued in each year, upon which the interest of the day of the date, and the day of payment, was included.

```
1,507,419 14
                           10
1797
        -*1,087,571.
                      1+
                           10
1798
1799 -
           -1,111,853
1500 -
            1,347,751
                       ľΰ
                           11
1801
            1,251,370
                            7
   Total 2 6,305,976
                            7
```

No XXI. Treasury Chambers, 1st May, 1504—Gentlemen,—The sum of ninetyfive thousand pounds having been imprested to ---- for naval services, and the ments were mirle by ninety-day bills, were, [fords commissioners of his majesty's treathose service, have been made by them to that amount; - I am commanded by their lord-hips to direct you'to cause a clearing bill for the said sum of ninety-five thousand poinds to be made out, to discharge upon this ground, and upon the construction in the books of your office from that inwe entertained of the intention of the act of prest.—I am, &c. N. Vansittart [Addressed to the Cours, of the Navy.]

No XXII. The Examenation of the Rt. Hon. the Earl Spencer, K. G.; taken upon oath, the 6th Nov. 1894.—Q. It appears, that between the 4th October 1799, and the 9th April 1301, davy bills, amounting to one hundred thousand poinds, were issued by the navy board to for naval services, of which five thousand pounds have been repaid to the treasurer of the navy on

the imprest clear, d and taken off by an or- | Amount of debt der from the right honourable the lords com-missioners of this majest? Treasury, seventy thousand parada of which sum were ad-1000, 3d Feb. 8,250 vanced between the 4th October and 22d 1865, 1st Feb. 1,428 November 1700, during who hapened your lordship persided it the board of admiralty was any part of this mansaction known to your locaship? A Lapprehend the transiction was known to me at the time, but I have not now a sufficient recollection to speak precisely on the subject. [Suned by half Spinor and by the Commissioners].

No(XXIII)majesty's actors of theiry thous and pointls of Seket Committee of Furnice for 1797, which so it was adam ed on the 0th April, Appendix Tis New copy of which is an-1801, et which it are your for lsh p presided, nevel, No. 1 at the begand of admiches, was any part of cent and by the Commissioner |

PAPELS TRUSHNULDS THE HOUSE OF COM-MONS LOTATIVE TO THE DIRT DO TO AND OTHER TAMES FOR SCOTLAND. Ordered to be primed 3d May, 1805.

mons, dated the 22d March last; for, an been given by Mi Fordice, the reseon for Account of all Sums which have been paid vi Discharge of the Delt of 00,171, 185, 6d stated, in the 8th Report of the Select Committee of France, to be doe from Jann Fordyce, by late Receiver General of the Land and other Tuzes in Scotland. .

```
·£90,473 18
1797, 弗h July 14,600。
                    0.0 •
                    (). ()
                    0
 30th March 11,000 0 0
                       😰 00,155
```

Return to an Order of the House of Commons, made on the 22d of March last, for Copies of Proposals which have been made, The Examination of the Rt band a Statement of Security's given to the How the Farl of St. $Umeent,\ K/B$, taken a Lords Commissioners of his Majesty's Treapears this b tween the 4th October 1700 General of the Land and other Taxes of and 9th April 1801, navy bear, accounting to Scotland, in relation to the Debt stated to one hundred thousand pounds, were issued be due from him, in the 8th Report of the kindle of the state of the state of the kindle of the state of the by the may host I to _____ for maral Schert Commuttee of Januare, so far as ic: services, of which are thousand pounds dates to the Proposals therein mentioned have been repute to the treasurer of the navy. The Proposils made by Mr. Fordyce to the on the 9th October 1802, and the remainder Tords Commissioners of the Treasury, are of the impact cleared and taken out by an accipitulated in a letter of the 10th Juris order from the loads commissioners of his \$1768, printed by order in the Report of the

Return to the done Order, so for as rethe commendent known to your I know leter to the Securities therein mentioned whetever, - Q. It appearing that the sum of We have to state, that, to the best of our former thousand plands was alterneed by knowledge surface of Mr. Pordser was the navy bond to Messis T Hambersley occasioned by the screening ladings of three and company, whetween the 18th of 1% different agents employed by last for the bruary and 21-0 of april, 1504, for a seriet purpose of phymolinto the exchequer the service, was the compliciter of the navy taxes remarked from Scotland, and not from authorised by you to posterm any secret ser- lany diversion of manapple dion of the pubvice for which this money was advanced, on the money by the receiver, the debt therehad you any knowledge of the triusion of the becomes divisible into 3 parts and 4 ne of the transition [Signed by Lail Sa Vin- table of Deads and Co kbain, and one troop their estates erzed under an extent. The sum of 23,0441 3s 10d occasioned by the failure of Fergusson and Muclock, and doe from their estate serzed under an extent; making 37,300l ts 7d. In regard to THE CLOWN THOM JOHN FORDS CI, 150 which sures, the proposals are, that doct-LATE RECEIVER GENERAL OF THE LAND feets of those agents, having been taken by extent, should remain tor liquidation in the hands of Trustees appointed by the ficasiny. Return to an Order of the House of Com- For the first of these sams, no secontly has which is, that Mr Rodyce has never considered biniself responsible for it, for ressons stated as bis letter after mentioned For the latter, there is the security on the estate at Grenada, mentioned in the same letter, which will be applicable to this purpost when the remainder of the debt, for 1700, from Mr Fordyce, of which No 2, which no other security is given, has been the reanto associated, as a copy. First, Bonds disclared, viz. after the payment of delivered by My Fordyce to George-Aren-14,3371 Os In execution of the proposals chird Goodenetigh, and Joseph White, for liquidating the remainder of the debt. Psys in equally for the following stars of the conties following have been given in this debt to the pallie pursumec of a fetter of the 31st March -

/			414	
EX MHOM CLINIT D	DATI.	WHIN PAYAPIP	SUM	
Dunça Davidson, Esq William Gennuelt, Esq De Robert Eogle, Esq - William Blyer, Esq - Philip Antrobu , Esq - George Monthery Issq. Ohner Coh, Esq - Alex Brodie, Esq - Esch Jos Sullivan, Esq	D' - D' 10th July 1705 - 20th - D'	25th Nat h 1805	4,000 3,000 4,000 4,000 3,000 2,000 2,000 4,000 2,000 4,000 4,000 2,000 4,	
John Sullivan, Esq John Spaiding, Isq A	1),	D ₀	1,500 5 高道 1,000 点 第 29,500	

Second, The Obligation of the executors proposal was, that the effects of the two rit a tree of the estate of River Antoine, in a vents of my late office, which find been Greada, to pay not be the other fourth as zed in on extent, for the seconds of the parts of the value of this precise of this co-balances which I had a mit of to them to be tate annually to the exthequer, until the respect to the exchange of the control of the school of the control o to 14,371 by reveluence of the said sum and to "equilation fit the bulls of the of 20,0001) Shill be folly paid and after to start of a red by the tre are. That control of the present of such parent the the good of and tell process of the riv debt of 23,044 3s 10d due from tores own property of the initial abroads debt two of herzustnand Mindock, a may there to the public, or somed by the feline of be unsatisfied. The nature and effect of the law when each for the toolice, in whose af-letter instrument, and the proceedings who have a commercial of half tipe, had prequanted with futher than by the letters re- of extent which I had applyed for, I should Gived from Messis Goodenough and White, give the following, securities, amounting in trustees of the crown, and Mr. Fordyce, all to 52 0971 toeques wherefore are annexed. No 2 and 4) 1st. The sum do to me by (Signed) W. Lowindes, B. Parne, E. Me-15 agrument, a one of the condows, H. Hayes, H. Hodgson, Office for incison essisting land revenue 8,250 0 0

I have the satisfaction to be able to the to add. The obligation of the you, that a great part of what I proposed is encogors of the estate of River already executed, and that the whole will be. A itome, in the island of Grescompleted in the course of next week. My nada, to pay the three fourth

monde ld Mr Padace's acht aceviceliced in maccountry to my creas, "could resuch property to remain as a conditional section of the recording, walthe a limbed time, s quent to the proposits, we are not as codel and previously the effect of the west.

taxes, 50th April, 1805.

No I Copy of Letter to Charles Long, gentlemen of an embited forswer to your enquiry as to the progress which I had made in earlying into effect the treasury, payable in 5, 6, and seven years, for - - - 32,500. The obligation of the control of the

parts of the value of the produce of that estate annually to the exchequer, until the whole of my debt, and that of Messrs. Fergusson and Murdock, late agents of my office, shall be fully paid. This estate, before the late disturbances, yielded 5,000l. a year, and the works being now re-established, it is expected to yield 0,000l. a year. The value of the crops of the next and following years are to be applied to the discharge of the money borrowed for the expense of re-establishing the estate; and along with the chlimations of the execu-• tors, I give my personal engagement, that the payment on my account out of this fund shall be thereafter not less than 3,000l. per annum. This I give as a security for the balance of the sum for which I proposed to give security. -

11,337 6 0

£52,087 6 0

The whole of the securities referred to in this statement I am already prepared to give, except three of the Londs, to the amount of 14,000l., which are now preparing, and they also will be executed in a fly days. My object in proposing to give the bonds above-mentioned, was, to fix periods beyond which the debt, so unfortunately incurred, • could not remain unpaid. The terms of payment were necessarily determined by a consideration with the friends who granted them, of the time which it might possibly remire, from the state of my affairs, to complete the liquidation of the amount of their engagements on the security of those affairs. My expectation however is, that the greatest part of the debt will be much somer paid. Is have already obtained the la • engagement of a very respectable house in men are given in the inclosed the West-India trade, to advance within one year after peace shall be made, 10,000l. on the consignments from the estate in Grenada; which sum I have engaged shall be immediately applied to the payment of part of those bonds which have the longest term of payment; and there are other very considerable sums which I have reason to believe shall be able to mise at no great distance

of time.—A plan similar to this, as you know, I had made some progress in 4 years ago, but was disabled from carrying it into effect by the disturbances which then broke out in Grenada, and which made it in sample for me to give the necessary securities to the friends who were to engage for me. I have the henour, &c. John For lyce. 10th June, 1708.

Copy of Letter to Charles Long, No II Esq dated 31st March, 1799 -Sir, 1 my letter dated 10th June last, I had the honour to acquaint you, for the information of the lords of the treasury, that I was then nearly prepared to earry into effect the proposal which I had made to their fordships, respecting the debts due by myself and the agents of my late office of receiver general for Scotland. ... an now able to sate to you, that I have since completed what I was thon preparing to execute, and have, agreeably to the directions from the lords of the treasury, delivered to Messis, Goods enough and White, as trustees appointed by their lordships, 'the securities herem-after mentioned. This debt, which as has been often stilled, kers by eased to a great sum by the fadure of three different agents, I shall divide into \$3 parts The first and greatest part, being 52,0871 0s. is answerable from my ovi property only, in consequence of a community baying, in the affairs of one of those agents, preceded and prevented the effect of the writ of extent which I applied for, and for which the following securities are given :-

1st. The sum due to me as one of the commissioners of the land revenue, for salary, at the rate of 1,500 a year, from Jan. 1788 to July 1793, being $5\frac{1}{2}$ years - - - -

2dly. The bonds of several gentlemen, of undoubted fortune and responsibility, deposited with Messrs. Goodenough and White for - -

The names of these gentlepaper.

3dly. There has also been deposted with Messrs, Goodenough and White, the obligation of his executors or trusted of the estate of river Antoine in Gremda, to pay not less than three fourth parts of the value of the pro£8,250 0 0

29,500 0 0

duce of that estale annually to the exchequer, until the whole of my a pt, and that of Messrs derectson and Murda halace gants of any office, shall be to fly paid

which his been sent to Meisrs. Goodenough for the office, on the future of Douglas and and White, by thus express themselves, Cockburn, fell this the same irregularity of respecting the value of the last-mentioned recamine he new y remitted to be paid into security, and the loss occasion of by the late the exchaquer, measurement of which disturbances in Grenada — The impures therefores were second upon extents from thom the extre of over Antoine for the the crown, and put into the hands of the " ve. is 1705, or and 7, which have been same trusters. From these effects it is ex" cound, tely 4.5", which probably have pected that the null amount of what remains " been worth 20 0000 which, added to the due by hera will be recovered. I have the is long you were contest on the collections, honour, &c (Septed) John Fortyce. It would be a made a great impression on Note of London referred to in Mr. For-" your debt to the public before this time. | dg es Leity to Mr Long, 31st March, That estate, which was wested during those 1700 - Bond granted by Dunem, Davidson, disturbances, being now registary shed, is and W. Generoell, 2000 by R. Boole, Esq. expected from this time to ficus predictive 4,000 by T. Astrobus, Esq. (2001 by W. as it formerly was and in the present prices of sugar shall commune, all the debtsupen it prior to name will be discharged by the crop of next year. I am also still persisting in my endeavours to obtain a form upon it, which the communities of ayir renders different from the ficult, but which will or balasciae easily cot. which the continuance of yer renders difficult, but which will problem be casily got when peace is a continuance. The returns therefore from the estate was be paid annually, and that loan, when it so II be obtained, to the exchequer, in discharge of the value before mentioned or 14 3371, 0s in the first place, and atten that is paid, to the include discharge of the bonds for 20,5001 and of the debt due, as is after-mentioned by Messis. Ferguson and Murdock, as agents for nr. late office, in just proportion to the amount of the sums due to them and me from that estate; so that though those bonds granted by my friends are not payable till 1805, I have reason to expect that a very considerable part of them will be discharged before that time, and that term of payment is only to be considered as the mode taken. to fix the period beyond which no part of that debt due by me for the security of which those bonds are given, can remain unpaid. The second part of that debt to the public is for 13,415l, 17s, od due by Messis. Douglas and Cockana, to whom the money of my office was remitted my when I discovered that they had detained a " in our possession, of acquaint you with

great sum of that money contrary to the orders given them to pay it into the exchequer. . Steps were taken to; obtaining wints of exent, and the securities to acquired for that month were put into the h, and of trustees appoint-14,337 6 0 ed by the treasury, with whom a consider- able part of those securities still remain for 252,087,0 C liquidation - The remainder of Control of the ---- the public is Say by Messrs. Fergusson and In a letter from those executors, a copy of Murdock, who having been appointed agents

No. III -- Caty of a Letter from the Trustees of John & rance, Esq to the Secretary to the Louid of Taxes, dated 10th June . 1802 - Sir, We leave the favour of your letter of the 25 h May 1802, in which you state, "That the commissioners for the af-" tairs of taxes closerving by a letter from " Mr. Fordyce, late receiver general of " Scotland, to Mr Long, deted the 10th -"June 1798, that one of the bonds offered 1 " as a security for part of the debt due from " him to the cown, is an obigation of the " executors of the estate of the river Ar" " tome in Grenada, •to pay three-fow.... " parts of the value of the produce of that estate annually into the exchequer, ountil " the whole of such debt, and that of Messrs Fergusson and Murdock, late agents in his office, have been fully paid; and that the payment out of that fund, after the two years crops which are appropriated to the discharge of the money borrowed to re-establish the estate, would not be less than 3,000l, per annum, and it appearing that no payment his been made into the exchanger out of the prodeputy, in obedience to the directions of "duce of the estate, the board request us the first lord of the transmit, at the time " to transmit them a copy of the bond, if

"what same had been received from the collects of his lite agents which were serzed upon the collects of the crown." We have to require the momes received from the collects of his lite agents information, that the momes received from the collects of his late agents serzed upon the extent, which had been wasted the momes received from the collects of his late agents serzed upon the extent, which had been the collects of his late agents serzed upon the extent, which is the then process of each should concame to our hands as trustees for the crown. The the then process of each should concame to our hands as trustees for the crown. The debt, upon at prior to name have been from time it time as they were received, after deducting the cost and the subsequent view at which the crops charges incurred in the recovery and recent to of the subsequent view at which had been wasted to during the unsurrection, having been to established, would after that time be as the momes from time to time as they were the crown. thereof, paid by as into the exchequer on a not only would it? value of the court account of Mr. Perdice adult attractive and the parts of the produce be applied to the not in possession of any such home of the " priment of the executors of the estate of the river Actors, " dock's debt and maje, and the fall as is mentioned in your letter, and we do to parameter, by a local would probably be not believe that any such ever existe! Mr in obtained by me upon the extrem their some arr appenent between bun and the prior incumbrancers. We are the formed of T. Goodenough, Josh White.

No. IV.—Copu of Letter from John Form

Taxes; dated 15th June 1803 -Sir, In consequence of your letter, dated 28th ult, written under the direction of the commissioners for the affairs of taxes, desiring me to send a copy of the bend of the executors of the e-tite of the face Antonie, to pay three-fourth parts of the value of the pro-Succe of that estate into the exchanger until the whole of my debt, and that of Messis Fergusson and Maddock, late agents for my office, should be fully paid, if that bond be ny possesson, er acquaint them with is deposited, in order that it may proream I receive one shelling from it, till the be seen whether the same is forfeited, and government debt is perf. The obligations whether it rany be proper to institute any proceedings for the penalty, I have the honour to acquaint you, that the obligation granted to the trustees for the treasury by to know will be panetually paid, if I am those executors was in the form of a missive, not interrupted in the liquilation of the et-not a bond, and that it never was given to fores; and I have still reason to believe from me by the trustees, nor is in my hards, and Mr. C. Fergusson assures one that it is not in his possession. If the obligation, however, which seems to have been mislaid, should not be found, it will make no ditference in the security, the executors having no wish or intention to avoid the fulfilment burn, has been reserved by me. I have of the conditions in it, which they do not the honour, &c, conceive that they have hitherto in any man-

" whom it is deposited, in order that it may | ner transgressed.—In my letter to Mr. Long, " be seen whether the same is forfeited, referred to in that which I have now seed as " and whether it may be proper to institute ed from you, and in any her to the stars any proceedings for the penalty; also design gentleman, dated 31st Majeta 1709, in which " siring to be mildriged whether any, and the securities given to the trustees for the Fordyce has a morting go upon the country of the redemption of that estate, we therefore the redemption of that estate, we therefore imagine that the collegation, at so it can be called, mentioned in your later, but the redemption of the collegation, at so it can be called, mentioned in your later, but the property of the projective way we are insome arrangement between him and the purform incumbrances. We are not a great to the collegation of the purpose of some and the mention of the collegation of the purpose of some and the mention of clutters and exceeds any one did every the project of some and the mention of clutters and exceeds any one did every fell in the purpose of some, and the mention of clutters and exceeds any one did every fell in the purpose of some, and the mention of clutters and exceeds any one did every fell in the purpose of some and the mention of clutters and exceeds any one did every fell in the purpose of some and the mention of clutters and exceeds any one did every fell in the purpose of the fell in the purpose of clutters and exceeds any one did every fell in the purpose of clutters and exceeds any one did every fell in the purpose of clutters and exceeds any one did every fell in the purpose of clutters and exceeds any one did every fell in the purpose of clutters and exceeds any one did every fell in the purpose of clutters and exceeds any one did every fell in the purpose of clutters and exceeds any one did every fell in the purpose of clutters and exceeds any one did the collegation and the purpose of clutters and exceeds any one did the collegation. sioners, neither tas or neverther some and to in Grenadi has added da well in revenue to the owners, and the corsign is deel to that then pier claim is not only not dicharged, but not discussed since the date of their letting -/11 it essate owes me, by accounts proved in the connection chances, as notices 50 0001, which is certainly, wore than I shall ever to over from it, but it will k unfortunate indeed if it well not produce 14,3371. Os. Which is the sum for which it is given to the treesury as a scarny, and This riot, which I come under to the treasury in consequence of the grangement made for the psyment of my delat, I have the satisfaction Mr. Fergusion that the securities obtained by dee write of carent and otherwise, for the debt due by Ferguson and Murdock, will be sufficient for the decharge of the debestill due by them. No part of that debt, or of the belance due by Douglas and Cock-JOHN FORDY CE.

INDEN TO DEBATES IN THE TIQUSE OF LUKUS.

Abrogution of a Stanting Older, Mutmy Pill, 17, 20 613 .

Right, tre of 373

Chale Rotton,), 97, 101,

Lox, Comductor Back, 25, 10,

Flekens, Lond, F. Milma I alcana, Lab. 192, 2 2

Navy, State of the, 18, 115 Or L., Standing, 162, 178

Order, Altrojation of a Semiling, 61.1

Petition, Roman Ca hobe, 10, 97. • 161, 631, 713

Price of Buad 273

Roman Catholic Permon, 40, 97 161, 671, 712

S in ng.Order, 192, 178 Stanting Order, Abrogaton (#3

State of the Viv., 18, 215

Jameisuns Advoction Bill, 142 101, 110, 107, 103

We thila Account, 128

INDEX TO DEBATES IN THE HOUSE OF COMMONS.

Billio for a Select committee on the Lengton, all Robbers 11 Bord Laborary 19, 142 Bad et, San tementary, S., 109 But a In her at

Chora Mark of the Person of th

Com Regule In Bill, ; w

Between the Williams was

Pec Dibb, to Tapers rela-Auc 10. " "

Green, Avanta 192

fe 1 ., W.o. m, 20

Today Son Car os no

In I but to to 1 In offician Pal, 1 608

h of Dore-Bill, 17

In S.R. Cara P.C., oo.

Tomere Bli, 60. 55 In bleata at the com

10 14 5 11 November 120

In h Lor Raids P. L. 130 In h Wilcia Lin and Bal,

150

lich Union Cemnus Govers, 184 In h Lean, 145

Jush Sect 10 Bill. 507 In h Sain

Kra boscoch Hection, 25

1 c acv Quy Cel, 37, 99

the fold foce differentiation of the first and the firster and the second 150, 0 , 30, 50, 130, 107, 511, 514, 600, 517

Mr 52 x 640 non, 1, 7 9 Military Coman sien e. Bill, 492 Higher Frommer Bill, 1. 1115. 114, 100, 1, 19

and faminis overs. Rinewal Bill (8)

and Enquiry, Vot of Thank to the commission as of, and Saral Enginee, The mix Report of the Comme toners on 457 Navy, State of the, 629, 751

Be. Proceed was a unit the Printer of the first libel on the Rouse, 5-1, 11, 512

Pineras Poor Bill, 15

Paymest r of the Longes Regulation Bird, 3/2

Petrson from the Nay Board respecing Mr. Tacker's Petrom

Poplana, Carliet of Sir Home 14.,007

Prize A chev Bill, 61

Report, Eleventh, of the Commis Signals of Second Linguisty, Sp. A. Hamone' Louon for Paper 18'd'W 10, 1 -

Remark Molic Platten, 97, 109 0., - 31, 951

fich Day Bill, 30

Se et, Mr. Clara Papers role 1 jour by, 111 .

Superiory Courtes Pick, 517

Van C. Let St. Neud Adminis tres not, 619, 731 -

Vor of Tamb to the Compas. To GINN ! Emplay, JOA

We that sales

INDEX OF NAMES.—HOUSE OF LORDS.

Albertale Fail of, 778 A i to B shop of St 151, 194 Aud Bat look, 2 , 20, 10, 103, 100, 141, 101, 102, 1 0, 822 Botton, Lord, Seg Bo in Aon, Lord, 196, 773 Backinch an, Marquiso, 17, 26, 1 11, Booker Landing, Earl of, 195, Camdon, Fur', 17, 27, 204, 706

Conterbury, Archbishop of, 451,

Can fort, Eart of, 151, 728 Unleton, Un 1, 14 (50) Carlish Larlot, 105 Caparon, Eulot 195, 014 Coola, Lard, 195 Claience, Duke of, 17, 25, 156 Cumberland, Duk of, 7, 791

Damley, Jus', 15, 145, 148, 157 165, 559, 571, 729, 810 Cily, Lar of, 194

Durham, Bishop of, 161, 708

E caboron, h, Lord, 45, 107, 135, Ma Rever 1 Ad. 25, 14, L, 6, 179, 455, 804 455, 504

103, 101, 100, 108, 161, 102, 163, 161, 10 , 1 2, 5 7, 5 9, 6 5, 6 11, 675, 7 10, 53;

Hurowite, Lord, 617, 787 Havkesbury, Lord, 25 13, 111, 106, 161, 191, 192, 514, 125, 479.6535, 39,6357,6598, 300, 501, 61 5, 670, 71 1, 320, 729 Helland, Lord, 700

Hetch non, Lord, 164

King, Loy, 820

Luner ck, Farl of, 729 idon Rishop of 153 Lord Chancellor, 42, 46, 105, 166, 143, 162, 163, 170, 375. 404, 538, 559, 590, 614, 610, 634 630, 710, 783 Melville, Lord, 21, 148, 154

Moira, haily 816

Eldon, Ford, see For P Chancellor Monte see, Dut o of, 203, 191

Cremalle, Lord, 26, 4), 42, 97, Nortale, Out of, 105, 142, 144 102, 100, 107, 030, 030, 591, 710, 720, 73

Omea 1, 1 elet, ; 73 Oxt 18, 1 alot 714

Oyord, I so pot, 149, 144, 191, 102, 411, 412, 112, 815, 604

Radio r. Lat of, 7:16

Reachale Lord, 1.1, 711, 771, 1 1 1

Rown y, Earl of 20%

Silminth, Lord, 143, 111, 149, 🧸 5, 5, E. Ood, 095, 513.

Sp necr. Fail, 41, 1,8, 179, 251, 615, 693

Suffolk, Jul of, 161, 192, 203, 373, 425, 429, 633, 742, 1042 Wales, H. R. H. the Pimce of,

102 Walsingham, Lord, 17, 148, 375 Westmoreland, Earl of, 197, 787

INDEX OF NAMES.—HOUSE OF COMMONS.

Abbot, Rt. Hon. C. see Speaker ... Addington, H 1631 Alexander, H 71, 207, 419, 914 Andover, Lord, 317 Archad R 1027 Attorney General, (Hon. S. Percival) 293, 387, 478, 119 439, 441, 461, 498, 449, 501, 710, 533, 551, 560, 612, 941, 1039 -Rapyell, Col. 24, 60, 1.0, 182, 492, 498 Barham, J 222, 340 Bastard, J. P. 81, 117, 159, 382, 583, 737 Best, W. D. 371, 394, 414, 413, 445, 446, 506 Blaquere, L.de, 60, 119, 553, 1037 Bond, N. 542, 552 Bourne, S. 185, 430, 560 Burroughs, Sir W. 547 Buxten, Sir R. 101, 494 Cateratt, J. 80, 100, 379, 380, 510 Grenville, T. 320, 471, 500 Caming, G. 175, 296, 307, 335, Grey, C. 71, p2, 16b, 184, 341, 849, 884, 300, 391, 407, 424, 438, 475, 524, 545, 553 Cattwright, W. R. 110 Castlereagh, Lord, 29, 30, 132, 164, 169, 170, 234, 317, 413, 495, 529 Cavendish, Lord G 90 Chascellor of the Exchequer, 16, 30, 37, 47, 55, 59, 70, 72, 76, 79 .82, 87, 92, 95, 109, 120, 136, 159, 141, 142, 158, 159, 150, 161, 164, 165, 106, 167, 171, 173, 177, 191, 186, 190, 203, 224, 248, 279, 285, 322, 327, \$55, 370, 371, 382, 398. 402, 406, 408, 411, 412, 121, 426, 437, 443, 445, 459, 462, 476, 470, 480, 482, 484, 466, 492, 423, 494, 603, 519, 541, 545, 569, 575, 590, 507, 601, 603, 607, 611, 627, 630, 632, 643, 647, 645, 731, 734, 738, 739, 741, 750, 1045. Chapman, C. 247 Coke, T. 582 Combe, II. C. 185 Corpy, 1, 15, 16, 36 Creevey, T. 49, 60, 500, 541, 612 Cowen, J. C. 736 Daly, B. D 17 Dawson, R. 32 Dent, J. 551 730 Dickenson, W. jun. 184, 464,616 Dillon, H. A. 1050 Dolben, Sir W. 1045 Douglas, Marquis of, 79,118,171 Luigenan, P. 111, 185, 541, 596, 865 Dunlo, Lord, 207, 483 Dandas, W. 4ce Secretary at War Elford, Sir W. 137, 581 Ellison, R. 135, 141, 667 Luston, Lord, 120 Fellowes, R. 613 Fazgerald, J. 12, 31, 208, 494 Fitzgerald, M. 1027 Fitzpattick R. 13, 740, 141

Fonolamque, J. 425

Poster, J 6, 14, 16 64, 36, 60, 68, 71, 120 130 46, 538 656 0 17 711, 999 Fox, C. J. 50 35, 37, 57 70 199, 170 140, 141, 166, 163 172 174, 236, 250, 288 30), 521, 350 360 393 386, 389, 303, 396 (1)4, 109, 400, 422, 4.6 431 (59, 112, 445, 161, 475, 109, 4 7, 1014 to, no. 518 511 573, 575, 580, 705, 655, 607, 600, 611, 531 Fr 10 is, P. 20, 150, 167, 170, 150, 225, 253, 741 ... Frankland, W. 636 Furler, J. 62, 363, 121, 521, 550 Greature, 2, 34 Gree, D. 1387 Ray Game, 5-407 feet of the Rods Grant, C 213 Gattan, H. 917, 909 Gr. 79, F. 485 351, 582, 468 411, 134, 111, 413, 415, 457, 458, 460 401, 401, 471, 477, 176, 151, 196, 530, 517, 631, 632, 735 Hamilton, Lord A. 10, 67, 526 4 306, 406, 420, 431, 432, 430, 739 Hamond, A. S. 457-1418, 211, 460, 476, 575, 578, 61, 620, Hawthorn, C. S 31, 37, 1010 Henniker, Lord 580, 552 Hal, Sir G 56 160, 208, 1010 Hippesley, Sir J. C. 415, 1037 Hobbiouse, B 492 Mudlestone, J. 244 Hughes, W. L. 112 Hutchinson, J. C. H. J. 643, 1039 Jeffery, J. 579, 629 631, 052, 644, 731, 732, 735, 735 c Jokyll, J. 526 Johnstone, G. 59, 255, 193, 598 Ker, R G. 36 Kinnaird, C 185 355, 365, 409, 46 , 623, 627, 625, 637, 047 Langham, 9, 141 Lascelks, II. 524, 535, 741 Latoughe, J. 36, 71, 1084 Laurence F. 05, 211, 301, 440, 499, 909 Lee, F. 70, 208, 380, 619, 957-Lofens, G #a70 Magens, M D. 130, 597 Markham, J 483, 578, 630, 645, 616, 737, 739 Marin, R. 129, 167, 168, 556, Wintbread, S. 255, 321, 328, 610 9 Master of the Rolls, 25, 300, 408, 400 409, 517 May J. 31, 207 Melcalte, Sir T. 246, 509 Milbank, Sir R 562 541 Mildmay. Sir 11. 90, 95, 5 553, 559 Moore 1. 307, 500, 540, 511 Newport, Su J. 15, 33, 36, 47, 60, 66, 159, 159, 159, 170, 181, 182, 184, 185, 206, 207 208, 390, 448, 493, 500, 510, 503, 598, 619, 657, 102,

Per will, Hand S see Attorney-G rend i Perry, Lord Henry, 69, 109, 1,9, 573, 410, 511 Plumes, W 590 517 Pole, S C. 369, 391, 393, 481, 192, 93 Ponsoabe G. 33, 345, 409, Pop into, 50 H. 638, 616 Porchester, Land, 613 Price, Sar C 36, 300 Princip J 37, 217 - 197 Pultency, S W. 71, 3-1 Pultency, Su J. 80 Pytches, J. 800 Rose, G. 24 372, 349, 411, 110, 101, 576, 575, 560, 597 Russell, Lord W. 25 Ridgen St., opn. Hop A. 223 7 Scott, St. W 61, 512, 966, 969 Scott, D 364, Ct. Secretary at Wai, 173, 174, 366, 389, 608 Shaw G 1031 Sheadan R B 172, 255, 321, 127, 489, 501, 121, 524, 540, 513, 550, 561, 562, 581, 587, 645 onath, W. 439, 741, 950, 951 melar, St J 731, 739 Schenor Ceneral Sir V. Gibbs) 391, (93, 42), 516 S_n(2) er. The Right Hon. C. 1,6000 47, 79, 90, 199, 177, 2,6, 379, 433, 135, 430, 136, 509, 527, 547, 5, 1, 662, 579, 645, 729, 738 Stynhope, S 91, 497, 500 Standay, Lord, 79, 120 Smart, W. 118 Sullivan, J. 178 Tarletoa, B. 137, 159 Temple, Early 70 79, 85, 117, 135, 141, 240, 619, 618 Inquitm, H 1, 72, 508, 509 Thornton, R. 248, 580 Thorat '1 5. 319 Turney, G. 201, 117, 119, 457, 158 462, 471, 507, 627, 628, 732, 735 Vansiitait, N. 178, 500 Wallace, T. 320, 470, 177, 478 Waid, R. 395, 596, 483, 137 West, in, C. 611, 749 367, 370, 371, 399, 399, 400, 111, 42, 130, 133, 484, 501, 506, 541, 518, 523, 552, 504, 502, 600, 601, 611 Will erforce, W. 317, 221, 303, 501, 554, 579, 580, 586, 730 Windham, 123, 174, 177, g. 1, * 352, 112, 435, 498, 52**6**, 534, 536, 543, 540 Wright A. 133 434, 143, 559 Wronesley, and 583, 612 Wyrfne, Sir W. W. 142 Yorke, C. 113, 138, 199 Toung, Sir W. 171